A SELECT LIBRARY

OF THE

NICENE AND

POST-NICENE FATHERS

OF

THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.

EDITED BY

PHILIP SCHAFF, D.D., LL.D.,

PROFESSOR IN THE UNION THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY, NEW YORK.

IN CONNECTION WITH A NUMBER OF PATRISTIC SCHOLARS OF EUROPE AND AMERICA.

VOLUME V

ST. AUGUSTIN:

ANTI-PELAGIAN WRITINGS.

T&T CLARK

EDINBURGH

WM. B. EERDMANS PUBLISHING COMPANY

GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

SAINT AUGUSTINÂS ANTI-PELAGIAN WORKS.

translated by

PETER HOLMES, D.D., F.R.A.S.,

DOMESTIC CHAPLAIN TO THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE COUNTESS OF ROTHES,

AND CURATE OF PENNYCROSS, PLYMOUTH;

and

REV. ROBERT ERNEST WALLIS, Ph.d.,

INCUMBENT OF CHRIST CHURCH, COXLEY, SOMERSET.

THE TRANSLATION REVISED, AND AN INTRODUCTION PREFIXED, BY

# Livros Grátis

http://www.livrosgratis.com.br

Milhares de livros grátis para download.

# BENJAMIN B. WARFIELD, D.D.,

# PROFESSOR IN THE THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AT PRINCETON, N.J.

Contents.

preface to the american edition.

prefatory note by the american reviser.

introduction to augustinâs anti-pelagian writings. By the Rev. Professor B.B. Warfield, D.D.

dedication of vol. i. of edinburgh edition.

dedication of vol. ii. of edinburgh edition.

preface to vol. i. of edinburgh edition.

preface to vol. ii. of edinburgh edition.

âon the merits and remission of sins, and on the baptism of infants.â

Three Books. Written A.D. 412.

(De Peccatorum Meritis et Remissione, et de Baptismo Parvulorum.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Peccatorum Meritis,â etc.

The Treatise itself.

âon the spirit and the letter.â One Book. Written A.D. 412.

(De Spiritu et Litterâ.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Spiritu et litterâ.â

The Treatise itself.

âon nature and grace.â One Book. Written A.D. 415.

(De Naturâ et Gratiâ, contra Pelagium.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Naturâ et Gratiâ.â

Introductory Note.

The Treatise itself.

âon manâs perfection in righteousness.â One Book. Written about the

end of 415.

(De Perfectione Justiciæ Hominis.)

Preface to the treatise.

The Treatise itself.

âon the proceedings of pelagius.â One Book. Written early in 417.

(De Gestis Pelagii.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Gestis Pelagii.â

Preface to the treatise.

The Treatise itself.

âon the grace of christ, and on original sin.â Two Books. Written in 418.

(De Gratiâ Christi, et de Peccato Originali, contra Pelagium.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Gratiâ Christi,â and âDe Peccato Originali.â

Book I. On the Grace of Christ.

Book ii. On Original Sin.

âon marriage and concupiscence.â Two Books. Written early in 419 and 420.

(De Nuptiis et Concupiscienti $\tilde{A}\phi$ .)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Nuptiis et Concupiscientiâ.â

Advertisement to the Reader.

A Letter from Augustin to the Count Valerius.

Book I.

Preliminary Notes to the Second Book.

Book ii.

âon the soul and its origin.â Four Books. Written late in 419.

(De Animâ et ejus Origine.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Anim $\tilde{A} \phi$  et ejus Origine.â

Advertisement to the Reader.

Book I. Addressed to Renatus.

Book ii. Addressed to the Presbyter Peter.

Book III. Addressed to Vincentius Victor.

Book IV. Addressed to Vincentius Victor.

\*âAgainst two letters of the pelagians.â Four Books. Written in 420 or a Little Later.

(Contra Duas Epistolas Pelagianorum.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âContra Duas Epistolas Pelagianorum.â

Book I.

Book ii.

Book III.

Book IV.

âon grace and free will.â One Book. Written in 426 or 427.

(De Gratiâ et Libero Arbitrio.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Gratiâ et Libero Arbitrio.â

Two Letters from Augustin to Valentinus and the Monks of Adrumetum, and forwarded with the Following Treatise.

The Treatise itself.

\*aon rebuke and grace.a One Book. Written in 426 or 427.

(De Correptione et Gratiâ.)

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractationsâ on âDe Correptione et Gratiâ.â

The Treatise itself.

\*âon the predestination of the saints.â One Book. Written in 428 or 429.

(De Prædestinatione Sanctorum.)

\*aon the gift of perseverance.a One Book. Written in 428 or 429.

(De Dono PerseverantiÃ<sub>1</sub>.)

Note.âThe treatises marked wth an asterisk above were translated by Dr. Wallis; the others by Dr. Holmes.

Preface to the American Edition.

## âââââââââââââ

aThis volume contains all the Anti-Pelagian writings of Augustin, collected by the Benedictine editors in their tenth volume, with the exception only of the two long works Against Julian, and The Unfinished Work, which have been necessarily excluded on account of their bulk. The translation here printed is that of the English version of Augustinâs works, published by Messrs. T. and T. Clark at Edinburgh. This translation has been carefully compared with the Latin throughout, and corrected on every page into more accurate conformity to its sense. But this has not so altered its character that it ceases to be the Edinburgh translation, abettered somewhat, but still essentially the same. The excellent translation of the three treatises, On the Spirit and the Letter, On Nature and Grace, and On the Proceedings of Pelagius, published in the early summer of this year by two Oxford scholars, Messrs. Woods and Johnston (London: David Nutt), was unfortunately too late in reaching America to be of any service to the editor.

âWhat may be called the explanatory matter of the Edinburgh translation, has been treated here even more freely than the text. The headings to the chapters have been added to until nearly every chapter is now provided with a caption. The brackets which distinguished the notes added by the translator from those which he translated from the Benedictine editor, have been generally removed, and the notes themselves often verbally changed, or otherwise altered. A few notes have been added, âchiefly with the design of rendering the allusions in the text intelligible to the uninstructed reader; and the more lengthy of these have been enclosed in brackets, and signed with a W. The result of all this is, that it is unsafe to hold the Edinburgh translators too closely responsible for the unbracketed matter; but that the American editor has not claimed as his own more than is really his.

âln preparing an Introductory Essay for the volume, two objects have been kept in view: to place the necessary Prolegomena to the following treatises in the hands of the reader, and to furnish the English reader with some illustrations of the Anti-Pelagian treatises from the other writings of Augustin. In the former interest, a brief sketch of the history of the Pelagian controversy and of the Pelagian and Augustinian systems has been given, and the occasions, objects, and contents of the several treatises have been briefly stated. In the latter, Augustinâs letters and sermons have been as copiously extracted as the limits of space allowed. In the nature of the case, the sources have been independently examined for these materials; but those who have written of Pelagianism and of Augustinâs part in the controversy with it, have not been neglected. Above others, probably special obligations ought to be acknowledged to the Benedictine preface to their tenth volume, and to Canon Brightâs Introduction to his edition of Select Anti-Pelagian Treatises. The purpose of this essay will be subserved if it enables the reader to attack the treatises themselves with increased interest and readiness to assimilate and estimate their contents.

âReferences to the treatises in the essay, and cross-references in the treatises themselves, have been inserted wherever they seemed absolutely necessary; but they have been often omitted where otherwise they would have been inserted because it has been thought that the Index of Subjects will suffice for all the needs of comparison of passages that are likely to arise. In the Index of Texts, an asterisk marks some of those places where a text is fully explained; and students of the history of Biblical Interpretation may find this feature helpful to them. It will not be strange, if, on turning up a few passages, they will find their notion of the power, exactness, and devout truth of Augustin as an interpreter of Scripture very much raised above what the current histories of interpretation have taught them.â

The above has been prepared by Dr. Warfield. I need only add that the present volume contains the most important of the doctrinal and polemical works of Augustin, which exerted a powerful influence upon the Reformers of the sixteenth century and upon the Jansenists in the seventeenth. They constitute what is popularly called the Augustinian system, though they only represent one side of it. Enough has been said on their merits in the Prolegomena to the first volume, and in the valuable Introductory Essay of Dr. Warfield, who has been called to fill the chair of systematic theology once adorned by the learning and piety of the immortal Hodges, father and son.

The remaining three volumes will contain the exegetical writings of the great Bishop of Hippo.

Philip Schaff.

New York, September, 1887.

introductory essay on augustin and the pelagian controversy.

by professor benjamin b. warfield, D.D.

A Select Bibliography of the Pelagian Controversy.

(Adapted from Dr. Schaffâs Church History, vol. iii.)

## âââââââââââââ

I. Three works of Pelagius, printed among the works of Jerome (Vallarsiusâ edition, vol. xi.): viz., the Expositions on Paulâs Epistles, written before 410 (but somewhat, especially in Romans, interpolated); the Epistle to Demetrias, 413; and the Confession of

Faith, 417, addressed to Innocent I. Copious fragments of other works (On Nature, In Defence of Free Will, Chapters, Letter to Innocent) are found quoted in Augustinâs refutations; as also of certain works by CÅlestius (e.g., his Definitions, Confession to Zosimus), and of the writings of Julian. Here also belong Cassianâs Collationes Patrum, and the works of the other semi-Pelagian writers.

II. Augustinâs anti-Pelagian treatises; also his work On Heresies, 88, 428; many of his letters, as e.g., those numbered by the Benedictines, 140, 157, 178, 179, 190, 191, 193, 194; and many of his letters, as e.g., 155, 163, 165, 168, 169, 174, 176, 293, 294, etc. Jeromeâs Letter to Ctesiphon (133), and his three books of Dialogue against the Pelagians (vol. ii. of Vallarsius); Paulus Orosiusâ Apology against Pelagius; Marius Mercatorâs Commonitoria; Prosper of Aquitaineâs writings as also those of such late writers as Avitus, Cæsarius, Fulgentius, who bore the brunt of the semi-Pelagian controversy.

III. The collections of Acta of the councils and other public documents, in Mansi and in the appendix to the Benedictine edition of Augustinâs anti-Pelagian writings (vol.x.).

IV. Literature.âA. Special works on the subject: Gerh. Joh. Vossius, Hist. de Controversiis quas Pelagius ejusque reliquiæ moverunt, 1655; Henr. Norisius, Historia Pelagiana, etc., 1673; Garnier, Dissert. vii. quibus integra continuentur Pelagianorum Hist. (in his edition of Marius Mercator, I. 113); the PrÃlfatio to vol. x. of the Benedictine edition of Augustinas works; Corn. Jansenius, Augustinus sive doctrina S. Augustini, etc., adversus Pelagianos et Massilienses, 1640; Jac. Sirmond, Historia PrAldestinatiana, 1648; Tillemont, MA©moires xiii. 1-1075; Ch. Wilh. Fr. Walch, Ketzerhìstorie, Bd. iv. and v., 1770; Johann Geffken, Historia semi-pelagianismi antiguissima, 1826; G. F. Wiggers, Versuch einer pragmatischen Darstellung des Augustinismus und Pelagianismus, 1821a1833 (Part I. dealing with Pelagianism proper, in an E. T. by Professor Emerson, Andover, 1840); J.L. Jacobi, Die Lehre des Pelagius, 1842; P. Schaff, The Pelagian Controversy, in the Bibliotheca Sacra, May, 1884; Theod. Gangauf, Metaphysische Psychologie des Heiligen Augustinus, 1852; Julius MÄ<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>ller, Die Christliche Lehre von der Sļnde, 5th edition 1866 (E. T. by Urwick, Edinburgh): Do., Der Pelagianismus, 1854; F. WÄffrter, Der Pelagianismus u. s. w. 1866; Mozley, On the Augustinian Doctrine of Predestination, 1855; Nourrisson, La philosophie de S. Augustin, 1868; Bright, Select anti-Pelagian Treatises of St. Augustine, 1880; William Cunningham (not to be confounded with the Scotch professor of that name), S. Austin and his Place in the History of Christian Thought, being the Hulsean Lectures for 1885; James Field Spalding, The Teaching and Influence of St. Augustine, 1886; Hermann Reuter, Augustinische Studien, 1887.

B. The appropriate section in the Histories of Doctrine, as for example those of Münchner, Baumgarten-Crusius, Hagenbach (also E. T.), Neander (also E. T.), Baur, Beck, Thomasius, Harnack (vol. ii. in the press); and in English, W. Cunningham, Shedd, etc.

C. The appropriate chapters in the various larger church histories,

e.g., those of Schröckh, Fleury, Gieseler (also E. T.), Neander (also E.T.), Hefele (History of the Councils, also E. T.), Kurtz (also E. T.); and in English, Schaff, Milman, Robertson, etc.

Introductory Essay on Augustin and the Pelagian Controversy.

by professor benjamin b. warfield, D.D.

## âââââââââââââ

I. The Origin and Nature of Pelagianism.

It was inevitable that the energy of the Church in intellectually realizing and defining its doctrines in relation to one another, should first be directed towards the objective side of Christian truth. The chief controversies of the first four centuries and the resulting definitions of doctrine, concerned the nature of God and the person of Christ; and it was not until these theological and Christological guestions were well upon their way to final settlement, that the Church could turn its attention to the more subjective side of truth. Meanwhile she bore in her bosom a full recognition, side by side, of the freedom of the will, the evil consequences of the fall, and the necessity of divine grace for salvation. Individual writers, or even the several sections of the Church, might exhibit a tendency to throw emphasis on one or another of the elements that made up this deposit of faith that was the common inheritance of all. The East, for instance, laid especial stress on free will: and the West dwelt more pointedly on the ruin of the human race and the absolute need of Godâs grace for salvation. But neither did the Eastern theologians forget the universal sinfulness and need of redemption, or the necessity, for the realization of that redemption, of Godâs gracious influences; nor did those of the West deny the self-determination or accountability of men. All the elements of the composite doctrine of man were everywhere confessed; but they were variously emphasized, according to the temper of the writers or the controversial demands of the times. Such a state of affairs, however, was an invitation to heresy, and a prophecy of controversy; just as the simultaneous confession of the unity of God and the Deity of Christ, or of the Deity and the humanity of Christ, inevitably carried in its train a series of heresies and controversies, until the definitions of the doctrines of the Trinity and of the person of Christ were complete. In like manner, it was inevitable that sooner or later some one should arise who would so one-sidedly emphasize one element or the other of the Churchâs teaching as to salvation, as to throw himself into heresy, and drive the Church, through controversy with him, into a precise definition of the doctrines of free will and grace in their mutual relations.

This new heresiarch came, at the opening of the fifth century, in the person of the British monk, Pelagius. The novelty of the doctrine which he taught is repeatedly asserted by Augustin[1]1, and is evident to the historian; but it consisted not in the emphasis that he laid on free will, but rather in the fact that, in emphasizing free will, he denied the ruin of the race and the necessity of grace. This was not only new in Christianity; it was even anti-Christian. Jerome, as well

as Augustin, saw this at the time, and speaks of Pelagianism as the âheresy of Pythagoras and Zeno; a[2]2 and modern writers of the various schools have more or less fully recognized it. Thus Dean Milman thinks that athe greater parta of Pelagiusa letter to Demetrias amight have been written by an ancient academic; a[3]3 Dr. De Pressensé identifies the Pelagian idea of liberty with that of Paganism;[4]4 and Bishop Hefele openly declares that their fundamental doctrine, athat man is virtuous entirely of his own merit, not of the gift of grace, a seems to him ato be a rehabilitation of the general heathen view of the world, and compares with it Ciceroas words:[5]5 aFor gold, lands, and all the blessings of life, we have to return thanks to the Gods; but no one ever returned thanks to the Gods for virtues. a[6]6 The struggle with Pelagianism was thus in reality a struggle for the very foundations of Christianity; and even more dangerously than in the previous theological and Christological controversies, here the practical substance of Christianity was in jeopardy. The real question at issue was whether there was any need for Christianity at all; whether by his own power man might not attain eternal felicity; whether the function of Christianity was to save, or only to render an eternity of happiness more easily attainable by man.[7]7

Genetically speaking, Pelagianism was the daughter of legalism; but when it itself conceived, it brought forth an essential deism. It is not without significance that its originators were aa certain sort of monks; a that is, laymen of ascetic life. From this point of view the Divine law is looked upon as a collection of separate commandments. moral perfection as a simple complex of separate virtues, and a distinct value as a meritorious demand on Divine approbation is ascribed to each good work or attainment in the exercises of piety. It was because this was essentially his point of view that Pelagius could regard manas powers as sufficient to the attainment of sanctity, anay, that he could even assert it to be possible for a man to do more than was required of him. But this involved an essentially deistic conception of manas relations to his Maker. God had endowed His creature with a capacity (possibilitas) or ability (posse) for action, and it was for him to use it. Man was thus a machine, which, just because it was well made, needed no Divine interference for its right working; and the Creator, having once framed him, and endowed him with the posse, henceforth leaves the velle and the esse to him.

At this point we have touched the central and formative principle of Pelagianism. It lies in the assumption of the plenary ability of man; his ability to do all that righteousness can demand, ato work out not only his own salvation, but also his own perfection. This is the core of the whole theory; and all the other postulates not only depend upon it, but arise out of it. Both chronologically and logically this is the root of the system.

When we first hear of Pelagius, he is already advanced in years, living in Rome in the odour of sanctity,[8]8 and enjoying a well-deserved reputation for zeal in exhorting others to a good life, which grew especially warm against those who endeavoured to shelter themselves, when charged with their sins, behind the weakness of nature.[9]9 He was outraged by the universal excuses on such occasions.ââlt is hard!â âit is difficult!â âwe are not able!â âwe are men!âââOh. blind madness!â he cried: âwe accuse God of a twofold ignorance, athat He does not seem to know what He has made, nor what He has commanded, âas if forgetting the human weakness of which He is Himself the Author. He has imposed laws on man which He cannot endure.â[10]10 He himself tells us[11]11 that it was his custom, therefore, whenever he had to speak on moral improvement and the conduct of a holy life, to begin by pointing out the power and quality of human nature, and by showing what it was capable of doing. For (he says) he esteemed it of small use to exhort men to what they deemed impossible: hope must rather be our companion, and all longing and effort die when we despair of attaining. So exceedingly ardent an advocate was he of manas unaided ability to do all that God commanded. that when Augustinas noble and entirely scriptural praveraaGive what Thou commandest, and command what Thou wiltââwas repeated in his hearing, he was unable to endure it; and somewhat inconsistently contradicted it with such violence as almost to become involved in a strife.[12]12 The powers of man, he held, were gifts of God; and it was, therefore, a reproach against Him as if He had made man ill or evil, to believe that they were insufficient for the keeping of His law. Nay, do what we will, we cannot rid ourselves of their sufficiency: awhether we will, or whether we will not, we have the capacity of not sinning.â[13]13 âl say,â he says, âthat man is able to be without sin, and that he is able to keep the commandments of God;â and this sufficiently direct statement of human ability is in reality the hinge of his whole system.

There were three specially important corollaries which flowed from this assertion of human ability, and Augustin himself recognized these as the chief elements of the system.[14]14 It would be inexplicable on such an assumption, if no man had ever used his ability in keeping Godâs law; and Pelagius consistently asserted not only that all might be sinless if they chose, but also that many saints, even before Christ, had actually lived free from sin. Again, it follows from manâs inalienable ability to be free from sin, that each man comes into the world without entailment of sin or moral weakness from the past acts of men; and Pelagius consistently denied the whole doctrine of original sin. And still again, it follows from the same assumption of ability that man has no need of supernatural assistance in his striving to obey righteousness; and Pelagius consistently denied both the need and reality of divine grace in the sense of an inward help (and especially of a prevenient help) to manâs weakness.

It was upon this last point that the greatest stress was laid in the controversy, and Augustin was most of all disturbed that thus Godâs grace was denied and opposed. No doubt the Pelagians spoke constantly of âgrace,â but they meant by this the primal endowment of man with free will, and the subsequent aid given him in order to its proper use by the revelation of the law and the teaching of the gospel, and, above all, by the forgiveness of past sins in Christ and by Christâs holy example.[15]15 Anything further than this external help they utterly denied; and they denied that this external help itself was absolutely necessary, affirming that it only rendered it easier for man to do what otherwise he had plenary ability for doing.

Chronologically, this contention seems to have preceded the assertion which must logically lie at its base, of the freedom of man from any taint, corruption, or weakness due to sin. It was in order that they might deny that man needed help, that they denied that Adamâs sin had any further effect on his posterity than might arise from his bad example. âBefore the action of his own proper will, a said Pelagius plainly, âthat only is in man which God made.â[16]16 âAs we are procreated without virtue, â he said, âso also without vice. â[17]17 In a word, aNothing that is good and evil, on account of which we are either praiseworthy or blameworthy, is born with us, âit is rather done by us; for we are born with capacity for either, but provided with neither.â[18]18 So his later follower, Julian, plainly asserts his âfaith that God creates men obnoxious to no sin, but full of natural innocence, and with capacity for voluntary virtues. a[19]19 So intrenched is free will in nature, that, according to Julian, it is âjust as complete after sins as it was before sins;â[20]20 and what this means may be gathered from Pelagiusâ definition in the aConfession of Faith, a that he sent to Innocent: aWe say that man is always able both to sin and not to sin, so as that we may confess that we have free will.â That sin in such circumstances was so common as to be well-nigh universal, was accounted for by the bad example of Adam and the power of habit, the latter being simply the result of imitation of the former. aNothing makes well-doing so hard, a writes Pelagius to Demetrias, âas the long custom of sins which begins from childhood and gradually brings us more and more under its power until it seems to have in some degree the force of nature (vim natur $\tilde{A}_{i}^{l}$ ).  $\hat{a}$  He is even ready to allow for the force of habit in a broad way, on the world at large; and so divides all history into progressive periods, marked by Godâs (external) grace. At first the light of nature was so strong that men by it alone could live in holiness. And it was only when menâs manners became corrupt and tarnished nature began to be insufficient for holy living, that by Godâs grace the Law was given as an addition to mere nature; and by it athe original lustre was restored to nature after its blush had been impaired.â And so again, after the habit of sinning once more prevailed among men, and athe law became unequal to the task of curing it, a[21]21 Christ was given, furnishing men with forgiveness of sins, exhortations to imitation of the example and the holy example itself.[22]22 But though thus a progressive deterioration was confessed, and such a deterioration as rendered desirable at least two supernatural interpositions (in the giving of the law and the coming of Christ), yet no corruption of nature, even by growing habit, is really allowed. It was only an ever-increasing facility in imitating vice which arose from so long a schooling in evil; and all that was needed to rescue men from it was a new explanation of what was right (in the law), or, at the most, the encouragement of forgiveness for what was already done, and a holy example (in Christ) for imitation. Pelagius still asserted our continuous possession of aa free will which is unimpaired for sinning and for not sinning; and Julian, that aour free will is just as full after sins as it was before sins; a although Augustin does not fail to twit him with a charge of inconsistency. [23]23

The peculiar individualism of the Pelagian view of the world comes out strongly in their failure to perceive the effect of habit on nature

itself. Just as they conceived of virtue as a complex of virtuous acts, so they conceived of sin exclusively as an act, or series of disconnected acts. They appear not to have risen above the essentially heathen view which had no notion of holiness apart from a series of acts of holiness, or of sin apart from a like series of sinful acts.[24]24 Thus the will was isolated from its acts, and the acts from each other, and all organic connection or continuity of life was not only overlooked but denied.[25]25 After each act of the will, man stood exactly where he did before: indeed, this conception scarcely allows for the existence of a âmanââonly a willing machine is left, at each click of the action of which the spring regains its original position, and is equally ready as before to reperform its function. In such a conception there was no place for character: freedom of will was all. Thus it was not an unnatural mistake which they made, when they forgot the man altogether, and attributed to the faculty of free will, under the name of apossibilitasa or aposse, a the ability that belonged rather to the man whose faculty it is, and who is properly responsible for the use he makes of it. Here lies the essential error of their doctrine of free will: they looked upon freedom in its form only, and not in its matter; and, keeping man in perpetual and hopeless equilibrium between good and evil, they permitted no growth of character and no advantage to himself to be gained by man in his successive choices of good. It need not surprise us that the type of thought which thus dissolved the organism of the man into a congeries of disconnected voluntary acts, failed to comprehend the solidarity of the race. To the Pelagian, Adam was a man, nothing more; and it was simply unthinkable that any act of his that left his own subsequent acts uncommitted, could entail sin and guilt upon other men. The same alembic that dissolved the individual into a succession of voluntary acts, could not fail to separate the race into a heap of unconnected units. If sin, as Julian declared, is nothing but will, and the will itself remained intact after each act, how could the individual act of an individual will condition the acts of men as yet unborn? By âimitationâ of his act alone could (under such a conception) other men be affected. And this carried with it the corresponding view of manas relation to Christ. He could forgive us the sins we had committed; He could teach us the true way; He could set us a holy example; and He could exhort us to its imitation. But He could not touch us to enable us to will the good, without destroying the absolute equilibrium of the will between good and evil; and to destroy this was to destroy its freedom, which was the crowning good of our divinely created nature. Surely the Pelagians forgot that man was not made for will, but will for man.

In defending their theory, as we are told by Augustin, there were five claims that they especially made for it.[26]26 It allowed them to praise as was their due, the creature that God had made, the marriage that He had instituted, the law that He had given, the free will which was His greatest endowment to man, and the saints who had followed His counsels. By this they meant that they proclaimed the sinless perfection of human nature in every man as he was brought into the world, and opposed this to the doctrine of original sin; the purity and holiness of marriage and the sexual appetites, and opposed this to the doctrine of the transmission of sin; the ability of the law, as well as and apart from the gospel, to bring men into eternal life, and opposed this to the necessity of inner grace; the integrity of free will to choose the good, and opposed this to the necessity of divine aid; and the perfection of the lives of the saints, and opposed this to the doctrine of universal sinfulness. Other questions, concerning the origin of souls, the necessity of baptism for infants, the original immortality of Adam, lay more on the skirts of the controversy, and were rather consequences of their teaching than parts of it. As it was an obvious fact that all men died, they could not admit that Adamâs death was a consequence of sin lest they should be forced to confess that his sin had injured all men; they therefore asserted that physical death belonged to the very nature of man, and that Adam would have died even had he not sinned.[27]27 So, as it was impossible to deny that the Church everywhere baptized infants, they could not refuse them baptism without confessing themselves innovators in doctrine; and therefore they contended that infants were not baptized for forgiveness of sins, but in order to attain a higher state of salvation. Finally, they conceived that if it was admitted that souls were directly created by God for each birth, it could not be asserted that they came into the world soiled by sin and under condemnation; and therefore they loudly championed this theory of the origin of souls.

The teachings of the Pelagians, it will be readily seen, easily welded themselves into a system, the essential and formative elements of which were entirely new in the Christian Church; and this startlingly new reading of manâs condition, powers, and dependence for salvation, it was, that broke like a thunderbolt upon the Western Church at the opening of the fifth century, and forced her to reconsider, from the foundations, her whole teaching as to man and his salvation.

II. The External History of the Pelagian Controversy.

Pelagius seems to have been already somewhat softened by increasing age when he came to Rome about the opening of the fifth century. He was also constitutionally averse to controversy; and although in his zeal for Christian morals, and in his conviction that no man would attempt to do what he was not persuaded he had natural power to perform, he diligently propagated his doctrines privately, he was careful to rouse no opposition, and was content to make what progress he could quietly and without open discussion. His methods of work sufficiently appear in the pages of his aCommentary on the Epistles of Saint Paul, a which was written and published during these years, and which exhibits learning and a sober and correct but somewhat shallow exegetical skill. In this work, he manages to give expression to all the main elements of his system, but always introduces them indirectly, not as the true exegesis, but by way of objections to the ordinary teaching, which were in need of discussion. The most important fruit of his residence in Rome was the conversion to his views of the Advocate CAlestius, who brought the courage of youth and the argumentative training of a lawyer to the propagation of the new teaching. It was through him that it first broke out into public controversy, and received its first ecclesiastical examination and rejection. Fleeing from Alaricâs second raid on Rome, the two friends

landed together in Africa (A.D. 411), whence Pelagius soon afterwards departed for Palestine, leaving the bolder and more contentious[28]28 CÅlestius behind at Carthage. Here CÅlestius sought ordination as a presbyter. But the Milanese deacon Paulinus stood forward in accusation of him as a heretic, and the matter was brought before a synod under the presidency of Bishop Aurelius.[29]29

Paulinusâ charge consisted of seven items, [30]30 which asserted that CÅlestius taught the following heresies: that Adam was made mortal, and would have died, whether he sinned or did not sin; that the sin of Adam injured himself alone, not the human race; that new-born children are in that state in which Adam was before his sin; that the whole human race does not, on the one hand, die on account of the death or the fall of Adam, nor, on the other, rise again on account of the resurrection of Christ; that infants, even though not baptized, have eternal life; that the law leads to the kingdom of heaven in the same way as the gospel; and that, even before the Lordâs coming, there had been men without sin. Only two fragments of the proceedings of the synod in investigating this charge have come down to us;[31]31 but it is easy to see that CÅlestius was contumacious, and refused to reject any of the propositions charged against him, except the one which had reference to the salvation of infants that die unbaptized, âthe sole one that admitted of sound defence. As touching the transmission of sin, he would only say that it was an open question in the Church, and that he had heard both opinions from Church dignitaries; so that the subject needed investigation, and should not be made the ground for a charge of heresy. The natural result was, that, on refusing to condemn the propositions charged against him, he was himself condemned and excommunicated by the synod. Soon afterwards he sailed to Ephesus, where he obtained the ordination which he sought.

Meanwhile Pelagius was living quietly in Palestine, whither in the summer of 415 a young Spanish presbyter, Paulus Orosius by name, came with letters from Augustin to Jerome, and was invited, near the end of July in that year, to a diocesan synod, presided over by John of Jerusalem. There he was asked about Pelagius and CÅlestius, and proceeded to give an account of the condemnation of the latter at the synod of Carthage, and of Augustinâs literary refutation of the former. Pelagius was sent for, and the proceedings became an examination into his teachings. The chief matter brought up was his assertion of the possibility of men living sinlessly in this world; but the favour of the bishop towards him, the intemperance of Orosius, and the difficulty of communication between the parties arising from difference of language, combined so to clog proceedings that nothing was done; and the whole matter, as Western in its origin, was referred to the Bishop of Rome for examination and decision.[32]32

Soon afterwards two Gallic bishops,âHeros of Arles, and Lazarus of Aix,âwho were then in Palestine, lodged a formal accusation against Pelagius with the metropolitan, Eulogius of Cæsarea; and he convened a synod of fourteen bishops which met at Lydda (Diospolis), in December of the same year (415), for the trial of the case. Perhaps no greater ecclesiastical farce was ever enacted than this synod exhibited.[33]33 When the time arrived, the accusers were prevented

from being present by illness, and Pelagius was confronted only by the written accusation. This was both unskilfully drawn, and was written in Latin which the synod did not understand. It was, therefore, not even consecutively read, and was only head by head rendered into Greek by an interpreter. Pelagius began by reading aloud several letters to himself from various men of reputation in the Episcopate, âamong them a friendly note from Augustin. Thoroughly acquainted with both Latin and Greek, he was enabled skillfully to thread every difficulty, and pass safely through the ordeal. Jerome called this a âmiserable synod, â and not unjustly: at the same time it is sufficient to vindicate the honesty and earnestness of the bishopsâ intentions, that even in such circumstances, and despite the more undeveloped opinions of the East on the questions involved. Pelagius escaped condemnation only by a course of most ingenious disingenuousness, and only at the cost both of disowning CAlestius and his teachings, of which he had been the real father, and of leading the synod to believe that he was anathematizing the very doctrines which he was himself proclaiming. There is really no possibility of doubting, as any one will see who reads the proceedings of the synod, that Pelagius obtained his acquittal here either by a âlying condemnation or a tricky interpretationa[34]34 of his own teachings; and Augustin is perfectly justified in asserting that the aheresy was not acquitted, but the man who denied the heresy, a[35]35 and who would himself have been anathematized had he not anathematized the heresy.

However obtained, the acquittal of Pelagius was vet an accomplished fact. Neither he nor his friends delayed to make the most widely extended use of their good fortune. Pelagius himself was jubilant. Accounts of the synodal proceedings were sent to the West, not altogether free from uncandid alterations; and Pelagius soon put forth a work In Defence of Free-Will, in which he triumphed in his acquittal and aexplained his explanations at the synod. Nor were the champions of the opposite opinion idle. As soon as the news arrived in North Africa, and before the authentic records of the synod had reached that region, the condemnation of Pelagius and CÅlestius was re-affirmed in two provincial synods, aone, consisting of sixty-eight bishops, met at Carthage about midsummer of 416; and the other, consisting of about sixty bishops, met soon afterwards at Mileve (Mila). Thus Palestine and North Africa were arraved against one another, and it became of great importance to obtain the support of the Patriarchal See of Rome. Both sides made the attempt, but fortune favored the Africans. Each of the North-African synods sent a synodal letter to Innocent I., then Bishop of Rome, engaging his assent to their action: to these, five bishops, Aurelius of Carthage and Augustin among them, added a third âfamiliarâ letter of their own, in which they urged upon Innocent to examine into Pelagiusa teaching, and provided him with the material on which he might base a decision. The letters reached Innocent in time for him to take advice of his clergy, and send favorable replies on Jan. 27, 417. In these he expressed his agreement with the African decisions, asserted the necessity of inward grace, rejected the Pelagian theory of infant baptism, and declared Pelagius and CAlestius excommunicated until they should return to orthodoxy. In about six weeks more he was dead: but Zosimus, his successor, was scarcely installed in his place before CÅlestius appeared at Rome in person to

plead his cause; while shortly afterwards letters arrived from Pelagius addressed to Innocent, and by an artful statement of his belief and a recommendation from Praylus, lately become bishop of Jerusalem in Johnas stead, attempting to enlist Rome in his favour. Zosimus, who appears to have been a Greek and therefore inclined to make little of the merits of this Western controversy, went over to CÅlestius at once, upon his profession of willingness to anathematize all doctrines which the pontifical see had condemned or should condemn; and wrote a sharp and arrogant letter to Africa, proclaiming CÅlestius acatholic. and requiring the Africans to appear within two months at Rome to prosecute their charges, or else to abandon them. On the arrival of Pelagiusa papers, this letter was followed by another (September, 417), in which Zosimus, with the approbation of the clergy, declared both Pelagius and CÅlestius to be orthodox, and severely rebuked the Africans for their hasty judgment. It is difficult to understand Zosimusâ action in this matter: neither of the confessions presented by the accused teachers ought to have deceived him, and if he was seizing the occasion to magnify the Roman see, his mistake was dreadful. Late in 417, or early in 418, the African bishops assembled at Carthage, in number more than two hundred, and replied to Zosimus that they had decided that the sentence pronounced against Pelagius and CÅlestius should remain in force until they should unequivocally acknowledge that awe are aided by the grace of God, through Christ, not only to know, but to do what is right, in each single act, so that without grace we are unable to have, think, speak, or do anything pertaining to piety.â This firmness made Zosimus waver. He answered swellingly but timidly, declaring that he had maturely examined the matter, but it had not been his intention finally to acquit CÅlestius; and now he had left all things in the condition in which they were before, but he claimed the right of final judgment to himself. Matters were hastening to a conclusion, however, that would leave him no opportunity to escape from the mortification of an entire change of front. This letter was written on the 21st of March, 418; it was received in Africa on the 29th of April; and on the very next day an imperial decree was issued from Ravenna ordering Pelagius and CÅlestius to be banished from Rome, with all who held their opinions; while on the next day, May 1, a plenary council of about two hundred bishops met at Carthage, and in nine canons condemned all the essential features of Pelagianism. Whether this simultaneous action was the result of skillful arrangement, can only be conjectured: its effect was in any case necessarily crushing. There could be no appeal from the civil decision, and it played directly into the hands of the African definition of the faith. The synodâs nine canons part naturally into three triads.[36]36 The first of these deals with the relation of mankind to original sin, and anathematizes in turn those who assert that physical death is a necessity of nature, and not a result of Adamas sin; those who assert that new-born children derive nothing of original sin from Adam to be explated by the laver of regeneration; and those who assert a distinction between the kingdom of heaven and eternal life, for entrance into the former of which alone baptism is necessary. The second triad deals with the nature of grace, and anathematizes those who assert that grace brings only remission of past sins, not aid in avoiding future ones; those who assert that grace aids us not to sin, only by teaching us what is

sinful, not by enabling us to will and do what we know to be right; and those who assert that grace only enables us to do more easily what we should without it still be able to do. The third triad deals with the universal sinfulness of the race, and anathematizes those who assert that the apostlesâ (1 John i. 8) confession of sin is due only to their humility; those who say that âForgive us our trespassesâ in the Lordâs Prayer, is pronounced by the saints, not for themselves, but for the sinners in their company; and those who say that the saints use these words of themselves only out of humility and not truly. Here we see a careful traversing of the whole ground of the controversy, with a conscious reference to the three chief contentions of the Pelagian teachers.[37]37

The appeal to the civil power, by whomsoever made, was, of course, indefensible, although it accorded with the opinions of the day, and was entirely approved by Augustin. But it was the ruin of the Pelagian cause. Zosimus found himself forced either to go into banishment with his wards, or to desert their cause. He appears never to have had any personal convictions on the dogmatic points involved in the controversy, and so, all the more readily, yielded to the necessity of the moment. He cited CAlestius to appear before a council for a new examination; but that heresiarch consulted prudence, and withdrew from the city. Zosimus, possibly in the effort to appear a leader in the cause he had opposed, not only condemned and excommunicated the men whom less than six months before he had pronounced aorthodoxa after a âmature consideration of the matters involved, â but, in obedience to the imperial decree, issued a stringent paper which condemned Pelagius and the Pelagians, and affirmed the African doctrines as to corruption of nature, true grace, and the necessity of baptism. To this he required subscription from all bishops as a test of orthodoxy. Eighteen Italian bishops refused their signature, with Julian of Eclanum, henceforth to be the champion of the Pelagian party, at their head, and were therefore deposed, although several of them afterwards recanted, and were restored. In Julian, the heresy obtained an advocate, who, if aught could have been done for its re-instatement, would surely have proved successful. He was the boldest, the strongest, at once the most acute and the most weighty, of all the disputants of his party. But the ecclesiastical standing of this heresv was already determined. The policy of Zosimusâ test act was imposed by imperial authority on North Africa in 419. The exiled bishops were driven from Constantinople by Atticus in 424; and they are said to have been condemned at a Cilician synod in 423, and at an Antiochian one in 424. Thus the East itself was preparing for the final act in the drama. The exiled bishops were with Nestorius at Constantinople in 429; and that patriarch unsuccessfully interceded for them with CAlestine, then Bishop of Rome. The conjunction was ominous. And at the ecumenical synod at Ephesus in 431, we again find the aCAlestiansa side by side with Nestorius, sharers in his condemnation.

But Pelagianism did not so die as not to leave a legacy behind it. âRemainders of Pelagianismâ[38]38 soon showed themselves in Southern Gaul, where a body of monastic leaders attempted to find a middle ground on which they could stand, by allowing the Augustinian doctrine of assisting grace, but retaining the Pelagian conception of our self-determination to good. We first hear of them in 428, through letters from two laymen, Prosper and Hilary, to Augustin, as men who accepted original sin and the necessity of grace, but asserted that men began their turning to God, and God helped their beginning. They taught[39]39 that all men are sinners, and that they derive their sin from Adam; that they can by no means save themselves, but need Godâs assisting grace; and that this grace is gratuitous in the sense that men cannot really deserve it, and yet that it is not irresistible, nor given always without the occasion of its gift having been determined by menâs attitude towards God; so that, though not given on account of the merits of men, it is given according to those merits, actual or foreseen. The leader of this new movement was John Cassian, a pupil of Chrysostom (to whom he attributed all that was good in his life and will), and the fountain-head of Gallic monasticism; and its chief champion at a somewhat later day was Faustus of Rhegium (Riez).

The Augustinian opposition was at first led by the vigorous controversialist, Prosper of Aguitaine, and, in the next century, by the wise, moderate, and good CA<sup>+</sup>/sarius of Arles, who brought the contest to a conclusion in the victory of a softened Augustinianism. Already in 431 a letter was obtained from Pope CÅlestine, designed to close the controversy in favor of Augustinianism, and in 496 Pope Gelasius condemned the writings of Faustus in the first index of forbidden books; while, near the end of the first guarter of the sixth century, Pope Hormisdas was appealed to for a renewed condemnation. The end was now in sight. The famous second Synod of Orange met under the presidency of CA sarius at that ancient town on the 3d of July, 529, and drew up a series of moderate articles which received the ratification of Boniface II. in the following year. In these articles there is affirmed an anxiously guarded Augustinianism, a somewhat weakened Augustinianism, but yet a distinctive Augustinianism; and, so far as a formal condemnation could reach, semi-Pelagianism was suppressed by them in the whole Western Church. But councils and popes can only decree; and Cassian and Vincent and Faustus, despite Cæsarius and Boniface and Gregory, retained an influence among their countrymen which never died away.

## III. Augustinâs Part in the Controversy.

Both by nature and by grace, Augustin was formed to be the champion of truth in this controversy. Of a naturally philosophical temperament, he saw into the springs of life with a vividness of mental perception to which most men are strangers; and his own experiences in his long life of resistance to, and then of yielding to, the drawings of Godâs grace, gave him a clear apprehension of the great evangelic principle that God seeks men, not men God, such as no sophistry could cloud. However much his philosophy or theology might undergo change in other particulars, there was one conviction too deeply imprinted upon his heart ever to fade or alter,âthe conviction of the ineffableness of Godâs grace. Grace,âmanâs absolute dependence on God as the source of all good,âthis was the common, nay, the formative element, in all stages of his doctrinal development, which was marked only by the ever growing consistency with which he built his theology around this

central principle. Already in 397, athe year after he became bishop, awe find him enunciating with admirable clearness all the essential elements of his teaching, as he afterwards opposed them to Pelagius.[40]40 It was inevitable, therefore, that although he was rejoiced when he heard, some years later, of the zealous labours of this pious monk in Rome towards stemming the tide of luxury and sin, and esteemed him for his devout life, and loved him for his Christian activity, he yet was deeply troubled when subsequent rumours reached him that he was adisputing against the grace of God. a He tells us over and over again, that this was a thing no pious heart could endure; and we perceive that, from this moment, Augustin was only biding his time, and awaiting a fitting opportunity to join issue with the denier of the Holy of holies of his whole, I will not say theology merely, but life. âAlthough I was grieved by this,â he says, âand it was told me by men whom I believed. I vet desired to have something of such sort from his own lips or in some book of his, so that, if I began to refute it, he would not be able to deny it.â[41]41 Thus he actually excuses himself for not entering into the controversy earlier. When Pelagius came to Africa, then, it was almost as if he had deliberately sought his fate. But circumstances secured a lull before the storm. He visited Hippo; but Augustin was absent, although he did not fail to inform himself on his return that Pelagius while there had not been heard to say aanything at all of this kind a The controversy against the Donatists was now occupying all the energies of the African Church, and Augustin himself was a ruling spirit in the great conference now holding at Carthage with them. While there, he was so immersed in this business, that, although he once or twice saw the face of Pelagius, he had no conversation with him; and although his ears were wounded by a casual remark which he heard, to the effect âthat infants were not baptized for remission of sins, but for consecration to Christ, a he allowed himself to pass over the matter, abecause there was no opportunity to contradict it, and those who said it were not such men as could cause him solicitude for their influence.â[42]42

It appears from these facts, given us by himself, that Augustin was not only ready for, but was looking for, the coming controversy. It can scarcely have been a surprise to him when Paulinus accused CÅlestius (412); and, although he was not a member of the council which condemned him, it was inevitable that he should at once take the leading part in the consequent controversy. CAlestius and his friends did not silently submit to the judgment that had been passed upon their teaching: they could not openly propagate their heresy, but they were diligent in spreading their plaints privately and by subterraneous whispers among the people [43]43 This was met by the Catholics in public sermons and familiar colloquies held everywhere. But this wise rule was observed, ato contend against the erroneous teachings, but to keep silence as to the teachers, that so (as Augustin explains[44]44) athe men might rather be brought to see and acknowledge their error through fear of ecclesiastical judgment than be punished by the actual judgment.â Augustin was abundant in these oral labours; and many of his sermons directed against Pelagian error have come down to us, although it is often impossible to be sure as to their date. For one of them (170) he took his text from Phil. iii.

6â16, âas touching the righteousness which is by the law blameless; howbeit what things were gain to me, those have I counted loss for Christ.â He begins by asking how the apostle could count his blameless conversation according to the righteousness which is from the law as dung and loss, and then proceeds to explain the purpose for which the law was given, our state by nature and under law, and the kind of blamelessness that the law could produce, ending by showing that man can have no righteousness except from God, and no perfect righteousness except in heaven. Three others (174, 175, 176) had as their text 1 Tim. i. 15, 16, and developed its teaching, that the universal sin of the world and its helplessness in sin constituted the necessity of the incarnation; and especially that the necessity of Christâs grace for salvation was just as great for infants as for adults. Much is very forcibly said in these sermons which was afterwards incorporated in his treatises. aThere was no reason, a he insists, afor the coming of Christ the Lord except to save sinners. Take away diseases, take away wounds, and there is no reason for medicine. If the great Physician came from heaven, a great sick man was lying ill through the whole world. That sick man is the human raceâ (175, 1). âHe who says, âl am not a sinner,â or âl was not,â is ungrateful to the Saviour. No one of men in that mass of mortals which flows down from Adam, no one at all of men is not sick: no one is healed without the grace of Christ. Why do you ask whether infants are sick from Adam? For they, too, are brought to the church; and, if they cannot run thither on their own feet, they run on the feet of others that they may be healed. Mother Church accommodates othersâ feet to them so that they may come, othersâ heart so that they may believe, othersâ tongue so that they may confess; and, since they are sick by anotherâs sin, so when they are healed they are saved by anotherâs confession in their behalf. Let, then, no one buzz strange doctrines to you. This the Church has always had, has always held; this she has received from the faith of the elders; this she will perseveringly guard until the end. Since the whole have no need of a physician, but only the sick, what need, then, has the infant of Christ, if he is not sick? If he is well, why does he seek the physician through those who love him? If, when infants are brought, they are said to have no sin of inheritance (peccatum propaginis) at all, and yet come to Christ, why is it not said in the church to those that bring them, atake these innocents hence: the physician is not needed by the well, but by the sick; Christ came not to call the just, but sinnersa? It never has been said, and it never will be said. Let each one therefore, brethren, speak for him who cannot speak for himself. It is much the custom to intrust the inheritance of orphans to the bishops; how much more the grace of infants! The bishop protects the orphan lest he should be oppressed by strangers, his parents being dead. Let him cry out more for the infant who, he fears, will be slain by his parents. Who comes to Christ has something in him to be healed; and he who has not, has no reason for seeking the physician. Let parents choose one of two things: let them either confess that there is sin to be healed in their infants, or let them cease bringing them to the physician. This is nothing else than to wish to bring a well person to the physician. Why do you bring him? To be baptized. Whom? The infant. To whom do you bring him? To Christ. To Him, of course, who came into the world? Certainly, he says. Why did He come into the world? To save

sinners. Then he whom you bring has in him that which needs saving?â[45]45 So again: âHe who says that the age of infancy does not need Jesusâ salvation, says nothing else than that the Lord Christ is not Jesus to faithful infants; i.e., to infants baptized in Christ. For what is Jesus? Jesus means saviour. He is not Jesus to those whom He does not save, who do not need to be saved. Now, if your hearts can bear that Christ is not Jesus to any of the baptized, I do not know how you can be acknowledged to have sound faith. They are infants, but they are made members of Him. They are infants, but they receive His sacraments. They are infants, but they become partakers of His table, so that they may have life.â[46]46 The preveniency of grace is explicitly asserted in these sermons. In one he says, aZaccheus was seen, and saw; but unless he had been seen, he would not have seen. For awhom He predestinated, them also He called. a ln order that we may see, we are seen; that we may love, we are loved. aMy God, may His pity prevent me!ââ[47]47 And in another, at more length: âHis calling has preceded you, so that you may have a good will. Cry out, âMy God, let Thy mercy prevent meâ (Ps. Iviii. 11). That you may be, that you may feel, that you may hear, that you may consent, His mercy prevents you. It prevents you in all things; and do you too prevent His judgment in something. In what, do you say? In what? In confessing that you have all these things from God, whatever you have of good; and from yourself whatever you have of evila (176, 5). aWe owe therefore to Him that we are, that we are alive, that we understand: that we are men, that we live well, that we understand aright, we owe to Him. Nothing is ours except the sin that we have. For what have we that we did not receive?â (1 Cor. ix. 7) (176, 6).

It was not long, however, before the controversy was driven out of the region of sermons into that of regular treatises. The occasion for Augustinas first appearance in a written document bearing on the controversy, was given by certain questions which were sent to him for answer by athe tribune and notarya Marcellinus, with whom he had cemented his intimacy at Carthage, the previous year, when this notable official was presiding, by the emperoras orders, over the great conference of the catholics and Donatists. The mere fact that Marcellinus, still at Carthage, where CAlestius had been brought to trial, wrote to Augustin at Hippo for written answers to important auestions connected with the Pelagian heresy, speaks volumes for the prominent position he had already assumed in the controversy. The questions that were sent, concerned the connection of death with sin, the transmission of sin, the possibility of a sinless life, and especially infantsâ need of baptism.[48]48 Augustin was immersed in abundant labours when they reached him: [49]49 but he could not resist this appeal, and that the less as the Pelagian controversy had already grown to a place of the first importance in his eyes. The result was his treatise, On the Merits and Remission of Sins and on the Baptism of Infants, consisting of two books, and written in 412. The first book of this work is an argument for original sin, drawn from the universal reign of death in the world (2â8), from the teaching of Rom. v. 12a21 (9a20), and chiefly from the baptism of infants (21â70).[50]50 It opens by exploding the Pelagian contention that death is of nature, and Adam would have died even had he not sinned, by showing that the penalty threatened to Adam included physical death

(Gen. iii. 19), and that it is due to him that we all die (Rom. viii. 10, 11; 1 Cor. xv. 21) (2â8). Then the Pelagian assertion that we are injured in Adamâs sin only by its bad example, which we imitate, not by any propagation from it, is tested by an exposition of Rom. v. 12 sq. (9a20). And then the main subject of the book is reached, and the writer sharply presses the Pelagians with the universal and primeval fact of the baptism of infants, as a proof of original sin (21â70). He tracks out all their subterfuges, ashowing the absurdity of the assertions that infants are baptized for the remission of sins that they have themselves committed since birth (22), or in order to obtain a higher stage of salvation (23â28), or because of sin committed in some previous state of existence (31â33). Then turning to the positive side, he shows at length that the Scriptures teach that Christ came to save sinners, that baptism is for the remission of sins, and that all that partake of it are confessedly sinners (34 sq.); then he points out that John ii. 7, 8, on which the Pelagians relied, cannot be held to distinguish between ordinary salvation and a higher form, under the name of athe kingdom of Goda (58 sq.); and he closes by showing that the very manner in which baptism was administered, with its exorcism and exsufflation, implied the infant to be a sinner (63), and by suggesting that the peculiar helplessness of infancy, so different not only from the earliest age of Adam, but also from that of many young animals, may possibly be itself penal (64â69). The second book treats, with similar fulness, the question of the perfection of human righteousness in this life. After an exordium which speaks of the will and its limitations, and of the need of Godâs assisting grace (1â6), the writer raises four questions. First, whether it may be said to be possible, by Godâs grace, for a man to attain a condition of entire sinlessness in this life (7). This he answers in the affirmative. Secondly, he asks, whether any one has ever done this, or may ever be expected to do it, and answers in the negative on the testimony of Scripture (8a25). Thirdly, he asks why not, and replies briefly because men are unwilling, explaining at length what he means by this (26â33). Finally, he inquires whether any man has ever existed, exists now, or will ever exist, entirely without sin, athis guestion differing from the second inasmuch as that asked after the attainment in this life of a state in which sinning should cease, while this seeks a man who has never been guilty of sin, implying the absence of original as well as of actual sin. After answering this in the negative (34). Augustin discusses anew the question of original sin. Here after expounding from the positive side (35â38) the condition of man in paradise, the nature of his probation, and of the fall and its effects both on him and his posterity, and the kind of redemption that has been provided in the incarnation, he proceeds to answer certain cavils (39 sg.), such as, âWhy should children of baptized people need baptism?âââHow can a sin be remitted to the father and held against the child?âââlf physical death comes from Adam, ought we not to be released from it on believing in Christ?ââand concludes with an exhortation to hold fast to the exact truth, turning neither to the right nor left, aneither saying that we have no sin, nor surrendering ourselves to our sin (57 sq.).

After these books were completed, Augustin came into possession of Pelagiusâ Commentary on Paulâs Epistles, which was written while he

was living in Rome (before 410), and found it to contain some arguments that he had not treated, asuch arguments, he tells us, as he had not imagined could be held by any one.[51]51 Unwilling to re-open his finished argument, he now began a long supplementary letter to Marcellinus, which he intended to serve as a third and concluding book to his work. He was some time in completing this letter. He had asked to have the former two books returned to him; and it is a curious indication of his overworked state of mind, that he forgot what he wanted with them: [52]52 he visited Carthage while the letter was in hand, and saw Marcellinus personally; and even after his return to Hippo, it dragged along, amid many distractions, slowly towards completion.[53]53 Meanwhile, a long letter was written to Honoratus, in which a section on the grace of the New Testament was incorporated. At length the promised supplement was completed. It was professedly a criticism of Pelagiusâ Commentary, and therefore naturally mentioned his name; but Augustin even goes out of his way to speak as highly of his opponent as he can, [54] 54 although it is apparent that his esteem is not very high for his strength of mind, and is even less high for the moral guality that led to his odd, oblique way of expressing his opinions. There is even a half sarcasm in the way he speaks of Pelagiusâ care and circumspection, which was certainly justified by the event. The letter opens by stating and criticising in a very acute and telling dialectic, the new arguments of Pelagius, which were such as the following: alf Adamas sin injured even those who do not sin, Christâs righteousness ought likewise to profit even those who do not believeâ (2â4); aNo man can transmit what he has not; and hence, if baptism cleanses from sin, the children of baptized parents ought to be free from sin; a aGod remits one as own sins, and can scarcely, therefore, impute anotheras to us; and if the soul is created, it would certainly be unjust to impute Adamâs alien sin to itâ (5). The stress of the letter, however, is laid upon two contentions, a1. That whatever else may be ambiguous in the Scriptures, they are perfectly clear that no man can have eternal life except in Christ, who came to call sinners to repentance (7); and 2. That original sin in infants has always been, in the Church, one of the fixed facts, to be used as a basis of argument, in order to reach the truth in other matters, and has never itself been called in question before (10a14). At this point, the writer returns to the second and third of the new arguments of Pelagius mentioned above, and discusses them more fully (15â20). closing with a recapitulation of the three great points that had been raised; viz., that both death and sin are derived from Adamas sin by all his posterity; that infants need salvation, and hence baptism; and that no man ever attains in this life such a state of holiness that he cannot truly pray, âForgive us our trespasses.â

Augustin was now to learn that one service often entails another. Marcellinus wrote to say that he was puzzled by what had been said in the second book of this work, as to the possibility of manâs attaining to sinlessness in this life, while yet it was asserted that no man ever had attained, or ever would attain, it. How, he asked, can that be said to be possible which is, and which will remain, unexampled? In reply, Augustin wrote, during this same year (412), and sent to his noble friend, another work, which he calls On the Spirit and the Letter, from the prominence which he gives in it to the words of 2

Cor. iii. 6.[55]55 He did not content himself with a simple, direct answer to Marcellinusâ question, but goes at length into a profound disguisition into the roots of the doctrine, and thus gives us, not a mere explanation of a former contention, but a new treatise on a new subject, athe absolute necessity of the grace of God for any good living. He begins by explaining to Marcellinus that he has affirmed the possibility while denying the actuality of a sinless life, on the ground that all things are possible to God, aeven the passage of a camel through the eve of a needle, which nevertheless has never occurred (1, 2). For, in speaking of manas perfection, we are speaking really of a work of God, and one which is none the less His work because it is wrought through the instrumentality of man, and in the use of his free will. The Scriptures, indeed, teach that no man lives without sin, but this is only the proclamation of a matter of fact; and although it is thus contrary to fact and Scripture to assert that men may be found that live sinlessly, yet such an assertion would not be fatal heresy. What is unbearable, is that men should assert it to be possible for man, unaided by God, to attain this perfection. This is to speak against the grace of God: it is to put in manas power what is only possible to the almighty grace of God (3, 4). No doubt, even these men do not, in so many words, exclude the aid of grace in perfecting human life, athey affirm Godas help; but they make it consist in His gift to man of a perfectly free will, and in His addition to this of commandments and teachings which make known to him what he is to seek and what to avoid, and so enable him to direct his free will to what is good. What, however, does such a agracea amount to? (5). Man needs something more than to know the right way: he needs to love it, or he will not walk in it; and all mere teaching, which can do nothing more than bring us knowledge of what we ought to do, is but the letter that killeth. What we need is some inward, Spirit-given aid to the keeping of what by the law we know ought to be kept. Mere knowledge slays: while to lead a holy life is the gift of God, anot only because He has given us will, nor only because He has taught us the right way, but because by the Holy Spirit He sheds love abroad in the hearts of all those whom He has predestinated, and will call and justify and glorify (Rom. viii. 29, 30). To prove this, he states to be the object of the present treatise; and after investigating the meaning of 2 Cor. iii. 6, and showing that athe lettera there means the law as a system of precepts, which reveals sin rather than takes it away, points out the way rather than gives strength to walk in it, and therefore slays the soul by shutting it up under sin, awhile athe Spiritâ is Godâs Holy Ghost who is shed abroad in our hearts to give us strength to walk aright, and undertakes to prove this position from the teachings of the Epistle to the Romans at large. This contention, it will be seen, cut at the very roots of Pelagianism: if all mere teaching slays the soul, as Paul asserts, then all that what they called âgraceâ could, when alone, do, was to destroy; and the upshot of ahelpinga man by simply giving him free will, and pointing out the way to him, would be the loss of the whole race. Not that the law is sin: Augustin teaches that it is holy and good, and Godâs instrument in salvation. Not that free will is done away: it is by free will that men are led into holiness. But the purpose of the law (he teaches) is to make men so feel their lost estate as to seek the help by which alone they may be saved; and will is only then liberated to do good

when grace has made it free. aWhat the law of works enjoins by menace, that the law of faith secures by faith. What the law of works does is to say, aDo what I command thee; a but by the law of faith we say to God, âGive me what thou commandest.ââ(22).[56]56 In the midst of this argument. Augustin is led to discuss the differentiating characteristics of the Old and New Testaments; and he expounds at length (33â42) the passage in Jer. xxxi. 31â34, showing that, in the prophetas view, the difference between the two covenants is that in the Old, the law is an external thing written on stones; while in the New, it is written internally on the heart, so that men now wish to do what the law prescribes. This writing on the heart is nothing else, he explains, than the shedding abroad by the Holy Spirit of love in our hearts, so that we love Godâs will, and therefore freely do it. Towards the end of the treatise (50â61), he treats in an absorbingly interesting way of the mutual relations of free will, faith, and grace, contending that all co-exist without the voiding of any. It is by free will that we believe; but it is only as grace moves us, that we are able to use our free will for believing; and it is only after we are thus led by grace to believe, that we obtain all other goods. In prosecuting this analysis, Augustin is led to distinguish very sharply between the faculty and use of free will (58), as well as between ability and volition (53). Faith is an act of the man himself; but only as he is given the power from on high to will to believe, will he believe (57, 60).

By this work, Augustin completed, in his treatment of Pelagianism, the circle of that triad of doctrines which he himself looked upon as most endangered by this heresy,[57]57âoriginal sin, the imperfection of human righteousness, the necessity of grace. In his mind, the last was the kernel of the whole controversy; and this was a subject which he could never approach without some heightened fervour. This accounts for the great attractiveness of the present work,âthrough the whole fabric of which runs the golden thread of the praise of Godâs ineffable grace. In Canon Brightâs opinion, it âperhaps, next to the âConfessions,â tells us most of the thoughts of that ârich, profound, and affectionate mindâ on the soulâs relations to its God.â[58]58

After the publication of these treatises, the controversy certainly did not lull: but it relapsed for nearly three years again, into less public courses. Meanwhile, Augustin was busy, among other most distracting cares (Ep. 145, 1), still defending the grace of God, by letters and sermons. A fair illustration of his state of mind at this time, may be obtained from his letter to Anastasius (145), which assuredly must have been written soon after the treatise On the Spirit and the Letter. Throughout this letter, there are adumbrations of the same train of thought that filled this treatise; and there is one passage which may almost be taken as a summary of it. Augustin is so weary of the vexatious cares that filled his life, that he is ready to long for the everlasting rest, and yet bewails the weakness which allowed the sweetness of external things still to insinuate itself into his heart. Victory over, and emancipation from, this, he asserts, acannot, without Godas grace, be achieved by the human will, which is by no means to be called free so long as it is subject to enslaving lusts.â Then he proceeds: âThe law, therefore, by teaching and

commanding what cannot be fulfilled without grace, demonstrates to man his weakness, in order that the weakness, thus proved, may resort to the Saviour, by whose healing the will may be able to do what it found impossible in its weakness. So, then, the law brings us to faith, faith obtains the Spirit in fuller measure, the Spirit sheds love abroad in us, and love fulfils the law. For this reason the law is called a schoolmaster, under whose threatening and severity awhosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be delivered. a But ahow shall they call on Him in whom they have not believed? a Wherefore, that the letter without the Spirit may not kill, the life-giving Spirit is given to those that believe and call upon Him; but the love of God is poured out into our hearts by the Holy Spirit who is given to us, so that the words of the same apostle, aLove is the fulfilling of the law, a may be realized. Thus the law is good to him that uses it lawfully; and he uses it lawfully, who, understanding wherefore it was given, betakes himself, under the pressure of its threatening, to liberating grace. Whoever ungratefully despises this grace by which the ungodly is justified, and trusts in his own strength for fulfilling the law, being ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and going about to establish his own righteousness, is not submitting himself to the righteousness of God; and therefore the law is made to him not a help to pardon, but the bond of guilt; not because the law is evil, but because asin, as it is written, aworks death to such persons by that which is good â For by the commandment, he sins more grievously, who, by the commandment, knows how evil are the sins which he commits.â Although Augustin states clearly that this letter is written against those awho arrogate too much to the human will, imagining that, the law being given, the will is, of its own strength, sufficient to fulfil the law, though not assisted by any grace imparted by the Holy Ghost, in addition to instruction in the law, ââhe refrains still from mentioning the names of the authors of this teaching, evidently out of a lingering tenderness in his treatment of them. This will help us to explain the courtesy of a note which he sent to Pelagius himself at about this time, in reply to a letter he had received some time before from him; of which Pelagius afterwards (at the Synod of Diospolis) made, to say the least of it, an ungenerous use. This note, [59]59 Augustin tells us, was written with âtempered praisesâ (wherefrom we see his lessening respect for the man), and so as to admonish Pelagius to think rightly concerning grace, aso far as could be done without raising the dregs of the controversy in a formal note. This he accomplished by praying from the Lord for him, those good things by which he might be good forever, and might live eternally with Him who is eternal; and by asking his prayers in return, that he, too, might be made by the Lord such as he seemed to suppose he already was. How Augustin could really intend these prayers to be understood as an admonition to Pelagius to look to God for what he was seeking to work out for himself, is fully illustrated by the closing words of this almost contemporary letter to Anastasius: âPray, therefore, for us, â he writes, âthat we may be righteous, âan attainment wholly beyond a manâs reach, unless he know righteousness, and be willing to practise it, but one which is immediately realized when he is perfectly willing; but this cannot be in him unless he is healed by the grace of the Spirit, and aided to be able.â The point had already been made in the controversy, that, by

the Pelagian doctrine, so much power was attributed to the human will, that no one ought to pray, âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â

If he was anxious to avoid personal controversy with Pelagius himself in the hope that he might even yet be reclaimed, Augustin was equally anxious to teach the truth on all possible occasions. Pelagius had been intimate, when at Rome, with the pious Paulinus, bishop of Nola; and it was understood that there was some tendency at Nola to follow the new teachings. It was, perhaps, as late as 414, when Augustin made reply in a long letter, [60]60 to a request of Paulinusa for an exposition of certain difficult Scriptures, which had been sent him about 410.[61]61 Among them was Rom. xi. 28; and, in explaining it, Augustin did not withhold a tolerably complete account of his doctrine of predestination, involving the essence of his whole teaching as to grace: aFor when he had said, according to the election they are beloved for their fatherâs sake,â he added, âfor the gifts and calling of God are without repentance. a You see that those are certainly meant who belong to the number of the predestinated.alaMany indeed are called, but few chosen; a but those who are elect, these are called aaccording to His purpose; and it is beyond doubt that in them Godas foreknowledge cannot be deceived. These He foreknew and predestinated to be conformed to the image of His Son, in order that He might be the first born among many brethren. But awhom He predestinated, them He also called.â This calling is âaccording to His purpose,â this calling is âwithout repentance,ââetc., quoting Rom. v. 28â31. Then continuing, he says, aThose are not in this vocation, who do not persevere unto the end in the faith that worketh by love, although they walk in it a little while â But the reason why some belong to it, and some do not, can easily be hidden, but cannot be unjust. For is there injustice with God? God forbid! For this belongs to those high judgments which, so to say, terrified the wondering apostle to look upon.â

Among the most remarkable of the controversial sermons that were preached about this time, especial mention is due to two that were delivered at Carthage, midsummer of 413. The former of these[62]62 was preached on the festival of John the Baptistâs birth (June 24), and naturally took the forerunner for its subject. The nativity of John suggesting the nativity of Christ, the preacher spoke of the marvel of the incarnation. He who was in the beginning, and was the Word of God, and was Himself God, and who made all things, and in whom was life, even this one acame to us. To whom? To the worthy? Nay, but to the unworthy! For Christ died for the ungodly, and for the unworthy, though He was worthy. We indeed were unworthy whom He pitied; but He was worthy who pitied us, to whom we say, aFor Thy pityas sake, Lord, free us!â Not for the sake of our preceding merits, but âfor Thy pityâs sake, Lord, free us;â and âfor Thy nameâs sake be propitious to our sins, a not for our meritas sake. a For the merit of sins is, of course, not reward, but punishment.â He then dwelt upon the necessity of the incarnation, and the necessity of a mediator between God and âthe whole mass of the human race alienated from Him by Adam.â Then quoting 1 Cor. iv. 7, he asserts that it is not our varying merits, but Godâs grace alone, that makes us differ, and that we are all alike, great and small, old and young, saved by one and the same

Saviour. aWhat then, some one says, a he continues, aeven the infant needs a liberator? Certainly he needs one. And the witness to it is the mother that faithfully runs to church with the child to be baptized. The witness is Mother Church herself, who receives the child for washing, and either for dismissing him [from this life] freed, or nurturing him in piety.allast of all, the tears of his own misery are witness in the child himself.âlRecognize the misery, extend the help. Let all put on bowels of mercy. By as much as they cannot speak for themselves, by so much more pityingly let us speak for the little ones,ââand then follows a passage calling on the Church to take the grace of infants in their charge as orphans committed to their care, which is in substance repeated from a former sermon.[63]63 The speaker proceeded to quote Matt. i. 21, and apply it. If Jesus came to save from sins, and infants are brought to Him, it is to confess that they, too, are sinners. Then, shall they be withheld from baptism? âCertainly, if the child could speak for himself, he would repel the voice of opposition, and cry out, âGive me Christâs life! In Adam I died: give me Christâs life; in whose sight I am not clean, even if I am an infant whose life has been but one day in the earth.ââ âNo way can be found, adds the preacher, a for coming into the life of this world except by Adam; no way can be found of escaping punishment in the next world except by Christ. Why do you shut up the one door?â Even John the Baptist himself was born in sin; and absolutely no one can be found who was born apart from sin, until you find one who was born apart from Adam. ââBy one man sin entered into the world, and by sin, death; and so it passed through upon all men.â If these were my words, could this sentiment be expressed more expressly, more clearly, more fully?â

Three days afterwards, [64]64 on the invitation of the Bishop of Carthage, Augustin preached a sermon professedly directed against the Pelagians, [65] 65 which takes up the threads hinted at in the former discourse, and develops a full polemic with reference to the baptism of infants. He began, formally enough, with the determination of the question in dispute. The Pelagians concede that infants should be baptized. The only question is, for what are they baptized? We say that they would not otherwise have salvation and eternal life; but they say it is not for salvation, not for eternal life, but for the kingdom of God.âlâThe child, they say, although not baptized, by the desert of his innocence, in that he has no sin at all, either actual or original, either from himself or contracted from Adam, necessarily has salvation and eternal life even if not baptized; but is to be baptized for this reason, athat he may enter into the kingdom of God, i.e., into the kingdom of heaven.â He then shows that there is no eternal life outside the kingdom of heaven, no middle place between the right and left hand of the judge at the last day, and that, therefore, to exclude one from the kingdom of God is to consign him to the pains of eternal fire; while, on the other side, no one ascends into heaven unless he has been made a member of Christ, and this can only be by faith, awhich, in an infantas case, is professed by another in his stead. He then treats, at length, some of the puzzling questions with which the Pelagians were wont to try the catholics; and then breaking off suddenly, he took a volume in his hands. all ask you, â he said, âto bear with me a little: I will read somewhat. It is

St. Cyprian whom I hold in my hand, the ancient bishop of this see. What he thought of the baptism of infants, anay, what he has shown that the Church always thought, alearn in brief. For it is not enough for them to dispute and argue, I know not what impious novelties: they even try to charge us with asserting something novel. It is on this account that I read here St. Cyprian, in order that you may perceive that the orthodox understanding and catholic sense reside in the words which I have been just now speaking to you. He was asked whether an infant ought to be baptized before he was eight days old, seeing that by the ancient law no infant was allowed to be circumcised unless he was eight days old. A question arose from this as to the day of baptism, afor concerning the origin of sin there was no question; and therefore from this thing of which there was no question, that question that had arisen was settled.â And then he read to them the passage out of Cyprianâs letter to Fidus, which declared that he, and all the council with him, unanimously thought that infants should be baptized at the earliest possible age, lest they should die in their inherited sin, and so pass into eternal punishment.[66]66 The sermon closed with a tender warning to the teachers of these strange doctrines: he might call them heretics with truth, but he will not; let the Church seek still their salvation, and not mourn them as dead; let them be exhorted as friends, not striven with as enemies. aThey disparage us, a he says, a we will bear it; let them not disparage the rule [of faith], let them not disparage the truth; let them not contradict the Church, which labours every day for the remission of infantsâ original sin. This thing is settled. The errant disputer may be borne with in other questions that have not been thoroughly canvassed, that are not yet settled by the full authority of the Church, atheir error should be borne with: it ought not to extend so far, that they endeavour to shake even the very foundation of the Church!â He hints that although the patience hitherto exhibited towards them is aperhaps not blameworthy, a yet patience may cease to be a virtue, and become culpable negligence: in the mean time, however, he begs that the catholics should continue amicable, fraternal, placid, loving, long suffering.

Augustin himself gives us a view of the progress of the controversy at this time in a letter written in 414.[67]67 The Pelagians had everywhere scattered the seeds of their new error; and although some. by his ministry and that of his brother workers, had, aby Godas mercy, a been cured of their pest, yet they still existed in Africa, especially about Carthage, and were everywhere propagating their opinions in subterraneous whispers, for fear of the judgment of the Church. Wherever they were not refuted, they were seducing others to their following: and they were so spread abroad that he did not know where they would break out next. Nevertheless, he was still unwilling to brand them as heretics, and was more desirous of healing them as sick members of the Church than of cutting them off finally as too diseased for cure. Jerome also tells us that the poison was spreading in both the East and the West, and mentions particularly as seats where it showed itself the islands of Rhodes and Sicily. Of Rhodes we know nothing further; but from Sicily an appeal came to Augustin in 414 from one Hilary, [68]68 setting forth that there were certain Christians about Syracuse who taught strange doctrines, and beseeching Augustin to help him in dealing with them. The doctrines were enumerated as follows: a They say (1) that man can be without sin, (2) and can easily keep the commandments of God if he will; (3) that an unbaptized infant, if he is cut off by death, cannot justly perish, since he is born without sin; (4) that a rich man that remains in his riches cannot enter the kingdom of God, except he sell all that he has; â¦(5) that we ought not to swear at all; â (6) and, apparently, that the Church is to be in this world without spot or blemish. Augustin suspected that these Sicilian disturbances were in some way the work of CÅlestius, and therefore in his answer[69]69 informs his correspondent of what had been done at the Synod of Carthage (412) against him. The long letter that he sent back follows the inquiries in the order they were put by Hilary. To the first he replies, in substance, as he had treated the same matter in the second book of the treatise. On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, that it was opposed to Scripture, but was less a heresy than the wholly unbearable opinion that this state of sinlessness could be attained without Godâs help. âBut when they say that free will suffices to man for fulfilling the precepts of the Lord, even though unaided to good works by Godas grace and the gift of the Holy Spirit, it is to be altogether anathematized and detested with all execrations. For those who assert this are inwardly alien from Godâs grace, because being ignorant of Godâs righteousness, like the Jews of whom the apostle speaks, and wishing to establish their own, they are not subject to Godas righteousness, since there is no fulfilment of the law except love; and of course the love of God is shed abroad in our hearts, not by ourselves, nor by the force of our own will, but by the Holy Ghost who is given to us.â Dealing next with the second point, he drifts into the matter he had more fully developed in his work On the Spirit and the Letter. aFree will avails for Godâs works, â he says, âif it be divinely aided, and this comes by humble seeking and doing; but when deserted by divine aid, no matter how excellent may be its knowledge of the law, it will by no means possess solidity of righteousness, but only the inflation of ungodly pride and deadly arrogance. This is taught us by that same Lordâs Prayer; for it would be an empty thing for us to ask God âLead us not into temptation, a if the matter was so placed in our power that we would avail for fulfilling it without any aid from Him. For this free will is free in proportion as it is sound, but it is sound in proportion as it is subject to divine pity and grace. For it faithfully prays, saying, aDirect my ways according to Thy word, and let no iniquity reign over me.â For how is that free over which iniquity reigns? But see who it is that is invoked by it, in order that it may not reign over it. For it says not, aDirect my ways according to free will because no iniquity shall rule over me.â but aDirect my ways according to Thy word, and let no iniquity rule over me.â It is a prayer, not a promise; it is a confession, not a profession; it is a wish for full freedom, not a boast of personal power. For it is not every one awho confides in his own power, a but âevery one who calls on the name of God, that shall be saved.â âBut how shall they call upon Him, â he says, âin whom they have not believed?â Accordingly, then, they who rightly believe, believe in order to call on Him in whom they have believed, and to avail for doing what they receive in the precepts of the law; since what the law commands, faith prays for.â âGod, therefore, commands continence, and

gives continence; He commands by the law, He gives by grace; He commands by the letter, He gives by the spirit: for the law without grace makes the transgression to abound, and the letter without the spirit kills. He commands for this reason, athat we who have endeavoured to do what He commands, and are worn out in our weakness under the law, may know how to ask for the aid of grace; and if we have been able to do any good work, that we may not be ungrateful to Him who aids us a The answer to the third point traverses the ground that was fully covered in the first book of the treatise On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, beginning by opposing the Pelagians to Paul in Rom. v. 12a19: aBut when they say that an infant, cut off by death, unbaptized, cannot perish since he is born without sin, âit is not this that the apostle says; and I think that it is better to believe the apostle than them.â The fourth and fifth questions were new in this controversy; and it is not certain that they belong properly to it. though the legalistic asceticism of the Pelagian leaders may well have given rise to a demand on all Christians to sell what they had, and give to the poor. This one of the points, Augustin treats at length, pointing out that many of the saints of old were rich, and that the Lord and His apostles always so speak that their counsels avail to the right use, not the destruction, of wealth. Christians ought so to hold their wealth that they are not held by it, and by no means prefer it to Christ. Equal good sense and mildness are shown in his treatment of the question concerning oaths, which he points out were used by the Lord and His apostles, but advises to be used as little as possible lest by the custom of frequent oaths we learn to swear lightly. The question as to the Church, he passes over as having been sufficiently treated in the course of his previous remarks.

To the number of those who had been rescued from Pelagianism by his efforts, Augustin was now to have the pleasure of adding two others, in whom he seems to have taken much delight. Timasius and James were two young men of honorable birth and liberal education, who had, by the exhortation of Pelagius, been moved to give up the hope that they had in this world, and enter upon the service of God in an ascetic life.[70]70 Naturally, they had turned to him for instruction, and had received a book to which they had given their study. They met somewhere with some of Augustinas writings, however, and were deeply affected by what he said as to grace, and now began to see that the teaching of Pelagius opposed the grace of God by which man becomes a Christian. They gave their book, therefore, to Augustin, saying that it was Pelagiusa, and asking him for Pelagiusa sake, and for the sake of the truth, to answer it. This was done, and the resulting book, On Nature and Grace, sent to the young men, who returned a letter of thanks[71]71 in which they professed their conversion from their error. In this book, too, which was written in 415, Augustin refrained from mentioning Pelagius by name, [72]72 feeling it better to spare the man while not sparing his writings. But he tells us, that, on reading the book of Pelagius to which it was an answer, it became clear to him beyond any doubt that his teaching was distinctly anti-Christian; [73]73 and when speaking of his own book privately to a friend, he allows himself to call it a considerable book against the heresy of Pelagius, which he had been constrained to write by some brethren whom he had persuaded to adopt his fatal error, denying the

grace of Christ.â[74]74 Thus his attitude towards the persons of the new teachers was becoming ever more and more strained, in despite of his full recognition of the excellent motives that might lie behind their azeal not according to knowledge. a This treatise opens with a recognition of the zeal of Pelagius, which, as it burns most ardently against those who, when reproved for sin, take refuge in censuring their nature, Augustin compares with the heathen view as expressed in Sallustâs saying, âthe human race falsely complains of its own nature.â[75]75 and which he charges with not being according to knowledge, and proposes to oppose by an equal zeal against all attempts to render the cross of Christ of none effect. He then gives a brief but excellent summary of the more important features of the catholic doctrine concerning nature and grace (2â7). Opening the work of Pelagius, which had been placed in his hands, he examines his doctrine of sin, its nature and effects. Pelagius, he points out, draws a distinction, sound enough in itself, between what is âpossibleâ and what is âactual,â but applies it unsoundly to sin, when he says that every man has the possibility of being without sin (8â9), and therefore without condemnation. Not so, says Augustin; an infant who dies unbaptized has no possibility of salvation open to him; and the man who has lived and died in a land where it was impossible for him to hear the name of Christ, has had no possibility open to him of becoming righteous by nature and free will. If this be not so, Christ is dead in vain, since all men then might have accomplished their salvation, even if Christ had never died (10). Pelagius, moreover, he shows, exhibits a tendency to deny the sinful character of all sins that are impossible to avoid, and so treats of sins of ignorance as to show that he excuses them (13a19). When he argues that no sin, because it is not a substance, can change nature, which is a substance, Augustin replies that this destroys the Saviourâs work, afor how can He save from sins if sins do not corrupt? And, again, if an act cannot injure a substance, how can abstention from food, which is a mere act, kill the body? In the same way sin is not a substance; but God is a substance, âyea, the height of substance, and only true sustenance of the reasonable creature; and the consequence of departure from Him is to the soul what refusal of food is to the body (22). To Pelagiusâ assertion that sin cannot be punished by more sin, Augustin replies that the apostle thinks differently (Rom. i. 21a31). Then putting his finger on the main point in controversy, he quotes the Scriptures as declaring the present condition of man to be that of spiritual death. aThe truth then designates as dead those whom this man declares to be unable to be damaged or corrupted by sin, abecause, for sooth, he has discovered sin to be no substance!â (25). It was by free will that man passed into this state of death; but a dead man needs something else to revive him, ahe needs nothing less than a Vivifier. But of vivifying grace, Pelagius knew nothing; and by knowing nothing of a Vivifier, he knows nothing of a Saviour; but rather by making nature of itself able to be sinless, he glorifies the Creator at the expense of the Saviour (39). Next is examined Pelagiusâ contention that many saints are enumerated in the Scriptures as having lived sinlessly in this world. While declining to discuss the question of fact as to the Virgin Mary (42), Augustin opposes to the rest the declaration of John in 1 John i. 8, as final, but still pauses to explain why the Scriptures do not mention the sins of all, and to contend that all who ever were saved

under the Old Testament or the New, were saved by the sacrificial death of Christ, and by faith in Him (40â50). Thus we are brought, as Augustin says, to the core of the question, which concerns, not the fact of sinlessness in any man, but manâs ability to be sinless. This ability Pelagius affirms of all men, and Augustin denies of all âunless they are justified by the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ and Him crucifiedâ (51). Thus, the whole discussion is about grace, which Pelagius does not admit in any true sense, but places only in the nature that God has made (52). We are next invited to attend to another distinction of Pelagiusa, in which he discriminates sharply between the nature that God has made, the crown of which is free will, and the use that man makes of this free will. The endowment of free will is a acapacity: a it is, because given by God in our making, a necessity of nature, and not in manas power to have or not have. It is the right use of it only, which man has in his power. This analysis, Pelagius illustrates at length, by appealing to the difference between the possession and use of the various bodily senses. The ability to see, for instance, he says, is a necessity of our nature; we do not make it, we cannot help having it; it is ours only to use it. Augustin criticises this presentation of the matter with great sharpness (although he is not averse to the analysis itself), ashowing the inapplicability of the illustrations used, afor, he asks, is it not possible for us to blind ourselves, and so no longer have the ability to see? and would not many a man like to control the âuseâ of his âcapacityâ to hear when a screechy saw is in the neighbourhood? (55); and as well the falsity of the contention illustrated, since Pelagius has ignored the fall, and, even were that not so, has so ignored the need of Godâs aid for all good, in any state of being, as to deny it (56). Moreover, it is altogether a fallacy, Augustin argues, to contend that men have the âabilityâ to make every use we can conceive of our faculties. We cannot wish for unhappiness; God cannot deny Himself (57); and just so, in a corrupt nature, the mere possession of a faculty of choice does not imply the ability to use that faculty for not sinning. aOf a man, indeed, who has his legs strong and sound, it may be said admissibly enough, awhether he will or not, he has the capacity of walking; a but if his legs be broken, however much he may wish, he has not the acapacity.a The nature of which our author speaks is corrupted a (57). What, then, can he mean by saving that, whether we will or not, we have the capacity of not sinning, a statement so opposite to Paulas in Rom. vii. 15? Some space is next given to an attempted rebuttal by Pelagius of the testimony of Gal. v. 17, on the ground that the aflesha there does not refer to the baptized (60â70); and then the passages are examined which Pelagius had guoted against Augustin out of earlier writers, âLactantius (71), Hilary (72), Ambrose (75), John of Constantinople (76), Xystus, a blunder of Pelagius, who quoted from a Pythagorean philosopher, mistaking him for the Roman bishop Sixtus (57), Jerome (78), and Augustin himself (80). All these writers, Augustin shows, admitted the universal sinfulness of man, and especially he himself had confessed the necessity of grace in the immediate context of the passage quoted by Pelagius. The treatise closes (82 sq.) with a noble panegyric on that love which God sheds abroad in the heart, by the Holy Ghost, and by which alone we can be made keepers of the law.

The treatise On Nature and Grace was as yet unfinished, when the over-busy[76]76 scriptorium at Hippo was invaded by another young man seeking instruction. This time it was a zealous young presbyter from the remotest part of Spain. afrom the shore of the ocean.aaPaulus Orosius by name, whose pious soul had been afflicted with grievous wounds by the Priscillianist and Origenist heresies that had broken out in his country, and who had come with eager haste to Augustin, on hearing that he could get from him the instruction which he needed for confuting them. Augustin seems to have given him his heart at once; and, feeling too little informed as to the special heresies which he wished to be prepared to controvert, persuaded him to go on to Palestine to be taught by Jerome, and gave him introductions which described him as one awho is in the bond of catholic peace a brother. in point of age a son, and in honour a fellow-presbyter, a man of quick understanding, ready speech, and burning zeal.â His departure to Palestine gave Augustin an opportunity to consult with Jerome on the one point that had been raised in the Pelagian controversy on which he had not been able to see light. The Pelagians had early argued, [77]77 that, if souls are created anew for men at their birth, it would be unjust in God to impute Adamâs sin to them. And Augustin found himself unable either to prove that souls are transmitted (traduced, as the phrase is), or to show that it would not involve God in injustice to make a soul only to make it subject to a sin committed by another. Jerome had already put himself on record as a believer in both original sin and the creation of souls at the time of birth. Augustin feared the logical consequences of this assertion, and yet was unable to refute it. He therefore seized this occasion to send a long treatise on the origin of the soul to his friend, with the request that he would consider the subject anew, and answer his doubts.[78]78 In this treatise he stated that he was fully persuaded that the soul had fallen into sin, but by no fault of God or of nature, but of its own free will; and asked when could the soul of an infant have contracted the guilt, which, unless the grace of Christ should come to its rescue by baptism, would involve it in condemnation, if God (as Jerome held, and as he was willing to hold with him, if this difficulty could be cleared up) makes each soul for each individual at the time of birth? He professed himself embarrassed on sucha supposition by the penal sufferings of infants, the pains they endured in this life, and much more the danger they are in of eternal damnation, into which they actually go unless saved by baptism. God is good, just, omnipotent: how, then, can we account for the fact that âin Adam all die, â if souls are created afresh for each birth? âlf new souls are made for men, â he affirms, âindividually at their birth, I do not see, on the one hand, that they could have any sin while yet in infancy; nor do I believe, on the other hand, that God condemns any soul which He sees to have no sin; a fand yet, whoever says that those children who depart out of this life without partaking of the sacrament of baptism, shall be made alive in Christ, certainly contradicts the apostolic declaration, and and that is not made alive in Christ must necessarily remain under the condemnation of which the apostle says that by the offence of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation.â âWherefore,â he adds to his correspondent, âif that opinion of yours does not contradict this firmly grounded article of

faith, let it be mine also; but if it does, let it no longer be yours.â[79]79 So far as obtaining light was concerned, Augustin might have spared himself the pain of this composition: Jerome simply answered[80]80 that he had no leisure to reply to the questions submitted to him. But Orosiusâ mission to Palestine was big with consequences. Once there, he became the accuser of Pelagius before John of Jerusalem, and the occasion, at least, of the trials of Pelagius in Palestine during the summer and winter of 415 which issued so disastrously, and ushered in a new phase of the conflict.

Meanwhile, however, Augustin was ignorant of what was going on in the East, and had his mind directed again to Sicily. About a year had passed since he had sent thither his long letter to Hilary. Now his conjecture that CAlestius was in some way at the bottom of the Sicilian outbreak, received confirmation from a paper which certain catholic brethren brought out of Sicily, and which was handed to Augustin by two exiled Spanish bishops, Eutropius and Paul. This paper bore the title, Definitions Ascribed to CÅlestius, and presented internal evidence, in style and thought, of being correctly so ascribed.[81]81 It consisted of three parts, in the first of which were collected a series of brief and compressed âdefinitions, â or âratiocinationsâ as Augustin calls them, in which the author tries to place the catholics in a logical dilemma, and to force them to admit that man can live in this world without sin. In the second part, he adduced certain passages of Scripture in defence of his doctrine. In the third part, he undertook to deal with the texts that had been quoted against his contention, not, however, by examining into their meaning, or seeking to explain them in the sense of his theory, but simply by matching them with others which he thought made for him. Augustin at once (about the end of 415) wrote a treatise in answer to this, which bears the title of On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness. The distribution of the matter in this work follows that of the treatise to which it is an answer. First of all (1â16), the aratiocinations are taken up one by one and briefly answered. As they all concern sin, and have for their object to prove that man cannot be accounted a sinner unless he is able, in his own power, wholly to avoid sin, athat is, to prove that a plenary natural ability is the necessary basis of responsibility, âAugustin argues per contra that man can entail a sinfulness on himself for which and for the deeds of which he remains responsible, though he is no longer able to avoid sin; thus admitting that for the race, plenary ability must stand at the root of sinfulness. Next (1722) he discusses the passages which CÅlestius had advanced in defence of his teachings, viz., (1) passages in which God commands men to be without sin, which Augustin meets by saying that the point is, whether these commands are to be fulfilled without Godas aid, in the body of this death, while absent from the Lord (17â20); and (2) passages in which God declares that His commandments are not grievous, which Augustin meets by explaining that all Godâs commandments are fulfilled only by Love, which finds nothing grievous; and that this love is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, without whom we have only fear, to which the commandments are not only grievous, but impossible. Lastly, Augustin patiently follows CÅlestius through his odd appositions of texts, a explaining carefully all that he had adduced, in an orthodox sense

(23â42). In closing, he takes up CÅlestiusâ statement, that âit is quite possible for man not to sin even in word, if God so will,â pointing out how he avoids saying âif God give him His help,â and then proceeds to distinguish carefully between the differing assertions of sinlessness that may be made. To say that any man ever lived, or will live, without needing forgiveness, is to contradict Rom. v. 12, and must imply that he does not need a Saviour, against Matt. ix. 12, 13. To say that after his sins have been forgiven, any one has ever remained without sin, contradicts 1 John i. 8 and Matt. vi. 12. Yet, if Godâs help be allowed, this contention is not so wicked as the other; and the great heresy is to deny the necessity of Godâs constant grace, for which we pray when we say, âLead us not into temptation.â

Tidings were now (416) beginning to reach Africa of what was doing in the East. There was diligently circulated everywhere, and came into Augustinâs hands, an epistle of Pelagiusâ own âfilled with vanity, â in which he boasted that fourteen bishops had approved his assertion that âman can live without sin, and easily keep the commandments if he wishes, and had thus ashut the mouth of opposition in confusion, and abroken up the whole band of wicked conspirators against him a Soon afterwards a copy of an âapologetical paper, â in which Pelagius used the authority of the Palestinian bishops against his adversaries, not altogether without disingenuousness, was sent by him to Augustin through the hands of a common acquaintance, Charus by name. It was not accompanied, however, by any letter from Pelagius; and Augustin wisely refrained from making public use of it. Towards midsummer Orosius came with more authentic information, and bearing letters from Jerome and Heros and Lazarus. It was apparently before his coming that a controversial sermon was preached, only a fragment of which has come down to us.[82]82 So far as we can learn from the extant part, its subject seems to have been the relation of prayer to Pelagianism; and what we have, opens with a striking anecdote: aWhen these two petitionsââForgive us our debts as we also forgive our debtors, â and âLead us not into temptationââare objected to the Pelagians, what do you think they reply? I was horrified, my brethren, when I heard it. I did not, indeed, hear it with my own ears; but my holy brother and fellow-bishop Urbanus, who used to be presbyter here, and now is bishop of Sicca, a when he was in Rome, and was arguing with one who held these opinions, pressed him with the weight of the Lordâs Praver. and awhat do you think he replied to him? aWe ask God, a he said, anot to lead us into temptation, lest we should suffer something that is not in our power, alest I should be thrown from my horse; lest I should break my leg; lest a robber should slay me, and the like. For these things, â he said, âare not in my power; but for overcoming the temptations of my sins, I both have ability if I wish to use it, and am not able to receive Godâs help.â[83]83 You see, brethren,â the good bishop adds, ahow malignant this heresy is: you see how it horrifies all of you. Have a care that you be not taken by it.â He then presses the general doctrine of prayer as proving that all good things come from God, whose aid is always necessary to us, and is always attainable by prayer; and closes as follows: aConsider, then, these things, my brethren, when any one comes to you and says to you, âWhat, then, are we to do if we have nothing in our power, unless God gives all things? God will not then crown us, but He will crown Himself.â

You already see that this comes from that vein: it is a vein, but it has poison in it; it is stricken by the serpent; it is not sound. For what Satan is doing to-day is seeking to cast out from the Church by the poison of heretics, just as he once cast out from Paradise by the poison of the serpent. Let no one tell you that this one was acquitted by the bishops: there was an acquittal, but it was his confession, so to speak, his amendment, that was acquitted. For what he said before the bishops seemed catholic; but what he wrote in his books, the bishops who pronounced the acquittal were ignorant of. And perchance he was really convinced and amended. For we ought not to despair of the man who perchance preferred to be united to the catholic faith, and fled to its grace and aid. Perchance this was what happened. But, in any event, it was not the heresy that was acquitted, but the man who denied the heresy.â[84]84

The coming of Orosius must have dispelled any lingering hope that the meaning of the councilâs finding was that Pelagius had really recanted. Councils were immediately assembled at Carthage and Mileve, and the documents which Orosius had brought were read before them. We know nothing of their proceedings except what we can gather from the letters which they sent[85]85 to Innocent at Rome, seeking his aid in their condemnation of the heresy now so nearly approved in Palestine. To these two official letters, Augustin, in company with four other bishops, added a third private letter, [86]86 in which they took care that Innocent should be informed on all the points necessary to his decision. This important letter begins almost abruptly with a characterization of Pelagianism as inimical to the grace of God, and has grace for its subject throughout. It accounts for the action of the Palestinian synod, as growing out of a misunderstanding of Pelagiusâ words, in which he seemed to acknowledge grace, which these catholic bishops understood naturally to mean that grace of which they read in the Scriptures, and which they were accustomed to preach to their people, athe grace by which we are justified from iniquity, and saved from weakness; while he meant nothing more than that by which we are given free will at our creation. aFor if these bishops had understood that he meant only that grace which we have in common with the ungodly and with all, along with whom we are men, while he denied that by which we are Christians and the sons of God, they not only could not have patiently listened to him. athey could not even have borne him before their eyes.â The letter then proceeds to point out the difference between grace and natural gifts, and between grace and the law, and to trace out Pelagiusâ meaning when he speaks of grace, and when he contends that man can be sinless without any really inward aid. It suggests that Pelagius be sent for, and thoroughly examined by Innocent, or that he should be examined by letter or in his writings; and that he be not cleared until he unequivocally confessed the grace of God in the catholic sense, and anathematized the false teachings in the books attributed to him. The book of Pelagius which was answered in the treatise On Nature and Grace was enclosed, with this letter, with the most important passages marked: and it was suggested that more was involved in the matter than the fate of one single man, Pelagius, who, perhaps, was already brought to a better mind; the fate of multitudes already led astray, or yet to be deceived by these false views, was in danger.

At about this same time (417), the tireless bishop sent a short letter[87]87 to a Hilary, who seems to be Hilary of Norbonne, which is interesting from its undertaking to convey a characterization of Pelagianism to one who was as vet ignorant of it. It thus brings out what Augustin conceived to be its essential features. âAn effort has been made, a we read, ato raise a certain new heresy, inimical to the grace of Christ, against the Church of Christ. It is not yet openly separated from the Church. It is the heresy of men who dare to attribute so much power to human weakness that they contend that this only belongs to Godâs grace, athat we are created with free will and the possibility of not sinning, and that we receive Godas commandments which are to be fulfilled by us; but, for keeping and fulfilling these commandments, we do not need any divine aid. No doubt, the remission of sins is necessary for us; for we have no power to right what we have done wrong in the past. But for avoiding and overcoming sins in the future, for conquering all temptations with virtue, the human will is sufficient by its natural capacity without any aid of Godâs grace. And neither do infants need the grace of the Saviour, so as to be liberated by it through His baptism from perdition, seeing that they have contracted no contagion of damnation from Adam.a[88]88 He engages Hilary in the destruction of this heresy, which ought to be aconcordantly condemned and anathematized by all who have hope in Christ.â as a âpestiferous impiety.â and excuses himself for not undertaking its full refutation in a brief letter. A much more important letter was sent off, at about the same time, to John of Jerusalem, who had conducted the first Palestinian examination of Pelagius, and had borne a prominent part in the synod at Diospolis. He sent with it a copy of Pelagiusâ book which he had examined in his treatise On Nature and Grace, as well as a copy of that reply itself, and asked John to send him an authentic copy of the proceedings at Diospolis. He took this occasion seriously to warn his brother bishop against the wiles of Pelagius, and begged him, if he loved Pelagius, to let men see that he did not so love him as to be deceived by him. He pointed out that in the book sent with the letter, Pelagius called nothing the grace of God except nature; and that he affirmed, and even vehemently contended, that by free will alone, human nature was able to suffice for itself for working righteousness and keeping all Godâs commandments: whence any one could see that he opposed the grace of God of which the apostles spoke in Rom. vii. 24, 25, and contradicted, as well, all the prayers and benedictions of the Church by which blessings were sought for men from Godâs grace. âlf you love Pelagius, then, a he continued, alet him, too, love you as himself, anay, more than himself; and let him not deceive you. For when you hear him confess the grace of God and the aid of God, you think he means what you mean by it. But let him be openly asked whether he desires that we should pray God that we sin not; whether he proclaims the assisting grace of God, without which we would do much evil; whether he believes that even children who have not yet been able to do good or evil are nevertheless, on account of one man by whom sin entered into the world, sinners in him, and in need of being delivered by the grace of Christ.â If he openly denies such things, Augustin would be pleased to hear of it.

Thus we see the great bishop sitting in his library at Hippo, placing his hands on the two ends of the world. That nothing may be lacking to the picture of his universal activity, we have another letter from him, coming from about this same time, that exhibits his care for the individuals who had placed themselves in some sort under his tutelage. Among the refugees from Rome in the terrible times when Alaric was a second time threatening the city, was a family of noble women, aProba, Juliana, and Demetrias, [89] 89 âgrand mother, mother, and daughter, âwho, finding an asylum in Africa, gave themselves to Godâs service, and sought the friendship and counsel of Augustin. In 413 the granddaughter atook the veila under circumstances that thrilled the Christian world, and brought out letters of congratulation and advice from Augustin and Jerome, and also from Pelagius. This letter of Pelagius seems not to have fallen into Augustinâs way until now (416): he was so disturbed by it that he wrote to Juliana a long letter warning her against its evil counsels. [90]90 It was so shrewdly phrased, that, at first sight, Augustin was himself almost persuaded that it did somehow acknowledge the grace of God; but when he compared it with others of Pelagiusa writings, he saw that here, too, he was using ambiguous phrases in a non-natural sense. The object of his letter (in which Alypius is conjoined, as joint author) to Juliana is to warn her and her holy daughter against all opinions that opposed the grace of God, and especially against the covert teaching of the letter of Pelagius to Demetrias.[91]91 âln this book,â he says, âwere it lawful for such an one to read it, a virgin of Christ would read that her holiness and all her spiritual riches are to spring from no other source than herself; and thus before she attains to the perfection of blessedness, she would learnawhich may God forbid!ato be ungrateful to God.â Then, after quoting the words of Pelagius, in which he declares that aearthly riches came from others, but your spiritual riches no one can have conferred on you but yourself; for these, then, you are justly praised, for these you are deservedly to be preferred to others, afor they can exist only from yourself and in yourself, a he continues: aFar be it from any virgin to listen to statements like these. Every virgin of Christ understands the innate poverty of the human heart, and therefore declines to be adorned otherwise than by the gifts of her spouse. â!Let her not listen to him who says, aNo one can confer them on you but yourself, and they cannot exist except from you and in you: a but to him who says. aWe have this treasure in earthen vessels, that the excellency of the power may be of God, and not of us.â And be not surprised that we speak of these things as yours, and not from you; for we speak of daily bread as âours,â but yet add âgive it to us,â lest it should be thought it was from ourselves.â Again, he warns her that grace is not mere knowledge any more than mere nature; and that Pelagius, even when using the word âgrace, â means no inward or efficient aid, but mere nature or knowledge or forgiveness of past sins; and beseeches her not to forget the God of all grace from whom (Wisdom i. 20, 21) Demetrias had that very virgin continence which was so justly her boast.

With the opening of 417, came the answers from Innocent to the African letters.[92]92 And although they were marred by much boastful language concerning the dignity of his see, which could not but be distasteful to the Africans, they admirably served their purpose in the

satisfactory manner in which they, on the one hand, asserted the necessity of the âdaily grace, and help of God,â for our good living, and, on the other, determined that the Pelagians had denied this grace, and declared their leaders Pelagius and CÅlestius deprived of the communion of the Church until they should ârecover their senses from the wiles of the Devil by whom they are held captive according to his will.â Augustin may be pardoned for supposing that a condemnation pronounced by two provincial synods in Africa, and heartily concurred in by the Roman bishop, who had already at Jerusalem been recognized as in some sort the fit arbiter of this Western dispute, should settle the matter. If Pelagius had been before jubilant, Augustin found this a suitable time for his rejoicing.

About the same time with Innocentas letters, the official proceedings of the synod of Diospolis at last reached Africa, and Augustin lost no time (early in 417) in publishing a full account and examination of them, thus providing us with that inestimable boon, a full contemporary history of the chief events connected with the controversy up to this time. This treatise, which is addressed to Aurelius, bishop of Carthage, opens with a brief explanation of Augustinâs delay heretofore, in discussing Pelagiusâ defence of himself in Palestine, as due to his not having received the official copy of the Proceedings of the Council at Diospolis (12a). Then Augustin proceeds at once to discuss at length the doings of the synod, point by point, following the official record step by step (2b-45). He treats at large here eleven items in the indictment, with Pelagiusâ answers and the synodâs decision, showing that in all of them Pelagius either explained away his heresy, taking advantage of the ignorance of the judges of his books, or else openly repudiated or anathematized it. When the twelfth item of the indictment was reached (41b-43), Augustin shows that the synod was so indignant at its character (it charged Pelagius with teaching that men cannot be sons of God unless they are sinless, and with condoning sins of ignorance, and with asserting that choice is not free if it depends on Godâs help, and that pardon is given according to merit), that, without waiting for Pelagiusâ answer, it condemned the statement, and Pelagius at once repudiated and anathematized it (43). How could the synod act in such circumstances, he asks, except by acquitting the man who condemned the heresy? After quoting the final judgment of the synod (44), Augustin briefly characterizes it and its effect (45) as being indeed all that could be asked of the judges, but of no moral weight to those better acquainted than they were with Pelagiusa character and writings. In a word, they approved his answers to them, as indeed they ought to have done; but they by no means approved, but both they and he condemned, his heresies as expressed in his writings. To this statement, Augustin appends an account of the origin of Pelagianism, and of his relations to it from the beginning, which has the very highest value as history (46â49); and then speaks of the character and doubtful practices of Pelagius (50â58), returning at the end (59â65) to a thorough canvass of the value of the acquittal which he obtained by such doubtful practices at the synod. He closes with an indignant account of the outrages which the Pelagians had perpetrated on Jerome (66).

This valuable treatise is not, however, the only account of the historical origin of Pelagianism that we have, from Augustinas hands. Soon after the death of Innocent (March 12, 417), he found occasion to write a very long letter[93]93 to the venerable Paulinus of Nola, in which he summarized both the history of and the arguments against this aworldly philosophy. a He begins by saying that he knows Paulinus has loved Pelagius as a servant of God, but is ignorant in what way he now loves him. For he himself not only has loved him, but loves him still, but in different ways. Once he loved him as apparently a brother in the true faith: now he loves him in the longing that God will by His mercy free him from his noxious opinions against Godâs grace. He is not merely following report in so speaking of him: no doubt report did for a long time represent this of him, but he gave the less heed to it because report is accustomed to lie. But a book of his[94]94 at last came into his hands, which left no room for doubt, since in it he asserted repeatedly that Godâs grace consisted of the gift to man of the capacity to will and act, and thus reduced it to what is common to pagans and Christians, to the ungodly and godly, to the faithful and infidels. He then gives a brief account of the measures that had been taken against Pelagius, and passes on to a treatment of the main matters involved in the controversy, âall of which gather around the one magic word of athe grace of God. a He argues first that we are all lost, âin one mass and concretion of perdition, âand that Godâs grace alone makes us to differ. It is therefore folly to talk of deserving the beginnings of grace. Nor can a faithful man say that he merits justification by his faith, although it is given to faith; for at once he hears the words, awhat hast thou that thou didst not receive? and learns that even the deserving faith is the gift of God. But if, peering into Godâs inscrutable judgments, we go farther, and ask why, from the mass of Adam, all of which undoubtedly has fallen from one into condemnation, this vessel is made for honor, that for dishonor, awe can only say that we do not know more than the fact; and Godâs reasons are hidden, but His acts are just. Certain it is that Paul teaches that all die in Adam; and that God freely chooses, by a sovereign election, some out of that sinful mass, to eternal life; and that He knew from the beginning to whom He would give this grace, and so the number of the saints has always been fixed, to whom he gives in due time the Holy Ghost. Others, no doubt, are called; but no others are elect, or acalled according to his purpose, a On no other body of doctrines, can it be possibly explained that some infants die unbaptized, and are lost. Is God unjust to punish innocent children with eternal pains? And are they not innocent if they are not partakers of Adamâs sin? And can they be saved from that, save by the undeserved, and that is the gratuitous, grace of God? The account of the Proceedings at the Palestinian synod is then taken up, and Pelagiusâ position in his latest writings is quoted and examined. âBut why say more?â he adds.â¦âOught they not, since they call themselves Christians, to be more careful than the Jews that they do not stumble at the stone of offence, while they subtly defend nature and free will just like philosophers of this world who vehemently strive to be thought, or to think themselves, to attain for themselves a happy life by the force of their own will? Let them take care, then, that they do not make the cross of Christ of none effect by the wisdom of word (1 Cor. i. 17), and thus stumble at the rock of offence. For human

nature, even if it had remained in that integrity in which it was created, could by no means have served its own Creator without His aid. Since then, without Godâs grace it could not keep the safety it had received, how can it without Godâs grace repair what it has lost?â With this profound view of the Divine immanence, and of the necessity of His moving grace in all the acts of all his creatures, as over against the heathen-deistic view of Pelagius, Augustin touched in reality the deepest point in the whole controversy, and illustrated the essential harmony of all truth.[95]95

The sharpest period of the whole conflict was now drawing on [96]96 Innocentas death brought Zosimus to the chair of the Roman See, and the efforts which he made to re-instate Pelagius and CÅlestius now began (September, 417). How little the Africans were likely to yield to his remarkable demands, may be seen from a sermon[97]97 which Augustin preached on the 23d of September, while Zosimusâ letter (written on the 21st of September) was on its way to Africa. The preacher took his text from John vi. 54â66. âWe hear here,â he said, athe true Master, the Divine Redeemer, the human Saviour, commending to us our ransom, His blood. He calls His body food, and His blood drink; and, in commending such food and drink, He says, âUnless you eat My flesh, and drink My blood, ye shall have no life in you.â What, then, is this eating and drinking, but to live? Eat life, drink life; you shall have life, and life is whole. This will come athat is, the body and blood of Christ will be life to every one, âif what is taken visibly in the sacrament is in real truth spiritually eaten and spiritually drunk. But that He might teach us that even to believe in Him is of gift, not of merit, He said, aNo one comes to Me, except the Father who sent Me draw him.â Draw him, not lead him. This violence is done to the heart, not the flesh. Why do you marvel? Believe, and you come; love, and you are drawn. Think not that this is harsh and injurious violence; it is soft, it is sweet; it is sweetness itself that draws you. Is not the sheep drawn when the succulent herbage is shown to him? And I think that there is no compulsion of the body, but an assembling of the desire. So, too, do you come to Christ; wish not to plan a long journey, awhen you believe, then you come. For to Him who is everywhere, one comes by loving, not by taking a voyage. No doubt, if you come not, it is your work; but if you come, it is Godâs work. And even after you have come, and are walking in the right way. become not proud, lest you perish from it: ahappy are those that confide in Him, a not in themselves, but in Him. We are saved by grace, not of ourselves: it is the gift of God. Why do I continually say this to you? It is because there are men who are ungrateful to grace, and attribute much to unaided and wounded nature. It is true that man received great powers of free will at his creation; but he lost them by sinning. He has fallen into death; he has been made weak; he has been left half dead in the way, by robbers; the good Samaritan has lifted him up upon his ass, and borne him to the inn. Why should we boast? But I am told that it is enough that sins are remitted in baptism. But does the removal of sin take away weakness too? What! will you not see that after pouring the oil and the wine into the wounds of the man left half dead by the robbers, he must still go to the inn where his weakness may be healed? Nay, so long as we are in this life we bear a fragile body; it is only after we are redeemed

from corruption that we shall find no sin, and receive the crown of righteousness. Grace, that was hidden in the Old Testament, is now manifest to the whole world. Even though the Jew may be ignorant of it, why should Christians be enemies of grace? why presumptuous of themselves? why ungrateful to grace? For, why did Christ come? Was not nature already here, athat very nature by the praise of which you are beguiled? Was not the law here? But the apostle says, âlf righteousness is of the law, then is Christ dead in vain. a What the apostle says of the law, that we say to these men about nature: if righteousness is by nature, then Christ is dead in vain. What then was said of the Jews, this we see repeated in these men. They have a zeal for God: I bear them witness that they have a zeal for God, but not according to knowledge. For, being ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and wishing to establish their own, they are not subject to the righteousness of God. My brethren, share my compassion. Where you find such men, wish no concealment; let there be no perverse pity in you: where you find them, wish no concealment at all. Contradict and refute, resist, or persuade them to us. For already two councils have, in this cause, sent letters to the Apostolic See, whence also rescripts have come back. The cause is ended: would that the error might some day end! Therefore we admonish so that they may take notice, we teach so that they may be instructed, we pray so that their way be changed â Here is certainly tenderness to the persons of the teachers of error; readiness to forgive, and readiness to go all proper lengths in recovering them to the truth. But here is also absolute firmness as to the truth itself, and a manifesto as to policy. Certainly, on the lines of the policy here indicated, the Africans fought out the coming campaign. They met in council at the end of this year, or early in the next (418); and formally replied to Zosimus, that the cause had been tried, and was finished, and that the sentence that had been already pronounced against Pelagius and CÅlestius should remain in force until they should unequivocally acknowledge that awe are aided by the grace of God through Christ, not only to know, but to do, what is right, and that in each single act; so that without grace we are unable to have, think, speak, or do anything belonging to piety. a As we may see Augustinas hand in this, so, doubtless, we may recognize it in that remarkable piece of engineering which crushed Zosimusâ plans within the next few months. There is, indeed, no direct proof that it was due to Augustin, or to the Africans under his leading, or to the Africans at all, that the State interfered in the matter; it is even in doubt whether the action of the Empire was put forth as a rescript, or as a self-moved decree: but surely it is difficult to believe that such a coup de thé¢trecould have been prepared for Zosimus by chance; and as it is well known, both that Augustin believed in the righteousness of civil penalty for heresy, and invoked it on other occasions, and defended and used it on this, and that he had influential friends at court with whom he was in correspondence, it seems, on internal grounds, altogether probable that he was the Deus ex machin $\tilde{A}$ ¢ who let loose the thunders of ecclesiastical and civil enactment simultaneously on the poor Popeâs devoted head.

The âgreat African Councilâ met at Carthage, on the 1st of May, 418; and, after its decrees were issued, Augustin remained at Carthage, and

watched the effect of the combination of which he was probably one of the moving causes. He had now an opportunity to betake himself once more to his pen. While still at Carthage, at short notice, and in the midst of much distraction, he wrote a large work, in two books which have come down to us under the separate titles of On the Grace of Christ, and On Original Sin, at the instance of another of those ascetic families which formed so marked a feature in those troubled times. Pinianus and Melania, the daughter of Albina, were husband and wife, who, leaving Rome amid the wars with Alaric, had lived in continence in Africa for some time, but now in Palestine had separated, he to become head of a monastery, and she an inmate of a convent. While in Africa, they had lived at Sagaste under the tutelage of Alypius, and in the enjoyment of the friendship and instruction of Augustin. After retiring to Bethlehem, like the other holy ascetics whom he had known in Africa, they kept up their relations with him. Like the others, also, they became acquainted with Pelagius in Palestine, and were well-nigh deceived by him. They wrote to Augustin that they had begged Pelagius to condemn in writing all that had been alleged against him, and that he had replied in the presence of them grace of God whereby Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners is not necessary, not only for every hour and for every moment, but also for every act of our lives, and asserted that athose who endeavor to disannul it are worthy of everlasting punishment.â[98]98 Moreover, they wrote that Pelagius had read to them, out of his book that he had sent to Rome.[99]99 his assertion athat infants ought to be baptized with the same formula of sacramental words as adults.â[100]100 They wrote that they were delighted to hear these words from Pelagius, as they seemed exactly what they had been desirous of hearing; and yet they preferred consulting Augustin about them, before they were fully committed regarding them.[101]101 It was in answer to this appeal, that the present work was written; the two books of which take up the two points in Pelagiusâ asseveration, âthe theme of the first being athe assistance of the Divine grace towards our justification, by which God co-operates in all things for good to those who love Him, and whom He first loved, giving to them that He may receive from them, â a while the subject of the second is a the sin which by one man has entered the world along with death, and so has passed upon all men.â[102]102

The first book, On the Grace of Christ, begins by quoting and examining Pelagiusâ anathema of all those who deny that grace is necessary for every action (2 sq.). Augustin confesses that this would deceive all who were not fortified by knowledge of Pelagiusâ writings; but asserts that in the light of them it is clear that he means that grace is always necessary, because we need continually to remember the forgiveness of our sins, the example of Christ, the teaching of the law, and the like. Then he enters (4 sq.) upon an examination of Pelagiusâ scheme of human faculties, and quotes at length his account of them given in his book, In Defence of Free Will, wherein he distinguishes between the possibilitas (posse), voluntas (velle), and actio (esse), and declares that the first only is from God and receives aid from God, while the others are entirely ours, and in our own power. Augustin opposes to this the passage in Phil. ii. 12, 13

(6), and then criticises (7 sg.) Pelagiusâ ambiguous acknowledgment that God is to be praised for manas good works, abecause the capacity for any action on manas part is from God, a by which he reduces all grace to the primeval endowment of nature with acapacitya (possibilitas, posse), and the help afforded it by the law and teaching. Augustin points out the difference between law and grace, and the purpose of the former as a pedagogue to the latter (9 sq.), and then refutes Pelagiusâ further definition of grace as consisting in the promise of future glory and the revelation of wisdom, by an appeal to Paulâs thorn in the flesh, and his experience under its discipline (11 sq.). Pelagiusâ illustrations from our senses, of his theory of natural faculty, are then sharply tested (16); and the criticism on the whole doctrine is then made and pressed (17 sq.). that it makes God equally sharer in our blame for evil acts as in our praise for good ones, since if God does help, and His help is only His gift to us of ability to act in either part, then He has equally helped to the evil deeds as to the good. The assertion that this acapacity of either parta is the fecund root of both good and evil is then criticised (19 sq.), and opposed to Matt. vii. 18, with the result of establishing that we must seek two roots in our dispositions for so diverse results, acovetousness for evil, and love for good, anot a single root for both in nature. Manâs âcapacity, â it is argued, is the root of nothing; but it is capable of both good and evil according to the moving cause, which, in the case of evil, is man-originated, while, in the case of good, it is from God (21). Next, Pelagiusâ assertion that grace is given according to our merits (23 sg.) is taken up and examined. It is shown, that, despite his anathema, Pelagius holds to this doctrine, and in so extreme a form as explicitly to declare that man comes and cleaves to God by his freedom of will alone, and without Godâs aid. He shows that the Scriptures teach just the opposite (24â26); and then points out how Pelagius has confounded the functions of knowledge and love (27 sg.), and how he forgets that we cannot have merits until we love God, while John certainly asserts that God loved us first (1 John iv. 10). The representation that what grace does is to render obedience easier (28â30), and the twin view that prayer is only relatively necessary, are next criticised (32). That Pelagius never acknowledges real grace, is then demonstrated by a detailed examination of all that he had written on the subject (31â45). The book closes (46â80) with a full refutation of Pelagiusa appeal to Ambrose, as if he supported him; and exhibition of Ambroseas contrary testimony as to grace and its necessity.

The object of the second bookâOn Original Sinâis to show, that, in spite of Pelagiusâ admissions as to the baptism of infants, he yet denies that they inherit original sin and contends that they are born free from corruption. The book opens by pointing out that there is no question as to CÅlestiusâ teaching in this matter (2â8), as he at Carthage refused to condemn those who say that Adamâs sin injured no one but himself, and that infants are born in the same state that Adam was in before the fall, and openly asserted at Rome that there is no sin ex traduce. As for Pelagius, he is simply more cautious and mendacious than CÅlestius: he deceived the Council at Diospolis, but failed to deceive the Romans (5â13), and, as a matter of fact (14â18),

teaches exactly what CAlestius does. In support of this assertion, Pelagiusâ Defence of Free Will is guoted, wherein he asserts that we are born neither good nor bad, abut with a capacity for either, a and âas without virtue, so without vice; and previous to the action of our own proper will, that that alone is in man which God has formedâ (14). Augustin also guotes Pelagiusâ explanation of his anathema against those who say Adamas sin injured only himself, as meaning that he has injured man by setting a bad aexample, a and his even more sinuous explanation of his anathema against those who assert that infants are born in the same condition that Adam was in before he fell, as meaning that they are infants and he was a man! (16a18). With this introduction to them, Augustin next treats of Pelagiusâ subterfuges (19â25), and then animadverts on the importance of the issue (26â37), pointing out that Pelagianism is not a mere error, but a deadly heresy, and strikes at the very centre of Christianity. A counter argument of the Pelagians is then answered (38â45), âDoes not the doctrine of original sin make marriage an evil thing?â No, says Augustin, marriage is ordained by God, and is good; but it is a diseased good, and hence what is born of it is a good nature made by God, but this good nature in a diseased condition, athe result of the Devilâs work. Hence, if it be asked why Godâs gift produces any thing for the Devil to take possession of, it is to be answered that God gives his gifts liberally (Matt. v. 45), and makes men; but the Devil makes these men sinners (46). Finally, as Ambrose had been appealed to in the former book, so at the end of this it is shown that he openly proclaimed the doctrine of original sin, and here too, before Pelagius, condemned Pelagius (47 sg.).

What Augustin means by writing to Pinianus and his family that he was more oppressed by work at Carthage than anywhere else, may perhaps be illustrated from his diligence in preaching while in that capital. He seems to have been almost constantly in the pulpit, during this period a f the sharpest conflict with them, a [103]103 preaching against the Pelagians. There is one series of his sermons, of the exact dates of which we can be pretty sure, which may be adverted to here, aSermons 151 and 152, preached early in October, 418; Sermon 155 on Oct. 14, 156 on Oct.17, and 26 on Oct. 18; thus following one another almost with the regularity of the days. The first of these was based on Rom. vii. 15â25, which he declares to contain dangerous words if not properly understood; for men are prone to sin, and when they hear the apostle so speaking they do evil, and think they are like him. They are meant to teach us, however, that the life of the just in this body is a war, not yet a triumph: the triumph will come only when death is swallowed up in victory. It would, no doubt, be better not to have an enemy than even to conquer. It would be better not to have evil desires: but we have them; therefore, let us not go after them. If they rebel against us, let us rebel against them; if they fight, let us fight; if they besiege, let us besiege: let us look only to this, that they do not conquer. With some evil desires we are born: others we make, by bad habit. It is on account of those with which we are born, that infants are baptized; that they may be freed from the guilt of inheritance, not from any evil of custom, which, of course, they have not. And it is on account of these, too, that our war must be endless: the concupiscence with which we are born cannot be done away

as long as we live; it may be diminished, but not done away. Neither can the law free us, for it only reveals the sin to our greater apprehension. Where, then, is hope, save in the superabundance of grace? The next sermon (152) takes up the words in Rom. viii. 1â4, and points out that the inward aid of the Spirit brings all the help we need. aWe, like farmers in the field, work from without: but, if there were no one who worked from within, the seed would not take root in the ground, nor would the sprout arise in the field, nor would the shoot grow strong and become a tree, nor would branches and fruit and leaves be produced. Therefore the apostle distinguishes between the work of the workmen and of the Creator (1 Cor. iii. 6, 7). If God give not the increase, empty is this sound within your ears; but if he gives, it avails somewhat that we plant and water, and our labor is not in vain.â He then applies this to the individual, striving against his lusts; warns against Manichean error; and distinguishes between the three laws, athe law of sin, the law of faith, and the law of deeds, â defending the latter, the law of Moses, against the Manicheans; and then he comes to the words of the text, and explains its chief phrases, closing thus: aWhat other do we read here than that Christ is a sacrifice for sin?aBehold by what a sina he condemned sin: by the sacrifice which he made for sins, he condemned sin. This is the law of the Spirit of life which has freed you from the law of sin and death. For that other law, the law of the letter, the law that commands, is indeed good; athe commandment is holy and just and good: a but ait was weak by the flesh, and what it commanded it could not bring about in us. Therefore there is one law, as I began by saving, that reveals sin to you, and another that takes it away: the law of the letter reveals sin, the law of grace takes it away. a Sermon 155 covers the same ground, and more, taking the broader text, Rom. viii. 1â11, and fully developing its teaching, especially as discriminating between the law of sin and the law of Moses and the law of faith; the law of Moses being the holy law of God written with His finger on the tables of stone, while the law of the Spirit of life is nothing other than the same law written in the heart, as the prophet (Jer. xxx. 1, 33) clearly declares. So written, it does not terrify from without, but soothes from within. Great care is also taken, lest by such phrases as, âwalk in the Spirit, not in the flesh,â âwho shall deliver me from the body of this death? a hatred of the body should be begotten. aThus you shall be freed from the body of this death, not by having no body, but by having another one and dying no more. If, indeed, he had not added, aof this death, a perchance an error might have been suggested to the human mind, and it might have been said, a You see that God does not wish us to have a body.â But He says, âthe body of this death.â Take away death, and the body is good. Let our last enemy, death, be taken away, and my dear flesh will be mine for eternity. For no one can ever ahate his own flesh.a Although the aspirit lusts against the flesh, and the flesh against the spirit, a although there is now a battle in this house, yet the husband is seeking by his strife not the ruin of, but concord with, his wife. Far be it, far be it, my brethren, that the spirit should hate the flesh in lusting against it! It hates the vices of the flesh; it hates the wisdom of the flesh; it hates the contention of death. This corruption shall put on incorruption, athis mortal shall put on immortality; it is sown a natural body; it shall rise a spiritual body; and you shall see

full and perfect concord, ayou shall see the creature praise the Creator.â One of the special interests of such passages is to show, that, even at this early date, Augustin was careful to guard his hearers from Manichean error while proclaiming original sin. One of the sermons which, probably, was preached about this time (153). is even entitled, âAgainst the Manicheans openly, but tacitly against the Pelagians, â and bears witness to the early development of the method that he was somewhat later to use effectively against Julianas charges of Manicheanism against the catholics.[104]104 Three days afterwards, Augustin preached on the next few verses, Rom. viii. 12â17, but can scarcely be said to have risen to the height of its great argument. The greater part of the sermon is occupied with a discussion of the law, why it was given, how it is legitimately used, and its usefulness as a pedagogue to bring us to Christ; then of the need of a mediator; and then, of what it is to live according to the flesh, which includes living according to merely human nature; and the need of mortifying the flesh in this world. All this, of course, gave full opportunity for opposing the leading Pelagian errors; and the sermon is brought to a close by a direct polemic against their assertion that the function of grace is only to make it more easy to do what is right. aWith the sail more easily, with the oar with more difficulty: nevertheless even with the oar we can go. On a beast more easily, on foot with more difficulty: nevertheless progress can be made on foot. It is not true! For the true Master who flatters no one, who deceives no one, athe truthful Teacher and very Saviour to whom the most grievous pedagogue has led us, awhen he was speaking about good works, i.e., about the fruits of the twigs and branches, did not say, aWithout me, indeed, you can do something, but you will do it more easily with me;â He did not say, âYou can make your fruit without me, but more richly with me.â He did not say this! Read what He said: it is the holy gospel, abow the proud necks! Augustin does not say this: the Lord says it. What says the Lord? aWithout me you can do nothing!aa On the very next day, he was again in the pulpit, and taking for his text chiefly the ninety-fourth Psalm. [105]105 The preacher began [106]106 by quoting the sixth verse, and laying stress on the words aour Maker.a aNo Christian, a he said, adoubted that God had made him, and that in such a sense that God created not only the first man, from whom all have descended, but that God to-day creates every man, as He said to one of His saints, aBefore that I formed thee in the womb. I knew thee, a At first He created man apart from man; now He creates man from man: nevertheless, whether man apart from man, or man from man, âit is He that made us, and not we ourselves. a Nor has He made us and then deserted us; He has not cared to make us, and not cared to keep us. Will He who made us without being asked, desert us when He is besought? But is it not just as foolish to say, as some say or are ready to say, that God made them men, but they make themselves righteous? Why, then, do we pray to God to make us righteous? The first man was created in a nature that was without fault or flaw. He was made righteous: he did not make himself righteous; what he did for himself was to fall and break his righteousness. This God did not do: He permitted it, as if He had said, âLet him desert Me; let him find himself; and let his misery prove that he has no ability without Me.â In this way God wished to show man what free will was worth without God. O evil free will without God! Behold, man was made good; and by

free will man was made evil! When will the evil man make himself good by free will? When good, he was not able to keep himself good; and now that he is evil, is he to make himself good? Nay, behold, He that made us has also made us âHis peopleâ (Ps. xciv. 7). This is a distinguishing gift. Nature is common to all, but grace is not. It is not to be confounded with nature; but if it were, it would still be gratuitous. For certainly no man, before he existed, deserved to come into existence. And yet God has made him, and that not like the beasts or a stock or a stone, but in His own image. Who has given this benefit? He gave it who was in existence: he received it who was not. And only He could do this, who calls the things that are not as though they were: of whom the apostle says that aHe chose us before the foundation of the world. a We have been made in this world, and yet the world was not when we were chosen. Ineffable! wonderful! They are chosen who are not: neither does He err in choosing, nor choose in vain. He chooses, and has elect whom He is to create to be chosen: He has them in Himself; not indeed in His nature, but in His prescience. Let us not, then, glory in ourselves, or dispute against grace. If we are men, He made us. If we are believers, He made us this too. He who sent the Lamb to be slain has, out of wolves, made us sheep. This is grace. And it is an even greater grace than that grace of nature by which we were all made men.â âl am continually endeavouring to discuss such things as these, a said the preacher, against a new heresy which is attempting to rise; because I wish you to be fixed in the good, untouched by the evil.alFor, disputing against grace in favor of free will, they became an offence to pious and catholic ears. They began to create horror; they began to be avoided as a fixed pest; it began to be said of them, that they argued against grace. And they found such a device as this: aBecause I defend manas free will, and say that free will is sufficient in order that I may be righteous, a says one, al do not say that it is without the grace of God.â The ears of the pious are pricked up, and he who hears this, already begins to rejoice: aThanks be to God! He does not defend free will without the grace of God! There is free will, but it avails nothing without the grace of God.â lf, then, they do not defend free will without the grace of God, what evil do they say? Expound to us, O teacher, what grace you mean? âWhen I say,â he says, âthe free will of man, you observe that I say âof manâ?â What then? âWho created man?â God. âWho gave him free will?â God. âlf. then. God created man. and God gave man free will. whatever man is able to do by free will, to whose grace does he owe it, except to His who made him with free will?â And this is what they think they say so acutely! You see, nevertheless, my brethren, how they preach that general grace by which we were created and by which we are men; and, of course, we are men in common with the ungodly, and are Christians apart from them. It is this grace by which we are Christians, that we wish them to preach, this that we wish them to acknowledge, this that we wish, a f which the apostle says, al do not make void the grace of God, for if righteousness is by the law, Christ is dead in vain.ââ Then the true function of the law is explained, as a revealer of our sinfulness, and a pedagogue to lead us to Christ: the Manichean view of the Old Testament law is attacked, but its insufficiency for salvation is pointed out; and so we are brought back to the necessity of grace, which is illustrated from the story of the raising of the dead child in 2 Kings iv. 18â37, âthe dead child being

Adam; the ineffective staff (by which we ought to walk), the law; but the living prophet, Christ with his grace, which we must preach. aThe prophetic staff was not enough for the dead boy: would dead nature itself have been enough? Even this, by which we are made, although we nowhere read of it under this name, we nevertheless, because it is given gratuitously, confess to be grace. But we show to you a greater grace than this, by which we are Christians.â¦This is the grace by Jesus Christ our Lord: it was He that made us, aboth before we were at all, it was He that made us, and now, after we are made, it is He that has made us all righteous.âand not we ourselves.â There was but one mass of perdition from Adam, to which nothing was due but punishment; and from that mass vessels have been made unto honor. âRejoice because you have escaped; you have escaped the death that was due, ayou have received the life that was not due. aBut, a you ask, awhy did He make me unto honor, and another unto dishonor?â Will you who will not hear the apostle saying, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God?a hear Augustin?â¦Do you wish to dispute with me? Nay, wonder with me, and cry out with me, aOh the depth of the riches!a Let us both be afraid, âlet us both cry out, aOh the depth of the riches! a Let us both agree in fear, lest we perish in error.â

Augustin was not less busy with his pen, during these months, than with his voice. Quite a series of letters belong to the last half of 418, in which he argues to his distant correspondents on the same themes which he was so iterantly trying to make clear to his Carthaginian auditors. One of the most interesting of these was written to a fellow-bishop, Optatus, on the origin of the soul.[107]107 Optatus, like Jerome, had expressed himself as favoring the theory of a special creation of each at birth; and Augustin, in this letter as in the paper sent to Jerome, lays great stress on so holding our theories on so obscure a matter as to conform to the indubitable fact of the transmission of sin. This fact, such passages as 1 Cor. xv. 21 sq., Rom. v. 12 sq., make certain; and in stating this, Augustin takes the opportunity to outline the chief contents of the catholic faith over against the Pelagian denial of original sin and grace: that all are born under the contagion of death and in the bond of guilt; that there is no deliverance except in the one Mediator, Christ Jesus; that before His coming men received him as promised, now as already come, but with the same faith: that the law was not intended to save, but to shut up under sin and so force us back upon the one Saviour; and that the distribution of grace is sovereign. Augustin pries into Godâs sovereign counsels somewhat more freely here than is usual with him. âBut why those also are created who, the Creator foreknew, would belong to damnation, not to grace, the blessed apostle mentions with as much succinct brevity as great authority. For he says that God, awishing to show His wrath and demonstrate His power, a etc. (Rom. ix. 22). Justly, however, would he seem unjust in forming vessels of wrath for perdition, if the whole mass from Adam were not condemned. That, therefore, they are made on birth vessels of anger, belongs to the punishment due to them; but that they are made by re-birth vessels of mercy, belongs to the grace that is not due to them. God, therefore, shows his wrath, anot, of course, perturbation of mind, such as is called wrath among men, but a just and fixed vengeance. â!He shows also his power, by which he makes

a good use of evil men, and endows them with many natural and temporal goods, and bends their evil to admonition and instruction of the good by comparison with it, so that these may learn from them to give thanks to God that they have been made to differ from them, not by their own deserts which were of like kind in the same mass, but by His pity.aBut by creating so many to be born who, He foreknew, would not belong to his grace, so that they are more by an incomparable multitude than those whom he deigned to predestinate as children of the promise into the alory of His Kingdom, alle wished to show by this very multitude of the rejected how entirely of no moment it is to the just God what is the multitude of those most justly condemned. And that hence also those who are redeemed from this condemnation may understand, that what they see rendered to so great a part of the mass was the due of the whole of it. anot only of those who add many others to original sin, by the choice of an evil will, but as well of so many children who are snatched from this life without the grace of the Mediator, bound by no bond except that of original sin alone.â With respect to the guestion more immediately concerning which the letter was written, Augustin explains that he is willing to accept the opinion that souls are created for men as they are born, if only it can be made plain that it is consistent with the original sin that the Scriptures so clearly teach. In the paper sent to Jerome, the difficulties of creationism are sufficiently urged; this letter is interesting on account of its statement of some of the difficulties of traducianism also, âthus evidencing Augustinâs clear view of the peculiar complexity of the problem, and justifying his attitude of balance and uncertainty between the two theories. âThe human understanding, â he says, âcan scarcely comprehend how a soul arises from a parentas soul in the offspring; or is transmitted to the offspring as a candle is lighted from a candle and thence another fire comes into existence without loss to the former one. Is there an incorporeal seed for the soul, which passes, by some hidden and invisible channel of its own, from the father to the mother, when it is conceived in the woman? Or, even more incredible, does it lie enfolded and hidden within the corporeal seed?â He is lost in wonder over the question whether, when conception does not take place, the immortal seed of an immortal soul perishes; or, does the immortality attach itself to it only when it lives? He even expresses the doubt whether traducianism will explain what it is called in to explain. much better than creationism; in any case, who denies that God is the maker of every soul? Isaiah (Ivii. 16) says, âl have made every breath; and the only question that can arise is as to method, awhether He âmakes every breath from the one first breath, just as He makes every body of man from the one first body; or whether he makes new bodies indeed, from the one body, but new souls out of nothing.â Certainly nothing but Scripture can determine such a question; but where do the Scriptures speak unambiguously upon it? The passages to which the creationists point only affirm the admitted fact that God makes the soul; and the traducianists forget that the word asoula in the Scriptures is ambiguous, and can mean âman,â and even a âdead man.â What more can be done, then, than to assert what is certain, viz., that sin is propagated, and leave what is uncertain in the doubt in which God has chosen to place it?

This letter was written not long after the issue of Zosimusâ Tractoria, demanding the signature of all to African orthodoxy; and Augustin sends Optatus âcopies of the recent letters which have been sent forth from the Roman see, whether specially to the African bishops or generally to all bishops,â on the Pelagian controversy, âlest perchance they had not yet reachedâ his correspondent, who, it is very evident, he was anxious should thoroughly realize âthat the authors, or certainly the most energetic and noted teachers,â of these new heresies, âhad been condemned in the whole Christian world by the vigilance of episcopal councils aided by the Saviour who keeps His Church, as well as by two venerable overseers of the Apostolical see, Pope Innocent and Pope Zosimus, unless they should show repentance by being convinced and reformed.â To this zeal we owe it that the letter contains an extract from Zosimusâ Tractoria, one of the two brief fragments of that document that have reached our day.

There was another ecclesiastic in Rome, besides Zosimus, who was strongly suspected of favoring the Pelagians, athe presbyter Sixtus, who afterwards became Pope Sixtus III. But when Zosimus sent forth his condemnation of Pelagianism, Sixtus sent also a short letter to Africa addressed to Aurelius of Carthage, which, though brief, indicated a considerable vigor against the heresy which he was commonly believed to have before defended, [108]108 and which claimed him as its own.[109]109 Some months afterwards, he sent another similar, but longer, letter to Augustin and Alypius, more fully expounding his rejection of athe fatal dogmaa of Pelagius, and his acceptance of âthat grace of God freely given by Him to small and great, to which Pelagiusâ dogma was diametrically opposed.â Augustin was overjoyed with these developments. He quickly replied in a short letter[110]110 in which he expresses the delight he has in learning from Sixtusâ own hand that he is not a defender of Pelagius, but a preacher of grace. And close upon the heels of this he sent another much longer letter,[111]111 in which he discusses the subtler arguments of the Pelagians with an anxious care that seems to bear witness to his desire to confirm and support his correspondent in his new opinions. Both letters testify to Augustinas approval of the persecuting measures which had been instituted by the Roman see in obedience to the emperor; and urge on Sixtus his duty not only to bring the open heretics to deserved punishment, but to track out those who spread their poison secretly, and even to remember those whom he had formerly heard announcing the error before it had been condemned, and who were now silent through fear, and to bring them either to open recantation of their former beliefs, or to punishment. It is pleasanter to recall our thoughts to the dialectic of these letters. The greater part of the second is given to a discussion of the gratuitousness of grace, which, just because grace, is given to no preceding merits. Many subtle objections to this doctrine were brought forward by the Pelagians. They said that afree will was taken away if we asserted that man did not have even a good will without the aid of God; a that we made aGod an accepter of persons, if we believed that without any preceding merits He had mercy on whom He would, and whom He would He called, and whom He would He made religious; a that ait was unjust, in one and the same case, to deliver one and punish another: a that, if such a doctrine is preached, âmen who do not wish to live rightly and

faithfully, will excuse themselves by saying that they have done nothing evil by living ill, since they have not received the grace by which they might live well; a that it is a puzzle ahow sin can pass over to the children of the faithful, when it has been remitted to the parents in baptism: a that achildren respond truly by the mouth of their sponsors that they believe in remission of sins, but not because sins are remitted to them, but because they believe that sins are remitted in the church or in baptism to those in whom they are found, not to those in whom they do not exist, and consequently they said that athey were unwilling that infants should be so baptized unto remission of sins as if this remission took place in them, a for (they contend) athey have no sin; but they are to be baptized, although without sin, with the same rite of baptism through which remission of sins takes place in any that are sinners.â This last objection is especially interesting[112]112 because it furnishes us with the reply which the Pelagians made to the argument that Augustin so strongly pressed against them from the very act and ritual of baptism, as implying remission of sins.[113]113 His rejoinder to it here is to point to the other parts of the same ritual, and to ask why, then, infants are exorcised and exsufflated in baptism. aFor, it cannot be doubted that this is done fictitiously, if the Devil does not rule over them; but if he rules over them, and they are therefore not falsely exorcised and exsufflated, why does that prince of sinners rule over them except because of sin?â On the fundamental matter of the gratuitousness of grace, this letter is very explicit. âlf we seek for the deserving of hardening, we shall find it.alBut if we seek for the deserving of pity, we shall not find it; for there is none, lest grace be made a vanity if it is not given gratis, but rendered to merits. But, should we say that faith preceded and in it there is desert of grace, what desert did man have before faith that he should receive faith? For, what did he have that he did not receive? and if he received it, why does he glory as if he received it not? For as man would not have wisdom, understanding, prudence, fortitude, knowledge, piety, fear of God, unless he had received (according to the prophet) the spirit of wisdom and understanding, of prudence and fortitude, of knowledge and piety and the fear of God; as he would not have justice, love, continence, except the spirit was received of whom the apostle says, aFor you did not receive the spirit of fear, but of virtue, and love, and continence: a so he would not have faith unless he received the spirit of faith of whom the same apostle says, âHaving then the same spirit of faith, according to what is written, al believed and therefore spoke, a we too believe and therefore speak. But that He is not received by desert, but by His mercy who has mercy on whom He will, is manifestly shown where he says of himself, al have obtained mercy to be faithful.ââ âlf we should say that the merit of prayer precedes, that the gift of grace may follow, aleven prayer itself is found among the gifts of graceâ (Rom. viii. 26). âlt remains, then, that faith itself, whence all righteousness takes beginning; alit remains, I say, that even faith itself is not to be attributed to the human will which they extol, nor to any preceding merits, since from it begin whatever good things are merits: but it is to be confessed to be the gratuitous gift of God, since we consider it true grace, that is, without merits, inasmuch as we read in the same epistle, aGod divides out the measure of faith to eachâ (Rom. xii. 3). Now, good

works are done by man, but faith is wrought in man, and without it these are not done by any man. For all that is not of faith is sinâ (Rom. xiv. 23).

By the same messenger who carried this important letter to Sixtus. Augustin sent also a letter to Mercator, [114]114 an African layman who was then apparently at Rome, but who was afterwards (in 429) to render service by instructing the Emperor Theodosius as to the nature and history of Pelagianism, and so preventing the appeal of the Pelagians to him from being granted. Now he appears as an inquirer: Augustin, while at Carthage, had received a letter from him in which he had consulted him on certain questions that the Pelagians had raised, but in such a manner as to indicate his opposition to them. Press of business had compelled the postponement of the reply until this later date. One of the guestions that Mercator had put concerned the Pelagian account of infants sharing in the one baptism unto remission of sins, which we have seen Augustin answering when writing to Sixtus. In this letter he replies: aLet them, then, hear the Lord (John iii. 36). Infants, therefore, who made believers by others, by whom they are brought to baptism, are, of course, unbelievers by others, if they are in the hands of such as do not believe that they should be brought, inasmuch as they believe they are nothing profited; and accordingly, if they believe by believers, and have eternal life, they are unbelievers by unbelievers, and shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on them. For it is not said, âit comes on them, â but âit abideth on them, â because it was on them from the beginning, and will not be taken from them except by the grace of God through Jesus Christ, our Lord.âl Therefore, when children are baptized, the confession is made that they are believers, and it is not to be doubted that those who are not believers are condemned: let them, then, dare to say now, if they can, that they contract no evil from their origin to be condemned by the just God, and have no contagion of sin.â The other matter on which Mercator sought light concerned the statement that universal death proved universal sin:[115]115 he reported that the Pelagians replied that not even death was universal, athat Enoch, for instance, and Elijah, had not died. Augustin adds those who are to be found living at the second advent, who are not to die, but be achanged; and replies that Rom. v. 12 is perfectly explicit that there is no death in the world except that which comes from sin, and that God a Saviour, and we cannot at all âdeny that He is able to do that, now, in any that he wishes, without death, which we undoubtingly believe is to be done in so many after death.â He adds that the difficult question is not why Enoch and Elijah did not die, if death is the punishment of sin; but why, such being the case, the justified ever die; and he refers his correspondent to his book On the Baptism of Infants[116]116 for a resolution of this greater difficulty.

It was probably at the very end of 418 that Augustin wrote a letter of some length[117]117 to Asellicus, in reply to one which he had written on âavoiding the deception of Judaism,â to the primate of the Bizacene province, and which that ecclesiastic had sent to Augustin for answering. He discusses in this the law of the Old Testament. He opens by pointing out that the apostle forbids Christians to Judaize (Gal. ii. 14a16), and explains that it is not merely the ceremonial law that we may not depend upon, abut also what is said in the law, aThou shalt not covetâ (which no one, of course, doubts is to be said to Christians too), does not justify man, except by faith in Jesus Christ and the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord. a He then expounds the use of the law: aThis, then, is the usefulness of the law: that it shows man to himself, so that he may know his weakness, and see how, by the prohibition, carnal concupiscence is rather increased than healed.â!The use of the law is, thus, to convince man of his weakness, and force him to implore the medicine of grace that is in Christ.â aSince these things are so, a he adds, athose who rejoice that they are Israelites after the flesh, and glory in the law apart from the grace of Christ, these are those concerning whom the apostle said that abeing ignorant of Godas righteousness, and wishing to establish their own, they are not subject to Godâs righteousness; a since he calls aGodas righteousnessa that which is from God to man; and atheir own,a what they think that the commandments suffice for them to do without the help and gift of Him who gave the law. But they are like those who, while they profess to be Christians, so oppose the grace of Christ, that they suppose that they fulfil the divine commands by human powers, and, awishing to establish their own, a are anot subject to the righteousness of God, and so, not indeed in name, but yet in error, Judaize. This sort of men found heads for themselves in Pelagius and CÅlestius, the most acute asserters of this impiety, who by Godâs recent judgment, through his diligent and faithful servants, have been deprived even of catholic communion, and, on account of an impenitent heart, persist still in their condemnation.â

At the beginning of 419, a considerable work was published by Augustin on one of the more remote corollaries which the Pelagians drew from his teachings. It had come to his ears, that they asserted that his doctrine condemned marriage: âif only sinful offspring come from marriage, a they asked, a is not marriage itself made a sinful thing? a The book which Augustin composed in answer to this guery, he dedicated to, and sent along with an explanatory letter to, the Comes Valerius, a trusted servant of the Emperor Honorius, and one of the most steady opponents at court of the Pelagian heresy. Augustin explains[118]118 why he has desired to address the book to him: first, because Valerius was a striking example of those continent husbands of which that age furnishes us with many instances, and, therefore, the discussion would have especial interest for him; secondly, because of his eminence as an opponent of Pelagianism; and, thirdly, because Augustin had learned that he had read a Pelagian document in which Augustin was charged with condemning marriage by defending original sin.[119]119 The book in guestion is the first book of the treatise On Marriage and Concupiscence. It is, naturally, tinged, or rather stained, with the prevalent ascetic notions of the day. Its doctrine is that marriage is good, and God is the maker of the offspring that comes from it, although now there can be no begetting and hence no birth without sin. Sin made concupiscence, and now concupiscence perpetuates sinners. The specific object of the work, as it states it itself, is ato distinguish between the evil of carnal concupiscence, from which man, who is born therefrom, contracts original sin, and the good of marriageâ (I. 1). After a brief introduction, in which he explains why

he writes, and why he addresses his book to Valerius (1â2), Augustin points out that conjugal chastity, like its higher sister-grace of continence, is Godâs gift. Thus copulation, but only for the propagation of children, has divine allowance (3â5). Lust, or ashameful concupiscence. a however, he teaches, is not of the essence. but only an accident, of marriage. It did not exist in Eden, although true marriage existed there; but arose from, and therefore only after, sin (6â7). Its addition to marriage does not destroy the good of marriage: it only conditions the character of the offspring (8). Hence it is that the apostle allows marriage, but forbids the adisease of desireâ (1 Thess. iv. 3a5); and hence the Old-Testament saints were even permitted more than one wife, because, by multiplying wives, it was not lust, but offspring, that was increased (9a10). Nevertheless, fecundity is not to be thought the only good of marriage: true marriage can exist without offspring, and even without cohabitation (11â13), and cohabitation is now, under the New Testament, no longer a duty as it was under the Old Testament (14a15), but the apostle praises continence above it. We must, then, distinguish between the goods of marriage, and seek the best (16a19). But thus it follows that it is not due to any inherent and necessary evil in marriage, but only to the presence, now, of concupiscence in all cohabitation, that children are born under sin, even the children of the regenerate, just as from the seed of olives only oleasters grow (20a24). And yet again, concupiscence is not itself sin in the regenerate; it is remitted as guilt in baptism: but it is the daughter of sin, and it is the mother of sin, and in the unregenerate it is itself sin, as to yield to it is even to the regenerate (25â39). Finally, as so often, the testimony of Ambrose is appealed to, and it is shown that he too teaches that all born from cohabitation are born guilty (40). In this book, Augustin certainly seems to teach that the bond of connection by which Adamâs sin is conveyed to his offspring is not mere descent, or heredity, or mere inclusion in him, in a realistic sense, as partakers of the same numerical nature, but concupiscence. Without concupiscence in the act of generation, the offspring would not be a partaker of Adamas sin. This he had taught also previously, as, e.g., in the treatise On Original Sin, from which a few words may be profitably guoted as succinctly summing up the teaching of this book on the subject: alt is, then, manifest, that that must not be laid to the account of marriage, in the absence of which even marriage would still have existed.â¦Such, however, is the present condition of mortal men, that the connubial intercourse and lust are at the same time in action.â, Hence it follows that infants, although incapable of sinning, are yet not born without the contagion of sin, â not, indeed, because of what is lawful, but on account of that which is unseemly: for, from what is lawful, nature is born; from what is unseemly, sinâ (42).

Towards the end of the same year (419), Augustin was led to take up again the vexed question of the origin of the soul,âboth in a new letter to Optatus,[120]120 by the zeal of the same monk, Renatus, who had formerly brought Optatusâ inquiries to his notice,âin an elaborate treatise entitled On the Soul and its Origin, by way of reply to a rash adventure of a young man named Vincentius Victor, who blamed him for his uncertainty on such a subject, and attempted to determine all the puzzles of the question, though, as Augustin insists, on assumptions that were partly Pelagian and partly worse. Optatus had written in the hope that Augustin had heard by this time from Jerome, in reply to the treatise he had sent him on this subject. Augustin, in answering his letter, expresses his sorrow that he has not yet been worthy of an answer from Jerome, although five years had passed away since he wrote, but his continued hope that such an answer will in due time come. For himself, he confesses that he has not yet been able to see how the soul can contract sin from Adam and yet not itself be contracted from Adam; and he regrets that Optatus, although holding that God creates each soul for its birth, has not sent him the proofs on which he depends for that opinion, nor met its obvious difficulties. He rebukes Optatus for confounding the question of whether God makes the soul, with the entirely different one of how he makes it, whether ex propagine or sive propagine. No one doubts that God makes the soul, as no one doubts that He makes the body. But when we consider how he makes it, sobriety and vigilance become necessary lest we should unguardedly fall into the Pelagian heresy. Augustin defends his attitude of uncertainty, and enumerates the points as to which he has no doubt: viz., that the soul is spirit, not body; that it is rational or intellectual; that it is not of the nature of God, but is so far a mortal creature that it is capable of deterioration and of alienation from the life of God, and so far immortal that after this life it lives on in bliss or punishment forever; that it was not incarnated because of, or according to, preceding deserts acquired in a previous existence, yet that it is under the curse of sin which it derives from Adam, and therefore in all cases alike needs redemption in Christ.

The whole subject of the nature and origin of the soul, however, is most fully discussed in the four books which are gathered together under the common title of On the Soul and its Origin. Vincentius Victor was a young layman who had recently been converted from the Rogatian heresy; on being shown by his friend Peter, a presbyter, a small work of Augustinas on the origin of the soul, he expressed surprise that so great a man could profess ignorance on a matter so intimate to his very being, and, receiving encouragement, wrote a book for Peter in which he attacked and tried to solve all the difficulties of the subject. Peter received the work with transports of delighted admiration: but Renatus, happening that way, looked upon it with distrust, and, finding that Augustin was spoken of in it with scant courtesy, felt it his duty to send him a copy of it, which he did in the summer of 419. It was probably not until late in the following autumn that Augustin found time to take up the matter; but then he wrote to Renatus, to Peter, and two books to Victor himself, and it is these four books together which constitute the treatise that has come down to us. The first book is a letter to Renatus, and is introduced by an expression of thanks to him for sending Victoras book, and of kindly feeling towards and appreciation for the high gualities of Victor himself (1â3). Then Victorâs errors are pointed out, âas to the nature of the soul (4â9), including certain far-reaching corollaries that flow from these (10â15), as well as, as to the origin of the soul (16â30); and the letter closes with some remarks on the danger of arguing from the silence of Scripture (31), on the self-contradictions of Victor (34), and on the errors that must be avoided in any theory

of the origin of the soul that hopes to be acceptable, ato wit, that souls become sinful by an alien original sin, that unbaptized infants need no salvation, that souls sinned in a previous state, and that they are condemned for sins which they have not committed but would have committed had they lived longer. The second book is a letter to Peter, warning him of the responsibility that rests on him as Victorâs trusted friend and a clergyman, to correct Victoras errors, and reproving him for the uninstructed delight he had taken in Victoras crudities. It opens by asking Peter what was the occasion of the great joy which Victoras book brought him? could it be that he learned from it, for the first time, the old and primary truths it contained? (2â3); or was it due to the new errors that it proclaimed, aseven of which he enumerates? (4a16). Then, after animadverting on the dilemma in which Victor stood, of either being forced to withdraw his violent assertion of creationism, or else of making God unjust in His dealings with new souls (17), he speaks of Victorâs unjustifiable dogmatism in the matter (18â21), and closes with severely solemn words to Peter on his responsibility in the premises (22â23). In the third and fourth books, which are addressed to Victor, the polemic, of course, reaches its height. The third book is entirely taken up with pointing out to Victor, as a father to a son, the errors into which he has fallen, and which, in accordance with his professions of readiness for amendment, he ought to correct. Eleven are enumerated: 1. That the soul was made by God out of Himself (3â7); 2. That God will continuously create souls forever (8); 3. That the soul has desert of good before birth (9); 4. (contradictingly), That the soul has desert of evil before birth (10); 5. That the soul deserved to be sinful before any sin (11); 6. That unbaptized infants are saved (12); 7. That what God predestinates may not occur (13); 8. That Wisd. iv. 1 is spoken of infants (14); 9. That some of the mansions with the Father are outside of Godâs kingdom (15â17); 10. That the sacrifice of Christâs blood may be offered for the unbaptized (18); 11. That the unbaptized may attain at the resurrection even to the kingdom of heaven (19). The book closes by reminding Victor of his professions of readiness to correct his errors, and warning him against the obstinacy that makes the heretic (2023). The fourth book deals with the more personal elements of the controversy, and discusses the points in which Victor had expressed dissent from Augustin. It opens with a statement of the two arounds of complaint that Victor had urged against Augustin: viz... that he refused to express a confident opinion as to the origin of the soul, and that he affirmed that the soul was not corporeal, but spirit (1â2). These two complaints are then taken up at length (2â16 and 17â37). To the first, Augustin replies that manâs knowledge is at best limited, and often most limited about the things nearest to him; we do not know the constitution of our bodies; and, above most others, this subject of the origin of the soul is one on which no one but God is a competent witness. Who remembers his birth? Who remembers what was before birth? But this is just one of the subjects on which God has not spoken unambiguously in the Scriptures. Would it not be better, then, for Victor to imitate Augustinas cautious ignorance, than that Augustin should imitate Victoras rash assertion of errors? That the soul is not corporeal, Augustin argues (18a35) from the Scriptures and from the phenomena of dreams; and then shows, in opposition to Victorâs trichotomy, that the Scriptures teach the identity of âsoulâ

and âspiritâ (36â37). The book closes with a renewed enumeration of Victorâs eleven errors (38), and a final admonition to his rashness (39). It is pleasant to know that Augustin found in this case, also, that righteousness is the fruit of the faithful wounds of a friend. Victor accepted the rebuke, and professed his better instruction at the hands of his modest but resistless antagonist.

The controversy now entered upon a new stage. Among the evicted bishops of Italy who refused to sign Zosimusâ Epistola Tractoria, Julian of Eclanum was easily the first, and at this point he appears as the champion of Pelagianism. It was a sad fate that arrayed this beloved son of his old friend against Augustin, just when there seemed to be reason to hope that the controversy was at an end, and the victory won, and the plaudits of the world were greeting him as the saviour of the Church.[121]121 But the now fast-aging bishop was to find, that, in this avery confident young man, a he had yet to meet the most persistent and most dangerous advocate of the new doctrines that had arisen. Julian had sent, at an earlier period, two letters to Zosimus, one of which has come down to us as a aConfession of Faith.a and the other of which attempted to approach Augustinian forms of speech as much as possible; the object of both being to gain standing ground in the Church for the Italian Pelagians. Now he appears as a Pelagian controversialist; and in opposition to the book On Marriage and Concupiscence, which Augustin had sent Valerius, he published an extended work in four thick books addressed to Turbantius. Extracts from the first of these books were sent by some one to Valerius, and were placed by him in the hands of Alypius, who was then in Italy, for transmission to Augustin. Meanwhile, a letter had been sent to Rome by Julian, [122]122 designed to strengthen the cause of Pelagianism there; and a similar one, in the names of the eighteen Pelagianizing Italian bishops, was addressed to Rufus, bishop of Thessalonica, and representative of the Roman see in that portion of the Eastern Empire which was regarded as ecclesiastically a part of the West, the design of which was to obtain the powerful support of this important magnate, perhaps, also, a refuge from persecution within his jurisdiction. These two letters came into the hands of the new Pope, Boniface, who gave them also to Alypius for transmission to Augustin. Thus provided, Alypius returned to Africa. The tactics of all these writings of Julian were essentially the same: he attempted not so much to defend Pelagianism, as to attack Augustinianism, and thus literally to carry the war into Africa. He insisted that the corruption of nature which Augustin taught was nothing else than Manicheism; that the sovereignty of grace, as taught by him, was only the attribution of acceptance of persons, and partiality, to God; and that his doctrine of predestination was mere fatalism. He accused the anti-Pelagians of denying the goodness of the nature that God had created, of the marriage that He had ordained, of the law that He had given, of the free will that He had implanted in man, as well as the perfection of His saints.[123]123 He insisted that this teaching also did dishonour to baptism itself which it professed so to honour, inasmuch as it asserted the continuance of concupiscence after baptism, â and thus taught that baptism does not take away sins, but only shaves them off as one shaves his beard, and leaves the roots whence the sins may grow anew, and need cutting down again. He complained bitterly of the way

in which Pelagianism had been condemned, athat bishops had been compelled to sign a definition of dogma, not in council assembled, but sitting at home; and he demanded a rehearing of the whole case before a lawful council, lest the doctrine of the Manichees should be forced upon the acceptance of the world.

Augustin felt a strong desire to see the whole work of Julian against his book On Marriage and Concupiscence before he undertook a reply to the excerpts sent him by Valerius; but he did not feel justified in delaying obedience to that officeras request, and so wrote at once two treatises, one an answer to these excerpts, for the benefit of Valerius, constituting the second book of his On Marriage and Concupiscence: and the other, a far more elaborate examination of the letters sent by Boniface, which bears the title, Against Two Letters of the Pelagians. The purpose of the second book of On Marriage and Concupiscence, Augustin himself states, in its introductory sentences, to be ato reply to the taunts of his adversaries with all the truthfulness and scriptural authority he could command.â He begins (2) by identifying the source of the extracts forwarded to him by Valerius, with Julianâs work against his first book, and then remarks upon the garbled form in which he is guoted in them (3â6), and passes on to state and refute Julianas charge that the catholics had turned Manicheans (7â9). At this point, the refutation of Julian begins in good earnest, and the method that he proposes to use is stated; viz... to adduce the adverse statements, and refute them one by one (10). Beginning at the beginning, he guotes first the title of the paper sent him, which declares that it is directed against athose who condemn matrimony, and ascribe its fruit to the Devilâ (11), which certainly, says Augustin, does not describe him or the catholics. The next twenty chapters (10a30), accordingly, following Julianas order, labour to prove that marriage is good, and ordained by God, but that its good includes fecundity indeed, but not concupiscence, which arose from sin, and contracts sin. It is next argued, that the doctrine of original sin does not imply an evil origin for man (31a51); and in the course of this argument, the following propositions are especially defended: that God makes offspring for good and bad alike, just as He sends the rain and sunshine on just and unjust (31â34); that God makes everything to be found in marriage except its flaw, concupiscence (35â40): that marriage is not the cause of original sin, but only the channel through which it is transmitted (41â47); and that to assert that evil cannot arise from what is good leaves us in the clutches of that very Manicheism which is so unjustly charged against the catholicsâfor, if evil be not eternal, what else was there from which it could arise but something good? (48â51). In concluding, Augustin recapitulates, and argues especially, that shameful concupiscence is of sin, and the author of sin, and was not in paradise (52a54); that children are made by God, and only marred by the Devil (55); that Julian, in admitting that Christ died for infants, admits that they need salvation (56); that what the Devil makes in children is not a substance, but an injury to a substance (57â58); and that to suppose that concupiscence existed in any form in paradise introduces incongruities in our conception of life in that abode of primeval bliss (59â60).

The long and important treatise, Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, consists of four books, the first of which replies to the letter sent to Rome, and the other three to that sent to Thessalonica. After a short introduction, in which he thanks Boniface for his kindness, and gives reasons why heretical writings should be answered (1â3). Augustin begins at once to rebut the calumnies which the letter before him brings against the catholics (4â28). These are seven in number: 1. That the catholics destroy free will; to which Augustin replies that none are âforced into sin by the necessity of their flesh, â but all sin by free will, though no man can have a righteous will save by Godâs grace, and that it is really the Pelagians that destroy free will by exaggerating it (4â8); 2. That Augustin declares that such marriage as now exists is not of God (9); 3. That sexual desire and intercourse are made a device of the Devil, which is sheer Manicheism (10a11); 4. That the Old-Testament saints are said to have died in sin (12); 5. That Paul and the other apostles are asserted to have been polluted by lust all their days; Augustinâs answer to which includes a running commentary on Rom. vii. 7 sq., in which (correcting his older exegesis) he shows that Paul is giving here a transcript of his own experience as a typical Christian (13â24); 6. That Christ is said not to have been free from sin (25); 7. That baptism does not give complete remission of sins, but leaves roots from which they may again grow: to which Augustin replies that baptism does remit all sins, but leaves concupiscence, which, although not sin, is the source of sin (26â28). Next, the positive part of Julianâs letter is taken up, and his profession of faith against the catholics examined (29â41). The seven affirmations that Julian makes here are designed as the obverse of the seven charges against the catholics. He believed: 1. That free will is in all by nature, and could not perish by Adamâs sin (29); 2. That marriage, as now existent, was ordained by God (30); 3. That sexual impulse and virility are from God (31â35); 4. That men are Godâs work, and no one is forced to do good or evil unwillingly, but are assisted by grace to good, and incited by the Devil to evil (36â38); 5. That the saints of the Old Testament were perfected in righteousness here, and so passed into eternal life (39); 6. That the grace of Christ (ambiguously meant) is necessary for all, and all childrenâeven those of baptized parentsâare to be baptized (40); 7. And that baptism gives full cleansing from all sins; to which Augustin pointedly asks, aWhat does it do for infants, then?a (41). The book concludes with an answer to Julianâs conclusion, in which he demands a general council, and charges the catholics with Manicheism.

The second, third, and fourth books deal with the letter to Rufus in a somewhat similar way, the second and third books being occupied with the calumnies brought against the catholics, and the fourth with the claims made by the Pelagians. The second begins by repelling the charge of Manicheism brought against the catholics (1â4), to which the pointed remark is added, that the Pelagians cannot hope to escape condemnation because they are willing to condemn another heresy; and then defends (with less success) the Roman clergy against the charge of prevarication in their dealing with the Pelagians (5â8), in the course of which all that can be said in defence of Zosimusâ wavering policy is said well and strongly. Next the charges against catholic teaching are taken up and answered (9â16), especially the two

important accusations that they maintain fate under the name of grace (9â12), and that they make God an âaccepter of personsâ (13â16). Augustinâs replies to these charges are in every way admirable. The charge of afatea rests solely on the catholic denial that grace is given according to preceding merits; but the Pelagians do not escape the same charge when they acknowledge that the afatesa of baptized and unbaptized infants do differ. It is, in truth, not a question of âfate,â but of gratuitous bounty; and âit is not the catholics that assert fate under the name of grace, but the Pelagians that choose to call divine grace by the name of afateaa (12). As to aacceptance of persons, a we must define what we mean by that. God certainly does not accept oneâs âpersonâ above anotherâs; He does not give to one rather than to another because He sees something to please Him in one rather than another: quite the opposite. He gives of His bounty to one while giving all their due to all, as in the parable (Matt. xx. 9 sg.). To ask why He does this, is to ask in vain: the apostle answers by not answering (Rom. ix.); and before the dumb infants, who are yet made to differ, all objection to God is dumb. From this point, the book becomes an examination of the Pelagian doctrine of prevenient merit (17â23), concluding that God gives all by grace from the beginning to the end of every process of doing good. 1. He commands the good; 2. He gives the desire to do it; and, 3. He gives the power to do it: and all, of His gratuitous mercy. The third book continues the discussion of the calumnies of the Pelagians against the catholics, and enumerates and answers six of them: viz., that the catholics teach, 1. That the Old-Testament law was given, not to justify the obedient, but to serve as cause of greater sin (2â3); 2. That baptism does not give entire remission of sins, but the baptized are partly Godâs and partly the Devilâs (4â5); 3. That the Holy Ghost did not assist virtue in the Old Testament (6â13); 4. That the Bible saints were not holy, but only less wicked than others (14â15); 5. That Christ was a sinner by necessity of His flesh (doubtless, Julianas inference from the doctrine of race-sin) (16); 6. That men will begin to fulfil Godâs commandments only after the resurrection (17a23). Augustin shows that at the basis of all these calumnies lies either misapprehension or misrepresentation; and, in concluding the book, enumerates the three chief points in the Pelagian heresy, with the five claims growing out of them, of which they most boasted, and then elucidates the mutual relations of the three parties, catholics, Pelagians, and Manicheans, with reference to these points, showing that the catholics stand asunder from both the others, and condemn both (24â27). This conclusion is really a preparation for the fourth book, which takes up these five Pelagian claims, and, after showing the catholic position on them all in brief (1â3), discusses them in turn (4â19): viz., the praise of the creature (4â8), the praise of marriage (9), the praise of the law (10â11), the praise of free will (12â16), and the praise of the saints (17â18). At the end, Augustin calls on the Pelagians to cease to oppose the Manicheans, only to fall into as bad heresy as theirs (19); and then, in reply to their accusation that the catholics were proclaiming novel doctrine, he adduces the testimony of Cyprian and Ambrose, both of whom had received Pelagiusa praise, on each of the three main points of Pelagianism (20â32),[124]124 and then closes with the declaration that the âimpious and foolish doctrine, â as they called it, of the catholics, is immemorial truth (33), and with a

denial of the right of the Pelagians to ask for a general council to condemn them (34). All heresies do not need an ecumenical synod for their condemnation; usually it is best to stamp them out locally, and not allow what may be confined to a corner to disturb the whole world.

These books were written late in 420, or early in 421, and Alypius appears to have conveyed them to Italy during the latter year. Before its close, Augustin, having obtained and read the whole of Julianas attack on the first book of his work On Marriage and Concupiscence, wrote out a complete answer to it.[125]125âa task that he was all the more anxious to complete, on perceiving that the extracts sent by Valerius were not only all from the first book of Julianas treatise, but were somewhat altered in the extracting. The resulting work, Against Julian, one of the longest that he wrote in the whole course of the Pelagian controversy, shows its author at his best: according to Cardinal Norisâs judgment, he appears in it âalmost divine, â and Augustin himself clearly set great store by it. In the first book of this noble treatise, after professing his continued love for Julian, awhom he was unable not to love, whatever he [Julian] should say against himâ (35), he undertakes to show that in affixing the opprobrious name of Manicheans on those who assert original sin, Julian is incriminating many of the most famous fathers, both of the Latin and Greek Churches. In proof of this, he makes appropriate quotations from IrenÃ<sup>l</sup>us, Cyprian, Reticius, Olympius, Hilary, Ambrose, Gregory Nazianzenus, Basil, John of Constantinople.[126]126 Then he argues, that, so far from the catholics falling into Manichean heresy, Julian plays, himself, into the hands of the Manicheans in their strife against the catholics, by many unguarded statements, such as, e.g., when he says that an evil thing cannot arise from what is good, that the work of the Devil cannot be suffered to be diffused by means of a work of God, that a root of evil cannot be placed within a gift of God, and the like. The second book advances to greater detail, and adduces the five great arguments which the Pelagians urged against the catholics, in order to test them by the voice of antiquity. These arguments are stated as follows (2): aFor you say, aThat we, by asserting original sin, affirm that the Devil is the maker of infants, condemn marriage, deny that all sins are remitted in baptism, accuse God of the guilt of sin, and produce despair of perfection. a You contend that all these are consequences, if we believe that infants are born bound by the sin of the first man, and are therefore under the Devil unless they are born again in Christ. For, alt is the Devil that creates, a you say, aif they are created from that wound which the Devil inflicted on the human nature that was made at first.â âAnd marriage is condemned, a you say, aif it is to be believed to have something about it whence it produces those worthy of condemnation.â âAnd all sins are not remitted in baptism,â you say, âif there remains any evil in baptized couples whence evil offspring are produced â âAnd how is God, a you ask, anot unjust, if He, while remitting their own sins to baptized persons, yet condemns their offspring, inasmuch as, although it is created by Him, it yet ignorantly and involuntarily contracts the sins of others from those very parents to whom they are remitted?â âNor can men believe,â you add, âthat virtueâto which corruption is to be understood to be contraryacan be perfected, if they cannot believe that it can destroy the inbred vices, although, no

doubt, these can scarcely be considered vices, since he does not sin, who is unable to be other than he was created.ââ These arguments are then tested, one by one, by the authority of the earlier teachers who were appealed to in the first book, and shown to be condemned by them. The remaining four books follow Julianas four books, argument by argument, refuting him in detail. In the third book it is urged that although God is good, and made man good, and instituted marriage which is, therefore, good, nevertheless concupiscence is evil, and in it the flesh lusts against the spirit. Although chaste spouses use this evil well, continent believers do better in not using it at all. It is pointed out, how far all this is from the madness of the Manicheans, who dream of matter as essentially evil and co-eternal with God; and shown that evil concupiscence sprang from Adamâs disobedience and. being transmitted to us, can be removed only by Christ. It is shown, also, that Julian himself confesses lust to be evil, inasmuch as he speaks of remedies against it, wishes it to be bridled, and speaks of the continent waging a glorious warfare. The fourth book follows the second book of Julianas work, and makes two chief contentions: that unbelievers have no true virtues, and that even the heathen recognize concupiscence as evil. It also argues that grace is not given according to merit, and yet is not to be confounded with fate; and explains the text that asserts that aGod wishes all men to be saved.a in the sense that all mena means all that are to be saved since none are saved except by His will.[127]127 The fifth book, in like manner, follows Julianâs third book, and treats of such subjects as these: that it is due to sin that any infants are lost; that shame arose in our first parents through sin; that sin can well be the punishment of preceding sin; that concupiscence is always evil, even in those who do not assent to it; that true marriage may exist without intercourse; that the aflesha of Christ differs from the asinful fleshâ of other men; and the like. In the sixth book, Julianâs fourth book is followed, and original sin is proved from the baptism of infants, the teaching of the apostles, and the rites of exorcism and exsufflation incorporated in the form of baptism. Then, by the help of the illustration drawn from the olive and the oleaster, it is explained how Christian parents can produce unregenerate offspring; and the originally voluntary character of sin is asserted, even though it now comes by inheritance.

After the completion of this important work, there succeeded a lull in the controversy, of some years duration; and the calm refutation of Pelagianism and exposition of Christian grace, which Augustin gave in his Enchiridion,[128]128 might well have seemed to him his closing word on this all-absorbing subject. But he had not yet given the world all he had in treasure for it, and we can rejoice in the chance that five or six years afterwards drew from him a renewed discussion of some of the more important aspects of the doctrine of grace. The circumstances which brought this about are sufficiently interesting in themselves, and open up to us an unwonted view into the monastic life of the times. There was an important monastery at Adrumetum, the metropolitan city of the province of Byzacium,[129]129 from which a monk named Florus went out on a journey of charity to his native country of Uzalis about 426. On the journey he met with Augustinâs letter to Sixtus,[130]130 in which the doctrines of gratuitous and

prevenient grace were expounded. He was much delighted with it, and, procuring a copy, sent it back to his monastery for the edification of his brethren, while he himself went on to Carthage. At the monastery, the letter created great disturbance: without the knowledge of the abbot. Valentinus, it was read aloud to the monks, many of whom were unskilled in theological questions; and some five or more were greatly offended, and declared that free will was destroyed by it. A secret strife arose among the brethren, some taking extreme grounds on both sides. Of all this, Valentinus remained ignorant until the return of Florus, who was attacked as the author of all the trouble, and who felt it his duty to inform the abbot of the state of affairs. Valentinus applied first to the bishop, Evodius, for such instruction as would make Augustinas letter clear to the most simple. Evodius replied, praising their zeal and deprecating their contentiousness, and explaining that Adam had full free will, but that it is now wounded and weak, and Christâs mission was as a physician to cure and recuperate it. âLet them read, â is his prescription, âthe words of Godâs elders.â, And when they do not understand, let them not quickly reprehend, but pray to understand.â This did not, however, cure the malecontents, and the holy presbyter Sabrinus was appealed to, and sent a book with clear interpretations. But neither was this satisfactory; and Valentinus, at last, reluctantly consented that Augustin himself should be consulted, afearing, he says, lest by making inquiries he should seem to waver about the truth. Two members of the community were consequently permitted to journey to Hippo, but they took with them no introduction and no commendation from their abbot. Augustin, nevertheless, received them without hesitation, as they bore themselves with too great simplicity to allow him to suspect them of deception. Now we get a glimpse of life in the great bishopas monastic home. The monks told their story, and were listened to with courtesy and instructed with patience; and, as they were anxious to get home before Easter, they received a letter for Valentinus[131]131 in which Augustin briefly explains the nature of the misapprehension that had arisen, and points out that both grace and free will must be defended, and neither so exaggerated as to deny the other. The letter of Sixtus, he explains, was written against the Pelagians, who assert that grace is given according to merit, and briefly expounds the true doctrine of grace as necessarily gratuitous and therefore prevenient. When the monks were on the point of starting home, they were joined by a third companion from Adrumetum, and were led to prolong their visit. This gave him the opportunity he craved for their fuller instruction: he read with them and explained to them not only his letter to Sixtus, from which the strife had risen, but much of the chief literature of the Pelagian controversy.[132]132 copies of which also were made for them to take home with them; and when they were ready to go, he sent by them another and longer letter to Valentinus, and placed in their hands a treatise composed for their especial use, which, moreover, he explained to them. This longer letter is essentially an exhortation âto turn aside neither to the right hand nor to the left,ââneither to the left hand of the Pelagian error of upholding free will in such a manner as to deny grace, nor to the right hand of the equal error of so upholding grace as if we might yield ourselves to evil with impunity. Both grace and free will are to be proclaimed; and it is true both that grace is not given to merits, and that we are to be

judged at the last day according to our works. The treatise which Augustin composed for a fuller exposition of these doctrines is the important work On Grace and Free Will. After a brief introduction, explaining the occasion of his writing, and exhorting the monks to humility and teachableness before Godâs revelations (1). Augustin begins by asserting and proving the two propositions that the Scriptures clearly teach that man has free will (2â5), and, as clearly, the necessity of grace for doing any good (6â9). He then examines the passages which the Pelagians claim as teaching that we must first turn to God, before He visits us with His grace (10a11), and then undertakes to show that grace is not given to merit (12 sq.), appealing especially to Paulâs teaching and example, and replying to the assertion that forgiveness is the only grace that is not given according to our merits (15â18), and to the query, âHow can eternal life be both of grace and of reward?â (19â21). The nature of grace, what it is, is next explained (22 sq.). It is not the law, which gives only knowledge of sin (22â24), nor nature, which would render Christâs death needless (25), nor mere forgiveness of sins, as the Lordâs Prayer (which should be read with Cyprianas comments on it) is enough to show (26). Nor will it do to say that it is given to the merit of a good will, thus distinguishing the good work which is of grace from the good will which precedes grace (27â30); for the Scriptures oppose this, and our prayers for others prove that we expect God to be the first mover, as indeed both Scripture and experience prove that He is. It is next shown that both free will and grace are concerned in the heartâs conversion (31â32), and that love is the spring of all good in man (33â40), which, however, we have only because God first loved us (38), and which is certainly greater than knowledge, although the Pelagians admit only the latter to be from God (40). Godâs sovereign government of menâs wills is then proved from Scripture (41â43), and the wholly gratuitous character of grace is illustrated (44), while the only possible theodicy is found in the certainty that the Lord of all the earth will do right. For, though no one knows why He takes one and leaves another, we all know that He hardens judicially and saves graciously, athat He hardens none who do not deserve hardening, but none that He saves deserve to be saved (45). The treatise closes with an exhortation to its prayerful and repeated study (46).

The one request that Augustin made, on sending this work to Valentinus, was that Florus, through whom the controversy had arisen, should be sent to him, that he might converse with him and learn whether he had been misunderstood, or himself had misunderstood Augustin. In due time Florus arrived at Hippo, bringing a letter[133]133 from Valentinus which addresses Augustin as âLord Popeâ (domine papa), thanks him for his asweeta and ahealing instruction, and introduces Florus as one whose true faith could be confided in. It is very clear, both from Valentinusâ letter and from the hints that Augustin gives, that his loving dealing with the monks had borne admirable fruit: anone were cast down for the worse, some were built up for the better.a[134]134 But it was reported to him that some one at the monastery had objected to the doctrine he had taught them, that ano man ought, then, to be rebuked for not keeping Godas commandments; but only God should be besought that he might keep them.a[135]135 In other words, it was said that if all good was, in the last resort,

from Godâs grace, man ought not to be blamed for not doing what he could not do, but God ought to be besought to do for man what He alone could do: we ought, in a word, to apply to the source of power. This occasioned the composition of yet another treatise On Rebuke and Grace, [136]136 the object of which was to explain the relations of grace to human conduct, and especially to make it plain that the sovereignty of Godas grace does not supersede our duty to ourselves or our fellow-men. It begins by thanking Valentinus for his letter and for sending Florus (whom Augustin finds well instructed in the truth), thanking God for the good effect of the previous book, and recommending its continued study, and then by briefly expounding the Catholic faith concerning grace, free-will, and the law (1a2). The general proposition that is defended is that the gratuitous sovereignty of Godâs grace does not supersede human means for obtaining and continuing it (3 sq.). This is shown by the apostleas example, who used all human means for the prosecution of his work, and yet confessed that it was aGod that gave the increasea (3). Objections are then answered (4 sq.), aespecially the great one that ait is not my fault if I do not do what I have not received grace for doingâ (6); to which Augustin replies (7â10), that we deserve rebuke for our very unwillingness to be rebuked, that on the same reasoning the prescription of the law and the preaching of the gospel would be useless, that the apostleas example opposes such a position, and that our consciousness witnesses that we deserve rebuke for not persevering in the right way. From this point an important discussion arises, in this interest, of the gift of perseverance (11a19), and of Godas election (20224); the teaching being that no one is saved who does not persevere, and all that are predestinated or acalled according to the purposeâ (Augustinâs phrase for what we should call âeffectual callingâ) will persevere, and yet that we co-operate by our will in all good deeds, and deserve rebuke if we do not. Whether Adam received the gift of perseverance, and, in general, the difference between the grace given to him (which was that grace by which he could stand) and that now given to Godâs children (which is that grace by which we are actually made to stand), are next discussed (26â38), with the result of showing the superior greatness of the gifts of grace now to those given before the fall. The necessity of Godas mercy at all times, and our constant dependence on it, are next vigorously asserted (39â42); even in the day of judgment, if we are not judged awith mercya we cannot be saved (41). The treatise is brought to an end by a concluding application of the whole discussion to the special matter in hand, rebuke (43â49). Seeing that rebuke is one of Godâs means of working out his gracious purposes, it cannot be inconsistent with the sovereignty of that grace; for, of course, God predestinates the means with the end (43). Nor can we know, in our ignorance, whether our rebuke is, in any particular case, to be the means of amendment or the ground of greater condemnation. How dare we, then, withhold it? Let it be, however, graduated to the fault, and let us always remember its purpose (46â48). Above all, let us not dare hold it back, lest we hold back from our brother the means of his recovery, and, as well, disobey the command of God (49).

It was not long afterwards (about 427) when Augustin was called upon to attempt to reclaim a Carthaginian brother, Vitalis by name, who had been brought to trial on the charge of teaching that the beginning of faith was not the gift of God, but the act of manas own free will (ex propria voluntatis). This was essentially the semi-Pelagian position which was subsequently to make so large a figure in history; and Augustin treats it now as necessarily implying the basal idea of Pelagianism. In the important letter which he sent to Vitalis,[137]137 he first argues that his position is inconsistent with the prayers of the church. He, Augustin, prays that Vitalis may come to the true faith; but does not this prayer ascribe the origination of right faith to God? The Church so prays for all men: the priest at the altar exhorts the people to pray God for unbelievers, that He may convert them to the faith; for catechumens, that He may breathe into them a desire for regeneration; for the faithful, that by His aid they may persevere in what they have begun: will Vitalis refuse to obey these exhortations, because, forsooth, faith is of free will and not of Godâs gift? Nay, will a Carthaginian scholar array himself against Cyprianâs exposition of the Lordâs Prayer? for he certainly teaches that we are to ask of God what Vitalis says is to be had of ourselves. We may go farther: it is not Cyprian, but Paul, who says, aLet us pray to God that we do no evilâ (2 Cor. xiii. 7); it is the Psalmist who says, âThe steps of man are directed by Godâ (Ps. xxxvi. 23). âlf we wish to defend free will, let us not strive against that by which it is made free. For he who strives against grace, by which the will is made free for refusing evil and doing good, wishes his will to remain captive. Tell us, I beg you, how the apostle can say, aWe give thanks to the Father who made us fit to have our lot with the saints in light, who delivered us from the power of darkness, and translated us into the kingdom of the Son of His loveâ (Col. i. 12, 13), if not He, but itself, frees our choice? It is, then, a false rendering of thanks to God, as if He does what He does not do; and he has erred who has said that âHe makes us fit, etc.â âThe grace of God,â therefore, does not consist in the nature of free-will, and in law and teaching, as the Pelagian perversity dreams; but it is given for each single act by His will, concerning whom it is written, ââquoting Ps. Ixvii. 10. About the middle of the letter, Augustin lays down twelve propositions against the Pelagians, which are important as communicating to us what he thought, at the end of the controversy, were the chief points in dispute. âSince, therefore, â he writes, âwe are catholic Christians: 1. We know that new-born children have not vet done anything in their own lives, good or evil, neither have they come into the miseries of this life according to the deserts of some previous life, which none of them can have had in their own persons; and yet, because they are born carnally after Adam, they contract the contagion of ancient death, by the first birth, and are not freed from the punishment of eternal death (which is contracted by a just condemnation, passing over from one to all), except they are by grace born again in Christ. 2. We know that the grace of God is given neither to children nor to adults according to our deserts. 3. We know that it is given to adults for each several act. 4. We know that it is not given to all men; and to those to whom it is given, it is not only not given according to the merits of works, but it is not even given to them according to the merits of their will; and this is especially apparent in children. 5. We know that to those to whom it is given, it is given by the gratuitous mercy of God. 6. We know that to those to whom it is not

given, it is not given by the just judgment of God. 7. We know that we shall all stand before the tribunal of Christ, and each shall receive according to what he has done through the body, anot according to what he would have done, had he lived longer, awhether good or evil. 8. We know that even children are to receive according to what they have done through the body, whether good or evil. But according to what athey have donea not by their own act, but by the act of those by whose responses for them they are said both to renounce the Devil and to believe in God, wherefore they are counted among the number of the faithful, and have part in the statement of the Lord when He says, âWhosoever shall believe and be baptized, shall be saved.â Therefore also, to those who do not receive this sacrament, belongs what follows, âBut whosoever shall not have believed, shall be damnedâ (Mark xvi. 16). Whence these too, as I have said, if they die in that early age, are judged, of course, according to what they have done through the body, i.e., in the time in which they were in the body, when they believe or do not believe by the heart and mouth of their sponsors, when they are baptized or not baptized, when they eat or do not eat the flesh of Christ, when they drink or do not drink His blood, according to those things, then, which they have done through the body, not according to those which, had they lived longer, they would have done. 9. We know that blessed are the dead that die in the Lord; and that what they would have done had they lived longer, is not imputed to them. 10. We know that those that believe, with their own heart, in the Lord, do so by their own free will and choice. 11. We know that we who already believe act with right faith towards those who do not wish to believe, when we pray to God that they may wish it. 12. We know that for those who have believed out of this number, we both ought and are rightly and truly accustomed to return thanks to God, as for his benefits.â Certainly such a body of propositions commends their author to us as Christian both in head and heart: they are admirable in every respect; and even in the matter of the salvation of infants, where he had not yet seen the light of truth, he expresses himself in a way as engaging in its hearty faith in Godâs goodness as it is honorable in its loyalty to what he believed to be truth and justice. Here his doctrine of the Church ran athwart and clouded his view of the reach of grace; but we seem to see between the lines the promise of the brighter dawn of truth that was yet to come. The rest of the epistle is occupied with an exposition and commendation of these propositions, which ranks with the richest passages of the anti-Pelagian writings, and which breathes everywhere a yearning for his correspondent which we cannot help hoping proved salutary to his faith.

It is not without significance, that the error of Vitalis took a semi-Pelagian form. Pure Pelagianism was by this time no longer a living issue. Augustin was himself, no doubt, not yet done with it. The second book of his treatise On Marriage and Concupiscence, which seems to have been taken to Italy by Alypius, in 421, received at once the attention of Julian, and was elaborately answered by him, during that same year, in eight books addressed to Florus. But Julian was now in Cilicia, and his book was slow in working its way westward. It was found at Rome by Alypius, apparently in 427 or 428, and he at once set about transcribing it for his friendâs use. An opportunity arising to send it to Africa before it was finished, he forwarded to Augustin the five books that were ready, with an urgent request that they should receive his immediate attention, and a promise to send the other three as soon as possible. Augustin gives an account of his progress in his reply to them in a letter written to Quodyultdeus, apparently in 428.[138]138 This deacon was urging Augustin to give the Church a succinct account of all heresies; and Augustin excuses himself from immediately undertaking that task by the press of work on his hands. He was writing his Retractations, and had already finished two books of them, in which he had dealt with two hundred and thirty-two works. His letters and homilies remained and he had given the necessary reading to many of the letters. Also, he tells his correspondent, he was engaged on a reply to the eight books of Julianas new work. Working night and day, he had already completed his response to the first three of Julianas books, and had begun on the fourth while still expecting the arrival of the last three which Alypius had promised to send. If he had completed the answer to the five books of Julian which he already had in hand, before the other three reached him, he might begin the work which Quodvultdeus so earnestly desired him to undertake. In due time, whatever may have been the trials and labours that needed first to be met, the desired treatise On Heresies was written (about 428), and the eighty-eighth chapter of it gives us a welcome compressed account of the Pelagian heresy, which may be accepted as the obverse of the account of catholic truth given in the letter to Vitalis.[139]139 But the composition of this work was not the only interruption which postponed the completion of the second elaborate work against Julian. It was in the providence of God that the life of this great leader in the battle for grace should be prolonged until he could deal with semi-Pelagianism also. Information as to the rise of this new form of the heresy at Marseilles and elsewhere in Southern Gaul was conveyed to Augustin along with entreaties, that, as afaithas great patron, a he would give his aid towards meeting it, by two laymen with whom he had already had correspondence, âProsper and Hilary. [140]140 They pointed out [141]141 the difference between the new party and thorough-going Pelagianism; but, at the same time, the essentially Pelagianizing character of its formative elements. Its representatives were ready, as a rule, to admit that all men were lost in Adam, and no one could recover himself by his own free will, but all needed Godâs grace for salvation. But they objected to the doctrines of prevenient and of irresistible grace; and asserted that man could initiate the process of salvation by turning first to God, that all men could resist Godas grace, and no grace could be given which they could not reject, and especially they denied that the gifts of grace came irrespective of merits, actual or foreseen. They said that what Augustin taught as to the calling of Godâs elect according to His own purpose was tantamount to fatalism, was contrary to the teaching of the fathers and the true Church doctrine, and, even if true, should not be preached, because of its tendency to drive men into indifference or despair. Hence, Prosper especially desired Augustin to point out the dangerous nature of these views, and to show that prevenient and co-operating grace is not inconsistent with free will, that Godâs predestination is not founded on foresight of receptivity in its objects, and that the doctrines of grace may be preached without danger to souls.

Augustinas answer to these appeals was a work in two books. On the Predestination of the Saints, the second book of which is usually known under the separate title of The Gift of Perseverance. The former book begins with a careful discrimination of the position of his new opponents: they have made a right beginning in that they believe in original sin, and acknowledge that none are saved from it save by Christ, and that Godâs grace leads menâs wills, and without grace no one can suffice for good deeds. These things will furnish a good starting-point for their progress to an acceptance of predestination also (1â2). The first question that needs discussion in such circumstances is, whether God gives the very beginnings of faith (3 sq.); since they admit that what Augustin had previously urged sufficed to prove that faith was the gift of God so far as that the increase of faith was given by Him, but not so far but that the beginning of faith may be understood to be manas, to which, then, God adds all other gifts (compare 43). Augustin insists that this is no other than the Pelagian assertion of grace according to merit (3), is opposed to Scripture (423), and begets arrogant boasting in ourselves (6). He replies to the objection that he had himself once held this view, by confessing it, and explaining that he was converted from it by 1 Cor. iv. 7, as applied by Cyprian (7â8), and expounds that verse as containing in its narrow compass a sufficient answer to the present theories (9â11). He answers, further, the objection that the apostle distinguishes faith from works, and works alone are meant in such passages, by pointing to John vi. 28, and similar statements in Paul (12â16). Then he answers the objection that he himself had previously taught that God acted on foresight of faith, by showing that he was misunderstood (17a18). He next shows that no objection lies against predestination that does not lie with equal force against grace (19a22), asince predestination is nothing but Godas foreknowledge of and preparation for grace, and all questions of sovereignty and the like belong to grace. Did God not know to whom he was going to give faith (19)? or did he promise the results of faith, works, without promising the faith without which, as going before, the works were impossible? Would not this place Godâs fulfilment of his promise out of His power, and make it depend on man (20)? Why are men more willing to trust in their weakness than in Godâs strength? do they count Godâs promises more uncertain than their own performance (22)? He next proves the sovereignty of grace, and of predestination, which is but the preparation for grace, by the striking examples of infants, and, above all, of the human nature of Christ (23â31), and then speaks of the twofold calling, one external and one aaccording to purpose, aathe latter of which is efficacious and sovereign (32â37). In closing, the semi-Pelagian position is carefully defined and refuted as opposed, alike with the grosser Pelagianism, to the Scriptures of both Testaments (38â42).

The purpose of the second book, which has come down to us under the separate title of On the Gift of Perseverance, is to show that that perseverance which endures to the end is as much of God as the beginning of faith, and that no man who has been âcalled according to Godâs purpose,â and has received this gift, can fall from grace and be lost. The first half of the treatise is devoted to this theme (1â33).

It begins by distinguishing between temporary perseverance, which endures for a time, and that which continues to the end (1), and affirms that the latter is certainly a gift of Godas grace, and is, therefore, asked from God which would otherwise be but a mocking petition (2â3). This, the Lordâs Praver itself might teach us, as under Cyprianâs exposition it does teach us, âeach petition being capable of being read as a prayer for perseverance (4â9). Of course, moreover, it cannot be lost, otherwise it would not be ato the end.a If man forsakes God, of course it is he that does it, and he is doubtless under continual temptation to do so; but if he abides with God, it is God who secures that, and God is equally able to keep one when drawn to Him, as He is to draw him to Him (10a15). He argues anew at this point, that grace is not according to merit, but always in mercy: and explains and illustrates the unsearchable ways of God in His sovereign but merciful dealing with men (16a25), and closes this part of the treatise by a defence of himself against adverse quotations from his early work on Free Will, which he has already corrected in his Retractations. The second half of the book discusses the objections that were being urged against the preaching of predestination (34â62), as if it opposed and enervated the preaching of the Gospel. He replies that Paul and the apostles, and Cyprian and the fathers, preached both together; that the same objections will lie against the preaching of Godâs foreknowledge and grace itself, and, indeed, against preaching any of the virtues, as, e.g., obedience, while declaring them Godâs gifts. He meets the objections in detail, and shows that such preaching is food to the soul, and must not be withheld from men; but explains that it must be given gently, wisely, and prayerfully. The whole treatise ends with an appeal to the prayers of the Church as testifying that all good is from God (63â65), and to the great example of unmerited grace and sovereign predestination in the choice of one human nature without preceding merit, to be united in one person with the Eternal Word, an illustration of his theme of the gratuitous grace of God which he is never tired of adducing (66â67).

These books were written in 428â429, and after their completion the unfinished work against Julian was resumed. Alypius had sent the remaining three books, and Augustin slowly toiled on to the end of his reply to the sixth book. But he was to be interrupted once more, and this time by the most serious of all interruptions. On the 28th of August, 430, with the Vandals thundering at the gates of Hippo, full of good works and of faith, he turned his face away from the strifesawhether theological or secularaof earth, and entered into rest with the Lord whom he loved. The last work against Julian was already one of the most considerable in size of all his books; but it was never finished, and retains until to-day the significant title of The Unfinished Work. Augustin had hesitated to undertake this work, because he found Julianâs arguments too silly either to deserve refutation, or to afford occasion for really edifying discourse. And certainly the result falls below Augustinas usual level, though this is not due, as is so often said, to failing powers and great age; for nothing that he wrote surpasses in mellow beauty and chastened strength the two books. On the Predestination of the Saints, which were written after four books of this work were completed. The plan of

the work is to state Julianas arguments in his own words, and follow it with his remarks; thus giving it something of the form of a dialogue. It follows Julianâs work, book by book. The first book states and answers certain calumnies which Julian had brought against Augustin and the catholic faith on the ground of their confession of original sin. Julian had argued, that, since God is just, He cannot impute anotheras sins to innocent infants; since sin is nothing but evil will, there can be no sin in infants who are not yet in the use of their will; and, since the freedom of will that is given to man consists in the capacity of both sinning and not sinning, free will is denied to those who attribute sin to nature. Augustin replies to these arguments, and answers certain objections that are made to his work On Marriage and Concupiscence, and then corrects Julianas false explanations of certain Scriptures from John viii., Rom. vi., vii., and 2 Timothy. The second book is a discussion of Rom. v. 12, which Julian had tried, like the other Pelagians, to explain by the âimitationâ of Adamâs bad example. The third book examines the abuse by Julian of certain Old-Testament passagesâin Deut. xxiv., 2 Kings xiv., Ezek, xviji, âin his effort to show that God does not impute the fatherâs sins to the children; as well as his similar abuse of Heb. xi. The charge of Manicheism, which was so repetitiously brought by Julian against the catholics, is then examined and refuted. The fourth book treats of Julianâs strictures on Augustinâs On Marriage and Concupiscence ii. 4a11, and proves from 1 John ii. 16 that concupiscence is evil, and not the work of God, but of the Devil. He argues that the shame that accompanies it is due to its sinfulness. and that there was none of it in Christ; also, that infants are born obnoxious to the first sin, and proves the corruption of their origin from Wisd. x. 10, 11. The fifth book defends On Marriage and Concupiscence ii. 12 sq., and argues that a sound nature could not have shame on account of its members, and the need of regeneration for what is generated by means of shameful concupiscence. Then Julianâs abuse of 1 Cor. xv., Rom. v., Matt. vii. 17 and 33, with reference to On Marriage and Concupiscence ii. 14, 20, 26, is discussed; and then the origin of evil, and Godâs treatment of evil in the world. The sixth book traverses Julianas strictures on On Marriage and Concupiscence ii. 34 sg., and argues that human nature was changed for the worse by the sin of Adam, and thus was made not only sinful, but the source of sinners: and that the forces of free will by which man could at first do rightly if he wished, and refrain from sin if he chose, were lost by Adamâs sin. He attacks Julianâs definition of free will as athe capacity for sinning and not sinninga (possibilitas peccandi et non peccandi); and proves that the evils of this life are the punishment of sin, aincluding, first of all, physical death. At the end, he treats of 1 Cor. xv. 22.

Although the great preacher of grace was taken away by death before the completion of this book, yet his work was not left incomplete. In the course of the next year (431) the Ecumenical Council of Ephesus condemned Pelagianism for the whole world; and an elaborate treatise against the pure Pelagianism of Julian was already in 430 an anachronism. Semi-Pelagianism was yet to run its course, and to work its way so into the heart of a corrupt church as not to be easily displaced; but Pelagianism was to die with the first generation of its advocates. As we look back now through the almost millennium and a half of years that has intervened since Augustin lived and wrote, it is to his Predestination of the Saints,âa completed, and well-completed, treatise,âand not to The Unfinished Work, that we look as the crown and completion of his labours for grace.

## IV. The Theology of Grace.

The theology which Augustin opposed, in his anti-Pelagian writings, to the errors of Pelagianism, is, shortly, the theology of grace. Its roots were planted deeply in his own experience, and in the teachings of Scripture, especially of that apostle whom he delights to call athe great preacher of grace, and to follow whom, in his measure, was his greatest desire. The grace of God in Jesus Christ, conveyed to us by the Holy Spirit and evidenced by the love that He sheds abroad in our hearts, is the centre around which this whole side [142]142 of His system revolves, and the germ out of which it grows. He was the more able to make it thus central because of the harmony of this view of salvation with the general principle of his whole theology, which was theocentric and revolved around his conception of God as the immanent and vital spirit in whom all things live and move and have their being.[143]143 In like manner, God is the absolute good, and all good is either Himself or from Him; and only as God makes us good, are we able to do anything good.

The necessity of grace to man, Augustin argued from the condition of the race as partakers of Adamâs sin. God created man upright, and endowed him with human faculties, including free will;[144]144 and gave to him freely that grace by which he was able to retain his uprightness.[145]145 Being thus put on probation,[146]146 with divine aid to enable him to stand if he chose, Adam used his free choice for sinning, and involved his whole race in his fall.[147]147 It was on account of this sin that he died physically and spiritually, and this double death passes over from him to us.[148]148 That all his descendants by ordinary generation are partakers in Adamâs guilt and condemnation, Augustin is sure from the teachings of Scripture; and this is the fact of original sin, from which no one generated from Adam is free, and from which no one is freed save as regenerated in Christ.[149]149 But how we are made partakers of it. he is less certain: sometimes he speaks as if it came by some mysterious unity of the race, so that we were all personally present in the individual Adam, and thus the whole race was the one man that sinned; [150]150 sometimes he speaks more in the sense of modern realists, as if Adamâs sin corrupted the nature, and the nature now corrupts those to whom it is communicated: [151]151 sometimes he speaks as if it were due to simple heredity;[152]152 sometimes, again, as if it depended on the presence of shameful concupiscence in the act of procreation, so that the propagation of guilt depends on the propagation of offspring by means of concupiscence.[153]153 However transmitted, it is yet a fact that sin is propagated, and all mankind became sinners in Adam. The result of this is that we have lost the divine image, though not in such a sense that no lineaments of it remain to us;[154]154 and, the sinning soul making the flesh corruptible, our whole nature is corrupted, and we are unable to do anything of ourselves truly

good.[155]155 This includes, of course, an injury to our will. Augustin, writing for the popular eye, treats this subject in popular language. But it is clear that he distinguished, in his thinking, between will as a faculty and will in a broader sense. As a mere faculty, will is and always remains an indifferent thing,[156]156âafter the fall, as before it, continuing poised in indifferency, and ready, like a weathercock, to be turned whithersoever the breeze that blows from the heart (awill, a in the broader sense) may direct.[157]157 It is not the faculty of willing. but the man who makes use of that faculty, that has suffered change from the fall. In paradise man stood in full ability: he had the posse non peccare, but not yet the non posse peccare; [158]158 that is, he was endowed with a capacity for either part, and possessed the grace of God by which he was able to stand if he would, but also the power of free will by which he might fall if he would. By his fall he has suffered a change, is corrupt, and under the power of Satan; his will (in the broader sense) is now injured, wounded, diseased, enslaved, although the faculty of will (in the narrow sense) remains indifferent.[159]159 Augustinas criticism of Pelagiusa discrimination[160]160 of acapacitya (possibilitas, posse), awilla (voluntas, velle), and âactâ (actio, esse), does not turn on the discrimination itself, but on the incongruity of placing the power, ability in the mere capacity or possibility, rather than in the living agent who awillsa and aacts.a He himself adopts an essentially similar distribution, with only this correction; [161]161 and thus keeps the faculty of will indifferent, but places the power of using it in the active agent, man. According, then, to the character of this man, will the use of the free will be. If the man be holy he will make a holy use of it, and if he be corrupt he will make a sinful use of it: if he be essentially holy, he cannot (like God Himself) make a sinful use of his will; and if he be enslaved to sin, he cannot make a good use of it. The last is the present condition of men by nature. They have free will;[162]162 the faculty by which they act remains in indifferency, and they are allowed to use it just as they choose: but such as they cannot desire and therefore cannot choose anything but evil;[163]163 and therefore they, and therefore their choice, and therefore their willing, is always evil and never good. They are thus the slaves of sin, which they obey; and while their free will avails for sinning, it does not avail for doing any good unless they be first freed by the grace of God. It is undeniable that this view is in consonance with modern psychology: let us once conceive of athe willa as simply the whole man in the attitude of willing, and it is immediately evident, that, however abstractly free the awilla is, it is conditioned and enslaved in all its action by the character of the willing agent: a bad man does not cease to be bad in the act of willing, and a good man remains good even in his acts of choice.

In its nature, grace is assistance, help from God; and all divine aid may be included under the term,âas well what may be called natural, as what may be called spiritual, aid.[164]164 Spiritual grace includes, no doubt, all external help that God gives man for working out his salvation, such as the law, the preaching of the gospel, the example of Christ, by which we may learn the right way; it includes also forgiveness of sins, by which we are freed from the guilt already incurred; but above all it includes that help which God gives by His Holy Spirit, working within, not without, by which man is enabled to choose and to do what he sees, by the teachings of the law, or by the gospel, or by the natural conscience, to be right. [165]165 Within this aid are included all those spiritual exercises which we call regeneration, justification, perseverance to the end, ain a word, all the divine assistance by which, in being made Christians, we are made to differ from other men. Augustin is fond of representing this grace as in essence the writing of Godas law (or of Godas will) on our hearts, so that it appears hereafter as our own desire and wish; and even more prevalently as the shedding abroad of love in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, given to us in Christ Jesus; therefore, as a change of disposition, by which we come to love and freely choose, in co-operation with Godâs aid, just the things which hitherto we have been unable to choose because in bondage to sin. Grace, thus, does not make void free will: [166]166 it acts through free will, and acts upon it only by liberating it from its bondage to sin, i.e., by liberating the agent that uses the free will, so that he is no longer enslaved by his fleshly lusts, and is enabled to make use of his free will in choosing the good; and thus it is only by grace that free will is enabled to act in good part. But just because grace changes the disposition, and so enables man, hitherto enslaved to sin, for the first time to desire and use his free will for good, it lies in the very nature of the case that it is prevenient. [167]167 Also, as the very name imports, it is necessarily gratuitous;[168]168 since man is enslaved to sin until it is given, all the merits that he can have prior to it are bad merits, and deserve punishment, not gifts of favour. When, then, it is asked, on the ground of what, grace is given, it can only be answered, aon the ground of Godas infinite mercy and undeserved favour a[169]169 There is nothing in man to merit it, and it first gives merit of good to man. All men alike deserve death, and all that comes to them in the way of blessing is necessarily of Godâs free and unmerited favour. This is equally true of all grace. It is pre-eminently clear of that grace which gives faith, the root of all other graces, which is given of God, not to merits of good-will or incipient turning to Him, but of His sovereign good pleasure.[170]170 But equally with faith, it is true of all other divine gifts: we may, indeed, speak of âmerits of goodâ as succeeding faith; but as all these merits find their root in faith, they are but agrace on grace.a and men need Godâs mercy always, throughout this life, and even on the judgment day itself, when, if they are judged without mercy, they must be condemned [171]171 If we ask, then, why God gives grace, we can only answer that it is of His unspeakable mercy; and if we ask why He gives it to one rather than to another, what can we answer but that it is of His will? The sovereignty of grace results from its very gratuitousness: [172]172 where none deserve it, it can be given only of the sovereign good pleasure of the great Giver, and this is necessarily inscrutable, but cannot be unjust. We can faintly perceive, indeed, some reasons why God may be supposed not to have chosen to give His saving grace to all, [173]173 or even to the most; [174]174 but we cannot understand why He has chosen to give it to just the individuals to whom He has given it, and to withhold it from just those from whom He has withheld it. Here we are driven to the apostleas cry, aOh the depth of the riches both of the mercy and the

# justice of God!â[175]175

The effects of grace are according to its nature. Taken as a whole, it is the recreative principle sent forth from God for the recovery of man from his slavery to sin, and for his reformation in the divine image. Considered as to the time of its giving, it is either operating or co-operating grace, i.e., either the grace that first enables the will to choose the good, or the grace that co-operates with the already enabled will to do the good; and it is, therefore, also called either prevenient or subsequent grace.[176]176 It is not to be conceived of as a series of disconnected divine gifts, but as a constant efflux from God; but we may look upon it in the various steps of its operation in men, as bringing forgiveness of sins, faith, which is the beginning of all good, love to God, progressive power of good working, and perseverance to the end.[177]177 In any case, and in all its operations alike, just because it is power from on high and the living spring of a new and re-created life, it is irresistible and indefectible.[178]178 Those on whom the Lord bestows the gift of faith working from within, not from without, of course, have faith, and cannot help believing. Those to whom perseverance to the end is given must persevere to the end. It is not to be objected to this, that many seem to begin well who do not persevere: this also is of God, who has in such cases given great blessings indeed, but not this blessing, of perseverance to the end. Whatever of good men have, that God has given; and what they have not, why, of course, God has not given it. Nor can it be objected, that this leaves all uncertain: it is only unknown to us, but this is not uncertainty; we cannot know that we are to have any gift which God sovereignly gives, of course, until it is given, and we therefore cannot know that we have perseverance unto the end until we actually persevere to the end;[179]179 but who would call what God does, and knows He is to do, uncertain, and what man is to do certain? Nor will it do to say that thus nothing is left for us to do: no doubt, all things are in Godâs hands, and we should praise God that this is so, but we must co-operate with Him; and it is just because it is He that is working in us the willing and the doing, that it is worth our while to work out our salvation with fear and trembling. God has not determined the end without determining the appointed means.[180]180

Now, Augustin argues, since grace certainly is gratuitous, and given to no preceding merits, âprevenient and antecedent to all good, âand, therefore, sovereign, and bestowed only on those whom God selects for its reception; we must, of course, believe that the eternal God has foreknown all this from the beginning. He would be something less than God, had He not foreknown that He intended to bestow this prevenient, gratuitous, and sovereign grace on some men, and had He not foreknown equally the precise individuals on whom He intended to bestow it. To foreknow is to prepare beforehand. And this is predestination.[181]181 He argues that there can be no objection to predestination, in itself considered, in the mind of any man who believes in a God: what men object to is the gratuitous and sovereign grace to which no additional difficulty is added by the necessary assumption that it was foreknown and prepared for from eternity. That predestination does not proceed on the foreknowledge of good or of faith,[182]182 follows from its being nothing more than the foresight and preparation of grace, which, in its very idea, is gratuitous and not according to any merits, sovereign and according only to Godâs purpose, prevenient and in order to faith and good works. It is the sovereignty of grace, not its foresight or the preparation for it, which places men in Godâs hands, and suspends salvation absolutely on his unmerited mercy. But just because God is God, of course, no one receives grace who has not been foreknown and afore-selected for the gift; and, as much of course, no one who has been foreknown and afore-selected for it, fails to receive it. Therefore the number of the predestinated is fixed, and fixed by God.[183]183 Is this fate? Men may call Godâs grace fate if they choose; but it is not fate, but undeserved love and tender mercy, without which none would be saved. [184]184 Does it paralyze effort? Only to those who will not strive to obey God because obedience is His gift. Is it unjust? Far from it: shall not God do what He will with His own undeserved favour? It is nothing but gratuitous mercy, sovereignly distributed, and foreseen and provided for from all eternity by Him who has selected us in His Son.

When Augustin comes to speak of the means of grace, i.e., of the channels and circumstances of its conference to men, he approaches the meeting point of two very dissimilar streams of his theology, ahis doctrine of grace and his doctrine of the Church, and he is sadly deflected from the natural course of his theology by the alien influence. He does not, indeed, bind the conference of grace to the means in such a sense that the grace must be given at the exact time of the application of the means. He does not deny that aGod is able, even when no man rebukes, to correct whom He will, and to lead him on to the wholesome mortification of repentance by the most hidden and most mighty power of His medicine a[185]185 Though the Gospel must be known in order that man may be saved [186]186 (for how shall they believe without a preacher?), yet the preacher is nothing, and the preachment is nothing, but God only that gives the increase.[187]187 He even has something like a distant glimpse of what has since been called the distinction between the visible and invisible Church, aspeaking of men not yet born as among those who are acalled according to Godâs purpose, â and, therefore, of the saved who constitute the Church, [188]188 asserting that those who are so called, even before they believe, are aalready children of God enrolled in the memorial of their Father with unchangeable surety, a[189]189 and, at the same time, allowing that there are many already in the visible Church who are not of it, and who can therefore depart from it. But he teaches that those who are thus lost out of the visible Church are lost because of some fatal flaw in their baptism, or on account of post-baptismal sins; and that those who are of the acalled according to the purposea are predestinated not only to salvation, but to salvation by baptism. Grace is not tied to the means in the sense that it is not conferred save in the means: but it is tied to the means in the sense that it is not conferred without the means. Baptism, for instance, is absolutely necessary for salvation: no exception is allowed except such as save the principle, abaptism of blood (martyrdom),[190]190 and, somewhat grudgingly, baptism of intention. And baptism, when worthily received, is absolutely efficacious: âif a man were to die immediately after baptism, he would have nothing at

all left to hold him liable to punishment.â[191]191 In a word, while there are many baptized who will not be saved, there are none saved who have not been baptized; it is the grace of God that saves, but baptism is a channel of grace without which none receive it.[192]192

The saddest corollary that flowed from this doctrine was that by which Augustin was forced to assert that all those who died unbaptized, including infants, are finally lost and depart into eternal punishment. He did not shrink from the inference, although he assigned the place of lightest punishment in hell to those who were guilty of no sin but original sin, but who had departed this life without having washed this away in the âlaver of regeneration.â This is the dark side of his soteriology; but it should be remembered that it was not his theology of grace, but the universal and traditional belief in the necessity of baptism for remission of sins, which he inherited in common with all of his time, that forced it upon him. The theology of grace was destined in the hands of his successors, who have rejoiced to confess that they were taught by him, to remove this stumbling-block also from Christian teaching; and if not to Augustin, it is to Augustinas theology that the Christian world owes its liberation from so terrible and incredible a tenet. Along with the doctrine of infant damnation, another stumbling-block also, not so much of Augustinian, but of Church theology, has gone. It was not because of his theology of grace, or of his doctrine of predestination, that Augustin taught that comparatively few of the human race are saved. It was, again, because he believed that baptism and incorporation into the visible Church were necessary for salvation. And it is only because of Augustinas theology of grace, which places man in the hands of an all-merciful Saviour and not in the grasp of a human institution, that men can see that in the salvation of all who die in infancy, the invisible Church of God embraces the vast majority of the human race, asaved not by the washing of water administered by the Church, but by the blood of Christ administered by Godâs own hand outside of the ordinary channels of his grace. We are indeed born in sin, and those that die in infancy are, in Adam, children of wrath even as others; but Godâs hand is not shortened by the limits of His Church on earth, that it cannot save. In Christ Jesus, all souls are the Lordâs, and only the soul that itself sinneth shall die (Ezek, xviji, 1â4); and the only judgment wherewith men shall be judged proceeds on the principle that as many as have sinned without law shall also perish without law, and as many as have sinned under law shall be judged by the law (Rev. ii. 12).

Thus, although Augustinâs theology had a very strong churchly element within it, it was, on the side that is presented in the controversy against Pelagianism, distinctly anti-ecclesiastical. Its central thought was the absolute dependence of the individual on the grace of God in Jesus Christ. It made everything that concerned salvation to be of God, and traced the source of all good to Him. âWithout me ye can do nothing,â is the inscription on one side of it; on the other stands written, âAll things are yours.â Augustin held that he who builds on a human foundation builds on sand, and founded all his hope on the Rock itself. And there also he founded his teaching; as he distrusted man in the matter of salvation, so he distrusted him in the form of theology. No other of the fathers so conscientiously wrought out his theology from the revealed Word; no other of them so sternly excluded human additions. The subjects of which theology treats, he declares, are such as awe could by no means find out unless we believed them on the testimony of Holy Scripture.â[193]193 âWhere Scripture gives no certain testimony, â he says, âhuman presumption must beware how it decides in favor of either side. a[194]194 aWe must first bend our necks to the authority of Scripture, a he insists, ain order that we may arrive at knowledge and understanding through faith.a[195]195 And this was not merely his theory, but his practice.[196]196 No theology was ever, it may be more broadly asserted, more conscientiously wrought out from the Scriptures. Is it without error? No; but its errors are on the surface, not of the essence. It leads to God, and it came from God; and in the midst of the controversies of so many ages it has shown itself an edifice whose solid core is built out of material âwhich cannot be shaken.â[197]197

Dedication of Volume I. Of the Edinburgh Edition.

## ââââââââââââ

TO The Right Reverend The Lord Bishop OF Exeter.

My Dear Lord, al gladly avail myself of your permission to dedicate this volume to you. In the course of a professional life of nearly the third of a century, which has not been idly spent. I have never failed to find pleasure in theological pursuits. In the intervals of most pressing labour, these have often tended to refresh and comfort oneas wearied spirit. If this confession of my own experience should have any weight with any one in our sacred calling to combine the hard work which we owe to others while ministering to their wants, with athat diligent attendance to reading which we require for ourselves, to inform our minds and refresh our spirits, I shall have accomplished my only purpose in making it. Your Lordship, I am sure, will entirely approve of such a combination of employments in your clergy. I well remember your recommendation of theological study to us at the opening of Bishop Phillpottâs Library at Truro; and how you counselled us the more earnestly to pursue it, from the danger there is, in these busy times, of merging the acquisition of sacred learning in the active labours of our holy vocation. That the divine blessing may crown the work which you are so diligently prosecuting in the several functions of your high office, is the earnest wish, my dear Lord, of your faithful servant.

Peter Holmes.

Mannamead, Plymouth, March 10, 1872.

Dedication of Volume II. Of the Edinburgh Edition.

## ââââââââââââ

TO The Rev. C. T. Wilkinson, M.A.,

Vicar OF ST. Andrews With Pennycross, Plymouth.

My Dear Vicar, al have great pleasure in associating your name with my own in this volume. We are officially connected in the sacred ministry of the Church, and I think I may, not unsuitably, extend our relations in this little effort to strengthen the defences of the great doctrine of Grace committed to our care and advocacy. Never was this portion of revealed truth more formidably assailed than at the present day. Rationalism, as its primary dogma, asserts the perfectibility of our nature, out of its own resources; and with a versatility and power of argument and illustration, which gathers help from every quarter in literature and philosophy, it opposes athe truth as it is in Jesus.a This truth, which implies, as its cardinal points, the ruin of manas nature in the sin of the first Adam, and its recovery in the obedience of the second Adam, is vindicated with admirable method and convincing force in the Anti-Pelagian treatises of the great Doctor of the Western Church. Some of these treatises appear for the first time in our language in this volume; and you will, I am sure, admire the acuteness with which Saint Augustin tracks out and refutes the sophistries of the rationalists of his own day, as well as the profound knowledge and earnest charity with which he enforces and recommends the Catholic verity.

In identifying you thus far with myself in this undertaking, I not only gratify my own feelings of sincere friendship, but with a confidence which I believe I do not over-estimate, I assume, what I highly prize, your agreement with me in accepting and furthering the principles set forth in this volume.

With sincere sympathy for you in your important work at Plymouth, and best wishes for the divine blessing upon it, believe me, yours very faithfully,

Peter Holmes.

Mannamead, Plymouth, June 24, 1874.

Preface to Volume I. Of the Edinburgh Edition.

## âââââââââââââ

Contents.⧠1. The Latin Titles of the Treatises contained in this Volume; on the Preface of the Benedictine Edition. § 2. Notice of Pelagius and his Opinions. § 3. Of CÅlestius and his Doctrine, in Seven Propositions. § 4. On Augustin as compared with other Doctors of the Church; his Estimate of Pelagius and CÅlestius. § 5. The Different Fortunes of these Two Men at First. § 6. St. Jerome differs from St. Augustin as to the Origin of Pelagianism; East and West, their Doctrinal Characteristicsâhow Agreeing, how Varying. § 7. On the Conduct of Augustin and Pelagius; Partisanship of their Followers and Critics. § 8. Paramount Influence of St. Augustin in Ancient and Modern Times, and in Various Parts of Christendom. § 9. Reason of this Influence; Augustin true to Scripture and Human Experience; in Favourable Contrast to Pelagius as to the Scientific Depth and

Accuracy of his Doctrine. § 10. Rationalism and Revelation; Pelagiusâ Views Isolated and Incoherent; Augustin an Excellent Guide in Scripture Knowledge. § 11. Popularity and Permanence of Pelagianism; Consentient with Manâs Natural Feelings; Elevating Influence of Divine Grace, its Ultimate Triumph in Everlasting Glory. § 12. Original Text from which this Translation is made; Works useful in the Pelagian Controversy.

§ 1. The reader has in this volume, translated for the first time in English, five of the fifteen treatises of St. Augustin on the Pelagian heresy. They are here arranged in the same order (the chronological one) in which they are placed in the tenth volume of the Benedictine edition, and are therefore St. Augustinâs earliest contributions to the great controversy. These are their Latin titles:

De peccatorum meritis et remissione, et de baptismo parvulorum ad Marcellinum; libri tres, scripti anno Christi 412.

De Spiritu et littera ad eumdem; liber unus, scriptus sub finem anni 412.

De natura et gratia contra Pelagium, ad Timasium et Jacobum; liber unus, scriptus anno Christi 415.

De perfectione justitiæ hominis; [Epistola seu] liber ad Eutropium et Paulum, scriptus circiter finem anni 415.

De gestis Pelagii ad Aurelium episcopum; liber unus, scriptus sub initium anni 417.

The Benedictine editors have enriched their edition with prefaces (âAdmonitionesâ) and critical and explanatory notes, and, above all, with the appropriate extracts from St. Augustinas Retractations, [198] 198 in which we have the authoras own final revision and correction of his works. All these have been reproduced in a translated form in this volume; and they will, it is believed, afford the reader sufficient guidance for an intelligent apprehension of at least the special arguments of the several treatises. The Benedictine editors, however, prefixed to this detailed information an elaborate and lengthy preface, in which they reviewed the general history of the Pelagian discussions and their authors, with especial reference to the part which St. Augustin played throughout it. This historical introduction it was at first intended to present to the reader in English at the head of this volume. In consideration, however, of the length of the document, we have so far changed our purpose as to substitute a shorter statement of certain facts and features of the Pelagian controversy, which it is hoped may contribute to a better understanding of the general subject.

§ 2. The Pelagian heresy is so designated after Pelagius, a British monk. (Augustin calls him Brito, so do Prosper and Gennadius; by Orosius he is called Britannicus noster, and by Mercator described as gente Britannus. This wide epithet is somewhat restricted by Jerome, who says of him, Habet progeniem Scotiæ gentis de Britannorum vicinia; leaving it uncertain, however, whether he deemed Scotland his native country, or Ireland. His monastic character is often referred to both by Augustin and other writers, and Pope Zosimus describes him as Laicum virum ad bonam frugem longa erga Deum servitute nitentem. It is, after all, quite uncertain what part of aBritaina gave him birth: among other conjectures, he has been made a native of Wales, attached to a monastery at Bangor, and gifted with the Welsh name of Morgan, of which his usual designation of Pelagius is supposed to be simply the Greek version, Pelagiov.) It was at the beginning of the fifth century that he became conspicuous. He then resided at Rome, known by many as an honourable and earnest man, seeking in a corrupt age to reform the morals of society. (In the present volume the reader will not fail to observe the eulogistic language which Augustin often uses of Pelagius: see On the Merits of Sin, iii. 1, 5, 6.) Sundry theological treatises are even attributed to him; among them one On the Trinity, of unquestionable orthodoxy, and showing great ability. Unfavourable reports, however, afterwards began to be circulated, charging him with opening, in fact, entirely new ground in the fields of heresy. During the previous centuries of Christian opinion the speculations of active thinkers had been occupied on Theology properly so called, or the doctrine of God as to His nature and personal attributes, including Christology, which treated of Christâs divine and human natures. This was objective divinity. With Pelagius, however, a fresh class of subjects was forced on menâs attention: in his peculiar system of doctrine he deals with what is subjective in man, and reviews the whole of his relation to God. His heresy turns mainly upon two pointsâthe assumed incorruptness of human nature, and the denial of all supernatural influence upon the human will.

§ 3. He had an early associate in CÅlestius, a native of Campania, according to some, or as others say, of Ireland or of Scotland. This man, who is said to have been highly connected, began life as an advocate, but, influenced by the advice and example of Pelagius, soon became a monk. He excelled his master in boldness and energy; and thus early precipitated the new doctrine into a formal dogmatism, from which the caution and subtler management of Pelagius might have saved it. In the year A.D. 412 (Pelagius having just left him at Carthage to go to Palestine), CÅlestius was accused before the bishop Aurelius of holding and teaching the following opinions:

1. Adam was created mortal, and must have died, even if he had not sinned; 2. Adamâs sin injured himself only, and not mankind; 3. Infants are born in the state of Adam before he fell; 4. Mankind neither died in Adam, nor rose again in Christ; 5. The Law, no less than the Gospel, brings men to the kingdom of heaven; 6. There were sinless men before the coming of Christ.[199]199 What CÅlestius thus boldly propounded, he had the courage to maintain. On his refusal to retract, he was excommunicated. He threatened, or perhaps actually though ineffectually made, an appeal to Rome, and afterwards quitted Carthage for Ephesus.

§ 4. Augustin, who had for some time been occupied in the Donatist controversy, had as yet taken no personal part in the proceedings against CÅlestius. Soon, however, was his attention directed to the

new opinions, and he wrote the first two treatises contained in this volume, in the year when CÅlestius was excommunicated. At first he treated Pelagius, as has been said, with deference and forbearance, hoping by courtesy to recall him from danger. But as the heresy developed. Augustinas opposition was more directly and vigorously exhibited. The gospel was being fatally tampered with, in its essential facts of human sin and divine grace; so, in the fulness of his own absolute loyalty to the entire volume of evangelical truth, he concentrated his best efforts in opposition to the now formidable heresy. It is perhaps not too much to say, that St. Augustin, the greatest doctor of the Catholic Church, effected his greatness mainly by his labours against Pelagianism. Other Christian writers besides Augustin have achieved results of decisive influence on the Church and its deposit of the Christian faith. St. Athanasius, aalone against the world, a has often been referred to as a splendid instance of what constancy, aided by Godâs grace and a profound knowledge of theology, could accomplish; St. Cyril of Alexandria, and St. Leo of Rome, might be also guoted as signal proofs of the efficacy of catholic truth in opposition to popular heresy: these men, under God, saved the Creed from the ravages of Arianism, and the subtler injuries of Nestorius and Eutyches. Then, again, in the curious learning of the primitive Irenæus; in the critical skill, and wide knowledge, and indomitable labours of Origen; in the catechetical teaching of the elder Cyril; in the chaste descriptive power of Basil; in the simplicity and self-denial of Ambrose; in the fervid eloquence of the âgolden-mouthedâ Chrysostom; in the great learning of Jerome; in the scholastic accuracy of Damascene; and in the varied sacred gifts of other Christian worthies, from the impetuous Tertullian and the gentle Cyprian, with all the Gregories of manifold endowments, down to the latest period of patristic wisdom, graced by our own Anselm and the unrivalled preacher Bernard, âin all these converging lines of diverse yet compatible accomplishments, the Church of Christ has found, from age to age, ample reinforcements against the attacks of heretical hostility. And in our great Bishop of Hippo one may trace, operating on various occasions in his various works, the manifold characteristics which we have just enumerated of his brother saints, awith this difference, that in no one of them are found combined the many traits which constitute his greatness. We have here to do only with his anti-Pelagian writings. Upon the whole, perhaps, these exhibit most of his wonderful resources of Christian character. In many respects, one is reminded by him of the great apostle, whom he reverenced, and whose profound doctrines he republished and vindicated. He has himself, in several of his works, especially in his Confessions, admitted us to a view of the sharp convulsions and bitter conflicts through which he passed, before his regeneration, into the Christian life, animated by the free and sovereign grace of God, and adorned with his unflagging energies in works of faith and love. From the depths of his own consciousness he instinctively felt the dangers of Pelagianism, and he put forth his strength, as God enabled him, to meet the evil; and the reader has in this volume samples in great variety of the earnestness of his conflict with the new heresy and its leaders. These leaders he has himself characterized: alle [nempe CÅlestius] apertior, iste [scilicet Pelagius] occultior fuit; ille pertinacior, iste mendacior; vel certe ille liberior, hic

astutior;â[200]200 and illustrations of the general correctness of this estimate will be forthcoming, especially in the fourth treatise of this volume, where CÅlestius is dealt with, and in the fifth, which relates to the subterfuges and pretexts practised by Pelagius in his proceedings in Palestine.

§ 5. The difference in the characters of the two leaders in this heresy contributed to different results in their earlier proceedings. We have seen the disastrous issue to CÅlestius at Carthage, from his outspoken and unvielding conduct. The more reserved Pelagius, resorting to a dexterous management of sundry favourable circumstances, obtained a friendly hearing on two public occasionsâat Jerusalem, in the summer of A.D. 415, and again at the end of that year, in a council of fourteen bishops, at Diospolis, the ancient Lydda. In the last treatise of this volume,[201]201 the reader has a characteristic narrative of these events from St. Augustinas own pen. The holy manâs disappointment at the untoward results of these two inquiries is apparent; but he struggles to maintain his respect for the bishops concerned in the affair, and comforts himself and all Catholics with the assurance, which he thinks is warranted by the proceedings, that the acquittal obtained by Pelagius, through the concealment of his real opinions, amounted in fact to a condemnation of them. This volume terminates with these transactions in Palestine; so that any remarks on the decline and fall of Pelagianism proper must be postponed to a subsequent volume.

§ 6. St. Jerome as well as St. Augustin engaged in this controversy, and experienced in the East some loss and much danger from the rougher followers of Pelagius [202]202 It is not without interest that one observes the difference of view entertained by these eminent men on the general guestion of the Pelagian heresy. Augustin had but an imperfect acquaintance with either the language or the writings of the Greek Fathers, and had treated the Pelagian opinions as unheard-of novelties. Jerome, however, who had acquired a competent knowledge of the Christian literature of Greece during his long residence in the East, traced these heretical opinions to the school of Origen, for whose memory he entertained but scant respect. There is, no doubt, extravagance in Jeromeâs censure, but withal a foundation of truth. For from the beginning there was a tendency at least to divergent views between the Eastern and the Western sections of Christendom, on the relation of the human will to the grace of God in the matter of manâs conversion and salvation. On the general question, indeed, there was always substantial agreement in the Catholic Church; âman, as he is born into the world, is not in his originally perfect state; in order to be able to live according to his original nature and to do good, he requires an inward change by the almighty power of God. But this general agreement did not hinder specific differences of opinion, which having been developed with considerable regularity, in East and West respectively, admit of some classification. The chief writers of the West, especially Tertullian and Cyprian in the third century, and Hilary of Poitiers and (notably) Ambrose in the fourth century, prominently state the doctrine of manas corruption, and the consequent necessity of a change of his nature by divine grace; whilst the Alexandrian Fathers (especially Clement), and other Orientals (for

instance, Chrysostom), laid great stress upon human freedom, and on the indispensable co-operation of this freedom with the grace of God. By the fifth century these tendencies were ready to culminate; they were at length precipitated to a decisive controversy. In the Pelagian system, the liberty which had been claimed for man was pushed to the heretical extreme of independence of Godâs help; while Augustin, in resisting this heresy, found it hard to keep clear of the other extreme, of the absorption of human responsibility into the divine sovereignty. Our author, no doubt, moves about on the confines of a deep insoluble mystery here; but, upon the whole, it must be apparent to the careful reader how earnestly he tries to maintain and vindicate manâs responsibility even amidst the endowments of Godâs grace.

§ 7. Much has been written on the conduct of the two leading opponents in this controversy. Sides (as usual) have been taken, and extreme opinions of praise and of blame have been freely bestowed on both Augustin and Pelagius. It is impossible, even were it desirable, in this limited space to enter upon a question which, after all, hardly rises above the dignity of mere personalities. The orthodox bishop and the heretical monk have had their share of censure as to their mode of conducting the controversy. Augustin has been taxed with intolerance, Pelagius with duplicity. We are perhaps not in a position to form an impartial judgment on the case. To begin with, the evidence comes all from one side; and then the critics pass their sentence according to the suggestions of modern prejudice, rather than by the test of ancient contemporary facts, motives, and principles of action. A good deal of obloguy has been cast on Augustin, as if he were responsible for the Rescript of Honorius and its penalties; but this is (to say the least) a conclusion which outruns the premises. We need say nothing of the peril which seriously threatened true religion when the half-informed bishops of Palestine, and the vacillating Pope, all gave their hasty and ill-grounded approval to Pelagius, as a justification of Augustin. He deeply felt the seriousness of the crisis, and he unsheathed athe sword of the Spirit, and dealt with it trenchant blows, every one of which struck home with admirable precision; but it is not proved that he ever wielded the civil sword of pains and penalties. Of all theological writers in ancient, medieval, or earlier modern times, it may be fairly maintained that St. Augustin has shown himself the most considerate, courteous, and charitable towards opponents. The reader will trace with some interest the progress of his criticism on Pelagius. From the forbearance and love which he gave him at first, [203]203 he passes slowly and painfully on to censure and condemnation, but only as he detects stronger and stronger proofs of insincerity and bad faith.

§ 8. But whatever estimate we may form on the score of their personal conduct, there can be no doubt of the bishopâs superiority over the monk, when we come to gauge the value of their principles and doctrines, whether tested by Scripture or by the great facts of human nature. Concerning the test of Scripture, our assertion will be denied by no one. No ancient Christian writer approaches near St. Augustin in his general influence on the opinions and belief of the Catholic Church, in its custody and interpretation of Holy Scripture; and there can be no mistake either as to the Churchâs uniform guardianship of

the Augustinian doctrine, taken as a whole, or as to its invariable resistance to the Pelagian system, whenever and however it has been reproduced in the revolutions of human thought. There cannot be found in all ecclesiastical history a more remarkable fact than the deference shown to the great Bishop of Hippo throughout Christendom. on all points of salient interest connected with his name. Whatever basis of doctrine exists in common between the great sections of Catholicism and Protestantism, was laid at first by the genius and piety of St. Augustin. In the conflicts of the early centuries he was usually the champion of Scripture truth against dangerous errors. In the Middle Ages his influence was paramount with the eminent men who built up the scholastic system. In the modern Latin Church he enjoys greater consideration than either Ambrose, or Hilary, or Jerome, or even Gregory the Great; and lastly, and perhaps most strangely, he stands nearest to evangelical Protestantism, and led the van of the great movement in the sixteenth century, which culminated in the Reformation. How unique the influence which directed the minds of Anselm, and Bernard, and Aguinas, and Bonaventure, with no less power than it swayed the thoughts of Luther, and Melanchthon, and Zuingle, and Calvin!

§ 9. The key to this wonderful influence is Augustinâs knowledge of Holy Scripture, and its profound suitableness to the facts and experience of our entire nature. Perhaps to no one, not excepting St. Paul himself, has it been ever given so wholly and so deeply to suffer the manifold experiences of the human heart, whether of sorrow and anguish from the tyranny of sin, or of spiritual joy from the precious consolations of the grace of God. Augustin speaks with authority here; he has traversed all the ground of inspired writ, and shown us how true is its portraiture of manas life. And, to pass on to our last point, he has threaded the mazes of human consciousness; and in building up his doctrinal system, has been, in the main, as true to the philosophy of fact as he is to the statements of revelation. He appears in as favourable a contrast to his opponent in his philosophy as in his Scripture exegesis. We cannot, however, in the limits of this Preface, illustrate this criticism with all the adducible proofs; but we may quote one or two weak points which radically compromise Pelagius as to the scientific bearings of his doctrine. By science we mean accurate knowledge, which stands the test of the widest induction of facts. Now, it has been frequently remarked that Pelagius is scientifically defective in the very centre of his doctrine, aon the freedom of the will. His theory, especially in the hands of his vigorous followers, CÅlestius and Julianus, [204]204 ignored the influence of habit on human volition, and the development of habits from action, isolating human acts, making manas power of choice (his liberum arbitrium) a mere natural faculty, of physical, not moral operation. How defective this view is, ahow it impoverishes the moral nature of man, strips it of the very elements of its composition, and drops out of consideration the many facts of human life, which interlace themselves in our experience as the very web and woof of moral virtue, ais manifest to the students of Aristotle and Butler. [205]205 Acts are not mere insulated atoms, merely done, and then done with; but they have a relation to the will, and an influence upon subsequent acts: and so acts generate habits, and habits produce

character, the formal cause of manâs moral condition. The same defect runs through the Pelagian system. Passing from the subject of human freedom, and the effect of action upon conduct and habit, we come to Pelagiusâ view of sin. According to him, Adamâs transgression consisted in an isolated act of disobedience to Godâs command; and our sin now consists in the mere repetition and imitation of his offence. There was no âoriginal sin,â and consequently no hereditary guilt. Adam stood alone in his transgression, and transmitted no evil taint to his posterity, much less any tendency or predisposition to wrong-doing: there was no doubt a bad example, but against this Pelagius complacently set the happier examples of good and prudent men. Isolation, then, is the principle of Pelagius and his school; organization is the principle of true philosophy, as tested by the experience and observation of mankind.

§ 10. We have said enough, and we hope not unfairly said it, to show that Pelagius was radically at fault in his deductions, whether tested by divine revelation or human experience. How superior to him in all essential points his great opponent was, will be manifest to the reader of this volume. Not a statement of Scripture, nor a fact of nature, does Augustin find it necessary to soften, or repudiate, or ignore. Hence his writings are valuable in illustrating the harmony between revelation and true philosophy; we have seen how much of his far-seeing and eminent knowledge was owing to his own deep convictions and discoveries of sin and grace; perhaps we shall not be wrong in saying, that even to his opponents is due something of his excellence. There can be no doubt that in Pelagius and CAlestius, and his still more able follower Julianus, of whom we shall hear in a future volume, he had very able opponentsâmen of earnest character, acute in observation and reasoning, impressed with the truth of their convictions, and deeming it a fit occupation to rationalize the meaning of Scripture in its bearings on human experience. There is a remarkable peculiarity in this respect in the opinions of Pelagius. He accepted the mysteries of theology, properly so called, with the most exemplary orthodoxy. Nothing could be better than his exposition of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity. But again we find him hemmed in with a perverse isolation. The doctrine of the Trinity, according to him, stands alone; it sheds no influence on man and his eternal interests; but in the blessed Scripture, as read by Augustin, there is revealed to man a most intimate relation between himself and God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, as his Creator, his Redeemer, and his Sanctifier. In Pelagianism, then, we see a disjointed and unconnected theory, âa creed which stands apart from practical life, and is not allowed to shape manas conduct, a system, in short, which falls to pieces for want of the coherence of the true aanalogy of the faitha which worketh by love. By exposing, therefore, this incompatibility in the doctrine of his opponents, Augustin shows how irreconcilable are the deductions of their Rationalism with the statements of Revelation. But Rationalism is not confined to any one period. We live to see a bolder Rationalism, which, unlike Pelagiusâ, is absolutely uncompromising in its aims, and (as must be admitted) more consistent in its method. To institute the supremacy of Reason, it destroys more or less the mysteries of Religion. All the miraculous element of the gospel is discarded; Godâs personal relation to man in the procedures

of grace, and manâs to God in the discipline of repentance, faith, and love, are abolished: nay, the Divine Personality itself merges into an impalpable, uninfluential Pantheism; while manâs individual responsibility is absorbed into a mythical personification of the race. The only sure escape from such a desolation as this, is to recur to the good old paths of gospel faithââstare super antiquas vias.â Our directory for lifeâs journey through these is furnished to us in Holy Scripture; and if an interpreter is wanted who shall be able by competent knowledge and ample experience to explain to us any difficulties of direction, we know none more suited for the purpose than our St. Augustin.

§ 11. But Rationalism is not always so exaggerated as this: in its ordinary development, indeed, it stops short of open warfare with Revelation, and (at whatever cost of logical consistency) it will accommodate its discussions to the form of Scripture. This adaptation gives it double force: there is its own intrinsic principle of uncontrolled liberty in will and action, and there is athe form of godliness, a which has weight with unreflective Christians. Hence Pelagianism was undoubtedly popular: it offered dignity to human nature, and flattered its capacity; and this it did without virulence and with sincerity, under the form of religion. This acquiescence of matter and manner gave it strength in menâs sympathies, and has secured for it durability, seeing that there is plenty of it still amongst us; as indeed there always has been, and ever will be, so long as the fatal ambition of Eden (Gen. iii. 5, 6) shall seduce men into a temper of rivalry with God. Writers like Paley (in his Evidences) have treated of the triumph of Christianity over difficulties of every kind. Of all the stumbling-blocks to the holy religion of our blessed Saviour, not one has proved so influential as its doctrine of Grace; the prejudice against it, by what St. Paul calls athe natural mana (1 Cor. ii. 14), is ineradicableâand, it may be added, inevitable: for in his independence and self-sufficiency he cannot admit that in himself he is nothing, but requires external help to rescue him from sin, and through imparted holiness to elevate him to the perfection of the blessed. How great, then, is the benefit which Augustin has accomplished for the gospel, in probing the grounds of this natural prejudice against it, and showing its ultimate untenablenessathe moment it is tested on the deeper principles of the divine appreciation! No, the ultimate effect of the doctrine and operation of grace is not to depreciate the true dignity of man. If there be the humbling process first, it is only that out of the humility should emerge the exaltation at last (1 Pet. v. 6). I know nothing in the whole range of practical or theoretical divinity more beautiful than Augustinâs analysis of the procedures of grace, in raising man from the depths of his sinful prostration to the heights of his last and eternal elevation in the presence and fellowship of God. The most ambitious, who thinks aman was not made for meanness, a might be well content with the noble prospect. But his ambition must submit to the conditions; and his capacity both for the attainment and the fruition of such a destiny is given to him and trained by God Himself. alt is so contrived, a says Augustin, ain the discipline of the present life, that the holy Church shall arrive at last at that condition of unspotted purity which all holy men desire; and that it may in the

world to come, and in a state unmixed with all soil of evil men, and undisturbed by any law of sin resisting the law of the mind, lead the purest life in a divine eternity.â¦But in whatever place and at what time soever the love which animates the good shall reach that state of absolute perfection which shall admit of no increase, it is certainly not âshed abroad in our heartsâ by any energies either of the nature or the volition that are within us, but âby the Holy Ghost which is given unto usâ (Rom. v. 5), and which both helps our infirmity and co-operates with our strengthâ (On Nature and Grace, chs. 74 and 84).

§ 12. This translation has been made from the (Antwerp) Benedictine edition of the works of St. Augustin, tenth volume, compared with the beautiful reprint by Gaume. (Although left to his own resources in making his version, the Translator has gladly availed himself of the learned aid within his reach. He may mention the Kirchengeschichte both of Gieseler and Neander [Clarkâs transl. vol. iv.]; Wiggersâ Versuch einer pragmatischen Darstellung des Augustinismus und Pelagianismus [1st part]; Sheddâs Christian Doctrine; Cunninghamâs Historical Theology; Shortâs Bampton Lectures for 1846 [Lect. vii.]; Professor Brightâs History of the Church from A.D. 313 to A.D. 451; Bishop Forbesâ Explanation of the Thirty-nine Articles [vol. i.]; Canon Robertsonâs History of the Christian Church, vol. i. pp. 376a392; and especially Professor Mozleyas Treatise on the Augustinian Doctrine of Predestination, ch. iii. iv. vi.; and Dr. Philip Schaffâs excellent History of the Christian Church [Clark, Edinburgh 1869[206]206], vol. iii. pp.783â1028; of which work Dr. Dornerâs is by no means exaggerated commendation: âlt is, â says he, âon account of the beauty of its descriptions, the lucid arrangement of its materials, and the moderation of its decisions, a very praiseworthy workâ (Dornerâs History of Protestant Theology [Clarkâs translation], vol. ii. p. 449, note 2). This portion of Dr. Schaffâs work is an expansion of his able and interesting article on the Pelagian Controversy in the American Bibliotheca Sacra of May 1848.

Peter Holmes.

Preface to Volume II. Of the Edinburgh Edition.

## âââââââââââââ

This volume contains a translation of the three following treatises by St. Augustin on the Pelagian controversy:â

De Gratia Christi, et De Peccato originali contra Pelagium et CÅlestium, ad Albinam, Pinianum, et Melaniam; libri duo, scripti anno Christi 418.

De Nuptiis et Concupiscentiâ ad Valerium Comitem; libri duo, scriptus alter circiter initium anni 419; alter anno Christi 420.

De Animâ et ejus origine, contra Vincentium Victorem; libri quatuor, scriptus sub finem anni Christi 419.

These, with the contents of our former volume, comprise eight of the

fifteen works contributed by the great author to the defence of the Catholic faith against Pelagius and his most conspicuous followers. The prefaces and chapter headings, which have been, as heretofore, transferred to their proper places in this volume from the Benedictine edition of the original, will afford the reader preliminary help enough, and thus render more than a few general prefatory remarks unnecessary here.

The second book in the first of these treatises adds some facts to the historical information contained in our preceding volume; Pelagius is shown to be at one, in the main, with CÅlestius, the bolder but less specious heretic. They were condemned everywhereâeven at Rome by Pope Zosimus, who had at first shown some favour to them. These authoritative proceedings against them gave a sensible check to their progress in public; there is, however, reason to believe that the opinions, which the Pelagian teachers had with great industry, and with their varied ability, propounded, had created much interest and even anxiety in private society. The early part of the first of the following treatises throws some light on this point, and on the artful methods by which the heretics sought to maintain and extend their opinions; it affords some evidence also of the widespread influence of St. Augustin. The controversy had engaged the attention of a pious family in Palestine; Pelagius was in the neighbourhood; and when frankly guestioned by the friends, he strongly protested his adherence to the doctrine of Grace. al anathematize, a he exclaimed with suspicious promptitude, athe man who holds that the grace of God is not necessary for us at every moment and in every act of our lives: and all who endeavour to disannul it, deserve everlasting punishment.â It was an act of astonishing duplicity, which Augustin, to whom the case was referred, soon detected and exposed. It is satisfactory to find that the worthy Christians to whom the Saint addressed his loving labour were confirmed in their simple faith; and in one of the last of his extant letters, towards the close of his days on earth, the venerable St. Jerome, in the course of the following year, united the gratitude of Albina. Pinianus, and Melania, with his own to his renowned brother in the west, whom he saluted as athe restorer of the ancient faith.â âMacte virtute,â said the venerable man, âin orbe celebraris; et, quod signum majoris est gloriÄ<sup>1</sup>, omnes heretici detestantur.â [Go on and prosper: the whole world endows thee with its praise, and all heretics with their hatred.]

In the latter part of the first treatise in this volume, one of the most formidable of the Pelagian objections to the Catholic doctrine of original sin is thrown out against marriage: âSurely that could not be a holy state, instituted of God, which produced human beings in sin!â Augustin in a few weighty chapters removes the doubts of his perplexed correspondents, and reserves his strength for the full treatment of the subject in the second treatise, here translated, On Marriage and Concupiscence. It is a noble monument of his firm grasp of Scripture truth, his loyal adherence to its plain meaning, and his delicate and, at the same time, intrepid handling of a subject, which could only be touched by a man whose mind possessed a deep knowledge of human natureâboth in its moral and its physiological aspects, and in its relations to God as affected by its creation, its fall, and its

#### redemption.

This treatise introduces us to a change of circumstances. The preceding one was, as we have seen, addressed to a small group of simple believers in sacred truth, who were not personally known to the author, and, though zealous in the maintenance of the faith, occupied only a private place in society; but the present work was written at the urgent request of a nobleman in high office as a minister of state, and well known to the writer. It is pleasant to trace a similar earnestness, in such dissimilar ranks, in the defence of the assailed faith: and it illustrates the wide stretch of mind and comprehensive love of Augustin, that he could so promptly sympathize with the anxieties of all classes and conditions in the Christian life; and, what is more, so administer comfort and conviction out of the treasures of his wisdom, as to settle their doubts and reassure them in faith. Nor does the change end here. Instead of Pelagius and CÅlestius, Augustin has in this work to confute the powerful argument of Julianus, bishop of Celanum, the ablest of his Pelagian opponents. This man was really the mainstay of the heresy; he had greater resources of mind and a firmer character than either of his associates; amore candid and sincere than Pelagius, and less ambitious and impatient than CAlestius, he seemed to contend for truth for its own sake, and this disposition found a complete response in the Churchâs earnest and accomplished champion. Notwithstanding the difficulty and delicacy of the subject, which removes, no doubt, the treatise De Nuptiis et Concupisenti $\tilde{A}\phi$  out of the category of what is called ageneral reading, a the great author never did a higher service to the faith than when he provided for it this defence of a fundamental point. The venerable Jerome rejoiced at the good service. and longed to embrace his brother Saint from his distant retreat of Bethlehem. aTestem invoco Deum, a he wrote to Augustin, and his dear friend and helper Alypius, âquod si posset fieri, assumptis alis columbæ, vestris amplexibus implicarer.â

In the last and longest work, translated for this volume, we come upon a change, both of subject and circumstances, as complete as that we have just noticed. Vincentius Victor, whose unsafe opinions are reviewed, was a young African of great ability and rhetorical accomplishment. His fluent tongue had fairly bewitched not only crowds of thoughtless hearers, but staid persons, whose faith should have been proof against a seductive influence which was soon shown to be transient and flimsy. The young disputant seems to have been more of a schismatic in the Donatist party, than a heretic with Pelagius; showy, however, and unstable, and hardly weighing the consequence of his own opinions, he began to air his metaphysics, and soon fell into strange errors about the nature and origin of the human soul. In his youthful arrogance he happened to censure Augustin for his cautious teaching on so profound a subject; kindly does the aged bishop receive the criticism, show its unreasonableness, and point out to his rash assailant some serious errors which he was propounding at random. He also reproves one of Victoras friends, who happened to be a presbyter, for allowing himself to be misled by the young manas eloquent sophistry; and in the latter half of his treatise, with fatherly love and earnestness, he advises Victor to renounce his dangerous errors,

some of which were rankly Pelagian, and something worse. The result of Augustinas admonitionsaadorned as they were with great depth and width of reflection and knowledge (extending this time even to physical science, on some facts of which he playfully comments with the ease of a modern experimenter), with loving consideration for his opponentas inexperience, kindly deference to his undoubted abilities, and a pious desire to win him over to the cause of truth and godlinessawas entirely satisfactory. We find from the Retractations (ii. 56), that Victor in time abjured all his errors, and doubtless, like another Apollos, ably employed his best powers in the service of true religion. This was a real trophy, great among the greatest of Augustinâs achievements for faith and charity. For so great a soul to stoop to the level of so captious a spirit, and with industrious love and patience to trace out and refute all its ambitious error, was aa labour of loveâ indeed. He remembered the wise counsel of the apostle: aCount him not as an enemy, but admonish him as a brother; and he reaped the victory the Saviour promised: aThou hast gained thy brother.â

The translation, as in the former volume of the Anti-Pelagian writings of our author, has been made from the tenth volume of the Antwerp reprint of the Benedictine edition of St. Augustinâs works.

Peter Holmes.

[Volume III. of the Edinburgh edition appeared without dedication or preface, in 1876. It contained translations of Augustinâs treatises on Grace and Free-Will, Rebuke and Grace, The Predestination of the Saints, The Gift of Perseverance, and of his work Against Two Letters of the Pelagians. Of these, only the first was from the pen of Dr. Holmes, the rest being the work of Dr. Robert Ernest Wallis, whose name has been accordingly placed on the general titlepage of this revision.âW.]

a treatise on the merits and forgiveness of sins, and on the baptism of infants.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 23,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe Peccatorum Meritis Et Remissione.â

## ââââââââââââ

A Necessity arose which compelled me to write against the new heresy of Pelagius. Our previous opposition to it was confined to sermons and conversations, as occasions suggested, and according to our respective abilities and duties; but it had not yet assumed the shape of a controversy in writing. Certain questions were then submitted to me [by our brethren] at Carthage, to which I was to send them back answers in writing; I accordingly wrote first of all three books, under the title aOn the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, a in which I mainly discussed the baptism of infants because of original sin, and the grace of God by which we are justified, that is, made righteous; but [I remarked] no man in this life can so keep the commandments which prescribe holiness of life, as to be beyond the necessity of using this prayer for his sins: aForgive us our trespasses.a[207]207 It is in direct opposition to these principles that they have devised their new heresy. Now throughout these three books I thought it right not to mention any of their names, hoping and desiring that by such reserve they might the more readily be set right; nay more, in the third book (which is really a letter, but reckoned amongst the books, because I wished to connect it with the two previous ones) I actually quoted Pelagiusâ name with considerable commendation, because his conduct and life were made a good deal of by many persons; and those statements of his which I refuted, he had himself adduced in his writings, not indeed in his own name, but had quoted them as the words of other persons. However, when he was afterwards confirmed in heresy, he defended them with most persistent animosity. CAlestius, indeed, a disciple of his, had already been excommunicated for similar opinions at Carthage, in a council of bishops, at which I was not present. In a certain passage of my second book I used these words: aUpon some there will be bestowed this blessing at the last day, that they shall not perceive the actual suffering of death in the suddenness of the change which shall happen to them: a[208]208 are serving the passage for a more careful consideration of the subject; for they will either die, or else by a most rapid transition from this life to death, and then from death to eternal life, as in the twinkling of an eye, they will not undergo the feeling of mortality. This work of mine begins with this sentence: âHowever absorbing and intense the anxieties and annoyances.â

A Treatise on the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, and on the Baptism of Infants

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In Three Books,

Addressed to Marcellinus, a.d. 412.

## ââââââââââââ

Book I.

In which he refutes those who maintain, that Adam must have died even if he had never sinned; and that nothing of his sin has been transmitted to his posterity by natural descent. He also shows, that death has not accrued to man by any necessity of his nature, but as the penalty of sin; He then proceeds to prove that in Adamâs sin his entire offspring is implicated, showing that infants are baptized for the express purpose of receiving the remission of original sin.

Chapter 1 [I.]âIntroductory, in the Shape of an Inscription to His Friend Marcellinus.

Howeverabsorbing and intense the anxieties and annovances in the whirl and warmth of which we are engaged with sinful men[209]209 who forsake the law of God, aeven though we may well ascribe these very evils to the fault of our own sins.âl am unwilling, and, to say the truth. unable, any longer to remain a debtor, my dearest Marcellinus,[210]210 to that zealous affection of yours, which only enhances my own grateful and pleasant estimate of yourself. I am under the impulse [of a twofold emotion]: on the one hand, there is that very love which makes us unchangeably one in the one hope of a change for the better: on the other hand, there is the fear of offending God in yourself, who has given you so earnest a desire; in gratifying which I shall be only serving Him who has given it to you. And so strongly has this impulse led and attracted me to solve, to the best of my humble ability, the questions which you have submitted to me in writing, that my mind has gradually admitted this inquiry to an importance transcending that of all others; [and it will now give me no rest] until I accomplish something which shall make it manifest that I have yielded, if not a sufficient, yet at any rate an obedient, compliance with your own kind wish and the desire of those to whom these questions are a source of anxiety.

Chapter 2 [II.] âlf Adam Had Not Sinned, He Would Never Have Died.

They who say that Adam was so formed that he would even without any demerit of sin have died, not as the penalty of sin, but from the necessity of his being, endeavour indeed to refer that passage in the law, which says: aOn the day ye eat thereof ye shall surely die, a[211]211 not to the death of the body, but to that death of the soul which takes place in sin. It is the unbelievers who have died this death, to whom the Lord pointed when He said, aLet the dead bury their dead.â[212]212 Now what will be their answer, when we read that God, when reproving and sentencing the first man after his sin, said to him, aDust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return?a[213]213 For it was not in respect of his soul that he was adust, a but clearly by reason of his body, and it was by the death of the self-same body that he was destined to areturn to dust a Still, although it was by reason of his body that he was dust, and although he bare about the natural body in which he was created, he would, if he had not sinned, have been changed into a spiritual body, and would have passed into the incorruptible state, which is promised to the faithful and the saints, without the peril of death. [214]214 And for this issue we not only are conscious in ourselves of having an earnest desire, but we learn it from the apostleas intimation, when he says: aFor in this we groan, longing to be clothed upon with our habitation which is from heaven; if so be that being clothed we shall not be found naked. For we that are in this tabernacle do groan, being burdened; not for that we would be unclothed, but clothed upon, that mortality may be swallowed up of life.â[215]215 Therefore, if Adam had not sinned, he would not have been divested of his body, but would have been clothed upon with immortality and incorruption, that amortality might have been swallowed up of life; a that is, that he might have passed from the natural body into the spiritual body.

Chapter 3 [III.]âlt is One Thing to Be Mortal, Another Thing to Be Subject to Death.

Nor was there any reason to fear that if he had happened to live on here longer in his natural body, he would have been oppressed with old age, and have gradually, by increasing age, arrived at death. For if God granted to the clothes and the shoes of the Israelites that âthey waxed not oldâ during so many years, [216]216 what wonder if for obedience it had been by the power of the same [God] allowed to man, that although he had a natural and mortal body, he should have in it a certain condition, in which he might grow full of years without decrepitude, and, whenever God pleased, pass from mortality to immortality without the medium of death? For even as this very flesh of ours, which we now possess, is not therefore invulnerable, because it is not necessary that it should be wounded; so also was his not therefore immortal, because there was no necessity for its dying. Such a condition, whilst still in their natural and mortal body, I suppose, was granted even to those who were translated hence without death.[217]217 For Enoch and Elijah were not reduced to the decrepitude of old age by their long life. But yet I do not believe that they were then changed into that spiritual kind of body, such as is promised in the resurrection, and which the Lord was the first to receive; only they probably do not need those aliments, which by their use minister refreshment to the body; but ever since their translation they so live, as to enjoy such a sufficiency as was provided during the forty days in which Elijah lived on the cruse of water and the cake, without substantial food; [218]218 or else, if there be any need of such sustenance, they are, it may be, sustained in Paradise in some such way as Adam was, before he brought on himself expulsion therefrom by sinning. And he, as I suppose, was supplied with sustenance against decay from the fruit of the various trees, and from the tree of life with security against old age.

Chapter 4 [IV.]âEven Bodily Death is from Sin.

But in addition to the passage where God in punishment said, âDust thou art, unto dust shalt thou return, a[219]219aa passage which I cannot understand how any one can apply except to the death of the body. athere are other testimonies likewise. from which it most fully appears that by reason of sin the human race has brought upon itself not spiritual death merely, but the death of the body also. The apostle says to the Romans: âBut if Christ be in you, the body is dead because of sin, but the spirit is life because of righteousness. If therefore the Spirit of Him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you. He that raised up Christ Jesus from the dead shall quicken also your mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.â[220]220 I think that so clear and open a sentence as this only requires to be read, and not expounded. The body, says he, is dead, not because of earthly frailty, as being made of the dust of the ground, but because of sin; what more do we want? And he is most careful in his words: he does not say âis mortal,â but âdead.â

Chapter 5 [V.] âThe Words, Mortale (Capable of Dying), Mortuum (Dead), and Moriturus (Destined to Die).

Now previous to the change into the incorruptible state which is promised in the resurrection of the saints, the body could be mortal (capable of dying), although not destined to die (moriturus); just as our body in its present state can, so to speak, be capable of sickness, although not destined to be sick. For whose is the flesh which is incapable of sickness, even if from some accident it die before it ever is sick? In like manner was manas body then mortal; and this mortality was to have been superseded by an eternal incorruption, if man had persevered in righteousness, that is to say, obedience: but even what was mortal (mortale) was not made dead (mortuum), except on account of sin. For the change which is to come in at the resurrection is, in truth, not only not to have death incidental to it, which has happened through sin, but neither is it to have mortality, [or the very possibility of death,] which the natural body had before it sinned. He does not say: aHe that raised up Christ Jesus from the dead shall quicken also your dead bodiesâ (although he had previously said, âthe body is deadâ[221]221); but his words are: âHe shall guicken also your mortal bodies; a[222]222 so that they are not only no longer dead, but no longer mortal [or capable of dying], since the natural is raised spiritual, and this mortal body shall put on immortality, and mortality shall be swallowed up in life.[223]223

Chapter 6 [VI.]âHow It is that the Body Dead Because of Sin.

One wonders that anything is required clearer than the proof we have given. But we must perhaps be content to hear this clear illustration gainsaid by the contention, that we must understand athe dead bodya here[224]224 in the sense of the passage where it is said, âMortify your members which are upon the earth.â[225]225 But it is because of righteousness and not because of sin that the body is in this sense mortified; for it is to do the works of righteousness that we mortify our bodies which are upon the earth. Or if they suppose that the phrase, abecause of sin, a is added, not that we should understand âbecause sin has been committed, â but âin order that sin may not be committedââas if it were said, âThe body indeed is dead, in order to prevent the commission of sin:â what then does he mean in the next clause by adding the words, abecause of righteousness, a to the statement, a The spirit is life? a 12261226 For it would have been enough simply to have adjoined athe spirit is life, a to have secured that we should supply here too, ain order to prevent the commission of sin;a so that we should thus understand the two propositions to point to one thingâthat both âthe body is dead,â and âthe spirit is life,â for the one common purpose of apreventing the commission of sin.a So likewise if he had merely meant to say, abecause of righteousness, a in the sense of afor the purpose of doing righteousness, a the two clauses might possibly be referred to this one purpose ato the effect, that both athe body is dead, and athe spirit is life, a afor the purpose of doing righteousness.â But as the passage actually stands, it declares that athe body is dead because of sin, and athe spirit is life because of righteousness, a attributing different merits to different thingsâthe demerit of sin to the death of the body, and the merit of righteousness to the life of the spirit. Wherefore if, as no one can doubt, âthe spirit is life because of righteousness, â that is, as the

desert, of righteousness; how ought we, or can we, understand by the statement, aThe body is dead because of sin, a anything else than that the body is dead as the desert of sin, unless indeed we try to pervert or wrest the plainest sense of Scripture to our own arbitrary will? But besides this, additional light is afforded by the words which follow. For it is with limitation to the present time, when he says, that on the one hand athe body is dead because of sin, a since, whilst the body is unrenovated by the resurrection, there remains in it the desert of sin, that is, the necessity of dying; and on the other hand, that athe spirit is life because of righteousness, a since, notwithstanding the fact of our being still burdened with athe body of this death, a[227]227 we have already by the renewal which is begun in our inner man, new aspirations[228]228 after the righteousness of faith. Yet, lest man in his ignorance should fail to entertain hope of the resurrection of the body, he says that the very body which he had just declared to be âdead because of sinâ in this world, will in the next world be made alive abecause of righteousness, aaand that not only in such a way as to become alive from the dead, but immortal from its mortality.

Chapter 7 [VII.]âThe Life of the Body the Object of Hope, the Life of the Spirit Being a Prelude to It.

Although I am much afraid that so clear a matter may rather be obscured by exposition, I must yet request your attention to the luminous statement of the apostle. aBut if Christ.a says he, abe in you, the body indeed is dead because of sin, but the spirit is life because of righteousness a[229]229 Now this is said, that men may not suppose that they derive no benefit, or but scant benefit, from the grace of Christ, seeing that they must needs die in the body. For they are bound to remember that, although their body still bears that desert of sin, which is irrevocably bound to the condition of death, yet their spirit has already begun to live because of the righteousness of faith, although it had actually become extinct by the death, as it were, of unbelief. No small gift, therefore, he says, must you suppose to have been conferred upon you, by the circumstance that Christ is in you; inasmuch as in the body, which is dead because of sin, your spirit is even now alive because of righteousness; so that therefore you should not despair of the life even of your body. aFor if the Spirit of Him that raised up Christ from the dead dwell in you, He that raised up Christ from the dead shall quicken also your mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.â[230]230 How is it that fumes of controversy still darken so clear a light? The apostle distinctly tells you, that although the body is dead because of sin within you, yet even your mortal bodies shall be made alive because of righteousness, because of which even now your spirit is life, athe whole of which process is to be perfected by the grace of Christ, that is, by His Spirit dwelling in you: and men still contradict! He goes on to tell us how it comes to pass that life converts death into itself by mortifying it. a Therefore, brethren, a says he, awe are debtors, not to the flesh, to live after the flesh; for if ye live after the flesh, ye shall die; but if ye through the spirit do mortify the deeds of the flesh, ye shall live.a[231]231 What else does this mean but this: If ye live according to death, ye shall wholly die; but

if by living according to life ye mortify death, ye shall wholly live?

Chapter 8 [VIII.] âBodily Death from Adamâs Sin.

When to the like purport he says: âBy man came death, by man also the resurrection of the dead, a[232]232 in what other sense can the passage be understood than of the death of the body; for having in view the mention of this, he proceeded to speak of the resurrection of the body, and affirmed it in a most earnest and solemn discourse? In these words, addressed to the Corinthians: âBy man came death, and by man came also the resurrection of the dead; for as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive, a[233]233awhat other meaning is indeed conveyed than in the verse in which he says to the Romans, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin?a[234]234 Now they will have it, that the death here meant is the death, not of the body, but of the soul, on the pretence that another thing is spoken of to the Corinthians, where they are quite unable to understand the death of the soul, because the subject there treated is the resurrection of the body, which is the antithesis of the death of the body. The reason, moreover, why only death is here mentioned as caused by man. and not sin also, is because the point of the discourse is not about righteousness, which is the antithesis of sin, but about the resurrection of the body, which is contrasted with the death of the body.

Chapter 9 [IX.]âSin Passes on to All Men by Natural Descent, and Not Merely by Imitation.

You tell me in your letter, that they endeavour to twist into some new sense the passage of the apostle, in which he says: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin;a[235]235 yet you have not informed me what they suppose to be the meaning of these words. But so far as I have discovered from others, they think that the death which is here mentioned is not the death of the body, which they will not allow Adam to have deserved by his sin, but that of the soul, which takes place in actual sin; and that this actual sin has not been transmitted from the first man to other persons by natural descent, but by imitation. Hence, likewise, they refuse to believe that in infants original sin is remitted through baptism, for they contend that no such original sin exists at all in people by their birth. But if the apostle had wished to assert that sin entered into the world, not by natural descent, but by imitation, he would have mentioned as the first offender, not Adam indeed, but the devil, of whom it is written.[236]236 that ahe sinneth from the beginning; a of whom also we read in the Book of Wisdom: aNevertheless through the devilas envy death entered into the world. a[237]237 Now, for a sthis death came upon men from the devil, not because they were propagated by him, but because they imitated his example, it is immediately added: âAnd they that do hold of his side do imitate him. a[238]238 Accordingly, the apostle, when mentioning sin and death together, which had passed by natural descent from one upon all men, set him down as the introducer thereof from whom the propagation of the human race took its beginning.

#### Chapter 10.âThe Analogy of Grace.

No doubt all they imitate Adam who by disobedience transgress the commandment of God; but he is one thing as an example to those who sin because they choose; and another thing as the progenitor of all who are born with sin. All His saints, also, imitate Christ in the pursuit of righteousness; whence the same apostle, whom we have already quoted, says: aBe ye imitators of me, as I am also of Christ.a[239]239 But besides this imitation. His grace works within us our illumination and justification, by that operation concerning which the same preacher of His [name] says: aNeither is he that planteth anything, nor he that watereth, but God that giveth the increase a[240]240 For by this grace He engrafts into His body even baptized infants, who certainly have not yet become able to imitate any one. As therefore He, in whom all are made alive, besides offering Himself as an example of righteousness to those who imitate Him, gives also to those who believe on Him the hidden grace of His Spirit, which He secretly infuses even into infants; so likewise he, in whom all die, besides being an example for imitation to those who wilfully transgress the commandment of the Lord, depraved also in his own person all who come of his stock by the hidden corruption of his own carnal concupiscence. It is entirely on this account, and for no other reason, that the apostle says: aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so passed upon all men; in which all have sinned.a[241]241 Now if I were to say this, they would raise an objection, and loudly insist that I was incorrect both in expression and sense; for they would perceive no sense in these words when spoken by an ordinary man, except that sense which they refuse to see in the apostle. Since, however, these are the words of him to whose authority and doctrine they submit, they charge us with slowness of understanding, while they endeavour to wrest to some unintelligible sense words which were written in a clear and obvious purport. âBy one man, â says he, âsin entered into the world, and death by sin.â This indicates propagation, not imitation; for if imitation were meant, he would have said, âBy the devil.â But as no one doubts, he refers to that first man who is called Adam: âAnd so,â says he, âit passed upon all men.â

## Chapter 11 [X.] âDistinction Between Actual and Original Sin. [242] 242

Again, in the clause which follows, âln which all have sinned,â how cautiously, rightly, and unambiguously is the statement expressed! For if you understand that sin to be meant which by one man entered into the world, âln which [sin] all have sinned,â it is surely clear enough, that the sins which are peculiar to every man, which they themselves commit and which belong simply to them, mean one thing; and that the one sin, in and by which all have sinned, means another thing; since all were that one man. If, however, it be not the sin, but that one man that is understood, âln which [one man] all have sinned,â what again can be plainer than even this clear statement? We read, indeed, of those being justified in Christ who believe in Him, by reason of the secret communion and inspiration of that spiritual grace which makes every one who cleaves to the Lord âone spiritâ with Him,[243]243 although His saints also imitate His example; can I find, however, any similar statement made of those who have imitated His saints? Can any man be said to be justified in Paul or in Peter, or in any one whatever of those excellent men whose authority stands high among the people of God? We are no doubt said to be blessed in Abraham, according to the passage in which it was said to him, âln thee shall all nations be blessedâ[244]244âfor Christâs sake, who is his seed according to the flesh; which is still more clearly expressed in the parallel passage: âln thy seed shall all nations be blessed.â I do not believe that any one can find it anywhere stated in the Holy Scriptures, that a man has ever sinned or still sins âin the devil,â although all wicked and impious men âimitateâ him. The apostle, however, has declared concerning the first man, that âin him all have sinned;â[245]245 and yet there is still a contest about the propagation of sin, and men oppose to it I know not what nebulous theory of âimitation.â[246]246

Chapter 12.âThe Law Could Not Take Away Sin.

Observe also what follows. Having said, âln which all have sinned,â he at once added, âFor until the law, sin was in the world.â[247]247 This means that sin could not be taken away even by the law, which entered that sin might the more abound,[248]248 whether it be the law of nature, under which every man when arrived at years of discretion only proceeds to add his own sins to original sin, or that very law which Moses gave to the people. âFor if there had been a law given which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law. But the Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith in Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe.[249]249 But sin is not imputed where there is no law.â[250]250 Now what means the phrase âis not imputed,â but âis ignored,â or âis not reckoned as sin?â Although the Lord God does not Himself regard it as if it had never been, since it is written: âAs many as have sinned without law shall also perish without law.â[251]251

Chapter 13 [XI.] âMeaning of the Apostleas Phrase aThe Reign of Death.a

âNevertheless, â says he, âdeath reigned from Adam even unto Moses, a[252]252 athat is to say, from the first man even to the very law which was promulged by the divine authority, because even it was unable to abolish the reign of death. Now death must be understood ato reign, a whenever the guilt of sin[253]253 so dominates in men that it prevents their attainment of that eternal life which is the only true life, and drags them down even to the second death which is penally eternal. This reign of death is only destroyed in any man by the Saviourâs grace, which wrought even in the saints of the olden time, all of whom, though previous to the coming of Christ in the flesh, yet lived in relation to His assisting grace, not to the letter of the law, which only knew how to command, but not to help them. In the Old Testament, indeed, that was hidden (conformably to the perfectly just dispensation of the times) which is now revealed in the New Testament. Therefore adeath reigned from Adam unto Moses, a in all who were not assisted by the grace of Christ, that in them the kingdom of death might be destroyed, aeven in those who had not sinned after the similitude of Adamâs transgression, a[254]254 that is, who had not yet sinned of their own individual will, as Adam did, but had drawn from

him original sin, awho is the figure of him that was to come, a[255]255 because in him was constituted the form of condemnation to his future progeny, who should spring from him by natural descent; so that from one all men were born to a condemnation, from which there is no deliverance but in the Saviourâs grace. I am guite aware, indeed, that several Latin copies of the Scriptures read the passage thus: aDeath reigned from Adam to Moses over them who have sinned after the similitude of Adamâs transgression; a[256]256 but even this version is referred by those who so read it to the very same purport, for they understood those who have sinned in him to have sinned after the similitude of Adamâs transgression; so that they are created in his likeness, not only as men born of a man, but as sinners born of a sinner, dving ones of a dving one, and condemned ones to a condemned one. However, the Greek copies from which the Latin version was made. have all, without exception or nearly so, the reading which I first adduced.

Chapter 14. âSuperabundance of Grace.

âBut,â says he, ânot as the offence so also is the free gift. For if, through the offence of one, many be dead, much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace, which is by One Man, Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto many.â[257]257 Not many more, that is, many more men, for there are not more persons justified than condemned; but it runs, much more hath abounded; inasmuch as, while Adam produced sinners from his one sin, Christ has by His grace procured free forgiveness even for the sins which men have of their own accord added by actual transgression to the original sin in which they were born. This he states more clearly still in the sequel.

Chapter 15 [XII.]âThe One Sin Common to All Men.

But observe more attentively what he says, that athrough the offence of one, many are dead.â For why should it be on account of the sin of one, and not rather on account of their own sins, if this passage is to be understood of imitation, and not of propagation?[258]258 But mark what follows: âAnd not as it was by one that sinned, so is the gift; for the judgment was by one to condemnation, but the grace is of many offences unto justification. al 2591259 Now let them tell us, where there is room in these words for imitation. âBy one, â says he, âto condemnation.â By one what except one sin? This, indeed, he clearly implies in the words which he adds: aBut the grace is of many offences unto justification.â Why, indeed, is the judgment from one offence to condemnation, while the grace is from many offences to justification? If original sin is a nullity, would it not follow, that not only grace withdraws men from many offences to justification, but judgment leads them to condemnation from many offences likewise? For assuredly grace does not condone many offences, without judgment in like manner having many offences to condemn. Else, if men are involved in condemnation because of one offence, on the ground that all the offences which are condemned were committed in imitation of that one offence; there is the same reason why men should also be regarded as withdrawn from one offence unto justification, inasmuch as all the offences which are remitted to the justified were committed in imitation of that one

offence. But this most certainly was not the apostleas meaning, when he said: aThe judgment, indeed, was from one offence unto condemnation, but the grace was from many offences unto justification.â We on our side, indeed, can understand the apostle, and see that judgment is predicated of one offence unto condemnation entirely on the ground that, even if there were in men nothing but original sin, it would be sufficient for their condemnation. For however much heavier will be their condemnation who have added their own sins to the original offence (and it will be the more severe in individual cases, in proportion to the sins of individuals); still, even that sin alone which was originally derived unto men not only excludes from the kingdom of God, which infants are unable to enter (as they themselves allow), unless they have received the grace of Christ before they die, but also alienates from salvation and everlasting life, which cannot be anything else than the kingdom of God, to which fellowship with Christ alone introduces us.

Chapter 16 [XIII.] âHow Death is by One and Life by One.

And from this we gather that we have derived from Adam, in whom we all have sinned, not all our actual sins, but only original sin; whereas from Christ, in whom we are all justified, we obtain the remission not merely of that original sin, but of the rest of our sins also, which we have added. Hence it runs: âNot as by the one that sinned, so also is the free gift.â For the judgment, certainly, from one sin, if it is not remittedâand that the original sinâis capable of drawing us into condemnation; whilst grace conducts us to justification from the remission of many sins,âthat is to say, not simply from the original sin, but from all others also whatsoever.

Chapter 17.âWhom Sinners Imitate.

aFor if by one manas offence death reigned by one; much more they which receive abundance of grace and of righteousness shall reign in life by one, even Jesus Christ. a[260]260 Why did death reign on account of the sin of one, unless it was that men were bound by the chain of death in that one man in whom all men sinned, even though they added no sins of their own? Otherwise it was not on account of the sin of one that death reigned through one: rather it was on account of the manifold offences of many, [operating] through each individual sinner. For if the reason why men have died for the transgression of another be, that they have imitated him by following him as their predecessor in transgression, it must even result, and that âmuch more, â that that one died on account of the transgression of another, whom the devil so preceded in transgression as himself to persuade him to commit the transgression. Adam, however, used no influence to persuade his followers; and the many who are said to have imitated him have, in fact, either not heard of his existence at all or of his having committed any such sin as is ascribed to him, or altogether disbelieve it. How much more correctly, therefore, as I have already remarked, [261]261 would the apostle have set forth the devil as the author, from which aonea he would say that sin and death had passed upon all, if he had in this passage meant to speak, not of propagation, but of imitation? For there is much stronger reason for

saying that Adam is an imitator of the devil, since he had in him an actual instigator to sin; if one may be an imitator even of him who has never used any such persuasion, or of whom he is absolutely ignorant. But what is implied in the clause, a They which receive abundance of grace and righteousness â but that the grace of remission is given not only to that sin in which all have sinned, but to those offences likewise which men have actually committed besides; and that on these [men] so great a righteousness is freely bestowed, that, although Adam gave way to him who persuaded him to sin, they do not vield even to the coercion of the same tempter? Again, what mean the words, âMuch more shall they reign in life,â when the fact is, that the reign of death drags many more down to eternal punishment, unless we understand those to be really mentioned in both clauses, who pass from Adam to Christ, in other words, from death to life; because in the life eternal they shall reign without end, and thus exceed the reign of death which has prevailed within them only temporarily and with a termination?

Chapter 18. âOnly Christ Justifies.

aTherefore as by the offence of one upon all men to condemnation, even so by the justification of One upon all men unto justification of life.â[262]262 This âoffence of one,â if we are bent on âimitation,â can only be the devilas offence. Since, however, it is manifestly spoken in reference to Adam and not the devil, it follows that we have no other alternative than to understand the principle of natural propagation, and not that of imitation, to be here implied. [XIV.] Now when he says in reference to Christ, aBy the justification of one, a he has more expressly stated our doctrine than if he were to say, âBy the righteousness of one; a inasmuch as he mentions that justification whereby Christ justifies the ungodly, and which he did not propose as an object of imitation, for He alone is capable of effecting this. Now it was guite competent for the apostle to say, and to say rightly: aBe ye imitators of me, as I also am of Christ; a[263]263 but he could never say: Be ye justified by me, as I also am by Christ; asince there may be, and indeed actually are and have been, many who were righteous and worthy of imitation; but no one is righteous and a justifier but Christ alone. Whence it is said: aTo the man that believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness.â[264]264 Now if any man had it in his power confidently to declare, al justify you, a it would necessarily follow that he could also say, âBelieve in me.â But it has never been in the power of any of the saints of God to say this except the Saint of saints, [265]265 who said: aYe believe in God, believe also in me:a[266]266 so that, inasmuch as it is He that justifies the ungodly, to the man who believes in him that justifieth the ungodly his faith is imputed for righteousness.

Chapter 19 [XV.]âSin is from Natural Descent, as Righteousness is from Regeneration; How âAllâ Are Sinners Through Adam, and âAllâ Are Just Through Christ.

Now if it is imitation only that makes men sinners through Adam, why does not imitation likewise alone make men righteous through Christ?

aFor, a he says, aas by the offence of one upon all men to condemnation; even so by the justification of one upon all men unto justification of life.a[267]267 [On the theory of imitation], then, the aonea and the aone, a here, must not be regarded as Adam and Christ, but Adam and Abel. For although many sinners have preceded us in the time of this present life, and have been imitated in their sin by those who have sinned at a later date, yet they will have it, that only Adam is mentioned as he in whom all have sinned by imitation, since he was the first of men who sinned. And on the same principle, Abel ought certainly to have been mentioned, as he âin which oneâ all likewise are justified by imitation, inasmuch as he was himself the first man who lived justly. If, however, it be thought necessary to take into the account some critical period having relation to the beginning of the New Testament, and Christ be taken as the leader of the righteous and the object of their imitation, then Judas, who betrayed Him, ought to be set down as the leader of the class of sinners. Moreover, if Christ alone is He in whom all men are justified, on the ground that it is not simply the imitation of His example which makes men just, but His grace which regenerates men by the Spirit, then also Adam is the only one in whom all have sinned, on the ground that it is not the mere following of his evil example that makes men sinners, but the penalty which generates through the flesh. Hence the terms âall menâ and âall men.â For not they who are generated through Adam are actually the very same as those who are regenerated through Christ; but yet the language of the apostle is strictly correct, because as none partakes of carnal generation except through Adam, so no one shares in the spiritual except through Christ. For if any could be generated in the flesh, yet not by Adam; and if in like manner any could be generated in the Spirit, and not by Christ; clearly âallâ could not be spoken of either in the one class or in the other. But these aalla[268]268 the apostle afterwards describes as âmany;â[269]269 for obviously, under certain circumstances, the âallâ may be but a few. The carnal generation, however, embraces âmany, â and the spiritual generation also includes amany; a although the amanya of the spiritual are less numerous than the âmanyâ of the carnal. But as the one embraces all men whatever, so the other includes all righteous men; because as in the former case none can be a man without the carnal generation, so in the other class no one can be a righteous man without the spiritual generation; in both instances, therefore, there are âmany:â âFor as by the disobedience of one man many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous.â[270]270

Chapter 20.aOriginal Sin Alone is Contracted by Natural Birth.

âMoreover the law entered, that the offence might abound.â[271]271 This addition to original sin men now made of their own wilfulness, not through Adam; but even this is done away and remedied by Christ, because âwhere sin abounded, grace did much more abound; that as sin hath reigned unto deathâ[272]272âeven that sin which men have not derived from Adam, but have added of their own willââeven so might grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life.â[273]273 There is, however, other righteousness apart from Christ, as there are other sins apart from Adam. Therefore, after saying, âAs sin hath reigned unto death,â he did not add in the same clause âby one,â or âby Adam,â because he had already spoken of that sin which was abounding when the law entered, and which, of course, was not original sin, but the sin of manâs own wilful commission. But after he has said: âEven so might grace also reign through righteousness unto eternal life,â he at once adds, âthrough Jesus Christ our Lord;â[274]274 because, whilst by the generation of the flesh only that sin is contracted which is original; yet by the regeneration of the Spirit there is effected the remission not of original sin only, but also of the sins of manâs own voluntary and actual commission.

Chapter 21 [XVI.]âUnbaptized Infants Damned, But Most Lightly;[275]275 The Penalty of Adamâs Sin, the Grace of His Body Lost.

It may therefore be correctly affirmed, that such infants as guit the body without being baptized will be involved in the mildest condemnation of all. That person, therefore, greatly deceives both himself and others, who teaches that they will not be involved in condemnation; whereas the apostle says: aJudgment from one offence to condemnation, a[276]276 and again a little after: aBy the offence of one upon all persons to condemnation.â[277]277 When, indeed, Adam sinned by not obeying God, then his bodyaalthough it was a natural and mortal bodyâlost the grace whereby it used in every part of it to be obedient to the soul. Then there arose in men affections common to the brutes which are productive of shame, and which made man ashamed of his own nakedness.[278]278 Then also, by a certain disease which was conceived in men from a suddenly injected and pestilential corruption, it was brought about that they lost that stability of life in which they were created, and, by reason of the mutations which they experienced in the stages of life, issued at last in death. However many were the years they lived in their subsequent life, yet they began to die on the day when they received the law of death, because they kept verging towards old age. For that possesses not even a momentas stability, but glides away without intermission, which by constant change perceptibly advances to an end which does not produce perfection, but utter exhaustion. Thus, then, was fulfilled what God had spoken: all the day that ye eat thereof, ye shall surely die.â[279]279 As a consequence, then, of this disobedience of the flesh and this law of sin and death, whoever is born of the flesh has need of spiritual regenerationanot only that he may reach the kingdom of God, but also that he may be freed from the damnation of sin. Hence men are on the one hand born in the flesh liable to sin and death from the first Adam, and on the other hand are born again in baptism associated with the righteousness and eternal life of the second Adam: even as it is written in the book of Ecclesiasticus: aOf the woman came the beginning of sin, and through her we all die.â[280]280 Now whether it be said of the woman or of Adam, both statements pertain to the first man: since (as we know) the woman is of the man, and the two are one flesh. Whence also it is written: âAnd they twain shall be one flesh; wherefore, a the Lord says, a they are no more twain, but one flesh.â[281]281

Chapter 22 [XVII.] aTo Infants Personal Sin is Not to Be Attributed.

They, therefore, who say that the reason why infants are baptized, is, that they may have the remission of the sin which they have themselves committed in their life, not what they have derived from Adam, may be refuted without much difficulty. For whenever these persons shall have reflected within themselves a little, uninfluenced by any polemical spirit, on the absurdity of their statement, how unworthy it is, in fact, of serious discussion, they will at once change their opinion. But if they will not do this, we shall not so completely despair of menâs common sense, as to have any fears that they will induce others to adopt their views. They are themselves driven to adopt their opinion, if I am not mistaken, by their prejudice for some other theory; and it is because they feel themselves obliged to allow that sins are remitted to the baptized, and are unwilling to allow that the sin was derived from Adam which they admit to be remitted to infants, that they have been obliged to charge infancy itself with actual sin; as if by bringing this charge against infancy a man could become the more secure himself, when accused and unable to answer his assailant! However, let us, as I suggested, pass by such opponents as these; indeed, we require neither words nor quotations of Scripture to prove the sinlessness of infants, so far as their conduct in life is concerned; this life they spend, such is the recency of their birth, within their very selves, since it escapes the cognizance of human perception, which has no data or support whereon to sustain any controversy on the subject.

Chapter 23 [XVIII.]âHe Refutes Those Who Allege that Infants are Baptized Not for the Remission of Sins, But for the Obtaining of the Kingdom of Heaven.[282]282

But those persons raise a question, and appear to adduce an argument deserving of consideration and discussion, who say that new-born infants receive baptism not for the remission of sin, but that, since their procreation is not spiritual, they may be created in Christ, and become partakers of the kingdom of heaven, and by the same means children and heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ. And yet, when you ask them, whether those that are not baptized, and are not made joint-heirs with Christ and partakers of the kingdom of heaven, have at any rate the blessing of eternal life in the resurrection of the dead, they are extremely perplexed, and find no way out of their difficulty. For what Christian is there who would allow it to be said, that any one could attain to eternal salvation without being born again in Christ, a[a result] which He meant to be effected through baptism, at the very time when such a sacrament was purposely instituted for regenerating in the hope of eternal salvation? Whence the apostle says: aNot by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He saved us by the laver[283]283 of regeneration.â[284]284 This salvation, however, he says, consists in hope, while we live here below, where he says, aFor we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope; for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for it.â[285]285 Who then could be so bold as to affirm, that without the regeneration of which the apostle speaks, infants could attain to eternal salvation, as if Christ died not for them? For aChrist died for the ungodly.a[286]286 As for them, however, who (as is manifest) never did an ungodly act in all their own life, if also they are not bound by any bond of sin in their original nature, how did He die for them, who died for the ungodly? If they were hurt by no malady of original sin, how is it they are carried to the Physician Christ, for the express purpose of receiving the sacrament of eternal salvation, by the pious anxiety of those who run to Him? Why rather is it not said to them in the Church: Take hence these innocents: âthey that are whole need not a physician, but they that are sick;ââChrist âcame not to call the righteous, but sinners?â[287]287 There never has been heard, there never is heard, there never will be heard in the Church, such a fiction concerning Christ.

Chapter 24 [XIX.] âlnfants Saved as Sinners.

And let no one suppose that infants ought to be brought to baptism, on the ground that, as they are not sinners, so they are not righteous; how then do some remind us that the Lord commends this tender age as meritorious; saying, aSuffer the little children to come unto me, and forbid them not, for of such is the kingdom of heaven?a[288]288 For if this [aof sucha] is not said because of likeness in humility (since humility makes [us] children), but because of the laudable life of children, then of course infants must be righteous persons; otherwise, it could not be correctly said, aOf such is the kingdom of heaven.a for heaven can only belong to the righteous. But perhaps, after all, it is not a right opinion of the meaning of the Lordâs words, to make Him commend the life of infants when He says, aOf such is the kingdom of heaven; a inasmuch as that may be their true sense, which makes Christ adduce the tender age of infancy as a likeness of humility. Even so, however, perhaps we must revert to the tenet which I mentioned just now, that infants ought to be baptized, because, although they are not sinners, they are yet not righteous. But when He had said: all came not to call the righteous, as if responding to this, Whom, then, didst Thou come to call? immediately He goes on to say: ââbut sinners to repentance.â Therefore it follows, that, however righteous they may be, if also they are not sinners, He came not to call them, who said of Himself: all came not to call the righteous, but sinners.â They therefore seem, not vainly only, but even wickedly to rush to the baptism of Him who does not invite them. an opinion which God forbid that we should entertain. He calls them, then, as a Physician who is not needed for those that are whole, but for those that are sick; and who came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance. Now, inasmuch as infants are not held bound by any sins of their own actual life, it is the guilt of original sin which is healed in them by the grace of Him who saves them by the laver of regeneration.

Chapter 25.âInfants are Described as Believers and as Penitents. Sins Alone Separate Between God and Men.

Some one will say: How then are mere infants called to repentance? How can such as they repent of anything? The answer to this is: If they must not be called penitents because they have not the sense of repenting, neither must they be called believers, because they

likewise have not the sense of believing. But if they are rightly called believers, [289]289 because they in a certain sense profess faith by the words of their parents, why are they not also held to be before that penitents when they are shown to renounce the devil and this world by the profession again of the same parents? The whole of this is done in hope, in the strength of the sacrament and of the divine grace which the Lord has bestowed upon the Church. But yet who knows not that the baptized infant fails to be benefited from what he received as a little child, if on coming to years of reason he fails to believe and to abstain from unlawful desires? If, however, the infant departs from the present life after he has received baptism, the guilt in which he was involved by original sin being done away, he shall be made perfect in that light of truth, which, remaining unchangeable for evermore, illumines the justified in the presence of their Creator. For sins alone separate between men and God; and these are done away by Christâs grace, through whom, as Mediator, we are reconciled, when He justifies the ungodly.

Chapter 26 [XX.]âNo One, Except He Be Baptized, Rightly Comes to the Table of the Lord.

Now they take alarm from the statement of the Lord, when He says, âExcept a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God; a[290]290 because in His own explanation of the passage He affirms, aExcept a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God. a[291]291 And so they try to ascribe to unbaptized infants, by the merit of their innocence, the gift of salvation and eternal life, but at the same time, owing to their being unbaptized, to exclude them from the kingdom of heaven. But how novel and astonishing is such an assumption, as if there could possibly be salvation and eternal life without heirship with Christ, without the kingdom of heaven! Of course they have their refuge, whither to escape and hide themselves, because the Lord does not say, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot have life, butââhe cannot enter into the kingdom of God.â If indeed He had said the other, there could have risen not a momentas doubt. Well, then, let us remove the doubt; let us now listen to the Lord, and not to menâs notions and conjectures; let us, I say, hear what the Lord saysanot indeed concerning the sacrament of the laver, but concerning the sacrament of His own holy table, to which none but a baptized person has a right to approach: aExcept ye eat my flesh and drink my blood, ye shall have no life in you.â[292]292 What do we want more? What answer to this can be adduced, unless it be by that obstinacy which ever resists the constancy of manifest truth?

Chapter 27. âlnfants Must Feed on Christ.

Will, however, any man be so bold as to say that this statement has no relation to infants, and that they can have life in them without partaking of His body and bloodâon the ground that He does not say, Except one eat, but âExcept ye eat;â as if He were addressing those who were able to hear and to understand, which of course infants cannot do? But he who says this is inattentive; because, unless all are embraced in the statement, that without the body and the blood of

the Son of man men cannot have life, it is to no purpose that even the elder age is solicitous of it. For if you attend to the mere words, and not to the meaning, of the Lord as He speaks, this passage may very well seem to have been spoken merely to the people whom He happened at the moment to be addressing; because He does not say, Except one eat; but Except ye eat. What also becomes of the statement which He makes in the same context on this very point: a The bread that I will give is my flesh, for the life of the world?â[293]293 For, it is according to this statement, that we find that sacrament pertains also to us, who were not in existence at the time the Lord spoke these words; for we cannot possibly say that we do not belong to athe world, a for the life of which Christ gave His flesh. Who indeed can doubt that in the term world all persons are indicated who enter the world by being born? For, as He says in another passage, a The children of this world beget and are begotten. a[294]294 From all this it follows, that even for the life of infants was His flesh given, which He gave for the life of the world; and that even they will not have life if they eat not the flesh of the Son of man.

Chapter 28.âBaptized Infants, of the Faithful; Unbaptized, of the Lost.

Hence also that other statement: aThe Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into His hand. He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life; while he that believeth not the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him.a[295]295 Now in which of these classes must we place infantsâamongst those who believe on the Son, or amongst those who believe not the Son? In neither, say some, because, as they are not yet able to believe, so must they not be deemed unbelievers. This, however, the rule of the Church does not indicate, for it joins baptized infants to the number of the faithful. Now if they who are baptized are, by virtue of the excellence and administration of so great a sacrament, nevertheless reckoned in the number of the faithful, although by their own heart and mouth they do not literally perform what appertains to the action of faith and confession; surely they who have lacked the sacrament must be classed amongst those who do not believe on the Son, and therefore, if they shall depart this life without this grace, they will have to encounter what is written concerning suchathev shall not have life, but the wrath of God abideth on them. Whence could this result to those who clearly have no sins of their own, if they are not held to be obnoxious to original sin?

Chapter 29 [XXI.]âlt is an Inscrutable Mystery Why Some are Saved, and Others Not.

Now there is much significance in that He does not say, âThe wrath of God shall come upon him,â but âabideth on him.â For from this wrath (in which we are all involved under sin, and of which the apostle says, âFor we too were once by nature the children of wrath, even as othersâ[296]296) nothing delivers us but the grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord. The reason why this grace comes upon one man and not on another may be hidden, but it cannot be unjust. For âis there unrighteousness with God? God forbid.â[297]297 But we must first

bend our necks to the authority of the Holy Scriptures, in order that we may each arrive at knowledge and understanding through faith. For it is not said in vain, aThy judgments are a great deep.a[298]298 The profundity of this âdeepâ the apostle, as if with a feeling of dread, notices in that exclamation: aO the depth of the riches both of the wisdom and the knowledge of God!â He had indeed previously pointed out the meaning of this marvellous depth, when he said: aFor God hath concluded them all in unbelief, that He might have mercy upon all.â[299]299 Then struck, as it were, with a horrible fear of this deep: aO the depth of the riches both of the wisdom and the knowledge of God! how unsearchable are His judgments, and His ways past finding out! For who hath known the mind of the Lord? or who hath been His counsellor?or who hath first given to Him, and it shall be recompensed unto him again? For of Him, and through Him, and in Him, are all things: to whom be glory for ever. Amen.â[300]300 How utterly insignificant, then, is our faculty for discussing the justice of Godâs judgments, and for the consideration of His gratuitous grace, which, as men have no prevenient merits for deserving it, cannot be partial or unrighteous, and which does not disturb us when it is bestowed upon unworthy men, as much as when it is denied to those who are equally unworthy!

Chapter 30.âWhy One is Baptized and Another Not, Not Otherwise Inscrutable.

Now those very persons, who think it unjust that infants which depart this life without the grace of Christ should be deprived not only of the kingdom of God, into which they themselves admit that none but such as are regenerated through baptism can enter, but also of eternal life and salvation, awhen they ask how it can be just that one man should be freed from original sin and another not, although the condition of both of them is the same, might answer their own question, in accordance with their own opinion of how it can be so frequently just and right that one should have baptism administered to him whereby to enter into the kingdom of God, and another not be so favoured, although the case of both is alike. For if the question disturbs him, why, of the two persons, who are both equally sinners by nature, the one is loosed from that bond, on whom baptism is conferred, and the other is not released, on whom such grace is not bestowed; why is he not similarly disturbed by the fact that of two persons, innocent by nature, one receives baptism, whereby he is able to enter into the kingdom of God, and the other does not receive it, so that he is incapable of approaching the kingdom of God? Now in both cases one recurs to the apostleas outburst of wonder aO the depth of the riches!â Again, let me be informed, why out of the body of baptized infants themselves, one is taken away, so that his understanding undergoes no change from a wicked life,[301]301 and the other survives, destined to become an impious man? Suppose both were carried off, would not both enter the kingdom of heaven? And yet there is no unrighteousness with God.[302]302 How is it that no one is moved, no one is driven to the expression of wonder amidst such depths, by the circumstance that some children are vexed by the unclean spirit, while others experience no such pollution, and others again, as Jeremiah, are sanctified even in their motheras

womb;[303]303 whereas all men, if there is original sin, are equally guilty; or else equally innocent if there is original sin? Whence this great diversity, except in the fact that Godâs judgments are unsearchable, and His ways past finding out?

Chapter 31 [XXII.]âHe Refutes Those Who Suppose that Souls, on Account of Sins Committed in Another State, are Thrust into Bodies Suited to Their Merits, in Which They are More or Less Tormented.

Perhaps, however, the now exploded and rejected opinion must be resumed, that souls which once sinned in their heavenly abode, descend by stages and degrees to bodies suited to their deserts, and, as a penalty for their previous life, are more or less tormented by corporeal chastisements. To this opinion Holy Scripture indeed presents a most manifest contradiction; for when recommending divine grace, it says: aFor the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of Him that calleth, it was said, The elder shall serve the younger.â[304]304 And yet they who entertain such an opinion are actually unable to escape the perplexities of this question, but, embarrassed and straitened by them, are compelled to exclaim like others, aO the depth! For whence does it come to pass that a person shall from his earliest boyhood show greater moderation, mental excellence, and temperance, and shall to a great extent conquer lust, shall hate avarice, detest luxury, and rise to a greater eminence and aptitude in the other virtues, and yet live in such a place as to be unable to hear the grace of Christ preached? afor a how shall they call on Him in whom they have not believed? or how shall they believe in Him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher?a[305]305 While another man, although of a slow mind, addicted to lust, and covered with disgrace and crime, shall be so directed as to hear, and believe, and be baptized, and be taken away, aor, if permitted to remain longer here, lead the rest of his life in a manner that shall bring him praise? Now where did these two persons acquire such diverse deserts, al do not say, that the one should believe and the other not believe, for that is a matter for a manâs own will: but that the one should hear in order to believe, and that the other should not hear, for this is not within manas power? Where, I say, did they acquire diverse deserts? If they had indeed passed any part of their life in heaven, so as to be thrust down, or to sink down, to this world, and to tenant such bodily receptacles as are congruous to their own former life, then of course that man ought to be supposed to have led the better life previous to his present mortal body, who did not much deserve to be burdened with it, so as both to have a good disposition, and to be importuned by milder desires which he could easily overcome; and yet he did not deserve to have that grace preached to him whereby alone he could be delivered from the ruin of the second death. Whereas the other, who was hampered with a grosser body, as a penalty as they suppose a for worse deserts, and was accordingly possessed of obtuser affections, whilst he was in the violent ardour of his lust succumbing to the snares of the flesh, and by his wicked life aggravating his former sins, which had brought him to such a pass, by a still more abandoned course of earthly pleasures, aeither heard upon the cross, aTo-day shalt thou be with me

in paradise,â[306]306 or else joined himself to some apostle, by whose preaching he became a changed man, and was saved by the washing of regeneration,âso that where sin once abounded, grace did much more abound. I am at a loss to know what answer they can give to this who wish to maintain Godâs righteousness by human conjectures, and, knowing nothing of the depths of grace, have woven webs of improbable fable.

Chapter 32.âThe Case of Certain Idiots and Simpletons.

Now a good deal may be said of menâs strange vocations, âeither such as we have read about, or have experienced ourselves, awhich go to overthrow the opinion of those persons who think that, previous to the possession of their bodies, menâs souls passed through certain lives peculiar to themselves, in which they must come to this, and experience in the present life either good or evil, according to the difference of their individual deserts. My anxiety, however, to bring this work to an end does not permit me to dwell longer on these topics. But on one point, which among many I have found to be a very strange one, I will not be silent. If we follow those persons who suppose that souls are oppressed with earthly bodies in a greater or a less degree of grossness, according to the deserts of the life which had been passed in celestial bodies previous to the assumption of the present one, who would not affirm that those had sinned previous to this life with an especial amount of enormity, who deserve so to lose all mental light, that they are born with faculties akin to brute animals, awho are (I will not say most slow in intellect, for this is very commonly said of others also, but) so silly as to make a show of their fatuity for the amusement of clever people, even with idiotic gestures,[307]307 and whom the vulgar call, by a name, derived from the Greek, Moriones?[308]308And yet there was once a certain person of this class, who was so Christian, that although he was patient to the degree of strange folly with any amount of injury to himself, he was yet so impatient of any insult to the name of Christ, or, in his own person, to the religion with which he was imbued, that he could never refrain, whenever his gay and clever audience proceeded to blaspheme the sacred name, as they sometimes would in order to provoke his patience, from pelting them with stones; and on these occasions he would show no favour even to persons of rank. Well, now, such persons are predestinated and brought into being, as I suppose, in order that those who are able should understand that Godâs grace and the Spirit, âwhich bloweth where it listeth, â[309]309 does not pass over any kind of capacity in the sons of mercy, nor in like manner does it pass over any kind of capacity in the children of Gehenna, so that ahe that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord a[310]310 They, however, who affirm that souls severally receive different earthly bodies, more or less gross according to the merits of their former life, and that their abilities as men vary according to the self-same merits, so that some minds are sharper and others more obtuse, and that the grace of God is also dispensed for the liberation of men from their sins according to the deserts of their former existence: awhat will they have to say about this man? How will they be able to attribute to him a previous life of so disgraceful a character that he deserved to be born an idiot, and at the same time of so highly meritorious a

character as to entitle him to a preference in the award of the grace of Christ over many men of the acutest intellect?

Chapter 33. aChrist is the Saviour and Redeemer Even of Infants.

Let us therefore give in and yield our assent to the authority of Holy Scripture, which knows not how either to be deceived or to deceive; and as we do not believe that men as yet unborn have done any good or evil for raising a difference in their moral deserts, so let us by no means doubt that all men are under sin, which came into the world by one man and has passed through unto all men; and from which nothing frees us but the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ. [XXIII.] His remedial advent is needed by those that are sick, not by the whole: for He came not to call the righteous, but sinners; and into His kingdom shall enter no one that is not born again of water and the Spirit; nor shall any one attain salvation and eternal life except in His kingdom, asince the man who believes not in the Son, and eats not His flesh, shall not have life, but the wrath of God remains upon him. Now from this sin, from this sickness, from this wrath of God (of which by nature they are children who have original sin, even if they have none of their own on account of their youth), none delivers them, except the Lamb of God, who takes away the sins of the world;[311]311 except the Physician, who came not for the sake of the sound, but of the sick: except the Saviour, concerning whom it was said to the human race: âUnto you there is born this day a Saviour;â[312]312 except the Redeemer, by whose blood our debt is blotted out. For who would dare to say that Christ is not the Saviour and Redeemer of infants? But from what does He save them, if there is no malady of original sin within them? From what does He redeem them, if through their origin from the first man they are not sold under sin? Let there be then no eternal salvation promised to infants out of our own opinion, without Christâs baptism; for none is promised in that Holy Scripture which is to be preferred to all human authority and opinion.

Chapter 34 [XXIV.]âBaptism is Called Salvation, and the Eucharist, Life, by the Christians of Carthage.

The Christians of Carthage have an excellent name for the sacraments, when they say that baptism is nothing else than asalvation. and the sacrament of the body of Christ nothing else than âlife.â Whence, however, was this derived, but from that primitive, as I suppose, and apostolic tradition, by which the Churches of Christ maintain it to be an inherent principle, that without baptism and partaking of the supper of the Lord it is impossible for any man to attain either to the kingdom of God or to salvation and everlasting life? So much also does Scripture testify, according to the words which we already quoted. For wherein does their opinion, who designate baptism by the term salvation, differ from what is written: aHe saved us by the washing of regeneration?a[313]313 or from Peteras statement: aThe like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now save us?a[314]314 And what else do they say who call the sacrament of the Lordâs Supper life, than that which is written: all am the living bread which came down from heaven; a[315]315 and aThe bread that I shall give is my flesh, for the life of the world; a[316]316 and aExcept ye eat the flesh of

the Son of man, and drink His blood, ye shall have no life in you?â[317]317 If, therefore, as so many and such divine witnesses agree, neither salvation nor eternal life can be hoped for by any man without baptism and the Lordâs body and blood, it is vain to promise these blessings to infants without them. Moreover, if it be only sins that separate man from salvation and eternal life, there is nothing else in infants which these sacraments can be the means of removing, but the guilt of sin, are specting which guilty nature it is written, that ano one is clean, not even if his life be only that of a day.â[318]318 Whence also that exclamation of the Psalmist: âBehold, I was shapen in iniquity; and in sin did my mother conceive me!a[319]319 This is either said in the person of our common humanity, or if of himself only David speaks, it does not imply that he was born of fornication, but in lawful wedlock. We therefore ought not to doubt that even for infants yet to be baptized was that precious blood shed. which previous to its actual effusion was so given, and applied in the sacrament, that it was said, aThis is my blood, which shall be shed for many for the remission of sins. a[320]320 Now they who will not allow that they are under sin, deny that there is any liberation. For what is there that men are liberated from, if they are held to be bound by no bondage of sin?

Chapter 35.âUnless Infants are Baptized, They Remain in Darkness.

âl am come,â says Christ, âa light into the world, that whosoever believeth on me should not abide in darkness.â[321]321 Now what does this passage show us, but that every person is in darkness who does not believe on Him, and that it is by believing on Him that he escapes from this permanent state of darkness? What do we understand by the darkness but sin? And whatever else it may embrace in its meaning, at any rate he who believes not in Christ will âabide in darkness,ââwhich, of course, is a penal state, not, as the darkness of the night, necessary for the refreshment of living beings. [XXV.] So that infants, unless they pass into the number of believers through the sacrament which was divinely instituted for this purpose, will undoubtedly remain in this darkness.

Chapter 36.âInfants Not Enlightened as Soon as They are Born.

Some, however, understand that as soon as children are born they are enlightened; and they derive this opinion from the passage: aThat was the true Light, which lighteth every one that cometh into the world.a[322]322 Well, if this be the case, it is quite astonishing how it can be that those who are thus enlightened by the only-begotten Son, who was in the beginning the Word with God, and [Himself] God, are not admitted into the kingdom of God, nor are heirs of God and joint-heirs with Christ. For that such an inheritance is not bestowed upon them except through baptism, even they who hold the opinion in question do acknowledge. Then, again, if they are (though already illuminated) thus unfit for entrance into the kingdom of God, they at all events ought gladly to receive the baptism, by which they are fitted for it; but, strange to say, we see how reluctant infants are to submit to baptism, resisting even with strong crying. And this ignorance of theirs we think lightly of at their time of life, so that we fully administer the sacraments, which we know to be serviceable to them, even although they struggle against them. And why, too, does the apostle say, âBe not children in understanding,â[323]323 if their minds have been already enlightened with that true Light, which is the Word of God?

Chapter 37. âHow God Enlightens Every Person.

That statement, therefore, which occurs in the gospel, a That was the true Light, which lighteth every one that cometh into the world, a[324]324 has this meaning, that no man is illuminated except with that Light of the truth, which is God; so that no person must think that he is enlightened by him whom he listens to as a learner. although that instructor happen to beal will not say, any great manâbut even an angel himself. For the word of truth is applied to man externally by the ministry of a bodily voice, but yet aneither is he that planteth any thing, neither he that watereth; but God that giveth the increase.â[325]325 Man indeed hears the speaker, be he man or angel, but in order that he may perceive and know that what is said is true, his mind is internally besprinkled with that light which remains for ever, and which shines even in darkness. But just as the sun is not seen by the blind, though they are clothed as it were with its rays, so is the light of truth not understood by the darkness of folly.

Chapter 38.âWhat âLightethâ Means.

But why, after saying, awhich lighteth every man, a should he add, âthat cometh into the world, a[326]326 athe clause which has suggested the opinion that He enlightens the minds of newly-born babes while the birth of their bodies from their motheras womb is still a recent thing? The words, no doubt, are so placed in the Greek, that they may be understood to express that the light itself acometh into the world.â[327]327 lf, nevertheless, the clause must be taken as expressing the man who cometh into this world, I suppose that it is either a simple phrase, like many others one finds in the Scriptures, which may be removed without impairing the general sense; or else, if it is to be regarded as a distinctive addition, it was perhaps inserted in order to distinguish spiritual illumination from that bodily one which enlightens the eyes of the flesh either by means of the luminaries of the sky, or by the lights of ordinary fire. So that he mentioned the inner man as coming into the world, because the outward man is of a corporeal nature, just as this world itself; as if he said, aWhich lighteth every man that cometh into the body, a in accordance with that which is written: all obtained a good spirit, and I came in a body undefiled a [328] 328 Or again, the passage, a Which lighteth every one that cometh into the world, aaif it was added for the sake of expressing some distinction, âmight perhaps mean: Which lighteth every inner man, because the inner man, when he becomes truly wise, is enlightened only by Him who is the true Light. Or, once more, if the intention was to designate reason herself, which causes the human soul to be called rational (and this reason, although as yet quiet and as it were asleep, for all that lies hidden in infants, innate and, so to speak, implanted), by the term illumination, as if

it were the creation of an inner eye, then it cannot be denied that it is made when the soul is created; and there is no absurdity in supposing this to take place when the human being comes into the world. But yet, although his eye is now created, he himself must needs remain in darkness, if he does not believe in Him who said: an come a Light into the world, that whosoever believeth on me should not abide in darkness.â[329]329 And that this takes place in the case of infants, through the sacrament of baptism, is not doubted by mother Church, which uses for them the heart and mouth of a mother, that they may be imbued with the sacred mysteries, seeing that they cannot as yet with their own heart abelieve unto righteousness, a nor with their own mouth make aconfession unto salvation.a[330]330 There is not indeed a man among the faithful, who would hesitate to call such infants believers merely from the circumstance that such a designation is derived from the act of believing; for although incapable of such an act themselves, yet others are sponsors for them in the sacraments.

Chapter 39 [XXVI.]âThe Conclusion Drawn, that All are Involved in Original Sin.

It would be tedious, were we fully to discuss, at similar length, every testimony bearing on the question. I suppose it will be the more convenient course simply to collect the passages together which may turn up, or such as shall seem sufficient for manifesting the truth. that the Lord Jesus Christ came in the flesh, and, in the form of a servant, became obedient even to the death of the cross.[331]331 for no other reason than, by this dispensation of His most merciful grace, to give life to all those to whom, as engrafted members of His body, He becomes Head for laying hold upon the kingdom of heaven: to save, free, redeem, and enlighten them, awho had aforetime been involved in the death, infirmities, servitude, captivity, and darkness of sin, under the dominion of the devil, the author of sin: and thus to become the Mediator between God and man, by whom (after the enmity of our ungodly condition had been terminated by His gracious help) we might be reconciled to God unto eternal life, having been rescued from the eternal death which threatened such as us. When this shall have been made clear by more than sufficient evidence, it will follow that those persons cannot be concerned with that dispensation of Christ which is executed by His humiliation, who have no need of life, and salvation. and deliverance, and redemption, and illumination. And inasmuch as to this belongs baptism, in which we are buried with Christ, in order to be incorporated into Him as His members (that is, as those who believe in Him): it of course follows that baptism is unnecessary for them, who have no need of the benefit of that forgiveness and reconciliation which is acquired through a Mediator. Now, seeing that they admit the necessity of baptizing infants, a finding themselves unable to contravene that authority of the universal Church, which has been unguestionably handed down by the Lord and His apostles, athey cannot avoid the further concession, that infants require the same benefits of the Mediator, in order that, being washed by the sacrament and charity of the faithful, and thereby incorporated into the body of Christ, which is the Church, they may be reconciled to God, and so live in Him. and be saved, and delivered, and redeemed, and enlightened. But from what, if not from death, and the vices, and

guilt, and thraldom, and darkness of sin? And, inasmuch as they do not commit any sin in the tender age of infancy by their actual transgression, original sin only is left.

Chapter 40 [XXVII.]âA Collection of Scripture Testimonies. From the Gospels.

This reasoning will carry more weight, after I have collected the mass of Scripture testimonies which I have undertaken to adduce. We have already guoted: all came not to call the righteous, but sinners â[332]332 To the same purport [the Lord] says, on entering the home of Zaccheus: aTo-day is salvation come to this house, forsomuch as he also is a son of Abraham; for the Son of man is come to seek and to save that which was lost. a[333]333 The same truth is declared in the parable of the lost sheep and the ninety and nine which were left until the missing one was sought and found;[334]334 as it is also in the parable of the lost one among the ten silver coins.[335]335 Whence, as He said, ait behoved that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in His name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem.â[336]336 Mark likewise, at the end of his Gospel, tells us how that the Lord said: aGo ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature. He that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned. a[337]337 Now, who can be unaware that, in the case of infants, being baptized is to believe, and not being baptized is not to believe? From the Gospel of John we have already adduced some passages. However, I must also request your attention to the following: John Baptist says of Christ, âBehold the Lamb of God, Behold Him which taketh away the sin of the world; a[338]338 and He too says of Himself, a My sheep hear my voice. and I know them, and they follow me: and I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish. a[339]339 Now, inasmuch as infants are only able to become His sheep by baptism, it must needs come to pass that they perish if they are not baptized, because they will not have that eternal life which He gives to His sheep. So in another passage He says: all am the way, the truth, and the life; no man cometh unto the Father, but by me.a[340]340

Chapter 41.âFrom the First Epistle of Peter.

See with what earnestness the apostles declare this doctrine, when they received it. Peter, in his first Epistle, says: âBlessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, according to His abundant mercy, who hath regenerated us unto the hope of eternal life, by the resurrection of Jesus Christ, to an inheritance immortal, and undefiled, flourishing, reserved in heaven for you, who are kept by the power of God through faith unto salvation, ready to be revealed in the last time.â[341]341 And a little afterwards he adds: âMay ye be found unto the praise and honour of Jesus Christ: of whom ye were ignorant; but in whom ye believe, though now ye see Him not; and in whom also ye shall rejoice, when ye shall see Him, with joy unspeakable and full of glory: receiving the end of your faith, even the salvation of your souls.â[342]342 Again, in another place he says: âBut ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a peculiar people; that ye should show forth the praises of Him who hath called you out of darkness into His marvellous light.â[343]343 Once more he says: âChrist hath once suffered for our sins, the just for the unjust, that He might bring us to God:â[344]344 and, after mentioning the fact of eight persons having been saved in Noahâs ark, he adds: âAnd by the like figure baptism saveth you.â[345]345 Now infants are strangers to this salvation and light, and will remain in perdition and darkness, unless they are joined to the people of God by adoption, holding to Christ who suffered the just for the unjust, to bring them unto God.

Chapter 42.aFrom the First Epistle of John.

Moreover, from Johnas Epistle I meet with the following words, which seem indispensable to the solution of this question: aBut if a says he, awe walk in the light, as He is in the light, we have fellowship one with another, and the blood of Jesus Christ His Son cleanseth us from all sin.â[346]346 To the like import he says, in another place: alf we receive the witness of men, the witness of God is greater: for this is the witness of God, which is greater because He hath testified of His Son. He that believeth on the Son of God hath the witness in himself: he that believeth not God hath made Him a liar; because he believed not in the testimony that God testified of His Son. And this is the testimony, that God hath given to us eternal life; and this life is in His Son. He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.â[347]347 It seems, then, that it is not only the kingdom of heaven, but life also, which infants are not to have, if they have not the Son, whom they can only have by His baptism. So again he says: aFor this cause the Son of God was manifested, that He might destroy the works of the devil a[348]348 Therefore infants will have no interest in the manifestation of the Son of God, if He do not in them destroy the works of the devil.

Chapter 43.âFrom the Epistle to the Romans.

Let me now request your attention to the testimony of the Apostle Paul on this subject. And guotations from him may of course be made more abundantly, because he wrote more epistles, and because it fell to him to recommend the grace of God with especial earnestness, in opposition to those who aloried in their works, and who, ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and wishing to establish their own, submitted not to the righteousness of God. [349]349 In his Epistle to the Romans he writes: aThe righteousness of God is upon all them that believe; for there is no difference; since all have sinned, and come short of the alory of God; being justified freely by His grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus; whom God hath set forth as a propitiation through faith in His blood, to declare His righteousness for the remission [350]350 of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; to declare, I say, at this time His righteousness; that He might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus.â[351]351 Then in another passage he says: âTo him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on Him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness. Even as David also describeth the blessedness of the man, unto whom God imputeth righteousness without works, saying, Blessed are they whose iniquities are forgiven, and whose sins are covered. Blessed is the man to whom the Lord imputeth no sin.â[352]352 And then after no long interval he observes: âNow, it was not written for his sake alone, that it was imputed to him; but for us also, to whom it shall be imputed, if we believe on Him that raised up Jesus Christ our Lord from the dead; who was delivered for our offences, and was raised again for our justification. a[353]353 Then a little after he writes: aFor when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly.â[354]354 In another passage he says: aWe know that the law is spiritual; but I am carnal, sold under sin. For that which I do I know not: for what I would, that I do not; but what I hate, that I do. If then I do that which I would not, I consent unto the law that it is good. Now then, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me. For I know that in me (that is, in my flesh) dwelleth no good thing; for to will is present with me; but how to perform that which is good I find not. For the good that I would I do not; but the evil which I would not, that I do. Now if I do that I would not, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me. I find then a law, that, when I would do good, evil is present with me. For I delight in the law of God after the inward man: but I see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of sin which is in my members. O wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord. a[355]355 Let them, who can, say that men are not born in the body of this death, that so they may be able to affirm that they have no need of Godâs grace through Jesus Christ in order to be delivered from the body of this death. Therefore he adds, a few verses afterwards: aFor what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God, sending His own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh.â[356]356 Let them say, who dare, that Christ must have been born in the likeness of sinful flesh, if we were not born in sinful flesh.

Chapter 44.âFrom the Epistles to the Corinthians.

Likewise to the Corinthians he says: aFor I delivered to you first of all that which I also received, how that Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures. a[357]357 Again, in his Second Epistle to these Corinthians: aFor the love of Christ constraineth us; because we thus judge, that if One died for all, then all died: and for all did Christ die, that they which live should no longer live unto themselves, but unto Him which died for them, and rose again. Wherefore, henceforth know we no man after the flesh; yea, though we have known Christ after the flesh, yet from henceforth know we Him so no more. Therefore if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature; old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new. And all things are of God, who hath reconciled us to Himself by Jesus Christ, and hath given unto us the ministry of reconciliation. To what effect? That God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto Himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them, and putting on us the ministry of reconciliation. Now then are we ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us; we pray you in Christâs stead, to be reconciled to God. For He hath made Him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that

we might become the righteousness of God in Him.[358]358 We then, as workers together with Him, beseech you also that ye receive not the grace of God in vain. (For He saith, I have heard thee in an acceptable time, and in the day of salvation have I succoured thee: behold, now is the acceptable time; behold, now is the day of salvation.)â[359]359 Now, if infants are not embraced within this reconciliation and salvation, who wants them for the baptism of Christ? But if they are embraced, then are they reckoned as among the dead for whom He died; nor can they be possibly reconciled and saved by Him, unless He remit and impute not unto them their sins.

Chapter 45.âFrom the Epistle to the Galatians.

Likewise to the Galatians the apostle writes: âGrace be to you, and peace, from God the Father, and from our Lord Jesus Christ, who gave Himself for our sins, that He might deliver us from this present evil world.â[360]360 While in another passage he says to them: âThe law was added because of transgressions, until the seed should come to whom the promise was made; and it was ordained by angels in the hand of a mediator. Now a mediator belongs not to one party; but God is one. Is the law then against the promises of God? God forbid: for if there had been a law given which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law. But the scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe.â[361]361

Chapter 46.âFrom the Epistle to the Ephesians.

To the Ephesians he addresses words of the same import: aAnd you when ye were dead in trespasses and sins; wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit of him that now worketh in the children of disobedience; among whom also we all had our conversation in times past in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind; and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others. But God, who is rich in mercy, for His great love wherewith He loved us, even when we were dead in sins, hath guickened us together with Christ; by whose grace ye are saved. a[362]362 Again, a little afterwards, he says: âBy grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: not of works, lest any man should boast. For we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.â[363]363 And again, after a short interval: âAt that time ye were without Christ, being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world: but now, in Christ Jesus, ye who were sometimes far off are made nigh by the blood of Christ. For He is our peace, who hath made both one, and hath broken down the middle wall of partition between us; having abolished in His flesh the enmity, even the law of commandments contained in ordinances; for to make in Himself of twain one new man, so making peace; and that He might reconcile both unto God in one body by the cross, having in Himself slain the enmity; and He came and preached peace to you which were afar off, and to them that were nigh. For through Him we both have access by one Spirit unto

the Father.â[364]364 Then in another passage he thus writes: âAs the truth is in Jesus: that ye put off, concerning the former conversation, the old man, which is corrupt according to the deceitful lusts; and be renewed in the spirit of your mind; and that ye put on the new man, which after God is created in righteousness and true holiness.â[365]365 And again: âGrieve not the Holy Spirit of God, whereby ye are sealed unto the day of redemption.â[366]366

Chapter 47.âFrom the Epistle to the Colossians.

To the Colossians he addresses these words: aGiving thanks unto the Father, which hath made us meet to be partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light: who hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of His dear Son; in whom we have redemption in the remission of our sins.â[367]367 And again he says: aAnd ye are complete in Him, which is the head of all principality and power: in whom also ye are circumcised with the circumcision made without hands, in putting off the body of the flesh by the circumcision of Christ; buried with Him in baptism, wherein also ye are risen with Him through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised Him from the dead. And you, when ye were dead in your sins and the uncircumcision of your flesh, hath He guickened together with Him, having forgiven you all trespasses; blotting out the handwriting of the decree that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to His cross; and putting the flesh off Him, [368]368 He made a show of principalities and powers, confidently triumphing over them in Himself.â[369]369

Chapter 48.âFrom the Epistles to Timothy.

And then to Timothy he says: aThis is a faithful saying,[370]370 and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners; of whom I am chief. Howbeit for this cause I obtained mercy, that in me first Jesus Christ might show forth all long-suffering, for a pattern to them which should hereafter believe on Him to life everlasting. a[371]371 He also says: aFor there is one God and one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus; who gave Himself a ransom for all.â[372]372 In his second Epistle to the same Timothy, he says: aBe not thou therefore ashamed of the testimony of our Lord, nor of me His prisoner: but be thou a fellow-labourer for the gospel, according to the power of God; who hath saved us, and called us with a holy calling, not according to our works, but according to His own purpose and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus before the world began; but is now manifested by the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel.â[373]373

Chapter 49.âFrom the Epistle to Titus.

Then again he writes to Titus as follows: âLooking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ; who gave himself for us, that He might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto Himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works.â[374]374 And to the like effect in another passage: âBut after that the kindness and love of God our Saviour toward man appeared, not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost; which He shed on us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour; that, being justified by His grace, we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life.â[375]375

Chapter 50.âFrom the Epistle to the Hebrews.

Although the authority of the Epistle to the Hebrews is doubted by some,[376]376 nevertheless, as I find it sometimes thought by persons, who oppose our opinion touching the baptism of infants, to contain evidence in favour of their own views, we shall notice the pointed testimony it bears in our behalf; and I quote it the more confidently. because of the authority of the Eastern Churches, which expressly place it amongst the canonical Scriptures. In its very exordium one thus reads: aGod, who at sundry times, and in divers manners, spake in time past unto the fathers by the prophets, hath in these last days spoken to us by His Son, whom He hath appointed heir of all things, by whom also He made the worlds; who, being the brightness of His glory, and the express image of His person, and upholding all things by the word of His power, when He had by Himself purged our sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high a[377]377 And by and by the writer says: aFor if the word spoken by angels was stedfast, and every transgression and disobedience received a just recompense of reward, how shall we escape if we neglect so great salvation?a[378]378 And again in another passage: aForasmuch then, a says he, aas the children are partakers of flesh and blood, He also Himself likewise took part of the same; that through death He might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil; and deliver them who through fear of death were all their lifetime subject to bondage.â[379]379 Again, shortly after, he says: aWherefore in all things it behoved Him to be made like unto His brethren, that He might be a merciful and faithful High Priest in things pertaining to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people. a[380]380 And in another place he writes: aLet us hold fast our profession. For we have not a high priest which cannot be touched with the feeling of our infirmities; but was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin.a[381]381 Again he savs: âHe hath an unchangeable priesthood. Wherefore He is able also to save them to the uttermost that come unto God by Him, seeing He ever liveth to make intercession for them. For such a High Priest became us, who is holy, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and made higher than the heavens; who needeth not daily (as those high priests) to offer up sacrifice, first for His own sins, and then for the peopleas: for this He did once, when He offered up Himself.â[382]382 And once more: âFor Christ is not entered into the holy places made with hands, which are the figures of the true; but into heaven itself, now to appear in the presence of God for us: nor yet that He should offer Himself often, as the high priest entereth into the holy place every year with blood of others; (for then must He often have suffered since the foundation of the world;) but now once, in the end of the world, hath He appeared to put away sin by the sacrifice of Himself. And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment; so Christ was once offered to bear the sins

of many: and unto them that look for Him shall He appear the second time, without sin, unto salvation.â[383]383

Chapter 51.âFrom the Apocalypse.

The Revelation of John likewise tells us that in a new song these praises are offered to Christ: âThou art worthy to take the book, and to open the seals thereof: for Thou wast slain, and hast redeemed us to God by Thy blood out of every kindred, and tongue, and people, and nation.â[384]384

Chapter 52.âFrom the Acts of the Apostles.

To the like effect, in the Acts of the Apostles, the Apostle Peter designated the Lord Jesus as athe Author of life.a upbraiding the Jews for having put Him to death in these words: aBut ye dishonoured and denied the Holy One and the Just, and desired a murderer to be granted unto you, and ye killed the Author of life.â[385]385 While in another passage he says: a This is the stone which was set at nought by you builders, which is become the head of the corner. Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given among men whereby we must be saved a [386]386 And again, elsewhere: aThe God of our fathers raised up Jesus, whom ye slew, by hanging on a tree. Him hath God exalted with His right hand to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel, and forgiveness of sins. a[387]387 Once more: aTo Him give all the prophets witness, that, through His name, whosoever believeth in Him shall receive remission of sins. a[388]388 Whilst in the same Acts of the Apostles Paul says: aBe it known therefore unto you, men and brethren, that through this Man is preached unto you the forgiveness of sins: and by Him every one that believeth is justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses. a[389]389

Chapter 53.âThe Utility of the Books of the Old Testament.

Under so great a weight of testimony, who would not be oppressed that should dare lift up his voice against the truth of God? And many other testimonies might be found, were it not for my anxiety to bring this tract to an end.aan anxiety which I must not slight. I have deemed it superfluous to quote from the books of the Old Testament, likewise, many attestations to our doctrine in inspired words, since what is concealed in them under the veil of earthly promises is clearly revealed in the preaching of the New Testament. Our Lord Himself briefly demonstrated and defined the use of the Old Testament writings, when He said that it was necessary that what had been written concerning Himself in the Law, and the Prophets, and the Psalms, should be fulfilled, and that this was that Christ must suffer, and rise from the dead the third day, and that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in His name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem.[390]390 In agreement with this is that statement of Peter which I have already guoted, how that all the prophets bear witness to Christ, that at His hands every one that believes in Him receives remission of his sins.[391]391

Chapter 54.âBy the Sacrifices of the Old Testament, Men Were Convinced of Sins and Led to the Saviour.

And yet it is perhaps better to advance a few testimonies out of the Old Testament also, which ought to have a supplementary, or rather a cumulative value. The Lord Himself, speaking by the Psalmist, says: âAs for my saints which are upon earth, He hath caused all my purposes to be admired in them.a[392]392 Not their merits, but amy purposes.a For what is theirs except that which is afterwards mentioned ââtheir weaknesses are multiplied. a[393]393 above the weakness that they had? Moreover, the law also entered, that the offence might abound. But why does the Psalmist immediately add: aThey hastened after?a[394]394 When their sorrows and infirmities multiplied (that is, when their offence abounded), they then sought the Physician more eagerly, in order that, where sin abounded, grace might much more abound. He then says: all will not gather their assemblies together [with their offerings] of blood; a for by their many sacrifices of blood, when they gathered their assemblies into the tabernacle at first, and then into the temple, they were rather convicted as sinners than cleansed. I shall no longer, He says, gather their assemblies of blood-offerings together; because there is one blood-shedding given for many, whereby they may be truly cleansed. Then it follows: aNeither will I make mention of their names with my lips, as if they were the names of renewed ones. For these were their names at first: children of the flesh, children of the world, children of wrath, children of the devil, unclean, sinners, impious; but afterwards, children of God, âa new name to the new man, a new song to the singer of what is new, by means of the New Testament. Men must not be ungracious with Godâs grace, mean with great things; [but be ever rising] from the less to the greater. The cry of the whole Church is, al have gone astray like a lost sheep.â[395]395 From all the members of Christ the voice is heard: âAll we, as sheep, have gone astray; and He hath Himself been delivered up for our sins.â[396]396 The whole of this passage of prophecy is that famous one in Isaiah which was expounded by Philip to the eunuch of Queen Candace, and he believed in Jesus.[397]397 See how often he commends this very subject, and, as it were, inculcates it again and again on proud and contentious men: aHe was a man under misfortune, and one who well knows to bear infirmities; wherefore also He turned away His face. He was dishonoured, and was not much esteemed. He it is that bears our weaknesses, and for us is involved in pains: and we accounted Him to be in pains, and in misfortune, and in punishment. But it was He who was wounded for our sins, was weakened for our iniquities; the chastisement of our peace was upon Him; and by His bruise we are healed. All we, as sheep, have gone astray; and the Lord delivered Him up for our sins. And although He was evilly entreated, yet He opened not His mouth: as a sheep was He led to the slaughter, and as a lamb is dumb before the shearer, so He opened not His mouth. In His humiliation His judgment was taken away: His generation who shall declare? For His life shall be taken away from the earth, and for the iniquities of my people was He led to death. Therefore I will give the wicked for His burial, and the rich for His death; because He did no iniquity, nor deceit with His mouth. The Lord is pleased to purge Him from misfortune. If you could yourselves have given your soul on account of your sins, ye should see

a seed of a long life. And the Lord is pleased to rescue His soul from pains, to show Him light, and to form it through His understanding; to justify the Just One, who serves many well; and He shall Himself bear their sins. Therefore He shall inherit many, and He shall divide the spoils of the mighty; and He was numbered amongst the transgressors: and Himself bare the sins of many, and He was delivered for their iniquities.â[398]398 Consider also that passage of this same prophet which Christ actually declared to be fulfilled in Himself, when He recited it in the synagogue, in discharging the function of the reader: [399]399 aThe Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because He hath anointed me: to preach glad tidings to the poor hath He sent me, that so I may refresh all who are broken-hearted, ato preach deliverance to the captives, and to the blind sight.â[400]400 Let us then all acknowledge Him; nor should there be one exception among persons like ourselves, who wish to cleave to His body, to enter through Him into the sheepfold, and to attain to that life and eternal salvation which He has promised to His own.âLet us, I repeat, all of us acknowledge Him who did no sin, who bare our sins in His own body on the tree, that we might live with righteousness separate from sins; by whose scars we are healed, when we were weak[401]401âlike wandering sheep.

Chapter 55 [XXVIII.]âHe Concludes that All Men Need the Death of Christ, that They May Be Saved. Unbaptized Infants Will Be Involved in the Condemnation of the Devil. How All Men Through Adam are Unto Condemnation; And Through Christ Unto Justification. No One is Reconciled with God, Except Through Christ.

In such circumstances, no man of those who have come to Christ by baptism has ever been regarded, according to sound faith and the true doctrine, as excepted from the grace of forgiveness of sins; nor has eternal life been ever thought possible to any man apart from His kingdom. For this [eternal life] is ready to be revealed at the last time, [402]402 that is, at the resurrection of the dead who are reserved not for that eternal death which is called athe second death, a but for the eternal life which God, who cannot lie, promises to His saints and faithful servants. Now none who shall partake of this life shall be made alive except in Christ, even as all die in Adam.[403]403 For as none whatever, of all those who belong to the generation according to the will of the flesh, die except in Adam, in whom all sinned; so, out of these, none at all who are regenerated by the will of the Spirit are endowed with life except in Christ, in whom all are justified. Because as through one all to condemnation, so through One all to justification.[404]404 Nor is there any middle place for any man, and so a man can only be with the devil who is not with Christ. Accordingly, also the Lord Himself (wishing to remove from the hearts of wrong-believers [405]405 that vague and indefinite middle condition, which some would provide for unbaptized infants, âas if, by reason of their innocence, they were embraced in eternal life, but were not, because of their unbaptized state, with Christ in His kingdom) uttered that definitive sentence of His, which shuts their mouths: aHe that is not with me is against me.a[406]406 Take then the case of any infant you please: If he is already in Christ, why is he baptized? If, however, as the Truth has it, he is baptized just that he may be with Christ, it certainly follows that he who is not

baptized is not with Christ; and because he is not awitha Christ, he is âagainstâ Christ; for He has pronounced His own sentence, which is so explicit that we ought not, and indeed cannot, impair it or change it. And how can he be aagainsta Christ, if not owing to sin? for it cannot possibly be from his soul or his body, both of these being the creation of God. Now if it be owing to sin, what sin can be found at such an age, except the ancient and original sin? Of course that sinful flesh in which all are born to condemnation is one thing, and that Flesh which was made aafter the likeness of sinful flesh.a whereby also all are freed from condemnation, is another thing. It is, however, by no means meant to be implied that all who are born in sinful flesh are themselves actually cleansed by that Flesh which is âlikeâ sinful flesh; âfor all men have not faith; â[407]407 but that all who are born from the carnal union are born entirely of sinful flesh, whilst all who are born from the spiritual union are cleansed only by the Flesh which is in the likeness of sinful flesh. In other words, the former class are in Adam unto condemnation, the latter are in Christ unto justification. This is as if we should say, for example, that in such a city there is a certain midwife who delivers all; and in the same place there is an expert teacher who instructs all. By all, in the one case, only those who are born can possibly be understood; by all, in the other, only those who are taught: and it does not follow that all who are born also receive the instruction. But it is obvious to every one, that in the one case it is correctly said, âshe delivers all,â since without her aid no one is born; and in the other, it is rightly said, and teaches all, a since without his tutoring, no one learns.

Chapter 56.aNo One is Reconciled to God Except Through Christ.

Taking into account all the inspired statements which I have quoted, âwhether I regard the value of each passage one by one, or combine their united testimony in an accumulated witness or even include similar passages which I have not adduced, âthere can be nothing discovered, but that which the catholic Church holds, in her dutiful vigilance against all profane novelties: that every man is separated from God, except those who are reconciled to God through Christ the Mediator; and that no one can be separated from God, except by sins, which alone cause separation; that there is, therefore, no reconciliation except by the remission of sins, through the one grace of the most merciful Saviour, âthrough the one sacrifice of the most veritable Priest; and that none who are born of the woman, that trusted the serpent and so was corrupted through desire, [408]408 are delivered from the body of this death, except by the Son of the virgin who believed the angel and so conceived without desire. [409]409

Chapter 57 [XXIX.]âThe Good of Marriage; Four Different Cases of the Good and the Evil Use of Matrimony.

The good, then, of marriage lies not in the passion of desire, but in a certain legitimate and honourable measure in using that passion, appropriate to the propagation of children, not the gratification of lust.[410]410 That, therefore, which is disobediently excited in the members of the body of this death, and endeavours to draw into itself our whole fallen soul, (neither arising nor subsiding at the bidding of the mind), is that evil of sin in which every man is born. When, however, it is curbed from unlawful desires, and is permitted only for the orderly propagation and renewal of the human race, this is the good of wedlock, by which man is born in the union that is appointed. Nobody, however, is born again in Christâs body, unless he be previously born in the body of sin. But inasmuch as it is evil to make a bad use of a good thing, so is it good to use well a bad thing. These two ideas therefore of good and evil, and those other two of a good use and an evil use, when they are duly combined together, produce four different conditions: a[1] A man makes a good use of a good thing, when he dedicates his continence to God; [2.] He makes a bad use of a good thing, when he dedicates his continence to an idol; [3.] He makes a bad use of an evil thing, when he loosely gratifies his concupiscence by adultery; [4.] He makes a good use of an evil thing, when he restrains his concupiscence by matrimony. Now, as it is better to make good use of a good thing than to make good rise of an evil thing, asince both are good, aso ane that give this virgin in marriage doeth well; but he that giveth her not in marriage doeth better.â[411]411 This guestion, indeed, I have treated at greater length, and more sufficiently, as God enabled me according to my humble abilities, in two works of mine, aone of them, On the Good of Marriage, and the other, On Holy Virginity. They, therefore, who extol the flesh and blood of a sinful creature, to the prejudice of the Redeemeras flesh and blood, must not defend the evil of concupiscence through the good of marriage; nor should they, from whose infant age the Lord has inculcated in us a lesson of humility, [412]412 be lifted up into pride by the error of others. He only was born without sin whom a virgin conceived without the embrace of a husband. anot by the concupiscence of the flesh, but by the chaste submission of her mind.[413]413 She alone was able to give birth to One who should heal our wound, who brought forth the germ of a pure offspring without the wound of sin.

Chapter 58 [XXX.]âln What Respect the Pelagians Regarded Baptism as Necessary for Infants.

Let us now examine more carefully, so far as the Lord enables us, that very chapter of the Gospel where He says, a Except a man be born again, a f water and the Spirit, a he shall not enter into the kingdom of God.â[414]414 If it were not for the authority which this sentence has with them, they would not be of opinion that infants ought to be baptized at all. This is their comment on the passage: âBecause He does not say, aExcept a man be born again of water and the Spirit, he shall not have salvation or eternal life, a but He merely said, ahe shall not enter into the kingdom of God, a therefore infants are to be baptized, in order that they may be with Christ in the kingdom of God, where they will not be unless they are baptized. Should infants die. however, even without baptism, they will have salvation and eternal life, seeing that they are bound with no fetter of sin.a Now in such a statement as this, the first thing that strikes one is, that they never explain where the justice is of separating from the kingdom of God that âimage of Godâ which has no sin. Next, we ought to see whether the Lord Jesus, the one only good Teacher, has not in this

very passage of the Gospel intimated, and indeed shown us, that it only comes to pass through the remission of their sins that baptized persons reach the kingdom of God; although to persons of a right understanding, the words, as they stand in the passage, ought to be sufficiently explicit: âExcept a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God;â[415]415 and: âExcept a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.â[416]416 For why should he be born again, unless to be renewed? From what is he to be renewed, if not from some old condition? From what old condition, but that in which âour old man is crucified with Him, that the body of sin might be destroyed?â[417]417 Or whence comes it to pass that âthe image of Godâ enters not into the kingdom of God, unless it be that the impediment of sin prevents it? However, let us (as we said before) see, as earnestly and diligently as we are able, what is the entire context of this passage of the Gospel, on the point in question.

## Chapter 59.âThe Context of Their Chief Text.

aNow there was a we read, a man of the Pharisees, named Nicodemus, a ruler of the Jews: the same came to Jesus by night, and said unto Him, Rabbi, we know that thou art a teacher come from God: for no man can do these miracles that thou doest, except God be with him. Jesus answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God. Nicodemus saith unto Him, How can a man be born when he is old? can he enter the second time into his motheras womb, and be born? Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God. That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit. Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye must be born again. The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell whence it cometh, and whither it goeth: so is every one that is born of the Spirit. Nicodemus answered and said unto Him, How can these things be? Jesus answered and said unto him, Art thou a master of Israel, and knowest not these things? Verily, verily, I say unto thee, We speak that we do know, and testify that we have seen; and ye receive not our witness. If I have told you earthly things, and ye believe not, how shall ye believe if I tell you of heavenly things? And no man hath ascended up to heaven, but He that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which is in heaven. And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness,[418]418 even so must the Son of man be lifted up; that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have eternal life. For God so loved the world, that He gave His only-begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish. but have everlasting life. For God sent not His Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through Him might be saved. He that believeth on Him is not condemned; but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only-begotten Son of God. And this is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil. For every one that doeth evil hateth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reproved. But he that doeth truth cometh to the light, that his deeds may be made manifest, that they are wrought in God.a[419]419 Thus far the Lordas

discourse wholly relates to the subject of our present inquiry; from this point the sacred historian digresses to another matter.

Chapter 60 [XXXI.]âChrist, the Head and the Body; Owing to the Union of the Natures in the Person of Christ, He Both Remained in Heaven, and Walked About on Earth; How the One Christ Could Ascend to Heaven; The Head, and the Body, the One Christ.

Now when Nicodemus understood not what was being told him, he inquired of the Lord how such things could be. Let us look at what the Lord said to him in answer to his inquiry; for of course, as He deigns to answer the question, How can these things be? He will in fact tell us how spiritual regeneration can come to a man who springs from carnal generation. After noticing briefly the ignorance of one who assumed a superiority over others as a teacher, and having blamed the unbelief of all such, for not accepting His witness to the truth, He went on to inquire and wonder whether, as He had told them about earthly things and they had not believed they would believe heavenly things. He nevertheless pursues the subject, and gives an answer such as others should believe athough these refuse ato the question that he was asked, How these things can be? aNo man, a says He, a hath ascended up to heaven, but He that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which is in heaven.â[420]420 Thus, He says, shall come the spiritual birth, amen, from being earthly, shall become heavenly; and this they can only obtain by being made members of me; so that he may ascend who descended, since no one ascends who did not descend. All, therefore, who have to be changed and raised must meet together in a union with Christ, so that the Christ who descended may ascend, reckoning His body (that is to say, His Church) as nothing else than Himself, because it is of Christ and the Church that this is most truly understood: âAnd they twain shall be one flesh;â[421]421 concerning which very subject He expressly said Himself, as then they are no more twain, but one flesh.â[422]422 To ascend, therefore, they would be wholly unable, since ano man hath ascended up to heaven, but He that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which is in heaven.â[423]423 For although it was on earth that He was made the Son of man, yet He did not deem it unworthy of that divinity, in which, although remaining in heaven. He came down to earth, to designate it by the name of the Son of man, as He dignified His flesh with the name of Son of God: that they might not be regarded as if they were two Christs, âthe one God, the other man, [424]424 abut one and the same God and man, aGod, because ain the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God; a[425]425 and man, inasmuch as athe Word was made flesh and dwelt among us.â[426]426 By this meansaby the difference between His divinity and His humiliationâHe remained in heaven as Son of God, and as Son of man walked on earth; whilst, by that unity of His person which made His two natures one Christ, He both walked as Son of God on earth, and at the same time as the very Son of man remained in heaven. Faith, therefore, in more credible things arises from the belief of such things as are more incredible. For if His divine nature, though a far more distant object, and more sublime in its incomparable diversity, had ability so to take upon itself the nature of man on our account as to become one Person, and whilst appearing as Son of man on earth in the weakness of the flesh,

was able to remain all the while in heaven in the divinity which partook of the flesh, how much easier for our faith is it to suppose that other men, who are His faithful saints, become one Christ with the Man Christ, so that, when all ascend by His grace and fellowship, the one Christ Himself ascends to heaven who came down from heaven? It is in this sense that the apostle says, âAs we have many members in one body, and all the members of the body, being many, are one body, so likewise is Christ.â[427]427 He did not say, âSo also is Christâsââmeaning Christâs body, or Christâs membersâbut his words are, âSo likewise is Christ,â thus calling the head and body one Christ.

Chapter 61 [XXXII.]âThe Serpent Lifted Up in the Wilderness Prefigured Christ Suspended on the Cross; Even Infants Themselves Poisoned by the Serpentâs Bite.

And since this great and wonderful dignity can only be attained by the remission of sins. He goes on to say, aAnd as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up; that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have eternal life.â[428]428 We know what at that time happened in the wilderness. Many were dying of the bite of serpents: the people then confessed their sins, and, through Moses, besought the Lord to take away from them this poison; accordingly, Moses, at the Lordâs command, lifted up a brazen serpent in the wilderness, and admonished the people that every one who had been serpent-bitten should look upon the uplifted figure. When they did so they were immediately healed [429]429 What means the uplifted serpent but the death of Christ, by that mode of expressing a sign, whereby the thing which is effected is signified by that which effects it? Now death came by the serpent, which persuaded man to commit the sin, by which he deserved to die. The Lord, however, transferred to His own flesh not sin, as the poison of the serpent, but He did transfer to it death, that the penalty without the fault might transpire in the likeness of sinful flesh, whence, in the sinful flesh, both the fault might be removed and the penalty. As, therefore, it then came to pass that whoever looked at the raised serpent was both healed of the poison and freed from death, so also now, whosoever is conformed to the likeness of the death of Christ by faith in Him and His baptism, is freed both from sin by justification, and from death by resurrection. For this is what He says: aThat whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have eternal life.a[430]430 What necessity then could there be for an infantas being conformed to the death of Christ by baptism, if he were not altogether poisoned by the bite of the serpent?

Chapter 62 [XXXIII.]âNo One Can Be Reconciled to God, Except by Christ.

He then proceeds thus, saying: âGod so loved the world, that He gave His only-begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life.â[431]431 Every infant, therefore, was destined to perish, and to lose everlasting life, if through the sacrament of baptism he believed not in the only-begotten Son of God; while nevertheless, He comes not so that he may judge the world, but that the world through Him may be saved. This especially appears in the following clause, wherein He says, âHe that believeth in Him is not condemned; but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only-begotten Son of God.â[432]432 In what class, then, do we place baptized infants but amongst believers, as the authority of the catholic Church everywhere asserts? They belong, therefore, among those who have believed; for this is obtained for them by virtue of the sacrament and the answer of their sponsors. And from this it follows that such as are not baptized are reckoned among those who have not believed. Now if they who are baptized are not condemned, these last, as not being baptized, are condemned. He adds, indeed: âBut this is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than light.[433]433 Of what does He say, aLight is come into the world, a if not of His own advent? and without the sacrament of His advent, how are infants said to be in the light? And why should we not include this fact also in âmenâs love of darkness,â that as they do not themselves believe, so they refuse to think that their infants ought to be baptized, although they are afraid of their incurring the death of the body? aln God, a however, he declares are the aworks of him wrought, who cometh to the light, a[434]434 because he is quite aware that his justification results from no merits of his own, but from the grace of God. aFor it is God, a says the apostle, awho worketh in you both to will and to do of His own good pleasure.â[435]435 This then is the way in which spiritual regeneration is effected in all who come to Christ from their carnal generation. He explained it Himself, and pointed it out, when He was asked, How these things could be? He left it open to no man to settle such a question by human reasoning, lest infants should be deprived of the grace of the remission of sins. There is no other passage leading to Christ; no man can be reconciled to God, or can come to God otherwise, than through Christ.

Chapter 63 [XXXIV.]âThe Form, or Rite, of Baptism. Exorcism.

What shall I say of the actual form of this sacrament? I only wish some one of those who espouse the contrary side would bring me an infant to be baptized. What does my exorcism work in that babe, if he be not held in the devilas family? The man who brought the infant would certainly have had to act as sponsor for him, for he could not answer for himself. How would it be possible then for him to declare that he renounced the devil, if there was no devil in him? that he was converted to God, if he had never been averted from Him? that he believed, besides other articles, in the forgiveness of sins, if no sins were attributable to him? For my own part, indeed, if I thought that his opinions were opposed to this faith. I could not permit him to bring the infant to the sacraments. Nor can I imagine with what countenance before men, or what mind before God, he can conduct himself in this. But I do not wish to say anything too severe. That a false or fallacious form of baptism should be administered to infants, in which there might be the sound and semblance of something being done, but yet no remission of sins actually ensue, has been seen by some amongst them to be as abominable and hateful a thing as it was possible to mention or conceive. Then, again, in respect of the necessity of baptism to infants, they admit that even infants stand in

need of redemption,âa concession which is made in a short treatise written by one of their party,âbut yet there is not found in this work any open admission of the forgiveness of a single sin. According, however, to an intimation dropped in your letter to me, they now acknowledge, as you say, that a remission of sins takes place even in infants through baptism. No wonder; for it is impossible that redemption should be understood in any other way. Their own words are these: âlt is, however, not originally, but in their own actual life, after they have been born, that they have begun to have sin.â

Chapter 64.âA Twofold Mistake Respecting Infants.

You see how great a difference there is amongst those whom I have been opposing at such length and persistency in this work, aone of whom has written the book which contains the points I have refuted to the best of my ability. You see as I was saying, the important difference existing between such of them as maintain that infants are absolutely pure and free from all sin, whether original or actual; and those who suppose that so soon as born infants have contracted actual sins of their own, from which they need cleansing by baptism. The latter class, indeed, by examining the Scriptures, and considering the authority of the whole Church as well as the form of the sacrament itself, have clearly seen that by baptism remission of sins accrues to infants; but they are either unwilling or unable to allow that the sin which infants have is original sin. The former class, however, have clearly seen (as they easily might) that in the very nature of man. which is open to the consideration of all men, the tender age of which we speak could not possibly commit any sin whatever in its own proper conduct; but, to avoid acknowledging original sin, they assert that there is no sin at all in infants. Now in the truths which they thus severally maintain, it so happens that they first of all mutually agree with each other, and subsequently differ from us in material aspect. For if the one party concede to the other that remission of sins takes place in all infants which are baptized, whilst the other concedes to their opponents that infants (as infant nature itself in its silence loudly proclaims) have as yet contracted no sin in their own living, then both sides must agree in conceding to us, that nothing remains but original sin, which can be remitted in baptism to infants.

Chapter 65 [XXXV.] âln Infants There is No Sin of Their Own Commission.

Will this also be questioned, and must we spend time in discussing it, in order to prove and show how that by their own willâwithout which there can be no sin in their own lifeâinfants could never commit an offence, whom all, for this very reason, are in the habit of calling innocent? Does not their great weakness of mind and body, their great ignorance of things, their utter inability to obey a precept, the absence in them of all perception and impression of law, either natural or written, the complete want of reason to impel them in either direction,âproclaim and demonstrate the point before us by a silent testimony far more expressive than any argument of ours? The very palpableness of the fact must surely go a great way to persuade us of its truth; for there is no place where I do not find traces of what I say, so ubiquitous is the fact of which we are speaking, âclearer, indeed, to perceive than any thing we can say to prove it.

Chapter 66.âlnfantsâ Faults Spring from Their Sheer Ignorance.

I should, however, wish any one who was wise on the point to tell me what sin he has seen or thought of in a new-born infant, for redemption from which he allows baptism to be already necessary; what kind of evil it has in its own proper life committed by its own mind or body. If it should happen to cry and to be wearisome to its elders, I wonder whether my informant would ascribe this to iniquity, and not rather to unhappiness. What, too, would he say to the fact that it is hushed from its very weeping by no appeal to its own reason, and by no prohibition of any one else? This, however, comes from the ignorance in which it is so deeply steeped, by reason of which, too, when it grows stronger, as it very soon does, it strikes its mother in its little passion, and often her very breasts which it sucks when it is hungry. Well, now, these small freaks are not only borne in very young children, but are actually loved, and this with what affection except that of the flesh, [436]436 by which we are delighted by a laugh or a joke, seasoned with fun and nonsense by clever persons, although, if it were understood literally, as it is spoken, they would not be laughed with as facetious, but at as simpletons? We see, also, how those simpletons whom the common people call Moriones[437]437 are used for the amusement of the sane; and that they fetch higher prices than the sane when appraised for the slave market. So great, then, is the influence of mere natural feeling, even over those who are by no means simpletons, in producing amusement at anotheras misfortune. Now, although a man may be amused by another manâs silliness, he would still dislike to be a simpleton himself; and if the father, who gladly enough looks out for, and even provokes, such things from his own prattling boy, were to foreknow that he would, when grown up, turn out a fool, he would without doubt think him more to be grieved for than if he were dead. While, however, hope remains of growth, and the light of intellect is expected to increase with the increase of years, then the insults of young children even to their parents seem not merely not wrong, but even agreeable and pleasant. No prudent man, doubtless, could possibly approve of not only not forbidding in children such conduct in word or deed as this, as soon as they are able to be forbidden, but even of exciting them to it, for the vain amusement of their elders. For as soon as children are of an age to know their father and mother, they dare not use wrong words to either, unless permitted or bidden by either, or both. But such things can only belong to such young children as are just striving to lisp out words, and whose minds are just able to give some sort of motion to their tongue. Let us, however, consider the depth of the ignorance rather of the new-born babes, out of which, as they advance in age, they come to this merely temporary stuttering folly, an their road, as it were, to knowledge and speech.

Chapter 67 [XXXVI.] aOn the Ignorance of Infants, and Whence It Arises.

Yes, let us consider that darkness of their rational intellect, by

reason of which they are even completely ignorant of God, whose sacraments they actually struggle against, while being baptized. Now my inquiry is, When and whence came they to be immersed in this darkness? Is it then the fact that they incurred it all here, and in this their own proper life forgat God through too much negligence. after a life of wisdom and religion in their motheras womb? Let those say so who dare; let them listen to it who wish to; let them believe it who can. I, however, am sure that none whose minds are not blinded by an obstinate adherence to a foregone conclusion can possibly entertain such an opinion. Is there then no evil in ignorance, anothing which needs to be purged away? What means that prayer aRemember not the sins of my youth and of my ignorance?a[438]438 For although those sins are more to be condemned which are knowingly committed, yet if there were no sins of ignorance, we should not have read in Scripture what I have guoted, a Remember not the sins of my youth and of my ignorance.â Seeing now that the soul of an infant fresh from its motheras womb is still the soul of a human being, anay, the soul of a rational creature, anot only untaught, but even incapable of instruction, I ask why, or when, or whence, it was plunged into that thick darkness of ignorance in which it lies? If it is manas nature thus to begin, and that nature is not already corrupt, then why was not Adam created thus? Why was he capable of receiving a commandment? and able to give names to his wife, and to all the animal creation? For of her he said, aShe shall be called Woman; a[439]439 and in respect of the rest we read: aWhatsoever Adam called every living creature, that was the name thereof.a[440]440 Whereas this one, although he is ignorant where he is, what he is, by whom created, of what parents born, is already guilty of offence, incapable as yet of receiving a commandment, and so completely involved and overwhelmed in a thick cloud of ignorance, that he cannot be aroused out of his sleep, so as to recognize even these facts; but a time must be patiently awaited, until he can shake off this strange intoxication, as it were, (not indeed in a single night, as even the heaviest drunkenness usually can be, but) little by little, through many months, and even years; and until this be accomplished, we have to bear in little children so many things which we punish in older persons, that we cannot enumerate them. Now, as touching this enormous evil of ignorance and weakness, if in this present life infants have contracted it as soon as they were born, where, when, how, have they by the perpetration of some great iniquity become suddenly implicated in such darkness?

Chapter 68 [XXXVII.]âlf Adam Was Not Created of Such a Character as that in Which We are Born, How is It that Christ, Although Free from Sin, Was Born an Infant and in Weakness?

Some one will ask, If this nature is not pure, but corrupt from its origin, since Adam was not created thus, how is it that Christ, who is far more excellent, and was certainly born without any sin of a virgin, nevertheless appeared in this weakness, and came into the world in infancy? To this question our answer is as follows: Adam was not created in such a state, because, as no sin from a parent preceded him, he was not created in sinful flesh. We, however, are in such a condition, because by reason of his preceding sin we are born in sinful flesh. While Christ was born in such a state, because, in order that He might for sin condemn sin, He assumed the likeness of sinful flesh.[441]441 The question which we are now discussing is not about Adam in respect of the size of his body, why he was not made an infant but in the perfect greatness of his members. It may indeed be said that the beasts were thus created likewise, anor was it owing to their sin that their young were born small. Why all this came to pass we are not now asking. But the question before us has regard to the vigor of manâs mind and his use of reason, by virtue of which Adam was capable of instruction, and could apprehend Godâs precept and the law of His commandment, and could easily keep it if he would; whereas man is now born in such a state as to be utterly incapable of doing so, owing to his dreadful ignorance and weakness, not indeed of body, but of mind.âalthough we must all admit that in every infant there exists a rational soul of the self-same substance (and no other) as that which belonged to the first man. Still this great infirmity of the flesh, clearly, in my opinion, points to a something, whatever it may be, that is penal. It raises the doubt whether, if the first human beings had not sinned, they would have had children who could use neither tongue, nor hands, nor feet. That they should be born children was perhaps necessary, on account of the limited capacity of the womb. But, at the same time, it does not follow, because a rib is a small part of a manâs body, that God made an infant wife for the man, and then built her up into a woman. In like manner, Godâs almighty power was competent to make her children also, as soon as born, grown up at once.

Chapter 69 [XXXVIII.]âThe Ignorance and the Infirmity of an Infant.

But not to dwell on this, that was at least possible to them which has actually happened to many animals, the young of which are born small, and do not advance in mind (since they have no rational soul) as their bodies grow larger, and yet, even when most diminutive, run about, and recognize their mothers, and require no external help or care when they want to suck, but with remarkable ease discover their mothersâ breasts themselves, although these are concealed from ordinary sight. A human being, on the contrary, at his birth is furnished neither with feet fit for walking, nor with hands able even to scratch; and unless their lips were actually applied to the breast by the mother, they would not know where to find it; and even when close to the nipple, they would, notwithstanding their desire for food, be more able to cry than to suck. This utter helplessness of body thus fits in with their infirmity of mind; nor would Christâs flesh have been âin the likeness of sinful flesh, a unless that sinful flesh had been such that the rational soul is oppressed by it in the way we have described. awhether this too has been derived from parents, or created in each case for the individual separately, or inspired from above, aconcerning which I forbear from inquiring now.

Chapter 70 [XXXIX.]âHow Far Sin is Done Away in Infants by Baptism, Also in Adults, and What Advantage Results Therefrom.

In infants it is certain that, by the grace of God, through His baptism who came in the likeness of sinful flesh, it is brought to

pass that the sinful flesh is done away. This result, however, is so effected, that the concupiscence which is diffused over and innate in the living flesh itself is not removed all at once, so as to exist in it no longer; but only that that might not be injurious to a man at his death, which was inherent at his birth. For should an infant live after baptism, and arrive at an age capable of obedience to a law, he finds there somewhat to fight against, and, by Godâs help, to overcome, if he has not received His grace in vain, and if he is not willing to be a reprobate. For not even to those who are of riper years is it given in baptism (except, perhaps, by an unspeakable miracle of the almighty Creator), that the law of sin which is in their members, warring against the law of their mind, should be entirely extinguished, and cease to exist; but that whatever of evil has been done, said, or thought by a man whilst he was servant to a mind subject to its concupiscence, should be abolished, and regarded as if it had never occurred. The concupiscence itself, however, (notwithstanding the loosening of the bond of guilt in which the devil, by it, used to keep the soul, and the destruction of the barrier which separated man from his Maker,) remains in the contest in which we chasten our body and bring it into subjection, whether to be relaxed for lawful and necessary uses, or to be restrained by continence.[442]442 But inasmuch as the Spirit of God, who knows so much better than we do all the past, and present, and future of the human race, foresaw and foretold that the life of man would be such that ano man living should be justified in Godas sight, a[443]443 it happens that through ignorance or infirmity we do not exert all the powers of our will against it, and so yield to it in the commission of sundry unlawful things, abecoming worse in proportion to the greatness and frequency of our surrender; and better, in proportion to its unimportance and infrequency. The investigation, however, of the point in which we are now interested awhether there could possibly be (or whether in fact there is, has been, or ever will be) a man without sin in this present life, except Him who said, a The prince of this world cometh, and hath nothing in mea[444]444areguires a much fuller discussion; and the arrangement of the present treatise is such as to make us postpone the question to the commencement of another book.

## Book II.

In which Augustin argues against such as say that in the present life there are, have been, and will be, men who have absolutely no sin at all. He lays down four propositions on this head: and teaches, first, that a man might possibly live in the present life without sin, by the grace of God and his own free will; he next shows that nevertheless in fact there is no man who lives quite free from sin in this life; thirdly, he sets forth the reason of this,âbecause there is no man who exactly confines his wishes within the limits of the just requirement of each case, which just requirement he either fails to perceive, or is unwilling to carry out in practice; in the fourth place, he proves that there is not, nor has been, nor ever will be, a human beingâexcept the one mediator, Christâwho is free from all sin.

Chapter 1 [I.]âWhat Has Thus Far Been Dwelt On; And What is to Be Treated in This Book.

We have, my dearest Marcellinus, discussed at sufficient length, I think, in the former book the baptism of infants, ahow that it is given to them not only for entrance into the kingdom of God, but also for attaining salvation and eternal life, which none can have without the kingdom of God, or without that union with the Saviour Christ, wherein He has redeemed us by His blood. I undertake in the present book to discuss and explain the question, Whether there lives in this world, or has yet lived, or ever will live, any one without any sin whatever, except athe one Mediator between God and man, the Man Christ Jesus, who gave Himself a ransom for all; a[445]445awith as much care and ability as He may Himself vouchsafe to me. And should there occasionally arise in this discussion, either inevitably or casually from the argument, any question about the baptism or the sin of infants, I must neither be surprised nor must I shrink from giving the best answer I can, at such emergencies, to whatever point challenges my attention.

Chapter 2 [II.]âSome Persons Attribute Too Much to the Freedom of Manâs Will; Ignorance and Infirmity.

A solution is extremely necessary of this question about a human life unassailed by any deception or preoccupation of sin, in consequence even of our daily prayers. For there are some persons who presume so much upon the free determination of the human will, as to suppose that it need not sin, and that we require no divine assistance, âattributing to our nature, once for all, this determination of free will. An inevitable consequence of this is, that we ought not to pray anot to enter into temptation, ââthat is, not to be overcome of temptation, either when it deceives and surprises us in our ignorance, or when it presses and importunes us in our weakness. Now how hurtful, and how pernicious and contrary to our salvation in Christ, and how violently adverse to the religion itself in which we are instructed, and to the piety whereby we worship God, it cannot but be for us not to beseech the Lord for the attainment of such a benefit, but be rather led to think that petition of the Lordâs Prayer, âLead us not into temptation, a[446]446 a vain and useless insertion, ait is beyond my ability to express in words.

Chapter 3 [III.]âln What Way God Commands Nothing Impossible. Works of Mercy, Means of Wiping Out Sins.

Now these people imagine that they are acute (as if none among us knew it) when they say, that âif we have not the will, we commit no sin; nor would God command man to do what was impossible for human volition.â But they do not see, that in order to overcome certain things, which are the objects either of an evil desire or an ill-conceived fear, men need the strenuous efforts, and sometimes even all the energies, of the will; and that we should only imperfectly employ these in every instance, He foresaw who willed so true an utterance to be spoken by the prophet: âln Thy sight shall no man living be justified.â[447]447 The Lord, therefore, foreseeing that such would be our character, was pleased to provide and endow with efficacious virtue certain healthful remedies against the guilt and

bonds even of sins committed after baptism, afor instance, the works of mercy, as when he says: aForgive, and ye shall be forgiven; give, and it shall be given unto you.a[448]448 For who could quit this life with any hope of obtaining eternal salvation, with that sentence impending: aWhosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all, a[449]449 if there did not soon after follow: aSo speak ye, and so do, as they that shall be judged by the law of liberty: for he shall have judgment without mercy that hath showed no mercy; and mercy rejoiceth against judgment?a[450]450

Chapter 4 [IV.]âConcupiscence, How Far in Us; The Baptized are Not Injured by Concupiscence, But Only by Consent Therewith.

Concupiscence, therefore, as the law of sin which remains in the members of this body of death, is born with infants. In baptized infants, it is deprived of guilt, is left for the struggle [of life],[451]451 but pursues with no condemnation, such as die before the struggle. Unbaptized infants it implicates as guilty and as children of wrath, even if they die in infancy, draws into condemnation. In baptized adults, however, endowed with reason, whatever consent their mind gives to this concupiscence for the commission of sin is an act of their own will. After all sins have been blotted out, and that guilt has been cancelled which by nature[452]452 bound men in a conquered condition, it still remains, abut not to hurt in any way those who yield no consent to it for unlawful deeds. auntil death is swallowed up in victory [453]453 and, in that perfection of peace, nothing is left to be conquered. Such, however, as yield consent to it for the commission of unlawful deeds, it holds as guilty; and unless, through the medicine of repentance, and through works of mercy, by the intercession in our behalf of the heavenly High Priest, they be healed, it conducts us to the second death and utter condemnation. It was on this account that the Lord, when teaching us to pray, advised us, besides other petitions, to say: aForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors; and lead us not into tempation, but deliver us from evil.â[454]454 For evil remains in our flesh, not by reason of the nature in which man was created by God and wisdom, but by reason of that offence into which he fell by his own will, and in which, since its powers are lost, he is not healed with the same facility of will as that with which he was wounded. Of this evil the apostle says: al know that in my flesh dwelleth no good thing; a[455]455 and it is likewise to the same evil that he counsels us to give no obedience, when he says: aLet not sin therefore reign in your mortal body, to obey the lusts thereof.â[456]456 When, therefore, we have by an unlawful inclination of our will yielded consent to these lusts of the flesh, we say, with a view to the cure of this fault, aForgive us our debts;a[457]457 and we at the same time apply the remedy of a work of mercy, in that we add, âAs we forgive our debtors.â That we may not, however, yield such consent, let us pray for assistance, and say, âAnd lead us not into temptation; aanot that God ever Himself tempts any one with such temptation, afor God is not a tempter to evil, neither tempteth He any man; a[458]458 but in order that whenever we feel the rising of temptation from our concupiscence, we may not be deserted by His help, in order that thereby we may be able to conquer, and not be carried

away by enticement. We then add our request for that which is to be perfected at the last, when mortality shall be swallowed up of life:[459]459 âBut deliver us from evil.â[460]460 For then there will exist no longer a concupiscence which we are bidden to struggle against, and not to consent to. The whole substance, accordingly, of these three petitions may be thus briefly expressed: âPardon us for those things in which we have been drawn away by concupiscence; help us not to be drawn away by concupiscence; take away concupiscence from us.â

Chapter 5 [V.]âThe Will of Man Requires the Help of God.

Now for the commission of sin we get no help from God; but we are not able to do justly, and to fulfil the law of righteousness in every part thereof, except we are helped by God. For as the bodily eve is not helped by the light to turn away therefrom shut or averted, but is helped by it to see, and cannot see at all unless it help it; so God, who is the light of the inner man, helps our mental sight, in order that we may do some good, not according to our own, but according to His righteousness. But if we turn away from Him, it is our own act; we then are wise according to the flesh, we then consent to the concupiscence of the flesh for unlawful deeds. When we turn to Him, therefore, God helps us; when we turn away from Him, He forsakes us. But then He helps us even to turn to Him; and this, certainly, is something that light does not do for the eyes of the body. When, therefore. He commands us in the words, aTurn ve unto me, and I will turn unto you, a[461]461 and we say to Him, aTurn us, O God of our salvation, a[462]462 and again, aTurn us, O God of hosts; a[463]463 what else do we say than, âGive what Thou commandest?â[464]464 When He commands us, saying, aUnderstand now, ye simple among the people, a[465]465 and we say to Him, aGive me understanding, that I may learn Thy commandments; a[466]466 what else do we say than, aGive what Thou commandest?â When He commands us, saying, âGo not after thy lusts, a[467]467 and we say to Him, aWe know that no man can be continent, except God gives it to him; a[468]468 what else do we say than, âGive what Thou commandest?â When He commands us, saying, âDo justice, a[469]469 and we say, a Teach me Thy judgments, O Lord; a[470]470 what else do we say than, aGive what Thou commandest?a In like manner, when He says: aBlessed are they which hunger and thirst after righteousness; for they shall be filled, a[471]471 from whom ought we to seek for the meat and drink of righteousness, but from Him who promises His fulness to such as hunger and thirst after it?

Chapter 6.âWherein the Pharisee Sinned When He Thanked God; To Godâs Grace Must Be Added the Exertion of Our Own Will.

Let us then drive away from our ears and minds those who say that we ought to accept the determination of our own free will and not pray God to help us not to sin. By such darkness as this even the Pharisee was not blinded; for although he erred in thinking that he needed no addition to his righteousness, and supposed himself to be saturated with abundance of it, he nevertheless gave thanks to God that he was not âlike other men, unjust, extortioners, adulterers, or even as the publican; for he fasted twice in the week, he gave tithes of all that he possessed .a[472]472 He wished, indeed, for no addition to his own righteousness; but yet, by giving thanks to God, he confessed that all he had he had received from Him. Notwithstanding, he was not approved, both because he asked for no further food of righteousness, as if he were already filled, and because he arrogantly preferred himself to the publican, who was hungering and thirsting after righteousness. What, then, is to be said of those who, whilst acknowledging that they have no righteousness, or no fulness thereof, yet imagine that it is to be had from themselves alone, not to be besought from their Creator, in whom is its store and its fountain? And yet this is not a question about prayers alone, as if the energy of our will also should not be strenuously added. God is said to be aour Helper: a[473]473 but nobody can be helped who does not make some effort of his own accord. For God does not work our salvation in us as if he were working in insensate stones, or in creatures in whom nature has placed neither reason nor will. Why, however, He helps one man, but not another; or why one man so much, and another so much; or why one man in one way, and another in another, and reserves to Himself according to the method of His own most secret justice, and to the excellency of His power.

Chapter 7 [VI.]âFour Questions on the Perfection of Righteousness: (1.) Whether a Man Can Be Without Sin in This Life.

Now those who aver that a man can exist in this life without sin, must not be immediately opposed with incautious rashness; for if we should deny the possibility, we should derogate both from the free will of man, who in his wish desires it, and from the power or mercy of God, who by His help effects it. But it is one question, whether he could exist; and another question, whether he does exist. Again, it is one question, if he does not exist when he could exist, why he does not exist; and another question, whether such a man as had never sinned at all, not only is in existence, but also could ever have existed, or can ever exist. Now, if in the order of this fourfold set of interrogative propositions, I were asked, [1st,] Whether it be possible for a man in this life to be without sin? I should allow the possibility, through the grace of God and the manas own free will; not doubting that the free will itself is ascribable to Godâs grace, in other words, to the gifts of God. anot only as to its existence, but also as to its being good, that is, to its conversion to doing the commandments of God. Thus it is that Godas grace not only shows what ought to be done, but also helps to the possibility of doing what it shows. aWhat indeed have we that we have not received?a[474]474 Whence also Jeremiah says: âl know, O Lord, that the way of man is not in himself; it is not in man to walk and direct his steps. a[475]475 Accordingly, when in the Psalms one says to God, a Thou hast commanded me to keep Thy precepts diligently, a[476]476 he at once adds not a word of confidence concerning himself but a wish to be able to keep these precepts: aO that my ways, a says he, awere directed to keep Thy statutes! Then should I not be ashamed, when I have respect to all Thy commandments?[477]477 Now who ever wishes for what he has already so in his own power, that he requires no further help for attaining it? To whom, however, he directs his wish, anot to fortune, or fate, or some one else besides God, âhe shows with sufficient clearness in the

following words, where he says: âOrder my steps in Thy word; and let not any iniquity have dominion over me.â[478]478 From the thraldom of this execrable dominion they are liberated, to whom the Lord Jesus gave power to become the sons of God.[479]479 From so horrible a domination were they to be freed, to whom He says, âlf the Son shall make you free, then shall ye be free indeed.â[480]480 From these and many other like testimonies, I cannot doubt that God has laid no impossible command on man; and that, by Godâs aid and help, nothing is impossible, by which is wrought what He commands. In this way may a man, if he pleases, be without sin by the assistance of God.

Chapter 8 [VII.]â(2) Whether There is in This World a Man Without Sin.

[2nd.] If, however, I am asked the second guestion which I have suggested, awhether there be a sinless man, al believe there is not. For I rather believe the Scripture, which says: âEnter not into judgment with Thy servant; for in Thy sight shall no man living be justified.a[481]481 There is therefore need of the mercy of God, which aexceedingly rejoiceth against judgment, a[482]482 and which that man shall not obtain who does not show mercy.[483]483 And whereas the prophet says, al said, I will confess my transgressions unto the Lord, and Thou forgavest the iniquity of my heart, a[484]484 he yet immediately adds, aFor this shall every saint pray unto Thee in an acceptable time.a[485]485 Not indeed every sinner, but aevery saint;a for it is the voice of saints which says, alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[486]486 Accordingly we read, in the Apocalypse of the same Apostle, of athe hundred and forty and four thousanda saints, awhich were not defiled with women; for they continued virgins: and in their mouth was found no guile; for they are without fault.a[487]487 aWithout fault,a indeed, they no doubt are for this reason, abecause they truly found fault with themselves; and for this reason, âin their mouth was discovered no guile, âââbecause if they said they had no sin, they deceived themselves, and the truth was not in them.a[488]488 Of course, where the truth was not, there would be guile; and when a righteous man begins a statement by accusing himself, he verily utters no falsehood.

Chapter 9.âThe Beginning of Renewal; Resurrection Called Regeneration; They are the Sons of God Who Lead Lives Suitable to Newness of Life.

And hence in the passage, âWhosoever is born of God doth not sin, and he cannot sin, for His seed remaineth in him,â[489]489 and in every other passage of like import, they much deceive themselves by an inadequate consideration of the Scriptures. For they fail to observe that men severally become sons of God when they begin to live in newness of spirit, and to be renewed as to the inner man after the image of Him that created them.[490]490 For it is not from the moment of a manâs baptism that all his old infirmity is destroyed, but renovation begins with the remission of all his sins, and so far as he who is now wise is spiritually wise. All things else, however, are accomplished in hope, looking forward to their being also realized in fact,[491]491 even to the renewal of the body itself in that better state of immortality and incorruption with which we shall be clothed at the resurrection of the dead. For this too the Lord calls a regeneration, athough, of course, not such as occurs through baptism, but still a regeneration wherein that which is now begun in the spirit shall be brought to perfection also in the body. all the regeneration, a says He, awhen the Son of man shall sit in the throne of His glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel.â[492]492 For however entire and full be the remission of sins in baptism, nevertheless, if there was wrought by it at once, an entire and full change of the man into his everlasting newness, al do not mean change in his body, which is now most clearly tending evermore to the old corruption and to death, after which it is to be renewed into a total and true newness, abut, the body being excepted, if in the soul itself, which is the inner man, a perfect renewal was wrought in baptism, the apostle would not say: aEven though our outward man perishes, yet the inward man is renewed day by day.â[493]493 Now, undoubtedly, he who is still renewed day by day is not as yet wholly renewed; and in so far as he is not yet wholly renewed, he is still in his old state. Since, then, men, even after they are baptized, are still in some degree in their old condition, they are on that account also still children of the world; but inasmuch as they are also admitted into a new state, that is to say, by the full and perfect remission of their sins, and in so far as they are spiritually-minded, and behave correspondingly, they are the children of God. Internally we put off the old man and put on the new; for we then and there lay aside lying, and speak truth, and do those other things wherein the apostle makes to consist the putting off of the old man and the putting on of the new, which after God is created in righteousness and true holiness.[494]494 Now it is men who are already baptized and faithful whom he exhorts to do this.âan exhortation which would be unsuitable to them, if the absolute and perfect change had been already made in their baptism. And yet made it was, since we were then actually saved; for aHe saved us by the laver of regeneration.â[495]495 In another passage, however, he tells us how this took place. aNot they only, a says he, abut ourselves also, which have the first-fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body. For we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope; for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for it.â[496]496

Chapter 10 [VIII.] âPerfection, When to Be Realized.

Our full adoption, then, as children, is to happen at the redemption of our body. It is therefore the first-fruits of the Spirit which we now possess, whence we are already really become the children of God; for the rest, indeed, as it is by hope that we are saved and renewed, so are we the children of God. But inasmuch as we are not yet actually saved, we are also not yet fully renewed, nor yet also fully sons of God, but children of the world. We are therefore advancing in renewal and holiness of life,âand it is by this that we are children of God, and by this also we cannot commit sin;âuntil at last the whole of that by which we are kept as yet children of this world is changed into this;âfor it is owing to this that we are as yet able to sin. Hence it comes to pass that âwhosoever is born of God doth not commit sin;â[497]497 and as well, âif we were to say that we have no sin, we should deceive ourselves, and the truth would not be in us.â[498]498 There shall be then an end put to that within us which keeps us children of the flesh and of the world; whilst that other shall be perfected which makes us the children of God, and renews us by His Spirit. Accordingly the same John says, âBeloved, now are we the sons of God; and it doth not yet appear what we shall be.a[499]499 Now what means this variety in the expressions, awe are, and awe shall be, a but this awe are in hope, we shall be in reality? For he goes on to say, aWe know that when He shall appear, we shall be like Him, for we shall see Him as He is a [500]500 We have therefore even now begun to be like Him, having the first-fruits of the Spirit; but yet we are still unlike Him, by reason of the remainders of the old nature. In as far, then, as we are like Him, in so far are we, by the regenerating Spirit, sons of God; but in as far as we are unlike Him, in so far are we the children of the flesh and of the world. On the one side, we cannot commit sin; but, on the other, if we say that we have no sin, we only deceive ourselves, âuntil we pass entirely into the adoption, and the sinner be no more, and you look for his place and find it not.[501]501

Chapter 11 [IX.]âAn Objection of the Pelagians: Why Does Not a Righteous Man Beget a Righteous Man?[502]502

In vain, then, do some of them argue: alf a sinner begets a sinner, so that the guilt of original sin must be done away in his infant son by his receiving baptism, in like manner ought a righteous man to beget a righteous son.â Just as if a man begat children in the flesh by reason of his righteousness, and not because he is moved thereto by the concupiscence which is in his members, and the law of sin is applied by the law of his mind to the purpose of procreation. His begetting children, therefore, shows that he still retains the old nature among the children of this world: it does not arise from the fact of his promotion to newness of life among the children of God. For athe children of this world beget and are begotten. a[503]503 Hence also what is born of them is like them; for athat which is born of the flesh is flesh.â[504]504 Only the children of God, however, are righteous; but in so far as they are the children of God, they do not carnally beget, because it is of the Spirit, and not of the flesh. that they are themselves begotten. But as many of them as become parents, beget children from the circumstance that they have not yet put off the entire remains of their old nature in exchange for the perfect renovation which awaits them. It follows, therefore, that every son who is born in this old and infirm condition of his fatheras nature, must needs himself partake of the same old and infirm condition. In order, then, that he may be begotten again, he must also himself be renewed by the Spirit through the remission of sin; and if this change does not take place in him, his righteous father will be of no use to him. For it is by the Spirit that he is righteous, but it is not by the Spirit that he begat his son. On the other hand, if this change does accrue to him, he will not be damaged by an unrighteous father: for it is by the grace of the Spirit that he has passed into the hope of the eternal newness; whereas it is owing to his carnal mind that his father has wholly remained in the old nature.

Chapter 12 [X.]âHe Reconciles Some Passages of Scripture.

The statement, therefore, âHe that is born of God sinneth not.â[505]505 is not contrary to the passage in which it is declared by those who are born of God, alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.â[506]506 For however complete may be a manas present hope, and however real may be his renewal by spiritual regeneration in that part of his nature, he still, for all that, carries about a body which is corrupt, and which presses down his soul; and so long as this is the case, one must distinguish even in the same individual the relation and source of each several action. Now, I suppose it is not easy to find in Godâs Scripture so weighty a testimony of holiness given of any man as that which is written of His three servants, Noah, Daniel, and Job, whom the Prophet Ezekiel describes as the only men able to be delivered from Godâs impending wrath.[507]507 In these three men he no doubt prefigures three classes of mankind to be delivered: in Noah, as I suppose, are represented righteous leaders of nations, by reason of his government of the ark as a type of the Church; in Daniel, men who are righteous in continence; in Job, those who are righteous in wedlock; ato say nothing of any other view of the passage, which it is unnecessary now to consider. It is, at any rate, clear from this testimony of the prophet, and from other inspired statements, how eminent were these worthies in righteousness. Yet no man must be led by their history to say, for instance, that drunkenness is not sin, although so good a man was overtaken by it; for we read that Noah was once drunk, [508] 508 but God forbid that it should be thought that he was an habitual drunkard.

Chapter 13.âA Subterfuge of the Pelagians.

Daniel, indeed, after the prayer which he poured out before God, actually says respecting himself, aWhilst I was praying and confessing my sins, and the sins of my people, before the Lord my God. a[509]509 This is the reason, if I am not mistaken, why in the above-mentioned Prophet Ezekiel a certain most haughty person is asked, âArt thou then wiser than Daniel? [510] 510 Nor on this point can that be possibly said which some contend for in opposition to the Lordâs Praver: aFor although, a they say, a that prayer was offered by the apostles, after they became holy and perfect, and had no sin whatever, yet it was not in behalf of their own selves, but of imperfect and still sinful men that they said, aForgive us our debts, as we also forgive our debtors.â They used the word our.â they say, âin order to show that in one body are contained both those who still have sins, and themselves. who were already altogether free from sin.â Now this certainly cannot be said in the case of Daniel, who (as I suppose) foresaw as a prophet this presumptuous opinion, when he said so often in his prayer, aWe have sinned; and explained to us why he said this, not so as that we should hear from him, Whilst I was praying and confessing the sins of my people to the Lord, my God; nor yet confounding distinction, so as that it would be uncertain whether he had said, on account of the fellowship of one body, While I was confessing our sins to the Lord my God; but he expresses himself in language so distinct and precise, as

if he were full of the distinction himself, and wanted above all things to commend it to our notice: âMy sins,â says he, âand the sins of my people.â Who can gainsay such evidence as this, but he who is more pleased to defend what he thinks than to find out what he ought to think?

Chapter 14. âJob Was Not Without Sin.

But let us see what Job has to say of himself, after Godâs great testimony of his righteousness. al know of a truth, a he says, athat it is so: for how shall a mortal man be just before the Lord? For if He should enter into judgment with him, he would not be able to obey Him.â[511]511 And shortly afterwards he asks: âWho shall resist His judgment? Even if I should seem righteous, my mouth will speak profanely.â[512]512 And again, further on, he says: âl know He will not leave me unpunished. But since I am ungodly, why have I not died? If I should wash myself with snow, and be purged with clean hands, thou hadst thoroughly stained me with filth.â[513]513 In another of his discourses he says: aFor Thou hast written evil things against me, and hast compassed me with the sins of my youth; and Thou hast placed my foot in the stocks. Thou hast watched all my works, and hast inspected the soles of my feet, which wax old like a bottle, or like a moth-eaten garment. For man that is born of a woman hath but a short time to live, and is full of wrath; like a flower that hath bloomed. so doth he fall; he is gone like a shadow, and continueth not. Hast Thou not taken account even of him, and caused him to enter into judgment with Thee? For who is pure from uncleanness? Not even one: even should his life last but a day.â[514]514 Then a little afterwards he says: aThou hast numbered all my necessities; and not one of my sins hath escaped Thee. Thou hast sealed up my transgressions in a bag, and hast marked whatever I have done unwillingly. a[515]515 See how Job, too, confesses his sins, and says how sure he is that there is none righteous before the Lord. So he is sure of this also, that if we say we have no sin, the truth is not in us. While, therefore, God bestows on him His high testimony of righteousness, according to the standard of human conduct, Job himself, taking his measure from that rule of righteousness, which, as well as he can, he beholds in God, knows of a truth that so it is; and he goes on at once to say, a How shall a mortal man be just before the Lord? For if He should enter into judgment with him, he would not be able to obey Him; a in other words, if, when challenged to judgment, he wished to show that nothing could be found in him which He could condemn, ahe would not be able to obey him, a since he misses even that obedience which might enable him to obey Him who teaches that sins ought to be confessed. Accordingly [the Lord] rebukes certain men, saying, aWhy will ye contend with me in judgment?â[516]516 This [the Psalmist] averts, saying, âEnter not into judgment with Thy servant; for in Thy sight shall no man living be justified. a[517]517 In accordance with this, Job also asks: aFor who shall resist his judgment? Even if I should seem righteous, my mouth will speak profanely; a which means: If, contrary to His judgment, I should call myself righteous, when His perfect rule of righteousness proves me to be unrighteous, then of a truth my mouth would speak profanely, because it would speak against the truth of God.

Chapter 15.aCarnal Generation Condemned on Account of Original Sin.

He sets forth that this absolute weakness, or rather condemnation, of carnal generation is from the transgression of original sin, when, treating of his own sins, he shows, as it were, their causes, and says that âman that is born of a woman hath but a short time to live, and is full of wrath.â Of what wrath, but of that in which all are, as the apostle says, aby nature, a that is, by origin, achildren of wrath.â[518]518 inasmuch as they are children of the concupiscence of the flesh and of the world? He further shows that to this same wrath also pertains the death of man. For after saying, aHe hath but a short time to live, and is full of wrath, a he added, a Like a flower that hath bloomed, so doth he fall; he is gone like a shadow, and continueth not.â He then subjoins: âHast Thou not caused him to enter into judgment with Thee? For who is pure from uncleanness? Not even one; even should his life last but a day.â In these words he in fact says, Thou hast thrown upon man, short-lived though he be, the care of entering into judgment with Thee. For how brief soever be his life,âeven if it last but a single day,âhe could not possibly be clean of filth; and therefore with perfect justice must he come under Thy judgment. Then, when he says again, a Thou hast numbered all my necessities, and not one of my sins hath escaped Thee: Thou hast sealed up my transgressions in a bag, and hast marked whatever I have done unwillingly; a is it not clear enough that even those sins are justly imputed which are not committed through allurement of pleasure. but for the sake of avoiding some trouble, or pain, or death? Now these sins, too, are said to be committed under some necessity, whereas they ought all to be overcome by the love and pleasure of righteousness. Again, what he said in the clause, aThou hast marked whatever I have done unwillingly, a may evidently be connected with the saying: aFor what I would, that I do not; but what I hate, that do I.â[519]519

Chapter 16âJob Foresaw that Christ Would Come to Suffer; The Way of Humility in Those that are Perfect.

Now it is remarkable[520]520 that the Lord Himself, after bestowing on Job the testimony which is expressed in Scripture, that is, by the Spirit of God, all the things which happened to him he sinned not with his lips before the Lord, a[521]521 did yet afterwards speak to him with a rebuke, as Job himself tells us: aWhy do I yet plead, being admonished, and hearing the rebukes of the Lord?â[522]522 Now no man is justly rebuked unless there be in him something which deserves rebuke. [XI.] And what sort of rebuke is this, awhich, moreover, is understood to proceed from the person of Christ our Lord? He re-counts to him all the divine operations of His power, rebuking him under this idea, athat He seems to say to him, a Canst thou effect all these mighty works as I can?â But to what purpose is all this but that Job might understand (for this instruction was divinely inspired into him, that he might foreknow Christâs coming to suffer), âthat he might understand how patiently he ought to endure all that he went through, since Christ, although, when He became man for us, He was absolutely without sin, and although as God He possessed so great power, did for all that

by no means refuse to obey even to the suffering of death? When Job understood this with a purer intensity of heart, he added to his own answer these words: all used before now to hear of Thee by the hearing of the ear; but behold now mine eye seeth Thee: therefore I abhor myself and melt away, and account myself but dust and ashes.â[523]523 Why was he thus so deeply displeased with himself? Godâs work, in that he was man, could not rightly have given him displeasure, since it is even said to God Himself, aDespise not Thou the work of Thine own hands.â[524]524 It was indeed in view of that righteousness, in which he had discovered his own unrighteousness.[525]525 that he abhorred himself and melted away, and deemed himself dust and ashes, abeholding, as he did in his mind, the righteousness of Christ, in whom there could not possibly be any sin, not only in respect of His divinity, but also of His soul and His flesh. It was also in view of this righteousness which is of God that the Apostle Paul, although as atouching the righteousness which is of the law he was blameless, a yet acounted all thingsa not only as loss, but even as dung.[526]526

## Chapter 17 [XII.] âNo One Righteous in All Things. [527] 527

That illustrious testimony of God, therefore, in which Job is commended, is not contrary to the passage in which it is said, aln Thy sight shall no man living be justified; a[528]528 for it does not lead us to suppose that in him there was nothing at all which might either by himself truly or by the Lord God rightly be blamed, although at the same time he might with no untruth be said to be a righteous man, and a sincere worshipper of God, and one who keeps himself from every evil work. For these are Godâs words concerning him: aHast thou diligently considered my servant Job? For there is none like him on the earth, blameless, righteous, a true worshipper of God, who keeps himself from every evil work.â[529]529 First, he is here praised for his excellence in comparison with all men on earth. He therefore excelled all who were at that time able to be righteous upon earth; and yet, because of this superiority over others in righteousness, he was not therefore altogether without sin. He is next said to be ablamelessaano one could fairly bring an accusation against him in respect of his life; ârighteousââhe had advanced so greatly in moral probity, that no man could be mentioned on a par with him; aa true worshipper of Godââbecause he was a sincere and humble confessor of his own sins: âwho keeps himself from every evil workââit would have been wonderful if this had extended to every evil word and thought. How great a man indeed Job was, we are not told; but we know that he was a just man; we know, too, that in the endurance of terrible afflictions and trials he was great; and we know that it was not on account of his sins, but for the purpose of demonstrating his righteousness, that he had to bear so much suffering. But the language in which the Lord commends Job might also be applied to him who adelights in the law of God after the inner man, whilst he sees another law in his members warring against the law of his mind; a[530]530 especially as he says, aThe good that I would I do not: but the evil which I would not, that I do. Now, if I do that I would not, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me.a[531]531 Observe how he too after the inward man is separate from every evil work, because such work he does not himself effect, but the evil which dwells in his flesh; and yet, since he does

not have even that ability to delight in the law of God except from the grace of God, he, as still in want of deliverance, exclaims, âO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? Godâs grace, through Jesus Christ our Lord!â[532]532

Chapter 18 [XIII.] âPerfect Human Righteousness is Imperfect.

There are then on earth righteous men, there are great men, brave, prudent, chaste, patient, pious, merciful, who endure all kinds of temporal evil with an even mind for righteousnessâ sake. If, however, there is truthânay, because there is truthâin these words, âlf we say we have no sin, we deceive ourselves,â[533]533 and in these, âln Thy sight shall no man living be justified,â they are not without sin; nor is there one among them so proud and foolish as not to think that the Lordâs Prayer is needful to him, by reason of his manifold sins.

Chapter 19.âZacharias and Elisabeth, Sinners.

Now what must we say of Zacharias and Elisabeth, who are often alleged against us in discussions on this guestion, except that there is clear evidence in the Scripture[534]534 that Zacharias was a man of eminent righteousness among the chief priests, whose duty it was to offer up the sacrifices of the Old Testament? We also read, however, in the Epistle to the Hebrews, in a passage which I have already guoted in my previous book, [535] 535 that Christ was the only High Priest who had no need, as those who were called high priests, to offer daily a sacrifice for his own sins first, and then for the people. aFor such a High Priest, a it says, abecame us, righteous, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and made higher than the heavens; who needeth not daily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins.â[536]536 Amongst the priests here referred to was Zacharias, amongst them was Phinehas, yea, Aaron himself, from whom this priesthood had its beginning, and whatever others there were who lived laudably and righteously in this priesthood; and yet all these were under the necessity, first of all, of offering sacrifice for their own sins, a Christ, of whose future coming they were a type, being the only one who, as an incontaminable priest, had no such necessity.

Chapter 20.aPaul Worthy to Be the Prince of the Apostles, and Yet a Sinner.

What commendation, however, is bestowed on Zacharias and Elisabeth which is not comprehended in what the apostle has said about himself before he believed in Christ? He said that, âas touching the righteousness which is in the law, he had been blameless.â[537]537 The same is said also of them: âThey were both righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord blameless.â[538]538 It was because whatever righteousness they had in them was not a pretence before men that it is said accordingly, âThey walked before the Lord.â But that which is written of Zacharias and his wife in the phrase, in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord, the apostle briefly expressed by the words, in the law. For there was not one law for him and another for them previous to the gospel. It was one and the same law which, as we read, was given by

Moses to their fathers, and according to which, also, Zacharias was priest, and offered sacrifices in his course. And yet the apostle, who was then endued with the like righteousness, goes on to say: âBut what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ. Yea doubtless, and I count all things but loss for the excellency of the knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ; for whose sake I have not only thought all things to be only detriments, but I have even counted them as dung, that I may win Christ, and be found in Him, not having my own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith: that I may know Him, and the power of His resurrection, and the fellowship of His suffering, being made comformable unto His death; if by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead. a[539]539 So far, then, is it from being true that we should, from the words in which Scripture describes them, suppose that Zacharias and Elisabeth had a perfect righteousness without any sin, that we must even regard the apostle himself, according to the selfsame rule, as not perfect, not only in that righteousness of the law which he possessed in common with them, and which he counts as loss and dung in comparison with that most excellent righteousness which is by the faith of Christ, but also in the very gospel itself, wherein he deserved the pre-eminence of his great apostleship. Now I would not venture to say this if I did not deem it very wrong to refuse credence to himself. He extends the passage which we have quoted, and says: aNot as though I had already attained, or were already perfect; but I follow after, if I may comprehend that for which also I am apprehended in Christ Jesus. Brethren, I count not myself to have apprehended: but this one thing I do, forgetting those things which are behind, and reaching forth unto those things which are before, I press toward the mark, for the prize of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus. a[540]540 Here he confesses that he has not yet attained, and is not yet perfect in that plenitude of righteousness which he had longed to obtain in Christ; but that he was as yet pressing towards the mark, and, forgetting what was past, was reaching out to the things which are before him. We are sure, then, that what he says elsewhere is true even of himself: âAlthough our outward man is perishing, yet the inward man is renewed day by day.â[541]541 Although he was already a perfect[542]542 traveller, he had not yet attained the perfect end of his journey. All such he would fain take with him as companions of his course. This he expresses in the words which follow our former quotation: âLet as many, then, of us as are perfect, be thus minded: and if ye be yet of another mind, God will reveal even this also to you. Nevertheless, whereunto we have already attained, let us walk by that rule.a[543]543 This awalka is not performed with the legs of the body, but with the affections of the soul and the character of the life, so that they who possess righteousness may arrive at perfection, who, advancing in their renewal day by day along the straight path of faith, have by this time become perfect as travellers in the selfsame righteousness.

Chapter 21 [XIV.] âAll Righteous Men Sinners.

In like manner, all who are described in the Scriptures as exhibiting in their present life good will and the actions of righteousness, and all who have lived like them since, although lacking the same testimony of Scripture; or all who are even now so living, or shall hereafter so live: all these are great, they are all righteous, and they are all really worthy of praise,âyet they are by no means without sin: inasmuch as, on the authority of the same Scriptures which make us believe in their virtues, we believe also that in âGodâs sight no man living is justified,â[544]544 whence all ask that He will ânot enter into judgment with His servants:â[545]545 and that not only to all the faithful in general, but to each of them in particular, the Lordâs Prayer is necessary, which He delivered to His disciples.[546]546

Chapter 22 [XV.]âAn Objection of the Pelagians; Perfection is Relative; He is Rightly Said to Be Perfect in Righteousness Who Has Made Much Progress Therein.

âWell, but, â they say, âthe Lord says, âBe ye perfect even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect, a[547]547 an injunction which He would not have given, if He had known that what He enjoined was impracticable. a Now the present question is not whether it be possible for any men, during this present life, to be without sin if they receive that perfection for the purpose; for the question of possibility we have already discussed: [548]548abut what we have now to consider is, whether any man in fact achieves perfection. We have, however, already recognised the fact that no man wills as much as the duty demands, as also the testimony of the Scriptures, which we have quoted so largely above, declares. When, indeed, perfection is ascribed to any particular person, we must look carefully at the thing in which it is ascribed. For I have just above quoted a passage of the apostle, wherein he confesses that he was not yet perfect in the attainment of righteousness which he desired; but still he immediately adds, âLet as many of us as are perfect be thus minded.â Now he would certainly not have uttered these two sentences if he had not been perfect in one thing, and not in another. For instance, a man may be perfect as a scholar in the pursuit of wisdom: and this could not yet be said of those to whom [the apostle] said, all have fed you with milk, and not with meat: for hitherto ye have not been able to bear it, neither are ye yet able; a [549] 549 whereas to those of whom it could be said he says, a Howbeit we speak wisdom among them that are perfect, â â meaning, of course, â perfect pupils â to be understood. It may happen, therefore, as I have said, that a man may be already perfect as a scholar, though not as yet perfect as a teacher of wisdom; may be perfect as a learner, though not as yet perfect as a doer of righteousness; may be perfect as a lover of his enemies, though not as yet perfect in bearing their wrong.[550]550 Even in the case of him who is so far perfect as to love all men, inasmuch as he has attained even to the love of his enemies, it still remains a question whether he be perfect in that love, âin other words, whether he so loves those whom he loves as is prescribed to be exercised towards those to be loved, by the unchangeable love of truth. Whenever, then, we read in the Scriptures of any manas perfection, it must be carefully considered in what it is asserted, since a man is not therefore to be understood as being entirely without sin because he is described as perfect in some particular thing; although the term may also be employed to show, not, indeed, that there is no longer any

point left for a man to reach his way to perfection, but that he has in fact advanced a very great way, and on that account may be deemed worthy of the designation. Thus, a man may be said to be perfect in the science of the law, even if there be still something unknown to him; and in the same manner the apostle called men perfect, to whom he said at the same time, âYet if in anything ye be otherwise minded, God shall reveal even this to you. Nevertheless, whereto we have already attained, let us walk by the same rule.â[551]551

Chapter 23 [XXI.] aWhy God Prescribes What He Knows Cannot Be Observed.

We must not deny that God commands that we ought to be so perfect in doing righteousness, as to have no sin at all. Now that cannot be sin, whatever it may be, unless God has enjoined that it shall not be. Why then, they ask, does He command what He knows no man living will perform? In this manner it may also be asked, Why He commanded the first human beings, who were only two, what He knew they would not obey? For it must not be pretended that He issued that command, that some of us might obey it, if they did not; for, that they should not partake of the fruit of the particular tree, God commanded them, and none besides. Because, as He knew what amount of righteousness they would fail to perform, so did He also know what righteous measures He meant Himself to adopt concerning them. In the same way, then, He orders all men to commit no sin, although He knows beforehand that no man will fulfil the command; in order that He may, in the case of all who impiously and condemnably despise His precepts. Himself do what is just in their condemnation; and, in the case of all who while obediently and piously pressing on in his precepts, though failing to observe to the utmost all things which He has enjoined, do yet forgive others as they wish to to be forgiven themselves, Himself do what is good in their cleansing. For how can forgiveness be bestowed by Godâs mercy on the forgiving, when there is no sin? or how prohibition fail to be given by the justice of God, when there is sin?

Chapter 24.âAn Objection of the Pelagians. The Apostle Paul Was Not Free From Sin So Long as He Lived.

âBut see,â say they, âhow the apostle says, âl have fought a good fight. I have kept the faith. I have finished my course: henceforth there is laid up for me a crown of righteousness; a[552]552 which he would not have said if he had any sin.â It is for them, then, to explain how he could have said this, when there still remained for him to encounter the great conflict, the grievous and excessive weight of that suffering which he had just said awaited him.[553]553 In order to finish his course, was there yet wanting only a small thing, when that in fact was still left to suffer wherein would be a fiercer and more cruel foe? If, however, he uttered such words of joy feeling sure and secure, because he had been made sure and secure by Him who had revealed to him the imminence of his suffering, then he spoke these words, not in the fulness of realization, but in the firmness of hope, and represents what he foresees is to come as if it had already been done. If, therefore, he had added to those words the further statement, âl have no longer any sin,â we must have understood him as even then speaking of a perfection arising from a future prospect, not

from an accomplished fact. For his having no sin, which they suppose was completed when he spoke these words, pertained to the finishing of his course; just in the same way as his triumphing over his adversary in the decisive conflict of his suffering had also reference to the finishing of his course, although this they must needs themselves allow remained yet to be effected, when he was speaking these words. The whole of this, therefore, we declare to have been as yet awaiting its accomplishment, at the time when the apostle, with his perfect trust in the promise of God, spoke of it all as having been already realized. For it was in reference to the finishing of his course that he forgave the sins of those who sinned against him, and prayed that his own sins might in like manner be forgiven him; and it was in his most certain confidence in this promise of the Lord, that he believed he should have no sin in that last end, which was still future, even when in his trustfulness he spoke of it as already accomplished. Now, omitting all other considerations, I wonder whether, when he uttered the words in which he is thought to imply that he had no sin, that athorn of the flesha had been already removed from him, for the taking away of which he had three times entreated the Lord, and had received this answer: âMy grace is sufficient for thee; for my strength is made perfect in weakness. a[554]554 For bringing so great a man to perfection, it was needful that that âmessenger of Satanâ should not be taken away by whom he was therefore to be buffeted, âlest he should be unduly exalted by the abundance of his revelations, a[555]555 and is there then any man so bold as either to think or to say, that any one who has to bend beneath the burden of this life is altogether clean from all sin whatever?

Chapter 25.âGod Punishes Both in Wrath and in Mercy.

Although there are some men who are so eminent in righteousness that God speaks to them out of His cloudy pillar, such as âMoses and Aaron among His priests, and Samuel among them that call upon His name, a[556]556 the latter of whom is much praised for his piety and purity in the Scriptures of truth, from his earliest childhood, in which his mother, to accomplish her vow, placed him in Godâs temple, and devoted him to the Lord as His servant; ayet even of such men it is written, aThou, O God, wast propitious unto them, though Thou didst punish all their devices. also for the children of wrath God punishes in anger; whereas it is in mercy that He punishes the children of grace; since awhom He loveth He correcteth, and scourgeth every son whom He receiveth. a[558]558 However, there are no punishments, no correction, no scourge of God, but what are owing to sin, except in the case of Him who prepared His back for the smiter, in order that He might experience all things in our likeness without sin, in order that He might be the saintly Priest of saints, making intercession even for saints, who with no sacrifice of truth say each one even for himself, aForgive us our trespasses, even as we also forgive them that trespass against us. a[559]559 Wherefore even our opponents in this controversy, whilst they are chaste in their life, and commendable in character, and although they do not hesitate to do that which the Lord enjoined on the rich man, who inquired of Him about the attainment of eternal life, after he had told Him, in answer to His first question, that he had already fully kept every

commandment in the law,âthat âif he wished to be perfect, he must sell all that he had and give to the poor, and transfer his treasure to heaven;â[560]560 yet they do not in any one instance venture to say that they are without sin. But this, as we believe, they refrain from saying, with deceitful intent; but if they are lying, in this very act they begin either to augment or commit sin.

Chapter 26 [XVII.] â (3)[561]561 Why No One in This Life is Without Sin.

[3d.][562]562 Let us now consider the point which I mentioned as our third inquiry. Since by divine grace assisting the human will, man may possibly exist in this life without sin, why does he not? To this question I might very easily and truthfully answer: Because men are unwilling. But if I am asked why they are unwilling, we are drawn into a lengthy statement. And yet, without prejudice to a more careful examination, I may briefly say this much: Men are unwilling to do what is right, either because what is right is unknown to them, or because it is unpleasant to them. For we desire a thing more ardently in proportion to the certainty of our knowledge of its goodness, and the warmth of our delight in it. Ignorance, therefore, and infirmity are faults which impede the will from moving either for doing a good work, or for refraining from an evil one. But that what was hidden may come to light, and what was unpleasant may be made agreeable, is of the grace of God which helps the wills of men; and that they are not helped by it, has its cause likewise in themselves, not in God, whether they be predestinated to condemnation, on account of the iniquity of their pride, or whether they are to be judged and disciplined contrary to their very pride, if they are children of mercy. Accordingly Jeremiah, after saying, âl know, O Lord, that the way of man is not in himself, and that it belongeth not to any man to walk and direct his steps, a[563]563 immediately adds, aCorrect me, O Lord, but with judgment, and not in Thine anger;â[564]564 as much as to say, I know that it is for my correction that I am too little assisted by Thee, for my footsteps to be perfectly directed: but yet do not in this so deal with me as Thou dost in Thine anger, when Thou dost determine to condemn the wicked; but as Thou dost in Thy judgment whereby Thou dost teach Thy children not to be proud. Whence in another passage it is said, aAnd Thy judgments shall help me.a[565]565

Chapter 27.[566]566âThe Divine Remedy for Pride.

You cannot therefore attribute to God the cause of any human fault. For of all human offences, the cause is pride. For the conviction and removal of this a great remedy comes from heaven. God in mercy humbles Himself, descends from above, and displays to man, lifted up by pride, pure and manifest grace in very manhood, which He took upon Himself out of vast love for those who partake of it. For, not even did even this One, so conjoined to the Word of God that by that conjunction he became at once the one Son of God and the same One the one Son of man, act by the antecedent merits of His own will. It behoved Him, without doubt, to be one; had there been two, or three, or more, if this could have been done, it would not have come from the pure and simple gift of God, but from manâs free will and choice.[567]567 This, then, is especially commended to us; this, so far as I dare to think, is the divine lesson especially taught and learned in those treasures of wisdom and knowledge which are hidden in Christ. Every one of us, therefore, now knows, now does not knowânow rejoices, now does not rejoiceâto begin, continue, and complete our good work, in order that he may know that it is due not to his own will, but to the gift of God, that he either knows or rejoices; and thus he is cured of vanity which elated him, and knows how truly it is said not of this earth of ours, but spiritually, âThe Lord will give kindness and sweet grace, and our land shall yield her fruit.â[568]568 A good work, moreover, affords greater delight, in proportion as God is more and more loved as the highest unchangeable Good, and as the Author of all good things of every kind whatever. And that God may be loved, âHis love is shed abroad in our hearts,â not by ourselves, but âby the Holy Ghost that is given unto us.â[569]569

Chapter 28 [XVIII.] âA Good Will Comes from God.

Men, however, are laboring to find in our own will some good thing of our own, anot given to us by God; but how it is to be found I cannot imagine. The apostle says, when speaking of menas good works, aWhat hast thou that thou didst not receive? now, if thou didst receive it, why dost thou glory, as if thou hadst not received it?a[570]570 But, besides this, even reason itself, which may be estimated in such things by such as we are, sharply restrains every one of us in our investigations so as that we may not so defend grace as to seem to take away free will, or, on the other hand, so assert free will as to be judged ungrateful to the grace of God, in our arrogant impiety.[571]571

Chapter 29.âA Subterfuge of the Pelagians.

Now, with reference to the passage of the apostle which I have quoted, some would maintain it to mean that âwhatever amount of good will a man has, must be attributed to God on this account,ânamely, because even this amount could not be in him if he were not a human being. Now, inasmuch as he has from God alone the capacity of being any thing at all, and of being human, why should there not be also attributed to God whatever there is in him of a good will, which could not exist unless he existed in whom it is?â But in this same manner it may also be said that a bad will also may be attributed to God as its author; because even it could not exist in man unless he were a man in whom it existed; but God is the author of his existence as man; and thus also of his bad will, which could have no existence if it had not a man in whom it might exist. But to argue thus is blasphemy.

Chapter 30.âAll Will is Either Good, and Then It Loves Righteousness, or Evil, When It Does Not Love Righteousness.

 Unless, therefore, we obtain not simply determination of will, which is freely turned in this direction and that, and has its place amongst those natural goods which a bad man may use badly; but also a good will, which has its place among those goods of which it is impossible to make a bad use:âunless the impossibility is given to us from God, I know not how to defend what is said: aWhat hast thou that thou didst not receive?â For if we have from God a certain free will, which may still be either good or bad; but the good will comes from ourselves; then that which comes from ourselves is better than that which comes from Him. But inasmuch as it is the height of absurdity to say this. they ought to acknowledge that we attain from God even a good will. It would indeed be a strange thing if the will could so stand in some mean as to be neither good nor bad; for we either love righteousness, and it is good, and if we love it more, more good, aif less, it is less good; or if we do not love it at all, it is not good. And who can hesitate to affirm that, when the will loves not righteousness in any way at all, it is not only a bad, but even a wholly depraved will? Since therefore the will is either good or bad, and since of course we have not the bad will from God, it remains that we have of God a good will; else, I am ignorant, since our justification is from it, in what other gift from Him we ought to rejoice. Hence, I suppose, it is written, aThe will is prepared of the Lord; a[572]572 and in the Psalms, a The steps of a man will be rightly ordered by the Lord, and His way will be the choice of his will; a[573]573 and that which the apostle says, aFor it is God who worketh in you both to will and to do of His own good pleasure. a[574]574

Chapter 31.âGrace is Given to Some Men in Mercy; Is Withheld from Others in Justice and Truth.

Forasmuch then as our turning away from God is our own act, and this is evil will; but our turning to God is not possible, except He rouses and helps us, and this is good will, awhat have we that we have not received? But if we received, why do we glory as if we had not received? Therefore, as ahe that glorieth must glory in the Lord, *â*[575]575 it comes from His mercy, not their merit, that God wills to impart this to some, but from His truth that He wills not to impart it to others. For to sinners punishment is justly due, because âthe Lord God loveth mercy and truth, a[576]576 and amercy and truth are met together; a[577]577 and all the paths of the Lord are mercy and truth.â[578]578 And who can tell the numberless instances in which Holy Scripture combines these two attributes? Sometimes, by a change in the terms, grace is put for mercy, as in the passage, aWe beheld His glory, the glory as of the Only-begotten of the Father, full of grace and truth a[579]579 Sometimes also judgment occurs instead of truth, as in the passage, al will sing of mercy and judgment unto Thee, O Lord.â[580]580

Chapter 32. aGodas Sovereignity in His Grace.

As to the reason why He wills to convert some, and to punish others for turning away,âalthough nobody can justly censure the merciful One in conferring His blessing, nor can any man justly find fault with the truthful One in awarding His punishment (as no one could justly blame Him, in the parable of the labourers, for assigning to some their stipulated hire, and to others unstipulated largess[581]581), yet, after all, the purpose of His more hidden judgment is in His own power. [XIX.] So far as it has been given us, let us have wisdom, and let us understand that the good Lord God sometimes withholds even from His saints either the certain knowledge or the triumphant joy of a good work, just in order that they may discover that it is not from themselves, but from Him that they receive the light which illuminates their darkness, and the sweet grace which causes their land[582]582 to yield her fruit.

Chapter 33.âThrough Grace We Have Both the Knowledge of Good, and the Delight Which It Affords.

But when we pray Him to give us His help to do and accomplish righteousness, what else do we pray for than that He would open what was hidden, and impart sweetness to that which gave no pleasure? For even this very duty of praying to Him we have learned by His grace. whereas before it was hidden; and by His grace have come to love it, whereas before it gave us no pleasure. aso that ahe who glorieth must glory not in himself, but in the Lord.â To be lifted up, indeed, to pride, is the result of menâs own will, not of the operation of God; for to such a thing God neither urges us nor helps us. There first occurs then in the will of man a certain desire of its own power, to become disobedient through pride. If it were not for this desire, indeed, there would be nothing difficult; and whenever man willed it, he might refuse without difficulty. There ensued, however, out of the penalty which was justly due such a defect, that henceforth it became difficult to be obedient unto righteousness; and unless this defect were overcome by assisting grace, no one would turn to holiness; nor unless it were healed by efficient grace would any one enjoy the peace of righteousness. But whose grace is it that conquers and heals, but His to whom the prayer is directed: aConvert us, O God of our salvation, and turn Thine anger away from us?â[583]583 And both if He does this, He does it in mercy, so that it is said of Him, aNot according to our sins hath He dealt with us, nor hath He recompensed us according to our iniquities; a[584]584 and when He refrains from doing this to any, it is in judgment that He refrains. And who shall say to Him, aWhat hast Thou done? a when with pious mind the saints sing to the praise of His mercy and judgment? Wherefore even in the case of His saints and faithful servants He applies to them a tardier cure in certain of their failings, in order that, while they are involved in these, a less pleasure than is sufficient for the fulfilling of righteousness in all its perfection may be experienced by them at any good they may achieve, whether hidden or manifest; so that in respect of His most perfect rule of equity and truth ano man living can be justified in His sight.â[585]585 He does not in His own self, indeed, wish us to fall under condemnation, but that we should become humble; and He displays to us all the self-same grace of His own. Let us not, however, after we have attained facility in all things, suppose that to be our own which is really His; for that would be an error most antagonistic to religion and piety. Nor let us think that we should, because of His grace, continue in the same sins as of old; but against that very pride, on account of which we are humiliated in them, let us, above all things, both vigilantly strive and ardently pray Him, knowing at the same time that it is by His gift that we have the power thus to strive and thus to pray; so that in every case, while we look not at ourselves, but raise our hearts above, we may render thanks to the Lord our God, and whenever we

glory, glory in Him alone.

Chapter 34 [XX.]â(4) That No Man, with the Exception of Christ, Has Ever Lived, or Can Live Without Sin.[586]586

[4th.] There now remains our fourth point, after the explanation of which, as God shall help us, this lengthened treatise of ours may at last be brought to an end. It is this: Whether the man who never has had sin or is to have it, not merely is now living as one of the sons of men, but even could ever have existed at any time, or will yet in time to come exist? Now it is altogether most certain that such a man neither does now live, nor has lived, nor ever will live, except the one only Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus. We have already said a good deal on this subject in our remarks on the baptism of infants; for if these have no sin, not only are there at present, but also there have been, and there will be, persons innumerable without sin. Now if the point which we treated of under the second head be truly substantiated, that there is in fact no man without sin,[587]587 then of course not even infants are without sin. From which the conclusion arises, that even supposing a man could possibly exist in the present life so far advanced in virtue as to have reached the perfect fulness of holy living which is absolutely free from sin, he still must have been undoubtedly a sinner previously, and have been converted from the sinful state to this subsequent newness of life. Now when we were discussing the second head, a different question was before us from that which is before us under this fourth head. For then the point we had to consider was. Whether any man in this life could ever attain to such perfection as to be absolutely without sin by the grace of God, by the hearty desire of his own will? whereas the question now proposed in this fourth place is, Whether there be among the sons of men, or could possibly ever have been, or yet ever can be, a man who has not indeed emerged out of sin and attained to perfect righteousness, but has never, at any time whatever, been under the bondage of sin? If, therefore, the remarks are true which we have made at so great length concerning infants, there neither is, has been, nor will be, among the sons of men any such man, except the one Mediator, in whom there accrues to us propitiation and justification through which we have reconciliation with God, by the termination of the enmity produced by our sins. It will therefore be not unsuitable to retrace a few considerations, so far as the present subject seems to require, from the very commencement of the human race, in order that they may inform and strengthen the readeras mind in answer to some objections which may possibly disturb him.

Chapter 35 [XXI.]âAdam and Eve; Obedience Most Strongly Enjoined by God on Man.

When the first human beingsâthe one man Adam, and his wife Eve who came out of himâwilled not to obey the commandment which they had received from God, a just and deserved punishment overtook them. The Lord had threatened that, on the day they ate the forbidden fruit, they should surely die.[588]588 Now, inasmuch as they had received the permission of using for food every tree that grew in Paradise, among which God had planted the tree of life, but had been forbidden to

partake of one only tree, which He called the tree of knowledge of good and evil, to signify by this name the consequence of their discovering whether what good they would experience if they kept the prohibition, or what evil if they transgressed it: they are no doubt rightly considered to have abstained from the forbidden food previous to the malignant persuasion of the devil, and to have used all which had been allowed them, and therefore, among all the others, and before all the others, the tree of life. For what could be more absurd than to suppose that they partook of the fruit of other trees, but not of that which had been equally with others granted to them, and which, by its especial virtue, prevented even their animal bodies from undergoing change through the decay of age, and from aging into death, applying this benefit from its own body to the manas body, and in a mystery demonstrating what is conferred by wisdom (which it symbolized) on the rational soul, even that, guickened by its fruit, it should not be changed into the decay and death of iniquity? For of her it is rightly said, aShe is a tree of life to them that lay hold of her.â[589]589 Just as the one tree was for the bodily Paradise, the other is for the spiritual; the one affording a vigour to the senses of the outward man, the other to those of the inner man, such as will abide without any change for the worse through time. They therefore served God, since that dutiful obedience was committed to them, by which alone God can be worshipped. And it was not possible more suitably to intimate the inherent importance of obedience, or its sole sufficiency securely to keep the rational creature under the Creator, than by forbidding a tree which was not in itself evil. For God forbid that the Creator of good things, who made all things, âand behold they were very good, a[590]590 should plant anything evil amidst the fertility of even that material Paradise. Still, however, in order that he might show man, to whom submission to such a Master would be very useful, how much good belonged simply to obedience (and this was all that He had demanded of His servant, and this would be of advantage not so much for the lordship of the Master as for the profit of the servant), they were forbidden the use of a tree, which, if it had not been for the prohibition, they might have used without suffering any evil result whatever; and from this circumstance it may be clearly understood, that whatever evil they brought on themselves because they made use of it in spite of the prohibition, the tree did not produce from any noxious or pernicious quality in its fruit, but entirely on account of their violated obedience.

Chapter 36 [XXII.] âManâs State Before the Fall.

 Before they had thus violated their obedience they were pleasing to God, and God was pleasing to them; and though they carried about an animal body, they yet felt in it no disobedience moving against themselves. This was the righteous appointment, that inasmuch as their soul had received from the Lord the body for its servant, as it itself obeyed the Lord, even so its body should obey Him, and should exhibit a service suitable to the life given it without resistance. Hence âthey were both naked, and were not ashamed.â[591]591 It is with a natural instinct of shame that the rational soul is now indeed affected, because in that flesh, over whose service it received the right of power, it can no longer, owing to some indescribable infirmity, prevent the motion of the members thereof, notwithstanding its own unwillingness, nor excite them to motion even when it wishes. Now these members are on this account, in every man of chastity, rightly called apudenda, a[592]592 because they excite themselves, just as they like, in opposition to the mind which is their master, as if they were their own masters; and the sole authority which the bridle of virtue possesses over them is to check them from approaching impure and unlawful pollutions. Such disobedience of the flesh as this, which lies in the very excitement, even when it is not allowed to take effect, did not exist in the first man and woman whilst they were naked and not ashamed. For not yet had the rational soul, which rules the flesh, developed such a disobedience to its Lord, as by a reciprocity of punishment to bring on itself the rebellion of its own servant the flesh, along with that feeling of confusion and trouble to itself which it certainly failed to inflict upon God by its own disobedience to Him; for God is put to no shame or trouble when we do not obey Him, nor are we able in any wise to lessen His very great power over us; but we are shamed in that the flesh is not submissive to our government, a result which is brought about by the infirmity which we have earned by sinning, and is called athe sin which dwelleth in our members. a[593]593 But this sin is of such a character that it is the punishment of sin. As soon, indeed, as that transgression was effected, and the disobedient soul turned away from the law of its Lord, then its servant, the body, began to cherish a law of disobedience against it; and then the man and the woman grew ashamed of their nakedness, when they perceived the rebellious motion of the flesh, which they had not felt before, and which perception is called âthe opening of their eyes; a[594]594 for, of course, they did not walk about among the trees with closed eyes. The same thing is said of Hagar: âHer eyes were opened, and she saw a well.â[595]595 Then the man and the woman covered their parts of shame, which God had made for them as members, but they had made parts of shame.

Chapter 37 [XXIII.]âThe Corruption of Nature is by Sin, Its Renovation is by Christ.

From this law of sin is born the flesh of sin, which requires cleansing through the sacrament of Him who came in the likeness of sinful flesh, that the body of sin might be destroyed, which is also called athe body of this death, a from which only Godas grace delivers wretched man through Jesus Christ our Lord [596]596 For this law, the origin of death, passed on from the first pair to their posterity, as is seen in the labour with which all men toil in the earth, and the travail of women in the pains of childbirth. For these sufferings they merited by the sentence of God, when they were convicted of sin; and we see them fulfilled not only in them, but also in their descendants, in some more, in others less, but nevertheless in all. Whereas, however, the primeval righteousness of the first human beings consisted in obeying God, and not having in their members the law of their own concupiscence against the law of their mind; now, since their sin, in our sinful flesh which is born of them, it is obtained by those who obey God, as a great acquisition, that they do not obey the desires of this evil concupiscence, but crucify in themselves the flesh with its affections and lusts, in order that they may be Jesus

Christâs, who on His cross symbolized this, and who gave them power through His grace to become the sons of God. For it is not to all men, but to as many as have received Him, that He has given to be born again to God of the Spirit, after they were born to the world by the flesh. Of these indeed it is written: âBut as many as received Him, to them gave He power to become the sons of God; which were born, not of the flesh, nor of blood, nor of the will of man, nor of the will of the flesh, but of God.â[597]597

Chapter 38 [XXIV.]âWhat Benefit Has Been Conferred on Us by the Incarnation of the Word; Christâs Birth in the Flesh, Wherein It is Like and Wherein Unlike Our Own Birth.

He goes on to add, aAnd the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us:â[598]598 as much as to say. A great thing indeed has been done among them, even that they are born again to God of God, who had before been born of the flesh to the world, although created by God Himself; but a far more wonderful thing has been done that, although it accrued to them by nature to be born of the flesh, but by the divine goodness to be born of God, ain order that so great a benefit might be imparted to them, He who was in His own nature born of God, vouchsafed in mercy to be also born of the flesh; and less being meant by the passage, âAnd the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us â Hereby, he says in effect, it has been wrought that we who were born of the flesh as flesh, by being afterwards born of the Spirit, may be spirit and dwell in God; because also God, who was born of God, by being afterwards born of the flesh, became flesh, and dwelt among us. For the Word, which became flesh, was in the beginning, and was God with God.[599]599 But at the same time His participation in our inferior condition, in order to our participation in His higher state, held a kind of medium[600]600 in His birth of the flesh; so that we indeed were born in sinful flesh, but He was born in the likeness of sinful flesh, awe not only of flesh and blood, but also of the will of man, and of the flesh, but He was born only of flesh and blood, not of the will of man, nor of the will of the flesh, but of God: we, therefore, to die on account of sin, He, to die on our account without sin. So also, just as His inferior circumstances, into which He descended to us, were not in every particular exactly the same with our inferior circumstances, in which He found us here; so our superior state, into which we ascend to Him, will not be guite the same with His superior state, in which we are there to find Him. For we by His grace are to be made the sons of God, whereas He was evermore by nature the Son of God; we, when we are converted, shall cleave to God, though not as His equals: He never turned from God, and remains ever equal to God; we are partakers of eternal life. He is eternal life. He, therefore, alone having become man, but still continuing to be God, never had any sin, nor did he assume a flesh of sin, though born of a maternal [601]601 flesh of sin. For what He then took of flesh, He either cleansed in order to take it, or cleansed by taking it. His virgin mother, therefore, whose conception was not according to the law of sinful flesh (in other words, not by the excitement of carnal concupiscence), but who merited by her faith that the holy seed should be framed within her, He formed in order to choose her, and chose in order to be formed from her. How much more needful, then, is it for

sinful flesh to be baptized in order to escape the judgment, when the flesh which was untainted by sin was baptized to set an example for imitation?

Chapter 39 [XXV.]âAn Objection of Pelagians.

The answer, which we have already given,[602]602 to those who say, âlf a sinner has begotten a sinner, a righteous man ought also to have begotten a righteous man,â we now advance in reply to such as argue that one who is born of a baptized man ought himself to be regarded as already baptized. âFor why,â they ask, âcould he not have been baptized in the loins of his father, when, according to the Epistle to the Hebrews, Levi,[603]603 was able to pay tithes in the loins of Abraham?â They who propose this argument ought to observe that Levi did not on this account subsequently not pay tithes, because he had paid tithes already in the loins of Abraham, but because he was ordained to the office of the priesthood in order to receive tithes, not to pay them; otherwise neither would his brethren, who all contributed their tithes to him, have been tithedâbecause they too, whilst in the loins of Abraham, had already paid tithes to Melchisedec.

Chapter 40.âAn Argument Anticipated.

And let no one contend that the descendants of Abraham might fairly enough have paid tithes, although they had already paid tithes in the loins of their forefather, seeing that paying tithes was an obligation of such a nature as to require constant repetition from each several person, just as the Israelites used to pay such contributions every year all through life to their Levites, to whom were due various tithes from all kinds of produce; whereas baptism is a sacrament of such a nature as is administered once for all, and if one had already received it when in his father, he must be considered as no other than baptized, since he was born of a man who had been himself baptized. Well, whoever thus argues (I will simply say, without discussing the point at length,) should look at circumcision, which was administered once for all, and yet was administered to each person separately and individually. Just as therefore it was necessary in the time of that ancient sacrament for the son of a circumcised man to be himself circumcised, so now the son of one who has been baptized must himself also receive baptism.

Chapter 41.aChildren of Believers are Called aCleana By the Apostle.[604]604

The apostle indeed says, âElse were your children unclean, but now are they holy;â[605]605 and âthereforeâ they infer âthere was no necessity for the children of believers to be baptized.â I am surprised at the use of such language by persons who deny that original sin has been transmitted from Adam. For, if they take this passage of the apostle to mean that the children of believers are born in a state of holiness, how is it that even they have no doubt about the necessity of their being baptized? Why, in fine, do they refuse to admit that any original sin is derived from a sinful parent, if some holiness is received from a holy parent? Now it certainly does not contravene our assertion, even if from the faithful aholya children are propagated, when we hold that unless they are baptized those go into damnation, to whom our opponents themselves shut the kingdom of heaven, although they insist that they are without sin, whether actual or original.[606]606 Or, if they think it an unbecoming thing for aholy onesâ to be damned, how can it be a becoming thing to exclude aholy onesâ from the kingdom of God? They should rather pay especial attention to this point. How can something sinful help being derived from sinful parents, if something holy is derived from holy parents, and uncleanness from unclean parents? For the twofold principle was affirmed when he said, aElse were your children unclean, but now are they holy.â They should also explain to us how it is right that the holy children of believers and the unclean children of unbelievers are, notwithstanding their different circumstances, equally prohibited from entering the kingdom of God, if they have not been baptized. What avails that sanctity of theirs to the one? Now if they were to maintain that the unclean children of unbelievers are damned, but that the holy children of believers are unable to enter the kingdom of heaven unless they are baptized, abut nevertheless are not damned, because they are aholy, aathat would be some sort of a distinction; but as it is, they equally declare respecting the holy children of holy parents and the unclean offspring of unclean parents, that they are not damned, since they have not any sin; and that they are excluded from the kingdom of God because they are unbaptized. What an absurdity! Who can suppose that such splendid geniuses do not perceive it?

Chapter 42.aSanctification Manifold; Sacrament of Catechumens.

Our opinions on this point are strictly in unison with the apostleas himself, who said, aFrom one all to condemnation, and afrom one all to justification of life.â[607]607 Now how consistent these statements are with what he elsewhere says, when treating of another point, a Else were your children unclean, but now are they holy, a consider a while. [XXVI.] Sanctification is not of merely one measure; for even catechumens, I take it, are sanctified in their own measure by the sign of Christ, and the prayer of imposition of hands; and what they receive is holy, although it is not the body of Christ, aholier than any food which constitutes our ordinary nourishment, because it is a sacrament.[608]608 However, that very meat and drink, wherewithal the necessities of our present life are sustained, are, according to the same apostle, asanctified by the word of God and prayer, a[609]609 even the prayer with which we beg that our bodies may be refreshed. Just as therefore this sanctification of our ordinary food does not hinder what enters the mouth from descending into the belly, and being ejected into the draught, [610]610 and partaking of the corruption into which everything earthly is resolved, whence the Lord exhorts us to labour for the other food which never perishes:[611]611 so the sanctification of the catechumen, if he is not baptized, does not avail for his entrance into the kingdom of heaven, nor for the remission of his sins. And, by parity of reasoning, that sanctification likewise, of whatever measure it be, which, according to the apostle, is in the children of believers, has nothing whatever

to do with the question of baptism and of the origin or the remission of sin.[612]612 The apostle, in this very passage which has occupied our attention, says that the unbeliever of a married couple is sanctified by a believing partner: âFor the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband. Else were your children unclean, but now are they holy.â[613]613 Now, I should say, there is not a man whose mind is so warped by unbelief, as to suppose that, whatever sense he gives to these words, they can possibly mean that a husband who is not a Christian should not be baptized, because his wife is a Christian, and that he has already obtained remission of his sins, with the certain prospect of entering the kingdom of heaven, because he is described as being sanctified by his wife.

Chapter 43 [XXVII.]âWhy the Children of the Baptized Should Be Baptized.

If any man, however, is still perplexed by the question why the children of baptized persons are baptized, let him briefly consider this: Inasmuch as the generation of sinful flesh through the one man, Adam, draws into condemnation all who are born of such generation, so the generation of the Spirit of grace through the one man Jesus Christ, draws to the justification of eternal life all who, because predestinated, partake of this regeneration. But the sacrament of baptism is undoubtedly the sacrament of regenation: Wherefore, as the man who has never lived cannot die, and he who has never died cannot rise again, so he who has never been born cannot be born again. From which the conclusion arises, that no one who has not been born could possibly have been born again in his father. Born again, however, a man must be, after he has been born; because, âExcept a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of Goda[614]614 Even an infant, therefore, must be imbued with the sacrament of regeneration, lest without it his would be an unhappy exit out of this life; and this baptism is not administered except for the remission of sins. And so much does Christ show us in this very passage; for when asked, How could such things be? He reminded His guestioner of what Moses did when he lifted up the serpent. Inasmuch, then, as infants are by the sacrament of baptism conformed to the death of Christ, it must be admitted that they are also freed from the serpentas poisonous bite. unless we wilfully wander from the rule of the Christian faith. This bite, however, they did not receive in their own actual life, but in him on whom the wound was primarily inflicted.

Chapter 44.âAn Objection of the Pelagians.

Nor do they fail to see this point, that his own sins are no detriment to the parent after his conversion; they therefore raise the question: âHow much more impossible is it that they should be a hinderance to his son?â But they who thus think do not attend to this consideration, that as his own sins are not injurious to the father for the very reason that he is born again of the Spirit, so in the case of his son, unless he be in the same manner born again, the sins which he derived from his father will prove injurious to him. Because even renewed parents beget children, not out of the first-fruits of their renewed condition, but carnally out of the remains of the old nature; and the children who are thus the offspring of their parentsâ remaining old nature, and are born in sinful flesh, escape from the condemnation which is due to the old man by the sacrament of spiritual regeneration and renewal. Now this is a consideration which, on account of the controversies that have arisen, and may still arise, on this subject, we ought to keep in our view and memory,âthat a full and perfect remission of sins takes place only in baptism, that the character of the actual man does not at once undergo a total change, but that the first-fruits of the Spirit in such as walk worthily change the old carnal nature into one of like character by a process of renewal, which increases day by day, until the entire old nature is so renovated that the very weakness of the natural body attains to the strength and incorruptibility of the spiritual body.

Chapter 45 [XXVIII.]âThe Law of Sin is Called Sin; How Concupiscence Still Remains After Its Evil Has Been Removed in the Baptized.

This law of sin, however, which the apostle also designates asin, a when he says, aLet not sin therefore reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof, a[615]615 does not so remain in the members of those who are born again of water and the Spirit, as if no remission thereof has been made, because there is a full and perfect remission of our sins, all the enmity being slain, which separated us from God; but it remains in our old carnal nature, as if overcome and destroyed, if it does not, by consenting to unlawful objects, somehow revive, and recover its own reign and dominion. There is, however, so clear a distinction to be seen between this old carnal nature, in which the law of sin, or sin, is already repealed, and that life of the Spirit, in the newness of which they who are baptized are through Godâs grace born again, that the apostle deemed it too little to say of such that they were not in sin; unless he also said that they were not in the flesh itself, even before they departed out of this mortal life. aThey that are in the flesh, a says he, a cannot please God; but ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you.â[616]616 And indeed, as they turn to good account the flesh itself, however corruptible it be, who apply its members to good works, and no longer are in that flesh, since they do not mould their understanding nor their life according to its principles; and as they in like manner make even a good use of death, which is the penalty of the first sin, who encounter it with fortitude and patience for their brethrenas sake, and for the faith, and in defence of whatever is true and holy and just, aso also do all atrue vokefellowsâ in the faith turn to good account that very law of sin which still remains, though remitted, in their old carnal nature, who, because they have the new life in Christ, do not permit lust to have dominion over them. And yet these very persons, because they still carry about Adamâs old nature, mortally generate children to be immortally regenerated, with that propagation of sin, in which such as are born again are not held bound, and from which such as are born are released by being born again. As long, then, as the law by concupiscence[617]617 dwells in the members, although it remains, the guilt of it is released; but it is released only to him who has received the sacrament of regeneration, and has already begun to be

renewed. But whatsoever is born of the old nature, which still abides with its concupiscence, requires to be born again in order to be healed. Seeing that believing parents, who have been both carnally born and spiritually born again, have themselves begotten children in a carnal manner, how could their children by any possibility, previous to their first birth, have been born again?

Chapter 46.[618]618âGuilt May Be Taken Away But Concupiscence Remain.

You must not be surprised at what I have said, that although the law of sin remains with its concupiscence, the guilt thereof is done away through the grace of the sacrament. For as wicked deeds, and words, and thoughts have already passed away, and cease to exist, so far as regards the mere movements of the mind and the body, and yet their guilt remains after they have passed away and no longer exist, unless it be done away by the remission of sins; so, contrariwise, in this law of concupiscence, which is not yet done away but still remains, its guilt is done away, and continues no longer, since in baptism there takes place a full forgiveness of sins. Indeed, if a man were to guit this present life immediately after his baptism, there would be nothing at all left to hold him liable, inasmuch as all which held him is released. As, on the one hand, therefore, there is nothing strange in the fact that the guilt of past sins of thought, and word, and deed remains before their remission; so, on the other hand, there ought to be nothing to create surprise, that the guilt of remaining concupiscence passes away after the remission of sin.

Chapter 47 [XXIX.]âAll the Predestinated are Saved Through the One Mediator Christ, and by One and the Same Faith.

This being the case, ever since the time when by one man sin thus entered into this world and death by sin, and so it passed through to all men, up to the end of this carnal generation and perishing world, the children of which beget and are begotten, there never has existed, nor ever will exist, a human being of whom, placed in this life of ours, it could be said that he had no sin at all, with the exception of the one Mediator, who reconciles us to our Maker through the forgiveness of sins. Now this same Lord of ours has never yet refused, at any period of the human race, nor to the last judgment will He ever refuse, this His healing to those whom, in His most sure foreknowledge and future loving-kindness, He has predestinated to reign with Himself to life eternal. For, previous to His birth in the flesh, and weakness in suffering, and power in His own resurrection, He instructed all who then lived, in the faith of those then future blessings, that they might inherit everlasting life; whilst those who were alive when all these things were being accomplished in Christ, and who were witnessing the fulfilment of prophecy, He instructed in the faith of these then present blessings; whilst again, those who have since lived, and ourselves who are now alive, and all those who are yet to live, He does not cease to instruct, in the faith of these now past blessings. It is therefore aone faitha which saves all, who after their carnal birth are born again of the Spirit, and it terminates in Him, who came to be judged for us and to die. athe Judge of quick and dead. But the sacraments of this aone faitha are varied from time to

time in order to its suitable signification.

Chapter 48.âChrist the Saviour Even of Infants; Christ, When an Infant, Was Free from Ignorance and Mental Weakness.

He is therefore the Saviour at once of infants and of adults, of whom the angel said, âThere is born unto you this day a Saviour; â[619]619 and concerning whom it was declared to the Virgin Mary,[620]620 aThou shalt call His name Jesus, for He shall save His people from their sins, â where it is plainly shown that He was called Jesus because of the salvation which He bestows upon us, â Jesus being tantamount to the Latin Salvator, aSaviour.a Who then can be so bold as to maintain that the Lord Christ is Jesus only for adults and not for infants also? who came in the likeness of sinful flesh, to destroy the body of sin, with infantsâ limbs fitted and suitable for no use in the extreme weakness of such body, and His rational soul oppressed with miserable ignorance! Now that such entire ignorance existed, I cannot suppose in the infant in whom the Word was made flesh, that He might dwell among us; nor can I imagine that such weakness of the mental faculty ever existed in the infant Christ which we see in infants generally. For it is owing to such infirmity and ignorance that infants are disturbed with irrational affections, and are restrained by no rational command or government, but by pains and penalties, or the terror of such; so that you can quite see that they are children of that disobedience, which excites itself in the members of our body in opposition to the law of the mind, and refuses to be still, even when the reason wishes; nay, often is either repressed only by some actual infliction of bodily pain, as for instance by flogging; or is checked only by fear, or by some such mental emotion, but not by any admonishing of the will. Inasmuch, however, as in Him there was the likeness of sinful flesh. He willed to pass through the changes of the various stages of life, beginning even with infancy, so that it would seem as if even His flesh might have arrived at death by the gradual approach of old age, if He had not been killed while young. Nevertheless, the death is inflicted in sinful flesh as the due of disobedience, but in the likeness of sinful flesh it was undergone in voluntary obedience. For when He was on His way to it, and was soon to suffer it, He said, âBehold, the prince of this world cometh, and hath nothing in me. But that all may know that I am doing my Fatherâs will, arise, let us go hence â[621]621 Having said these words, He went straightway, and encountered His undeserved death, having become obedient even unto death.

Chapter 49 [XXX.]âAn Objection of the Pelagians.

They therefore who say, âlf through the sin of the first man it was brought about that we must die, by the coming of Christ it should be brought about that, believing in Him, we shall not die;â and they add what they deem a reason, saying, âFor the sin of the first transgressor could not possibly have injured us more than the incarnation or redemption of the Saviour has benefited us.â But why do they not rather give an attentive ear, and an unhesitating belief, to that which the apostle has stated so unambiguously: âSince by man came death, by Man came also the resurrection of the dead; for as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive?â[622]622 For it is of nothing else than of the resurrection of the body that he was speaking. Having said that the bodily death of all men has come about through one man, he adds the promise that the bodily resurrection of all men to eternal life shall happen through one, even Christ. How can it therefore be that âthe one has injured us more by sinning than the other has benefited us by redeeming,â when by the sin of the former we die a temporal death, but by the redemption of the latter we rise again not to a temporal, but to a perpetual life? Our body, therefore, is dead because of sin, but Christâs body only died without sin, in order that, having poured out His blood without fault, âthe bondsâ[623]623 which contain the register of all faults âmight be blotted out,â by which they who now believe in Him were formerly held as debtors by the devil. And accordingly He says, âThis is my blood, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.â[624]624

Chapter 50 [XXXI.]âWhy It is that Death Itself is Not Abolished, Along with Sin, by Baptism.

He might, however, have also conferred this upon believers, that they should not even experience the death of their body. But if He had done this, there might no doubt have been added a certain felicity to the flesh, but the fortitude of faith would have been diminished; for men have such a fear of death, that they would declare Christians happy, for nothing else than their mere immunity from dying. And no one would, for the sake of that life which is to be so happy after death, hasten to the grace of Christ by the power of his contempt of death itself; but with a view to remove the trouble of death, would rather resort to a more delicate mode of believing in Christ. More grace, therefore, than this has He conferred on those who believe on Him; and a greater gift, undoubtedly, has He vouchsafed to them! What great matter would it have been for a man, on seeing that people did not die when they became believers, himself also to believe that he was not to die? How much greater a thing is it, how much braver, how much more laudable, so to believe, that although one is sure to die, he can still hope to live hereafter for evermore! At last, upon some there will be bestowed this blessing at the last day, that they shall not feel death itself in sudden change, but shall be caught up along with the risen in the clouds to meet Christ in the air, and so shall they ever live with the Lord [625]625 And rightly shall it be these who receive this grace, since there will be no posterity after them to be led to believe, not by the hope of what they see not, but by the love of what they see. This faith is weak and nerveless, and must not be called faith at all, inasmuch as faith is thus defined: aFaith is the firmness of those who hope,[626]626 the clear proof of things which they do not see.a[627]627 Accordingly, in the same Epistle to the Hebrews, where this passage occurs, after enumerating in subsequent sentences certain worthies who pleased God by their faith, he says: aThese all died in faith, not having received the promises, but seeing them afar off, and hailing them, and confessing that they were strangers and pilgrims on the earth.â[628]628 And then afterwards he concluded his eulogy on faith in these words: âAnd these all, having obtained a good report through faith, did not indeed receive Godâs promises; for they foresaw better things for us, and that without us

they could not themselves become perfect.â[629]629 Now this would be no praise for faith, nor (as I said) would it be faith at all, were men in believing to follow after rewards which they could see,âin other words, if on believers were bestowed the reward of immortality in this present world.

Chapter 51.âWhy the Devil is Said to Hold the Power and Dominion of Death.

Hence the Lord Himself willed to die, ain order that, a as it is written of Him, athrough death He might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil; and deliver them who through fear of death were all their lifetime subject to bondage.â[630]630 From this passage it is shown with sufficient clearness that even the death of the body came about by the instigation and work of the devil.âin a word, from the sin which he persuaded man to commit; nor is there any other reason why he should be said in strictness of truth to hold the power of death. Accordingly, He who died without any sin, original or actual, said in the passage I have already quoted: aBehold, the prince of this world, a that is, the devil, who had the power of death, acometh and findeth nothing in me, a fame aning, he shall find no sin in me, because of which he has caused men to die. As if the question were asked Him: Why then should you die? He says, aThat all may know that I am doing the will of my Father, arise, let us go hence; a[631]631 that is, that I may die, though I have no cause of death from sin under the author of sin, but only from obedience and righteousness, having become obedient unto death. Proof is likewise afforded us by this passage, that the fact of the faithful overcoming the fear of death is a part of the struggle of faith itself; for all struggle would indeed be at an end, if immortality were at once to become the reward of them that believe.

Chapter 52 [XXXII.]âWhy Christ, After His Resurrection, Withdrew His Presence from the World.

Although, therefore, the Lord wrought many visible miracles in order that faith might sprout at first and be fed by infant nourishment, and grow to its full strength by and by out of this softness (for as faith becomes stronger the less does it seek such help): He nevertheless wished us to wait quietly, without visible inducements, for the promised hope, in order that athe just might live by faith;a[632]632 and so great was this wish of His, that though He rose from the dead the third day, He did not desire to remain among men, but, after leaving a proof of his resurrection by showing Himself in the flesh to those whom He deigned to have for His witnesses of this event. He ascended into heaven, withdrawing Himself thus from their sight, and conferring no such thing on the flesh of any one of them as He had displayed in His own flesh, in order that they too âmight live by faith, and in the present world might wait in patience and without visible inducements for the reward of that righteousness in which men live by faith, a reward which should hereafter be visibly and openly bestowed. To this signification I believe that passage must be referred which He speaks concerning the Holy Ghost: âHe will not come, unless I depart. a[633]633 For this was in fact saying Ye shall not be

able to live righteously by faith, which ye shall have as a gift of mine, âthat is, from the Holy Ghost, âunless I withdraw from your eyes that which ye now gaze upon, in order that your heart may advance in spiritual growth by fixing its faith on invisible things. This righteousness of faith He constantly commends to them. Speaking of the Holy Ghost, He says, âHe shall reprove the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment: of sin, because they have not believed on me: of righteousness, because I go to the Father, and ye shall see me no more.â[634]634 What is that righteousness, whereby men were not to see Him, except that âthe just is to live by faith,â and that we, not looking at the things which are seen, but at those which are not seen, are to wait in the Spirit for the hope of the righteousness that is by faith?

Chapter 53 [XXXIII.] âAn Objection of the Pelagians.

But those persons who say, all the death of the body has happened by sin, we of course ought not to die after that remission of sins which the Redeemer has bestowed upon us, a do not understand how it is that some things, whose guilt God has cancelled in order that they may not stand in our way after this life, He yet permits to remain for the contest of faith, in order that they may become the means of instructing and exercising those who are advancing in the struggle after holiness. Might not some man, by not understanding this, raise a question and ask, If God has said to man because of his sin, all the sweat of thy brow thou shall eat thy bread: thorns also and thistles shall the ground bring forth to thee, a[635]635 how comes it to pass that this labour and toil continues since the remission of sins, and that the ground of believers yields them this rough and terrible harvest? Again, since it was said to the woman in consequence of her sin, aln sorrow shall thou bring forth children, a[636]636 how is it that believing women, notwithstanding the remission of their sins, suffer the same pains in the process of parturition? And nevertheless it is an incontestable fact, that by reason of the sin which they had committed, the primeval man and woman heard these sentences pronounced by God, and deserved them; nor does any one resist these words of the sacred volume, which I have quoted about manas labour and womanas travail, unless some one who is utterly hostile to the catholic faith, and an adversary to the inspired writings.

Chapter 54 [XXXIV.]âWhy Punishment is Still Inflicted, After Sin Has Been Forgiven.

But, inasmuch as there are not wanting persons of such character, just as we say in answer to those who raise this question, that those things are punishments of sins before remission, which after remission become contests and exercises of the righteous; so again to such persons as are similarly perplexed about the death of the body, our answer ought to be so drawn as to show both that we acknowledge it to have accrued because of sin, and that we are not discouraged by the punishment of sins having been bequeathed to us for an exercise of discipline, in order that our great fear of it may be overcome by us as we advance in holiness. For if only small virtue accrued to âthe faith which worketh by loveâ in conquering the fear of death, there would be no great glory for the martyrs; nor could the Lord say, âGreater love hath no man than this, that he lay down his life for his friends;â[637]637 which John in his epistle expresses in these terms: âAs He laid down His life for us, so ought we to lay down our lives for the brethren.â[638]638 In vain, therefore, would commendation be bestowed on the most eminent suffering in encountering or despising death for righteousnessâ sake, if there were not in death itself a really great and very severe trial. And the man who overcomes the fear of it by his faith, procures a great glory and just recompense for his faith itself. Wherefore it ought to surprise no one, either that the death of the body could not possibly have happened to man unless sin had been previously committed, since it was of this that it was to become the punishment; nor that after the remission of their sins it comes to the faithful, in order that in their triumphing over the fear of it, the fortitude of righteousness may be exercised.

Chapter 55.âTo Recover the Righteousness Which Had Been Lost by Sin, Man Has to Struggle, with Abundant Labour and Sorrow.

The flesh which was originally created was not that sinful flesh in which man refused to maintain his righteousness amidst the delights of Paradise, wherefore God determined that sinful flesh should propagate itself after it had sinned, and struggle for the recovery of holiness, in many toils and troubles. Therefore, after Adam was driven out of Paradise, he had to dwell over against Eden, athat is, over against the garden of delights, ato indicate that it is by labours and sorrows. which are the very contraries of delights, that sinful flesh had to be educated, after it had failed amidst its first pleasures to maintain its holiness, previous to its becoming sinful flesh. As therefore our first parents, by their subsequent return to righteous living, by which they are supposed to have been released from the worst penalty of their sentence through the blood of the Lord, were still not deemed worthy to be recalled to Paradise during their life on earth, so in like manner our sinful flesh, even if a man lead a righteous life in it after the remission of his sins, does not deserve to be immediately exempted from that death which it has derived from its propagation of sin.[639]639

Chapter 56.âThe Case of David, in Illustration.

Some such thought has occurred to us about the patriarch David, in the Book of Kings. After the prophet was sent to him, and threatened him with the evils which were to arise from the anger of God on account of the sin which he had committed, he obtained pardon by the confession of his sin, and the prophet replied that the shame and crime had been remitted to him; but yet, for all that, the evils with which God had threatened him followed in due course, so that he was brought low by his son. Now why is not an objection at once raised here: âlf it was on account of his sin that God threatened him, why, when the sin was forgiven, did He fulfil His threat?â except because, if the cavil had been raised, it would have been most correctly answered, that the remission of the sin was given that the man might not be hindered from gaining the life eternal, but the threatened evil was still carried into effect, in order that the manâs piety might be exercised and approved in the lowly condition to which he was reduced. Thus also God has both inflicted on man the death of his body, because of his sin, and, after his sins are forgiven, has not released him in order that he may be exercised in righteousness.

Chapter 57 [XXXV.]âTurn to Neither Hand.

Let us hold fast, then, the confession of this faith, without faltering or failure. One alone is there who was born without sin, in the likeness of sinful flesh, who lived without sin amid the sins of others, and who died without sin on account of our sins. âLet us turn neither to the right hand nor to the left.â[640]640 For to turn to the right hand is to deceive oneself, by saying that we are without sin; and to turn to the left is to surrender oneself to oneas sins with a sort of impunity, in I know not how perverse and depraved a recklessness. aGod indeed knoweth the ways on the right hand, a[641]641 even He who alone is without sin, and is able to blot out our sins; âbut the ways on the left hand are perverse, a[642]642 in friendship with sins. Of such inflexibility were those youths of twenty years,[643]643 who foretokened in figure Godâs new people; they entered the land of promise; they, it is said, turned neither to the right hand nor to the left [644]644 Now this age of twenty is not to be compared with the age of childrenas innocence, but if I mistake not, this number is the shadow and echo of a mystery. For the Old Testament has its excellence in the five books of Moses, while the New Testament is most refulgent in the authority of the four Gospels. These numbers, when multiplied together, reach to the number twenty: four times five, or five times four, are twenty. Such a people (as I have already said), instructed in the kingdom of heaven by the two Testamentsathe Old and the Newaturning neither to the right hand, in a proud assumption of righteousness, nor to the left hand, in a reckless delight in sin, shall enter into the land of promise, where we shall have no longer either to pray that sins may be forgiven to us, or to fear that they may be punished in us, having been freed from them all by that Redeemer, who, not being asold under sin, a[645]645 ahath redeemed Israel out of all his iniquities, a[646]646 whether committed in the actual life, or derived from the original transgression.

Chapter 58 [XXXVI.]ââLikeness of Sinful Fleshâ Implies the Reality.

It is no small concession to the authority and truthfulness of the inspired pages which those persons have made, who, although unwilling to admit openly in their writings that remission of sins is necessary for infants, have yet confessed that they need redemption. Nothing that they have said differs indeed from another word, even that which is derived from Christian instruction. Whilst by those who faithfully read, faithfully hear, and faithfully hold fast the Holy Scriptures, it cannot be doubted that from that flesh, which first became sinful flesh by the choice of sin, and which has been subsequently transmitted to all through successive generations, there has been propagated a sinful flesh, with the single exception of that âlikeness of sinful flesh, â[647]647âwhich likeness, however, there could not have been, had there not been also the reality of sinful flesh.

Chapter 59.âWhether the Soul is Propagated; On Obscure Points, Concerning Which the Scriptures Give Us No Assistance, We Must Be on Our Guard Against Forming Hasty Judgments and Opinions; The Scriptures are Clear Enough on Those Subjects Which are Necessary to Salvation.

Concerning the soul, indeed, the guestion arises, whether it, too, is propagated in the same way [as the flesh,] and bound by the same guilt, which is forgiven to itafor we cannot say that it is only the flesh of the infant, and not his soul also, which requires the help of a Saviour and Redeemer, or that the latter must not be included in that thanksgiving in the Psalms, where we read and repeat, aBless the Lord, O my soul, and forget not all His benefits; who forgiveth all thine iniquities; who healeth all thy diseases; who redeemeth thy life from destruction.â[648]648 Or if it be not likewise propagated, we may ask, whether, by the very fact of its being mingled with and weighed down by the sinful flesh, it still has need of the remission of its own sin, and of a redemption of its own, God being judge, in the height of His foreknowledge, [649]649 what infants do not deserve[650]650 to be absolved from that guilt, even before they are born, or have in any instance ever done anything good or evil. The question also arises, how God (even if He does not create souls by natural propagation) can yet not be the Author of that very guilt, on account of which redemption by the sacrament is necessary to the infantâs soul. The subject is a wide and important one,[651]651 and requires another treatise. The discussion, however, so far as I can judge, ought to be conducted with temper and moderation, so as to deserve the praise of cautious inquiry, rather than the censure of headstrong assertion. For whenever a question arises on an unusually obscure subject, on which no assistance can be rendered by clear and certain proofs of the Holy Scriptures, the presumption of man ought to restrain itself; nor should it attempt anything definite by leaning to either side. But if I must indeed be ignorant concerning any points of this sort, as to how they can be explained and proved, this much I should still believe, that from this very circumstance the Holy Scriptures would possess a most clear authority, whenever a point arose which no man could be ignorant of, without imperilling the salvation which has been promised him. You have now before you, [my dear Marcellinus,] this treatise, worked out to the best of my ability. I only wish that its value equalled its length: for its length I might probably be able to justify, only I should fear that, by adding the justification, I should stretch the prolixity beyond your endurance.

Book III.

In the Shape of a Letter Addressed to the Same Marcellinus.

In which Augustin refutes some errors of Pelagius on the question of the merits of sins and the baptism of infantsâbeing sundry arguments of his which he had interspersed among his expositions of Saint Paul, in opposition to original sin.

To his beloved son Marcellinus, Augustin, bishop and servant of Christ and of the servants of Christ, sendeth greeting in the Lord. Chapter 1 [I.]âPelagius Esteemed a Holy Man; His Expositions on Saint Paul.

The questions which you proposed that I should write to you about. in opposition to those persons who say that Adam would have died even if he had not sinned, and that nothing of his sin has passed to his posterity by natural transmission; and especially on the subject of the baptism of infants, which the universal Church, with most pious and maternal care, maintains in constant celebration; and whether in this life there are, or have been, or ever will be, children of men without any sin at allâl have already discussed in two lengthy books. And I venture to think that if in them I have not met all the points which perplex all menâs minds on such matters (an achievement which, I apprehend, anay, which I have no doubt, alies beyond the power either of myself, or of any other person), I have at all events prepared something in the shape of a firm ground on which those who defend the faith delivered to us by our fathers, against the novel opinions of its opponents, may at any time take their stand, not unarmed for the contest. However, within the last few days I have read some writings by Pelagius, a holy man, as I am told, who has made no small progress in the Christian life, acontaining some very brief expository notes on the epistles of the Apostle Paul;[652]652 and therein I found, on coming to the passage where the apostle says, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so it passed upon all men.â[653]653 an argument which is used by those who say that infants are not burdened with original sin. Now I confess that I have not refuted this argument in my lengthy treatise, because it did not indeed once occur to me that anybody was capable of thinking such sentiments. Being, however, unwilling to add to that work, which I had concluded, I have thought it right to insert in this epistle both the argument itself in the very words in which I read it, and the answer which it seems to me proper to give to it.

Chapter 2 [II.]âPelagiusâ Objection; Infants Reckoned Among the Number of Believers and the Faithful.

In these terms, then, the argument is stated: ââBut they who deny the transmission of sin endeavour to impugn it thus: If (say they) Adamâs sin injured even those who do not sin, therefore Christâs righteousness also profits even those who do not believe; because aln like manner, nay, much more, â he says, âare men saved by one, than they had previously perished by one ââ Now to this argument, I repeat, I advanced no reply in the two books which I previously addressed to you; nor, indeed, had I proposed to myself such a task. But now I beg you first of all to observe, when they say, alf Adamas sin injures even those who do not sin, then Christâs righteousness also profits even those who do not believe, a how absurd and false they judge it to be, that the righteousness of Christ should profit even those who do not believe; and that thence they think to put together such an argument as this: That no more could the first manas sin possibly do injury to infants who commit no sin, than the righteousness of Christ can benefit any who do not believe. Let them therefore tell us what is the benefit of Christâs righteousness to baptized infants; let them by

all means tell us what they mean. For of course, since they do not forget that they are Christians themselves, they have no doubt that there is some benefit. But whatever be this benefit, it is incapable (as they themselves assert) of benefiting those who do not believe. Whence they are compelled to class baptized infants in the number of believers, and to assent to the authority of the Holy Universal Church, which does not account those unworthy of the name of believers, to whom the righteousness of Christ could be, according to them, of no use except as believers. As, therefore, by the answer of those, through whose agency they are born again, the Spirit of righteousness transfers to them that faith which, of their own will, they could not yet have; so the sinful flesh of those, through whose agency they are born, transfers to them that injury, which they have not yet contracted in their own life. And even as the Spirit of life regenerates them in Christ as believers, so also the body of death had generated them in Adam as sinners. The one generation is carnal, the other Spiritual; the one makes children of the flesh, the other children of the Spirit; the one children of death, the other children of the resurrection; the one the children of the world, the other the children of God; the one children of wrath, the other children of mercy; and thus the one binds them under original sin, the other liberates them from the bond of every sin.

Chapter 3.âPelagius Makes God Unjust.

We are driven at last to yield our assent on divine authority to that which we are unable to investigate with even the clearest intellect. It is well that they remind us themselves that Christâs righteousness is unable to profit any but believers, while they yet allow that it somewhat profits infants; according to this (as we have already said) they must, without evasion, find room for baptized infants among the number of believers. Consequently, if they are not baptized, they will have to rank amongst those who do not believe; and therefore they will not even have life, but athe wrath of God abideth on them, a inasmuch as ahe that believeth not the Son shall not see life: but the wrath of God abideth on him; a[654]654 and they are under judgment, since ahe that believeth not is condemned already; a[655]655 and they shall be condemned, since ahe that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned. al 6561656 Let them. now. then see to it with what justice they can hold or strive to maintain that human beings have no part in eternal life, but in the wrath of God, and incur the divine judgment and condemnation, who are without sin; if, that is, as they cannot have any actual sin, so also they have within them no original sin.

## Chapter 4.

To the other points which Pelagius makes them urge who argue against original sin, I have already, I think, sufficiently and clearly replied in the two former books of my lengthy treatise. Now if my reply should seem to any persons to be brief or obscure, I beg their pardon, and request the favour of their coming to terms with those who perhaps censure my treatise, not for being too brief, but rather as being too long; whilst any who still do not understand the points which I cannot help thinking I have explained as clearly as the nature of the subject allowed me, shall certainly hear no blame or reproach from me for indifference, or want of understanding me.[657]657 I would rather that they should pray God to give them intelligence.

Chapter 5 [III.]âPelagius Praised by Some; Arguments Against Original Sin Proposed by Pelagius in His Commentary.

But we must not indeed omit to observe that this good and praiseworthy man (as they who know him describe him to be) has not advanced this argument against the natural transmission of sin in his own person, but has reproduced what is alleged by those persons who disapprove of the doctrine, and this, not merely so far as I have just guoted and confuted the allegation, but also as to those other points on which I have now further undertaken to furnish a reply. Now, after saying, alf (they say) Adamâs sin injured even those who do not sin, therefore Christâs righteousness also profits even those who do not believe, ââwhich sentence, you will perceive from what I have said in answer to it, is not only not repugnant to what we hold, but even reminds us what we ought to hold, and at once goes on to add, a Then they contend, if baptism cleanses away that old sin, those children who are born of two baptized parents must needs be free from this sin, for they could not have transmitted to their children what they did not possess themselves. Besides, a says he, aif the soul is not of transmission, but only the flesh, then only the latter has the transmission of sin, and it alone deserves punishment; for they allege that it would be unjust for the soul, which is only now born, and comes not of the lump of Adam, to bear the burden of so old an alien sin. They say, likewise, a says Pelagius, athat it cannot by any means be conceded that God, who remits to a man his own sins, should impute to him anotherâs.â

Chapter 6.âWhy Pelagius Does Not Speak in His Own Person.

Pray, donât you see how Pelagius has inserted the whole of this paragraph in his writings, not in his own person, but in that of others, knowing so well the novelty of this unheard-of doctrine, which is now beginning to raise its voice against the ancient ingrafted opinion of the Church, that he was ashamed or afraid to acknowledge it himself? And perhaps he does not himself think that a man is born without sin for whom he confesses that baptism to be necessary by which comes the remission of sins; or that the man is condemned without sin who must be reckoned, when unbaptized, in the class of non-believers, since the gospel of course cannot deceive us, when it most clearly asserts, aHe that believeth not shall be damned: a[658]658 or, lastly, that the image of God, when without sin, is not admitted into the kingdom of God, forasmuch as aexcept a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God, a[659]659a and so must either be precipitated into eternal death without sin, or, what is still more absurd, must have eternal life outside the kingdom of God; for the Lord, when foretelling what He should say to His people at last, ââCome, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the beginning of the world, a[660]660 also clearly indicated what the kingdom was of which

He was speaking, by concluding thus: âSo these shall go away into everlasting punishment; but the righteous into life eternal.â[661]661 These opinions, then, and others which spring from the central error, I believe so worthy a man, and so good a Christian, does not at all accept, as being too perverse and repugnant to Christian truth. But it is quite possible that he may, by the very arguments of those who deny the transmission of sin, be still so far distressed as to be anxious to hear or know what can be said in reply to them; and on this account he was both unwilling to keep silent the tenets propounded by them who deny the transmission of sin, in order that he might get the question in due time discussed, and, at the same time, declined to report the opinions in his own person, lest he should be supposed to entertain them himself.

Chapter 7 [IV.] âProof of Original Sin in Infants.

Now, although I may not be able myself to refute the arguments of these men, I yet see how necessary it is to adhere closely to the clearest statements of the Scriptures, in order that the obscure passages may be explained by help of these, or, if the mind be as yet unequal to either perceiving them when explained, or investigating them whilst abstruse, let them be believed without misgiving. But what can be plainer than the many weighty testimonies of the divine declarations, which afford to us the clearest proof possible that without union with Christ there is no man who can attain to eternal life and salvation; and that no man can unjustly be damned. athat is, separated from that life and salvation, aby the judgment of God? The inevitable conclusion from these truths is this, that, as nothing else is effected when infants are baptized except that they are incorporated into the church, in other words, that they are united with the body and members of Christ, unless this benefit has been bestowed upon them, they are manifestly in danger of [662]662 damnation. Damned, however, they could not be if they really had no sin. Now, since their tender age could not possibly have contracted sin in its own life, it remains for us, even if we are as yet unable to understand, at least to believe that infants inherit original sin.

Chapter 8.âJesus is the Saviour Even of Infants.

And therefore, if there is an ambiguity in the apostleâs words when he says, âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so it passed upon all men;â[663]663 and if it is possible for them to be drawn aside, and applied to some other sense,âis there anything ambiguous in this statement: âExcept a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God?â[664]664 Is this, again, ambiguous: âThou shalt call His name Jesus, for He shall save His people from their sins?â[665]665 Is there any doubt of what this means: âThe whole need not a physician, but they that are sick?â[666]666âthat is, Jesus is not needed by those who have no sin, but by those who are to be saved from sin. Is there anything, again, ambiguous in this: âExcept men eat the flesh of the Son of man,â that is, become partakers of His body, âthey shall not have life?â[667]667 By these and similar statements, which I now pass over, âabsolutely clear in the light of God, and absolutely certain by His

authority,âdoes not truth proclaim without ambiguity, that unbaptized infants not only cannot enter into the kingdom of God, but cannot have everlasting life, except in the body of Christ, in order that they may be incorporated into which they are washed in the sacrament of baptism? Does not truth, without any dubiety, testify that for no other reason are they carried by pious hands to Jesus (that is, to Christ, the Saviour and Physician), than that they may be healed of the plague of their sin by the medicine of His sacraments? Why then do we delay so to understand the apostleâs very words, of which we perhaps used to have some doubt, that they may agree with these statements of which we can have no manner of doubt?

Chapter 9.âThe Ambiguity of âAdam is the Figure of Him to Come.â

To me, however, no doubt presents itself about the whole of this passage, in which the apostle speaks of the condemnation of many through the sin of one, and the justification of many through the righteousness of One, except as to the words, âAdam is the figure of Him that was to come.â[668]668 For this phrase in reality not only suits the sense which understands that Adamâs posterity were to be born of the same form as himself along with sin, but the words are also capable of being drawn out into several distinct meanings. For we have ourselves perhaps actually contended for various senses from the words in question at different times, [669]669 and very likely we shall propound yet another view, which, however, will not be incompatible with the sense here mentioned; and even Pelagius has not always expounded the passage in one way. All the rest, however, of the passage in which these doubtful words occur, if its statements are carefully examined and treated, as I have tried my best to do in the first book of this treatise, will not (in spite of the obscurity of style necessarily engendered by the subject itself) fail to show the incompatibility of any other meaning than that which has secured the adhesion of the universal Church from the earliest timesathat believing infants have obtained through the baptism of Christ the remission of original sin.

Chapter 10 [V.]âHe Shows that Cyprian Had Not Doubted the Original Sin of Infants.

Accordingly, it is not without reason that the blessed Cyprian[670]670 carefully shows how from the very first the Church has held this as a well understood article of faith. When he was asserting the fitness of infants only just born to receive Christâs baptism, on a certain occasion when he was consulted whether this ought to be administered before the eighth day, he endeavoured, as far as he could, to prove that they were perfect,[671]671 lest any one should suppose, from the number of the days (because it was on the eighth day that infants were before circumcised), that they so far lacked perfection. However, after bestowing upon them the full support of his argument, he still confessed that they were not free from original sin; because if he had denied this, he would have removed all reason for the very baptism which he was maintaining their fitness to receive. You can, if you wish, read for yourself the epistle of the illustrious martyr On the Baptism of Little Children; for it cannot fail to be within reach at

Carthage. But I have deemed it right to transcribe some few statements of it into this letter of mine, so far as applies to the question before us; and I pray you to mark them carefully. aNow with respect, a says he, ato the case of infants, whom you declared it would be improper to baptize if presented within the second and third day after their birth, since that due regard ought to be paid to the law of circumcision of old, so that you thought that the infant should not be baptized and sanctified before the eighth day after its birth, a far different view has been formed of the question in our council. Not a man there assented to what you thought ought to be done; but the whole of us rather determined that to no one born of men ought Godâs mercy and grace to be denied. For since the Lord in His gospel says, aThe Son of man is not come to destroy menâs lives, but to save them, a[672]672 so far as in us lies, not a soul ought, if possible, to be lost.â You observe how in these words he supposes that it is fraught with ruin and death, not only to the flesh, but also to the soul, for one to depart this life without that saving sacrament. Wherefore, if he said nothing else, it was competent to us to conclude from his words that without sin the soul could not perish. See, however, what (when he shortly afterwards maintains the innocence of infants) he at the same time allows concerning them in the plainest terms: âBut if,â says he, âanything could hinder men from the attainment of grace, then their heavier sins might rather hinder those who have reached the stages of adults, and advanced life, and old age. Since, however, remission of sins is given even to the greatest sinners after they have believed, however much they have previously sinned against God, and since nobody is forbidden baptism and grace, how much more ought an infant not to be forbidden who newborn has done no sin, except that from having been born carnally after Adam he has contracted from his very birth the contagion of the primeval death! How, too, does this fact contribute in itself the more easily to their reception of the forgiveness of sins, that the remission which they have is not of their own sins, but of those of another!â

Chapter 11.âThe Ancients Assumed Original Sin.

You see with what confidence this great man expresses himself after the ancient and undoubted rule of faith. In advancing such very certain statements, his object was by help of these firm conclusions to prove the uncertain point which had been submitted to him by his correspondent, and concerning which he informs him that a decree of a council had been passed, to the effect that, if an infant were brought even before the eighth day after his birth, no one should hesitate to baptize him. Now it was not then determined or confirmed by the council that infants were held bound by original sin as if it were new, or as if it were attacked by the opposition of some one; but when another controversy was being conducted, and the question was discussed, in reference to the law of the circumcision of the flesh. whether they ought to be baptized before the eighth day. None agreed with the person who denied this; because it was not an open question admitting of discussion, but was fixed and unassailable, that the soul would forfeit eternal salvation if it ended this life without obtaining the sacrament of baptism: but at the same time infants fresh from the womb were held to be affected only by the guilt of original

sin. On this account, although remission of sins was easier in their case, because the sins were derived from another, it was nevertheless indispensable. It was on sure grounds like these that the uncertain question of the eighth day was solved, and the council decided that after a man was born, not a day ought to be lost in rendering him that succour which should prevent his perishing for ever. When also a reason was given for the circumcision of the flesh as being itself a shadow of what was to be, its purport was not that we should understand that baptism ought to be administered on the eighth day after birth, but rather that we are spiritually circumcised in the resurrection of Christ, who rose from the dead on the third day, indeed, after His passion, but among the days of the week, by which time is counted, on the eighth, that is, on the first day after the Sabbath.

Chapter 12 [VI.]âThe Universal Consensus Respecting Original Sin.

And now, again, with a strange boldness in new controversy, certain persons are endeavouring to make us uncertain on a point which our forefathers used to bring forward as most certainly fixed, whenever they would solve such questions as seemed uncertain to some. When this controversy, indeed, first began, I am unable to say; but one thing I know, that even the holy Jerome, who is in our own day renowned for great industry and learning in ecclesiastical literature, for the solution of sundry questions treated in his writings, makes use of the same most certain assumption without exhibition of proofs. For instance, in his commentary on the prophet Jonah, when he comes to the passage where the infants were mentioned as chastened by the fast, he says: [673]673 aThe greatest age comes first, and then all the rest is pervaded down to the least.[674]674 For there is no man without sin, whether the span of his age be but that of a single day, or he reckon many years to his life. For if the very stars are unclean in the sight of God, [675]675 how much more is a worm and corruption, such as are they who are held subject to the sin of the offending Adam? a lf, indeed, we could readily interrogate this most learned man, how many authors who have treated of the divine Scriptures. in both languages, [676]676 and have written on Christian controversies, would he mention to us, who have never held any other opinion since the Church of Christ was founded. awho neither received any other from their forefathers, nor handed down any other to their posterity? My own reading, indeed, has been far more limited, but yet I do not recollect ever having heard of any other doctrine on this point from Christians, who accept the two Testaments, whether established in the Catholic Church, or in any heretical or schismatic body whatever. I do not remember, I say, that I have at any time found any other doctrine in such writers as have contributed anything to literature of this kind, whether they have followed the canonical Scriptures, or have supposed that they have followed them, or had wished to be so supposed. From what guarter this guestion has suddenly come upon us I know not. A short time ago,[677]677 in a passing conversation with certain persons while we were at Carthage, my ears were suddenly offended with such a proposition as this: aThat infants are not baptized for the purpose of receiving remission of sin, but that they may be sanctified in Christ.â Although I was much disturbed by so

novel an opinion, still, as there was no opportunity afforded me for gainsaying it, and as its propounders were not persons whose influence gave me anxiety, I readily let the subject slip into neglect and oblivion. And lo! it is now maintained with burning zeal against the Church; lo! it is committed to our permanent notice by writing; nay, the matter is brought to such a pitch of distracting influence, that we are even consulted on it by our brethren; and we are actually obliged to oppose its progress both by disputation and by writing.

Chapter 13 [VII.]âThe Error of Jovinianus Did Not Extend So Far.

A few years ago there lived at Rome one Jovinian, [678]678 who is said to have persuaded nuns of even advanced age to marry, anot, indeed, by seduction, as if he wanted to make any of them his wife, but by contending that virgins who dedicated themselves to the ascetic life had no more merit before God than believing wives. It never entered his mind, however, along with this conceit, to venture to affirm that children of men are born without original sin. If, indeed, he had added such an opinion, the women might have more readily consented to marry, to give birth to such pure offspring. When this manas writings (for he dared to write) were by the brethren forwarded to Jerome to refute, he not only discovered no such error in them, but, while looking out his conceits for refutation, he found among other passages this very clear testimony to the doctrine of manas original sin, from which Jerome indeed felt satisfied of the manas belief of that doctrine.[679]679 These are his words when treating of it: âHe who says that he abides in Christ, ought himself also to walk even as He walked.[680]680 We give our opponent the option to choose which alternative he likes. Does he abide in Christ, or does he not? If he does, then, let him walk like Christ. If, however, it is a rash thing to undertake to resemble the excellences of Christ, he abides not in Christ, because he walks not as Christ did. He did no sin, neither was any guile found in His mouth; [681] 681 who, when He was reviled, reviled not again; and as a lamb before its shearer is dumb, so He opened not His mouth;[682]682 to whom the prince of this world came, and found nothing in Him; [683] 683 whom, though He had done no sin, God made sin for us.[684]684 We, however, according to the Epistle of James, all commit many sins; [685] 685 and none of us is pure from uncleanness, even if his life should be but of one day.[686]686 For who shall boast that he has a clean heart? Or who shall be confident that he is pure from sins? We are held guilty according to the likeness of Adamas transgression. Accordingly David also says: âBehold, I was shapen in iniquity; and in sin did my mother conceive me.ââ[687]687

Chapter 14.âThe Opinions of All Controversialists Whatever are Not, However, Canonical Authority; Original Sin, How Anotherâs; We Were All One Man in Adam.

I have not quoted these words as if we might rely upon the opinions of every disputant as on canonical authority; but I have done it, that it may be seen how, from the beginning down to the present age, which has given birth to this novel opinion, the doctrine of original sin has been guarded with the utmost constancy as a part of the Churchâs faith, so that it is usually adduced as most certain ground whereon to refute other opinions when false, instead of being itself exposed to refutation by any one as false. Moreover, in the sacred books of the canon, the authority of this doctrine is vigorously asserted in the clearest and fullest way. The apostle exclaims: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so it passed upon all men, in which all have sinned. [688] 688 Now from these words it cannot certainly be said, that Adamas sin has injured even those who commit no sin, for the Scripture says, all which all have sinned. a Nor, indeed, are those sins of infancy so said to be anotheras, as if they did not belong to the infants at all, inasmuch as all then sinned in Adam, when in his nature, by virtue of that innate power whereby he was able to produce them, they were all as yet the one Adam; but they are called anotherâs, [689]689 because as yet they were not living their own lives, but the life of the one man contained whatsoever was in his future posterity.

Chapter 15 [VIII.]âWe All Sinned Adamâs Sin.

âlt is,â they say, âby no means conceded that God who remits to a man his own sins imputes to him anotherâs.â He remits, indeed, but it is to those regenerated by the Spirit, not to those generated by the flesh; but He imputes to a man no longer the sins of another, but only his own. They were no doubt the sins of another, whilst as yet they were not in existence who bore them when propagated; but now the sins belong to them by carnal generation, to whom they have not yet been remitted by spiritual regeneration.

Chapter 16.âOrigin of Errors; A Simile Sought from the Foreskin of the Circumcised, and from the Chaff of Wheat.

âBut surely,â say they, âif baptism cleanses the primeval sin, they who are born of two baptized parents ought to be free from this sin; for these could not have transmitted to their children that thing which they did not themselves possess.â Now observe whence error usually thrives: it is when persons are able to start subjects which they are not able to understand. For before what audience, and in what words, can I explain how it is that sinful mortal beginnings bring no obstacle to those who have inaugurated other, immortal, beginnings, and at the same time prove an obstacle to those whom those very persons, against whom it was not an obstacle, have begotten out of the self-same sinful beginnings? How can a man understand these things, whose labouring mind is impeded both by its own prejudiced opinions and by the chain of its own stolid obstinacy? If indeed I had undertaken my cause in opposition to those who either altogether forbid the baptism of infants, or else contend that it is superfluous to baptize them alleging that as they are born of believing parents, they must needs enjoy the merit of their parents; then it would have been my duty to have roused myself perhaps to greater labour and effort for the purpose of refuting their opinion. In that case, if I encountered a difficulty before obtuse and contentious men in refuting error and inculcating truth, owing to the obscurity which besets the nature of the subject, I should probably resort to such illustrations as were palpable and at hand; and I should in my turn ask them some

questions, ahow, for instance, if they were puzzled to know in what way sin, after being cleansed by baptism, still remained in those who were begotten of baptized parents, they would explain how it is that the foreskin, after being removed by circumcision, should still remain in the sons of the circumcised? or again, how it happens that the chaff which is winnowed off so carefully by human labour still keeps its place in the grain which springs from the winnowed wheat?

Chapter 17 [IX.] âChristians Do Not Always Beget Christian, Nor the Pure, Pure Children.

With these and such like palpable arguments, should I endeavour, as I best could, to convince those persons who believed that sacraments of cleansing were superfluously applied to the children of the cleansed. how right is the judgment of baptizing the infants of baptized parents, and how it may happen that to a man who has within him the twofold seedaof death in the flesh, and of immortality in the spiritâthat may prove no obstacle, regenerated as he is by the Spirit, which is an obstacle to his son, who is generated by the flesh; and that that may be cleansed in the one by remission, which in the other still requires cleansing by like remission, just as in the case supposed of circumcision, and as in the case of the winnowing and thrashing. But now, when we are contending with those who allow that the children of the baptized ought to be baptized, we may much more conveniently conduct our discussion, and can say: You who assert that the children of such persons as have been cleansed from the pollution of sin ought to have been born without sin, why do you not perceive that by the same rule you might just as well say that the children of Christian parents ought to have been born Christians? Why, therefore, do you rather maintain that they ought to become Christians? Was there not in their parents, to whom it is said, aKnow ye not that your bodies are the members of Christ?â[690]690 a Christian body? Perhaps you suppose that a Christian body may be born of Christian parents, without having received a Christian soul? Well, this would render the case much more wonderful still. For you would think of the soul one of two things as you pleased, abecause, of course, you hold with the apostle, that before birth it had done nothing good or evil:[691]691âeither that it was derived by transmission, and just as the body of Christians is Christian, so should also their soul be Christian; or else that it was created by Christ, either in the Christian body, or for the sake of the Christian body, and it ought therefore to have been created or given in a Christian condition. Unless perchance you shall pretend that, although Christian parents had it in their power to beget a Christian body, yet Christ Himself was not able to produce a Christian soul. Believe then the truth, and see that, as it has been possible (as you yourselves admit) for one who is not a Christian to be born of Christian parents, for one who is not a member of Christ to be born of members of Christ, and (that we may answer all, who, however falsely, are yet in some sense possessed with a sense of religion) for a man who is not consecrated to be born of parents who are consecrated; so also it is quite possible for one who is not cleansed to be born of parents who are cleansed. Now what account will you give us, of why from Christian parents is born one who is not a Christian, unless it be that not generation, but

regeneration makes Christians? Resolve therefore your own question with a like reason, that cleansing from sin comes to no one by being born, but to all by being born again. And thus any child who is born of parents who are cleansed, because born again, must himself be born again, in order that he too may be cleansed. For it has been quite possible for parents to transmit to their children that which they did not possess themselves, athus resembling not only the wheat which yielded the chaff, and the circumcised the foreskin, but also the instance which you yourselves adduce, even that of believers who convey unbelief to their posterity; which, however, does not accrue to the faithful as regenerated by the Spirit, but it is owing to the fault of the mortal seed by which they have been born of the flesh. For in respect of the infants whom you judge it necessary to make believers by the sacrament of the faithful you do not deny that they were born in unbelief although of believing parents.

Chapter 18 [X.] âls the Soul Derived by Natural Propagation?

Well, but aif the soul is not propagated, but the flesh alone, then the latter alone has propagation of sin, and it alone deserves punishment: a this is what they think, saying a that it is unjust that the soul which is only recently produced, and that not out of Adamâs substance, should bear the sin of another committed so long ago.â Now observe, I pray you, how the circumspect Pelagius felt the question about the soul to be a very difficult one, and acted accordingly, afor the words which I have just quoted are copied from his book. He does not say absolutely, aBecause the soul is not propagated, a but hypothetically, If the soul is not propagated, rightly determining on so obscure a subject (on which we can find in Holy Scriptures no certain and obvious testimonies, or with very great difficulty discover any) to speak with hesitation rather than with confidence. Wherefore I too, on my side, answer this proposition with no hasty assertion: If the soul is not propagated, where is the justice that, what has been but recently created and is guite free from the contagion of sin, should be compelled in infants to endure the passions and other torments of the flesh, and, what is more terrible still, even the attacks of evil spirits? For never does the flesh so suffer anything of this kind that the living and feeling soul does not rather undergo the punishment. If this, indeed, is shown to be just. it may be shown, on the same terms, with what justice original sin comes to exist in our sinful flesh, to be subsequently cleansed by the sacrament of baptism and Godas gracious mercy. If the former point cannot be shown, I imagine that the latter point is equally incapable of demonstration. We must therefore either bear with both positions in silence, and remember that we are human, or else we must prepare, at some other time, another work on the soul, if it shall appear necessary, discussing the whole question with caution and sobriety.

Chapter 19 [XI.]âSin and Death in Adam, Righteousness and Life in Christ.

What the apostle says: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so it passed upon all men, in which all have sinned;â[692]692 we must, however, for the present so accept as not to

seem rashly and foolishly to oppose the many great passages of Holy Scripture, which teach us that no man can obtain eternal life without that union with Christ which is effected in Him and with Him, when we are imbued with His sacraments and incorporated with the members of His body. Now this statement which the apostle addresses to the Romans, âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so it passed upon all men, in which all have sinned, a tallies in sense with his words to the Corinthians: aSince by man came death, by Man came also the resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. alige 31693 For nobody doubts that the subject here referred to is the death of the body, because the apostle was with much earnestness dwelling on the resurrection of the body: and he seems to be silent here about sin for this reason, namely, because the question was not about righteousness. Both points are mentioned in the Epistle to the Romans, and both points are, at very great length, insisted on by the apostle, as in Adam, righteousness in Christ; and death in Adam, life in Christ. However, as I have observed already, I have thoroughly examined and opened, in the first book of this treatise, all these words of the apostleas argument, as far as I was able, and as much as seemed necessary.

#### Chapter 20.âThe Sting of Death, What?

But even in the passage to the Corinthians, where he had been treating fully of the resurrection, the apostle concludes his statement in such a way as not to permit us to doubt that the death of the body is the result of sin. For after he had said, a This corruptible must put on incorruption, and this mortal must put on immortality: so when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption, and this mortal immortality, then, a he added, a shall be brought to pass the saying which is written, Death is swallowed up in victory. O death, where is thy victory? O death, where is thy sting?â and at last he subjoined these words: aThe sting of death is sin; and the strength of sin is the law.â[694]694 Now, because (as the apostleâs words most plainly declare) death shall then be swallowed up in victory when this corruptible and mortal shall have put on incorruption and immortality, athat is, when a God shall guicken even our mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in us, ââit manifestly follows that the sting of the body of this death, which is the contrary of the resurrection of the body, is sin. The sting, however, is that by which death was made, and not that which death made, since it is by sin that we die, and not by death that we sin. It is therefore called athe sting of deatha on the principle which originated the phrase athe tree of life, âânot because the life of man produced it, but because by it the life of man was made. In like manner athe tree of knowledgea was that whereby manas knowledge was made, not that which man made by his knowledge. So also athe sting of deatha is that by which death was produced, not that which death made. We similarly use the expression âthe cup of death, â since by it some one has died, or might die, ânot meaning, of course, a cup made by a dying or dead man.[695]695 The sting of death is therefore sin, because by the puncture of sin the human race has been slain. Why ask further: the death of what, awhether of the soul, or of the body? Whether the first which we are all of us now dying, or the second which the wicked hereafter shall die? There

is no occasion for plying the guestion so curiously; there is no room for subterfuge. The words in which the apostle expresses the case answer the questions: aWhen this mortal, a says he, ashall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saying which is written. Death is swallowed up in victory. O death, where is thy victory? O death, where is thy sting? The sting of death is sin, and the strength of sin is the law.â He was treating of the resurrection of the body, wherein death shall be swallowed up in victory, when this mortal shall have put on immortality. Then over death itself shall be raised the shout of triumph, when at the resurrection of the body it shall be swallowed up in victory; then shall be said to it, aO death, where is thy victory? O death, where is thy sting?â To the death of the body, therefore, is this said. For victorious immortality shall swallow it up, when this mortal shall put on immortality. I repeat it, to the death of the body shall it be said, aWhere is thy victory?ââthat victory in which thou didst conquer all, so that even the Son of God engaged in conflict with thee, and by not shrinking but grappling with thee overcame. In these that die thou hast conquered; but thou art thyself conquered in these that rise again. Thy victory was but temporal, in which thou didst swallow up the bodies of them that die. Our victory will abide eternal, in which thou art swallowed up in the bodies of them that rise again. aWhere is thy sting?aathat is, the sin wherewithal we are punctured and poisoned, so that thou didst fix thyself in our very bodies, and for so long a time didst hold them in possession. aThe sting of death is sin, and the strength of sin is the law.â We all sinned in one, so that we all die in one; we received the law, not by amendment according to its precepts to put an end to sin, but by transgression to increase it. For athe law entered that sin might abound; a[696]696 and athe Scripture hath concluded all under sin;â[697]697 but âthanks be to God, who hath given us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christ, a[698]698 in order that awhere sin abounded, grace might much more abound; a[699]699 and athat the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe; a[700]700 and that we might overcome death by a deathless resurrection, and sin, athe stinga thereof, by a free justification.

Chapter 21 [XII.]âThe Precept About Touching the Menstruous Woman Not to Be Figuratively Understood; The Necessity of the Sacraments.

Let no one, then, on this subject be either deceived or a deceiver. The manifest sense of Holy Scripture which we have considered, removes all obscurities. Even as death is in this our mortal body derived from the beginning, so from the beginning has sin been drawn into this sinful flesh of ours, for the cure of which, both as it is derived by propagation and augmented by wilful transgression, as well as for the quickening of our flesh itself, our Physician came in the likeness of sinful flesh, who is not needed by the sound, but only by the sick,âand who came not to call the righteous, but sinners.[701]701 Therefore the saying of the apostle, when advising believers not to separate themselves from unbelieving partners: âFor the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband: else were your children unclean; but now are they holy,â[702]702 must be either so understood as both we ourselves elsewhere,[703]703 and as Pelagius in his notes on this same

Epistle to the Corinthians, [704]704 has expounded it, according to the purport of the passages already mentioned, that sometimes wives gained husbands to Christ, and sometimes husbands converted wives, whilst the Christian will of even one of the parents prevailed towards making their children Christians: or else (as the apostleâs words seem rather to indicate, and to a certain degree compel us) some particular sanctification is to be here understood, by which an unbelieving husband or wife was sanctified by the believing partner, and by which the children of the believing parents were sanctified, awhether it was that the husband or the wife, during the womanas menstruation, abstained from cohabiting, having learned that duty in the law (for Ezekiel classes this amongst the precepts which were not to be taken in a metaphorical sense [705]705), or on account of some other voluntary sanctification which is not there expressly prescribed.âa sprinkling of holiness arising out of the close ties of married life and children. Nevertheless, whatever be the sanctification meant, this must be steadily held: that there is no other valid means of making Christians and remitting sins, except by men becoming believers through the sacrament according to the institution of Christ and the Church. For neither are unbelieving husbands and wives, notwithstanding their intimate union with holy and righteous spouses, cleansed of the sin which separates men from the kingdom of God and drives them into condemnation, nor are the children who are born of parents, however just and holy, absolved from the guilt of original sin, unless they have been baptized into Christ; and in behalf of these our plea should be the more earnest, the less able they are to urge one themselves.

Chapter 22 [XIII.]âWe Ought to Be Anxious to Secure the Baptism of Infants.

For this is the point aimed at by the controversy, against the novelty of which we have to struggle by the aid of ancient truth: that it is clearly altogether superfluous for infants to be baptized. Not that this opinion is avowed in so many words, lest so firmly established a custom of the Church should be unable to endure its assailants. But if we are taught to render help to orphans, how much more ought we to labour in behalf of those children who, though under the protection of parents, will still be left more destitute and wretched than orphans, should that grace of Christ be denied them, which they are all unable to demand for themselves?

## Chapter 23.âEpilogue.

As for what they say, that some men, by the use of their reason, have lived, and do live, in this world without sin, we should wish that it were true, we should strive to make it true, we should pray that it be true; but, at the same time, we should confess that it is not yet true. For to those who wish and strive and worthily pray for this result, whatever sins remain in them are daily remitted because we sincerely pray, âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors.â[706]706 Whosoever shall deny that this prayer is in this life necessary for every righteous man who knows and does the will of God, except the one Saint of saints, greatly errs, and is utterly incapable of pleasing Him whom he praises. Moreover, if he supposes himself to be such a character, and deceives himself, and the truth is not in him,â[707]707âfor no other reason than that he thinks what is false. That Physician, then, who is not needed by the sound, but by the sick, knows how to heal us, and by healing to perfect us unto eternal life; and He does not in this world take away death, although inflicted because of sin, from those whose sins He remits, in order that they may enter on their conflict, and overcome the fear of death with full sincerity of faith. In some cases, too, He declines to help even His righteous servants, so long as they are capable of still higher elevation, to the attainment of a perfect righteousness, in order that (while in His sight no man living is justified [708]708) we may always feel it to be our duty to give Him thanks for mercifully bearing with us, and so, by holy humility, be healed of that first cause of all our failings, even the swellings of pride. This letter, as my intention first sketched it, was to have been a short one; it has grown into a lengthy book. Would that it were as perfect as it has at last become complete!

a treatise on the spirit and the letter.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 37,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe spiritu et littera.â

### ââââââââââââ

The person[709]709 to whom I had addressed the three books entitled De Peccatorum Meritis et Remissione, in which I carefully discussed also the baptism of infants, informed me, when acknowledging my communication, that he was much distrurbed because I declared it to be possible that a man might be without sin, if he wanted not the will, by the help of God, although no man either had lived, was living, or would live in this life so perfect in righteousness. He asked how I could say that it was possible of which no example could be adduced. Owing to this inquiry on the part of this person, I wrote the treatise entitled De Spiritu et Littera, in which I considered at large the apostleas statement, a The letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.â[710]710 In this work, so far as God enabled me, I earnestly disputed with those who oppose that grace of God which justifies the servances of the Jews, who abstain from sundry meats and drinks in accordance with their ancient law, I mentioned the âceremonies of certain meatsâ [quarumdam escarum cerimoniÃ]][711]711âa phrase which, though not used in Holy Scriptures, seemed to me very convenient, because I remembered that cerimoni $\tilde{A}_{i}^{I}$  is tantamount to carimoni $\tilde{A}_{i}^{I}$ , as if from carere, to be without, and expresses the abstinence of the worshippers from certain things. If however, there is any other derivation of the word, which is inconsistent with the true religion, I meant no refernce whatever to it; I confined my use to the sense above indicated. This work of mine begins thus: âAfter reading the

short treatise which I lately drew up for you, my beloved son Marcellinus, â etc.

A Treatise on the spirit and the letter,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In One Book,

Addressed to Marcellinus, a.d. 412.

# âââââââââââââ

Marcellinus, in a letter to Augustin, had expressed some surprise at having read, in the preceding work, of the possibility being allowed of a man continuing if he willed it, by Godâs help, without sin in the present life, although not a single human example anywhere of such perfect righteousness has ever existed. Augustin takes the opportunity of discussing, in opposition to the Pelagians, the subject of the aid of Godâs grace; and he shows that the divine help to the working of righteousness by us does not lie in the fact of Godâs having given us a law which is full of good and holy precepts; but in the fact that our will itself, without which we can do nothing good, is assisted and elevated by the Spirit of grace being imparted to us, without the aid of which the teaching of the law is athe letter that killeth, a because instead of justifying the ungodly, it rather holds them guilty of transgression. He begins to treat of the question proposed to him at the commencement of this work, and returns to it towards its conclusion; he shows that, as all allow, many things are possible with Godâs help, of which there occurs indeed no example; and then concludes that, although a perfect righteousness is unexampled among men, it is for all that not impossible.

Chapter 1 [I.] âThe Occasion of Writing This Work; A Thing May Be Capable of Being Done, and Yet May Never Be Done.

After reading the short treatises which I lately drew up for you, my beloved son Marcellinus, about the baptism of infants, and the perfection of manas righteousness. abow that no one in this life seems either to have attained or to be likely to attain to it, except only the Mediator, who bore humanity in the likeness of sinful flesh, without any sin whatever, âyou wrote me in answer that you were embarrassed by the point which I advanced in the second book, [712]712 that it was possible for a man to be without sin, if he wanted not the will, and was assisted by the aid of God; and yet that except One in whom âall shall be made alive, â[713]713 no one has ever lived or will live by whom this perfection has been attained whilst living here. It appeared to you absurd to say that anything was possible of which no example ever occurred, although I suppose you would not hesitate to admit that no camel ever passed through a needleas eye, [714]714 and yet He said that even this was possible with God; you may read, too, that twelve thousand legions[715]715 of angels could possibly have fought for Christ and rescued Him from suffering, but in fact did not; you may read that it was possible for the nations to be exterminated

at once out of the land which was given to the children of Israel,[716]716 and yet that God willed it to be gradually effected.[717]717 And one may meet with a thousand other incidents, the past or the future possibility of which we might readily admit, and yet be unable to produce any proofs of their having ever really happened. Accordingly, it would not be right for us to deny the possibility of a manâs living without sin, on the ground that amongst men none can be found except Him who is in His nature not man only, but also God, in whom we could prove such perfection of character to have existed.

Chapter 2 [II.]âThe Examples Apposite.

Here, perhaps, you will say to me in answer, that the things which I have instanced as not having been realized, although capable of realization, are divine works; whereas a manâs being without sin falls in the range of a manâs own work,âthat being indeed his very noblest work which effects a full and perfect righteousness complete in every part; and therefore that it is incredible that no man has ever existed, or is existing, or will exist in this life, who has achieved such a work, if the achievement is possible for a human being. But then you ought to reflect that, although this great work, no doubt, belongs to human agency to accomplish, yet it is also a divine gift, and therefore, not doubt that it is a divine work; âfor it is God who worketh in you both to will and to do of His good pleasure.â[718]718

Chapter 3.âTheirs is Comparatively a Harmless Error, Who Say that a Man Lives Here Without Sin.

They therefore are not a very dangerous set of persons and they ought to be urged to show, if they are able, that they are themselves such, who hold that man lives or has lived here without any sin whatever. There are indeed passages of Scripture, in which I apprehend it is definitely stated that no man who lives on earth, although enjoying freedom of will, can be found without sin; as, for instance, the place where it is written, aEnter not into judgment with Thy servant, for in Thy sight shall no man living be justified.â[719]719 If, however, anybody shall have succeeded in showing that this text and the other similar ones ought to be taken in a different sense from their obvious one, and shall have proved that some man or men have spent a sinless life on earth, awhoever does not, not merely refrain from much opposing him, but also does not rejoice with him to the full, is afflicted by extraordinary goads of envy. Moreover, if there neither is, has been, nor will be any man endowed with such perfection of purity (which I am more inclined to believe), and yet it is firmly set forth and thought there is or has been, or is to be, as far as I can judge, no great error is made, and certainly not a dangerous one, when a man is thus carried away by a certain benevolent feeling; provided that he who thinks so much of another, does not think himself to be such a being, unless he has ascertained that he really and clearly is such.

Chapter 4.âTheirs is a Much More Serious Error, Requiring a Very Vigorous Refutation, Who Deny Godâs Grace to Be Necessary.

They, however, must be resisted with the utmost ardor and vigor who suppose that without Godâs help, the mere power of the human will in itself, can either perfect righteousness, or advance steadily towards it; and when they begin to be hard pressed about their presumption in asserting that this result can be reached without the divine assistance, they check themselves, and do not venture to utter such an opinion, because they see how impious and insufferable it is. But they allege that such attainments are not made without Godâs help on this account, namely, because God both created man with the free choice of his will, and, by giving him commandments, teaches him, Himself, how man ought to live; and indeed assists him, in that He takes away his ignorance by instructing him in the knowledge of what he ought to avoid and to desire in his actions: and thus, by means of the free-will naturally implanted within him, he enters on the way which is pointed out to him, and by persevering in a just and pious course of life, deserves to attain to the blessedness of eternal life.

Chapter 5 [III.]âTrue Grace is the Gift of the Holy Ghost, Which Kindles in the Soul the Joy and Love of Goodness.

We, however, on our side affirm that the human will is so divinely aided in the pursuit of righteousness, that (in addition to manas being created with a free-will, and in addition to the teaching by which he is instructed how he ought to live) he receives the Holy Ghost, by whom there is formed in his mind a delight in, and a love of, that supreme and unchangeable good which is God, even now while he is still awalking by faitha and not yet aby sight;a[720]720 in order that by this gift to him of the earnest, as it were, of the free gift, he may conceive an ardent desire to cleave to his Maker, and may burn to enter upon the participation in that true light, that it may go well with him from Him to whom he owes his existence. A manas free-will, indeed, avails for nothing except to sin, if he knows not the way of truth; and even after his duty and his proper aim shall begin to become known to him, unless he also take delight in and feel a love for it, he neither does his duty, nor sets about it, nor lives rightly. Now, in order that such a course may engage our affections, Godâs âlove is shed abroad in our hearts, â not through the free-will which arises from ourselves, but athrough the Holy Ghost, which is given to us.â[721]721

Chapter 6 [IV.]âThe Teaching of Law Without the Life-Giving Spirit is âThe Letter that Killeth.â

For that teaching which brings to us the command to live in chastity and righteousness is âthe letter that killeth,â unless accompanied with âthe spirit that giveth life.â For that is not the sole meaning of the passage, âThe letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life,â[722]722 which merely prescribes that we should not take in the literal sense any figurative phrase which in the proper meaning of its words would produce only nonsense, but should consider what else it signifies, nourishing the inner man by our spiritual intelligence, since âbeing carnally-minded is death, whilst to be spiritually-minded is life and peace.â[723]723 lf, for instance, a man were to take in a literal and carnal sense much that is written in the Song of Solomon, he would minister not to the fruit of a luminous charity, but to the feeling of a libidinous desire. Therefore, the apostle is not to be confined to the limited application just mentioned, when he says, aThe letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life; a[724]724 but this is also (and indeed especially) equivalent to what he says elsewhere in the plainest words: âl had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet; a[725]725 and again, immediately after: aSin, taking occasion by the commandment, deceived me, and by it slew me.a[726]726 Now from this you may see what is meant by athe letter that killeth.a There is, of course, nothing said figuratively which is not to be accepted in its plain sense, when it is said, aThou shall not covet;a but this is a very plain and salutary precept, and any man who shall fulfil it will have no sin at all. The apostle, indeed, purposely selected this general precept, in which he embraced everything, as if this were the voice of the law, prohibiting us from all sin, when he says, aThou shalt not covet; a for there is no sin committed except by evil concupiscence; so that the law which prohibits this is a good and praiseworthy law. But, when the Holy Ghost withholds His help, which inspires us with a good desire instead of this evil desire (in other words, diffuses love in our hearts), that law, however good in itself, only augments the evil desire by forbidding it. Just as the rush of water which flows incessantly in a particular direction, becomes more violent when it meets with any impediment, and when it has overcome the stoppage, falls in a greater bulk, and with increased impetuosity hurries forward in its downward course. In some strange way the very object which we covet becomes all the more pleasant when it is forbidden. And this is the sin which by the commandment deceives and by it slays, whenever transgression is actually added, which occurs not where there is no law.[727]727

Chapter 7 [V.]âWhat is Proposed to Be Here Treated.

We will, however, consider, if you please, the whole of this passage of the apostle and thoroughly handle it, as the Lord shall enable us. For I want, if possible, to prove that the apostleas words, aThe letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life, a do not refer to figurative phrases, âalthough even in this sense a suitable signification might be obtained from them, abut rather plainly to the law, which forbids whatever is evil. When I shall have proved this, it will more manifestly appear that to lead a holy life is the gift of God, anot only because God has given a free-will to man, without which there is no living ill or well; nor only because He has given him a commandment to teach him how he ought to live; but because through the Holy Ghost He sheds love abroad in the hearts [728]728 of those whom he foreknew, in order to predestinate them; whom He predestinated, that He might call them; whom He called, that he might justify them; and whom he justified, that He might glorify them. [729]729 When this point also shall be cleared, you will, I think, see how vain it is to say that those things only are unexampled possibilities, which are the works of God, as the passage of the camel through the needleas eye, which we have already referred to, and other similar cases, which to us no doubt are impossible, but easy enough to God; and that manas righteousness is not to be counted in this class of things, on the ground of its being properly manas work, not Godas; although there is

no reason for supposing, without an example, that his perfection exists, even if it is possible. That these assertions are vain will be clear enough, after it has been also plainly shown that even manâs righteousness must be attributed to the operation of God, although not taking place without manâs will; and we therefore cannot deny that his perfection is possible even in this life, because all things are possible with God,[730]730âboth those which He accomplishes of His own sole will, and those which He appoints to be done with the cooperation with Himself of His creatureâs will. Accordingly, whatever of such things He does not effect is no doubt without an example in the way of accomplished facts, although with God it possesses both in His power the cause of its possibility, and in His wisdom the reason of its unreality. And should this cause be hidden from man, let him not forget that he is a man; nor charge God with folly simply because he cannot fully comprehend His wisdom.

Chapter 8. âRomans Interprets Corinthians.

Attend, then, carefully, to the apostle while in his Epistle to the Romans he explains and clearly enough shows that what he wrote to the Corinthians, âThe letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life,â[731]731 must be understood in the sense which we have already indicated,âthat the letter of the law, which teaches us not to commit sin, kills, if the life-giving spirit be absent, forasmuch as it causes sin to be known rather than avoided, and therefore to be increased rather than diminished, because to an evil concupiscense there is now added the transgression of the law.

Chapter 9 [VI].âThrough the Law Sin Has Abounded.

The apostle, then, wishing to commend the grace which has come to all nations through Jesus Christ, lest the Jews should extol themselves at the expense of the other peoples on account of their having received the law, first says that sin and death came on the human race through one man, and that righteousness and eternal life came also through one, expressly mentioning Adam as the former, and Christ as the latter; and then says that athe law, however, entered, that the offence might abound: but where sin abounded, grace did much more abound: that as sin hath reigned unto death, even so might grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life by Jesus Christ our Lord.â[732]732 Then, proposing a question for himself to answer, he adds, âWhat shall we say then? Shall we continue in sin, that grace may abound? God forbid.â[733]733 He saw, indeed, that a perverse use might be made by perverse men of what he had said: aThe law entered. that the offence might abound: but where sin abounded, grace did much more abound, â as if he had said that sin had been of advantage by reason of the abundance of grace. Rejecting this, he answers his question with a aGod forbid!a and at once adds: aHow shall we, that are dead to sin, live any longer therein?â[734]734 as much as to say, When grace has brought it to pass that we should die unto sin, what else shall we be doing, if we continue to live in it, than showing ourselves ungrateful to grace? The man who extols the virtue of a medicine does not contend that the diseases and wounds of which the medicine cures him are of advantage to him; on the contrary, in

proportion to the praise lavished on the remedy are the blame and horror which are felt of the diseases and wounds healed by the much-extolled medicine. In like manner, the commendation and praise of grace are vituperation and condemnation of offences. For there was need to prove to man how corruptly weak he was, so that against his iniquity, the holy law brought him no help towards good, but rather increased than diminished his iniquity; seeing that the law entered, that the offence might abound; that being thus convicted and confounded, he might see not only that he needed a physician, but also God as his helper so to direct his steps that sin should not rule over him, and he might be healed by betaking himself to the help of the divine mercy; and in this way, where sin abounded grace might much more abound,ânot through the merit of the sinner, but by the intervention of his Helper.

Chapter 10. âChrist the True Healer.

Accordingly, the apostle shows that the same medicine was mystically set forth in the passion and resurrection of Christ, when he says, aKnow ye not, that so many of us as were baptized into Jesus Christ were baptized into His death? Therefore we were buried with Him by baptism into death; that like as Christ was raised up from the dead by the glory of the Father, even so we also should walk in newness of life. For if we have been planted together in the likeness of His death, we shall be also in the likeness of His resurrection: knowing this, that our old man is crucified with Him, that the body of sin might be destroyed, that henceforth we should not serve sin. For he that is dead is justified from sin. Now, if we be dead with Christ, we believe that we shall also live with Him: knowing that Christ, being raised from the dead, dieth no more; death hath no more dominion over Him. For in that He died, He died unto sin once; but in that He liveth, He liveth unto God. Likewise reckon ye also yourselves to be dead indeed unto sin, but alive unto God through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[735]735 Now it is plain enough that here by the mystery of the Lordâs death and resurrection is figured the death of our old sinful life, and the rising of the new; and that here is shown forth the abolition of iniquity and the renewal of righteousness. Whence then arises this vast benefit to man through the letter of the law, except it be through the faith of Jesus Christ?

Chapter 11 [VII.]âFrom What Fountain Good Works Flow.

This holy meditation preserves âthe children of men, who put their trust under the shadow of Godâs wings,â[736]736 so that they are âdrunken with the fatness of His house, and drink of the full stream of His pleasure. For with Him is the fountain of life, and in His light shall they see light. For He extendeth His mercy to them that know Him, and His righteousness to the upright in heart.â[737]737 He does not, indeed, extend His mercy to them because they know Him, but that they may know Him; nor is it because they are upright in heart, but that they may become so, that He extends to them His righteousness, whereby He justifies the ungodly.[738]738 This meditation does not elevate with pride: this sin arises when any man has too much confidence in himself, and makes himself the chief end of

living. Impelled by this vain feeling, he departs from that fountain of life, from the draughts of which alone is imbibed the holiness which is itself the good life, and from that unchanging light, by sharing in which the reasonable soul is in a certain sense inflamed, and becomes itself a created and reflected luminary: even as aJohn was a burning and a shining light, a[739]739 who notwithstanding acknowledged the source of his own illumination in the words, aOf His fulness have all we received â[740]740 Whose, I would ask, but His, of course, in comparison with whom John indeed was no light at all? For âthat was the true light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world.â[741]741 Therefore, in the same psalm, after saying, âExtend Thy mercy to them that know Thee, and Thy righteousness to the upright in heart, a[742]742 he adds, aLet not the foot of pride come against me, and let not the hands of sinners move me. There have fallen all the workers of iniquity: they are cast out, and are not able to stand.â[743]743 Since by that impiety which leads each to attribute to himself the excellence which is Godâs, he is cast out into his own native darkness, in which consist the works of iniquity. For it is manifestly these works which he does, and for the achievement of such alone is he naturally fit. The works of righteousness he never does, except as he receives ability from that fountain and that light, where the life is that wants for nothing, and where is ano variableness, nor the shadow of turning.a[744]744

Chapter 12. aPaul, Whence So Called; Bravely Contends for Grace.

Accordingly Paul, who, although he was formerly called Saul, [745]745 chose this new designation, for no other reason, as it seems to me, than because he would show himself little, [746]746âthe âleast of the apostles, a[747]747 acontends with much courage and earnestness against the proud and arrogant, and such as plume themselves on their own works, in order that he may commend the grace of God. This grace, indeed, appeared more obvious and manifest in his case, inasmuch as, while he was pursuing such vehement measures of persecution against the Church of God as made him worthy of the greatest punishment, he found mercy instead of condemnation, and instead of punishment obtained grace. Very properly, therefore, does he lift voice and hand in defence of grace, and care not for the envy either of those who understood not a subject too profound and abstruse for them, or of those who perversely misinterpreted his own sound words; whilst at the same time he unfalteringly preaches that gift of God, whereby alone salvation accrues to those who are the children of the promise, children of the divine goodness, children of grace and mercy, children of the new covenant. In the salutation with which he begins every epistle, he prays: aGrace be to you, and peace, from God the Father, and from the Lord Jesus Christ; a[748]748 whilst this forms almost the only topic discussed for the Romans, and it is plied with so much persistence and variety of argument, as fairly to fatigue the readeras attention, yet with a fatigue so useful and salutary, that it rather exercises than breaks the faculties of the inner man.

Chapter 13 [VIII.]âKeeping the Law; The Jewsâ Glorying; The Fear of Punishment; The Circumcision of the Heart.

Then comes what I mentioned above; then he shows what the Jew is, and says that he is called a Jew, but by no means fulfils what he promises to do. âBut if, a says he, athou callest thyself a Jew, and restest in the law, and makest thy boast of God, and knowest His will, and triest the things that are different, being instructed out of the law; and art confident that thou art thyself a guide of the blind, a light of them that are in darkness, an instructor of the foolish, a teacher of babes, which hast the form of knowledge and of the truth in the law. Thou therefore who teachest another, teachest thou not thyself? thou that preachest a man should not steal, dost thou steal? thou that sayest a man should not commit adultery, dost thou commit adultery? thou that abhorrest idols, dost thou commit sacrilege? thou that makest thy boast of the law, through breaking the law dishonorest thou God? For the name of God is blasphemed among the Gentiles through you. as it is written. Circumcision verily profiteth, if thou keep the law; but if thou be a breaker of the law, thy circumcision is made uncircumcision. Therefore, if the uncircumcision keep the righteousness of the law, shall not his uncircumcision be counted for circumcision? And shall not uncircumcision which is by nature, if it fulfil the law, judge thee, who by the letter and circumcision dost transgress the law? For he is not a Jew who is one outwardly; neither is that circumcision which is outward in the flesh: but he is a Jew who is one inwardly; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God.â[749]749 Here he plainly showed in what sense he said, âThou makest thy boast of God.â For undoubtedly if one who was truly a Jew made his boast of God in the way which grace demands (which is bestowed not for merit of works, but gratuitously), then his praise would be of God, and not of men. But they, in fact, were making their boast of God, as if they alone had deserved to receive His law, as the Psalmist said: âHe did not the like to any nation, nor His judgments has He displayed to them.â[750]750 And yet, they thought they were fulfilling the law of God by their righteousness, when they were rather breakers of it all the while! Accordingly, it awrought wratha[751]751 upon them, and sin abounded, committed as it was by them who knew the law. For whoever did even what the law commanded, without the assistance of the Spirit of grace, acted through fear of punishment, not from love of righteousness, and hence in the sight of God that was not in the will, which in the sight of men appeared in the work; and such doers of the law were held rather guilty of that which God knew they would have preferred to commit, if only it had been possible with impunity. He calls, however, athe circumcision of the heartâ the will that is pure from all unlawful desire; which comes not from the letter, inculcating and threatening, but from the Spirit, assisting and healing. Such doers of the law have their praise therefore, not of men but of God, who by His grace provides the grounds on which they receive praise, of whom it is said, âMy soul shall make her boast of the Lord; a[752]752 and to whom it is said, aMy praise shall be of Thee: a[753]753 but those are not such who would have God praised because they are men; but themselves, because they are righteous.

Chapter 14.âln What Respect the Pelagians Acknowledge God as the Author of Our Justification.

âBut, â say they, âwe do praise God as the Author of our righteousness, in that He gave the law, by the teaching of which we have learned how we ought to live. â But they give no heed to what they read: âBy the law there shall no flesh be justified in the sight of God. a[754]754 This may indeed be possible before men, but not before Him who looks into our very heart and inmost will, where He sees that, although the man who fears the law keeps a certain precept, he would nevertheless rather do another thing if he were permitted. And lest any one should suppose that, in the passage just guoted from him, the apostle had meant to say that none are justified by that law, which contains many precepts, under the figure of the ancient sacraments, and among them that circumcision of the flesh itself, which infants were commanded to receive on the eighth day after birth; he immediately adds what law he meant, and says, aFor by the law is the knowledge of sin.a[755]755 He refers then to that law of which he afterwards declares, al had not known sin but by the law; for I had not known lust except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet.â[756]756 For what means this but that âby the law comes the knowledge of sin?â

Chapter 15 [IX.]âThe Righteousness of God Manifested by the Law and the Prophets.

Here, perhaps, it may be said by that presumption of man, which is ignorant of the righteousness of God, and wishes to establish one of its own, that the apostle quite properly said, aFor by the law shall no man be justified, a[757]757 in asmuch as the law merely shows what one ought to do, and what one ought to guard against, in order that what the law thus points out may be accomplished by the will, and so man be justified, not indeed by the power of the law, but by his free determination. But I ask your attention, O man, to what follows. aBut now the righteousness of God, a says he, a without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets.a[758]758 Does this then sound a light thing in deaf ears? He says, aThe righteousness of God is manifested. a Now this righteousness they are ignorant of, who wish to establish one of their own; they will not submit themselves to it. [759]759 His words are, a The righteousness of God is manifested: a he does not say, the righteousness of man, or the righteousness of his own will, but the arighteousness of God aanot that whereby He is Himself righteous, but that with which He endows man when He justifies the ungodly. This is witnessed by the law and the prophets; in other words, the law and the prophets each afford it testimony. The law, indeed, by issuing its commands and threats, and by justifying no man, sufficiently shows that it is by Godâs gift, through the help of the Spirit, that a man is justified; and the prophets, because it was what they predicted that Christ at His coming accomplished. Accordingly he advances a step further, and adds, aBut righteousness of God by faith of Jesus Christ, a[760]760 that is by the faith wherewith one believes in Christ for just as there is not meant the faith with which Christ Himself believes, so also there is not meant the righteousness whereby God is Himself righteous. Both no doubt are ours, but yet they are called Godâs, and Christâs, because it is by their bounty that these gifts are bestowed upon us. The righteousness of God then is without the law, but not manifested

without the law; for if it were manifested without the law, how could it be witnessed by the law? That righteousness of God, however, is without the law, which God by the Spirit of grace bestows on the believer without the help of the law, athat is, when not helped by the law. When, indeed, He by the law discovers to a man his weakness, it is in order that by faith he may flee for refuge to His mercy, and be healed. And thus concerning His wisdom we are told, that ashe carries law and mercy upon her tongue, a[761]761 athe alaw, a whereby she may convict the proud, the amercy a wherewith she may justify the humbled. aThe righteousness of God.a then, aby faith of Jesus Christ, is unto all that believe; for there is no difference, for all have sinned, and come short of the glory of Goda[762]762anot of their own glory. For what have they, which they have not received? Now if they received it, why do they glory as if they had not received it?[763]763 Well, then, they come short of the glory of God; now observe what follows: âBeing justified freely by His grace.â[764]764 It is not, therefore, by the law, nor is it by their own will, that they are justified; but they are justified freely by His grace, anot that it is wrought without our will; but our will is by the law shown to be weak, that grace may heal its infirmity; and that our healed will may fulfil the law, not by compact under the law, nor yet in the absence of law.

Chapter 16 [X.] aHow the Law Was Not Made for a Righteous Man.

Because afor a righteous man the law was not made; a[765]765 and yet âthe law is good, if a man use it lawfully.â[766]766 Now by connecting together these two seemingly contrary statements, the apostle warns and urges his reader to sift the question and solve it too. For how can it be that athe law is good, if a man use it lawfully, a if what follows is also true: aKnowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man?â[767]767 For who but a righteous man lawfully uses the law? Yet it is not for him that it is made, but for the unrighteous. Must then the unrighteous man, in order that he may be justified, athat is, become a righteous man, alawfully use the law, to lead him, as by the schoolmasteras hand, [768] 768 to that grace by which alone he can fulfil what the law commands? Now it is freely that he is justified thereby, athat is, on account of no antecedent merits of his own works; âotherwise grace is no more grace, â[769]769 since it is bestowed on us, not because we have done good works, but that we may be able to do them, ain other words, not because we have fulfilled the law, but in order that we may be able to fulfil the law. Now He said, al am not come to destroy the law, but to fulfil it, a[770]770 of whom it was said, âWe have seen His glory, the glory as of the only-begotten of the Father, full of grace and truth.a[771]771 This is the glory which is meant in the words, âAll have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; a[772]772 and this the grace of which he speaks in the next verse, âBeing justified freely by His grace.â[773]773 The unrighteous man therefore lawfully uses the law, that he may become righteous; but when he has become so, he must no longer use it as a chariot, for he has arrived at his journeyas end, aor rather (that I may employ the apostleas own simile, which has been already mentioned) as a schoolmaster, seeing that he is now fully learned. How then is the law not made for a righteous man, if it is necessary for the righteous man too, not that he may be brought as an unrighteous man to the grace

that justifies, but that he may use it lawfully, now that he is righteous? Does not the case perhaps stand thus, anay, not perhaps, but rather certainly, athat the man who is become righteous thus lawfully uses the law, when he applies it to alarm the unrighteous, so that whenever the disease of some unusual desire begins in them, too, to be augmented by the incentive of the lawas prohibition and an increased amount of transgression, they may in faith flee for refuge to the grace that justifies, and becoming delighted with the sweet pleasures of holiness, may escape the penalty of the lawas menacing letter through the spiritâs soothing gift? In this way the two statements will not be contrary, nor will they be repugnant to each other: even the righteous man may lawfully use a good law, and yet the law be not made for the righteous man; for it is not by the law that he becomes righteous, but by the law of faith, which led him to believe that no other resource was possible to his weakness for fulfilling the precepts which athe law of worksa[774]774 commanded, except to be assisted by the grace of God.

Chapter 17.âThe Exclusion of Boasting.

Accordingly he says, aWhere is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay; but by the law of faith.â[775]775 He may either mean, the laudable boasting, which is in the Lord; and that it is excluded, not in the sense that it is driven off so as to pass away, but that it is clearly manifested so as to stand out prominently. Whence certain artificers in silver are called aexclusores.a[776]776 In this sense it occurs also in that passage in the Psalms: aThat they may be excluded, who have been proved with silver, a[777]777 athat is, that they may stand out in prominence, who have been tried by the word of God. For in another passage it is said: aThe words of the Lord are pure words, as silver which is tried in the fire.â[778]778 Or if this be not his meaning, he must have wished to mention that vicious boasting which comes of prideathat is, of those who appear to themselves to lead righteous lives, and boast of their excellence as if they had not received it, and further to inform us, that by the law of faith, not by the law of works, this boasting was excluded, in the other sense of shut out and driven away; because by the law of faith every one learns that whatever good life he leads he has from the grace of God, and that from no other source whatever can he obtain the means of becoming perfect in the love of righteousness.

Chapter 18 [XI.]âPiety is Wisdom; That is Called the Righteousness of God, Which He Produces.

Now, this meditation makes a man godly, and this godliness is true wisdom. By godliness I mean that which the Greeks designate qeosâ¢beia,âthat very virtue which is commended to man in the passage of Job, where it is said to him, âBehold, godliness is wisdom.â[779]779 Now if the word qeosâ¢beia be interpreted according to its derivation, it might be called âthe worship of God;â[780]780 and in this worship the essential point is, that the soul be not ungrateful to Him. Whence it is that in the most true and excellent sacrifice we are admonished to âgive thanks unto our Lord God.â[781]781 Ungrateful however, our soul would be, were it to

attribute to itself that which it received from God, especially the righteousness, with the works of which (the especial property, as it were, of itself, and produced, so to speak, by the soul itself for itself) it is not puffed up in a vulgar pride, as it might be with riches, or beauty of limb, or eloquence, or those other accomplishments, external or internal, bodily or mental, which wicked men too are in the habit of possessing, but, if I may say so, in a wise complacency, as of things which constitute in an especial manner the good works of the good. It is owing to this sin of vulgar pride that even some great men have drifted from the sure anchorage of the divine nature, and have floated down into the shame of idolatry. Whence the apostle again in the same epistle, wherein he so firmly maintains the principle of grace, after saying that he was a debtor both to the Greeks and to the Barbarians, to the wise and to the unwise, and professing himself ready, so far as to him pertained, to preach the gospel even to those who lived in Rome, adds: al am not ashamed of the Gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. For therein is the righteousness of God revealed from faith to faith: as it is written, The just shall live by faith.â[782]782 This is the righteousness of God, which was veiled in the Old Testament, and is revealed in the New; and it is called the righteousness of God, because by His bestowal of it He makes us righteous, just as we read that asalvation is the Lordas. a[783]783 because He makes us safe. And this is the faith afrom which and ato whicha it is revealed, a from the faith of them who preach it, to the faith of those who obey it. By this faith of Jesus Christâthat is, the faith which Christ has given to usawe believe it is from God that we now have, and shall have more and more, the ability of living righteously; wherefore we give Him thanks with that dutiful worship with which He only is to be worshipped.

Chapter 19 [XII]âThe Knowledge of God Through the Creation.

And then the apostle very properly turns from this point to describe with detestation those men who, light-minded and puffed up by the sin which I have mentioned in the preceding chapter, have been carried away of their own conceit, as it were, through empty space where they could find no resting-place, only to fall shattered to pieces against the vain figments of their idols, as against stones. For, after he had commended the piety of that faith, whereby, being justified, we must needs be pleasing to God, he proceeds to call our attention to what we ought to abominate as the opposite. aFor the wrath of God, a says he, ais revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold down the truth in unrighteousness; because that which may be known of God is manifest in them: for God hath showed it unto them. For the invisible things of Him are clearly seen from the creation of the world, being understood through the things that are made, even His eternal power and divinity; so that they are without excuse: because, knowing God, they yet glorified Him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools; and they changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and to four

footed beasts, and to creeping things. a[784]784 Observe, he does not say that they were ignorant of the truth, but that they held down the truth in unrighteousness. For it occurred to him, that he would inquire whence the knowledge of the truth could be obtained by those to whom God had not given the law; and he was not silent on the source whence they could have obtained it: for he declares that it was through the visible works of creation that they arrived at the knowledge of the invisible attributes of the Creator. And, in very deed, as they continued to possess great faculties for searching, so they were able to find. Wherein then lay their impiety? Because awhen they knew God, they glorified Him not as God, nor gave Him thanks, but became vain in their imaginations. a Vanity is a disease especially of those who mislead themselves, and athink themselves to be something, when they are nothing.â[785]785 Such men, indeed, darken themselves in that swelling pride, the foot of which the holy singer prays that it may not come against him, [786]786 after saying, aln Thy light shall we see light;â[787]787 from which very light of unchanging truth they turn aside, and atheir foolish heart is darkened. a[788]788 For theirs was not a wise heart, even though they knew God; but it was foolish rather, because they did not glorify Him as God, or give Him thanks; for aHe said unto man, Behold, the fear of the Lord, that is wisdom.â[789]789 So by this conduct, while aprofessing themselves to be wiseâ (which can only be understood to mean that they attributed this to themselves), âthey became fools.â[790]790

Chapter 20.âThe Law Without Grace.

Now why need I speak of what follows? For why it was that by this their impiety those menâl mean those who could have known the Creator through the creatureafell (since aGod resisteth the prouda[791]791) and whither they plunged, is better shown in the sequel of this epistle than we can here mention. For in this letter of mine we have not undertaken to expound this epistle, but only mainly on its authority, to demonstrate, so far as we are able, that we are assisted by divine aid towards the achievement of righteousness, anot merely because God has given us a law fall of good and holy precepts, but because our very will without which we cannot do any good thing, is assisted and elevated by the importation of the Spirit of grace, without which help mere teaching is a the letter that killeth. a [792] 792 forasmuch as it rather holds them guilty of transgression, than justifies the ungodly. Now just as those who come to know the Creator through the creature received no benefit towards salvation, from their knowledge, abecause athough they knew God, they glorified Him not as God, nor gave Him thanks, although professing themselves to be wise:â[793]793âso also they who know from the law how man ought to live, are not made righteous by their knowledge, because, agoing about to establish their own righteousness, they have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. a[794]794

Chapter 21 [XIII.]âThe Law of Works and the Law of Faith.

The law, then, of deeds, that is, the law of works, whereby this boasting is not excluded, and the law of faith, by which it is excluded, differ from each other; and this difference it is worth our while to consider, if so be we are able to observe and discern it. Hastily, indeed, one might say that the law of works lay in Judaism, and the law of faith in Christianity; forasmuch as circumcision and the other works prescribed by the law are just those which the Christian system no longer retains. But there is a fallacy in this distinction, the greatness of which I have for some time been endeavoring to expose; and to such as are acute in appreciating distinctions, especially to yourself and those like you, I have possibly succeeded in my effort. Since, however, the subject is an important one, it will not be unsuitable, if with a view to its illustration, we linger over the many testimonies which again and again meet our view. Now, the apostle says that that law by which no man is justified. [795]795 entered in that the offence might abound, [796] 796 and yet in order to save it from the aspersions of the ignorant and the accusations of the impious, he defends this very law in such words as these: aWhat shall we say then? Is the law sin? God forbid. Nay, I had not known sin but by the law: for I had not known concupiscence, except the law had said, Thou shall not covet. But sin, taking occasion, wrought, by the commandment, in me all manner of concupiscence.â[797]797 He says also: âThe law indeed is holy, and the commandment is holy, and just, and good; but sin, that it might appear sin, worked death in me by that which is good a[798]798 It is therefore the very letter that kills which says, a Thou shalt not covet, a and it is of this that he speaks in a passage which I have before referred to: aBy the law is the knowledge of sin. But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets; even the righteousness of God, which is by faith of Jesus Christ upon all them that believe; for there is no difference: seeing that all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God: being justified freely by His grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus; whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in His blood, to declare His righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; to declare His righteousness at this time; that He might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus.â[799]799 And then he adds the passage which is now under consideration: âWhere, then, is your boasting? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay; but by the law of faith.â[800]800 And so it is the very law of works itself which savs. aThou shalt not covet: a because thereby comes the knowledge of sin. Now I wish to know, if anybody will dare to tell me, whether the law of faith does not say to us, aThou shalt not coveta? For if it does not say so to us, what reason is there why we, who are placed under it, should not sin in safety and with impunity? Indeed, this is just what those people thought the apostle meant, of whom he writes: âEven as some affirm that we say, Let us do evil, that good may come; whose damnation is just. a[801]801 If, on the contrary, it too says to us, a Thou shall not coveta (even as numerous passages in the gospels and epistles so often testify and urge), then why is not this law also called the law of works? For it by no means follows that, because it retains not the aworksa of the ancient sacraments, aeven circumcision and the other ceremonies, âit therefore has no âworksâ in its own sacraments, which are adapted to the present age; unless, indeed, the question was about sacramental works, when mention was made of the law, just because by it is the knowledge of sin, and therefore nobody

is justified by it, so that it is not by it that boasting is excluded, but by the law of faith, whereby the just man lives. But is there not by it too the knowledge of sin, when even it says, âThou shall not covet?â

Chapter 22. aNo Man Justified by Works.

What the difference between them is, I will briefly explain. What the law of works enjoins by menace, that the law of faith secures by faith. The one says, a Thou shalt not covet; a [802]802 the other says, aWhen I perceived that nobody could be continent, except God gave it to him; and that this was the very point of wisdom, to know whose gift she was: I approached unto the Lord, and I besought Him. *â*[803]803 This indeed is the very wisdom which is called piety, in which is worshipped athe Father of lights, from whom is every best giving and perfect gift.â[804]804 This worship, however, consists in the sacrifice of praise and giving of thanks, so that the worshipper of God boasts not in himself, but in Him.[805]805 Accordingly, by the law of works, God says to us, Do what I command thee; but by the law of faith we say to God, Give me what Thou commandest. Now this is the reason why the law gives its command, ato admonish us what faith ought to do, that is, that he to whom the command is given, if he is as yet unable to perform it, may know what to ask for; but if he has at once the ability, and complies with the command, he ought also to be aware from whose gift the ability comes. aFor we have received not the spirit of this world, a says again that most constant preacher of grace, abut the Spirit which is of God, that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God. a[806]806 What, however, ais the spirit of this world, a but the spirit of pride? By it their foolish heart is darkened, who, although knowing God, glorified Him not as God, by giving Him thanks. [807]807 Moreover, it is really by this same spirit that they too are deceived, who, while ignorant of the righteousness of God, and wishing to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted to Godâs righteousness. [808]808 It appears to me, therefore, that he is much more a child of faitha who has learned from what source to hope for what he has not yet, than he who attributes to himself whatever he has; although, no doubt, to both of these must be preferred the man who both has, and at the same time knows from whom he has it, if nevertheless he does not believe himself to be what he has not yet attained to. Let him not fall into the mistake of the Pharisee, who, while thanking God for what he possessed, yet failed to ask for any further gift, just as if he stood in want of nothing for the increase or perfection of his righteousness.[809]809 Now, having duly considered and weighed all these circumstances and testimonies, we conclude that a man is not justified by the precepts of a holy life, but by faith in Jesus Christ, ain a word, not by the law of works, but by the law of faith; not by the letter, but by the spirit; not by the merits of deeds, but by free grace.

Chapter 23 [XIV.]âHow the Decalogue Kills, If Grace Be Not Present.

Although, therefore, the apostle seems to reprove and correct those who were being persuaded to be circumcised, in such terms as to designate by the word alawa circumcision itself and other similar legal observances, which are now rejected as shadows of a future substance by Christians who yet hold what those shadows figuratively promised; he at the same time nevertheless would have it to be clearly understood that the law, by which he says no man is justified, lies not merely in those sacramental institutions which contained promissory figures, but also in those works by which whosoever has done them lives holily, and amongst which occurs this prohibition: aThou shalt not covet.a Now, to make our statement all the clearer, let us look at the Decalogue itself. It is certain, then, that Moses on the mount received the law, that he might deliver it to the people, written on tables of stone by the finger of God. It is summed up in these ten commandments, in which there is no precept about circumcision, nor anything concerning those animal sacrifices which have ceased to be offered by Christians. Well, now, I should like to be told what there is in these ten commandments, except the observance of the Sabbath, which ought not to be kept by a Christian, awhether it prohibit the making and worshipping of idols and of any other gods than the one true God, or the taking of Godas name in vain; or prescribe honour to parents; or give warning against fornication, murder, theft, false witness, adultery, or coveting other menâs property? Which of these commandments would any one say that the Christian ought not to keep? Is it possible to contend that it is not the law which was written on those two tables that the apostle describes as athe letter that killeth, a but the law of circumcision and the other sacred rites which are now abolished? But then how can we think so, when in the law occurs this precept, aThou shall not covet, a by which very commandment, notwithstanding its being holy, just, and good, asin, a says the apostle, adeceived me, and by it slew me?â[810]810 What else can this be than âthe letterâ that âkillethâ?

Chapter 24.aThe Passage in Corinthians.

In the passage where he speaks to the Corinthians about the letter that kills, and the spirit that gives life, he expresses himself more clearly, but he does not mean even there any other âletterâ to be understood than the Decalogue itself, which was written on the two tables. For these are His words: aForasmuch as ye are manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart. And such trust have we through Christ to God-ward: not that we are sufficient of ourselves to think anything as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of God; who hath made us fit, as ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life. But if the ministration of death, written and engraven in stones, was glorious, so that the children of Israel could not stedfastly behold the face of Moses for the glory of his countenance, which was to be done away; how shall not the ministration of the Spirit be rather glorious? For if the ministration of condemnation be glory, much more shall the ministration of righteousness abound in glory.[811]811 A good deal might be said about these words; but perhaps we shall have a more fitting opportunity at some future time. At present, however, I beg you to observe how he speaks of the letter that killeth, and contrasts therewith the spirit that giveth life. Now this must certainly be athe ministration of death written and engraven in stones, and athe ministration of condemnation, a since the law entered that sin might abound.[812]812 But the commandments themselves are so useful and salutary to the doer of them, that no one could have life unless he kept them. Well, then, is it owing to the one precept about the Sabbath-day, which is included in it, that the Decalogue is called athe letter that killeth? Because, forsooth, every man that still observes that day in its literal appointment is carnally wise. but to be carnally wise is nothing else than death? And must the other nine commandments, which are rightly observed in their literal form, not be regarded as belonging to the law of works by which none is justified, but to the law of faith whereby the just man lives? Who can possibly entertain so absurd an opinion as to suppose that athe ministration of death, written and engraven in stones, a is not said equally of all the ten commandments, but only of the solitary one touching the Sabbath-day? In which class do we place that which is thus spoken of: aThe law worketh wrath: for where no law is, there is no transgression?â[813]813 and again thus: âUntil the law sin was in the world: but sin is not imputed when there is no law?â[814]814 and also that which we have already so often quoted: âBy the law is the knowledge of sin?â[815]815 and especially the passage in which the apostle has more clearly expressed the question of which we are treating: âl had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet?â[816]816

Chapter 25.âThe Passage in Romans.

Now carefully consider this entire passage, and see whether it says anything about circumcision, or the Sabbath, or anything else pertaining to a foreshadowing sacrament. Does not its whole scope amount to this, that the letter which forbids sin fails to give man life, but rather akilleth, a by increasing concupiscence, and aggravating sinfulness by transgression, unless indeed grace liberates us by the law of faith, which is in Christ Jesus, when His love is âshed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given to us?â[817]817 The apostle having used these words: âThat we should serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter.â[818]818 goes on to inquire. âWhat shall we say then? Is the law sin? God forbid. Nay; I had not known sin, but by the law: for I had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet. But sin, taking occasion by the commandment, wrought in me all manner of concupiscence. For without the law sin was dead. For I was alive without the law once; but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died. And the commandment, which was ordained to life, I found to be unto death. For sin, taking occasion by the commandment deceived me, and by it slew me. Wherefore the law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good. Was then that which is good made death unto me? God forbid. But sin, that it might appear sin, worked death in me by that which is good; that sin by the commandment might become exceeding sinful. For we know that the law is spiritual; whereas I am carnal, sold under sin. For that which I do I allow not: for what I would, that I do not; but what I hate, that I do. If then I do that which I would not, I consent unto the law that it is good. But then it

is no longer I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me. For I know that in me (that is, in my flesh) dwelleth no good thing. To will, indeed, is present with me; but how to perform that which is good I find not. For the good that I would, I do not; but the evil which I would not, that I do. Now, if I do that which I would not, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me. I find then a law, that, when I would do good, evil is present with me. For I delight in the law of God after the inward man: but I see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of sin which is in my members. O wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ out Lord. So then with the mind I myself serve the law of God, but with the flesh the law of sin.â[819]819

Chapter 26.aNo Fruit Good Except It Grow from the Root of Love.

It is evident, then, that the oldness of the letter, in the absence of the newness of the spirit, instead of freeing us from sin, rather makes us guilty by the knowledge of sin. Whence it is written in another part of Scripture, âHe that increaseth knowledge, increaseth sorrow, a[820]820 anot that the law is itself evil, but because the commandment has its good in the demonstration of the letter, not in the assistance of the spirit; and if this commandment is kept from the fear of punishment and not from the love of righteousness, it is servilely kept, not freely, and therefore it is not kept at all. For no fruit is good which does not grow from the root of love. If, however, that faith be present which worketh by love, [821]821 then one begins to delight in the law of God after the inward man.[822]822 and this delight is the gift of the spirit, not of the letter; even though there is another law in our members still warring against the law of the mind, until the old state is changed, and passes into that newness which increases from day to day in the inward man, whilst the grace of God is liberating us from the body of this death through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Chapter 27 [XV.]âGrace, Concealed in the Old Testament, is Revealed in the New.

This grace hid itself under a veil in the Old Testament, but it has been revealed in the New Testament according to the most perfectly ordered dispensation of the ages, forasmuch as God knew how to dispose all things. And perhaps it is a part of this hiding of grace, that in the Decalogue, which was given on Mount Sinai, only the portion which relates to the Sabbath was hidden under a prefiguring precept. The Sabbath is a day of sanctification; and it is not without significance that, among all the works which God accomplished, the first sound of sanctification was heard on the day when He rested from all His labours. On this, indeed, we must not now enlarge. But at the same time I deem it to be enough for the point now in guestion, that it was not for nothing that the nation was commanded on that day to abstain from all servile work, by which sin is signified; but because not to commit sin belongs to sanctification, that is, to Godâs gift through the Holy Spirit. And this precept alone among the others, was placed in the law, which was written on the two tables of stone, in a

prefiguring shadow, under which the Jews observe the Sabbath, that by this very circumstance it might be signified that it was then the time for concealing the grace, which had to be revealed in the New Testament by the death of Christ,âthe rending, as it were, of the veil.[823]823 âFor when,â says the apostle, âit shall turn to the Lord, the veil shall be taken away.â[824]824

Chapter 28 [XVI]âWhy the Holy Ghost is Called the Finger of God.

aNow the Lord is that Spirit: and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty.â[825]825 Now this Spirit of God, by whose gift we are justified, whence it comes to pass that we delight not to sin, ain which is liberty; even as, when we are without this Spirit, we delight to sin, ain which is slavery, from the works of which we must abstain: athis Holy Spirit, through whom love is shed abroad in our hearts, which is the fulfilment of the law, is designated in the gospel as athe finger of God.a[826]826 Is it not because those very tables of the law were written by the finger of God, that the Spirit of God by whom we are sanctified is also the finger of God, in order that, living by faith, we may do good works through love? Who is not touched by this congruity, and at the same time diversity? For as fifty days are reckoned from the celebration of the Passover (which was ordered by Moses to be offered by slaving the typical lamb, [827]827 to signify, indeed, the future death of the Lord) to the day when Moses received the law written on the tables of stone by the finger of God,[828]828 so, in like manner, from the death and resurrection of Him who was led as a lamb to the slaughter, [829]829 there were fifty complete days up to the time when the finger of Godathat is, the Holy Spiritagathered together in one[830]830 perfect company those who believed.

Chapter 29 [XVII.]âA Comparison of the Law of Moses and of the New Law.

Now, amidst this admirable correspondence, there is at least this very considerable diversity in the cases, in that the people in the earlier instance were deterred by a horrible dread from approaching the place where the law was given; whereas in the other case the Holy Ghost came upon them who were gathered together in expectation of His promised gift. There it was on tables of stone that the finger of God operated; here it was on the hearts of men. There the law was given outwardly, so that the unrighteous might be terrified; [831]831 here it was given inwardly, so that they might be justified.[832]832 For this, aThou shalt not commit adultery. Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not covet: and if there be any other commandment, ââsuch, of course, as was written on those tables, ââit is briefly comprehended, â says he, âin this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love is the fulfilling of the law.â[833]833 Now this was not written on the tables of stone, but âis shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us.â[834]834 Godâs law, therefore, is love. âTo it the carnal mind is not subject, neither indeed can be; a[835]835 but when the works of love are written on tables to alarm the carnal mind, there arises the law of works and athe letter which killetha the transgressor; but when

love itself is shed abroad in the hearts of believers, then we have the law of faith, and the spirit which gives life to him that loves.

Chapter 30.âThe New Law Written Within.

Now, observe how consonant this diversity is with those words of the apostle which I quoted not long ago in another connection, and which I postponed for a more careful consideration afterwards: aForasmuch, a says he, aas ve are manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart.â[836]836 See how he shows that the one is written without man, that it may alarm him from without; the other within man himself, that it may justify him from within. He speaks of the afleshy tables of the heart.â not of the carnal mind, but of a living agent possessing sensation, in comparison with a stone, which is senseless. The assertion which he subsequently makes, âthat âthe children of Israel could not look stedfastly on the end of the face of Moses, and that he accordingly spoke to them through a veil,[837]837asignifies that the letter of the law justifies no man, but that rather a veil is placed on the reading of the Old Testament, until it shall be turned to Christ, and the veil be removed; ain other words, until it shall be turned to grace, and be understood that from Him accrues to us the justification, whereby we do what He commands. And He commands, in order that, because we lack in ourselves, we may flee to Him for refuge. Accordingly, after most guardedly saving, aSuch trust have we through Christ to God-ward, a[838]838 the apostle immediately goes on to add the statement which underlies our subject, to prevent our confidence being attributed to any strength of our own. He says: aNot that we are sufficient of ourselves to think anything as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of God; who also hath made us fit to be ministers of the New Testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life. a[839]839

Chapter 31 [XVIII.]âThe Old Law Ministers Death; The New, Righteousness.

Now, since, as he says in another passage, athe law was added because of transgression. af8401840 meaning the law which is written externally to man, he therefore designates it both as athe ministration of death, a[841]841 and athe ministration of condemnation; a[842]842 but the other, that is, the law of the New Testament, he calls athe ministration of the Spirita[843]843 and athe ministration of righteousness. al 844] 844 because through the Spirit we work righteousness, and are delivered from the condemnation due to transgression. The one, therefore, vanishes away, the other abides; for the terrifying schoolmaster will be dispensed with, when love has succeeded to fear. Now awhere the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty.â[845]845 But that this ministration is vouchsafed to us, not on account of our deserving, but from His mercy, the apostle thus declares: aSeeing then that we have this ministry, as we have received mercy. let us faint not: but let us renounce the hidden things of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness, nor adulterating the word of God with deceit.â[846]846 By this âcraftinessâ and âdeceitfulnessâ he

would have us understand the hypocrisy with which the arrogant would fain be supposed to be righteous. Whence in the psalm, which the apostle cites in testimony of this grace of God, it is said, âBlessed is the man to whom the Lord will not impute sin, and in whose mouth is no guile.â[847]847 This is the confession of lowly saints, who do not boast to be what they are not. Then, in a passage which follows not long after, the apostle writes thus: aFor we preach not ourselves, but Christ Jesus the Lord; and ourselves your servants for Jesusâ sake. For God, who commanded the light to shine out of darkness, hath shined in our hearts, to give the light of the knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ. a[848]848 This is the knowledge of His glory, whereby we know that He is the light which illumines our darkness. And I beg you to observe how he inculcates this very point: aWe have, a says he, athis treasure in earthen vessels, that the excellency of the power may be of God, and not of us.â[849]849 When further on he commends in glowing terms this same grace, in the Lord Jesus Christ, until he comes to that vestment of the righteousness of faith, aclothed with which we cannot be found naked, and whilst longing for which awe groan, being burdeneda with mortality, aearnestly desiring to be clothed upon with our house which is from Heaven, â âthat mortality might be swallowed up of life;â[850]850âobserve what he says: âNow He that hath wrought us for the self-same thing is God, who also hath given unto us the earnest of the Spirit: a[851]851 and after a little he thus briefly draws the conclusion of the matter: aThat we might be made the righteousness of God in Him.â[852]852 This is not the righteousness whereby God is Himself righteous, but that whereby we are made righteous by Him.

Chapter 32 [XIX.]âThe Christian Faith Touching the Assistance of Grace.

Let no Christian then stray from this faith, which alone is the Christian one; nor let any one, when he has been made to feel ashamed to say that we become righteous through our own selves, without the grace of God working this in us,âbecause he sees, when such an allegation is made, how unable pious believers are to endure it,âresort to any subterfuge on this point, by affirming that the reason why we cannot become righteous without the operation of Godâs grace is this, that He gave the law, He instituted its teaching, He commanded its precepts of good. For there is no doubt that, without His assisting grace, the law is âthe letter which killeth;â but when the life-giving spirit is present, the law causes that to be loved as written within, which it once caused to be feared as written without.

Chapter 33.âThe Prophecy of Jeremiah Concerning the New Testament.

Observe this also in that testimony which was given by the prophet on this subject in the clearest way: âBehold, the days come, saith the Lord, that I will consummate a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah; not according to the covenant which I made with their fathers, in the day that I took them by the hand, to bring them out of the land of Egypt. Because they continued not in my covenant, I also have rejected them, saith the Lord. But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the Lord, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for they shall all know me, from the least unto the greatest of them, saith the Lord: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more.â[853]853 What say we to this? One nowhere, or hardly anywhere, except in this passage of the prophet, finds in the Old Testament Scriptures any mention so made of the New Testament as to indicate it by its very name. It is no doubt often referred to and foretold as about to be given, but not so plainly as to have its very name mentioned. Consider then carefully, what difference God has testified as existing between the two testamentsâthe old covenant and the new.

#### Chapter 34.âThe Law; Grace.

After saying, aNot according to the covenant which I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand, to bring them out of the land of Egypt, a observe what He adds: aBecause they continued not in my covenant.â He reckons it as their own fault that they did not continue in Godâs covenant, lest the law, which they received at that time, should seem to be deserving of blame. For it was the very law that Christ âcame not to destroy, but to fulfil.â[854]854 Nevertheless, it is not by that law that the ungodly are made righteous, but by grace; and this change is effected by the life-giving Spirit, without whom the letter kills. aFor if there had been a law given which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law. But the Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe. a[855]855 Out of this promise, that is, out of the kindness of God, the law is fulfilled, which without the said promise only makes men transgressors, either by the actual commission of some sinful deed, if the flame of concupiscence have greater power than even the restraints of fear, or at least by their mere will, if the fear of punishment transcend the pleasure of lust. In what he says, aThe Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe, a it is the benefit of this aconclusiona itself which is asserted. For what purposes ahath it concluded a except as it is expressed in the next sentence: âBefore, indeed, faith came, we were kept under the law, concluded for the faith which was afterwards revealed?a[856]856 The law was therefore given, in order that grace might be sought; grace was given, in order that the law might be fulfilled. Now it was not through any fault of its own that the law was not fulfilled, but by the fault of the carnal mind; and this fault was to be demonstrated by the law, and healed by grace. aFor what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending His own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh; that the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit. a[857]857 Accordingly, in the passage which we cited from the prophet, he says, al will consummate a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah, a[858] 858 and what means I will consummate but I will fulfil?âânot, according to the covenant which I made with their

fathers, in the day that I took them by the hand, to bring them out of the land of Egypt.â[859]859

Chapter 35 [XX.]âThe Old Law; The New Law.

The one was therefore old, because the other is new. But whence comes it that one is old and the other new, when the same law, which said in the Old Testament, a Thou shalt not covet, a [860]860 is fulfilled by the New Testament? âBecause.â says the prophet. âthey continued not in my covenant, I have also rejected them, saith the Lord.a[861]861 It is then on account of the offence of the old man, which was by no means healed by the letter which commanded and threatened, that it is called the old covenant; whereas the other is called the new covenant, because of the newness of the spirit, which heals the new man of the fault of the old. Then consider what follows, and see in how clear a light the fact is placed, that men who bare faith are unwilling to trust in themselves: aBecause, a says he, athis is the covenant which I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the Lord, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts.â[862]862 See how similarly the apostle states it in the passage we have already quoted: aNot in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart, a[863]863 because anot with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God a[864]864 And I apprehend that the apostle in this passage had no other reason for mentioning athe New Testamenta (awho hath made us able ministers of the New Testament; not of the letter, but of the spiritâ), than because he had an eve to the words of the prophet, when he said aNot in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart, a inasmuch as in the prophet it runs: al will write it in their hearts.â[865]865

Chapter 36 [XXI.]âThe Law Written in Our Hearts.

What then is Godâs law written by God Himself in the hearts of men, but the very presence of the Holy Spirit, who is athe finger of God, a and by whose presence is shed abroad in our hearts the love which is the fulfilling of the law, [866]866 and the end of the commandment?[867]867 Now the promises of the Old Testament are earthly; and yet (with the exception of the sacramental ordinances which were the shadow of things to come, such as circumcision, the Sabbath and other observances of days, and the ceremonies of certain meats,[868]868 and the complicated ritual of sacrifices and sacred things which suited athe oldnessa of the carnal law and its slavish yoke) it contains such precepts of righteousness as we are even now taught to observe, which were especially expressly drawn out on the two tables without figure or shadow: for instance, aThou shalt not commit adultery, â âThou shalt do no murder, â âThou shalt not covet, *â*[869]869 *â* and whatsoever other commandment is briefly comprehended in the saying. Thou shall love thy neighbour as thyself.â[870]870 Nevertheless, whereas as in the said Testament earthly and temporal promises are, as I have said, recited, and these are goods of this corruptible flesh (although they prefigure those heavenly and everlasting blessings which belong to the New Testament), what is now promised is a good for the heart itself, a good for the mind, a good of the spirit, that is, an intellectual good; since it is

said, âl will put my law in their inward parts, and in their hearts will I write them,â[871]871âby which He signified that men would not fear the law which alarmed them externally, but would love the very righteousness of the law which dwelt inwardly in their hearts.

Chapter 37 [XXII.]âThe Eternal Reward.

He then went on to state the reward: all will be their God, and they shall be my people. *â*[872]872 This corresponds to the Psalmistâs words to God: alt is good for me to hold me fast by God.a[873]873 al will be, a says God, atheir God, and they shall be my people. a What is better than this good, what happier than this happiness, ato live to God, to live from God, with whom is the fountain of life, and in whose light we shall see light?[874]874 Of this life the Lord Himself speaks in these words: a This is life eternal that they may know Thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom Thou hast sent, a[875]875 athat is, âThee and Jesus Christ whom Thou hast sent,â the one true God. For no less than this did Himself promise to those who love Him: aHe that loveth me, keepeth my commandments; and he that loveth me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself unto hima[876]876ain the form, no doubt, of God, wherein He is equal to the Father; not in the form of a servant, for in this He will display Himself even to the wicked also. Then, however, shall that come to pass which is written, aLet the ungodly man be taken away, that he see not the glory of the Lord a[877]877 Then also shall athe wicked go into everlasting punishment, and the righteous into life eternal.â[878]878 Now this eternal life, as I have just mentioned, has been defined to be, that they may know the one true God.[879]879 Accordingly John again says: aBeloved, now are we the sons of God; and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that, when He shall appear, we shall be like Him; for we shall see Him as He is.â[880]880 This likeness begins even now to be reformed in us, while the inward man is being renewed from day to day, according to the image of Him that created him.[881]881

Chapter 38 [XXIII.]âThe Re-Formation Which is Now Being Effected, Compared with the Perfection of the Life to Come.

But what is this change, and how great, in comparison with the perfect eminence which is then to be realized? The apostle applies some sort of illustration, derived from well-known things, to these indescribable things, comparing the period of childhood with the age of manhood. âWhen I was a child,â says he, âl used to speak as a child, to understand as a child, to think as a child; but when I became a man, I put aside childish things.â[882]882 He then immediately explains why he said this in these words: âFor now we see by means of a mirror, darkly but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known.â[883]883

Chapter 39 [XXIV]âThe Eternal Reward Which is Specially Declared in the New Testament, Foretold by the Prophet.

Accordingly, in our prophet likewise, whose testimony we are dealing with, this is added, that in God is the reward, in Him the end, in Him

the perfection of happiness, in Him the sum of the blessed and eternal life. For after saying, al will be their God, and they shall be my people, â he at once adds, âAnd they shall no more teach every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for they shall all know me, from the least even unto the greatest of them.a[884]884 Now, the present is certainly the time of the New Testament, the promise of which is given by the prophet in the words which we have quoted from his prophecy. Why then does each man still say even now to his neighbour and his brother, aKnow the Lord?a Or is it not perhaps meant that this is everywhere said when the gospel is preached, and when this is its very proclamation? For on what ground does the apostle call himself aa teacher of the Gentiles, a [885]885 if it be not that what he himself implies in the following passage becomes realized: âHow shall they call on Him in whom they have not believed? and how shall they believe in Him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher?â[886]886 Since, then, this preaching is now everywhere spreading, in what way is it the time of the New Testament of which the prophet spoke in the words, aAnd they shall not every man teach his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord; for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, a[887]887 unless it be that he has included in his prophetic forecast the eternal reward of the said New Testament, by promising us the most blessed contemplation of God Himself?

Chapter 40.âHow that is to Be the Reward of All; The Apostle Earnestly Defends Grace.

What then is the import of the âAll, from the least unto the greatest of them, a but all that belong spiritually to the house of Israel and to the house of Judah, athat is, to the children of Isaac, to the seed of Abraham? For such is the promise, wherein it was said to him, aln Isaac shall thy seed be called; for they which are the children of the flesh are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed. For this is the word of promise, At this time will I come, and Sarah shall have a son. And not only this; but when Rebecca also had conceived by one, even by our father Isaac, (for the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of Him that calleth,) it was said unto her, aThe elder shall serve the younger. a[888]888 This is the house of Israel, or rather the house of Judah, on account of Christ, who came of the tribe of Judah. This is the house of the children of promise, anot by reason of their own merits, but of the kindness of God. For God promises what He Himself performs: He does not Himself promise, and another perform: which would no longer be promising, but prophesying. Hence it is anot of works, but of Him that calleth, a [889]889 lest the result should be their own, not Godâs: lest the reward should be ascribed not to His grace, but to their due; and so grace should be no longer grace which was so earnestly defended and maintained by him who, though the least of the apostles, laboured more abundantly than all the rest, âyet not himself, but the grace of God that was with him.[890]890 aThey shall all know me, a[891]891 He says, a All, a the house of Israel and house of Judah. âAll,â however, âare not Israel which are of Israel,â[892]892

but they only to whom it is said in athe psalm concerning the morning aida[893]893 (that is, concerning the new refreshing light, meaning that of the new testament), âAll ye the seed of Jacob, glorify Him; and fear Him, all ye the seed of Israel.â[894]894 All the seed, without exception, even the entire seed of the promise and of the called, but only of those who are the called according to His purpose.[895]895 aFor whom He did predestinate, them He also called; and whom He called, them He also justified; and whom He justified, them He also glorified. a[896]896 aTherefore it is of faith, that it might be by grace; to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed: not to that only which is of the law,ââthat is, which comes from the Old Testament into the New, ââbut to that also which is of faith, â which was indeed prior to the law, even athe faith of Abraham.ââmeaning those who imitate the faith of Abraham.ââwho is the father of us all; as it is written. I have made thee the father of many nations. a[897]897 Now all these predestinated, called, justified, glorified ones, shall know God by the grace of the new testament, from the least to the greatest of them.

Chapter 41.âThe Law Written in the Heart, and the Reward of the Eternal Contemplation of God, Belong to the New Covenant; Who Among the Saints are the Least and the Greatest.

As then the law of works, which was written on the tables of stone, and its reward, the land of promise, which the house of the carnal Israel after their liberation from Egypt received, belonged to the old testament, so the law of faith, written on the heart, and its reward, the beatific vision which the house of the spiritual Israel, when delivered from the present world, shall perceive, belong to the new testament. Then shall come to pass what the apostle describes: âWhether there be prophecies, they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away, a[898]898aeven that imperfect knowledge of athe childa[899]899 in which this present life is passed, and which is but ain part, a aby means of a mirror darkly. a[900]900 Because of this, indeed, aprophecya is necessary, for still to the past succeeds the future; and because of this, too, atonguesa are required, athat is, a multiplicity of expressions, since it is by different ones that different things are suggested to him who does not as yet contemplate with a perfectly purified mind the everlasting light of transparent truth. aWhen that, however, which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away, a[901]901 then, what appeared to the flesh in assumed flesh shall display Itself as It is in Itself to all who love It; then, there shall be eternal life for us to know the one very God:[902]902 then shall we be like Him, [903]903 because awe shall then know, even as we are known; a[904]904 then athey shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord; for they shall all know me, from the least unto the greatest of them. a[905]905 Now this may be understood in several ways: Either, that in that life the saints shall differ one from another in glory, as star from star. It matters not how the expression runs, awhether (as in the passage before us) it be, a From the least unto the greatest of them, a or the other way, From the greatest unto the least. And, in like manner, it matters not even if we understand athe least at to mean those who simply

believe, and âthe greatestâ those who have been further able to understandâso far as may be in this worldâthe light which is incorporeal and unchangeable. Or, âthe leastâ may mean those who are later in time; whilst by âthe greatestâ He may have intended to indicate those who were prior in time. For they are all to receive the promised vision of God hereafter, since it was for us that they foresaw the future which would be better than their present, that they without us should not arrive at complete perfection.[906]906 And so the earlier are found to be the lesser, because they were less deferred in time; as in the case of the gospel âpenny a day,â which is given for an illustration.[907]907 This penny they are the first to receive who came last into the vineyard. Or, âthe least and the greatestâ ought perhaps to be taken in some other sense, which at present does not occur to my mind.

Chapter 42 [XXV.] aDifference Between the Old and the New Testaments.

I beg of you, however, carefully to observe, as far as you can, what I am endeavouring to prove with so much effort. When the prophet promised a new covenant, not according to the covenant which had been formerly made with the people of Israel when liberated from Egypt, he said nothing about a change in the sacrifices or any sacred ordinances, although such change, too, was without doubt to follow, as we see in fact that it did follow, even as the same prophetic scripture testifies in many other passages; but he simply called attention to this difference, that God would impress His laws on the mind of those who belonged to this covenant, and would write them in their hearts, [908]908 whence the apostle drew his conclusion, âânot with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart; a[909]909 and that the eternal recompense of this righteousness was not the land out of which were driven the Amorites and Hittites, and other nations who dwelt there,[910]910 but God Himself, âto whom it is good to hold fast, a[911]911 in order that Godas good that they love, may be the God Himself whom they love, between whom and men nothing but sin produces separation; and this is remitted only by grace. Accordingly, after saying, aFor all shall know me, from the least to the greatest of them, a He instantly added, a For I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more. a19121912 By the law of works, then. the Lord says, aThou shalt not covet:a[913]913 but by the law of faith He says, aWithout me ye can do nothing; a[914]914 for He was treating of good works, even the fruit of the vine-branches. It is therefore apparent what difference there is between the old covenant and the new, athat in the former the law is written on tables, while in the latter on hearts; so that what in the one alarms from without, in the other delights from within; and in the former man becomes a transgressor through the letter that kills, in the other a lover through the life-giving spirit. We must therefore avoid saying, that the way in which God assists us to work righteousness, and aworks in us both to will and to do of His good pleasure, a[915]915 is by externally addressing to our faculties precepts of holiness; for He gives His increase internally,[916]916 by shedding love abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given to us. a[917]917

Chapter 43 [XXVI.]âA Question Touching the Passage in the Apostle About the Gentiles Who are Said to Do by Nature the Lawâs Commands, Which They are Also Said to Have Written on Their Hearts.

Now we must see in what sense it is that the apostle says, aFor when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves, which show the work of the law written in their hearts. a[918]918 lest there should seem to be no certain difference in the new testament, in that the Lord promised that He would write His laws in the hearts of His people, inasmuch as the Gentiles have this done for them naturally. This question therefore has to be sifted, arising as it does as one of no inconsiderable importance. For some one may say, alf God distinguishes the new testament from the old by this circumstance, that in the old He wrote His law on tables, but in the new He wrote them on menâs hearts, by what are the faithful of the new testament discriminated from the Gentiles, which have the work of the law written on their hearts, whereby they do by nature the things of the law, [919]919 as if, forsooth, they were better than the ancient people, which received the law on tables, and before the new people, which has that conferred on it by the new testament which nature has already bestowed on them?â

Chapter 44.âThe Answer Is, that the Passage Must Be Understood of the Faithful of the New Covenant.

Has the apostle perhaps mentioned those Gentiles as having the law written in their hearts who belong to the new testament? We must look at the previous context. First, then, referring to the gospel, he says, alt is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. For therein is the righteousness of God revealed from faith to faith: as it is written, The just shall live by faith. a[920]920 Then he goes on to speak of the ungodly, who by reason of their pride profit not by the knowledge of God, since they did not glorify Him as God, neither were thankful.[921]921 He then passes to those who think and do the very things which they condemn, a having in view, no doubt, the Jews, who made their boast of Godâs law, but as yet not mentioning them expressly by name; and then he says, all dignation and wrath. tribulation and anguish, upon every soul of man that doeth evil, of the Jew first, and also of the Gentile: but glory, honour, and peace, to every soul that doeth good; to the Jew first, and also to the Gentile: for there is no respect of persons with God. For as many as have sinned without law, shall also perish without law; and as many as have sinned in the law, shall be judged by the law; for not the hearers of the law are just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified. a [922] 922 Who they are that are treated of in these words, he goes on to tell us: aFor when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, a[923]923 and so forth in the passage which I have quoted already. Evidently, therefore, no others are here signified under the name of Gentiles than those whom he had before designated by the name of aGreeka when he said, aTo the Jew first, and also to the Greek.a[924]924 Since then the gospel is athe power of God unto salvation to every one that

believeth, to the Jew first, and, also to the Greek; a[925]925 and since and anguish, are upon every soul of man that doeth evil, of the Jew first, and also of the Greek: but glory, honour, and peace, to every man that doeth good; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek: a since, moreover, the Greek is indicated by the term aGentiles a who do by nature the things contained in the law, and which have the work of the law written in their hearts: it follows that such Gentiles as have the law written in their hearts belong to the gospel, since to them, on their believing, it is the power of God unto salvation. To what Gentiles, however, would he promise glory, and honour, and peace, in their doing good works, if living without the grace of the gospel? Since there is no respect of persons with God.[926]926 and since it is not the hearers of the law. but the doers thereof, that are justified, [927]927 it follows that any man of any nation, whether Jew or Greek, who shall believe, will equally have salvation under the gospel. aFor there is no difference, a as he says afterwards; afor all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God: being justified freely by His grace. a[928]928 How then could he say that any Gentile person, who was a doer of the law, was justified without the Saviouras grace?

Chapter 45.âlt is Not by Their Works, But by Grace, that the Doers of the Law are Justified; Godâs Saints and Godâs Name Hallowed in Different Senses.

Now he could not mean to contradict himself in saying, a The doers of the law shall be justified, a[929]929 as if their justification came through their works, and not through grace; since he declares that a man is justified freely by His grace without the works of the law,[930]930 intending by the term afreelya nothing else than that works do not precede justification. For in another passage he expressly says, alf by grace, then is it no more of works; otherwise grace is no longer grace. a[931]931 But the statement that athe doers of the law shall be justified a [932] 932 must be so understood, as that we may know that they are not otherwise doers of the law, unless they be justified, so that justification does not subsequently accrue to them as doers of the law, but justification precedes them as doers of the law. For what else does the phrase âbeing justifiedâ signify than being made righteous. aby Him. of course, who justifies the ungodly man, that he may become a godly one instead? For if we were to express a certain fact by saying, aThe men will be liberated, a the phrase would of course be understood as asserting that the liberation would accrue to those who were men already; but if we were to say, The men will be created, we should certainly not be understood as asserting that the creation would happen to those who were already in existence, but that they became men by the creation itself. If in like manner it were said, The doers of the law shall be honoured, we should only interpret the statement correctly if we supposed that the honour was to accrue to those who were already doers of the law: but when the allegation is, a The doers of the law shall be justified, a what else does it mean than that the just shall be justified? for of course the doers of the law are just persons. And thus it amounts to the same thing as if it were said. The doers of the law shall be created, anot those who were so already, but that they may become such; in order

that the Jews who were hearers of the law might hereby understand that they wanted the grace of the Justifier, in order to be able to become its doers also. Or else the term âThey shall be justifiedâ is used in the sense of, They shall be deemed, or reckoned as just, as it is predicated of a certain man in the Gospel, âBut he, willing to justify himself,â[933]933âmeaning that he wished to be thought and accounted just. In like manner, we attach one meaning to the statement, âGod sanctifies His saints,â and another to the words, âSanctified be Thy name;â[934]934 for in the former case we suppose the words to mean that He makes those to be saints who were not saints before, and in the latter, that the prayer would have that which is always holy in itself be also regarded as holy by men,âin a word, be feared with a hallowed awe.

Chapter 46.âHow the Passage of the Law Agrees with that of the Prophet.

If therefore the apostle, when he mentioned that the Gentiles do by nature the things contained in the law, and have the work of the law written in their hearts, [935]935 intended those to be understood who believed in Christ, awho do not come to the faith like the Jews, through a precedent law, athere is no good reason why we should endeavour to distinguish them from those to whom the Lord by the prophet promises the new covenant, telling them that He will write His laws in their hearts, [936]936 inasmuch as they too, by the grafting which he says had been made of the wild olive, belong to the self-same olive-tree,[937]937ain other words, to the same people of God. There is therefore a good agreement of this passage of the apostle with the words of the prophet so that belonging to the new testament means having the law of God not written on tables, but on the heart, athat is, embracing the righteousness of the law with innermost affection, where faith works by love. [938]938 Because it is by faith that God justifies the Gentiles; and the Scripture foreseeing this, preached the gospel before to Abraham, saying, all thy seed shall all nations be blessed, a[939]939 in order that by this grace of promise the wild olive might be grafted into the good olive, and believing Gentiles might be made children of Abraham, âin Abrahamâs seed, which is Christ, a[940]940 by following the faith of him who, without receiving the law written on tables, and not vet possessing even circumcision. âbelieved God, and it was counted to him for righteousness. a[941]941 Now what the apostle attributed to Gentiles of this character, ahow that athey have the work of the law written in their hearts; a[942]942 must be some such thing as what he says to the Corinthians: anot in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart.a[943]943 For thus do they become of the house of Israel, when their uncircumcision is accounted circumcision, by the fact that they do not exhibit the righteousness of the law by the excision of the flesh, but keep it by the charity of the heart. alf, a says he, athe uncircumcision keep the righteousness of the law, shall not his uncircumcision be counted for circumcision?â[944]944 And therefore in the house of the true Israel, in which is no guile, [945]945 they are partakers of the new testament, since God puts His laws into their mind, and writes them in their hearts with his own finger, the Holy Ghost, by whom is shed abroad in them the love[946]946 which is the a fulfilling of the law. a [947]947

Chapter 47 [XXVII.]âThe Law âBeing Done by Natureâ Means, Done by Nature as Restored by Grace.

Nor ought it to disturb us that the apostle described them as doing that which is contained in the law aby nature, anot by the Spirit of God, not by faith, not by grace. For it is the Spirit of grace that does it, in order to restore in us the image of God, in which we were naturally created.[948]948 Sin, indeed, is contrary to nature, and it is grace that heals it. a which account the prayer is offered to God. âBe merciful unto me: heal my soul; for I have sinned against Thee.â[949]949 Therefore it is by nature that men do the things which are contained in the law:[950]950 for they who do not, fail to do so by reason of their sinful defect. In consequence of this sinfulness, the law of God is erased out of their hearts; and therefore, when, the sin being healed, it is written there, the prescriptions of the law are done aby nature, anot that by nature grace is denied, but rather by grace nature is repaired. For aby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men; in which all have sinned; a[951]951 wherefore athere is no difference: they all come short of the glory of God, being justified freely by His grace.â[952]952 By this grace there is written on the renewed inner man that righteousness which sin had blotted out; and this mercy comes upon the human race through our Lord Jesus Christ. âFor there is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus.â[953]953

Chapter 48.âThe Image of God is Not Wholly Blotted Out in These Unbelievers; Venial Sins.

According to some, however, they who do by nature the things contained in the law must not be regarded as yet in the number of those whom Christâs grace justifies, but rather as among those some of whose actions (although they are those of ungodly men, who do not truly and rightly worship the true God) we not only cannot blame, but even justly and rightly praise, since they have been doneaso far as we read, or know, or hearâaccording to the rule of righteousness; though at the same time, were we to discuss the question with what motive they are done, they would hardly be found to be such as deserve the praise and defence which are due to righteous conduct. [XXVIII.] Still, since Godâs image has not been so completely erased in the soul of man by the stain of earthly affections, as to have left remaining there not even the merest lineaments of it whence it might be justly said that man, even in the ungodliness of his life, does, or appreciates, some things contained in the law; if this is what is meant by the statement that athe Gentiles, which have not the lawa (that is, the law of God), ado by nature the things contained in the law, a[954]954 and that men of this character aare a law to themselves, and ashow the work of the law written in their hearts, ââthat is to say, what was impressed on their hearts when they were created in the image of God has not been wholly blotted out:âeven in this view of the subject, that wide difference will not be disturbed, which separates the new covenant from the old, and which lies in the fact that by the new covenant the law of God is written in

the hearts of believers, whereas in the old it was inscribed on tables of stone. For this writing in the heart is effected by renovation, although it had not been completely blotted out by the old nature. For just as that image of God is renewed in the mind of believers by the new testament, which implety had not quite abolished (for there had remained undoubtedly that which the soul of man cannot be except it be rational), so also the law of God, which had not been wholly blotted out there by unrighteousness, is certainly written thereon, renewed by grace. Now in the Jews the law which was written on tables could not effect this new inscription, which is justification, but only transgression. For they too were men, and there was inherent in them that power of nature, which enables the rational soul both to perceive and do what is lawful; but the godliness which transfers to another life happy and immortal has a spotless law, converting souls, a[955]955 so that by the light thereof they may be renewed, and that be accomplished in them which is written, aThere has been manifested over us, O Lord, the light of Thy countenance.â[956]956 Turned away from which, they have deserved to grow old, whilst they are incapable of renovation except by the grace of Christ, ain other words, without the intercession of the Mediator; there being aone God and one Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus, who gave Himself a ransom for all â[957]957 Should those be strangers to His grace of whom we are treating, and who (after the manner of which we have spoken with sufficient fulness already) ado by nature the things contained in the law, a[958]958 of what use will be their aexcusing thoughts a to them ain the day when God shall judge the secrets of men, a[959]959 unless it be perhaps to procure for them a milder punishment? For as, on the one hand, there are certain venial sins which do not hinder the righteous man from the attainment of eternal life, and which are unavoidable in this life, so, on the other hand, there are some good works which are of no avail to an ungodly man towards the attainment of everlasting life, although it would be very difficult to find the life of any very bad man whatever entirely without them. But inasmuch as in the kingdom of God the saints differ in glory as one star does from another, [960]960 so likewise, in the condemnation of everlasting punishment, it will be more tolerable for Sodom than for that other city; [961]961 whilst some men will be twofold more the children of hell than others. [962]962 Thus in the iudgment of God not even this fact will be without its influence. athat one man will have sinned more, or less, than another, even when both are involved in the ungodliness that is worthy of damnation.

Chapter 49.âThe Grace Promised by the Prophet for the New Covenant.

What then could the apostle have meant to imply by,âafter checking the boasting of the Jews, by telling them that ânot the hearers of the law are just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified,â[963]963âimmediately afterwards speaking of them âwhich, having not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law,â[964]964 if in this description not they are to be understood who belong to the Mediatorâs grace, but rather they who, while not worshipping the true God with true godliness, do yet exhibit some good works in the general course of their ungodly lives? Or did the apostle perhaps deem it probable, because he had previously said that âwith

God there is no respect of persons, a[965]965 and had afterwards said that aGod is not the God of the Jews only, but also of the Gentiles, *â*[966]966 athat even such scanty little works of the law, as are suggested by nature, were not discovered in such as received not the law, except as the result of the remains of the image of God: which He does not disdain when they believe in Him, with whom there is no respect of persons? But whichever of these views is accepted, it is evident that the grace of God was promised to the new testament even by the prophet, and that this grace was definitively announced to take this shape, aGodas laws were to be written in menas hearts; and they were to arrive at such a knowledge of God, that they were not each one to teach his neighbour and brother, saying, Know the Lord; for all were to know Him, from the least to the greatest of them. [967]967 This is the gift of the Holy Ghost, by which love is shed abroad in our hearts, [968]968anot, indeed, any kind of love, but the love of God, aout of a pure heart, and a good conscience, and an unfeigned faith, a[969]969 by means of which the just man, while living in this pilgrim state, is led on, after the stages of athe glass, and athe enigma, and awhat is in part, a to the actual vision, that, face to face, he may know even as he is known.[970]970 For one thing has he required of the Lord, and that he still seeks after, that he may dwell in the house of the Lord all the days of his life, in order to behold the pleasantness of the Lord.[971]971

Chapter 50 [XXIX.] âRighteousness is the Gift of God.

Let no man therefore boast of that which he seems to possess, as if he had not received it;[972]972 nor let him think that he has received it merely because the external letter of the law has been either exhibited to him to read, or sounded in his ear for him to hear. For âif righteousness is by the law, then Christ has died in vain.â[973]973 Seeing, however, that if He has not died in vain, He has ascended up on high, and has led captivity captive, and has given gifts to men,[974]974 it follows that whosoever has, has from this source. But whosoever denies that he has from Him. either has not, or is in great danger of being deprived of what he has [975]975 aFor it is one God which justifies the circumcision by faith, and the uncircumcision through faith; a[976]976 in which clauses there is no real difference in the sense, as if the phrase aby faitha meant one thing, and athrough faitha another, but only a variety of expression. For in one passage, when speaking of the Gentiles, athat is, of the uncircumcision, ahe says, a The Scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the heathen by faith; a[977]977 and again, in another, when speaking of the circumcision, to which he himself belonged, he says, aWe who are Jews by nature, and not sinners of the Gentiles, knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but through faith in Jesus Christ, even we believed in Jesus Christ.â[978]978 Observe, he says that both the uncircumcision are justified by faith, and the circumcision through faith, if, indeed, the circumcision keep the righteousness of faith. For the Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is by faith,[979]979aby obtaining it of God, not by assuming it of themselves. But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness. And why?

Because they sought it not by faith, but as it were by works[980]980âin other words, working it out as it were by themselves, not believing that it is God who works within them. aFor it is God which worketh in us both to will and to do of His own good pleasure.â[981]981 And hereby âthey stumbled at the stumbling-stone. a[982]982 For what he said, anot by faith, but as it were by works, a[983]983 he most clearly explained in the following words: aThey, being ignorant of Godas righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth a[984]984 Then are we still in doubt what are those works of the law by which a man is not justified, if he believes them to be his own works, as it were, without the help and gift of God, which is aby the faith of Jesus Christ?â And do we suppose that they are circumcision and the other like ordinances, because some such things in other passages are read concerning these sacramental rites too? In this place, however, it is certainly not circumcision which they wanted to establish as their own righteousness, because God established this by prescribing it Himself. Nor is it possible for us to understand this statement, of those works concerning which the Lord says to them, aYe reject the commandment of God, that ye may keep your own tradition; a[985]985 because, as the apostle says, Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness. a[986]986 He did not say, Which followed after their own traditions, framing them and relying on them. This then is the sole distinction, that the very precept, aThou shalt not covet, a[987]987 and Godas other good and holy commandments, they attributed to themselves; whereas, that man may keep them, God must work in him through faith in Jesus Christ, who is âthe end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth.a[988]988 That is to say, every one who is incorporated into Him and made a member of His body, is able, by His giving the increase within, to work righteousness. It is of such a manas works that Christ Himself has said, âWithout me ye can do nothing.â[989]989

Chapter 51.âFaith the Ground of All Righteousness.

The righteousness of the law is proposed in these terms, athat whosoever shall do it shall live in it: and the purpose is, that when each has discovered his own weakness, he may not by his own strength, nor by the letter of the law (which cannot be done), but by faith, conciliating the Justifier, attain, and do, and live in it. For the work in which he who does it shall live, is not done except by one who is justified. His justification, however, is obtained by faith; and concerning faith it is written, aSay not in thine heart, Who shall ascend into heaven? (that is, to bring down Christ therefrom;) or, Who shall descend into the deep? (that is, to bring up Christ again from the dead.) But what saith it? The word is nigh thee, even in thy mouth, and in thy heart: that is (says he), the word of faith which we preach: That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised Him from the dead, thou shalt be saved. a[990]990 As far as he is saved, so far is he righteous. For by this faith we believe that God will raise even us from the dead, aeven now in the spirit, that we may in this present

world live soberly, righteously, and godly in the renewal of His grace; and by and by in our flesh, which shall rise again to immortality, which indeed is the reward of the Spirit, who precedes it by a resurrection which is appropriate to Himself, athat is, by justification. aFor we are buried with Christ by baptism unto death, that like as Christ was raised up from the dead by the glory of the Father, even so we also should walk in newness of life.â[991]991 By faith, therefore, in Jesus Christ we obtain salvation, aboth in so far as it is begun within us in reality, and in so far as its perfection is waited for in hope; afor whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be saved. a[992]992 aHow abundant, a says the Psalmist, ais the multitude of Thy goodness, O Lord, which Thou hast laid up for them that fear Thee, and hast perfected for them that hope in Thee!a[993]993 By the law we fear God; by faith we hope in God; but from those who fear punishment grace is hidden. And the soul which labours under this fear, since it has not conquered its evil concupiscence, and from which this fear, like a harsh master, has not departed, alet it flee by faith for refuge to the mercy of God, that He may give it what He commands, and may, by inspiring into it the sweetness of His grace through His Holy Spirit, cause the soul to delight more in what He teaches it, than it delights in what opposes His instruction. In this manner it is that the great abundance of His sweetness, athat is, the law of faith, a His love which is in our hearts, and shed abroad, is perfected in them that hope in Him, that good may be wrought by the soul, healed not by the fear of punishment, but by the love of righteousness.

Chapter 52 [XXX.] âGrace Establishes Free Will.

Do we then by grace make void free will? God forbid! Nay, rather we establish free will. For even as the law by faith, so free will by grace, is not made void, but established [994]994 For neither is the law fulfilled except by free will; but by the law is the knowledge of sin, by faith the acquisition of grace against sin, by grace the healing of the soul from the disease of sin, by the health of the soul freedom of will, by free will the love of righteousness, by love of righteousness the accomplishment of the law. Accordingly, as the law is not made void, but is established through faith, since faith procures grace whereby the law is fulfilled: so free will is not made void through grace, but is established, since grace cures the will whereby righteousness is freely loved. Now all the stages which I have here connected together in their successive links, have severally their proper voices in the sacred Scriptures. The law says: aThou shall not covet. a [995]995 Faith says: a Heal my soul, for I have sinned against Thee.â[996]996 Grace says: âBehold, thou art made whole: sin no more, lest a worse thing come unto thee.a[997]997 Health says: aO Lord my God, I cried unto Thee, and Thou hast healed me.a[998]998 Free will says: al will freely sacrifice unto Thee.a[999]999 Love of righteousness says: aTransgressors told me pleasant tales, but not according to Thy law, O Lord.â[1000]1000 How is it then that miserable men dare to be proud, either of their free will, before they are freed, or of their own strength, if they have been freed? They do not observe that in the very mention of free will they pronounce the name of liberty. But awhere the Spirit of the Lord is, there is

liberty.â[1001]1001 lf, therefore, they are the slaves of sin, why do they boast of free will? For by what a man is overcome, to the same is he delivered as a slave.[1002]1002 But if they have been freed, why do they vaunt themselves as if it were by their own doing, and boast, as if they had not received? Or are they free in such sort that they do not choose to have Him for their Lord who says to them: âWithout me ye can do nothing;â[1003]1003 and âlf the Son shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed?â[1004]1004

Chapter 53 [XXXI.] âVolition and Ability.

Some one will ask whether the faith itself, in which seems to be the beginning either of salvation, or of that series leading to salvation which I have just mentioned, is placed in our power. We shall see more easily, if we first examine with some care what aour powera means. Since, then, there are two things, awill and ability; it follows that not every one that has the will has therefore the ability also, nor has every one that possesses the ability the will also; for as we sometimes will what we cannot do, so also we sometimes can do what we do not will. From the words themselves when sufficiently considered, we shall detect, in the very ring of the terms, the derivation of volition from willingness, and of ability from ableness.[1005]1005 Therefore, even as the man who wishes has volition, so also the man who can has ability. But in order that a thing may be done by ability, the volition must be present. For no man is usually said to do a thing with ability if he did it unwillingly. Although, at the same time, if we observe more precisely, even what a man is compelled to do unwillingly, he does, if he does it, by his volition; only he is said to be an unwilling agent, or to act against his will, because he would prefer some other thing. He is compelled, indeed, by some unfortunate influence, to do what he does under compulsion, wishing to escape it or to remove it out of his way. For if his volition be so strong that he prefers not doing this to not suffering that, then beyond doubt he resists the compelling influence, and does it not. And accordingly, if he does it, it is not with a full and free will, but yet it is not without will that he does it; and inasmuch as the volition is followed by its effect, we cannot say that he lacked the ability to do it. If, indeed, he willed to do it, yielding to compulsion, but could not, although we should allow that a coerced will was present, we should yet say that ability was absent. But when he did not do the thing because he was unwilling, then of course the ability was present, but the volition was absent, since he did it not, by his resistance to the compelling influence. Hence it is that even they who compel, or who persuade, are accustomed to say. Why donat you do what you have in your ability, in order to avoid this evil? While they who are utterly unable to do what they are compelled to do, because they are supposed to be able usually answer by excusing themselves, and say, I would do it if it were in my ability. What then do we ask more, since we call that ability when to the volition is added the faculty of doing? Accordingly, every one is said to have that in his ability which he does if he likes, and does not if he dislikes.

Chapter 54.âWhether Faith Be in a Manâs Own Power.

Attend now to the point which we have laid down for discussion: whether faith is in our own power? We now speak of that faith which we employ when we believe anything, not that which we give when we make a promise; for this too is called faith.[1006]1006 We use the word in one sense when we say, aHe had no faith in me.a and in another sense when we say, alle did not keep faith with me.a The one phrase means, âHe did not believe what I said;â the other, âHe did not do what he promised.â According to the faith by which we believe, we are faithful to God; but according to that whereby a thing is brought to pass which is promised. God Himself even is faithful to us; for the apostle declares, aGod is faithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted above that ye are able.â[1007]1007 Well, now, the former is the faith about which we inquire, Whether it be in our power? even the faith by which we believe God, or believe on God. For of this it is written, âAbraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness.â[1008]1008 And again, âTo him that believeth on Him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness.â[1009]1009 Consider now whether anybody believes, if he be unwilling; or whether he believes not, if he shall have willed it. Such a position, indeed, is absurd (for what is believing but consenting to the truth of what is said? and this consent is certainly voluntary): faith, therefore, is in our own power. But, as the apostle says: aThere is no power but comes from God, a[1010]1010 what reason then is there why it may not be said to us even of this: aWhat hast thou which thou hast not received?a[1011]1011afor it is God who gave us even to believe. Nowhere, however, in Holy Scripture do we find such an assertion as. There is no volition but comes from God. And rightly is it not so written, because it is not true: otherwise God would be the author even of sins (which Heaven forbid!), if there were no volition except what comes from Him; inasmuch as an evil volition alone is already a sin, even if the effect be wanting, ain other words, if it has not ability. But when the evil volition receives ability to accomplish its intention, this proceeds from the judgment of God, with whom there is no unrighteousness. [1012]1012 He indeed punishes after this manner; nor is His chastisement unjust because it is secret. The ungodly man, however, is not aware that he is being punished, except when he unwillingly discovers by an open penalty how much evil he has willingly committed. This is just what the apostle says of certain men: aGod hath given them up to the evil desires of their own hearts. ... to do those things that are not convenient.â[1013]1013 Accordingly, the Lord also said to Pilate: aThou couldest have no power at all against me, except it were given thee from above.â[1014]1014 But still, when the ability is given, surely no necessity is imposed. Therefore, although David had received ability to kill Saul, he preferred sparing to striking him.[1015]1015 Whence we understand that bad men receive ability for the condemnation of their depraved will, while good men receive ability for trying of their good will.

Chapter 55 [XXXII.] aWhat Faith is Laudable.

Since faith, then, is in our power, inasmuch as every one believes when he likes, and, when he believes, believes voluntarily; our next inquiry, which we must conduct with care, is, What faith it is which the apostle commends with so much earnestness? For indiscriminate faith is not good. Accordingly we find this caution: âBrethren, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God.â[1016]1016 Nor must the clause in commendation of love, that it âbelieveth all things,â[1017]1017 be so understood as if we should detract from the love of any one, if he refuses to believe at once what he hears. For the same love admonishes us that we ought not readily to believe anything evil about a brother; and when anything of the kind is said of him, does it not judge it to be more suitable to its character not to believe? Lastly, the same love, âwhich believeth all things,â does not believe every spirit. Accordingly, charity believes all things no doubt, but it believes in God. Observe, it is not said, Believes in all things. It cannot therefore be doubted that the faith which is commended by the apostle is the faith whereby we believe in God.[1018]1018

Chapter 56.âThe Faith of Those Who are Under the Law Different from the Faith of Others.

But there is yet another distinction to be observed, asince they who are under the law both attempt to work their own righteousness through fear of punishment, and fail to do Godâs righteousness, because this is accomplished by the love to which only what is lawful is pleasing, and never by the fear which is forced to have in its work the thing which is lawful, although it has something else in its will which would prefer, if it were only possible, that to be lawful which is not lawful. These persons also believe in God; for if they had no faith in Him at all, neither would they of course have any dread of the penalty of His law. This, however, is not the faith which the apostle commends. He says: aYe have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father.â[1019]1019 The fear, then, of which we speak is slavish; and therefore, even though there be in it a belief in the Lord, yet righteousness is not loved by it, but condemnation is feared. Godas children, however, exclaim, aAbba, Father, aaone of which words they of the circumcision utter; the other, they of the uncircumcision, athe Jew first, and then the Greek; [1020]1020 since there is aone God, which justifieth the circumcision by faith, and the uncircumcision through faith.â[1021]1021 When indeed they utter this call, they seek something; and what do they seek, but that which they hunger and thirst after? And what else is this but that which is said of them, âBlessed are they which do hunger and thirst after righteousness, for they shall be filled? a[1022]1022 Let, then, those who are under the law pass over hither, and become sons instead of slaves; and vet not so as to cease to be slaves, but so as, while they are sons, still to serve their Lord and Father freely. For even this have they received; for the Only-begotten agave them power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on His name; a[1023]1023 and He advised them to ask, to seek, and to knock, in order to receive, to find, and to have the gate opened to them,[1024]1024 adding by way of rebuke, the words : âlf ye, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask Him?a[1025]1025 When, therefore, that strength of sin, the law,[1026]1026 inflamed the sting of death, even sin, to take

occasion and by the commandment work all manner of concupiscence in them,[1027]1027 of whom were they to ask for the gift of continence but of Him who knows how to give good gifts to His children? Perhaps, however, a man, in his folly, is unaware that no one can be continent except God give him the gift. To know this, indeed, he requires Wisdom herself.[1028]1028 Why, then, does he not listen to the Spirit of his Father, speaking through Christâs apostle, or even Christ Himself, who says in His gospel, âSeek and ye shall find;â[1029]1029 and who also says to us, speaking by His apostle: alf any one of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not, and it shall be given to him. Let him, however, ask in faith, nothing wavering?a[1030]1030 This is the faith by which the just man lives: [1031]1031 this is the faith whereby he believes on Him who justifies the ungodly: [1032]1032 this is the faith through which boasting is excluded.[1033]1033 either by the retreat of that with which we become self-inflated, or by the rising of that with which we glory in the Lord. This, again, is the faith by which we procure that largess of the Spirit, of which it is said: aWe indeed through the Spirit wait for the hope of righteousness by faith.a[1034]1034 But this admits of the further question, Whether he meant by athe hope of righteousnessâ that by which righteousness hopes, or that whereby righteousness is itself hoped for? For the just man, who lives by faith, hopes undoubtedly for eternal life; and the faith likewise, which hungers and thirsts for righteousness, makes progress therein by the renewal of the inward man day by day,[1035]1035 and hopes to be satiated therewith in that eternal life, where shall be realized that which is said of God by the psalm: aWho satisfieth thy desire with good things.â[1036]1036 This, moreover, is the faith whereby they are saved to whom it is said: aBy grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: not of works, lest any man should boast. For we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.â[1037]1037 This, in short, is the faith which works not by fear, but by love; [1038]1038 not by dreading punishment, but by loving righteousness. Whence, therefore, arises this love, athat is to say, this charity, âby which faith works, if not from the source whence faith itself obtained it? For it would not be within us, to what extent soever it is in us, if it were not diffused in our hearts by the Holy Ghost who is given to us.[1039]1039 Now athe love of Goda is said to be shed abroad in our hearts, not because He loves us, but because He makes us lovers of Himself; just as âthe righteousness of Goda[1040]1040 is used in the sense of our being made righteous by His gift; and athe salvation of the Lord, a[1041]1041 in that we are saved by Him; and athe faith of Jesus Christ, a[1042]1042 because He makes us believers in Him. This is that righteousness of God, which He not only teaches us by the precept of His law, but also bestows upon us by the gift of His Spirit.

Chapter 57 [XXXIII.]âWhence Comes the Will to Believe?

But it remains for us briefly to inquire, Whether the will by which we believe be itself the gift of God, or whether it arise from that free will which is naturally implanted in us? If we say that it is not the gift of God, we must then incur the fear of supposing that we have discovered some answer to the apostleas reproachful appeal: aWhat hast thou that thou didst not receive? Now, if thou didst receive it, why dost thou glory, as if thou hadst not received it?â[1043]1043âeven some such an answer as this: aSee, we have the will to believe, which we did not receive. See in what we glory, aeven in what we did not receive!â lf, however, we were to say that this kind of will is nothing but the gift of God, we should then have to fear lest unbelieving and ungodly men might not unreasonably seem to have some fair excuse for their unbelief, in the fact that God has refused to give them this will. Now this that the apostle says, alt is God that worketh in you both to will and to do of His own good pleasure, a[1044]1044 belongs already to that grace which faith secures, in order that good works may be within the reach of man, aeven the good works which faith achieves through the love which is shed abroad in the heart by the Holy Ghost which is given to us. If we believe that we may attain this grace (and of course believe voluntarily), then the question arises whence we have this will?âif from nature, why it is not at everybodyas command, since the same God made all men? if from Godâs gift, then again, why is not the gift open to all, since aHe will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth?â[1045]1045

Chapter 58.âThe Free Will of Man is an Intermediate Power.

Let us then, first of all, lay down this proposition, and see whether it satisfies the question before us: that free will, naturally assigned by the Creator to our rational soul, is such a neutral[1046]1046 power, as can either incline towards faith, or turn towards unbelief. Consequently a man cannot be said to have even that will with which he believes in God, without having received it; since this rises at the call of God out of the free will which he received naturally when he was created. God no doubt wishes all men to be saved[1047]1047 and to come into the knowledge of the truth; but yet not so as to take away from them free will, for the good or the evil use of which they may be most righteously judged. This being the case, unbelievers indeed do contrary to the will of God when they do not believe His gospel; nevertheless they do not therefore overcome His will, but rob their own selves of the great, nay, the very greatest, good, and implicate themselves in penalties of punishment, destined to experience the power of Him in punishments whose mercy in His gifts they despised. Thus Godâs will is for ever invincible; but it would be vanguished, unless it devised what to do with such as despised it, or if these despises could in any way escape from the retribution which He has appointed for such as they. Suppose a master, for example, who should say to his servants, I wish you to labour in my vineyard, and, after your work is done, to feast and take your rest but who, at the same time, should require any who refused to work to grind in the mill ever after. Whoever neglected such a command would evidently act contrary to the masteras will; but he would do more than that, ahe would vanguish that will, if he also escaped the mill. This, however, cannot possibly happen under the government of God. Whence it is written, aGod hath spoken once, aathat is, irrevocably, aalthough the passage may refer also to His one only Word.[1048]1048 He then adds what it is which He had irrevocably uttered, saying: aTwice have I

heard this, that power belongeth unto God. Also unto Thee, O Lord, doth mercy belong: because Thou wilt render to every man according to his work.â[1049]1049 He therefore will be guilty unto condemnation under Godâs power, who shall think too contemptuously of His mercy to believe in Him. But whosoever shall put his trust in Him, and yield himself up to Him, for the forgiveness of all his sins, for the cure of all his corruption, and for the kindling and illumination of his soul by His warmth and light, shall have good works by his grace; and by them[1050]1050 he shall be even in his body redeemed from the corruption of death, crowned, satisfied with blessings,ânot temporal, but eternal,âabove what we can ask or understand.

Chapter 59.âMercy and Pity in the Judgment of God.

This is the order observed in the psalm, where it is said: aBless the Lord, O my soul, and forget not all His recompenses; who forgive hall thine iniquities; who healeth all thy diseases; who redeemeth thy life from destruction; who crowneth thee with loving-kindness and tender mercy; who satisfieth thy desire with good things. a[1051]1051 And lest by any chance these great blessings should be despaired of under the deformity of our old, that is, mortal condition, the Psalmist at once says, aThy youth shall be renewed like the eagleas; a[1052]1052 as much as to say, All that you have heard belongs to the new man and to the new covenant. Now let us consider together briefly these things, and with delight contemplate the praise of mercy, that is, of the grace of God. âBless the Lord. O my soul, â he says, âand forget not all His recompenses.â Observe, he does not say blessings, but recompenses;[1053]1053 because He recompenses evil with good. âWho forgiveth all thine iniquities: a this is done in the sacrament of baptism. âWho healeth all thy diseases:â this is effected by the believer in the present life, while the flesh so lusts against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh, that we do not the things we would;[1054]1054 whilst also another law in our members wars against the law of our mind; [1055]1055 whilst to will is present indeed to us but not how to perform that which is good. [1056]1056 These are the diseases of a manâs old nature which, however, if we only advance with persevering purpose, are healed by the growth of the new nature day by day, by the faith which operates through love. [1057]1057 aWho redeemeth thy life from destruction: a this will take place at the resurrection of the dead in the last day. aWho crowneth thee with loving-kindness and tender mercy; a this shall be accomplished in the day of judgment; for when the righteous King shall sit upon His throne to render to every man according to his works, who shall then boast of having a pure heart? or who shall glory of being clean from sin? It was therefore necessary to mention Godâs loving-kindness and tender mercy there, where one might expect debts to be demanded and deserts recompensed so strictly as to leave no room for mercy. He crowns, therefore, with loving-kindness and tender mercy; but even so according to works. For he shall be separated to the right hand, to whom, it is said, al was an hungered, and ye gave me meat.a[1058]1058 There will, however, be also ajudgment without mercy; a but it will be for him athat hath not showed mercy.a[1059]1059 But ablessed are the merciful: for they shall obtain mercya[1060]1060 of God. Then, as soon as those on the left hand shall have gone into eternal fire, the

righteous, too, shall go into everlasting life,[1061]1061 because He says: aThis is life eternal, that they may know Thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom Thou hast sent.a[1062]1062 And with this knowledge, this vision, this contemplation, shall the desire of their soul be satisfied: for it shall be enough for it to have this and nothing else, athere being nothing more for it to desire, to aspire to, or to require. It was with a craving after this full joy that his heart glowed who said to the Lord Christ, a Show us the Father, and it sufficeth us; and to whom the answer was returned, aHe that hath seen me hath seen the Father. a[1063]1063 Because He is Himself the eternal life, in order that men may know the one true God, Thee and whom Thou hast sent, Jesus Christ. If, however, he that has seen the Son has also seen the Father, then assuredly he who sees the Father and the Son sees also the Holy Spirit of the Father and the Son. So we do not take away free will, whilst our soul blesses the Lord and forgets not all His recompenses; [1064]1064 nor does it, in ignorance of Godâs righteousness, wish to set up one of its own;[1065]1065 but it believes in Him who justifies the ungodly, [1066]1066 and until it arrives at sight, it lives by faith, aeven the faith which works by love.[1067]1067 And this love is shed abroad in our hearts, not by the sufficiency of our own will, nor by the letter of the law, but by the Holy Ghost who has been given to us.[1068]1068

Chapter 60 [XXXIV.]âThe Will to Believe is from God.

Let this discussion suffice, if it satisfactorily meets the question we had to solve. It may be, however, objected in reply, that we must take heed lest some one should suppose that the sin would have to be imputed to God which is committed by free will, if in the passage where it is asked, âWhat hast thou which thou didst not receive?a[1069]1069 the very will by which we believe is reckoned as a gift of God, because it arises out of the free will which we received at our creation. Let the objector, however, attentively observe that this will is to be ascribed to the divine gift, not merely because it arises from our free will, which was created naturally with us; but also because God acts upon us by the incentives of our perceptions, to will and to believe, either externally by evangelical exhortations, where even the commands of the law also do something, if they so far admonish a man of his infirmity that he betakes himself to the grace that justifies by believing; or internally, where no man has in his own control what shall enter into his thoughts, although it appertains to his own will to consent or to dissent. Since God, therefore, in such ways acts upon the reasonable soul in order that it may believe in Him (and certainly there is no ability whatever in free will to believe, unless there be persuasion or summons towards some one in whom to believe), it surely follows that it is God who both works in man the willing to believe, and in all things prevents us with His mercy. To yield our consent, indeed, to Godâs summons, or to withhold it, is (as I have said) the function of our own will. And this not only does not invalidate what is said, aFor what hast thou that thou didst not receive?â[1070]1070 but it really confirms it. For the soul cannot receive and possess these gifts, which are here referred to, except by yielding its consent. And thus whatever it possesses, and whatever it receives, is from God; and yet the act of receiving and

having belongs, of course, to the receiver and possessor. Now, should any man be for constraining us to examine into this profound mystery, why this person is so persuaded as to yield, and that person is not, there are only two things occurring to me, which I should like to advance as my answer: a0 the depth of the riches!a[1071]1071 and als there unrighteousness with God?a[1072]1072 If the man is displeased with such an answer, he must seek more learned disputants; but let him beware lest he find presumptuous ones.

Chapter 61 [XXXV.] aConclusion of the Work.

Let us at last bring our book to an end. I hardly know whether we have accomplished our purpose at all by our great prolixity. It is not in respect of you, [my Marcellinus,] that I have this misgiving, for I know your faith; but with reference to the minds of those for whose sake you wished me to write,âwho so much in opposition to my opinion, but (to speak mildly, and not to mention Him who spoke in His apostles) certainly against not only the opinion of the great Apostle Paul, but also his strong, earnest, and vigilant conflict, prefer maintaining their own views with tenacity to listening to him, when he âbeseeches them by the mercies of God,â and tells them, âthrough the grace of God which was given to him, not to think of themselves more highly than they ought to think, but to think soberly, according as God had dealt to every man the measure of faith.â[1073]1073

Chapter 62.âHe Returns to the Question Which Marcellinus Had Proposed to Him.

But I beg of you to advert to the question which you proposed to me, and to what we have made out of it in the lengthy process of this discussion. You were perplexed how I could have said that it was possible for a man to be without sin, if his will were not wanting, by the help of Godas aid, although no man in the present life had ever lived, was living, or would live, of such perfect righteousness. Now, in the books which I formerly addressed to you, I set forth this very question. I said: alf I were asked whether it be possible for a man to be without sin in this life, I should allow the possibility, by the grace of God, and his own free will; for I should have no doubt that the free will itself is of Godâs grace. athat is, has its place among the gifts of God, anot only as to its existence, but also in respect of its goodness; that is, that it applies itself to doing the commandments of God. And so, Godas grace not only shows what ought to be done, but also helps to the possibility of doing what it shows.â[1074]1074 You seemed to think it absurd, that a thing which was possible should be unexampled. Hence arose the subject treated of in this book; and thus did it devolve on me to show that a thing was possible although no example of it could be found. We accordingly adduced certain cases out of the gospel and of the law, at the beginning of this work, as the passing of a camel through the eye of a needle; [1075]1075 and the twelve thousand legions of angels, who could fight for Christ, if He pleased; [1076]1076 and those nations which God said He could have exterminated at once from the face of His people, [1077]1077ânone of which possibilities were ever reduced to fact. To these instances may be added those which are referred to in

the Book of Wisdom,[1078]1078 suggesting how many are the strange torments and troubles which God was able to employ against ungodly men, by using the creature which was obedient to His beck, which, however, He did not employ. One might also allude to that mountain, which faith could remove into the sea,[1079]1079 although, nevertheless, it was never done, so far as we have ever read[1080]1080 or heard. Now you see how thoughtless and foolish would be the man who should say that any one of these things is impossible with God, and how opposed to the sense of Scripture would be his assertion. Many other cases of this kind may occur to anybody who reads or thinks, the possibility of which with God we cannot deny, although an example of them be lacking.

#### Chapter 63.âAn Objection.

But inasmuch as it may be said that the instances which I have been quoting are divine works, whereas to live righteously is a work that belongs to ourselves. I undertook to show that even this too is a divine work. This I have done in the present book, with perhaps a fuller statement than is necessary, although I seem to myself to have said too little against the opponents of the grace of God. And I am never so much delighted in my treatment of a subject as when Scripture comes most copiously to my aid; and when the question to be discussed requires that ahe that glorieth should glory in the Lord; a[1081]1081 and that we should in all things lift up our hearts and give thanks to the Lord our God, from whom, aas the Father of lights, every good and every perfect gift cometh down.a[1082]1082 Now if a gift is not Godas gift, because it is wrought by us, or because we act by His gift, then it is not a work of God that âa mountain should be removed into the sea, a inasmuch as, according to the Lordas statement, it is by the faith of men that this is possible. Moreover, He attributes the deed to their actual operation: all ye have faith in yourselves as a grain of mustard-seed, ye shall say unto this mountain, aBe thou removed, and be thou cast into the sea; and it shall be done, and nothing shall be impossible to you.â[1083]1083 Observe how He said âto you,â not âto Meâ or âto the Father; â and yet it is certain that no man does such a thing without Godâs gift and operation. See how an instance of perfect righteousness is unexampled among men, and yet is not impossible. For it might be achieved if there were only applied so much of will as suffices for so great a thing. There would, however, be so much will, if there were hidden from us none of those conditions which pertain to righteousness; and at the same time these so delighted our mind, that whatever hindrance of pleasure or pain might else occur, this delight in holiness would prevail over every rival affection. And that this is not realized, is not owing to any intrinsic impossibility, but to Godâs judicial act. For who can be ignorant, that what he should know is not in manas power; nor does it follow that what he has discovered to be a desirable object is actually desired, unless he also feel a delight in that object, commensurate with its claims on his affection? For this belongs to health of soul.

Chapter 64 [XXXVI.] aWhen the Commandment to Love is Fulfilled.

But somebody will perhaps think that we lack nothing for the knowledge

of righteousness, since the Lord, when He summarily and briefly expounded His word on earth, informed us that the whole law and the prophets depend on two commandments;[1084]1084 nor was He silent as to what these were, but declared them in the plainest words: aThou shall love.â said He. âthe Lord thy God. with all thy heart. and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind; and a Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.â[1085]1085 What is more surely true than that, if these be fulfilled, all righteousness is fulfilled? But the man who sets his mind on this truth must also carefully attend to another.âin how many things we all of us offend.[1086]1086 while we suppose that what we do is pleasant, or, at all events, not unpleasing, to God whom we love; and afterwards, having (through His inspired word, or else by being warned in some clear and certain way) learned what is not pleasing to Him, we pray to Him that He would forgive us on our repentance. The life of man is full of examples of this. But whence comes it that we fall short of knowing what is pleasing to Him, if it be not that He is to that extent unknown to us? aFor now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face.â[1087]1087 Who, however, can make so bold, on arriving far enough, to say: aThen shall I know even as also I am known, a[1088]1088 as to think that they who shall see God will have no greater love towards Him than they have who now believe in Him? or that the one ought to be compared to the other, as if they were very near to each other? Now, if love increases just in proportion as our knowledge of its object becomes more intimate, of course we ought to believe that there is as much wanting now to the fulfilment of righteousness as there is defective in our love of it. A thing may indeed be known or believed, and yet not loved; but it is an impossibility that a thing can be loved which is neither known nor believed. But if the saints, in the exercise of their faith, could arrive at that great love, than which (as the Lord Himself testified) no greater can possibly be exhibited in the present life, aeven to lay down their lives for the faith, or for their brethren, [1089]1089âthen after their pilgrimage here, in which their walk is by afaith, a when they shall have reached the asighta of that final happiness[1090]1090 which we hope for, though as yet we see it not, and wait for in patience, [1091]1091 then undoubtedly love itself shall be not only greater than that which we here experience, but far higher than all which we ask or think; [1092]1092 and yet it cannot be possibly more than awith all our heart, and with all our soul, and with all our mind.â For there remains in us nothing which can be added to the whole; since, if anything did remain, there would not be the whole. Therefore the first commandment about righteousness, which bids us love the Lord with all our heart, and soul, and mind[1093]1093 (the next to which is, that we love our neighbour as ourselves), we shall completely fulfil in that life when we shall see face to face.[1094]1094 But even now this commandment is enjoined upon us, that we may be reminded what we ought by faith to require, and what we should in our hope look forward to, and, aforgetting the things which are behind, reach forth to the things which are before.a[1095]1095 And thus, as it appears to me, that man has made a far advance, even in the present life, in the righteousness which is to be perfected hereafter, who has discovered by this very advance how very far removed he is from the completion of righteousness.

Chapter 65.âln What Sense a Sinless Righteousness in This Life Can Be Asserted.

Forasmuch, however, as an inferior righteousness may be said to be competent to this life, whereby the just man lives by faith[1096]1096 although absent from the Lord, and, therefore, walking by faith and not yet by sight, [1097]1097 ait may be without absurdity said, no doubt, in respect of it, that it is free from sin; for it ought not to be attributed to it as a fault, that it is not as yet sufficient for so great a love to God as is due to the final, complete, and perfect condition thereof. It is one thing to fail at present in attaining to the fulness of love, and another thing to be swayed by no lust. A man ought therefore to abstain from every unlawful desire, although he loves God now far less than it is possible to love Him when He becomes an object of sight; just as in matters connected with the bodily senses, the eye can receive no pleasure from any kind of darkness, although it may be unable to look with a firm sight amidst refulgent light. Only let us see to it that we so constitute the soul of man in this corruptible body, that, although it has not yet swallowed up and consumed the motions of earthly lust in that super-eminent perfection of the love of God, it nevertheless, in that inferior righteousness to which we have referred, gives no consent to the aforesaid lust for the purpose of effecting any unlawful thing. In respect, therefore, of that immortal life, the commandment is even now applicable: aThou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy might; a[1098]1098 but in reference to the present life the following: aLet not sin reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof.â[1099]1099 To the one, again, belongs, aThou shalt not covet; a[1100]1100 to the other, aThou shalt not go after thy lusts a[1101]1101 To the one it appertains to seek for nothing more than to continue in its perfect state; to the other it belongs actively to do the duty committed to it, and to hope as its reward for the perfection of the future life, aso that in the one the just man may live forevermore in the sight of that happiness which in this life was his object of desire; in the other, he may live by that faith whereon rests his desire for the ultimate blessedness as its certain end. (These things being so, it will be sin in the man who lives by faith ever to consent to an unlawful delight, aby committing not only frightful deeds and crimes, but even trifling faults: sinful. if he lend an ear to a word that ought not to be listened to, or a tongue to a phrase which should not be uttered; sinful, if he entertains a thought in his heart in such a way as to wish that an evil pleasure were a lawful one, although known to be unlawful by the commandment, afor this amounts to a consent to sin, which would certainly be carried out in act, unless fear of punishment deterred.)[1102]1102 Have such just men, while living by faith, no need to say: âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors?â[1103]1103 And do they prove this to be wrong which is written, aln Thy sight shall no man living be justified?a[1104]1104 and this: alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us?â[1105]1105 and, âThere is no man that sinneth not; a[1106]1106 and again, aThere is not on the earth a righteous man, who doeth good and sinneth nota[1107]1107 (for both these statements are expressed in a general future sense, â â sinneth not, â â will not

sin,âânot in the past time, âhas not sinnedâ)?âand all other places of this purport contained in the Holy Scripture? Since, however, these passages cannot possibly be false, it plainly follows, to my mind, that whatever be the quality or extent of the righteousness which we may definitely ascribe to the present life, there is not a man living in it who is absolutely free from all sin; and that it is necessary for every one to give, that it may be given to him;[1108]1108 and to forgive, that it may be forgiven him; [1109]1109 and whatever righteousness he has, not to presume that he has it of himself, but from the grace of God, who justifies him, and still to go on hungering and thirsting for righteousness[1110]1110 from Him who is the living bread,[1111]1111 and with whom is the fountain of life;[1112]1112 who works in His saints, whilst labouring amidst temptation in this life, their justification in such manner that He may still have somewhat to impart to them liberally when they ask, and something mercifully to forgive them when they confess.

Chapter 66.âAlthough Perfect Righteousness Be Not Found Here on Earth, It is Still Not Impossible.

But let objectors find, if they can, any man, while living under the weight of this corruption, in whom God has no longer anything to forgive; unless nevertheless they acknowledge that such an individual has been aided in the attainment of his good character not merely by the teaching of the law which God gave, but also by the infusion of the Spirit of graceathey will incur the charge of ungodliness itself. not of this or that particular sin. Of course they are not at all able to discover such a man, if they receive in a becoming manner the testimony of the divine writings. Still, for all that, it must not by any means be said that the possibility is lacking to God whereby the will of man can be so assisted, that there can be accomplished in every respect even now in a man, not that righteousness only which is of faith, [1113]1113 but that also in accordance with which we shall by and by have to live for ever in the very vision of God. For if he should now wish even that this corruptible in any particular man should put on incorruption,[1114]1114 and to command him so to live among mortal men (not destined himself to die) that his old nature should be wholly and entirely withdrawn, and there should be no law in his members warring against the law of his mind.[1115]1115âmoreover. that he should discover God to be everywhere present, as the saints shall hereafter know and behold Him, awho will madly venture to affirm that this is impossible? Men, however, ask why He does not do this; but they who raise the question consider not duly the fact that they are human. I am guite certain that, as nothing is impossible with God[1116]1116 so also there is no iniquity with Him.[1117]1117 Equally sure am I that He resists the proud, and gives grace to the humble.[1118]1118 I know also that to him who had a thorn in the flesh, the messenger of Satan to buffet him, lest he should be exalted above measure, it was said, when he besought God for its removal once, twice, nay thrice: âMy grace is sufficient for thee; for my strength is made perfect in weakness.â[1119]1119 There is, therefore, in the hidden depths of Godâs judgments, a certain reason why every mouth even of the righteous should be shut in its own praise, and only opened for the praise of God. But what this certain reason is, who can

search, who investigate, who know? So âunsearchable are His judgments, and His ways past finding out! For who hath known the mind of the Lord? or who hath been his counsellor? or who hath first given to Him, and it shall be recompensed unto him again? For of Him, and through Him, and to Him, are all things: to whom be glory for ever. Amen.â[1120]1120

a treatise on nature and grace.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 42,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe natura et gratia.â

## ââââââââââââ

âAt that time also there came into my hands a certain book of Pelagiusâ, in which he defends, with all the argumentative skill he could muster, the nature of man, in opposition to the grace of God whereby the unrighteous is justified and we become Christians. The treatise which contains my reply to him, and in which I defend grace, not indeed as in opposition to nature, but as that which liberates and controls nature, I have entitled On Nature and Grace. In this work sundry short passages, which were quoted by Pelagius as the words of the Roman bishop and martyr, Xystus, were vindicated by myself[1121]1121 as if they really were the words of this Sixtus. For this I thought them at the time; but I afterwards discovered, that Sextus the heathen philosopher, and not Xystus the Christian bishop, was their author. This treatise of mine begins with the words: âThe book which you sent me.ââ

Note on the Following Work.

## âââââââââââââ

In a letter (169th[1122]1122) to Evodius, written in the course of the year A.D. 415, Augustin assigned to this work, On Nature and Grace, the last place of several treatises written in that year. al have also written, a says he, aan extensive book in opposition to the heresy of Pelagius, at the request of some brethren, whom he had persuaded to accept a very pernicious opinion against the grace of Christ.â The work had been begun, but was not completed, when Orosius sailed from Africa to Palestine, in the spring of this year of 415; for, shortly after his arrival there, at a council in Jerusalem, where Pelagius was present, he expressly affirmed, athat the blessed Augustin had prepared a very complete answer to Pelagiusâ book, two of whose followers had presented the work to him, and requested him to reply to it.â Jerome, also, at this time mentioned a certain production of Augustinâs, which he had not vet seen, wherein it was said that he had expressly opposed Pelagius. His words, which occur in his third dialogue against the heresy of Pelagius, are these: alt is said that

he is preparing other treatises likewise, especially against your name.â Augustin, however, did not actually employ in this work of his the name of Pelagius, whose book he was refuting, in order that (as he says in his letter [186th] to Paulinus) he might not by personal irritation drive him into a more incurable degree of opposition; for he hoped to be of some service to his opponent, if by still maintaining friendly terms with him he might be able to spare his feelings, although he could not in duty show leniency to his writings. Thus, at least, he expresses his mind, in his book On the Proceedings of Pelagius, ch. xxiii. No. 47. In this latter passage he subjoins a letter which he had received from Timasius and Jacobus, containing the expression of great gratitude to Augustin on receiving his volume On Nature and Grace, in which they expressed âtheir agreeable surpriseâ at the answers he had furnished to them âon every pointâ of the Pelagian controversy.

In the following year Augustin despatched this work, along with Pelagiusâ own book, to John, bishop of Jerusalem, in order that that prelate might at length become acquainted with the views of the new heresiarch, accompanying the books with a letter to the bishop [179th]. In the course of this year 416, he had the same two treatises (his own and Pelagiusâ) forwarded to Pope Innocent, with a letter [177th] sent in the name of five bishops, to which Innocent returned an answer [183d]. It may be here stated, that in this last-mentioned letter [183, n. 5], and in the foregoing epistle [177, n. 6], there is honourable mention made of Timasius and Jacobus, as aconscientious and honourable young men, servants of God, who had relinquished the hope which they had in the world, and continued diligently to serve God.â The same persons are described in another epistle [179, n. 2] as âyoung men of very honourable birth, and highly educated; and in the work On the Proceedings of Pelagius, ch. xxiii. No. 47, they are called aservants of God, good, and honourable men.a

Julianus [who espoused the side of Pelagius], in his work addressed to Florus (book iv. n. 112, of the Imperfect Work),[1123]1123 quotes this treatise of Augustinâs as addressed to Timasius, and calumniously pronounces it to be written âagainst free will.â

A Treatise on nature and grace, against pelagius;

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

Contained in One Book, addressed to timasius and jacobus.

written in the year of our lord 415.

#### âââââââââââââ

He begins with a statement of what is to be investigated concerning nature and grace; he shows that nature, as propagated from the flesh of the sinful Adam, being no longer what God made it at first,âfaultless and sound,ârequires the aid of grace, in order that it may be redeemed from the wrath of God and regulated for the perfection of righteousness: that the penal fault of nature leads to a most righteous retribution: whilst grace itself is not rendered to any deserts of ours, but is given gratuitously; and they who are not delivered by it are justly condemned. He afterwards refutes, with answers on every several point, a work by Pelagius, who supports this self-same nature in opposition to grace; among other things especially, in his desire to recommend the opinion that a man can live without sin, he contended that nature had not been weakened and changed by sin; for, otherwise, the matter of sin (which he thinks absurd) would be its punishment, if the sinner were weakened to such a degree that he committed more sin. He goes on to enumerate sundry righteous men both of the Old and of the New Testaments: deeming these to have been free from sin, he alleged the possibility of not sinning to be inherent in man; and this he attributed to Godas grace, on the ground that God is the author of that nature in which is inseparably inherent this possibility of avoiding sin. Towards the end of this treatise there is an examination of sundry extracts from old writers, which Pelagius adduced in support of his views, and expressly from Hilary, Ambrose, and even Augustin himself.

Chapter 1 [I.]âThe Occasion of Publishing This Work; What Godâs Righteousness is.

The book which you sent to me, my beloved sons, Timasius and Jacobus, I have read through hastily, but not indifferently, omitting only the few points which are plain enough to everybody; and I saw in it a man inflamed with most ardent zeal against those, who, when in their sins they ought to censure human will, are more forward in accusing the nature of men, and thereby endeavour to excuse themselves. He shows too great a fire against this evil, which even authors of secular literature have severely censured with the exclamation: aThe human race falsely complains of its own nature!â[1124]1124 This same sentiment your author also has strongly insisted upon, with all the powers of his talent. I fear, however, that he will chiefly help those awho have a zeal for God, but not according to knowledge, a who, abeing ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves to the righteousness of God.â[1125]1125 Now, what the righteousness of God is, which is spoken of here, he immediately afterwards explains by adding: aFor Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth.â[1126]1126 This righteousness of God, therefore, lies not in the commandment of the law, which excites fear, but in the aid afforded by the grace of Christ, to which alone the fear of the law, as of a schoolmaster, [1127]1127 usefully conducts. Now, the man who understands this understands why he is a Christian. For alf righteousness came by the law, then Christ is dead in vain.â[1128]1128 If, however He did not die in vain, in Him only is the ungodly man justified, and to him, on believing in Him who justifies the ungodly, faith is reckoned for righteousness.[1129]1129 For all men have sinned and come short of the glory of God, being justified freely by His blood.[1130]1130 But all those who do not think themselves to belong to the aall who have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, a have of course no need to become Christians, because athey that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick; a[1131]1131 whence it is, that He came not to call the righteous, but sinners to

# repentance.[1132]1132

Chapter 2 [II.]âFaith in Christ Not Necessary to Salvation, If a Man Without It Can Lead a Righteous Life.

Therefore the nature of the human race, generated from the flesh of the one transgressor, if it is self-sufficient for fulfilling the law and for perfecting righteousness, ought to be sure of its reward, that is, of everlasting life, even if in any nation or at any former time faith in the blood of Christ was unknown to it. For God is not so unjust as to defraud righteous persons of the reward of righteousness, because there has not been announced to them the mystery of Christâs divinity and humanity, which was manifested in the flesh.[1133]1133 For how could they believe what they had not heard of; or how could they hear without a preacher?[1134]1134 For afaith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of Christ.â But I say (adds he): Have they not heard? âYea, verily; their sound went out into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world.â[1135]1135 Before, however, all this had been accomplished, before the actual preaching of the gospel reaches the ends of all the earthâbecause there are some remote nations still (although it is said they are very few) to whom the preached gospel has not found its way, awhat must human nature do, or what has it doneafor it had either not heard that all this was to take place, or has not yet learnt that it was accomplished abut believe in God who made heaven and earth, by whom also it perceived by nature that it had been itself created, and lead a right life, and thus accomplish His will, uninstructed with any faith in the death and resurrection of Christ? Well, if this could have been done, or can still be done, then for my part I have to say what the apostle said in regard to the law: aThen Christ died in vain.a[1136]1136 For if he said this about the law, which only the nation of the Jews received, how much more justly may it be said of the law of nature, which the whole human race has received, alf righteousness come by nature, then Christ died in vain.â lf, however, Christ did not die in vain, then human nature cannot by any means be justified and redeemed from Godâs most righteous wrathâin a word, from punishmentâexcept by faith and the sacrament of the blood of Christ.

Chapter 3 [III.]âNature Was Created Sound and Whole; It Was Afterwards Corrupted by Sin.

Manâs nature, indeed, was created at first faultless and without any sin; but that nature of man in which every one is born from Adam, now wants the Physician, because it is not sound. All good qualities, no doubt, which it still possesses in its make, life, senses, intellect, it has of the Most High God, its Creator and Maker. But the flaw, which darkens and weakens all those natural goods, so that it has need of illumination and healing, it has not contracted from its blameless Creatorâbut from that original sin, which it committed by free will. Accordingly, criminal nature has its part in most righteous punishment. For, if we are now newly created in Christ,[1137]1137 we were, for all that, children of wrath, even as others,[1138]1138 âbut God, who is rich in mercy, for His great love wherewith He loved us, even when we were dead in sins, hath quickened us together with Christ, by whose grace we were saved.â[1139]1139

Chapter 4 [IV.]âFree Grace.

This grace, however, of Christ, without which neither infants nor adults can be saved, is not rendered for any merits, but is given gratis, on account of which it is also called grace. âBeing justified,â says the apostle, âfreely through His blood.â[1140]1140 Whence they, who are not liberated through grace, either because they are not yet able to hear, or because they are unwilling to obey; or again because they did not receive, at the time when they were unable on account of youth to hear, that bath of regeneration, which they might have received and through which they might have been saved, are indeed justly condemned; because they are not without sin, either that which they have derived from their birth, or that which they have added from their own misconduct. âFor all have sinnedââwhether in Adam or in themselvesââand come short of the glory of God.â[1141]1141

Chapter 5 [V.]âlt Was a Matter of Justice that All Should Be Condemned.

The entire mass, therefore, incurs penalty and if the deserved punishment of condemnation were rendered to all, it would without doubt be righteously rendered. They, therefore, who are delivered therefrom by grace are called, not vessels of their own merits, but âvessels of mercy.â[1142]1142 But of whose mercy, if not His who sent Christ Jesus into the world to save sinners, whom He foreknew, and foreordained, and called, and justified, and glorified?[1143]1143 Now, who could be so madly insane as to fail to give ineffable thanks to the Mercy which liberates whom it would? The man who correctly appreciated the whole subject could not possibly blame the justice of God in wholly condemning all men whatsoever.

Chapter 6 [VI.]âThe Pelagians Have Very Strong and Active Minds.

If we are simply wise according to the Scriptures, we are not compelled to dispute against the grace of Christ, and to make statements attempting to show that human nature both requires no Physician,âin infants, because it is whole and sound; and in adults, because it is able to suffice for itself in attaining righteousness, if it will. Men no doubt seem to urge acute opinions on these points, but it is only word-wisdom,[1144]1144 by which the cross of Christ is made of none effect. This, however, âis not the wisdom which descendeth from above.â[1145]1145 The words which follow in the apostleâs statement I am unwilling to quote; for we would rather not be thought to do an injustice to our friends, whose very strong and active minds we should be sorry to see running in a perverse, instead of an upright, course.

Chapter 7 [VII.]âHe Proceeds to Confute the Work of Pelagius; He Refrains as Yet from Mentioning Pelagiusâ Name.

However ardent, then, is the zeal which the author of the book you have forwarded to me entertains against those who find a defence for

their sins in the infirmity of human nature; not less, nay even much greater, should be our eagerness in preventing all attempts to render the cross of Christ of none effect. Of none effect, however, it is rendered, if it be contended that by any other means than by Christâs own sacrament it is possible to attain to righteousness and everlasting life. This is actually done in the book to which I referâl will not say by its author wittingly, lest I should express the judgment that he ought not to be accounted even a Christian, but, as I rather believe, unconsciously. He has done it, no doubt, with much power; I only wish that the ability he has displayed were sound and less like that which insane persons are accustomed to exhibit.

Chapter 8.âA Distinction Drawn by Pelagius Between the Possible and Actual.

For he first of all makes a distinction: alt is one thing, a says he, âto inquire whether a thing can be, which has respect to its possibility only; and another thing, whether or not it is.â This distinction, nobody doubts, is true enough; for it follows that whatever is, was able to be; but it does not therefore follow that what is able to be, also is. Our Lord, for instance, raised Lazarus; He unguestionably was able to do so. But inasmuch as He did not raise up Judas[1146]1146 must we therefore contend that He was unable to do so? He certainly was able, but He would not. For if He had been willing, He could have effected this too. For the Son guickeneth whomsoever He will.[1147]1147 Observe, however, what he means by this distinction, true and manifest enough in itself, and what he endeavours to make out of it. aWe are treating, a says he, aof possibility only; and to pass from this to something else, except in the case of some certain fact, we deem to be a very serious and extraordinary process.â This idea he turns over again and again, in many ways and at great length, so that no one would suppose that he was inquiring about any other point than the possibility of not committing sin. Among the many passages in which he treats of this subject, occurs the following: all once more repeat my position: I say that it is possible for a man to be without sin. What do you say? That it is impossible for a man to be without sin? But I do not say, a he adds, athat there is a man without sin; nor do you say, that there is not a man without sin. Our contention is about what is possible, and not possible; not about what is, and is not.â He then enumerates certain passages of Scripture, [1148]1148 which are usually alleged in opposition to them, and insists that they have nothing to do with the question, which is really in dispute, as to the possibility or impossibility of a manâs being without sin. This is what he says: aNo man indeed is clean from pollution; and, There is no man that sinneth not; and, There is not a just man upon the earth; and, There is none that doeth good. There are these and similar passages in Scripture, â says he, abut they testify to the point of not being, not of not being able; for by testimonies of this sort it is shown what kind of persons certain men were at such and such a time, not that they were unable to be something else. Whence they are justly found to be blameworthy. If, however, they had been of such a character, simply because they were unable to be anything else, they are free from blame.â

Chapter 9 [VIII.]âEven They Who Were Not Able to Be Justified are Condemned.

See what he has said. I, however, affirm that an infant born in a place where it was not possible for him to be admitted to the baptism of Christ, and being overtaken by death, was placed in such circumstances, that is to say, died without the bath of regeneration, because it was not possible for him to be otherwise. He would therefore absolve him, and, in spite of the Lordâs sentence, open to him the kingdom of heaven. The apostle, however, does not absolve him, when he says: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; by which death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned.â[1149]1149 Rightly, therefore, by virtue of that condemnation which runs throughout the mass, is he not admitted into the kingdom of heaven, although he was not only not a Christian, but was unable to become one.

Chapter 10 [IX.]âHe Could Not Be Justified, Who Had Not Heard of the Name of Christ; Rendering the Cross of Christ of None Effect.

But they say: âHe is not condemned; because the statement that all sinned in Adam, was not made because of the sin which is derived from oneâs birth, but because of imitation of him.â lf, therefore, Adam is said to be the author of all the sins which followed his own, because he was the first sinner of the human race, then how is it that Abel, rather than Christ, is not placed at the head of all the righteous, because he was the first righteous man? But I am not speaking of the case of an infant. I take the instance of a young man, or an old man, who has died in a region where he could not hear of the name of Christ. Well, could such a man have become righteous by nature and free will; or could he not? If they contend that he could, then see what it is to render the cross of Christ of none effect, [1150]1150 to contend that any man without it, can be justified by the law of nature and the power of his will. We may here also say, then is Christ dead in vain[1151]1151 forasmuch as all might accomplish so much as this, even if He had never died; and if they should be unrighteous, they would be so because they wished to be, not because they were unable to be righteous. But even though a man could not be justified at all without the grace of Christ, he would absolve him, if he dared, in accordance with his words, to the effect that, aif a man were of such a character, because he could not possibly have been of any other, he would be free from all blame.â

Chapter 11 [X.] âGrace Subtly Acknowledged by Pelagius.

He then starts an objection to his own position, as if, indeed, another person had raised it, and says: ââA man,â you will say, âmay possibly be [without sin]; but it is by the grace of God.ââ He then at once subjoins the following, as if in answer to his own suggestion: âl thank you for your kindness, because you are not merely content to withdraw your opposition to my statement, which you just now opposed, or barely to acknowledge it; but you actually go so far as to approve it. For to say, âA man may possibly, but by this or by that,â is in fact nothing else than not only to assent to its possibility, but also to show the mode and condition of its possibility. Nobody, therefore, gives a better assent to the possibility of anything than the man who allows the condition thereof; because, without the thing itself, it is not possible for a condition to be.â After this he raises another objection against. himself: âBut, you will say, âyou here seem to reject the grace of God, inasmuch as you do not even mention it;ââ and he then answers the objection: aNow, is it I that reject grace, who by acknowledging the thing must needs also confess the means by which it may be effected, or you, who by denying the thing do undoubtedly also deny whatever may be the means through which the thing is accomplished?â He forgot that he was now answering one who does not deny the thing, and whose objection he had just before set forth in these words: aA man may possibly be [without sin]; but it is by the grace of God.â How then does that man deny the possibility, in defence of which his opponent earnestly contends, when he makes the admission to that opponent that athe thing is possible, but only by the grace of God?â That, however, after he is dismissed who already acknowledges the essential thing, he still has a guestion against those who maintain the impossibility of a manâs being without sin, what is it to us? Let him ply his questions against any opponents he pleases, provided he only confesses this, which cannot be denied without the most criminal impiety, that without the grace of God a man cannot be without sin. He says, indeed: aWhether he confesses it to be by grace, or by aid, or by mercy, whatever that be by which a man can be without sin, aevery one acknowledges the thing itself.a

Chapter 12 [XI.]âln Our Discussions About Grace, We Do Not Speak of that Which Relates to the Constitution of Our Nature, But to Its Restoration.

I confess to your love, that when I read those words I was filled with a sudden joy, because he did not deny the grace of God by which alone a man can be justified; for it is this which I mainly detest and dread in discussions of this kind. But when I went on to read the rest, I began to have my suspicions, first of all, from the similes he employs. For he says: alf I were to say, man is able to dispute; a bird is able to fly; a hare is able to run; without mentioning at the same time the instruments by which these acts can be accomplished athat is, the tongue, the wings, and the legs; should I then have denied the conditions of the various offices, when I acknowledged the very offices themselves? a It is at once apparent that he has here instanced such things as are by nature efficient; for the members of the bodily structure which are here mentioned are created with natures of such a kindâthe tongue, the wings, the leas. He has not here posited any such thing as we wish to have understood by grace, without which no man is justified; for this is a topic which is concerned about the cure, not the constitution, of natural functions. Entertaining, then, some apprehensions, I proceeded to read all the rest, and I soon found that my suspicions had not been unfounded.

Chapter 13 [XII.]âThe Scope and Purpose of the Lawâs Threatenings; âPerfect Wayfarers.â

But before I proceed further, see what he has said. When treating the

question about the difference of sins, and starting as an objection to himself, what certain persons allege, athat some sins are light by their very frequency, their constant irruption making it impossible that they should be all of them avoided; a he thereupon denied that it was aproper that they should be censured even as light offences, if they cannot possibly be wholly avoided.â He of course does not notice the Scriptures of the New Testament, wherein we learn[1152]1152 that the intention of the law in its censure is this, that, by reason of the transgressions which men commit, they may flee for refuge to the grace of the Lord, who has pity upon themââthe schoolmastera[1153]1153 ashutting them up unto the same faith which should afterwards be revealed; a[1154]1154 that by it their transgressions may be forgiven, and then not again be committed, by Godâs assisting grace. The road indeed belongs to all who are progressing in it; although it is they who make a good advance that are called aperfect travellers. a That, however, is the height of perfection which admits of no addition, when the goal to which men tend has begun to be possessed.

Chapter 14 [XIII.] âRefutation of Pelagius.

But the truth is, the question which is proposed to himââAre you even yourself without sin?ââdoes not really belong to the subject in dispute. What, however, he says,âthat âit is rather to be imputed to his own negligence that he is not without sin,â is no doubt well spoken; but then he should deem it to be his duty even to pray to God that this faulty negligence get not the dominion over him,âthe prayer that a certain man once put up, when he said: âOrder my steps according to Thy word, and let not any iniquity have dominion over me,â[1155]1155âlest, whilst relying on his own diligence as on strength of his own, he should fail to attain to the true righteousness either by this way, or by that other method in which, no doubt, perfect righteousness is to be desired and hoped for.

Chapter 15 [XIV.]âNot Everything [of Doctrinal Truth] is Written in Scripture in So Many Words.

That, too, which is said to him, athat it is nowhere written in so many words, A man can be without sin, a he easily refutes thus: a That the question here is not in what precise words each doctrinal statement is made.â It is perhaps not without reason that, while in several passages of Scripture we may find it said that men are without excuse, it is nowhere found that any man is described as being without sin, except Him only, of whom it is plainly said, that âHe knew no sin.â[1156]1156 Similarly, we read in the passage where the subject is concerning priests: alle was in all points tempted like as we are, only without sin, a[1157]1157 ameaning, of course, in that flesh which bore the likeness of sinful flesh, although it was not sinful flesh; a likeness, indeed, which it would not have borne if it had not been in every other respect the same as sinful flesh. How, however, we are to understand this: aWhosoever is born of God doth not commit sin; neither can he sin, for his seed remaineth in him;a[1158]1158 while the Apostle John himself, as if he had not been born of God, or else were addressing men who had not been born of God, lays down this position: alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and

the truth is not in us,â[1159]1159âl have already explained, with such care as I was able, in those books which I wrote to Marcellinus on this very subject.[1160]1160 It seems, moreover, to me to be an interpretation worthy of acceptance to regard the clause of the above quoted passage: âNeither can he sin,â as if it meant: He ought not to commit sin. For who could be so foolish as to say that sin ought to be committed, when, in fact, sin is sin, for no other reason than that it ought not to be committed?

Chapter 16 [XV.]âPelagius Corrupts a Passage of the Apostle James by Adding a Note of Interrogation.

Now that passage, in which the Apostle James says: aBut the tongue can no man tame. a[1161]1161 does not appear to me to be capable of the interpretation which he would put upon it, when he expounds it, aas if it were written by way of reproach; as much as to say: Can no man then, tame the tongue? As if in a reproachful tone, which would say: You are able to tame wild beasts; cannot you tame the tongue? As if it were an easier thing to tame the tongue than to subjugate wild beasts.â I do not think that this is the meaning of the passage. For, if he had meant such an opinion as this to be entertained of the facility of taming the tongue, there would have followed in the sequel of the passage a comparison of that member with the beasts. As it is, however, it simply goes on to say: a The tongue is an unruly evil, full of deadly poison, a[1162]1162 asuch, of course, as is more noxious than that of beasts and creeping things. For while the one destroys the flesh, the other kills the soul. For, a The mouth that belieth slayeth the soul.â[1163]1163 It is not, therefore, as if this is an easier achievement than the taming of beasts that St. James pronounced the statement before us, or would have others utter it; but he rather aims at showing what a great evil in man his tongue isaso great, indeed, that it cannot be tamed by any man, although even beasts are tameable by human beings. And he said this, not with a view to our permitting, through our neglect, the continuance of so great an evil to ourselves, but in order that we might be induced to request the help of divine grace for the taming of the tongue. For he does not say: aNone can tame the tongue; a but aNo man; a in order that, when it is tamed, we may acknowledge it to be effected by the mercy of God, the help of God, the grace of God. The soul, therefore, should endeavour to tame the tongue, and while endeavouring should pray for assistance; the tongue, too, should beg for the taming of the tongue, âHe being the tamer who said to His disciples: alt is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father which speaketh in you.â[1164]1164 Thus, we are warned by the precept to do this, anamely, to make the attempt, and, failing in our own strength, to pray for the help of God.

Chapter 17 [XVI.] â Explanation of This Text Continued.

Accordingly, after emphatically describing the evil of the tongueâsaying, among other things: âMy brethren, these things ought not so to beâ[1165]1165âhe at once, after finishing some remarks which arose out of his subject, goes on to add this advice, showing by what help those things would not happen, which (as he said) ought not: âWho is a wise man and endowed with knowledge among you? Let him show out

of a good conversation his works with meekness of wisdom. But if ye have bitter envying and strife in your hearts, glory not and lie not against the truth. This wisdom descendeth not from above, but is earthly, sensual, devilish. For where there is envying and strife, there is confusion and every evil work. But the wisdom that is from above is first pure, then peaceable, gentle, and easy to be entreated, full of mercy and good fruits, without partiality, and without hypocrisy.â[1166]1166 This is the wisdom which tames the tongue; it descends from above, and springs from no human heart. Will any one, then, dare to divorce it from the grace of God, and with most arrogant vanity place it in the power of man? Why should I pray to God that it be accorded me, if it may be had of man? Ought we not to object to this prayer lest injury be done to free will which is self-sufficient in the possibility of nature for discharging all the duties of righteousness? We ought, then, to object also to the Apostle James himself, who admonishes us in these words: alf any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not, and it shall be given him; but let him ask in faith, nothing doubting.â[1167]1167 This is the faith to which the commandments drive us, in order that the law may prescribe our duty and faith accomplish it.[1168]1168 For through the tongue, which no man can tame, but only the wisdom which comes down from above, âin many things we all of us offend.a[1169]1169 For this truth also the same apostle pronounced in no other sense than that in which he afterwards declares: aThe tongue no man can tame.â[1170]1170

Chapter 18 [XVII.]âWho May Be Said to Be in the Flesh.

There is a passage which nobody could place against these texts with the similar purpose of showing the impossibility of not sinning: aThe wisdom of the flesh is enmity against God; for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be; so then they that are in the flesh cannot please God;â[1171]1171 for he here mentions the wisdom of the flesh, not the wisdom which cometh from above: moreover, it is manifest, that in this passage, by the phrase, abeing in the flesh, a are signified, not those who have not yet guitted the body, but those who live according to the flesh. The question, however, we are discussing does not lie in this point. But what I want to hear from him, if I can, is about those who live according to the Spirit, and who on this account are not, in a certain sense, in the flesh, even while they still live here, awhether they, by Godas grace, live according to the Spirit, or are sufficient for themselves, natural capability having been bestowed on them when they were created, and their own proper will besides. Whereas the fulfilling of the law is nothing else than love; [1172]1172 and Godâs love is shed abroad in our hearts, not by our own selves, but by the Holy Ghost which is given to us.[1173]1173

Chapter 19.âSins of Ignorance; To Whom Wisdom is Given by God on Their Requesting It.

He further treats of sins of ignorance, and says that âa man ought to be very careful to avoid ignorance; and that ignorance is blameworthy for this reason, because it is through his own neglect that a man is ignorant of that which he certainly must have known if he had only applied diligence;â whereas he prefers disputing all things rather than to pray, and say: âGive me understanding, that I may learn Thy commandments.â[1174]1174 It is, indeed, one thing to have taken no pains to know what sins of negligence were apparently expiated even through divers sacrifices of the law; it is another thing to wish to understand, to be unable, and then to act contrary to the law, through not understanding what it would have done. We are accordingly enjoined to ask of God wisdom, âwho giveth to all men liberally;â[1175]1175 that is, of course, to all men who ask in such a manner, and to such an extent, as so great a matter requires in earnestness of petition.

Chapter 20 [XVIII.] âWhat Prayer Pelagius Would Admit to Be Necessary.

He confesses that asins which have been committed do notwithstanding require to be divinely explated, and that the Lord must be entreated because of them, ââthat is, for the purpose, of course, of obtaining pardon; abecause that which has been done cannot, a it is his own admission, abe undone, a by that apower of nature and will of mana which he talks about so much. From this necessity, therefore, it follows that a man must pray to be forgiven. That a man, however, requires to be helped not to sin, he has nowhere admitted; I read no such admission in this passage; he keeps a strange silence on this subject altogether; although the Lordas Prayer enjoins upon us the necessity of praying both that our debts may be remitted to us, and that we may not be led into temptation. athe one petition entreating that past offences may be atoned for; the other, that future ones may be avoided. Now, although this is never done unless our will be assistant, yet our will alone is not enough to secure its being done; the prayer, therefore, which is offered up to God for this result is neither superfluous nor offensive to the Lord. For what is more foolish than to pray that you may do that which you have it in your own power to do.

Chapter 21 [XIX.]âPelagius Denies that Human Nature Has Been Depraved or Corrupted by Sin.

You may now see (what bears very closely on our subject) how he endeavours to exhibit human nature, as if it were wholly without fault, and how he struggles against the plainest of Godas Scriptures with that awisdom of worda[1176]1176 which renders the cross of Christ of none effect. That cross, however, shall certainly never be made of none effect; rather shall such wisdom be subverted. Now, after we shall have demonstrated this, it may be that Godâs mercy may visit him, so that he may be sorry that he ever said these things: aWe have, a he says, a first of all to discuss the position which is maintained, that our nature has been weakened and changed by sin. I think, a continues he, athat before all other things we have to inquire what sin is, a some substance, or wholly a name without substance, whereby is expressed not a thing, not an existence, not some sort of a body, but the doing of a wrongful deed.â He then adds: âl suppose that this is the case; and if so, a he asks, a how could that which lacks all substance have possibly weakened or changed human nature?â Observe, I beg of you, how in his ignorance he struggles to overthrow the most

salutary words of the remedial Scriptures: âl said, O Lord, be merciful unto me; heal my soul, for I have sinned against Thee.â[1177]1177 Now, how can a thing be healed, if it is not wounded nor hurt, nor weakened and corrupted? But, as there is here something to be healed, whence did it receive its injury? You hear [the Psalmist] confessing the fact; what need is there of discussion? He says: âHeal my soul.â Ask him how that which he wants to be healed became injured, and then listen to his following words: aBecause I have sinned against Thee.â Let him, however, put a guestion, and ask what he deemed a suitable inquiry, and say: aO you who exclaim, Heal my soul, for I have sinned against Thee! pray tell me what sin is? Some substance, or wholly a name without substance, whereby is expressed, not a thing, not an existence, not some sort of a body, but merely the doing of a wrongful deed?â Then the other returns for answer: alt is even as you say; sin is not some substance; but under its name there is merely expressed the doing of a wrongful deed.â But he rejoins: aThen why cry out, Heal my soul, for I have sinned against Thee? How could that have possibly corrupted your soul which lacks all substance?â Then would the other, worn out with the anguish of his wound, in order to avoid being diverted from prayer by the discussion, briefly answer and say: aGo from me, I beseech you; rather discuss the point, if you can, with Him who said: aThey that are whole need no physician, but they that are sick; I am not come to call the righteous, but sinners, aa[1178]1178ain which words, of course, He designated the righteous as the whole, and sinners as the sick.

Chapter 22 [XX.]âHow Our Nature Could Be Vitiated by Sin, Even Though It Be Not a Substance.

Now, do you not perceive the tendency and direction of this controversy? Even to render of none effect the Scripture where it is said a Thou shalt call His name Jesus, for He shall save His people from their sins.â[1179]1179 For how is He to save where there is no malady? For the sins, from which this gospel says Christâs people have to be saved, are not substances, and according to this writer are incapable of corrupting. O brother, how good a thing it is to remember that you are a Christian! To believe, might perhaps be enough; but still, since you persist in discussion, there is no harm, nay there is even benefit, if a firm faith precede it; let us not suppose, then, that human nature cannot be corrupted by sin, but rather, believing, from the inspired Scriptures, that it is corrupted by sin, let our inquiry be how this could possibly have come about. Since, then, we have already learnt that sin is not a substance, do we not consider, not to mention any other example, that not to eat is also not a substance? Because such abstinence is withdrawal from a substance. inasmuch as food is a substance. To abstain, then, from food is not a substance: and yet the substance of our body, if it does altogether abstain from food, so languishes, is so impaired by broken health, is so exhausted of strength, so weakened and broken with very weariness, that even if it be in any way able to continue alive, it is hardly capable of being restored to the use of that food, by abstaining from which it became so corrupted and injured. In the same way sin is not a substance; but God is a substance, yea the height of substance and only true sustenance of the reasonable creature. The consequence of

departing from Him by disobedience, and of inability, through infirmity, to receive what one ought really to rejoice in, you hear from the Psalmist, when he says: âMy heart is smitten and withered like grass, since I have forgotten to eat my bread.â[1180]1180

Chapter 23 [XXI.]âAdam Delivered by the Mercy of Christ.

But observe how, by specious arguments, he continues to oppose the truth of Holy Scripture. The Lord Jesus, who is called Jesus because He saves His people from their sins, [1181]1181 in accordance with this His merciful character, says: aThey that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick; I am come not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance a[1182]1182 Accordingly, His apostle also says: aThis is a faithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners.â[1183]1183 This man, however, contrary to the âfaithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, a declares that athis sickness ought not to have been contracted by sins, lest the punishment of sin should amount to this, that more sins should be committed. a Now even for infants the help of the Great Physician is sought. This writer asks: aWhy seek Him? They are whole for whom you seek the Physician. Not even was the first man condemned to die for any such reason, for he did not sin afterwards.â As if he had ever heard anything of his subsequent perfection in righteousness, except so far as the Church commends to our faith that even Adam was delivered by the mercy of the Lord Christ. âAs to his posterity also, â says he, ânot only are they not more infirm than he, but they actually fulfilled more commandments than he ever did, since he neglected to fulfil one, ââthis posterity which he sees so born (as Adam certainly was not made), not only incapable of commandment, which they do not at all understand, but hardly capable of sucking the breast, when they are hungry! Yet even these would He have to be saved in the bosom of Mother Church by His grace who saves His people from their sins; but these men gainsay such grace, and, as if they had a deeper insight into the creature than ever He possesses who made the creature, they pronounce [these infants] sound with an assertion which is anything but sound itself.

Chapter 24 [XXII.] aSin and the Penalty of Sin the Same.

âThe very matter,â says he, âof sin is its punishment, if the sinner is so much weakened that he commits more sins.â He does not consider how justly the light of truth forsakes the man who transgresses the law. When thus deserted he of course becomes blinded, and necessarily offends more; and by so falling is embarrassed and being embarrassed fails to rise, so as to hear the voice of the law, which admonishes him to beg for the Saviourâs grace. Is no punishment due to them of whom the apostle says: âBecause that, when they knew God, they glorified Him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened?â[1184]1184 This darkening was, of course, already their punishment and penalty; and yet by this very penaltyâthat is, by their blindness of heart, which supervenes on the withdrawal of the light of wisdomâthey fell into more grievous sins still. âFor giving themselves out as wise, they became fools.â This is a grievous penalty, if one only understands it; and from such a penalty only see to what lengths they ran: âAnd they changed,â he says, âthe glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and four-footed beasts, and creeping things.a[1185]1185 All this they did owing to that penalty of their sin, whereby atheir foolish heart was darkened.â And yet, owing to these deeds of theirs, which, although coming in the way of punishment, were none the less sins (he goes on to say): aWherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness, through the lusts of their own hearts.â[1186]1186 See how severely God condemned them, giving them over to uncleanness in the very desires of their heart. Observe also the sins they commit owing to such condemnation: aTo dishonour, a says he, atheir own bodies among themselves.â[1187]1187 Here is the punishment of iniquity, which is itself iniquity: a fact which sets forth in a clearer light the words which follow: aWho changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen.â âFor this cause,â says he, âGod gave them up unto vile affections.â[1188]1188 See how often God inflicts punishment; and out of the self-same punishment sins, more numerous and more severe, arise. aFor even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature; and likewise the men also, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly.a[1189]1189 Then, to show that these things were so sins themselves, that they were also the penalties of sins, he further says: aAnd receiving in themselves that recompense of their error which was meet.a[1190]1190 Observe how often it happens that the very punishment which God inflicts begets other sins as its natural offspring. Attend still further: âAnd even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, a says he, a God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, backbiters, odious to God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, without understanding, covenant-breakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful.a[1191]1191 Here, now, let our opponent say: aSin ought not so to have been punished, that the sinner, through his punishment, should commit even more sins.â

Chapter 25 [XXIII.] âGod Forsakes Only Those Who Deserve to Be Forsaken. We are Sufficient of Ourselves to Commit Sin; But Not to Return to the Way of Righteousness. Death is the Punishment, Not the Cause of Sin.

Perhaps he may answer that God does not compel men to do these things, but only forsakes those who deserve to be forsaken. If he does say this, he says what is most true. For, as I have already remarked, those who are forsaken by the light of righteousness, and are therefore groping in darkness, produce nothing else than those works of darkness which I have enumerated, until such time as it is said to them, and they obey the command: âAwake thou that sleepest, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light.â[1192]1192 The truth designates them as dead; whence the passage: âLet the dead bury their dead.â The truth, then, designates as dead those whom this man

declares to have been unable to be damaged or corrupted by sin, on the ground, forsooth, that he has discovered sin to be no substance! Nobody tells him that aman was so formed as to be able to pass from righteousness to sin, and yet not able to return from sin to righteousness.â But that free will, whereby man corrupted his own self, was sufficient for his passing into sin; but to return to righteousness, he has need of a Physician, since he is out of health; he has need of a Vivifier, because he is dead. Now about such grace as this he says not a word, as if he were able to cure himself by his own will, since this alone was able to ruin him. We do not tell him that the death of the body is of efficacy for sinning, because it is only its punishment; for no one sins by undergoing the death of his body; but the death of the soul is conducive to sin, forsaken as it is by its life, that is, its God; and it must needs produce dead works, until it revives by the grace of Christ. God forbid that we should assert that hunger and thirst and other bodily sufferings necessarily produce sin. When exercised by such vexations, the life of the righteous only shines out with greater lustre, and procures a greater glory by overcoming them through patience; but then it is assisted by the grace, it is assisted by the Spirit, it is assisted by the mercy of God; not exalting itself in an arrogant will, but earning fortitude by a humble confession. For it had learnt to say unto God: aThou art my hope; Thou art my trust. a[1193]1193 Now, how it happens that concerning this grace, and help and mercy, without which we cannot live, this man has nothing to say, I am at a loss to know; but he goes further, and in the most open manner gainsays the grace of Christ whereby we are justified, by insisting on the sufficiency of nature to work righteousness, provided only the will be present. The reason, however, why, after sin has been released to the guilty one by grace, for the exercise of faith, there should still remain the death of the body, although it proceeds from sin, I have already explained, according to my ability, in those books which I wrote to Marcellinus of blessed memory.[1194]1194

Chapter 26 [XXIV.] âChrist Died of His Own Power and Choice.

As to his statement, indeed, that athe Lord was able to die without sin; a His being born also was of the ability of His mercy, not the demand of His nature: so, likewise, did He undergo death of His own power; and this is our price which He paid to redeem us from death. Now, this truth their contention labours hard to make of none effect; for human nature is maintained by them to be such, that with free will it wants no such ransom in order to be translated from the power of darkness and of him who has the power of death.[1195]1195 into the kingdom of Christ the Lord [1196]1196 And yet, when the Lord drew near His passion, He said, aBehold, the prince of this world cometh and shall find nothing in me, a[1197]1197 and therefore no sin, of course, on account of which he might exercise dominion over Him, so as to destroy Him. âBut,â added He, âthat the world may know that I do the will of my Father, arise, let us go hence; a[1198]1198 as much as to say, I am going to die, not through the necessity of sin, but in voluntariness of obedience.

Chapter 27.âEven Evils, Through Godâs Mercy, are of Use.

He asserts that ano evil is the cause of anything good; as if punishment, forsooth, were good, although thereby many have been reformed. There are, then, evils which are of use by the wondrous mercy of God. Did that man experience some good thing, when he said. aThou didst hide Thy face from me, and I was troubled?a[1199]1199 Certainly not; and yet this very trouble was to him in a certain manner a remedy against his pride. For he had said in his prosperity, al shall never be moved; a[1200]1200 and so was ascribing to himself what he was receiving from the Lord. aFor what had he that he did not receive?a[1201]1201 It had, therefore, become necessary to show him whence he had received, that he might receive in humility what he had lost in pride. Accordingly, he says, âln Thy good pleasure, O Lord, Thou didst add strength to my beauty.â[1202]1202 In this abundance of mine I once used to say, al shall not be moved; a whereas it all came from Thee, not from myself. Then at last Thou didst turn away Thy face from me, and I became troubled.

Chapter 28 [XXV.]âThe Disposition of Nearly All Who Go Astray. With Some Heretics Our Business Ought Not to Be Disputation, But Prayer.

Manâs proud mind has no relish at all for this; God, however, is great, in persuading even it how to find it all out. We are, indeed, more inclined to seek how best to reply to such arguments as oppose our error, than to experience how salutary would be our condition if we were free from error. We ought, therefore, to encounter all such, not by discussions, but rather by prayers both for them and for ourselves. For we never say to them, what this opponent has opposed to himself, that asin was necessary in order that there might be a cause for Godâs mercy.â Would there had never been misery to render that mercy necessary! But the iniquity of sin, awhich is so much the greater in proportion to the ease wherewith man might have avoided sin, whilst no infirmity did as yet beset him, ahas been followed closely up by a most righteous punishment; even that [offending man] should receive in himself a reward in kind of his sin, losing that obedience of his body which had been in some degree put under his own control, which he had despised when it was the right of his Lord. And, inasmuch as we are now born with the self-same law of sin, which in our members resists the law of our mind, we ought never to murmur against God, nor to dispute in opposition to the clearest fact, but to seek and pray for His mercy instead of our punishment.

Chapter 29 [XXVI.]âA Simile to Show that Godâs Grace is Necessary for Doing Any Good Work Whatever. God Never Forsakes the Justified Man If He Be Not Himself Forsaken.[1203]1203

Observe, indeed, how cautiously he expresses himself: âGod, no doubt, applies His mercy even to this office, whenever it is necessary because man after sin requires help in this way, not because God wished there should be a cause for such necessity.â Do you not see how he does not say that Godâs grace is necessary to prevent us from sinning, but because we have sinned? Then he adds: âBut just in the same way it is the duty of a physician to be ready to cure a man who is already wounded; although he ought not to wish for a man who is sound to be wounded.â Now, if this simile suits the subject of which we are treating, human nature is certainly incapable of receiving a wound from sin, inasmuch as sin is not a substance. As therefore, for exampleas sake, a man who is lamed by a wound is cured in order that his step for the future may be direct and strong, its past infirmity being healed, so does the Heavenly Physician cure our maladies, not only that they may cease any longer to exist, but in order that we may ever afterwards be able to walk aright, ato which we should be unequal, even after our healing, except by His continued help. For after a medical man has administered a cure, in order that the patient may be afterwards duly nourished with bodily elements and ailments, for the completion and continuance of the said cure by suitable means and help, he commends him to Godâs good care, who bestows these aids on all who live in the flesh, and from whom proceeded even those means which [the physician] applied during the process of the cure. For it is not out of any resources which he has himself created that the medical man effects any cure, but out of the resources of Him who creates all things which are required by the whole and by the sick. God, however, whenever Heâthrough âthe one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesusââspiritually heals the sick or raises the dead, that is, justifies the ungodly, and when He has brought him to perfect health, in other words, to the fulness of life and righteousness, does not forsake, if He is not forsaken, in order that life may be passed in constant piety and righteousness. For, just as the eye of the body, even when completely sound, is unable to see unless aided by the brightness of light, so also man, even when most fully justified, is unable to lead a holy life, if he be not divinely assisted by the eternal light of righteousness. God, therefore, heals us not only that He may blot out the sin which we have committed, but, furthermore, that He may enable us even to avoid sinning.

Chapter 30 [XXVII.]âSin is Removed by Sin.

He no doubt shows some acuteness in handling, and turning over and exposing, as he likes, and refuting a certain statement, which is made to this effect, that âit was really necessary to man, in order to take from him all occasion for pride and boasting, that he should be unable to exist without sin.â He supposes it to be âthe height of absurdity and folly, that there should have been sin in order that sin might not be; inasmuch as pride is itself, of course, a sin.â As if a sore were not attended with pain, and an operation did not produce pain, that pain might be taken away by pain. If we had not experienced any such treatment, but were only to hear about it in some parts of the world where these things had never happened, we might perhaps use this manâs words, and say, It is the height of absurdity that pain should have been necessary in order that a sore should have no pain.

Chapter 31.âThe Order and Process of Healing Our Heavenly Physician Does Not Adopt from the Sick Patient, But Derives from Himself. What Cause the Righteous Have for Fearing.

âBut God,â they say, âis able to heal all things.â Of course His purpose in acting is to heal all things; but He acts on His own judgment, and does not take His procedure in healing from the sick man. For undoubtedly it was His wish to endow His apostle with very great power and strength, and yet He said to him: âMy strength is made perfect in weakness; a[1204]1204 nor did He remove from him, though he so often entreated Him to do so, that mysterious âthorn in the flesh,â which He told him had been given to him âlest he should be unduly exalted through the abundance of the revelation.â[1205]1205 For all other sins only prevail in evil deeds; pride only has to be guarded against in things that are rightly done. Whence it happens that those persons are admonished not to attribute to their own power the gifts of God, nor to plume themselves thereon, lest by so doing they should perish with a heavier perdition than if they had done no good at all, to whom it is said: aWork out your own salvation with fear and trembling, for it is God which worketh in you, both to will and to do of His good pleasure a[1206]1206 Why, then, must it be with fear and trembling, and not rather with security, since God is working; except it be because there so quickly steals over our human soul, by reason of our will (without which we can do nothing well), the inclination to esteem simply as our own accomplishment whatever good we do; and so each one of us says in his prosperity: al shall never be moved?â[1207]1207 Therefore, He who in His good pleasure had added strength to our beauty, turns away His face, and the man who had made his boast becomes troubled, because it is by actual sorrows that the swelling pride must be remedied.

Chapter 32 [XXVIII.] aGod Forsakes Us to Some Extent that We May Not Grow Proud.

Therefore it is not said to a man: alt necessary for you to sin that you may not sin; a but it is said to a man: aGod in some degree forsakes you, in consequence of which you grow proud, that you may know that you are anot your own, a but are His, [1208]1208 and learn not to be proud.â Now even that incident in the apostleas life, of this kind, is so wonderful, that were it not for the fact that he himself is the voucher for it whose truth it is impious to contradict, would it not be incredible? For what believer is there who is ignorant that the first incentive to sin came from Satan, and that he is the first author of all sins? And yet, for all that, some are adelivered over unto Satan, that they may learn not to blaspheme.â[1209]1209 How comes it to pass, then, that Satanâs work is prevented by the work of Satan? These and such like questions let a man regard in such a light that they seem not to him to be too acute; they have somewhat of the sound of acuteness, and yet when discussed are found to be obtuse. What must we say also to our authoras use of similes whereby he rather suggests to us the answer which we should give to him? aWhata (asks he) ashall I say more than this, that we may believe that fires are quenched by fires, if we may believe that sins are cured by sins?â What if one cannot put out fires by fires: but yet pains can, for all that, as I have shown, be cured by pains? Poisons can also, if one only inquire and learn the fact, be expelled by poisons. Now, if he observes that the heats of fevers are sometimes subdued by certain medicinal warmths, he will perhaps also allow that fires may be extinguished by fires.

Chapter 33 [XXIX.] aNot Every Sin is Pride. How Pride is the

## Commencement of Every Sin.

âBut how,â asks he, âshall we separate pride itself from sin?â Now, why does he raise such a question, when it is manifest that even pride itself is a sin? aTo sin, a says he, a is guite as much to be proud, as to be proud is to sin; for only ask what every sin is, and see whether you can find any sin without the designation of pride. a Then he thus pursues this opinion, and endeavours to prove it thus: a Every sin, a says he, aif I mistake not, is a contempt of God, and every contempt of God is pride. For what is so proud as to despise God? All sin, then, is also pride, even as Scripture says, Pride is the beginning of all sin.â[1210]1210 Let him seek diligently, and he will find in the law that the sin of pride is quite distinguished from all other sins. For many sins are committed through pride; but yet not all things which are wrongly done are done proudly.âat any rate, not by the ignorant, not by the infirm, and not, generally speaking, by the weeping and sorrowful. And indeed pride, although it be in itself a great sin, is of such sort in itself alone apart from others, that, as I have already remarked, it for the most part follows after and steals with more rapid foot, not so much upon sins as upon things which are actually well done. However, that which he has understood in another sense, is after all most truly said: âPride is the commencement of all sin:â because it was this which overthrew the devil, from whom arose the origin of sin: and afterwards, when his malice and envy pursued man, who was yet standing in his uprightness, it subverted him in the same way in which he himself fell. For the serpent, in fact, only sought for the door of pride whereby to enter when he said, âYe shall be as gods.â[1211]1211 Truly then is it said, âPride is the commencement of all sin; a[1212]1212 and, a The beginning of pride is when a man departeth from God.â[1213]1213

Chapter 34 [XXX.]âA Manâs Sin is His Own, But He Needs Grace for His Cure.

Well, but what does he mean when he says: âThen again, how can one be subjected to God for the guilt of that sin, which he knows is not his own? For,â says he, âhis own it is not, if it is necessary. Or, if it is his own, it is voluntary: and if it is voluntary, it can be avoided.â We reply: It is unquestionably his own. But the fault by which sin is committed is not yet in every respect healed, and the fact of its becoming permanently fixed in us arises from our not rightly using the healing virtue; and so out of this faulty condition the man who is now growing strong in depravity commits many sins, either through infirmity or blindness. Prayer must therefore be made for him, that he may be healed, and that he may thenceforward attain to a life of uninterrupted soundness of health; nor must pride be indulged in, as if any man were healed by the self-same power whereby he became corrupted.

Chapter 35 [XXXI.]âWhy God Does Not Immediately Cure Pride Itself. The Secret and Insidious Growth of Pride. Preventing and Subsequent Grace.

But I would indeed so treat these topics, as to confess myself ignorant of Godâs deeper counsel, why He does not at once heal the

very principle of pride, which lies in wait for manas heart even in deeds rightly done; and for the cure of which pious souls, with tears and strong crying, beseech Him that He would stretch forth His right hand and help their endeavours to overcome it, and somehow tread and crush it under foot. Now when a man has felt glad that he has even by some good work overcome pride, from the very joy he lifts up his head and says: aBehold, I live; why do you triumph? Nay, I live because you triumph.â Premature, however, this forwardness of his to triumph over pride may perhaps be, as if it were now vanguished, whereas its last shadow is to be swallowed up, as I suppose, in that noontide which is promised in the scripture which says, âHe shall bring forth thy righteousness as the light, and thy judgment as the noonday;â[1214]1214 provided that be done which was written in the preceding verse: aCommit thy way unto the Lord: trust also in Him, and He shall bring it to pass, a[1215]1215 anot, as some suppose, that they themselves bring it to pass. Now, when he said, âAnd He shall bring it to pass, a he evidently had none other in mind but those who say, We ourselves bring it to pass; that is to say, we ourselves justify our own selves. In this matter, no doubt, we do ourselves, too, work; but we are fellow-workers with Him who does the work, because His mercy anticipates us. He anticipates us, however, that we may be healed; but then He will also follow us, that being healed we may grow healthy and strong. He anticipates us that we may be called; He will follow us that we may be glorified. He anticipates us that we may lead godly lives; He will follow us that we may always live with Him, because without Him we can do nothing.[1216]1216 Now the Scriptures refer to both these operations of grace. There is both this: a The God of my mercy shall anticipate me, a[1217]1217 and again this: aThy mercy shall follow me all the days of my life.â[1218]1218 Let us therefore unveil to Him our life by confession, not praise it with a vindication. For if it is not His way, but our own, beyond doubt it is not the right one. Let us therefore reveal this by making our confession to Him; for however much we may endeavour to conceal it, it is not hid from Him. It is a good thing to confess unto the Lord.

Chapter 36 [XXXII.]âPride Even in Such Things as are Done Aright Must Be Avoided. Free Will is Not Taken Away When Grace is Preached.

So will He bestow on us whatever pleases Him, that if there be anything displeasing to Him in us, it will also be displeasing to us. âHe will,â as the Scripture has said, âturn aside our paths from His own way, a[1219]1219 and will make that which is His own to be our way; because it is by Himself that the favour is bestowed on such as believe in Him and hope in Him that we will do it. For there is a way of righteousness of which they are ignorant awho have a zeal for God, but not according to knowledge, a[1220]1220 and who, wishing to frame a righteousness of their own, ahave not submitted themselves to the righteousness of God.â[1221]1221 âFor Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth; a[1222]1222 and He has said, al am the way.a[1223]1223 Yet Godas voice has alarmed those who have already begun to walk in this way, lest they should be lifted up, as if it were by their own energies that they were walking therein. For the same persons to whom the apostle, on account of this danger, says, aWork out your own salvation with fear and trembling, for it is God

that worketh in you, both to will and to do of His good pleasure, a[1224]1224 are likewise for the self-same reason admonished in the psalm: aServe the Lord with fear, and rejoice in Him with trembling. Accept correction, lest at any time the Lord be angry, and ve perish from the righteous way, when His wrath shall be suddenly kindled upon you.â[1225]1225 He does not say, âLest at any time the Lord be angry and refuse to show you the righteous way, a or, arefuse to lead you into the way of righteousness; a but even after you are walking therein, he was able so to terrify as to say, aLest ve perish from the righteous way.â Now, whence could this arise if not from pride, which (as I have so often said, and must repeat again and again) has to be guarded against even in things which are rightly done, that is, in the very way of righteousness, lest a man, by regarding as his own that which is really Godâs. lose what is Godâs and be reduced merely to what is his own? Let us then carry out the concluding injunction of this same psalm, aBlessed are all they that trust in Him, a[1226]1226 so that He may Himself indeed effect and Himself show His own way in us, to whom it is said, a Show us Thy mercy, O Lord; a[1227]1227 and Himself bestow on us the pathway of safety that we may walk therein, to whom the prayer is offered, aAnd grant us Thy salvation; a[1228]1228 and Himself lead us in the self-same way, to whom again it is said, âGuide me, O Lord, in Thy way, and in Thy truth will I walk; a[1229]1229 Himself, too, conduct us to those promises whither His way leads, to whom it is said, aEven there shall Thy hand lead me and Thy right hand shall hold me:a[1230]1230 Himself pasture therein those who sit down with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, of whom it is said, aHe shall make them sit down to meat, and will come forth and serve them.a[1231]1231 Now we do not, when we make mention of these things, take away freedom of will. but we preach the grace of God. For to whom are those gracious gifts of use, but to the man who uses, but humbly uses, his own will, and makes no boast of the power and energy thereof, as if it alone were sufficient for perfecting him in righteousness?

Chapter 37 [XXXIII.]âBeing Wholly Without Sin Does Not Put Man on an Equality with God.

But God forbid that we should meet him with such an assertion as he says certain persons advance against him: âThat man is placed on an equality with God, if he is described as being without sin;â as if indeed an angel, because he is without sin, is put in such an equality. For my own part, I am of this opinion that the creature will never become equal with God, even when so perfect a holiness shall be accomplished in us, that it shall be quite incapable of receiving any addition. No; all who maintain that our progress is to be so complete that we shall be changed into the substance of God, and that we shall thus become what He is, should look well to it how they build up their opinion; for myself I must confess that I am not persuaded of this.

Chapter 38 [XXXIV.]âWe Must Not Lie, Even for the Sake of Moderation. The Praise of Humility Must Not Be Placed to the Account of Falsehood.

I am favourably disposed, indeed, to the view of our author, when he resists those who say to him, âWhat you assert seems indeed to be

reasonable, but it is an arrogant thing to allege that any man can be without sin, a with this answer, that if it is at all true, it must not on any account be called an arrogant statement; for with very great truth and acuteness he asks, aOn what side must humility be placed? No doubt on the side of falsehood, if you prove arrogance to exist on the side of truth.â And so he decides, and rightly decides, that humility should rather be ranged on the side of truth, not of falsehood. Whence it follows that he who said, alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[1232]1232 must without hesitation be held to have spoken the truth, and not be thought to have spoken falsehood for the sake of humility. Therefore he added the words, âAnd the truth is not in us;â whereas it might perhaps have been enough if he merely said, aWe deceive ourselves, a if he had not observed that some were capable of supposing that the clause awe deceive ourselvesâ is here employed on the ground that the man who praises himself is even extolled for a really good action. So that, by the addition of âthe truth is not in us, â he clearly shows (even as our author most correctly observes) that it is not at all true if we say that we have no sin, lest humility, if placed on the side of falsehood, should lose the reward of truth.

Chapter 39.âPelagius Glorifies God as Creator at the Expense of God as Saviour.

Beyond this, however, although he flatters himself that he vindicates the cause of God by defending nature, he forgets that by predicating soundness of the said nature, he rejects the Physicianas mercy. He, however, who created him is also his Saviour. We ought not, therefore, so to magnify the Creator as to be compelled to say, nay, rather as to be convicted of saying, that the Saviour is superfluous. Manâs nature indeed we may honour with worthy praise, and attribute the praise to the Creatorâs glory; but at the same time, while we show our gratitude to Him for having created us, let us not be ungrateful to Him for healing us. Our sins which He heals we must undoubtedly attribute not to Godâs operation, but to the wilfulness of man, and submit them to His righteous punishment; as, however, we acknowledge that it was in our power that they should not be committed, so let us confess that it lies in His mercy rather than in our own power that they should be healed. But this mercy and remedial help of the Saviour, according to this writer, consists only in this, that He forgives the transgressions that are past, not that He helps us to avoid such as are to come. Here he is most fatally mistaken; here, however unwittinglyahere he hinders us from being watchful, and from praying that awe enter not into temptation, a since he maintains that it lies entirely in our own control that this should not happen to us.

Chapter 40 [XXXV.]âWhy There is a Record in Scripture of Certain Menâs Sins, Recklessness in Sin Accounts It to Be So Much Loss Whenever It Falls Short in Gratifying Lust.

He who has a sound judgment says soundly, âthat the examples of certain persons, of whose sinning we read in Scripture, are not recorded for this purpose, that they may encourage despair of not sinning, and seem somehow to afford security in committing sin,ââbut that we may learn the humility of repentance, or else discover that even in such falls salvation ought not to be despaired of. For there are some who, when they have fallen into sin, perish rather from the recklessness of despair, and not only neglect the remedy of repentance, but become the slaves of lusts and wicked desires, so far as to run all lengths in gratifying these depraved and abandoned dispositions,âas if it were a loss to them if they failed to accomplish what their lust impelled them to, whereas all the while there awaits them a certain condemnation. To oppose this morbid recklessness, which is only too full of danger and ruin, there is great force in the record of those sins into which even just and holy men have before now fallen.

Chapter 41.âWhether Holy Men Have Died Without Sin.

But there is clearly much acuteness in the question put by our author, âHow must we suppose that those holy men quitted this life, âwith sin, or without sin?â For if we answer, âWith sin,â condemnation will be supposed to have been their destiny, which it is shocking to imagine; but if it be said that they departed this life awithout sin, a then it would be a proof that man had been without sin in his present life, at all events, when death was approaching. But, with all his acuteness, he overlooks the circumstance that even righteous persons not without good reason offer up this prayer: âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors; a[1233]1233 and that the Lord Christ, after explaining the prayer in His teaching, most truly added: aFor if ye forgive men their trespasses, your Father will also forgive you your trespasses.â[1234]1234 Here, indeed, we have the daily incense, so to speak, of the Spirit, which is offered to God on the altar of the heart, which we are bidden ato lift up, a aimplying that, even if we cannot live here without sin, we may yet die without sin, when in merciful forgiveness the sin is blotted out which is committed in ignorance or infirmity.

Chapter 42 [XXXVI.]âThe Blessed Virgin Mary May Have Lived Without Sin. None of the Saints Besides Her Without Sin.

He then enumerates those awho not only lived without sin, but are described as having led holy lives. aAbel. Enoch. Melchizedek. Abraham. Isaac, Jacob, Joshua the son of Nun, Phinehas, Samuel, Nathan, Elijah, Joseph, Elisha, Micaiah, Daniel, Hananiah, Azariah, Mishael, Mordecai, Simeon, Joseph to whom the Virgin Mary was espoused, John.â And he adds the names of some women, ââDeborah, Anna the mother of Samuel, Judith, Esther, the other Anna, daughter of Phanuel, Elisabeth, and also the mother of our Lord and Saviour, for of her, a he save, awe must needs allow that her piety had no sin in it.â We must except the holy Virgin Mary, concerning whom I wish to raise no question when it touches the subject of sins, out of honour to the Lord; for from Him we know what abundance of grace for overcoming sin in every particular was conferred upon her who had the merit to conceive and bear Him who undoubtedly had no sin.[1235]1235 Well, then, if, with this exception of the Virgin, we could only assemble together all the forementioned holy men and women, and ask them whether they lived without sin whilst they were in this life, what can we suppose would be their answer?

Would it be in the language of our author, or in the words of the Apostle John? I put it to you, whether, on having such a question submitted to them, however excellent might have been their sanctity in this body, they would not have exclaimed with one voice: âlf we say we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us?â[1236]1236 But perhaps this their answer would have been more humble than true! Well, but our author has already determined, and rightly determined, ânot to place the praise of humility on the side of falsehood.â If, therefore, they spoke the truth in giving such an answer, they would have sin, and since they humbly acknowledged it, the truth would be in them; but if they lied in their answer, they would still have sin, because the truth would not be in them.

Chapter 43 [XXXVII.] aWhy Scripture Has Not Mentioned the Sins of All.

âBut perhaps, â says he, âthey will ask me: Could not the Scripture have mentioned sins of all of these?â And surely they would say the truth, whoever should put such a question to him; and I do not discover that he has anywhere given a sound reply to them, although I perceive that he was unwilling to be silent. What he has said, I beg of you to observe: aThis, a says he, amight be rightly asked of those whom Scripture mentions neither as good nor as bad; but of those whose holiness it commemorates, it would also without doubt have commemorated the sins likewise, if it had perceived that they had sinned in anything.â Let him say, then, that their great faith did not attain to righteousness in the case of those who comprised athe multitudes that went before and that followeda the colt on which the Lord rode, when athey shouted and said. Hosanna to the Son of David: Blessed is He that cometh in the name of the Lord, a[1237]1237 even amidst the malignant men who with murmurs asked why they were doing all this! Let him then boldly tell us, if he can, that there was not a man in all that vast crowd who had any sin at all. Now, if it is most absurd to make such a statement as this, why has not the Scripture mentioned any sins in the persons to whom reference has been made, especially when it has carefully recorded the eminent goodness of their faith?

Chapter 44.âPelagius Argues that Abel Was Sinless.

This, however, even he probably observed, and therefore he went on to say: âBut, granted that it has sometimes abstained, in a numerous crowd, from narrating the sins of all; still, in the very beginning of the world, when there were only four persons in existence, what reason (asks he) have we to give why it chose not to mention the sins of all? Was it in consideration of the vast multitude, which had not yet come into existence? or because, having mentioned only the sins of those who had transgressed, it was unable to record any of him who had not yet committed sin?â And then he proceeds to add some words, in which he unfolds this idea with a fuller and more explicit illustration. âlt is certain,â says he, âthat in the earliest age Adam and Eve, and Cain and Abel their sons, are mentioned as being the only four persons then in being. Eve sinned,âthe Scripture distinctly says so much; Adam also transgressed, as the same Scripture does not fail to inform us; whilst it affords us an equally clear testimony that Cain also sinned: and of all these it not only mentions the sins, but also indicates the character of their sins. Now if Abel had likewise sinned, Scripture would without doubt have said so. But it has not said so, therefore he committed no sin; nay, it even shows him to have been righteous. What we read, therefore, let us believe; and what we do not read, let us deem it wicked to add.â

Chapter 45 [XXXVIII.]âWhy Cain Has Been by Some Thought to Have Had Children by His Mother Eve. The Sins of Righteous Men. Who Can Be Both Righteous, and Yet Not Without Sin.

When he says this, he forgets what he had himself said not long before: âAfter the human race had multiplied, it was possible that in the crowd the Scripture may have neglected to notice the sins of all men.â lf indeed he had borne this well in mind, he would have seen that even in one man there was such a crowd and so vast a number of slight sins, that it would have been impossible (or, even if possible, not desirable) to describe them. For only such are recorded as the due bounds allowed, and as would, by few examples, serve for instructing the reader in the many cases where he needed warning. Scripture has indeed omitted to mention concerning the few persons who were then in existence, either how many or who they were, ain other words, how many sons and daughters Adam and Eve begat, and what names they gave them; and from this circumstance some, not considering how many things are quietly passed over in Scripture, have gone so far as to suppose that Cain cohabited with his mother, and by her had the children which are mentioned, thinking that Adamâs sons had no sisters, because Scripture failed to mention them in the particular place, although it afterwards, in the way of recapitulation, implied what it had previously omitted, athat aAdam begat sons and daughters, a[1238]1238 without, however, dropping a syllable to intimate either their number or the time when they were born. In like manner it was unnecessary to state whether Abel, notwithstanding that he is rightly styled ârighteous,â ever indulged in immoderate laughter, or was ever jocose in moments of relaxation, or ever looked at an object with a covetous eye, or ever plucked fruit to extravagance, or ever suffered indigestion from too much eating, or ever in the midst of his prayers permitted his thoughts to wander and call him away from the purpose of his devotion: as well as how frequently these and many other similar failings stealthily crept over his mind. And are not these failings sins, about which the apostleas precept gives us a general admonition that we should avoid and restrain them, when he says: aLet not sin therefore reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof?a[1239]1239 To escape from such an obedience, we have to struggle in a constant and daily conflict against unlawful and unseemly inclinations. Only let the eye be directed, or rather abandoned, to an object which it ought to avoid, and let the mischief strengthen and get the mastery, and adultery is consummated in the body, which is committed in the heart only so much more quickly as thought is more rapid than action and there is no impediment to retard and delay it. They who in a great degree have curbed this sin, that is, this appetite of a corrupt affection, so as not to obey its desires, nor to avield their members to it as instruments of unrighteousness, a[1240]1240 have fairly deserved to be called

righteous persons, and this by the help of the grace of God. Since, however, sin often stole over them in very small matters, and when they were off their guard, they were both righteous, and at the same time not sinless. To conclude, if there was in righteous Abel that love of God whereby alone he is truly righteous who is righteous, to enable him, and to lay him under a moral obligation, to advance in holiness, still in whatever degree he fell short therein was of sin. And who indeed can help thus falling short, until he come to that mighty power thereof, in which manâs entire infirmity shall be swallowed up?

Chapter 46 [XXXIX.]âShall We Follow Scripture, or Add to Its Declarations?

It is, to be sure, a grand sentence with which he concluded this passage, when he says: aWhat we read, therefore, let us believe; and what we do not read, let us deem it wicked to add; and let it suffice to have said this of all cases. a On the contrary, I for my part say that we ought not to believe even everything that we read, on the sanction of the apostleas advice: aRead all things; hold fast that which is good a[1241]1241 Nor is it wicked to add something which we have not read; for it is in our power to add something which we have bona fideexperienced as witnesses, even if it so happens that we have not read about it. Perhaps he will say in reply: âWhen I said this, I was treating of the Holy Scriptures.â Oh how I wish that he were never willing to add, I will not say anything but what he reads in the Scriptures, but in opposition to what he reads in them; that he would only faithfully and obediently hear that which is written there: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men; in which all have sinned; a[1242]1242 and that he would not weaken the grace of the great Physician, âall by his unwillingness to confess that human nature is corrupted! Oh how I wish that he would, as a Christian, read the sentence, a There is none other name under heaven given among men whereby we must be saved; a[1243]1243 and that he would not so uphold the possibility of human nature, as to believe that man can be saved by free will without that Name!

Chapter 47 [XL.]âFor What Pelagius Thought that Christ is Necessary to Us.

Perhaps, however, he thinks the name of Christ to be necessary on this account, that by His gospel we may learn how we ought to live; but not that we may be also assisted by His grace, in order withal to lead good lives. Well, even this consideration should lead him at least to confess that there is a miserable darkness in the human mind, which knows how it ought to tame a lion, but knows not how to live. To know this, too, is it enough for us to have free will and natural law? This is that wisdom of word, whereby âthe cross of Christ is rendered of none effect.â[1244]1244 He, however, who said, âl will destroy the wisdom of the wise,â[1245]1245 since that cross cannot be made of none effect, in very deed overthrows that wisdom by the foolishness of preaching whereby believers are healed. For if natural capacity, by help of free will, is in itself sufficient both for discovering how one ought to live, and also for leading a holy life, then âChrist died

in vain,â[1246]1246 and therefore also âthe offence of the cross is ceased.â[1247]1247 Why also may I not myself exclaim?ânay, I will exclaim, and chide them with a Christianâs sorrow,ââChrist is become of no effect unto you, whosoever of you are justified by nature; ye are fallen from grace;â[1248]1248 for, âbeing ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and wishing to establish your own righteousness, you have not submitted yourselves to the righteousness of God.â[1249]1249 For even as âChrist is the end of the law,â so likewise is He the Saviour of manâs corrupted nature, âfor righteousness to every one that believeth.â[1250]1250

Chapter 48 [XLI.] âHow the Term âAllâ Is to Be Understood.

His opponents adduced the passage, aAll have sinned.a[1251]1251 and he met their statement founded on this with the remark that athe apostle was manifestly speaking of the then existing generation, that is, the Jews and the Gentiles; a but surely the passage which I have quoted, âBy one man sin entered the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men; in which all have sinned, a[1252]1252 embraces in its terms the generations both of old and of modern times, both ourselves and our posterity. He adduces also this passage, whence he would prove that we ought not to understand all without exception, when âallâ is used:ââAs by the offence of one,â he says, âupon all men to condemnation, even so by the righteousness of One, upon all men unto justification of life.â[1253]1253 âThere can be no doubt,â he says, athat not all men are sanctified by the righteousness of Christ, but only those who are willing to obey Him, and have been cleansed in the washing of His baptism â Well, but he does not prove what he wants by this quotation. For as the clause, âBy the offence of one, upon all men to condemnation, a is so worded that not one is omitted in its sense, so in the corresponding clause, aBy the righteousness of One, upon all men unto justification of life, an one is omitted in its sense, anot, indeed, because all men have faith and are washed in His baptism, but because no man is justified unless he believes in Christ and is cleansed by His baptism. The term âallâ is therefore used in a way which shows that no one whatever can be supposed able to be saved by any other means than through Christ Himself. For if in a city there be appointed but one instructor, we are most correct in saying: That man teaches all in that place: not meaning, indeed, that all who live in the city take lessons of him, but that no one is instructed unless taught by him. In like manner no one is justified unless Christ has justified him.[1254]1254

Chapter 49 [XLII.]âA Man Can Be Sinless, But Only by the Help of Grace. In the Saints This Possibility Advances and Keeps Pace with the Realization.

âWell, be it so,â says he, âl agree; he testifies to the fact that all were sinners. He says, indeed, what they have been, not that they might not have been something else. Wherefore,â he adds, âif all then could be proved to be sinners, it would not by any means prejudice our own definite position, in insisting not so much on what men are, as on what they are able to be.â He is right for once to allow that no man living is justified in Godâs sight. He contends, however, that this is not the question, but that the point lies in the possibility of a manâs not sinning, âon which subject it is unnecessary for us to take ground against him; for, in truth, I do not much care about expressing a definite opinion on the question, whether in the present life there ever have been, or now are, or ever can be, any persons who have had. or are having, or are to have, the love of God so perfectly as to admit of no addition to it (for nothing short of this amounts to a most true, full, and perfect righteousness). For I ought not too sharply to contend as to when, or where, or in whom is done that which I confess and maintain can be done by the will of man, aided by the grace of God. Nor do I indeed contend about the actual possibility, forasmuch as the possibility under dispute advances with the realization in the saints, their human will being healed and helped; whilst athe love of God, as fully as our healed and cleansed nature can possibly receive it, ais shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given to us.â[1255]1255 In a better way, therefore, is Godâs cause promoted (and it is to its promotion that our author professes to apply his warm defence of nature) when He is acknowledged as our Saviour no less than as our Creator, than when His succour to us as Saviour is impaired and dwarfed to nothing by the defence of the creature, as if it were sound and its resources entire.

Chapter 50 [XLIII.] âGod Commands No Impossibilities.

What he says, however, is true enough, athat God is as good as just, and made man such that he was guite able to live without the evil of sin, if only he had been willing. a For who does not know that man was made whole and faultless, and endowed with a free will and a free ability to lead a holy life? Our present inquiry, however, is about the man whom athe thievesa[1256]1256 left half dead on the road, and who, being disabled and pierced through with heavy wounds, is not so able to mount up to the heights of righteousness as he was able to descend therefrom; who, moreover, if he is now in athe inn,a[1257]1257 is in process of cure. God therefore does not command impossibilities; but in His command He counsels you both to do what you can for yourself, and to ask His aid in what you cannot do. Now, we should see whence comes the possibility, and whence the impossibility. This man says: aThat proceeds not from a manas will which he can do by nature.a I say: A man is not righteous by his will if he can be by nature. He will, however, be able to accomplish by remedial aid what he is rendered incapable of doing by his flaw.

Chapter 51 [XLIV.]âState of the Question Between the Pelagians and the Catholics. Holy Men of Old Saved by the Self-Same Faith in Christ Which We Exercise.

But why need we tarry longer on general statements? Let us go into the core of the question, which we have to discuss with our opponents solely, or almost entirely, on one particular point. For inasmuch as he says that âas far as the present question is concerned, it is not pertinent to inquire whether there have been or now are any men in this life without sin, but whether they had or have the ability to be such persons;â so, were I even to allow that there have been or are any such, I should not by any means therefore affirm that they had or

have the ability, unless justified by the grace of God through our Lord âJesus Christ and Him crucified.â[1258]1258 For the same faith which healed the saints of old now heals us,âthat is to say, faith âin the one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus,â[1259]1259âfaith in His blood, faith in His cross, faith in His death and resurrection. As we therefore have the same spirit of faith, we also believe, and on that account also speak.

Chapter 52.âThe Whole Discussion is About Grace.

Let us, however, observe what our author answers, after laying before himself the question wherein he seems indeed so intolerable to Christian hearts. He says: âBut you will tell me this is what disturbs a great many, athat you do not maintain that it is by the grace of God that a man is able to be without sin.â Certainly this is what causes us disturbance; this is what we object to him. He touches the very point of the case. This is what causes us such utter pain to endure it; this is why we cannot bear to have such points debated by Christians, owing to the love which we feel towards others and towards themselves. Well, let us hear how he clears himself from the objectionable character of the question he has raised. aWhat blindness of ignorance, a he exclaims, awhat sluggishness of an uninstructed mind, which supposes that that is maintained and held to be without Godâs grace which it only hears ought to be attributed to God!â Now. if we knew nothing of what follows this outburst of his, and formed our opinion on simply hearing these words, we might suppose that we had been led to a wrong view of our opponents by the spread of report and by the asseveration of some suitable witnesses among the brethren. For how could it have been more pointedly and truly stated that the possibility of not sinning, to whatever extent it exists or shall exist in man, ought only to be attributed to God? This too is our own affirmation. We may shake hands.

Chapter 53 [XLV.] âPelagius Distinguishes Between a Power and Its Use.

Well, are there other things to listen to? Yes, certainly; both to listen to, and correct and guard against. aNow, when it is said, a he says, athat the very ability is not at all of manas will, but of the Author of nature. athat is. God. ahow can that possibly be understood to be without the grace of God which is deemed especially to belong to God?â Already we begin to see what he means; but that we may not lie under any mistake, he explains himself with greater breadth and clearness: aThat this may become still plainer, we must, a says he, âenter on a somewhat fuller discussion of the point. Now we affirm that the possibility of anything lies not so much in the ability of a manâs will as in the necessity of nature.â He then proceeds to illustrate his meaning by examples and similes. aTake, a says he, afor instance, my ability to speak. That I am able to speak is not my own; but that I do speak is my own, athat is, of my own will. And because the act of my speaking is my own. I have the power of alternative action, athat is to say, both to speak and to refrain from speaking. But because my ability to speak is not my own, that is, is not of my own determination and will, it is of necessity[1260]1260 that I am always able to speak; and though I wished not to be able to speak, I

am unable, nevertheless, to be unable to speak, unless perhaps I were to deprive myself of that member whereby the function of speaking is to be performed.â Many means, indeed, might be mentioned whereby, if he wish it, a man may deprive himself of the possibility of speaking, without removing the organ of speech. If, for instance, anything were to happen to a man to destroy his voice, he would be unable to speak, although the members remained; for a manâs voice is of course no member. There may, in short, be an injury done to the member internally, short of the actual loss of it. I am, however, unwilling to press the argument for a word; and it may be replied to me in the contest, Why, even to injure is to lose. But yet we can so contrive matters, by closing and shutting the mouth with bandages, as to be quite incapable of opening it, and to put the opening of it out of our power, although it was quite in our own power to shut it while the strength and healthy exercise of the limbs remained.

Chapter 54 [XLVI.]âThere is No Incompatibility Between Necessity and Free Will.

Now how does all this apply to our subject? Let us see what he makes out of it. âWhatever,â says he, âis fettered by natural necessity is deprived of determination of will and deliberation.â Well, now, here lies a question; for it is the height of absurdity for us to say that it does not belong to our will that we wish to be happy, on the ground that it is absolutely impossible for us to be unwilling to be happy, by reason of some indescribable but amiable coercion of our nature; nor dare we maintain that God has not the will but the necessity of righteousness, because He cannot will to sin.

Chapter 55 [XLVII.]âThe Same Continued.

Mark also what follows. aWe may perceive, a says he, athe same thing to be true of hearing, smelling, and seeing, athat to hear, and to smell, and to see is of our own power, while the ability to hear, and to smell, and to see is not of our own power, but lies in a natural necessity.â Either I do not understand what he means, or he does not himself. For how is the possibility of seeing not in our own power, if the necessity of not seeing is in our own power because blindness is in our own power, by which we can deprive ourselves, if we will, of this very ability to see? How, moreover, is it in our own power to see whenever we will, when, without any loss whatever to our natural structure of body in the organ of sight, we are unable, even though we wish, to see, aeither by the removal of all external lights during the night, or by our being shut up in some dark place? Likewise, if our ability or our inability to hear is not in our own power, but lies in the necessity of nature, whereas our actual hearing or not hearing is of our own will, how comes it that he is inattentive to the fact that there are so many things which we hear against our will, which penetrate our sense even when our ears are stopped, as the creaking of a saw near to us, or the grunt of a pig? Although the said stopping of our ears shows plainly enough that it does not lie within our own power not to hear so long as our ears are open; perhaps, too, such a stopping of our ears as shall deprive us of the entire sense in guestion proves that even the ability not to hear lies within our own

power. As to his remarks, again, concerning our sense of smell, does he not display no little carelessness when he says âthat it is not in our own power to be able or to be unable to smell, but that it is in our own powerââthat is to say, in our free willââto smell or not to smell?â For let us suppose some one to place us, with our hands firmly tied, but yet without any injury to our olfactory members, among some bad and noxious smells; in such a case we altogether lose the power, however strong may be our wish, not to smell, because every time we are obliged to draw breath we also inhale the smell which we do not wish.

Chapter 56 [XLVIII.] aThe Assistance of Grace in a Perfect Nature.

Not only, then, are these similes employed by our author false, but so is the matter which he wishes them to illustrate. He goes on to say: aln like manner, touching the possibility of our not sinning, we must understand that it is of us not to sin, but yet that the ability to avoid sin is not of us.a If he were speaking of manas whole and perfect nature, which we do not now possess (afor we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope. But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for ita[1261]1261), his language even in that case would not be correct to the effect that to avoid sinning would be of us alone, although to sin would be of us, for even then there must be the help of God, which must shed itself on those who are willing to receive it, just as the light is given to strong and healthy eyes to assist them in their function of sight. Inasmuch, however, as it is about this present life of ours that he raises the question, wherein our corruptible body weighs down the soul, and our earthly tabernacle depresses our sense with all its many thoughts, I am astonished that he can with any heart suppose that, even without the help of our Saviourâs healing balm, it is in our own power to avoid sin, and the ability not to sin is of nature, which gives only stronger evidence of its own corruption by the very fact of its failing to see its taint.

Chapter 57 [XLIX.]âlt Does Not Detract from Godâs Almighty Power, that He is Incapable of Either Sinning, or Dying, or Destroying Himself.

alnasmuch.a savs he. aas not to sin is ours, we are able to sin and to avoid sin.â What, then, if another should say: âlnasmuch as not to wish for unhappiness is ours, we are able both to wish for it and not to wish for it?â And yet we are positively unable to wish for it. For who could possibly wish to be unhappy, even though he wishes for something else from which unhappiness will ensue to him against his will? Then again, inasmuch as, in an infinitely greater degree, it is Godâs not to sin, shall we therefore venture to say that He is able both to sin and to avoid sin? God forbid that we should ever say that He is able to sin! For He cannot, as foolish persons suppose, therefore fail to be almighty, because He is unable to die, or because He cannot deny Himself. What, therefore, does he mean? by what method of speech does he try to persuade us on a point which he is himself loth to consider? For he advances a step further, and says: âlnasmuch as, however, it is not of us to be able to avoid sin; even if we were to wish not to be able to avoid sin, it is not in our power to be

unable to avoid sin.â It is an involved sentence, and therefore a very obscure one. It might, however, be more plainly expressed in some such way as this: alnasmuch as to be able to avoid sin is not of us, then, whether we wish it or do not wish it, we are able to avoid sin!â He does not say, âWhether we wish it or do not wish it, we do not sin, ââfor we undoubtedly do sin, if we wish; âbut yet he asserts that, whether we will or not, we have the capacity of not sinning, âa capacity which he declares to be inherent in our nature. Of a man, indeed, who has his legs strong and sound, it may be said admissibly enough, awhether he will or not he has the capacity of walking; a but if his legs be broken, however much he may wish, he has not the capacity. The nature of which our author speaks is corrupted. âWhy is dust and ashes proud?a[1262]1262 It is corrupted. It implores the Physicianâs help. âSave me, O Lord, â[1263]1263 is its cry; âHeal my soul, a[1264]1264 it exclaims. Why does he check such cries so as to hinder future health, by insisting, as it were, on its present capacity?

Chapter 58 [L.]âEven Pious and God-Fearing Men Resist Grace.

Observe also what remark he adds, by which he thinks that his position is confirmed: aNo will, a says he, acan take away that which is proved to be inseparably implanted in nature. a Whence then comes that utterance: aso then ye cannot do the things that ye would?a[1265]1265 Whence also this: aFor what good I would, that I do not; but what evil I hate, that do I?a[1266]1266 Where is that capacity which is proved to be inseparably implanted in nature? See, it is human beings who do not what they will; and it is about not sinning, certainly, that he was treating, anot about not flying, because it was men not birds, that formed his subject. Behold, it is man who does not the good which he would, but does the evil which he would not: ato will is present with him, but how to perform that which is good is not present.a[1267]1267 Where is the capacity which is proved to be inseparably implanted in nature? For whomsoever the apostle represents by himself, if he does not speak these things of his own self, he certainly represents a man by himself. By our author, however, it is maintained that our human nature actually possesses an inseparable capacity of not at all sinning. Such a statement, however, even when made by a man who knows not the effect of his words (but this ignorance is hardly attributable to the man who suggests these statements for unwary though God-fearing men), causes the grace of Christ to be âmade of none effect, a[1268]1268 since it is pretended that human nature is sufficient for its own holiness and justification.

Chapter 59 [LI.]âln What Sense Pelagius Attributed to Godâs Grace the Capacity of Not Sinning.

In order, however, to escape from the odium wherewith Christians guard their salvation, he parries their question when they ask him, âWhy do you affirm that man without the help of Godâs grace is able to avoid sin?â by saying, âThe actual capacity of not sinning lies not so much in the power of will as in the necessity of nature. Whatever is placed in the necessity of nature undoubtedly appertains to the Author of nature, that is, God. How then,â says he, âcan that be regarded as spoken without the grace of God which is shown to belong in an especial manner to God?â Here the opinion is expressed which all along was kept in the background; there is, in fact, no way of permanently concealing such a doctrine. The reason why he attributes to the grace of God the capacity of not sinning is, that God is the Author of nature, in which, he declares, this capacity of avoiding sin is inseparably implanted. Whenever He wills a thing, no doubt He does it; and what He wills not, that He does not. Now, wherever there is this inseparable capacity, there cannot accrue any infirmity of the will; or rather, there cannot be both a presence of will and a failure in âperformance.â[1269]1269 This, then, being the case, how comes it to pass that ato will is present, but how to perform that which is gooda is not present? Now, if the author of the work we are discussing spoke of that nature of man, which was in the beginning created faultless and perfect, in whatever sense his dictum be taken, athat it has an inseparable capacity, ââthat is, so to say, one which cannot be lost, athen that nature ought not to have been mentioned at all which could be corrupted, and which could require a physician to cure the eves of the blind, and restore that capacity of seeing which had been lost through blindness. For I suppose a blind man would like to see, but is unable; but, whenever a man wishes to do a thing and cannot, there is present to him the will, but he has lost the capacity.

Chapter 60 [LII.] Pelagius Admits a Contrary Flesha In the Unbaptized.

See what obstacles he still attempts to break through, if possible, in order to introduce his own opinion. He raises a question for himself in these terms: aBut you will tell me that, according to the apostle, the flesh is contrary[1270]1270 to us; and then answers it in this wise: âHow can it be that in the case of any baptized person the flesh is contrary to him, when according to the same apostle he is understood not to be in the flesh? For he says, âBut ye are not in the flesh.ââ[1271]1271 Very well; we shall soon see[1272]1272 whether it be really true that this says that in the baptized the flesh cannot be contrary to them; at present, however, as it was impossible for him guite to forget that he was a Christian (although his reminiscence on the point is but slight), he has quitted his defence of nature. Where then is that inseparable capacity of his? Are those who are not yet baptized not a part of human nature? Well, now, here by all means. here at this point, he might find his opportunity of awaking out of his sleep; and he still has it if he is careful. âHow can it be,â he asks, athat in the case of a baptized person the flesh is contrary to him?â Therefore to the unbaptized the flesh can be contrary! Let him tell us how; for even in these there is that nature which has been so stoutly defended by him. However, in these he does certainly allow that nature is corrupted, inasmuch as it was only among the baptized that the wounded traveller left his inn sound and well, or rather remains sound in the inn whither the compassionate Samaritan carried him that he might become cured. [1273]1273 Well, now, if he allows that the flesh is contrary even in these, let him tell us what has happened to occasion this, since the flesh and the spirit alike are the work of one and the same Creator, and are therefore undoubtedly both of them good, because He is good, aunless indeed it be that damage which has been inflicted by manas own will. And that this may be repaired in our

nature, there is need of that very Saviour from whose creative hand nature itself proceeded. Now, if we acknowledge that this Saviour, and that healing remedy of His by which the Word was made flesh in order to dwell among us, are required by small and great,âby the crying infant and the hoary-headed man alike,âthen, in fact, the whole controversy of the point between us is settled.

Chapter 61 [LIII.]âPaul Asserts that the Flesh is Contrary Even in the Baptized.

Now let us see whether we anywhere read about the flesh being contrary in the baptized also. And here, I ask, to whom did the apostle say, aThe flesh lusteth against the Spirit, and the Spirit against the flesh: and these are contrary the one to the other; so that ve do not the things that ye would?a[1274]1274 He wrote this, I apprehend, to the Galatians, to whom he also says, all therefore that ministereth to you the Spirit, and worketh miracles among you, doeth he it by the works of the law or by the hearing of faith?a[1275]1275 It appears, therefore, that it is to Christians that he speaks, to whom, too, God had given His Spirit: therefore, too, to the baptized. Observe, therefore, that even in baptized persons the flesh is found to be contrary; so that they have not that capacity which, our author says, is inseparably implanted in nature. Where then is the ground for his assertion, a How can it be that in the case of a baptized person the flesh is contrary to him?â in whatever sense he understands the flesh? Because in very deed it is not its nature that is good, but it is the carnal defects of the flesh which are expressly named in the passage before us.[1276]1276 Yet observe, even in the baptized, how contrary is the flesh. And in what way contrary? So that, a They do not the things which they would â Take notice that the will is present in a man; but where is that acapacity of nature? a Let us confess that grace is necessary to us; let us cry out, aO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death?â And let our answer be, aThe grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord!a[1277]1277

Chapter 62.âConcerning What Grace of God is Here Under Discussion. The Ungodly Man, When Dying, is Not Delivered from Concupiscence.

Now, whereas it is most correctly asked in those words put to him. aWhy do you affirm that man without the help of Godas grace is able to avoid sin?â yet the inquiry did not concern that grace by which man was created, but only that whereby he is saved through Jesus Christ our Lord. Faithful men say in their prayer, âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.a[1278]1278 But if they already have capacity, why do they pray? Or, what is the evil which they pray to be delivered from, but, above all else, âthe body of this death?â And from this nothing but Godas grace alone delivers them, through our Lord Jesus Christ. Not of course from the substance of the body, which is good; but from its carnal offences, from which a man is not liberated except by the grace of the Saviour, anot even when he guits the body by the death of the body. If it was this that the apostle meant to declare, why had he previously said, all see another law in my members, warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of sin which is in my members?a[1279]1279 Behold

what damage the disobedience of the will has inflicted on manas nature! Let him be permitted to pray that he may be healed! Why need he presume so much on the capacity of his nature? It is wounded, hurt, damaged, destroyed. It is a true confession of its weakness, not a false defence of its capacity, that it stands in need of. It requires the grace of God, not that it may be made, but that it may be re-made. And this is the only grace which by our author is proclaimed to be unnecessary; because of this he is silent! If, indeed, he had said nothing at all about Godâs grace, and had not proposed to himself that question for solution, for the purpose of removing from himself the odium of this matter, [1280]1280 it might have been thought that his view of the subject was consistent with the truth, only that he had refrained from mentioning it, on the ground that not on all occasions need we say all we think. He proposed the question of grace, and answered it in the way that he had in his heart; the question has been defined, anot in the way we wished, but according to the doubt we entertained as to what was his meaning.

#### Chapter 63 [LIV.] âDoes God Create Contraries?

He next endeavours, by much quotation from the apostle, about which there is no controversy, to show athat the flesh is often mentioned by him in such a manner as proves him to mean not the substance, but the works of the flesh. a What is this to the point? The defects of the flesh are contrary to the will of man; his nature is not accused; but a Physician is wanted for its defects. What signifies his guestion, aWho made manas spirit?a and his own answer thereto, aGod, without a doubt?â Again he asks, âWho created the flesh?â and again answers, âThe same God, I suppose.â And yet a third question, âls the God good who created both?â and the third answer, âNobody doubts it.â Once more a guestion, âAre not both good, since the good Creator made them?â and its answer, alt must be confessed that they are.a And then follows his conclusion: alf, therefore, both the spirit is good, and the flesh is good, as made by the good Creator, how can it be that the two good things should be contrary to one another?â I need not say that the whole of this reasoning would be upset if one were to ask him, aWho made heat and cold?â and he were to say in answer, âGod, without a doubt.â I do not ask the string of questions. Let him determine himself whether these conditions of climate may either be said to be not good, or else whether they do not seem to be contrary to each other. Here he will probably object, a These are not substances, but the qualities of substances.â Very true, it is so. But still they are natural qualities, and undoubtedly belong to Godâs creation; and substances, indeed, are not said to be contrary to each other in themselves, but in their qualities, as water and fire. What if it be so too with flesh and spirit? We do not affirm it to be so; but, in order to show that his argument terminates in a conclusion which does not necessarily follow, we have said so much as this. For it is guite possible for contraries not to be reciprocally opposed to each other, but rather by mutual action to temper health and render it good; just as, in our body, dryness and moisture, cold and heat, ain the tempering of which altogether consists our bodily health. The fact, however, that athe flesh is contrary to the Spirit, so that we cannot do the things that we would, a[1281]1281 is a defect, not nature. The

Physicianâs grace must be sought, and their controversy must end.

Chapter 64. âPelagius â Admission as Regards the Unbaptized, Fatal.

Now, as touching these two good substances which the good God created. how, against the reasoning of this man, in the case of unbaptized persons, can they be contrary the one to the other? Will he be sorry to have said this too, which he admitted out of some regard to the Christiansâ faith? For when he asked, âHow, in the case of any person who is already baptized, can it be that his flesh is contrary to him?â he intimated, of course, that in the case of unbaptized persons it is possible for the flesh to be contrary. For why insert the clause, awho is already baptized, a when without such an addition he might have put his question thus: âHow in the case of any person can the flesh be contrary?â and when, in order to prove this, he might have subjoined that argument of his, that as both body and spirit are good (made as they are by the good Creator), they therefore cannot be contrary to each other? Now, suppose unbaptized persons (in whom, at any rate, he confesses that the flesh is contrary) were to ply him with his own arguments, and say to him, Who made manas spirit? he must answer, God. Suppose they asked him again, Who created the flesh? and he answers, The same God, I believe. Suppose their third question to be, Is the God good who created both? and his reply to be, Nobody doubts it. Suppose once more they put to him his yet remaining inquiry, Are not both good, since the good Creator made them? and he confesses it. Then surely they will cut his throat with his own sword, when they force home his conclusion on him, and say: Since therefore the spirit of man is good, and his flesh good, as made by the good Creator, how can it be that the two being good should be contrary to one another? Here, perhaps, he will reply: I beg your pardon, I ought not to have said that the flesh cannot be contrary to the spirit in any baptized person, as if I meant to imply that it is contrary in the unbaptized; but I ought to have made my statement general, to the effect that the flesh in no manâs case is contrary. Now see into what a corner he drives himself. See what a man will say, who is unwilling to cry out with the apostle, aWho shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[1282]1282 âBut why,â he asks, ashould I so exclaim, who am already baptized in Christ? It is for them to crv out thus who have not vet received so great a benefit, whose words the apostle in a figure transferred to himself, âif indeed even they say so much. a Well, this defence of nature does not permit even these to utter this exclamation! For in the baptized, there is no nature; and in the unbaptized, nature is not! Or if even in the one class it is allowed to be corrupted, so that it is not without reason that men exclaim, aO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from this body of death?â to the other, too, help is brought in what follows: a The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord: a then let it at last be granted that human nature stands in need of Christ for its Physician.

Chapter 65 [LV.]ââThis Body of Death,â So Called from Its Defect, Not from Its Substance.

Now, I ask, when did our nature lose that liberty, which he craves to

be given to him when he says: aWho shall liberate me?a[1283]1283 For even he finds no fault with the substance of the flesh when he expresses his desire to be liberated from the body of this death, since the nature of the body, as well as of the soul, must be attributed to the good God as the author thereof. But what he speaks of undoubtedly concerns the offences of the body. Now from the body the death of the body separates us; whereas the offences contracted from the body remain, and their just punishment awaits them, as the rich man found in hell.[1284]1284 From these it was that he was unable to liberate himself, who said: aWho shall liberate me from the body of this death?â[1285]1285 But whensoever it was that he lost this liberty, at least there remains that ainseparable capacitya of nature, ahe has the ability from natural resources, ahe has the volition from free will. Why does he seek the sacrament of baptism? Is it because of past sins, in order that they may be forgiven, since they cannot be undone? Well, suppose you acquit and release a man on these terms, he must still utter the old cry; for he not only wants to be mercifully let off from punishment for past offences, but to be strengthened and fortified against sinning for the time to come. For he âdelights in the law of God, after the inward man; but then he sees another law in his members, warring against the law of his mind.â[1286]1286 Observe, he sees that there is, not recollects that there was. It is a present pressure, not a past memory. And he sees the other law not only awarring, a but even abringing him into captivity to the law of sin, which isâ(not which was) âin his members.â[1287]1287 Hence comes that cry of his: âO wretched man that I am! who shall liberate me from the body of this death?a[1288]1288 Let him pray, let him entreat for the help of the mighty Physician. Why gainsay that prayer? Why cry down that entreaty? Why shall the unhappy suitor be hindered from begging for the mercy of Christ, âand that too by Christians? For, it was even they who were accompanying Christ that tried to prevent the blind man, by clamouring him down, from begging for light; but even amidst the din and throng of the gainsayers He hears the suppliant; [1289]1289 whence the response: aThe grace of God, through Jesus Christ out Lord. â[1290]1290

Chapter 66.âThe Works, Not the Substance, of the âFleshâ Opposed to the âSpirit.â

Now if we secure even this concession from them, that unbaptized persons may implore the assistance of the Saviourâs grace, this is indeed no slight point against that fallacious assertion of the self-sufficiency of nature and of the power of free will. For he is not sufficient to himself who says, âO wretched man that I am! who shall liberate me?â Nor can he be said to have full liberty who still asks for liberation. [LVI.] But let us, moreover, see to this point also, whether they who are baptized do the good which they would, without any resistance from the lust of the flesh. That, however, which we have to say on this subject, our author himself mentions, when concluding this topic he says: âAs we remarked, the passage in which occur the words, âThe flesh lusteth against the Spirit,â[1291]1291 must needs have reference not to the substance, but to the works of the flesh. but of its works, which proceed from

carnal concupiscence,âin a word, from sin, concerning which we have this precept: âNot to let it reign in our mortal body, that we should obey it in the lusts thereof.â[1292]1292

Chapter 67 [LVII.]âWho May Be Said to Be Under the Law.

But even our author should observe that it is to persons who have been already baptized that it was said: a The flesh lusteth against the Spirit, and the Spirit against the flesh, so that ye cannot do the things that ye would.a[1293]1293 And lest he should make them slothful for the actual conflict, and should seem by this statement to have given them laxity in sinning, he goes on to tell them: all ye be led of the Spirit, ye are no longer under the law.â[1294]1294 For that man is under the law, who, from fear of the punishment which the law threatens, and not from any love for righteousness, obliges himself to abstain from the work of sin, without being as yet free and removed from the desire of sinning. For it is in his very will that he is guilty, whereby he would prefer, if it were possible, that what he dreads should not exist, in order that he might freely do what he secretly desires. Therefore he says, all ye be led of the Spirit, ye are not under the law, ââeven the law which inspires fear, but gives not love. For this alove is shed abroad in our hearts, a not by the letter of the law, but aby the Holy Ghost which is given unto us.â[1295]1295 This is the law of liberty, not of bondage; being the law of love, not of fear; and concerning it the Apostle James says: âWhoso looketh into the perfect law of liberty.â[1296]1296 Whence he. too, no longer indeed felt terrified by Godâs law as a slave, but delighted in it in the inward man, although still seeing another law in his members warring against the law of his mind. Accordingly he here says: âlf ye be led of the Spirit, ye are not under the law.â So far, indeed, as any man is led by the Spirit, he is not under the law; because, so far as he rejoices in the law of God, he lives not in fear of the law, since afear has torment, a[1297]1297 not joy and delight.

Chapter 68 [LVIII.]âDespite the Devil, Man May, by Godâs Help, Be Perfected.

If, therefore, we feel rightly on this matter, it is our duty at once to be thankful for what is already healed within us, and to pray for such further healing as shall enable us to enjoy full liberty, in that most absolute state of health which is incapable of addition, the perfect pleasure of God.[1298]1298 For we do not deny that human nature can be without sin; nor ought we by any means to refuse to it the ability to become perfect, since we admit its capacity for progress, âby Godâs grace, however, through our Lord Jesus Christ. By His assistance we aver that it becomes holy and happy, by whom it was created in order to be so. There is accordingly an easy refutation of the objection which our author says is alleged by some against him: aThe devil opposes us.a This objection we also meet in entirely identical language with that which he uses in reply: aWe must resist him, and he will flee. aResist the devil, a says the blessed apostle, âand he will flee from you.â[1299]1299 From which it may be observed, what his harming amounts to against those whom he flees; or what power he is to be understood as possessing, when he prevails only against

those who do not resist him.â Such language is my own also; for it is impossible to employ truer words. There is, however, this difference between us and them, that we, whenever the devil has to be resisted, not only do not deny, but actually teach, that Godâs help must be sought; whereas they attribute so much power to will as to take away prayer from religious duty. Now it is certainly with a view to resisting the devil and his fleeing from us that we say when we pray, âLead us not into temptation;â[1300]1300 to the same end also are we warned by our Captain, exhorting us as soldiers in the words: âWatch ye and pray, lest ye enter into temptation.â[1301]1301

Chapter 69 [LIX.] âPelagius Puts Nature in the Place of Grace.

In opposition, however, to those who ask, aAnd who would be unwilling to be without sin, if it were put in the power of a man? a he rightly contends, saying athat by this very question they acknowledge that the thing is not impossible; because so much as this, many, if not all men, certainly desire.â Well then, let him only confess the means by which this is possible, and then our controversy is ended. Now the means is athe grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ; a by which he nowhere has been willing to allow that we are assisted when we pray, for the avoidance of sin. If indeed he secretly allows this, he must forgive us if we suspect otherwise. For he himself works this result, who, though encountering so much obloguy on this subject, wishes to entertain the secret opinion, and yet is unwilling to confess or profess it. It would surely be no great matter were he to speak out, especially since he has undertaken to handle and open this point, as if it had been objected against him on the side of opponents. Why on such occasions did he choose only to defend nature, and assert that man was so created as to have it in his power not to sin if he wished not to sin; and, from the fact that he was so created, definitely say that the power was owing to Godâs grace which enabled him to avoid sin, if he was unwilling to commit it; and yet refuse to say anything concerning the fact that even nature itself is either, because disordered, healed by Godâs grace through our Lord Jesus Christ or else assisted by it, because in itself it is so insufficient?

Chapter 70 [LX.]âWhether Any Man is Without Sin in This Life.

Now, whether there ever has been, or is, or ever can be, a man living so righteous a life in this world as to have no sin at all, may be an open question among true and pious Christians;[1302]1302 but whoever doubts the possibility of this sinless state after this present life; is foolish. For my own part, indeed, I am unwilling to dispute the point even as respects this life. For although that passage seems to me to be incapable of bearing any doubtful sense, wherein it is written, âln thy sight shall no man living be justifiedâ[1303]1303 (and so of similar passages), yet I could wish it were possible to show either that such quotations were capable of bearing a better signification, or that a perfect and plenary righteousness, to which it were impossible for any accession to be made, had been realized at some former time in some one whilst passing through this life in the flesh, or was now being realized, or would be hereafter. They, however, are in a great majority, who, while not doubting that to the

last day of their life it will be needful to them to resort to the prayer which they can so truthfully utter, âForgive us our trespasses, as we forgive those who trespass against us,â[1304]1304 still trust that in Christ and His promises they possess a true, certain, and unfailing hope. There is, however, no method whereby any persons arrive at absolute perfection, or whereby any man makes the slightest progress to true and godly righteousness, but the assisting grace of our crucified Saviour Christ, and the gift of His Spirit; and whosoever shall deny this cannot rightly, I almost think, be reckoned in the number of any kind of Christians at all.

Chapter 71 [LXI.]âAugustin Replies Against the Quotations Which Pelagius Had Advanced Out of the Catholic Writers. Lactantius.

Accordingly, with respect also to the passages which he has adduced, anot indeed from the canonical Scriptures, but out of certain treatises of catholic writers, al wish to meet the assertions of such as say that the said quotations make for him. The fact is, these passages are so entirely neutral, that they oppose neither our own opinion nor his. Amongst them he wanted to class something out of my own books, thus accounting me to be a person who seemed worthy of being ranked with them. For this I must not be ungrateful, and I should be sorryaso I say with unaffected friendlinessafor him to be in error, since he has conferred this honour upon me. As for his first quotation, indeed, why need I examine it largely, since I do not see here the authorâs name, either because he has not given it, or because from some casual mistake the copy which you[1305]1305 forwarded to me did not contain it? Especially as in writings of such authors I feel myself free to use my own judgment (owing unhesitating assent to nothing but the canonical Scriptures), whilst in fact there is not a passage which he has guoted from the works of this anonymous author[1306]1306 that disturbs me. alt behooved, a says he, afor the Master and Teacher of virtue to become most like to man, that by conquering sin He might show that man is able to conquer sin. a Now, however this passage may be expressed, its author must see to it as to what explanation it is capable of bearing. We, indeed, on our part, could not possibly doubt that in Christ there was no sin to conquer, aborn as He was in the likeness of sinful flesh, not in sinful flesh itself. Another passage is adduced from the same author to this effect: aAnd again, that by subduing the desires of the flesh He might teach us that it is not of necessity that one sins, but of set purpose and will.â[1307]1307 For my own part, I understand these desires of the flesh (if it is not of its unlawful lusts that the writer here speaks) to be such as hunger, thirst, refreshment after fatigue, and the like. For it is through these, however faultless they be in themselves, that some men fall into sin, a result which was far from our blessed Saviour, even though, as we see from the evidence of the gospel, these affections were natural to Him owing to His likeness to sinful flesh.

Chapter 72 [LXI.]âHilary. The Pure in Heart Blessed. The Doing and Perfecting of Righteousness.

He quotes the following words from the blessed Hilary: âlt is only

when we shall be perfect in spirit and changed in our immortal state, which blessedness has been appointed only for the pure in heart, [1308]1308 that we shall see that which is immortal in God.â[1309]1309 Now I am really not aware what is here said contrarv to our own statement, or in what respect this passage is of any use to our opponent, unless it be that it testifies to the possibility of a manâs being âpure in heart.â But who denies such possibility? Only it must be by the grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord, and not merely by our freedom of will. He goes on to guote also this passage: aThis Job had so effectually read these Scriptures, that he kept himself from every wicked work, because he worshipped God purely with a mind unmixed with offences: now such worship of God is the proper work of righteousness. a[1310]1310 It is what Job had done which the writer here spoke of, not what he had brought to perfection in this world, âmuch less what he had done or perfected without the grace of that Saviour whom he had actually foretold.[1311]1311 For that man, indeed, abstains from every wicked work, who does not allow the sin which he has within him to have dominion over him: and who, whenever an unworthy thought stole over him, suffered it not to come to a head in actual deed. It is, however, one thing not to have sin, and another to refuse obedience to its desires. It is one thing to fulfil the command, aThou shalt not covet;a[1312]1312 and another thing, by an endeavour at any rate after abstinence, to do that which is also written, aThou shalt not go after thy lusts.a[1313]1313 And yet one is quite aware that he can do nothing of all this without the Saviourâs grace. It is to work righteousness, therefore, to fight in an internal struggle with the internal evil of concupiscence in the true worship of God; whilst to perfect it means to have no adversary at all. Now he who has to fight is still in danger, and is sometimes shaken, even if he is not overthrown; whereas he who has no enemy at all rejoices in perfect peace. He, moreover, is in the highest truth said to be without sin in whom no sin has an indwelling, anot he who, abstaining from evil deeds, uses such language as aNow it is no longer I that do it, but the sin that dwelleth in me.a[1314]1314

Chapter 73.âHe Meets Pelagius with Another Passage from Hilary.

Now even Job himself is not silent respecting his own sins; and your friend.[1315]1315 of course, is justly of opinion that humility must not by any means abe put on the side of falsehood.a Whatever confession, therefore, Job makes, inasmuch as he is a true worshipper of God, he undoubtedly makes it in truth.[1316]1316 Hilary, likewise, while expounding that passage of the psalm in which it is written, aThou hast despised all those who turn aside from Thy commandments, a[1317]1317 says: alf God were to despise sinners, He would despise indeed all men, because no man is without sin; but it is those who turn away from Him, whom they call apostates, that He despises.â You observe his statement: it is not to the effect that no man was without sin, as if he spoke of the past; but no man is without sin; and on this point, as I have already remarked, I have no contention with him. But if one refuses to submit to the Apostle John, awho does not himself declare, alf we were to say we have had no sin,â but âlf we say we have no sin,â[1318]1318âhow is he likely to show deference to Bishop Hilary? It is in defence of the grace of

Christ that I lift up my voice, without which grace no man is justified,âjust as if natural free will were sufficient. Nay, He Himself lifts up His own voice in defence of the same. Let us submit to Him when He says: âWithout me ye can do nothing.â[1319]1319

Chapter 74 [LXIII.]âAmbrose.

St. Ambrose, however, really opposes those who say that man cannot exist without sin in the present life. For, in order to support his statement, he avails himself of the instance of Zacharias and Elisabeth, because they are mentioned as âhaving walked in all the commandments and ordinancesâ of the law âblameless.â[1320]1320 Well, but does he for all that deny that it was by Godâs grace that they did this through our Lord Jesus Christ? It was undoubtedly by such faith in Him that holy men lived of old, even before His death. It is He who sends the Holy Ghost that is given to us, through whom that love is shed abroad in our hearts whereby alone whosoever are righteous are righteous. This same Holy Ghost the bishop expressly mentioned when he reminds us that He is to be obtained by prayer (so that the will is not sufficient unless it be aided by Him); thus in his hymn he says:

âVotisque præstat sedulis,

Sanctum mereri Spiritum, â[1321]1321 â

aTo those who sedulously seek He gives to gain the Holy Spirit.a

Chapter 75.âAugustin Adduces in Reply Some Other Passages of Ambrose.

I, too, will quote a passage out of this very work of St. Ambrose, from which our opponent has taken the statement which he deemed favourable for citation: ââlt seemed good to me,ââ he says; âbut what he declares seemed good to him cannot have seemed good to him alone. For it is not simply to his human will that it seemed good, but also as it pleased Him, even Christ, who, says he, speaketh in me, who it is that causes that which is good in itself to seem good to ourselves also. For him on whom He has mercy He also calls. He, therefore, who follows Christ, when asked why he wished to be a Christian, can answer: alt seemed good to me.a In saving this he does not deny that it also pleased God; for from God proceeds the preparation of manas will inasmuch as it is by Godâs grace that God is honoured by His saint.â[1322]1322 See now what your author must learn, if he takes pleasure in the words of Ambrose, how that manâs will is prepared by God, and that it is of no importance, or, at any rate, does not much matter, by what means or at what time the preparation is accomplished. provided no doubt is raised as to whether the thing itself be capable of accomplishment without the grace of Christ. Then, again, how important it was that he should observe one line from the words of Ambrose which he guoted! For after that holy man had said, alnasmuch as the Church has been gathered out of the world, that is, out of sinful men, how can it be unpolluted when composed of such polluted material, except that, in the first place, it be washed of sins by the grace of Christ, and then, in the next place, abstain from sins through its nature of avoiding sin?ââhe added the following sentence,

which your author has refused to quote for a self-evident reason; for [Ambrose] says: alt was not from the first unpolluted, for that was impossible for human nature: but it is through Godâs grace and nature that because it no longer sins, it comes to pass that it seems unpolluted.a[1323]1323 Now who does not understand the reason why your author declined adding these words? It is, of course, so contrived in the discipline of the present life, that the holy Church shall arrive at last at that condition of most immaculate purity which all holy men desire; and that it may in the world to come, and in a state unmixed with anything of evil men, and undisturbed by any law of sin resisting the law of the mind, lead the purest life in a divine eternity. Still he should well observe what Bishop Ambrose says, âand his statement exactly tallies with the Scriptures: alt was not from the first unpolluted, for that condition was impossible for human nature. â By his phrase, afrom the first, a he means indeed from the time of our being born of Adam. Adam no doubt was himself created immaculate; in the case, however, of those who are by nature children of wrath, deriving from him what in him was corrupted, he distinctly averred that it was an impossibility in human nature that they should be immaculate from the first.

Chapter 76 [LXIV.]âJohn of Constantinople.

He quotes also John, bishop of Constantinople, as saying âthat sin is not a substance, but a wicked act.â Who denies this? âAnd because it is not natural, therefore the law was given against it, and because it proceeds from the liberty of our will.â[1324]1324 Who, too, denies this? However, the present question concerns our human nature in its corrupted state; it is a further question also concerning that grace of God whereby our nature is healed by the great Physician, Christ, whose remedy it would not need if it were only whole. And yet your author defends it as capable of not sinning, as if it were sound, or as if its freedom of will were self-sufficient.

# Chapter 77.âXystus.

What Christian, again, is unaware of what he quotes the most blessed Xystus, bishop of Rome and martyr of Christ, as having said, aGod has conferred upon men liberty of their own will, in order that by purity and sinlessness of life they may become like unto God?a[1325]1325 But the man who appeals to free will ought to listen and believe, and ask Him in whom he believes to give him His assistance not to sin. For when he speaks of abecoming like unto God, a it is indeed through Godas love that men are to be like unto God, aeven the love which is ashed abroad in our hearts, a not by any ability of nature or the free will within us, but aby the Holy Ghost which is given unto us.a[1326]1326 Then, in respect of what the same martyr further says, âA pure mind is a holy temple for God, and a heart clean and without sin is His best altar, a who knows not that the clean heart must be brought to this perfection, whilst athe inward man is renewed day by day, a[1327]1327 but yet not without the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord? Again, when he says, a man of chastity and without sin has received power from God to be a son of God, a he of course meant it as an admonition that on a manas becoming so chaste and sinless (without

raising any question as to where and when this perfection was to be obtained by him, although in fact it is guite an interesting guestion among godly men, who are notwithstanding agreed as to the possibility of such perfection on the one hand, and on the other hand its impossibility except through athe one Mediator between God and men. the Man Christ Jesusâ);[1328]1328ânevertheless, as I began to say, Xystus designed his words to be an admonition that, on any manas attaining such a high character, and thereby being rightly reckoned to be among the sons of God, the attainment must not be thought to have been the work of his own power. This indeed he, through grace, received from God, since he did not have it in a nature which had become corrupted and depraved, aeven as we read in the Gospel, aBut as many as received Him, to them gave He power to become the sons of God; a[1329]1329 which they were not by nature, nor could at all become, unless by receiving Him they also received power through His grace. This is the power which is claimed for itself by the fortitude of that love which is only communicated to us by the Holy Ghost bestowed upon us.

### Chapter 78 [LXV.]âJerome.

We have next a quotation of some words of the venerable presbyter Jerome, from his exposition of the passage where it is written: ââBlessed are the pure in heart; for they shall see God.â[1330]1330 These are they whom no consciousness of sin reproves, a he says, and adds: aThe pure man is seen by his purity of heart; the temple of God cannot be defiled. a[1331]1331 This perfection is, to be sure, wrought in us by endeavour, by labour, by prayer, by effectual importunity therein that we may be brought to the perfection in which we may be able to look upon God with a pure heart, by His grace through our Lord Jesus Christ. As to his quotation, that the forementioned presbyter said, aGod created us with free will; we are drawn by necessity neither to virtue nor to vice; otherwise, where there is necessity there is no crown; a[1332]1332awho would not allow this? Who would not cordially accept it? Who would deny that human nature was so created? The reason, however, why in doing a right action there is no bondage of necessity, is that liberty comes of love.

Chapter 79 [LXVI.] âA Certain Necessity of Sinning.

But let us revert to the apostleâs assertion: âThe love of God is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost which is given unto us.â[1333]1333 By whom given if not by Him who âascended up on high, led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men?â[1334]1334 Forasmuch, however, as there is, owing to the defects that have entered our nature, not to the constitution of our nature, a certain necessary tendency to sin, a man should listen, and in order that the said necessity may cease to exist, learn to say to God, âBring Thou me out of my necessities;â[1335]1335 because in the very offering up of such a prayer there is a struggle against the tempter, who fights against us concerning this very necessity; and thus, by the assistance of grace through our Lord Jesus Christ, both the evil necessity will be removed and full liberty be bestowed. Chapter 80 [LXVII.]âAugustin Himself. Two Methods Whereby Sins, Like Diseases, are Guarded Against.

Let us now turn to our own case. âBishop Augustin also, â says your author, âin his books on Free Will has these words: âWhatever the cause itself of volition is, if it is impossible to resist it, submission to it is not sinful; if, however, it may be resisted, let it not be submitted to, and there will be no sin. Does it, perchance, deceive the unwary man? Let him then beware that he be not deceived. Is the deception, however, so potent that it is not possible to guard against it? If such is the case, then there are no sins. For who sins in a case where precaution is quite impossible? Sin, however, is committed; precaution therefore is possible.ââ[1336]1336 l acknowledge it, these are my words; but he, too, should condescend to acknowledge all that was said previously, seeing that the discussion is about the grace of God, which helps us as a medicine through the Mediator; not about the impossibility of righteousness. Whatever, then, may be the cause, it can be resisted. Most certainly it can. Now it is because of this that we pray for help, saying, aLead us not into temptation, a[1337]1337 and we should not ask for help if we supposed that the resistance were quite impossible. It is possible to guard against sin, but by the help of Him who cannot be deceived. [1338]1338 For this very circumstance has much to do with guarding against sin that we can unfeignedly say, aForgive us our debt, as we forgive our debtors.â[1339]1339 Now there are two ways whereby, even in bodily maladies, the evil is guarded against, ato prevent its occurrence, and, if it happen, to secure a speedy cure. To prevent its occurrence, we may find precaution in the prayer, aLead us not into temptation; a to secure the prompt remedy, we have the resource in the prayer, aForgive us our debts.â Whether then the danger only threaten or be inherent, it may be guarded against.

Chapter 81.âAugustin Quotes Himself on Free Will.

In order, however, that my meaning on this subject may be clear not merely to him, but also to such persons as have not read those treatises of mine on Free Will, which your author has read, and who have not only not read them, but perchance do read him; I must go on to quote out of my books what he has omitted, but which, if he had perceived and quoted in his book, no controversy would be left between us on this subject. For immediately after those words of mine which he has quoted, I expressly added, and (as fully as I could) worked out, the train of thought which might occur to any oneas mind, to the following effect: aAnd vet some actions are disapproved of, even when they are done in ignorance, and are judged deserving of chastisement, as we read in the inspired authorities.â After taking some examples out of these, I went on to speak also of infirmity as follows: aSome actions also deserve disapprobation, that are done from necessity; as when a man wishes to act rightly and cannot. For whence arise those utterances: aFor the good that I would, I do not; but the evil which I would not, that I doâ?â[1340]1340 Then, after quoting some other passages of the Holy Scriptures to the same effect, I say: âBut all these are the sayings of persons who are coming out of that condemnation of death; for if this is not manas punishment, but his

nature, then those are no sins.â Then, again, a little afterwards I add: alt remains, therefore, that this just punishment come of manas condemnation. Nor ought it to be wondered at, that either by ignorance man has not free determination of will to choose what he will rightly do, or that by the resistance of carnal habit (which by force of mortal transmission has, in a certain sense, become engrafted into his nature), though seeing what ought rightly to be done and wishing to do it, he yet is unable to accomplish it. For this is the most just penalty of sin, that a man should lose what he has been unwilling to make good use of, when he might with ease have done so if he would; which, however, amounts to this, that the man who knowingly does not do what is right loses the ability to do it when he wishes. For, in truth, to every soul that sins there accrue these two penal consequences and difficulty. Out of the ignorance springs the error which disgraces; out of the difficulty arises the pain which afflicts. But to approve of falsehoods as if they were true, so as to err involuntarily, and to be unable, owing to the resistance and pain of carnal bondage, to refrain from deeds of lust, is not the nature of man as he was created, but the punishment of man as under condemnation. When, however, we speak of a free will to do what is right, we of course mean that liberty in which man was created.â Some men at once deduce from this what seems to them a just objection from the transfer and transmission of sins of ignorance and difficulty from the first man to his posterity. My answer to such objectors is this: al tell them, by way of a brief reply, to be silent and to cease from murmuring against God. Perhaps their complaint might have been a proper one, if no one from among men had stood forth a vanguisher of error and of lust; but when there is everywhere present One who calls off from himself, through the creature by so many means, the man who serves the Lord, teaches him when believing, consoles him when hoping, encourages him when loving, helps him when endeavouring, hears him when praying, ait is not reckoned to you as a fault that you are involuntarily ignorant, but that you neglect to search out what you are ignorant of; nor is it imputed to you in censure that you do not bind up the limbs that are wounded, but that you despise him who wishes to heal them.a[1341]1341 In such terms did I exhort them, as well as I could, to live righteously; nor did I make the grace of God of none effect, without which the now obscured and tarnished nature of man can neither be enlightened nor purified. Our whole discussion with them on this subject turns upon this, that we frustrate not the grace of God which is in Jesus Christ our Lord by a perverted assertion of nature. In a passage occurring shortly after the last quoted one, I said in reference to nature: aOf nature itself we speak in one sense, when we properly describe it as that human nature in which man was created faultless after his kind; and in another sense as that nature in which we are born ignorant and carnally minded, owing to the penalty of condemnation, after the manner of the apostle, aWe ourselves likewise were by nature children of wrath, even as others.ââ[1342]1342

Chapter 82 [LXVIII.]âHow to Exhort Men to Faith, Repentance, and Advancement.

If, therefore, we wish ato rouse and kindle cold and sluggish souls by

Christian exhortations to lead righteous lives, a[1343]1343 we must first of all exhort them to that faith whereby they may become Christians, and be subjects of His name and authority, without whom they cannot be saved. If, however, they are already Christians but neglect to lead holy lives, they must be chastised with alarms and be aroused by the praises of reward, ain such a manner, indeed, that we must not forget to urge them to godly prayers as well as to virtuous actions, and furthermore to instruct them in such wholesome doctrine that they be induced thereby to return thanks for being able to accomplish any step in that holy life which they have entered upon. without difficulty, [1344]1344 and whenever they do experience such âdifficulty, â that they then wrestle with God in most faithful and persistent prayer and ready works of mercy to obtain from Him facility. But provided they thus progress, I am not over-anxious as to the where and the when of their perfection in fulness of righteousness; only I solemnly assert, that wheresoever and whensoever they become perfect, it cannot be but by the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ. When, indeed, they have attained to the clear knowledge that they have no sin, let them not say they have sin, lest the truth be not in them; [1345]1345 even as the truth is not in those persons who, though they have sin, yet say that they have it not.

Chapter 83 [LXIX.] âGod Enjoins No Impossibility, Because All Things are Possible and Easy to Love.

But athe precepts of the law are very good, a if we use them lawfully.[1346]1346 Indeed, by the very fact (of which we have the firmest conviction) athat the just and good God could not possibly have enjoined impossibilities, â we are admonished both what to do in easy paths and what to ask for when they are difficult. Now all things are easy for love to effect, to which (and which alone) aChristas burden is light, a[1347]1347 aor rather, it is itself alone the burden which is light. Accordingly it is said, âAnd His commandments are not grievous; a[1348]1348 so that whoever finds them grievous must regard the inspired statement about their anot being grievousa as having been capable of only this meaning, that there may be a state of heart to which they are not burdensome, and he must pray for that disposition which he at present wants, so as to be able to fulfil all that is commanded him. And this is the purport of what is said to Israel in Deuteronomy, if understood in a godly, sacred, and spiritual sense, since the apostle, after quoting the passage, a The word is nigh thee, even in thy mouth and in thy hearta[1349]1349 (and, as the verse also has it, in thine hands, [1350]1350 for in manas heart are his spiritual hands), adds in explanation, a This is the word of faith which we preach.â[1351]1351 No man, therefore, who âreturns to the Lord his God, â as he is there commanded, âwith all his heart and with all his soul, a[1352]1352 will find Godas commandment agrievous. a How, indeed, can it be grievous, when it is the precept of love? Either, therefore, a man has not love, and then it is grievous; or he has love, and then it is not grievous. But he possesses love if he does what is there enjoined on Israel, by returning to the Lord his God with all his heart and with all his soul. âA new commandment,â says He, âdo I give unto you, that ye love one another; a[1353]1353 and aHe that loveth his neighbour hath fulfilled the law; a[1354]1354 and again, aLove is the

fulfilling of the law.â[1355]1355 In accordance with these sayings is that passage, âHad they trodden good paths, they would have found, indeed, the ways of righteousness easy.â[1356]1356 How then is it written, âBecause of the words of Thy lips, I have kept the paths of difficulty,â[1357]1357 except it be that both statements are true: These paths are paths of difficulty to fear; but to love they are easy?

Chapter 84 [LXX.]âThe Degrees of Love are Also Degrees of Holiness.

Inchoate love, therefore, is inchoate holiness; advanced love is advanced holiness; great love is great holiness; aperfect love is perfect holiness, ââbut this âlove is out of a pure heart, and of a good conscience, and of faith unfeigned, a[1358]1358 awhich in this life is then the greatest, when life itself is contemned in comparison with it.â[1359]1359 I wonder, however, whether it has not a soil in which to grow after it has quitted this mortal life! But in what place and at what time soever it shall reach that state of absolute perfection, which shall admit of no increase, it is certainly not âshed abroad in our heartsâ by any energies either of the nature or the volition that are within us, but aby the Holy Ghost which is given unto us, a[1360]1360 aand which both helps our infirmity and co-operates with our strength. For it is itself indeed the grace of God, through our Lord Jesus Christ, to whom, with the Father and the Holy Spirit, appertaineth eternity, and all goodness, for ever and ever. Amen.

a treatise concerning manâs perfection in righteousness.

preface to the treatise on manas perfection in righteousness.

# âââââââââââââ

Augustin has made no mention of this treatise in his book of Retractations; for the reason, no doubt, that it belonged to the collection of the Epistles, for which he designed a separate statement of Retractations. In all the mss. this work begins with his usual epistolary salutation: âAugustin, to his holy brethren and fellow-bishops Eutropius and Paulus.â And vet. by general consent. this epistle has been received as a treatise, not only in those volumes of his works which contain this work, but also in the writings of those ancient authors who quote it. Amongst these, the most renowned and acquainted with Augustinâs writings, Possidius (In indiculo, 4) and Fulgentius (Ad Monimum, i. 3) expressly call this work âA Treatise on the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness.â So far nearly all the mss. agree, but a few (including the Codd. AudA¶enensis and Pratellensis) add these words to the general title: all opposition to those who assert that it is possible for a man to become righteous by his own sole strength.â In a ms. belonging to the Church of Rheims there occurs this inscription: âA Treatise on what are called the definitions of CAlestius. a Prosper, in his work against the Collator, ch. 43, advises his reader to read, besides some other of Augustinas abooks, a that which he wrote ato the priests Paulus and Eutropius in opposition to the questions of Pelagius and CÅlestius.â

From this passage of Prosper, however, in which he mentions, but with no regard to accurate order, some of the short treatises of Augustin against the Pelagians, nobody could rightly show that this work On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness was later in time than his work On Marriage and Concupiscence, or than the six books against Julianus, which are mentioned previously in the same passage by Prosper. For, indeed, at the conclusion of the present treatise, Augustin hesitates as yet to censure those persons who affirmed that men are living or have lived in this life righteously without any sin at all: their opinion Augustin, in the passage referred to (just as in his treatises On Nature and Grace, n. 3, and On the Spirit and the Letter, nn. 49, 70), does not yet think it necessary stoutly to resist. Nothing had as vet, therefore, been determined on this point; nor were there yet enacted, in opposition to this opinion, the three well-known canons (6â8) of the Council of Carthage, which was held in the year 418. Afterwards, however, on the authority of these canons, he cautions people against the opinion as a pernicious error, as one may see from many passages in his books Against the two Epistles of the Pelagians, especially Book iv. ch. x. (27), where he says: âLet us now consider that third point of theirs, which each individual member of Christ as well as His entire body regards with horror, where they contend that there are in this life, or have been, righteous persons without any sin whatever.â Certainly, in the year 414, in an epistle (157) to Hilary, when answering the questions which were then being agitated in Sicily, he expresses himself in the same tone, and almost in the same language, on sinlessness, as that which he employs at the end of this present treatise. âBut those persons, â says he (in ch. ii. n. 4 of that epistle), ahowever much one may tolerate them when they affirm that there either are, or have been, men besides the one Saint of saints who have been wholly free from sin; yet when they allege that manâs own free will is sufficient for fulfilling the Lordâs commandments, even when unassisted by Godas grace and the gift of the Holy Spirit for the performance of good works, the idea is altogether worthy of anathema and of perfect detestation. a On comparing these words with the conclusion of this treatise before us, nothing will appear more probable than that the work which supplies the refutation of CÅlestiusâ questions, which were also brought over from Sicily, was written not long after the above-mentioned epistle. This work Possidius, in his index, places immediately after the treatise On Nature and Grace, and before the book On the Proceedings of Pelagius. Augustin, however, does not mention this work in his epistle (169) which he addressed to Evodius about the end of the year 415; but he intimates in it that he had published an answer to the Commonitorium of Orosius, wherein that author stated that athe bishops Eutropius and Paulus had already given information to Augustin about certain formidable heresies. a Some suppose that this statement refers to the letter which they despatched to Augustin along with CÅlestiusâ propositions. However that be, it is not unreasonable to believe that they, not long after Orosiusâ arrival in Africa (that is, before the midsummer of the year 415), had sent these propositions to him, and that Augustin soon afterwards wrote back to Eutropius and Paulus a refutation of them, his answer to Orosius having been previously given.

Furthermore, CÅlestius, whose name is inscribed in the propositions, âwrote to his parents from his monastery, â as Gennadius informs us in his work on Church writers (De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis), âbefore he fell in with the teaching of Pelagius, three letters in the shape of short treatises, necessary for all seekers after God.â Afterwards he openly professed the Pelagian heresy, and published a short treatise, in which, besides other topics, he acknowledged in the Church of Carthage that even infants had redemption by being baptized into Christ, âan episcopal decision on the question having been obtained in that city about the commencement of the year 412, as we learn from an epistle to Pope Innocent (amongst the Epistles of Augustin [175, n. 1 and 6]), as well as from the epistle [157, n. 22] which we have referred to above; and from Augustinas work On the Merits of Sins, i. 62, and ii. 59; also from his treatise On Original Sin, 21; and his work Against Julianus, iii. 9. Another work by an anonymous writer, but which was commonly attributed to CÅlestius, divided into chapters, is mentioned in the treatise which follows the present one, On the Proceedings of Pelagius; see chapters 29, 30, and 62. There were extant, moreover, in the year 417, several small books or tracts of CÅlestius, which Augustin, in his work On the Grace of Christ, 31, 32, and 36, says were produced by CÅlestius himself in some ecclesiastical proceedings at Rome under Zosimus. Augustin, at the commencement of the present work On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness, mentions an undoubted work of CÅlestius as having been seen by him, from which he discovered that the definitions or propositions therein examined by Augustin were not unsuited to the tone and temper of CÅlestius. This was very probably the book which Jerome quotes in his Epistle to Ctesiphon, written in the year 413 or 414. These are Jeromeâs words: âOne of his followers [that is, Pelagiusa], who was already in fact become the master and the leader of all that army, and a vessel of wrath, a [1361]1361 in opposition to the apostle, runs on through thickets, not of syllogisms, as his admirers are apt to boast, but of solecisms, and philosophizes and disputes to the following effect: all I do nothing without Godas help, and if everything which I shall achieve is owing to His operations solely, then it follows that it is not I who work, but only Godâs work is to be crowned in me. In vain, therefore, has He conferred on me the power of will, if I am unable to exercise it fully without His incessant help. That volition, indeed, is destroyed which requires the assistance of another. But it is free will which God has given to me; and free it can only remain, if I do whatever I wish. The state of the case then is this: I either use once for all the power which has been bestowed on me, so that free will is preserved; or else, if I require the assistance of another, liberty of decision in me is destroyed.ââ

A Treatise concerning manâs perfection in righteousness,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In One Book,

addressed to eutropius and paulus, a.d. 415.

## âââââââââââââ

A paper containing sundry definitions,[1362]1362 said to have been drawn up by CÅlestius, was put into the hands of Augustin. In this document, CÅlestius, or some person who shared in his errors, had recklessly asserted that a man had it in his power to live here without sin. Augustin first refutes the several propositions in brief answers, showing that the perfect and plenary state of righteousness, in which a man exists absolutely without sin, is unattainable without grace by the mere resources of our corrupt nature, and never occurs in this present state of existence. He next proceeds to consider the authorities which the paper contained as gathered out of the Scriptures; some of them teaching man to be âunspottedâ and âperfect;â others mentioning the commandments of God as ânot grievous;â while others again are quoted as opposed to the authoritative passages which the Catholics were accustomed to advance against the Pelagians.

Augustin to his holy brethren and fellow-bishops Eutropius and Paulus.[1363]1363

## Chapter I.

Your love, which in both of you is so great and so holy that it is a delight to obey its commands, has laid me under an obligation to reply to some definitions which are said to be the work of CAlestius; for so runs the title of the paper which you have given me, a The definitions, so it is said, of CÅlestius.â As for this title, I take it that it is not his, but theirs who have brought this work from Sicily, where CAlestius is said not to be, although many there [1364]1364 make boastful pretension of holding views like his, and, to use the apostleâs word, âbeing themselves deceived, lead others also astray.â[1365]1365 That these views are, however, his, or those of some associates[1366]1366 of his, we, too, can well believe. For the above-mentioned brief definitions, or rather propositions, are by no means at variance with his opinion, such as I have seen it expressed in another work, of which he is the undoubted author. There was therefore good reason, I think, for the report which those brethren, who brought these tidings to us, heard in Sicily, that CAlestius taught or wrote such opinions. I should like, if it were possible, so to meet the obligation imposed on me by your brotherly kindness, that I, too, in my own answer should be equally brief. But unless I set forth also the propositions which I answer, who will be able to form a judgment of the value of my answer? Still I will try to the best of my ability, assisted, too, by Godâs mercy, by your own prayers, so to conduct the discussion as to keep it from running to an unnecessary length.

Chapter II.â(1.) The First Breviate of CÅlestius.

I. âFirst of all,â says he, âhe must be asked who denies manâs ability to live without sin, what every sort of sin is,âis it such as can be avoided? or is it unavoidable? If it is unavoidable, then it is not sin; if it can be avoided, then a man can live without the sin which can be avoided. No reason or justice permits us to designate as sin

what cannot in any way be avoided.â Our answer to this is, that sin can be avoided, if our corrupted nature be healed by Godâs grace, through our Lord Jesus Christ. For, in so far as it is not sound, in so far does it either through blindness fail to see, or through weakness fail to accomplish, that which it ought to do; âfor the flesh lusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh,â[1367]1367 so that a man does not do the things which he would.

(2.) The Second Breviate.

II. âWe must next ask,â he says, âwhether sin comes from will, or from necessity? If from necessity, it is not sin; if from will, it can be avoided.â We answer as before; and in order that we may be healed, we pray to Him to whom it is said in the psalm: âLead Thou me out of my necessities.â[1368]1368

(3.) The Third Breviate.

III. âAgain we must ask,â he says, âwhat sin is,ânatural? or accidental? If natural, it is not sin; if accidental, it is separable;[1369]1369 and if it is separable, it can be avoided; and because it can be avoided, man can be without that which can be avoided.â The answer to this is, that sin is not natural; but nature (especially in that corrupt state from which we have become by nature âchildren of wrathâ[1370]1370) has too little determination of will to avoid sin, unless assisted and healed by Godâs grace through Jesus Christ our Lord.

(4.) The Fourth Breviate.

IV. âWe must ask, again,â he says, âWhat is sin,âan act, or a thing? If it is a thing, it must have an author; and if it be said to have an author, then another besides God will seem to be introduced as the author of a thing. But if it is impious to say this, we are driven to confess that every sin is an act, not a thing. If therefore it is an act, for this very reason, because it is an act, it can be avoided.â Our reply is, that sin no doubt is called an act, and is such, not a thing. But likewise in the body, lameness for the same reason is an act, not a thing, since it is the foot itself, or the body, or the man who walks lame because of an injured foot, that is the thing; but still the man cannot avoid the lameness, unless his foot be cured. The same change may take place in the inward man, but it is by Godâs grace, through our Lord Jesus Christ. The defect itself which causes the lameness of the man is neither the foot, nor the body, nor the man, nor indeed the lameness itself; for there is of course no lameness when there is no walking, although there is nevertheless the defect which causes the lameness whenever there is an attempt to walk. Let him therefore ask, what name must be given to this defect. awould he have it called a thing, or an act, or rather a bad property[1371]1371 in the thing, by which the deformed act comes into existence? So in the inward man the soul is the thing, theft is an act, and avarice is the defect, that is, the property by which the soul is evil, even when it does nothing in gratification of its avarice, even when it hears the prohibition, aThou shalt not

covet,â[1372]1372 and censures itself, and yet remains avaricious. By faith, however, it receives renovation; in other words, it is healed day by day,[1373]1373âyet only by Godâs grace through our Lord Jesus Christ.

Chapter III.â(5.) The Fifth Breviate.

V. âWe must again,â he says, âinquire whether a man ought to be without sin. Beyond doubt he ought. If he ought, he is able; if he is not able, then he ought not. Now if a man ought not to be without sin, it follows that he ought to be with sin, and then it ceases to be sin at all, if it is determined that it is owed. Or if it is absurd to say this, we are obliged to confess that man ought to be without sin; and it is clear that his obligation is not more than his ability. a We frame our answer with the same illustration that we employed in our previous reply. When we see a lame man who has the opportunity of being cured of his lameness, we of course have a right to say: aThat man ought not to be lame; and if he ought, he is able.â And yet whenever he wishes he is not immediately able; but only after he has been cured by the application of the remedy, and the medicine has assisted his will. The same thing takes place in the inward man in relation to sin which is its lameness, by the grace of Him who acame not to call the righteous, but sinners; a[1374]1374 since athe whole need not the physician, but only they that be sick.a[1375]1375

(6.) The Sixth Breviate.

VI. âAgain,â he says, âwe have to inquire whether man is commanded to be without sin; for either he is not able, and then he is not commanded; or else because he is commanded, he is able. For why should that be commanded which cannot at all be done?â The answer is, that man is most wisely commanded to walk with right steps, on purpose that, when he has discovered his own inability to do even this, he may seek the remedy which is provided for the inward man to cure the lameness of sin, even the grace of God, through our Lord Jesus Christ.

(7.) The Seventh Breviate.

VII. âThe next question we shall have to propose,â he says, âis, whether God wishes that man be without sin. Beyond doubt God wishes it; and no doubt he has the ability. For who is so foolhardy as to hesitate to believe that to be possible, which he has no doubt about Godâs wishing?â This is the answer. If God wished not that man should be without sin, He would not have sent His Son without sin, to heal men of their sins. This takes place in believers who are being renewed day by day,[1376]1376 until their righteousness becomes perfect, like fully restored health.

(8.) The Eighth Breviate.

VIII. âAgain, this question must be asked,â he says, âhow God wishes man to be,âwith sin, or without sin? Beyond doubt, He does not wish him to be with sin. We must reflect how great would be the impious blasphemy for it to be said that man has it in his power to be with sin, which God does not wish; and for it to be denied that he has it in his power to be without sin, which God wishes: just as if God had created any man for such a result as this, athat he should be able to be what He would not have him, and unable to be what He would have him: and that he should lead an existence contrary to His will, rather than one which should be in accordance therewith.â This has been in fact already answered; but I see that it is necessary for me to make here an additional remark, that we are saved by hope. âBut hope that is seen is not hope; for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for it.â[1377]1377 Full righteousness, therefore, will only then be reached, when fulness of health is attained; and this fulness of health shall be when there is fulness of love, for alove is the fulfilling of the law: a[1378]1378 and then shall come fulness of love. when awe shall see Him even as He is.a[1379]1379 Nor will any addition to love be possible more, when faith shall have reached the fruition of sight.

Chapter IV.â(9.) The Ninth Breviate.

IX. aThe next question we shall require to be solved, a says he, ais this: By what means is it brought about that man is with sin?âby the necessity of nature, or by the freedom of choice? If it is by the necessity of nature, he is blameless; if by the freedom of choice, then the question arises, from whom he has received this freedom of choice. No doubt, from God. Well, but that which God bestows is certainly good. This cannot be gainsaid. On what principle, then, is a thing proved to be good, if it is more prone to evil than to good? For it is more prone to evil than to good if by means of it man can be with sin and cannot be without sin.â The answer is this: It came by the freedom of choice that man was with sin; but a penal corruption closely followed thereon, and out of the liberty produced necessity. Hence the cry of faith to God, âLead Thou me out of my necessities.â[1380]1380 With these necessities upon us, we are either unable to understand what we want, or else (while having the wish) we are not strong enough to accomplish what we have come to understand. Now it is just liberty itself that is promised to believers by the Liberator. âlf the Son,â says He, âshall make you free, ye shall be free indeed.al138111381 For. vanguished by the sin into which it fell by its volition, nature has lost liberty. Hence another scripture says, aFor of whom a man is overcome, of the same is he brought in bondage.a[1382]1382 Since therefore athe whole need not the physician, but only they that be sick; a[1383]1383 so likewise it is not the free that need the Deliverer, but only the enslaved. Hence the cry of joy to Him for deliverance, a Thou hast saved my soul from the straits of necessity.a[1384]1384 For true liberty is also real health; and this would never have been lost, if the will had remained good. But because the will has sinned, the hard necessity of having sin has pursued the sinner; until his infirmity be wholly healed, and such freedom be regained, that there must needs be, on the one hand, a permanent will to live happily, and, on the other hand, a voluntary and happy necessity of living virtuously, and never sinning.

(10.) The Tenth Breviate.

X. âSince God made man good,â he says, âand, besides making him good, further commanded him to do good, how impious it is for us to hold that man is evil, when he was neither made so, nor so commanded; and to deny him the ability of being good, although he was both made so, and commanded to act so!â Our answer here is: Since then it was not man himself, but God, who made man good; so also is it God, and not man himself, who remakes him to be good, while liberating him from the evil which he himself did upon his wishing, believing, and invoking such a deliverance. But all this is effected by the renewal day by day of the inward man,[1385]1385 by the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ, with a view to the outward manâs resurrection at the last day to an eternity not of punishment, but of life.

Chapter V.â(11.) The Eleventh Breviate.

XI. aThe next question which must be put, a he says, ais, in how many ways all sin is manifested? In two, if I mistake not: if either those things are done which are forbidden, or those things are not done which are commanded. Now, it is just as certain that all things which are forbidden are able to be avoided, as it is that all things which are commanded are able to be effected. For it is vain either to forbid or to enjoin that which cannot either be guarded against or accomplished. And how shall we deny the possibility of manas being without sin, when we are compelled to admit that he can as well avoid all those things which are forbidden, as do all those which are commanded?â My answer is, that in the Holy Scriptures there are many divine precepts, to mention the whole of which would be too laborious; but the Lord, who on earth consummated and abridged[1386]1386 His word, expressly declared that the law and the prophets hung on two commandments,[1387]1387 that we might understand that whatever else has been enjoined on us by God ends in these two commandments, and must be referred to them: aThou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind; a[1388]1388 and aThou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.a[1389]1389 aOn these two commandments, a says He, ahang all the law and the prophets.â[1390]1390 Whatever, therefore, we are by Godâs law forbidden, and whatever we are bidden to do, we are forbidden and bidden with the direct object of fulfilling these two commandments. And perhaps the general prohibition is, a Thou shalt not covet; a[1391]1391 and the general precept, aThou shalt love.â[1392]1392 Accordingly the Apostle Paul, in a certain place, briefly embraced the two, expressing the prohibition in these words, âBe not conformed to this world, a[1393]1393 and the command in these, âBut be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind.â[1394]1394 The former falls under the negative precept, not to covet; the latter under the positive one, to love. The one has reference to continence, the other to righteousness. The one enjoins avoidance of evil; the other, pursuit of good. By eschewing covetousness we put off the old man, and by showing love we put on the new. But no man can be continent unless God endow him with the gift;[1395]1395 nor is Godâs love shed abroad in our hearts by our own selves, but by the Holy Ghost that is given to us.[1396]1396 This, however, takes place day after day in those who advance by willing, believing, and praying, and

who, âforgetting those things which are behind, reach forth unto those things which are before.â[1397]1397 For the reason why the law inculcates all these precepts is, that when a man has failed in fulfilling them, he may not be swollen with pride, and so exalt himself, but may in very weariness betake himself to grace. Thus the law fulfils its office as âschoolmaster,â so terrifying the man as âto lead him to Christ,â to give Him his love.[1398]1398

Chapter VI.â(12.) The Twelfth Breviate.

XII. âAgain the question arises,â he says, âhow it is that man is unable to be without sin, aby his will, or by nature? If by nature, it is not sin; if by his will, then will can very easily be changed by will.â We answer by reminding him how he ought to reflect on the extreme presumption of savinganot simply that it is possible (for this no doubt is undeniable, when Godâs grace comes in aid), butâthat it is avery easya for will to be changed by will; whereas the apostle says, aThe flesh lusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh: and these are contrary the one to the other; so that ye do not the things that ye would a [1399]1399 He does not say, a These are contrary the one to the other, so that ye will not do the things that ye can, â but, âso that ye do not the things that ye would a [1400]1400 How happens it, then, that the lust of the flesh which of course is culpable and corrupt, and is nothing else than the desire for sin, as to which the same apostle instructs us not to let it areign in our mortal body; a[1401]1401 by which expression he shows us plainly enough that that must have an existence in our mortal body which must not be permitted to hold a dominion in it; ahow happens it, I say, that such lust of the flesh has not been changed by that will, which the apostle clearly implied the existence of in his words, aSo that ye do not the things that ye would, a if so be that the will can so easily be changed by will? Not that we, indeed, by this argument throw the blame upon the nature either of the soul or of the body, which God created, and which is wholly good; but we say that it, having been corrupted by its own will, cannot be made whole without the grace of God.

(13.) The Thirteenth Breviate.

XIII. âThe next question we have to ask,â says he, âis this: If man cannot be without sin, whose fault is it,âmanâs own, or some oneâs else? If manâs own, in what way is it his fault if he is not that which he is unable to be?â We reply, that it is manâs fault that he is not without sin on this account, because it has by manâs sole will come to pass that he has come into such a necessity as cannot be overcome by manâs sole will.

(14.) The Fourteenth Breviate.

XIV. âAgain the question must be asked,â he says, âlf manâs nature is good, as nobody but Marcion or Manichæus will venture to deny, in what way is it good if it is impossible for it to be free from evil? For that all sin is evil who can gainsay?â We answer, that manâs nature is both good, and is also able to be free from evil. Therefore do we earnestly pray, âDeliver us from evil.â[1402]1402 This

deliverance, indeed, is not fully wrought, so long as the soul is oppressed by the body, which is hastening to corruption.[1403]1403 This process, however, is being effected by grace through faith, so that it may be said by and by, âO death, where is thy struggle? Where is thy sting, O death? The sting of death is sin, and the strength of sin is the law;â[1404]1404 because the law by prohibiting sin only increases the desire for it, unless the Holy Ghost spreads abroad that love, which shall then be full and perfect, when we shall see face to face.

(15.) The Fifteenth Breviate.

XV. âAnd this, moreover, has to be said, â he says: âGod is certainly righteous; this cannot be denied. But God imputes every sin to man. This too, I suppose, must be allowed, that whatever shall not be imputed as sin is not sin. Now if there is any sin which is unavoidable, how is God said to be righteous, when He is supposed to impute to any man that which cannot be avoided? a We reply, that long ago was it declared in opposition to the proud, aBlessed is the man to whom the Lord imputeth not sin.â[1405]1405 Now He does not impute it to those who say to Him in faith, aForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors.â[1406]1406 And justly does He withhold this imputation, because that is just which He says: aWith what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again.â[1407]1407 That, however, is sin in which there is either not the love which ought to be, or where the love is less than it ought to be,[1408]1408âwhether it can be avoided by the human will or not; because when it can be avoided, the manas present will does it, but if it cannot be avoided his past will did it; and yet it can be avoided, anot, however, when the proud will is lauded, but when the humble one is assisted.

Chapter VII.â(16.) The Sixteenth Breviate.

XVI. After all these disputations, their author introduces himself in person as arguing with another, and represents himself as under examination, and as being addressed by his examiner: a Show me the man who is without sin.â He answers: âl show you one who is able to be without sin.â His examiner then says to him: âAnd who is he?â He answers: âYou are the man.â âBut if.â he adds. âvou were to sav. âl. at any rate, cannot be without sin, a then you must answer me, aWhose fault is that?â If you then were to say, âMy own fault,â you must be further asked, âAnd how is it your fault, if you cannot be without sin?ââ He again represents himself as under examination, and thus accosted: âAre you yourself without sin, who say that a man can be without sin?â And he answers: âWhose fault is it that I am not without sin? But if, a continues he, ahe had said in reply, a The fault is your own; a then the answer would be, a How my fault, when I am unable to be without sin?ââ Now our answer to all this running argument is, that no controversy ought to have been raised between them about such words as these; because he nowhere ventures to affirm that a man (either any one else, or himself) is without sin, but he merely said in reply that he can be, a position which we do not ourselves deny. Only the question arises, when can he, and through whom can he? If at the present time, then by no faithful soul which is enclosed within the

body of this death must this prayer be offered, or such words as these be spoken, âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors,â[1409]1409 since in holy baptism all past debts have been already forgiven. But whoever tries to persuade us that such a prayer is not proper for faithful members of Christ, does in fact acknowledge nothing else than that he is not himself a Christian. If, again, it is through himself that a man is able to live without sin, then did Christ die in vain. But âChrist is not dead in vain.â No man, therefore, can be without sin, even if he wish it, unless he be assisted by the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ. And that this perfection may be attained, there is even now a training carried on in growing [Christians,] and there will be by all means a completion made, after the conflict with death is spent, and love, which is now cherished by the operation of faith and hope, shall be perfected in the fruition of sight and possession.

Chapter VIII.â(17.) It is One Thing to Depart from the Body, Another Thing to Be Liberated from the Body of This Death.

He next proposes to establish his point by the testimony of Holy Scripture. Let us carefully observe what kind of defence he makes. aThere are passages, a says he, awhich prove that man is commanded to be without sin.â Now our answer to this is: Whether such commands are given is not at all the point in guestion, for the fact is clear enough; but whether the thing which is evidently commanded be itself at all possible of accomplishment in the body of this death, wherein âthe flesh lusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh, so that we cannot do the things that we would a[1410]1410 Now from this body of death not every one is liberated who ends the present life, but only he who in this life has received grace, and given proof of not receiving it in vain by spending his days in good works. For it is plainly one thing to depart from the body, which all men are obliged to do in the last day of their present life, and another to be delivered from the body of this death, awhich Godas grace alone, through our Lord Jesus Christ, imparts to His faithful saints. It is after this life, indeed, that the reward of perfection is bestowed, but only upon those by whom in their present life has been acquired the merit of such a recompense. For no one, after going hence, shall arrive at fulness of righteousness, unless, whilst here. he shall have run his course by hungering and thirsting after it. âBlessed are they which do hunger and thirst after righteousness; for they shall be filled.â[1411]1411

(18.) The Righteousness of This Life Comprehended in Three Parts, âFasting, Almsgiving, and Prayer.

As long, then, as we are âabsent from the Lord, we walk by faith, not by sight;â[1412]1412 whence it is said, âThe just shall live by faith.â[1413]1413 Our righteousness in this pilgrimage is thisâthat we press forward to that perfect and full righteousness in which there shall be perfect and full love in the sight of His glory; and that now we hold to the rectitude and perfection of our course, by âkeeping under our body and bringing it into subjection,â[1414]1414 by doing our alms cheerfully and heartily, while bestowing kindnesses and forgiving the trespasses which have been committed against us, and by âcontinuing instant in prayer;â[1415]1415âand doing all this with sound doctrine, whereon are built a right faith, a firm hope, and a pure charity. This is now our righteousness, in which we pass through our course hungering and thirsting after the perfect and full righteousness, in order that we may hereafter be satisfied therewith. Therefore our Lord in the Gospel (after saying, aTake heed that ye do not your righteousness[1416]1416 before men, to be seen of them.â[1417]1417) in order that we should not measure our course of life by the limit of human glory, declared in his exposition of righteousness itself that there is none except there be these three, afasting, alms, prayers. Now in the fasting He indicates the entire subjugation of the body; in the alms, all kindness of will and deed, either by giving or forgiving; and in prayers He implies all the rules of a holy desire. So that, although by the subjugation of the body a check is given to that concupiscence, which ought not only to be bridled but to be put altogether out of existence (and which will not be found at all in that state of perfect righteousness, where sin shall be absolutely excluded), âvet it often exerts its immoderate desire even in the use of things which are allowable and right. In that real beneficence in which the just man consults his neighbouras welfare, things are sometimes done which are prejudicial, although it was thought that they would be advantageous. Sometimes, too, through infirmity, when the amount of the kindness and trouble which is expended either falls short of the necessities of the objects, or is of little use under the circumstances, then there steals over us a disappointment which tarnishes that acheerfulnessa which secures to the agivera the approbation of God.[1418]1418 This trail of sadness, however, is the greater or the less, as each man has made more or less progress in his kindly purposes. If, then, these considerations, and such as these, be duly weighed, we are only right when we say in our prayers, âForgive us our debts, as we also forgive our debtors.â[1419]1419 But what we say in our prayers we must carry into act, even to loving our very enemies; or if any one who is still a babe in Christ fails as yet to reach this point, he must at any rate, whenever one who has trespassed against him repents and craves his pardon, exercise forgiveness from the bottom of his heart, if he would have his heavenly Father listen to his prayer.

(19.) The Commandment of Love Shall Be Perfectly Fulfilled in the Life to Come.

And in this prayer, unless we choose to be contentious, there is placed before our view a mirror of sufficient brightness in which to behold the life of the righteous, who live by faith, and finish their course, although they are not without sin. Therefore they say, âForgive us,â because they have not yet arrived at the end of their course. Hence the apostle says, âNot as if I had already attained, either were already perfect. . .Brethren, I count not myself to have apprehended: but this one thing I do, forgetting those things which are behind, and reaching forth unto those things which are before, I press toward the mark, for the prize of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus. Let us therefore, as many as be perfect, be thus minded.â[1420]1420 In other words, let us, as many as are running perfectly, be thus resolved, that, being not yet perfected, we pursue our course to perfection along the way by which we have thus far run perfectly, in order that awhen that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part may be done away; a [1421]1421 that is, may cease to be but in part any longer, but become whole and complete. For to faith and hope shall succeed at once the very substance itself, no longer to be believed in and hoped for, but to be seen and grasped. Love, however, which is the greatest among the three, is not to be superseded, but increased and fulfilled, acontemplating in full vision what it used to see by faith, and acquiring in actual fruition what it once only embraced in hope. Then in all this plenitude of charity will be fulfilled the commandment, aThou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.â[1422]1422 For while there remains any remnant of the lust of the flesh, to be kept in check by the rein of continence. God is by no means loved with all oneas soul. For the flesh does not lust without the soul; although it is the flesh which is said to lust, because the soul lusts carnally. In that perfect state the just man shall live absolutely without any sin, since there will be in his members no law warring against the law of his mind, [1423]1423 but wholly will he love God, with all his heart, with all his soul, and with all his mind[1424]1424 which is the first and chief commandment. For why should not such perfection be enjoined on man, although in this life nobody may attain to it? For we do not rightly run if we do not know whither we are to run. But how could it be known, unless it were pointed out in precepts?[1425]1425 Let us therefore aso run that we may obtain.â[1426]1426 For all who run rightly will obtain,ânot as in the contest of the theatre, where all indeed run, but only one wins the prize.[1427]1427 Let us run, believing, hoping, longing; let us run, subjugating the body, cheerfully and heartily doing alms, âin giving kindnesses and forgiving injuries, praying that our strength may be helped as we run; and let us so listen to the commandments which urge us to perfection, as not to neglect running towards the fulness of love.

Chapter IX.â(20.) Who May Be Said to Walk Without Spot; Damnable and Venial Sins.

Having premised these remarks, let us carefully attend to the passages which he whom we are answering has produced, as if we ourselves had quoted them. all Deuteronomy, aThou shalt be perfect before the Lord thy God.â[1428]1428 Again, in the same book, âThere shall be not an imperfect man[1429]1429 among the sons of Israel.â[1430]1430 In like manner the Saviour says in the Gospel, Be ve perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect.a[1431]1431 So the apostle, in his second Epistle to the Corinthians, says: aFinally, brethren, farewell. Be perfect.â[1432]1432 Again, to the Colossians he writes: aWarning every man, and teaching every man in all wisdom, that we may present every man perfect in Christ.â[1433]1433 And so to the Philippians: abo all things without murmurings and disputings, that ye may be blameless, and harmless, as the immaculate sons of God.â[1434]1434 In like manner to the Ephesians he writes: âBlessed be the God and father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath blessed us with all spiritual blessings in heavenly places in Christ; according as He

hath chosen us in Him before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and blameless before Him.â[1435]1435 Then again to the Colossians he says in another passage: âAnd you, that were sometime alienated, and enemies in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath He reconciled in the body of His flesh through death; present yourselves holy and unblameable and unreprovable in His sight.â[1436]1436 In the same strain, he says to the Ephesians: aThat He might present to Himself a glorious Church, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing but that it should be holy and without blemish.a[1437]1437 So in his first Epistle to the Corinthians he says âBe ve sober, and righteous, and sin not.a[1438]1438 So again in the Epistle of St. Peter it is written: aWherefore gird up the loins of your mind, be sober, and hope to the end, for the grace that is offered to you: ... . as obedient children, not fashioning yourselves according to the former lusts in your ignorance: but as He who hath called you is holy, so be ye holy in all manner of conversation; because it is written, [1439]1439 Be ye holy; for I am holy.â[1440]1440 Whence blessed David likewise says: aO Lord, who shall sojourn in Thy tabernacle, or who shall rest on Thy holy mountain? He that walketh without blame, and worketh righteousness.â[1441]1441 And in another passage: âl shall be blameless with Him.â[1442]1442 And yet again: âBlessed are the blameless in the way, who walk in the law of the Lord.â[1443]1443 To the same effect it is written in Solomon: âThe Lord loveth holy hearts, and all they that are blameless are acceptable unto Him.ââ[1444]1444 Now some of these passages exhort men who are running their course that they run perfectly; others refer to the end thereof, that men may reach forward to it as they run. He, however, is not unreasonably said to walk blamelessly, not who has already reached the end of his journey, but who is pressing on towards the end in a blameless manner, free from damnable sins, and at the same time not neglecting to cleanse by almsgiving such sins as are venial. For the way in which we walk, that is, the road by which we reach perfection, is cleansed by clean prayer. That, however, is a clean prayer in which we say in truth, aForgive us, as we ourselves forgive.â[1445]1445 So that, as there is nothing censured when blame is not imputed, we may hold on our course to perfection without censure, in a word, blamelessly; and in this perfect state, when we arrive at it at last, we shall find that there is absolutely nothing which requires cleansing by forgiveness.

Chapter X.â(21.) To Whom Godâs Commandments are Grievous; And to Whom, Not. Why Scripture Says that Godâs Commandments are Not Grievous; A Commandment is a Proof of the Freedom Of Manâs Will; Prayer is a Proof of Grace.

He next quotes passages to show that Godâs commandments are not grievous. But who can be ignorant of the fact that, since the generic commandment is love (for âthe end of the commandment is love,â[1446]1446 and âlove is the fulfilling of the lawâ[1447]1447), whatever is accomplished by the operation of love, and not of fear, is not grievous? They, however, are oppressed by the commandments of God, who try to fulfil them by fearing. âBut perfect love casteth out fear;â[1448]1448 and, in respect of the burden of the commandment, it not only takes off the pressure of its heavy weight, but it actually lifts it up as if on wings. In order, however, that this love may be possessed, even as far as it can possibly be possessed in the body of this death, the determination of will avails but little, unless it be helped by Godâs grace through our Lord Jesus Christ. For as it must again and again be stated, it is ashed abroad in our hearts, a not by our own selves, but aby the Holy Ghost which is given unto us.â[1449]1449 And for no other reason does Holy Scripture insist on the truth that Godas commandments are not grievous, than this, that the soul which finds them grievous may understand that it has not yet received those resources which make the Lordâs commandments to be such as they are commended to us as being, even gentle and pleasant; and that it may pray with groaning of the will to obtain the gift of facility. For the man who says, aLet my heart be blameless;a[1450]1450 and, aOrder Thou my steps according to Thy word: and let not any iniquity have dominion over me;a[1451]1451 and, aThy will be done in earth, as it is in heaven; a[1452]1452 and, aLead us not into temptation; a[1453]1453 and other prayers of a like purport, which it would be too long to particularize, does in effect offer up a prayer for ability to keep Godâs commandments. Neither, indeed, on the one hand, would any injunctions be laid upon us to keep them, if our own will had nothing to do in the matter; nor, on the other hand, would there be any room for prayer, if our will were alone sufficient. Godâs commandments, therefore, are commended to us as being not grievous, in order that he to whom they are grievous may understand that he has not as yet received the gift which removes their grievousness; and that he may not think that he is really performing them, when he so keeps them that they are grievous to him. For it is a cheerful giver whom God loves.[1454]1454 Nevertheless, when a man finds Godâs commandments grievous, let him not be broken down by despair; let him rather oblige himself to seek, to ask, and to knock.

(22.) Passages to Show that Godâs Commandments are Not Grievous.

He afterwards adduces those passages which represent God as recommending His own commandments as not grievous: let us now attend to their testimony. aBecause, a says he, aGodas commandments are not only not impossible, but they are not even grievous. In Deuteronomy: aThe Lord thy God will again turn and rejoice over thee for good, as He rejoiced over thy fathers, if ye shall hearken to the voice of the Lord your God, to keep His commandments, and His ordinances, and His judgments, written in the book of this law; if thou turn to the Lord thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul. For this command, which I give thee this day, is not grievous, neither is it far from thee: it is not in heaven, that thou shouldest say, Who will ascend into heaven, and obtain it for us, that we may hear and do it? neither is it beyond the sea, that thou shouldest say, Who will cross over the sea, and obtain it for us, that we may hear and do it? The word is nigh thee, in thy mouth, and in thine heart, and in thine hands to do it.a[1455]1455 In the Gospel likewise the Lord says: aCome unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. For my yoke is easy, and my burden is light.â[1456]1456 So also in the Epistle of Saint John it is written: aThis is the love of God, that we keep His commandments: and

His commandments are not grievous.ââ[1457]1457 On hearing these testimonies out of the law, and the gospel, and the epistles, let us be built up unto that grace which those persons do not understand, who, abeing ignorant of Godas righteousness, and wishing to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. a[1458]1458 For, if they understand not the passage of Deuteronomy in the sense that the Apostle Paul quoted it, athat a with the heart men believe unto righteousness, and with their mouth make confession unto salvation; a[1459]1459 since athey that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick, a[1460]1460 at hey certainly ought (by that very passage of the Apostle John which he quoted last to this effect: âThis is the love of God, that we keep His commandments; and His commandments are not grievousa[1461]1461) to be admonished that Godas commandment is not grievous to the love of God, which is shed abroad in our hearts only by the Holy Ghost, not by the determination of manas will by attributing to which more than they ought, they are ignorant of Godâs righteousness. This love, however, shall then be made perfect, when all fear of punishment shall be cut off.

Chapter XI.â(23.) Passages of Scripture Which, When Objected Against Him by the Catholics, CÅlestius Endeavours to Elude by Other Passages: the First Passage.

After this he adduced the passages which are usually quoted against them. He does not attempt to explain these passages, but, by guoting what seem to be contrary ones, he has entangled the questions more tightly. aFor, a says he, athere are passages of Scripture which are in opposition to those who ignorantly suppose that they are able to destroy the liberty of the will, or the possibility of not sinning, by the authority of Scripture. For, a he adds, athey are in the habit of quoting against us what holy Job said: âWho is pure from uncleanness? Not one; even if he be an infant of only one day upon the earth.ââ[1462]1462 Then he proceeds to give a sort of answer to this passage by help of other quotations; as when Job himself said: aFor although I am a righteous and blameless man, I have become a subject for mockery, a[1463]1463 anot understanding that a man may be called righteous, who has gone so far towards perfection in righteousness as to be very near it: and this we do not deny to have been in the power of many even in this life, when they walk in it by faith.

(24.) To Be Without Sin, and to Be Without BlameâHow Differing.

The same thing is affirmed in another passage, which he has quoted immediately afterwards, as spoken by the same Job: âBehold, I am very near my judgment, and I know that I shall be found righteous.â[1464]1464 Now this is the judgment of which it is said in another scripture: âAnd He shall bring forth thy righteousness as the light, and thy judgment as the noonday.â But he does not say, I am already there; but, âI am very near.â If, indeed, the judgment of his which he meant was not that which he would himself exercise, but that whereby he was to be judged at the last day, then in such judgment all will be found righteous who with sincerity pray: âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors.â[1465]1465 For it is through this forgiveness that they will be found righteous; on this account that whatever sins they have here incurred, they have blotted out by their deeds of charity. Whence the Lord says: aGive alms; and, behold, all things are clean unto you.a[1466]1466 For in the end, it shall be said to the righteous, when about to enter into the promised kingdom: al was an hungered, and ye gave me meat, a[1467]1467 and so forth. However, it is one thing to be without sin, which in this life can only be predicated of the Only-begotten, and another thing to be without accusation, which might be said of many just persons even in the present life; for there is a certain measure of a good life, according to which even in this human intercourse there could no just accusation be possibly laid against him. For who can justly accuse the man who wishes evil to no one, and who faithfully does good to all he can, and never cherishes a wish to avenge himself on any man who does him wrong, so that he can truly say, âAs we forgive our debtors?â And yet by the very fact that he truly says, aForgive, as we also forgive, â he plainly admits that he is not without sin.

(25.) Hence the force of the statement: a There was no injustice in my hands, but my prayer was pure.a [1468]1468 For the purity of his prayer arose from this circumstance, that it was not improper for him to ask forgiveness in prayer, when he really bestowed forgiveness himself.

(26.) Why Job Was So Great a Sufferer.

And when he says concerning the Lord, âFor many bruises hath He inflicted upon me without a cause, â[1469]1469 observe that his words are not, He hath inflicted none with a cause; but, âmany without a cause.â For it was not because of his manifold sins that these many bruises were inflicted on him, but in order to make trial of his patience. For on account of his sins, indeed, without which, as he acknowledges in another passage, he was certainly not, he yet judges that he ought to have suffered less.[1470]1470

(27.) Who May Be Said to Keep the Ways of the Lord; What It is to Decline and Depart from the Ways of the Lord.

Then again, as for what he says, aFor I have kept His ways, and have not turned aside from His commandments, nor will I depart from them;â[1471]1471 he has kept Godâs ways who does not so turn aside as to forsake them, but makes progress by running his course therein; although, weak as he is, he sometimes stumbles or falls, onward, however, he still goes, sinning less and less until he reaches the perfect state in which he will sin no more. For in no other way could he make progress, except by keeping His ways. The man, indeed, who declines from these and becomes an apostate at last, is certainly not he who, although he has sin, yet never ceases to persevere in fighting against it until he arrives at the home where there shall remain no more conflict with death. Well now, it is in our present struggle therewith that we are clothed with the righteousness in which we here live by faith, aclothed with it as it were with a breastplate.[1472]1472 Judgment also we take on ourselves; and even when it is against us, we turn it round to our own behalf; for we become our own accusers and condemn our sins: whence that scripture

which says, âThe righteous man accuses himself at the beginning of his speech.â[1473]1473 Hence also he says: âl put on righteousness, and clothed myself with judgment like a mantle.â[1474]1474 Our vesture at present no doubt is wont to be armour for war rather than garments of peace, while concupiscence has still to be subdued; it will be different by and by, when our last enemy death shall be destroyed,[1475]1475 and our righteousness shall be full and complete, without an enemy to molest us more.

(28.) When Our Heart May Be Said Not to Reproach Us; When Good is to Be Perfected.

Furthermore, concerning these words of Job, âMy heart shall not reproach me in all my life, a[1476]1476 we remark, that it is in this present life of ours, in which we live by faith, that our heart does not reproach us, if the same faith whereby we believe unto righteousness does not neglect to rebuke our sin. On this principle the apostle says: a The good that I would I do not; but the evil which I would not, that I do.a[1477]1477 Now it is a good thing to avoid concupiscence, and this good the just man would, who lives by faith;[1478]1478 and still he does what he hates, because he has concupiscence, although ahe goes not after his lusts; a[1479]1479 if he has done this, he has himself at that time really done it, so as to yield to, and acquiesce in, and obey the desire of sin. His heart then reproaches him, because it reproaches himself, and not his sin which dwelleth in him. But whensoever he suffers not sin to reign in his mortal body to obey it in the lusts thereof, [1480]1480 and yields not his members as instruments of unrighteousness unto sin,[1481]1481 sin no doubt is present in his members, but it does not reign, because its desires are not obeyed. Therefore, while he does that which he would not, âin other words, while he wishes not to lust, but still lusts, âhe consents to the law that it is good: [1482]1482 for what the law would, that he also wishes; because it is his desire not to indulge concupiscence, and the law expressly says, aThou shalt not covet.a[1483]1483 Now in that he wishes what the law also would have done, he no doubt consents to the law: but still he lusts, because he is not without sin; it is, however, no longer himself that does the thing, but the sin which dwells within him. Hence it is that ahis heart does not reproach him in all his life: a that is, in his faith. because the just man lives by faith, so that his faith is his very life. He knows, to be sure, that in himself dwells nothing good, aeven in his flesh, which is the dwelling-place of sin. By not consenting, however, to it, he lives by faith, wherewith he also calls upon God to help him in his contest against sin. Moreover, there is present to him to will that no sin at all should be in him, but then how to perfect this good is not present. It is not the mere adoing of a good thing that is not present to him, but the aperfecting of it. For in this, that he yields no consent, he does good; he does good again, in this, that he hates his own lust; he does good also, in this, that he does not cease to give alms; and in this, that he forgives the man who sins against him, he does good; and in this, that he asks forgiveness for his own trespasses, as incerely avowing in his petition that he also forgives those who trespass against himself, and praving that he may not be led into temptation, but be delivered from evil, âhe does good.

But how to perfect the good is not present to him; it will be, however, in that final state, when the concupiscence which dwells in his members shall exist no more. His heart, therefore, does not reproach him, when it reproaches the sin which dwells in his members; nor can it reproach unbelief in him. Thus âin all his life,ââthat is, in his faith,âhe is neither reproached by his own heart, nor convinced of not being without sin. And Job himself acknowledges this concerning himself, when he says, âNot one of my sins hath escaped Thee; Thou hast sealed up my transgressions in a bag, and marked if I have done iniquity unawares.â[1484]1484 With regard, then, to the passages which he has adduced from the book of holy Job, we have shown to the best of our ability in what sense they ought to be taken. He, however, has failed to explain the meaning of the words which he has himself quoted from the same Job: âWho then is pure from uncleanness? Not one; even if he be an infant of only one day upon the earth.â[1485]1485

Chapter XII.â(29.) The Second Passage. Who May Be Said to Abstain from Every Evil Thing.

aThey are in the habit of next quoting, a says he, athe passage: aEvery man is a liar.ââ[1486]1486 But here again he offers no solution of words which are quoted against himself even by himself; all he does is to mention other apparently opposite passages before persons who are unacquainted with the sacred Scriptures, and thus to cast the word of God into conflict. This is what he says: âWe tell them in answer, how in the book of Numbers it is said, âMan is true.â[1487]1487 While of holy Job this eulogy is read: a There was a certain man in the land of Ausis, whose name was Job; that man was true, blameless, righteous, and godly, abstaining from every evil thing ââ[1488]1488 I am surprised that he has brought forward this passage, which says that Job âabstained from every evil thing, â wishing it to mean âabstained from every sin; a because he has argued already [1489]1489 that sin is not a thing, but an act. Let him recollect that, even if it is an act, it may still be called a thing. That man, however, abstains from every evil thing, who either never consents to the sin, which is always with him, or, if sometimes hard pressed by it, is never oppressed by it; just as the wrestling champion, who, although he is sometimes caught in a fierce grapple, does not for all that lose the prowess which constitutes him the better man. We read, indeed, of a man without blame, of one without accusation; but we never read of one without sin, except the Son of man, who is also the only-begotten Son of God.

(30.) âEvery Man is a Liar,â Owing to Himself Alone; But âEvery Man is True,â By Help Only of the Grace of God.

âMoreover,â says he, âin Job himself it is said: âAnd he maintained the miracle of a true man.â[1490]1490 Again we read in Solomon, touching wisdom: âMen that are liars cannot remember her, but men of truth shall be found in her.â[1491]1491 Again in the Apocalypse: âAnd in their mouth was found no guile, for they are without fault.ââ[1492]1492 To all these statements we reply with a reminder to our opponents, of how a man may be called true, through the grace and truth of God, who is in himself without doubt a liar. Whence it is said: âEvery man is a liar.â[1493]1493 As for the passage also which he has guoted in reference to Wisdom, when it is said, âMen of truth shall be found in her, a we must observe that it is undoubtedly not ain her, a but in themselves that men shall be found liars. Just as in another passage: aYe were sometimes darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord.â[1494]1494âwhen he said. âYe were darkness.â he did not add. âin the Lord;â but after saying, âYe are now light,â he expressly added the phrase, âin the Lord,â for they could not possibly be alighta in themselves; in order that ahe who glorieth may glory in the Lord.â[1495]1495 The âfaultlessâ ones, indeed, in the Apocalypse, are so called because ano quile was found in their mouth.a[1496]1496 They did not say they had no sin: if they had said this, they would deceive themselves, and the truth would not be in them; [1497]1497 and if the truth were not in them, guile and untruth would be found in their mouth. If, however, to avoid envy, they said they were not without sin, although they were sinless, then this very insincerity would be a lie, and the character given of them would be untrue: all their mouth was found no guile.â Hence indeed âthey are without fault;â for as they have forgiven those who have done them wrong, so are they purified by Godâs forgiveness of themselves. Observe now how we have to the best of our power explained in what sense the quotations he has in his own behalf advanced ought to be understood. But how the passage, âEvery man is a liar, â is to be interpreted, he on his part has altogether omitted to explain; nor is an explanation within his power, without a correction of the error which makes him believe that man can be true without the help of Godâs grace, and merely by virtue of his own free will.

Chapter XIII.â(31.) The Third Passage. It is One Thing to Depart, and Another Thing to Have Departed, from All Sin. âThere is None that Doeth Good,ââOf Whom This is to Be Understood.

He has likewise propounded another question, as we shall proceed to show, but has failed to solve it; nay, he has rather rendered it more difficult, by first stating the testimony that had been guoted against him: aThere is none that doeth good, no, not one;a[1498]1498 and then resorting to seemingly contrary passages to show that there are persons who do good. This he succeeded, no doubt, in doing. It is, however, one thing for a man not to do good, and another thing not to be without sin, although he at the same time may do many good things. The passages, therefore, which he adduces are not really contrary to the statement that no person is without sin in this life. He does not, for his own part, explain in what sense it is declared that athere is none that doeth good, no, not one.â These are his words: âHoly David indeed says, allope thou in the Lord and be doing good.aa[1499]1499 But this is a precept, and not an accomplished fact; and such a precept as is never kept by those of whom it is said, a There is none that doeth good, no, not one â He adds: âHoly Tobit also said, âFear not, my son, that we have to endure poverty; we shall have many blessings if we fear God, and depart from all sin, and do that which is good.ââ[1500]1500 Most true indeed it is, that man shall have many blessings when he shall have departed from all sin. Then no evil shall betide him; nor shall he have need of the prayer, âDeliver us from evil.â[1501]1501 Although even now every man who progresses, advancing ever with an upright purpose, departs from all sin, and becomes

further removed from it as he approaches nearer to the fulness and perfection of the righteous state; because even concupiscence itself, which is sin dwelling in our flesh, never ceases to diminish in those who are making progress, although it still remains in their mortal members. It is one thing, therefore, to depart from all sin, a process which is even now in operation, and another thing to have departed from all sin, which shall happen in the state of future perfection. But still, even he who has departed already from evil, and is continuing to do so, must be allowed to be a doer of good. How then is it said, in the passage which he has guoted and left unsolved, a There is none that doeth good, no, not one, a unless that the Psalmist there censures some one nation, amongst whom there was not a man that did good, wishing to remain achildren of men, a and not sons of God, by whose grace man becomes good, in order to do good? For we must suppose the Psalmist here to mean that agooda which he describes in the context, saying, aGod looked down from heaven upon the children of men, to see if there were any that did understand, and seek God.â[1502]1502 Such good then as this, seeking after God, there was not a man found who pursued it, no, not one; but this was in that class of men which is predestinated to destruction.[1503]1503 It was upon such that God looked down in His foreknowledge, and passed sentence.

Chapter XIV.â(32.) The Fourth Passage. In What Sense God Only is Good. With God to Be Good and to Be Himself are the Same Thing.

aThey likewise, a says he, aquote what the Saviour says: aWhy callest thou me good? There is none good save one, that is, God?ââ[1504]1504 This statement, however, he makes no attempt whatever to explain; all he does is to oppose to it sundry other passages which seem to contradict it, which he adduces to show that man, too, is good. Here are his remarks: a We must answer this text with another, in which the same Lord says, a good man out of the good treasure of his heart bringeth forth good things.â[1505]1505 And again: âHe maketh His sun to rise on the good and on the evil.a[1506]1506 Then in another passage it is written, aFor the good things are created from the beginning; a[1507]1507 and yet again, a They that are good shall dwell in the land.ââ[1508]1508 Now to all this we must say in answer, that the passages in question must be understood in the same sense as the former one, aThere is none good, save one, that is, God.a Either because all created things, although God made them very good, are yet, when compared with their Creator, not good, being in fact incapable of any comparison with Him. For in a transcendent, and yet very proper sense, He said of Himself, al Am that I Am.a[1509]1509 The statement therefore before us, aNone is good save one, that is, God, a is used in some such way as that which is said of John, alle was not that light;â[1510]1510 although the Lord calls him âa lamp,â[1511]1511 just as He says to His disciples: âYe are the light of the world: . . .neither do men light a lamp and put it under a bushel.â[1512]1512 Still, in comparison with that light which is athe true light which lighteth every man that cometh into the world, a[1513]1513 he was not light. Or else, because the very sons of God even, when compared with themselves as they shall hereafter become in their eternal perfection, are good in such a way that they still remain also evil. Although I

should not have dared to say this of them (for who would be so bold as to call them evil who have God for their Father?) unless the Lord had Himself said: âlf ye then, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask Him?â[1514]1514 Of course, by applying to them the words, âyour Father,â He proved that they were already sons of God; and yet at the same time He did not hesitate to say that they were âevil.â Your author, however, does not explain to us how they are good, whilst yet âthere is none good save one, that is, God.â Accordingly the man who asked âwhat good thing he was to do,â[1515]1515 was admonished to seek Him[1516]1516 by whose grace he might be good; to whom also to be good is nothing else than to be Himself, because He is unchangeably good, and cannot be evil at all.

## (33.) The Fifth Passage.[1517]1517

âThis,â says he, âis another text of theirs: âWho will boast that he has a pure heart?ââ[1518]1518 And then he answered this with several passages, wishing to show that there can be in man a pure heart. But he omits to inform us how the passage which he reported as guoted against himself must be taken, so as to prevent Holy Scripture seeming to be opposed to itself in this text, and in the passages by which he makes his answer. We for our part indeed tell him, in answer, that the clause, aWho will boast that he has a pure heart? a is a suitable sequel to the preceding sentence, awhenever a righteous king sits upon the throne a[1519]1519 For how great soever ever a manas righteousness may be, he ought to reflect and think, lest there should be found something blameworthy, which has escaped indeed his own notice, when that righteous King shall sit upon His throne, whose cognizance no sins can possibly escape, not even those of which it is said, âWho understandeth his transgressions?â[1520]1520 âWhen, therefore, the righteous King shall sit upon His throne, ... who will boast that he has a pure heart? or who will boldly say that he is pure from sin?â[1521]1521 Except perhaps those who wish to boast of their own righteousness, and not glory in the mercy of the Judge Himself.

Chapter XV.â(34.) The Opposing Passages.

And yet the passages are true which he goes on to adduce by way of answer, saying: a The Saviour in the gospel declares, a Blessed are the pure in heart; for they shall see God.â[1522]1522 David also says, aWho shall ascend into the hill of the Lord? or who shall stand in His holy place? He that is innocent in his hands, and pure in his heart; a[1523]1523 and again in another passage, aDo good, O Lord, unto those that be good and upright in heart.â[1524]1524 So also in Solomon: âRiches are good unto him that hath no sin on his conscience; a[1525]1525 and again in the same book, aLeave off from sin, and order thine hands aright, and cleanse thy heart from wickedness.â[1526]1526 So in the Epistle of John, âlf our heart condemn us not, then have we confidence toward God; and whatsoever we ask, we shall receive of Him.ââ[1527]1527 For all this is accomplished by the will, by the exercise of faith, hope, and love; by keeping under the body; by doing alms; by forgiving injuries; by earnest prayer; by supplicating for strength to advance in our course; by

sincerely saying, âForgive us, as we also forgive others,â and âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â[1528]1528 By this process, it is certainly brought about that our heart is cleansed, and all our sin taken away; and what the righteous King, when sitting on His throne, shall find concealed in the heart and uncleansed as yet, shall be remitted by His mercy, so that the whole shall be rendered sound and cleansed for seeing God. For âhe shall have judgment without mercy, that hath showed no mercy: yet mercy triumpheth against judgment.â[1529]1529 If it were not so, what hope could any of us have? âWhen, indeed, the righteous King shall sit upon His throne, who shall boast that he hath a pure heart, or who shall boldly say that he is pure from sin?â Then, however, through His mercy shall the righteous, being by that time fully and perfectly cleansed, shine forth like the glorious sun in the kingdom of their Father.[1530]1530

(35.) The Church Will Be Without Spot and Wrinkle After the Resurrection.

Then shall the Church realize, fully and perfectly, the condition of ânot having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing, â[1531]1531 because then also will it in a real sense be glorious. For inasmuch as he added the epithet aglorious, a when he said, a That He might present the Church to Himself, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing, a he signified sufficiently when the Church will be without spot, or wrinkle, or anything of this kind, athen of course when it shall be glorious. Because it is not so much when the Church is involved in so many evils, or amidst such offences, and in so great a mixture of very evil men, and amidst the heavy reproaches of the ungodly, that we ought to say that it is glorious, because kings serve it, a fact which only produces a more perilous and a sorer temptation; abut then shall it rather be glorious, when that event shall come to pass of which the apostle also speaks in the words, âWhen Christ, who is your life, shall appear, then shall ye also appear with Him in glory. a[1532]1532 For since the Lord Himself, in that form of a servant by which He united Himself as Mediator to the Church, was not glorified except by the glory of His resurrection (whence it is said, aThe Spirit was not yet given, because Christ was not yet glorifieda[1533]1533), how shall His Church be described as glorious, before its resurrection? He cleanses it, therefore, now aby the laver of the water in the word, a[1534]1534 washing away its past sins, and driving off from it the dominion of wicked angels; but then by bringing all its healthy powers to perfection. He makes it meet for that glorious state, where it shall shine without a spot or wrinkle. For awhom He did predestinate, them He also called; and whom He called, them He also justified; and whom He justified, them He also glorified. a[1535]1535 It was under this mystery, as I suppose, that that was spoken, âBehold, I cast out devils, and I do cures to-day and to-morrow, and the third day I shall be consummated, a or perfected. [1536]1536 For He said this in the person of His body, which is His Church, putting days for distinct and appointed periods, which He also signified in âthe third dayâ in His resurrection.

(36.) The Difference Between the Upright in Heart and the Clean in Heart.

I suppose, too, that there is a difference between one who is upright in heart and one who is clean in heart. A man is upright in heart when he areaches forward to those things which are before, forgetting those things which are behinda[1537]1537 so as to arrive in a right course, that is, with right faith and purpose, at the perfection where he may dwell clean and pure in heart. Thus, in the psalm, the conditions ought to be severally bestowed on each separate character, where it is said, aWho shall ascend into the hill of the Lord? or who shall stand in His holy place? He that is innocent in his hands, and clean in his heart.â[1538]1538 He shall ascend, innocent in his hands, and stand, clean in his heart, athe one state in present operation, the other in its consummation. And of them should rather be understood that which is written: aRiches are good unto him that hath no sin on his conscience a[1539]1539 Then indeed shall accrue the good, or true riches, when all poverty shall have passed away; in other words, when all infirmity shall have been removed. A man may now indeed âleave off from sin, a when in his onward course he departs from it, and is renewed day by day; and he may aorder his hands, and direct them to works of mercy, and âcleanse his heart from all wickedness, a[1540]1540 ahe may be so merciful that what remains may be forgiven him by free pardon. This indeed is the sound and suitable meaning, without any vain and empty boasting, of that which St. John said: âlf our heart condemn us not, then have we confidence toward God. And whatsoever we ask, we shall receive of Him.â[1541]1541 The warning which he clearly has addressed to us in this passage, is to beware lest our heart should reproach us in our very prayers and petitions; that is to say, lest, when we happen to resort to this prayer, and say, aForgive us, even as we ourselves forgive, we should have to feel compunction for not doing what we say, or should even lose boldness to utter what we fail to do, and thereby forfeit the confidence of faithful and earnest prayer.

Chapter XVI.â(37.) The Sixth Passage.

He has also adduced this passage of Scripture, which is very commonly quoted against his party: âFor there is not a just man upon earth, that doeth good, and sinneth not.â[1542]1542 And he makes a pretence of answering it by other passages,âhow, âthe Lord says concerning holy Job, âHast thou considered my servant Job? For there is none like him upon earth, a man who is blameless, true, a worshipper of God, and abstaining from every evil thing.ââ[1543]1543 On this passage we have already made some remarks.[1544]1544 But he has not even attempted to show us how, on the one hand, Job was absolutely sinless upon earth,âif the words are to bear such a sense; and, on the other hand, how that can be true which he has admitted to be in the Scripture, âThere is not a just man upon earth, that doeth good, and sinneth not.â[1545]1545

Chapter XVII.â(38.) The Seventh Passage. Who May Be Called Immaculate. How It is that in Godâs Sight No Man is Justified.

âThey also, says he, âquote the text: âFor in thy sight shall no man living be justified.ââ[1546]1546 And his affected answer to this

passage amounts to nothing else than the showing how texts of Holy Scripture seem to clash with one another, whereas it is our duty rather to demonstrate their agreement. These are his words: aWe must confront them with this answer, from the testimony of the evangelist concerning holy Zacharias and Elisabeth, when he says, âAnd they were both righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord blameless.ââ[1547]1547 Now both these righteous persons had, of course, read amongst these very commandments the method of cleansing their own sins. For, according to what is said in the Epistle to the Hebrews of aevery high priest taken from among men, a[1548]1548 Zacharias used no doubt to offer sacrifices even for his own sins. The meaning, however, of the phrase ablameless, a which is applied to him, we have already, as I suppose, sufficiently explained.[1549]1549 aAnd, a he adds, a the blessed apostle says, a That we should be holy, and without blame before Him.ââ[1550]1550 This, according to him, is said that we should be so, if those persons are to be understood by ablamelessa who are altogether without sin. If, however, they are ablamelessa who are without blame or censure, then it is impossible for us to deny that there have been, and still are, such persons even in this present life; for it does not follow that a man is without sin because he has not a blot of accusation. Accordingly the apostle, when selecting ministers for ordination, does not say, alf any be sinless, a for he would be unable to find any such; but he says, alf any be without accusation, a[1551]1551 for such, of course, he would be able to find. But our opponent does not tell us how, in accordance with his views, we ought to understand the scripture, aFor in Thy sight shall no man living be justified.a[1552]1552 The meaning of these words is plain enough, receiving as it does additional light from the preceding clause: âEnter not, â says the Psalmist, âinto judgment with Thy servant, for in Thy sight shall no man living be justified. a It is judgment which he fears, therefore he desires that mercy which triumphs over judgment. [1553]1553 For the meaning of the prayer, aEnter not into judgment with Thy servant, a is this: a Judge me not according to Thyself, a who art without sin; afor in Thy sight shall no man living be justified.â This without doubt is understood as spoken of the present life, whilst the predicate ashall not be justified a has reference to that perfect state of righteousness which belongs not to this life.

Chapter XVIII.â(39.) The Eighth Passage. In What Sense He is Said Not to Sin Who is Born of God. In What Way He Who Sins Shall Not See Nor Know God.

âThey also quote,â says he, âthis passage, âlf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.â[1554]1554 And this very clear testimony he has endeavoured to meet with apparently contradictory texts, saying thus: âThe same St. John in this very epistle says, âThis, however, brethren, I say, that ye sin not. Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin; for his seed remaineth in him: and he cannot sin.â[1555]1555 Also elsewhere: âWhosoever is born of God sinneth not; because his being born of God preserveth him, and the evil one toucheth him not.â[1556]1556 And again in another passage, when speaking of the Saviour, he says: âSince He was manifested to take away sins, whosoever abideth in Him sinneth not: whosoever sinneth hath not seen Him, neither known Him. a[1557]1557 And yet again: aBeloved, now are we the sons of God; and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that, when He shall appear, we shall be like Him: for we shall see Him as He is. And every man that hath this hope towards Him purifieth himself, even as He is pure ââ[1558]1558 And yet, notwithstanding the truth of all these passages, that also is true which he has adduced, without, however, offering any explanation of it: all we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[1559]1559 Now it follows from the whole of this, that in so far as we are born of God we abide in Him who appeared to take away sins, that is, in Christ, and sin not. awhich is simply that a the inward man is renewed day by day:â[1560]1560 but in so far as we are born of that man âthrough whom sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, a[1561]1561 we are not without sin, because we are not as yet free from his infirmity, until, by that renewal which takes place from day to day (for it is in accordance with this that we were born of God), that infirmity shall be wholly repaired, wherein we were born from the first than, and in which we are not without sin. While the remains of this infirmity abide in our inward man, however much they may be daily lessened in those who are advancing, awe deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us, if we say that we have no sin.â Now, however true it is that awhosoever sinneth hath not seen Him, nor known Him, a[1562]1562 since with that vision and knowledge, which shall be realized in actual sight, no one can in this life see and know Him; yet with that vision and knowledge which come of faith, there may be many who commit sin, aeven apostates themselves, awho still have believed in Him some time or other; so that of none of these could it be said, according to the vision and knowledge which as yet come of faith, that he has neither seen Him nor known Him. But I suppose it ought to be understood that it is the renewal which awaits perfection that sees and knows Him; whereas the infirmity which is destined to waste and ruin neither sees nor knows Him. And it is owing to the remains of this infirmity, of whatever amount, which remain firm in our inward man, that awe deceive ourselves, and have not the truth in us, when we say that we have no sin.â Although, then, by the grace of renovation awe are the sons of God, a yet by reason of the remains of infirmity within us ait doth not appear what we shall be: only we know that, when He shall appear, we shall be like Him, for we shall see Him as He is.â Then there shall be no more sin, because no infirmity shall any longer remain within us or without us. aAnd every man that hath this hope towards Him purifieth himself, even as He is pure,ââpurifieth himself, not indeed by himself alone, but by believing in Him, and calling on Him who sanctifieth His saints; which sanctification, when perfected at last (for it is at present only advancing and growing day by day), shall take away from us for ever all the remains of our infirmity.

Chapter XIXâ(40.) The Ninth Passage.

âThis passage, too,â says he, âis quoted by them: âlt is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.ââ[1563]1563 And he observes that the answer to be given to them

is derived from the same apostleas words in another passage: aLet him do what he will.â[1564]1564 And he adds another passage from the Epistle to Philemon, where, speaking of Onesimus, [St. Paul says]: ââWhom I would have retained with me, that in thy stead he might have ministered unto me in the bonds of the gospel. But without thy mind would I do nothing; that thy benefit should not be as it were of necessity, but willingly. a[1565]1565 Likewise, in Deuteronomy: aLife and death hath He set before thee, and good and evil: . . . choose thou life, that thou mayest live.â[1566]1566 So in the book of Solomon: aGod from the beginning made man, and left him in the hand of His counsel; and He added for him commandments and precepts: if thou wiltâto perform acceptable faithfulness for the time to come, they shall save thee. He hath set fire and water before thee: stretch forth thine hand unto whether thou wilt. Before man are good and evil, and life and death; poverty and honour are from the Lord God. a[1567]1567 So again in Isaiah we read: alf ye be willing, and hearken unto me, ye shall eat the good of the land; but if ye be not willing, and hearken not to me, the sword shall devour you: for the mouth of the Lord hath spoken this.ââ[1568]1568 Now with all their efforts of disguise they here betray their purpose; for they plainly attempt to controvert the grace and mercy of God, which we desire to obtain whenever we offer the prayer, aThy will be done in earth as it is in heaven;a[1569]1569 or again this, aLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â[1570]1570 For indeed why do we present such petitions in earnest supplication, if the result is of him that willeth, and him that runneth, but not of God that showeth mercy? Not that the result is without our will, but that our will does not accomplish the result. unless it receive the divine assistance. Now the wholesomeness of faith is this, that it makes us aseek, that we may find; ask, that we may receive; and knock, that it may be opened to us.a[1571]1571 Whereas the man who gainsays it, does really shut the door of Godâs mercy against himself. I am unwilling to say more touching so important a matter, because I do better in committing it to the groans of the faithful, than to words of my own.

(41.) Specimens of Pelagian Exegesis.

But I beg of you to see what kind of objection, after all, he makes, that to him who awilleth and runnetha there is no necessity for Godas mercy, which actually anticipates him in order that he may run, âbecause, forsooth, the apostle says concerning a certain person, âLet him do what he will, â[1572]1572âin the matter, as I suppose, which he goes on to treat, when he says, âHe sinneth not, let him marry!a[1573]1573 As if indeed it should be regarded as a great matter to be willing to marry, when the subject is a laboured discussion concerning the assistance of Godâs grace, or that it is of any great advantage to will it, unless Godas providence, which governs all things, joins together the man and the woman. Or, in the case of the apostleas writing to Philemon, that ahis kindness should not be as it were of necessity, but voluntary, ââas if any good act could indeed be voluntary otherwise than by Godâs âworking in us both to will and to do of His own good pleasure.â[1574]1574 Or, when the Scripture says in Deuteronomy, aLife and death hath He set before man and good and evil, and admonishes him ato choose life; as if, forsooth, this very

admonition did not come from Godâs mercy, or as if there were any advantage in choosing life, unless God inspired love to make such a choice, and gave the possession of it when chosen, concerning which it is said: âFor anger is in His indignation, and in His pleasure is life.â[1575]1575

Or again, because it is said, a The commandments, if thou wilt, shall save thee, a[1576]1576 as if a man ought not to thank God, because he has a will to keep the commandments, since, if he wholly lacked the light of truth, it would not be possible for him to possess such a will. aFire and water being set before him, a man stretches forth his hand towards which he pleases; a[1577]1577 and yet higher is He who calls man to his higher vocation than any thought on manas own part, inasmuch as the beginning of correction of the heart lies in faith, even as it is written, aThou shalt come, and pass on from the beginning of faith.â[1578]1578 Every one makes his choice of good, âaccording as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith;â[1579]1579 and as the Prince of faith says, âNo man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him.a[1580]1580 And that He spake this in reference to the faith which believes in Him, He subsequently explains with sufficient clearness, when He says: aThe words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life; yet there are some of you that believe not. For Jesus knew from the beginning who they were that believed not, and who should betray Him. And He said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.â[1581]1581

(42.) Godâs Promises Conditional. Saints of the Old Testament Were Saved by the Grace of Christ.

He, however, thought he had discovered a great support for his cause in the prophet Isaiah; because by him God said: alf ye be willing, and hearken unto me, ye shall eat the good of the land; but if ye be not willing, and hearken not to me, the sword shall devour you: for the mouth of the Lord hath spoken this.â[1582]1582 As if the entire law were not full of conditions of this sort; or as if its commandments had been given to proud men for any other reason than that athe law was added because of transgression, until the seed should come to whom the promise was made. a[1583]1583 alt entered, therefore, that the offence might abound; but where sin abounded, grace did much more abound.â[1584]1584 In other words, That man might receive commandments, trusting as he did in his own resources, and that, failing in these and becoming a transgressor, he might ask for a deliverer and a saviour; and that the fear of the law might humble him, and bring him, as a schoolmaster, to faith and grace. Thus atheir weaknesses being multiplied, they hastened after; a[1585]1585 and in order to heal them, Christ in due season came. In His grace even righteous men of old believed, and by the same grace were they holpen; so that with joy did they receive a foreknowledge of Him, and some of them even foretold His coming, awhether they were found among the people of Israel themselves, as Moses, and Joshua the son of Nun, and Samuel, and David, and other such; or outside that people, as Job; or previous to that people, as Abraham, and Noah, and all others who are either mentioned or not in Holy Scripture. aFor there is but one God,

and one Mediator between God and man, the man Christ Jesus,â[1586]1586 without whose grace nobody is delivered from condemnation, whether he has derived that condemnation from him in whom all men sinned, or has afterwards aggravated it by his own iniquities.

Chapter XX.â(43.) No Man is Assisted Unless He Does Himself Also Work. Our Course is a Constant Progress.

But what is the import of the last statement which he has made: alf any one say, aMay it possibly be that a man sin not even in word?a then the answer, a says he, awhich must be given is, aQuite possible, if God so will; and God does so will, therefore it is possible.ââ See how unwilling he was to say, alf God give His help, then it would be possible; and vet the Psalmist thus addresses God: aBe Thou my helper, forsake me not: a[1587]1587 where of course help is not sought for procuring bodily advantages and avoiding bodily evils, but for practising and fulfilling righteousness. Hence it is that we say: âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â[1588]1588 Now no man is assisted unless he also himself does something; assisted, however, he is, if he prays, if he believes, if he is acalled according to Godâs purpose; a[1589]1589 for awhom He did foreknow, He also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the first-born among many brethren. Moreover, whom He did predestinate, them He also called; and whom He called, them He also justified; and whom He justified, them He also glorified. a[1590]1590 We run, therefore, whenever we make advance; and our wholeness runs with us in our advance (just as a sore is said to run[1591]1591 when the wound is in process of a sound and careful treatment), in order that we may be in every respect perfect, without any infirmity of sin whatever, âa result which God not only wishes, but even causes and helps us to accomplish. And this Godâs grace does, in co-operation with ourselves, through Jesus Christ our Lord, as well by commandments, sacraments, and examples, as by His Holy Spirit also; through whom there is hiddenly shed abroad in our hearts[1592]1592 that love, awhich maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered, a[1593]1593 until wholeness and salvation be perfected in us, and God be manifested to us as He will be seen in His eternal truth.

Chapter XXI.â(44.) Conclusion of the Work. In the Regenerate It is Not Concupiscence, But Consent, Which is Sin.

Whosoever, then, supposes that any man or any men (except the one Mediator between God and man[1594]1594) have ever lived, or are yet living in this present state, who have not needed, and do not need, forgiveness of sins, he opposes Holy Scripture, wherein it is said by the apostle: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, in which all have sinned.â[1595]1595 And he must needs go on to assert, with an impious contention, that there may possibly be men who are freed and saved from sin without the liberation and salvation of the one Mediator Christ. Whereas He it is who has said: âThey that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick;â[1596]1596 âl am not come to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance.â[1597]1597 He, moreover, who says that any man, after

he has received remission of sins, has ever lived in this body, or still is living, so righteously as to have no sin at all, he contradicts the Apostle John, who declares that alf we say we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[1598]1598 Observe, the expression is not we had, but awe have, a lf, however, anybody contend that the apostleas statement concerns the sin which dwells in our mortal flesh according to the defect which was caused by the will of the first man when he sinned, and concerning which the Apostle Paul enjoins us anota to abev it in the lusts thereof.[1599]1599aso that he does not sin who altogether withholds his consent from this same indwelling sin, and so brings it to no evil work, aeither in deed, or word, or thought, although the lusting after it may be excited (which in another sense has received the name of sin, inasmuch as consenting to it would amount to sinning), but excited against our will, and certainly is drawing subtle distinctions. and should consider what relation all this bears to the Lordâs Prayer, wherein we say, aForgive us our debts.a[1600]1600 Now, if I judge aright, it would be unnecessary to put up such a prayer as this, if we never in the least degree consented to the lusts of the before-mentioned sin, either in a slip of the tongue, or in a wanton thought; all that it would be needful to say would be, aLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â[1601]1601 Nor could the Apostle James say: aln many things we all offend a [1602]1602 For in truth only that man offends whom an evil concupiscence persuades, either by deception or by force, to do or say or think something which he ought to avoid, by directing his appetites or his aversions contrary to the rule of righteousness. Finally, if it be asserted that there either have been, or are in this present life, any persons, with the sole exception of our Great Head, athe Saviour of His body, a[1603]1603 who are righteous, without any sin, and this, either by not consenting to the lusts thereof, or because that must not be accounted as any sin which is such that God does not impute it to them by reason of their godly lives (although the blessedness of being without sin is a different thing from the blessedness of not having oneâs sin imputed to him),[1604]1604âl do not deem it necessary to contest the point over much. I am guite aware that some hold this opinion,[1605]1605 whose views on the subject I have not the courage to censure, although, at the same time, I cannot defend them. But if any man says that we ought not to use the prayer, a Lead us not into temptationa (and he says as much who maintains that Godas help is unnecessary to a person for the avoidance of sin, and that human will, after accepting only the law, is sufficient for the purpose), then I do not hesitate at once to affirm that such a man ought to be removed from the public ear, and to be anathematized by every mouth.

a work on the proceedings of pelagius.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 45,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe gestis pelagii.â

## âââââââââââââ

âAbout the same time, in the East (that is to say, in Palestinian Syria), Pelagius was summoned by certain catholic brethren[1606]1606 before a tribunal of bishops, and was heard on his trial by fourteen prelates, in the absence of his accusers, who were unable to be present on the day of the synod. On his condemning the very dogmas which were read from the indictment against him, as assailing the grace of Christ, they pronounced him to be a catholic. But when the Acts of this synod found their way into our hands, I wrote a treatise on them, to prevent the idea gaining ground that, because he had been in a manner acquitted, his opinions also were approved by the bishops; or that the accused could by any chance have escaped condemnation at their hands, unless he had condemned the opinions charged against him. This treatise of mine begins with these words: âAfter there came into my hands.ââ

preface to the book on the proceedings of pelagius.

## âââââââââââââ

In the year of Christ 415, Pelagius was accused of heresy in Palestine, and brought to trial on one or two occasions. At the first trial, which was held on or about the 30th of July, at a congress of his presbyters, by John, bishop of Jerusalem, no regular record was kept of the proceedings, as we are informed by Augustin in the following work (sec. 39 and 55). The hour and the day of this assembly we may learn from Orosius, a presbyter of Spain, who was present at the congress, and has in his Apology committed to writing some of its most memorable acts. We are informed by him that âafter a great deal of earnest proceeding on both sides, the bishop John proposed the last resolution, that certain brethren should be sent with a letter to blessed Innocent, Pope of Rome, to the intent that he might decide on all the points which were to follow.â

The second trial took place afterwards at Diospolis,[1607]1607 a city in Palestine, before fourteen bishops, at which was kept an accurate record of the proceedings. The bishops are severally mentioned by Augustin in his work against Julianus, Book i. chs. v. and vii. (19, 32), in the following order: âEulogius, John, Ammonianus, Porphyry, Eutonius, another Porphyry, Fidus, Zoninus, Zoboennus, Nymphidius, Chromatius, Jovinus, Eleutherius, and Clematius.â There can be no doubt that Eulogius, bishop of Cæsarea, was also primate of the province of Palestine, because he is constantly mentioned by Augustin as occupying the first place before the other thirteen bishops, and even before John himself, bishop of Jerusalem.

We find from the epistle of Lucian, [1608]1608 De revelatione corporis Stephani martyris, that this synod was held at the approach of Christmas. In this epistle he tells us of three visions which God had shown him in the year 415, athe first on December 3d, and the other two on the 10th and 17th of the same month; that he then reported the matter to John, bishop of Jerusalem, who sent him in quest of the martyrâs sepulchre. He further informs us that he discovered the sepulchre, and at once returned to John, âwho (says he) was attending a synod at Lydda, which is Diospolis.â This must have happened about the 21st of the month, since Lucian goes on to say that John came, in the company of two more bishops, Eutonius of Sebaste and Eleutherius of Jericho, and that in their presence the relics of the martyr were removed on the 26th day of the same month of December.

A certain deacon, called Annianus, is supposed to have pleaded the cause of Pelagius at the synod; some learned men finding it easier to interpret of this deacon than of Pelagius what Jerome writes in a letter addressed to Alypius and Augustin (Epist. Augustinian. 202, 2): aFor every thing which he denies having ever uttered in that miserable synod of Diospolis he professes to hold in this work.â Jerome bestowed the epithet of âmiserableâ on this synod of Diospolis, for no other reason (as we suppose) than because he discovered from its Acts how miserably the synod had been duped by Pelagius. Pope Innocent, after a sight of these Acts, expressly owned (see Epist. Augustinian. 183, 4) that ahe could not bring himself to refuse either blame or praise of those bishops.â Augustin, however, in the following treatise (see chs. 4 and 8), does not hesitate to call them apious judges, and (in his first book against Julianus, i. ch. v. 19) âcatholic judges,â who, when Pelagius abjured the errors attributed to him, pronounced him a catholic, and acquitted him; indeed, he frequently cites these fourteen bishops as witnesses of the catholic faith in opposition to Julianus.

In his letters addressed to Pope Innocent in the year 416 (see Epist. Augustinian. 175, 4, and 177, 2), Augustin intimated that he knew nothing of the Proceedings of the synod except from hearsay; and in a letter to John, bishop of Jerusalem (Epist. 179, 4), he earnestly requested him to forward them to him. But the report was in his hands about midsummer in 417, when he wrote his Epistle to Paulinus (Epist. 186, 31); so that the date of the following treatise is thus traced to the commencement of the year 417, supposing it to have been published immediately after he had received the Proceedings.

The title given to this work by Augustin, in his book On Original Sin (15), stands De Gestis Palæstinis [On the Proceedings which took place in Palestine]; by this title Prosper likewise refers to the work (in his book Adv. Collatorem, 43); but yet we ought to retain the inscription De Gestis Pelagii which is prefixed both to the ancient editions and to the particular Retractation in which Augustin reviewed this work. The treatise had this title given to it, no doubt, either because it had been already commonly accepted as a description of these proceedings of Pelagius and his vindication, which led to his boast that he had been acquitted; or else from the fact that an examination had become necessary of those proceedings, which the accused party had himself published in an abridged and garbled form. Hence Possidonius named the treatise by the title, Contra Gesta Pelagii [A Protest, or Vindication, against the Proceedings of Pelagius].

Out of this book Photius copied a very accurate account of the Synod

of Diospolis and inserted it in his Bibliotheca (cod. 54). One may therefore conclude that this work of Augustinâs is one of those which Possidonius, in his Life, ch. xi. or xxi., No. 59, mentions as having been âtranslated into the Greek tongue.â The Aurelius to whom the work is dedicated is mentioned by Photius in the passage just cited, and by Prosper before him (in the 43d chapter of the above-quoted Adversus Collatorem), as âthe bishop of Carthage.â If the title-page of old did not give them this information, they could both of them discover it from reading this book, especially ch. 23 [XI.].

A work on the proceedings of pelagius, [1609][1609]

In One Book,

addressed to bishop aurelius [of carthage], by

aurelius augustin;

written about the commencement of the year, a.d. 417.

## âââââââââââââ

The several heads of error which were alleged against Pelagius at the Synod in Palestine, with his answers to each charge, are minutely discussed. Augustin shows that, although Pelagius was acquitted by the synod, there still clave to him the suspicion of heresy; and that the acquittal of the accused by the synod was so contrived, that the heresy itself with which he was charged was unhesitatingly condemned.

Chapter 1.âIntroduction.

After there came into my hands, holy father Aurelius, the ecclesiastical proceedings, by which fourteen bishops of the province of Palestine pronounced Pelagius a catholic, my hesitation, in which I was previously reluctant to make any lengthy or confident statement about the defence which he had made, came to an end. This defence, indeed, I had already read in a paper which he himself forwarded to me. Forasmuch, however, as I received no letter therewith from him, I was afraid that some discrepancy might be detected between my statement and the record of the ecclesiastical proceedings; and that, should Pelagius perhaps deny that he had sent me any paper (and it would have been difficult for me to prove that he had, when there was only one witness), I should rather seem guilty in the eyes of those who would readily credit his denial, either of an underhanded falsification, or else (to say the least) of a reckless credulity. Now, however, when I am to treat of matters which are shown to have actually transpired, and when, as it appears to me, all doubt is removed whether he really acted in the way described, your holiness, and everybody who reads these pages, will no doubt be able to judge, with greater readiness and certainty, both of his defence and of this my treatment of it.

Chapter 2 [I.]âThe First Item in the Accusation, and Pelagiusâ Answer.

First of all, then, I offer to the Lord my God, who is also my defence and guide, unspeakable thanks, because I was not misled in my views respecting our holy brethren and fellow-bishops who sat as judges in that case. His answers, indeed, they not without reason approved; because they had not to consider how he had in his writings stated the points which were objected against him, but what he had to say about them in his reply at the pending examination. A case of unsoundness in the faith is one thing, one of incautious statement is another thing. Now sundry objections were urged against Pelagius out of a written complaint, which our holy brethren and fellow-bishops in Gaul, Heros and Lazarus, presented, being themselves unable to be present, owing (as we afterwards learned from credible information) to the severe indisposition of one of them. The first of these was, that he writes, in a certain book of his, this: aNo man can be without sin unless he has acquired a knowledge of the law.â After this had been read out. the synod inquired: aDid you, Pelagius, express yourself thus?a Then in answer he said: al certainly used the words, but not in the sense in which they understand them. I did not say that a man is unable to sin who has acquired a knowledge of the law; but that he is by the knowledge of the law assisted towards not sinning, even as it is written, aHe hath given them a law for helpaa[1610]1609 Upon hearing this, the synod declared: aThe words which have been spoken by Pelagius are not different from the Church.â Assuredly they are not different, as he expressed them in his answer; the statement, however, which was produced from his book has a different meaning. But this the bishops, who were Greek-speaking men, and who heard the words through an interpreter, were not concerned with discussing. All they had to consider at the moment was, what the man who was under examination said was his meaning, anot in what words his opinion was alleged to have been expressed in his book.

Chapter 3. âDiscussion of Pelagius a First Answer.

Now to say that a man is by the knowledge of the law assisted towards not sinning, a is a different assertion from saying that a man cannot be without sin unless he has acquired a knowledge of the law.â We see, for example, that corn-floors may be threshed without threshing-sledges, ahowever much these may assist the operation if we have them: and that boys can find their way to school without the pedagogue, ahowever valuable for this may be the office of pedagogues; and that many persons recover from sickness without physicians, although the doctor skill is clearly of greatest use; and that men sometimes live on other aliments besides bread, ahowever valuable the use of bread must needs be allowed to be; and many other illustrations may occur to the thoughtful reader, without our prompting. From which examples we are undoubtedly reminded that there are two sorts of aids. Some are indispensable, and without their help the desired result could not be attained. Without a ship, for instance, no man could take a voyage; no man could speak without a voice; without legs no man could walk; without light nobody could see; and so on in numberless instances. Amongst them this also may be reckoned, that without Godâs grace no man can live rightly. But then, again, there are other helps, which render us assistance in such a way that we might in some other way effect the object to which they are

ordinarily auxiliary in their absence. Such are those which I have already mentioned, athe threshing-sledges for threshing corn, the pedagogue for conducting the child, medical art applied to the recovery of health, and other like instances. We have therefore to inquire to which of these two classes belongs the knowledge of the law, âin other words, to consider in what way it helps us towards the avoidance of sin. If it be in the sense of indispensable aid without which the end cannot be attained; not only was Pelagiusâ answer before the judges true, but what he wrote in his book was true also. If, however, it be of such a character that it helps indeed if it is present, but even if it be absent, then the result is still possible to be attained by some other means, ahis answer to the judges was still true, and not unreasonably did it find favour with the bishops that âman is assisted not to sin by the knowledge of the law; a but what he wrote in his book is not true, that âthere is no man without sin except him who has acquired a knowledge of the law, ââa statement which the judges left undiscussed, as they were ignorant of the Latin language, and were content with the confession of the man who was pleading his cause before them, especially as no one was present on the other side who could oblige the interpreter to expose his meaning by an explanation of the words of his book, and to show why it was that the brethren were not groundlessly disturbed. For but very few persons are thoroughly acquainted with the law. The mass of the members of Christ, who are scattered abroad everywhere, being ignorant of the very profound and complicated contents of the law, are commended by the piety of simple faith and unfailing hope in God, and sincere love. Endowed with such gifts, they trust that by the grace of God they may be purged from their sins through our Lord Jesus Christ.

Chapter 4 [II.]âThe Same Continued.

If Pelagius, as he possibly might, were to say in reply to this, that that very thing was what he meant by athe knowledge of the law, without which a man is unable to be free from sins, a which is communicated by the teaching of faith to converts and to babes in Christ, and in which candidates for baptism are catechetically instructed with a view to their knowing the creed, certainly this is not what is usually meant when any one is said to have a knowledge of the law. This phrase is only applied to such persons as are skilled in the law. But if he persists in describing the knowledge of the law by the words in question, which, however few in number, are great in weight, and are used to designate all who are faithfully baptized according to the prescribed rule of the Churches; and if he maintains that it was of this that he said, aNo one is without sin, but the man who has acquired the knowledge of the law, ââa knowledge which must needs be conveyed to believers before they attain to the actual remission of sins, aeven in such case there would crowd around him a countless multitude, not indeed of angry disputants, but of crying baptized infants, who would exclaim, anot, to be sure, in words, but in the very truthfulness of innocence, ââWhat is it. O what is it that you have written: aHe only can be without sin who has acquired a knowledge of the law?â See here are we, a large flock of lambs, without sin, and yet we have no knowledge of the law.â Now surely they with their silent tongue would compel him to silence, or, perhaps, even to

confess that he was corrected of his great perverseness; or else (if you will), that he had already for some time entertained the opinion which he acknowledged before his ecclesiastical examiners, but that he had failed before to express his opinion in words of sufficient care. athat his faith, therefore, should be approved, but this book revised and amended. For, as the Scripture says: aThere is that slippeth in his speech, but not in his heart.â[1611]1610 Now if he would only admit this, or were already saying it, who would not most readily forgive those words which he had committed to writing with too areat heedlessness and neglect, especially on his declining to defend the opinion which the said words contain, and affirming that to be his proper view which the truth approves? This we must suppose would have been in the minds of the pious judges themselves, if they could only have duly understood the contents of his Latin book, thoroughly interpreted to them, as they understood his reply to the synod, which was spoken in Greek, and therefore quite intelligible to them, and adjudged it as not alien from the Church. Let us go on to consider the other cases.

Chapter 5 [III.]âThe Second Item in the Accusation; And Pelagiusâ Answer.

The synod of bishops then proceeded to say: âLet another section be read.â Accordingly there was read the passage in the same book wherein Pelagius had laid down the position that aall men are ruled by their own will.â On this being read, Pelagius said in answer: âThis I stated in the interest of free will. God is its helper whenever it chooses good; man, however, when sinning is himself in fault, as under the direction of a free will.â Upon hearing this, the bishops exclaimed: aNor again is this opposed to the doctrine of the Church a For who indeed could condemn or deny the freedom of the will, when Godâs help is associated with it? His opinion, therefore, as thus explained in his answer, was, with good reason, deemed satisfactory by the bishops. And yet, after all, the statement made in his book, aAll men are ruled by their own will, a ought without doubt to have deeply disturbed the brethren, who had discovered what these men are accustomed to dispute against the grace of God. For it is said, âAll men are ruled by their own will, as if God rules no man, and the Scripture says in vain, aSave Thy people, and bless Thine inheritance; rule them, and lift them up for ever.â[1612]1611 They would not, of course, stay, if they are ruled only by their own will without God, even as sheep which have no shepherd: which, God forbid for us. For, unquestionably to be led is something more compulsory than to be ruled. He who is ruled at the same time does something himself, âindeed, when ruled by God, it is with the express view that he should also act rightly; whereas the man who is led can hardly be understood to do any thing himself at all. And yet the Saviourâs helpful grace is so much better than our own wills and desires, that the apostle does not hesitate to say: âAs many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God.â[1613]1612 And our free will can do nothing better for us than to submit itself to be led by Him who can do nothing amiss; and after doing this, not to doubt that it was helped to do it by Him of whom it is said in the psalm, âHe is my God, His mercy shall go before me.a[1614]1613

Chapter 6.âPelagiusâ Answer Examined.

Indeed, in this very book which contains these statements, after laying down the position, âAll men are governed by their own will, and every one is submitted to his own desire. a Pelagius goes on to adduce the testimony of Scripture, from which it is evident enough that no man ought to trust to himself for direction. For on this very subject the Wisdom of Solomon declares: al myself also am a mortal man like unto all; and the offspring of him that was first made of the earth.â[1615]1614âwith other similar words to the conclusion of the paragraph, where we read: aFor all men have one entrance into life, and the like going out therefrom: wherefore I prayed and understanding was given to me; I called, and the Spirit of Wisdom came into me.a[1616]1615 Now is it not clearer than light itself, how that this man, on duly considering the wretchedness of human frailty, did not dare to commit himself to his own direction, but prayed, and understanding was given to him, concerning which the apostle says: âBut we have the understanding of the Lord; a[1617]1616 and called, and the Spirit of Wisdom entered into him? Now it is by this Spirit, and not by the strength of their own will, that they who are Godâs children are governed and led.

Chapter 7.âThe Same Continued.

As for the passage from the psalm, and it shall come upon him; and he willed not blessing, so it shall be far removed from him, a[1618]1617 which he quoted in the same book of Chapters, as if to prove that all men are ruled by their own will, a who can be ignorant that this is a fault not of nature as God created it, but of human will which departed from God? The fact indeed is, that even if he had not loved cursing, and had willed blessing, he would in this very case, too, deny that his will had received any assistance from God; in his ingratitude and impiety, moreover, he would submit himself to be ruled by himself, until he found out by his penalties that, sunk as he was into ruin, without God to govern him he was utterly unable to direct his own self. In like manner, from the passage which he quoted in the same book under the same head, alle hath set fire and water before thee; stretch forth thy hand unto whether thou wilt; before man are good and evil, life and death, and whichever he liketh shall be given to him, a[1619]1618 it is manifest that, if he applies his hand to fire, and if evil and death please him, his human will effects all this; but if, on the contrary, he loves goodness and life, not alone does his will accomplish the happy choice, but it is assisted by divine grace. The eve indeed is sufficient for itself, for not seeing, that is, for darkness; but for seeing, it is in its own light not sufficient for itself unless the assistance of a clear external light is rendered to it. God forbid, however, that they who are athe called according to His purpose, whom He also foreknew, and predestinated to be conformed to the likeness of His Son, a[1620]1619 should be given up to their own desire to perish. This is suffered only by athe vessels of wrath, a[1621]1620 who are perfected for perdition; in whose very destruction, indeed, God âmakes known the riches of His glory on the vessels of His mercy. a[1622]1621 Now it is on this account that, after saying, aHe is my God, His mercy shall go

before me,â[1623]1622 he immediately adds, âMy God will show me vengeance upon my enemies.â[1624]1623 That therefore happens to them which is mentioned in Scripture, âGod gave them up to the lusts of their own heart.â[1625]1624 This, however, does not happen to the predestinated, who are ruled by the Spirit of God, for not in vain is their cry: âDeliver me not, O Lord, to the sinner, according to my desire.â[1626]1625 With regard, indeed, to the evil lusts which assail them, their prayer has ever assumed some such shape as this: âTake away from me the concupiscence of the belly; and let not the desire of lust take hold of me.â[1627]1626 Upon those whom He governs as His subjects does God bestow this gift; but not upon those who think themselves capable of governing themselves, and who, in the stiff-necked confidence of their own will, disdain to have Him as their ruler.

Chapter 8.âThe Same Continued.

This being the case, how must Godâs children, who have learned the truth of all this and rejoice at being ruled and led by the Spirit of God, have been affected when they heard or read that Pelagius had declared in writing that all men are governed by their own will, and that every one is submitted to his own desire?â And yet, when questioned by the bishops, he fully perceived what an evil impression these words of his might produce, and told them in answer that ahe had made such an assertion in the interest of free will, ââadding at once, aGod is its helper whenever it chooses good; whilst man is himself in fault when he sins, as being under the influence of a free will â Although the pious judges approved of this sentiment also, they were unwilling to consider or examine how incautiously he had written, or indeed in what sense he had employed the words found in his book. They thought it was enough that he had made such a confession concerning free will, as to admit that God helped the man who chose the good, whereas the man who sinned was himself to blame, his own will sufficing for him in this direction. According to this, God rules those whom He assists in their choice of the good. So far, then, as they rule anything themselves, they rule it rightly, since they themselves are ruled by Him who is right and good.

Chapter 9.âThe Third Item in the Accusation; And Pelagiusâ Answer.

Another statement was read which Pelagius had placed in his book, to this effect: âln the day of judgment no forbearance will be shown to the ungodly and the sinners, but they will be consumed in eternal fires.â This induced the brethren to regard the statement as open to the objection, that it seemed so worded as to imply that all sinners whatever were to be punished with an eternal punishment, without excepting even those who hold Christ as their foundation, although âthey build thereupon wood, hay, stubble,â[1628]1627 concerning whom the apostle writes: âlf any manâs work shall be burned, he shall suffer loss; but he shall himself be saved, yet so as by fire.â[1629]1628 When, however, Pelagius responded that âhe had made his assertion in accordance with the Gospel, in which it is written concerning sinners, âThese shall go away into eternal punishment, but the righteous into life eternal,ââ[1630]1629 it was impossible for Christian judges to be dissatisfied with a sentence which is written in the Gospel, and was spoken by the Lord; especially as they knew not what there was in the words taken from Pelagiusâ book which could so disturb the brethren, who were accustomed to hear his discussions and those of his followers. Since also they were absent[1631]1630 who presented the indictment against Pelagius to the holy bishop Eulogius, there was no one to urge him that he ought to distinguish, by some exception, between those sinners who are to be saved by fire, and those who are to be punished with everlasting perdition. If, indeed, the judges had come to understand by these means the reason why the objection had been made to his statement, had he then refused to allow the distinction, he would have been justly open to blame.

Chapter 10.âPelagiusâ Answer Examined. On Origenâs Error Concerning the Non-Eternity of the Punishment of the Devil and the Damned.

But what Pelagius added, aWho believes differently is an Origenist, a was approved by the judges, because in very deed the Church most justly abominates the opinion of Origen, that even they whom the Lord says are to be punished with everlasting punishment, and the devil himself and his angels, after a time, however protracted, will be purged, and released from their penalties, and shall then cleave to the saints who reign with God in the association of blessedness. This additional sentence, therefore, the synod pronounced to be anot opposed to the Church, aanot in accordance with Pelagius, but rather in accordance with the Gospel, that such ungodly and sinful men shall be consumed by eternal fires as the Gospel determines to be worthy of such a punishment; and that he is a sharer in Origenas abominable opinion, who affirms that their punishment can possibly ever come to an end, when the Lord has said it is to be eternal. Concerning those sinners, however, of whom the apostle declares that athey shall be saved, yet so as by fire, after their work has been burnt up,â[1632]1631 inasmuch as no objectionable opinion in reference to them was manifestly charged against Pelagius, the synod determined nothing. Wherefore he who says that the ungodly and sinner, whom the truth consigns to eternal punishment, can ever be liberated therefrom, is not unfitly designated by Pelagius as an aOrigenist. a But, on the other hand, he who supposes that no sinner whatever deserves mercy in the judgment of God, may be designated by whatever name Pelagius is disposed to give to him, only it must at the same time be quite understood that this error is not received as truth by the Church. aFor he shall have judgment without mercy that hath showed no mercy.â[1633]1632

Chapter 11.âThe Same Continued.

But how this judgment is to be accomplished, it is not easy to understand from Holy Scripture; for there are many modes therein of describing that which is to come to pass only in one mode. In one place the Lord declares that He will âshut the doorâ against those whom He does not admit into His kingdom; and that, on their clamorously demanding admission, âOpen unto us, ... we have eaten and drunk in Thy presence,â and so forth, as the Scripture describes, âHe will say unto them in answer, I know you not, ... all ye workers of iniquity.â[1634]1633 In another passage He reminds us that He will command âall which would not that He should reign over them to be brought to Him, and be slain in His presence.â[1635]1634 In another place, again, He tells us that He will come with His angels in His majesty: and before Him shall be gathered all nations, and He shall separate them one from another; some He will set on His right hand, and after enumerating their good works, will award to them eternal life; and others on His left hand, whose barrenness in all good works He will expose, will He condemn to everlasting fire.[1636]1635 In two other passages He deals with that wicked and slothful servant, who neglected to trade with His money, [1637]1636 and with the man who was found at the feast without the wedding garment, âand He orders them to be bound hand and foot, and to be cast into outer darkness.[1638]1637 And in yet another scripture, after admitting the five virgins who were wise. He shuts the door against the other five foolish ones.[1639]1638 Now these descriptions, â and there are others which at the instant do not occur to me, âare all intended to represent to us the future judgment, which of course will be held not over one, or over five, but over multitudes. For if it were a solitary case only of the man who was cast into outer darkness for not having on the wedding garment, He would not have gone on at once to give it a plural turn, by saying: aFor many are called, but few are chosen;a[1640]1639 whereas it is plain that, after the one was cast out and condemned, many still remained behind in the house. However, it would occupy us too long to discuss all these questions to the full. This brief remark, however, I may make, without prejudice (as they say in pecuniary affairs) to some better discussion, that by the many descriptions which are scattered throughout the Holy Scriptures there is signified to us but one mode of final judgment, which is inscrutable to us, awith only the variety of deservings preserved in the rewards and punishments. Touching the particular point, indeed, which we have before us at present, it is sufficient to remark that, if Pelagius had actually said that all sinners whatever without exception would be punished in an eternity of punishment by everlasting fire, then whosoever had approved of this judgment would, to begin with, have brought the sentence down on his own head. aFor who will boast that he is pure from sins?â[1641]1640 Forasmuch, however, as he did not say all, nor certain, but made an indefinite statement only. and afterwards, in explanation, declared that his meaning was according to the words of the Gospel, ahis opinion was affirmed by the judgment of the bishops to be true; but it does not even now appear what Pelagius really thinks on the subject, and in consequence there is no indecency in inquiring further into the decision of the episcopal judges.

Chapter 12 [IV.]âThe Fourth Item in the Accusation; And Pelagiusâ Answer.

It was further objected against Pelagius, as if he had written in his book, that âevil does not enter our thoughts.â In reply, however, to this charge, he said: âWe made no such statement. What we did say was, that the Christian ought to be careful not to have evil thoughts.â Of this, as it became them, the bishops approved. For who can doubt that evil ought not to be thought of? And, indeed, if what he said in his book about aevil not being thoughta runs in this form, aneither is evil to be thought of, a the ordinary meaning of such words is athat evil ought not even to be thought of a Now if any person denies this, what else does he in fact say, than that evil ought to be thought of? And if this were true, it could not be said in praise of love that âit thinketh no evil!a[1642]1641 But after all, the phrase about anot entering into the thoughts of righteous and holy men is not quite a commendable one, for this reason, that what enters the mind is commonly called a thought, even when assent to it does not follow. The thought, however, which contracts blame, and is justly forbidden, is never unaccompanied with assent. Possibly those men had an incorrect copy of Pelagiusa writings, who thought it proper to object to him that he had used the words: aEvil does not enter into our thoughts:a that is, that whatever is evil never enters into the thoughts of righteous and holy men. Which is, of course, a very absurd statement. For whenever we censure evil things, we cannot enunciate them in words, unless they have been thought. But, as we said before, that is termed a culpable thought of evil which carries with it assent.

Chapter 13 [V.]âThe Fifth Item of the Accusation; And Pelagiusâ Answer.

After the judges had accorded their approbation to this answer of Pelagius, another passage which he had written in his book was read aloud: âThe kingdom of heaven was promised even in the Old Testament.â Upon this, Pelagius remarked in vindication: âThis can be proved by the Scriptures: but heretics, in order to disparage the Old Testament, deny this. I, however, simply followed the authority of the Scriptures when I said this; for in the prophet Daniel it is written: âThe saints shall receive the kingdom of the Most. High.ââ[1643]1642 After they had heard this answer, the synod said: âNeither is this opposed to the Churchâs faith.â

Chapter 14.âExamination of This Point. The Phrase âOld Testamentâ Used in Two Senses. The Heir of the Old Testament. In the Old Testament There Were Heirs of the New Testament.

Was it therefore without reason that our brethren were moved by his words to include this charge among the others against him? Certainly not. The fact is, that the phrase Old Testament is constantly employed in two different ways, ain one, following the authority of the Holy Scriptures; in the other, following the most common custom of speech. For the Apostle Paul says, in his Epistle to the Galatians: aTell me, ve that desire to be under the law, do ve not hear the law? For it is written that Abraham had two sons, the one by a bond-maid, the other by a free woman. . . . Which things are an allegory: for these are the two testaments; the one which gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. For this is Mount Sinai in Arabia, and is conjoined with the Jerusalem which now is, and is in bondage with her children; whereas the Jerusalem which is above is free, and is the mother of us all.a[1644]1643 Now, inasmuch as the Old Testament belongs to bondage, whence it is written, aCast out the bond-woman and her son, for the son of the bond-woman shall not be heir with my son Isaac, a[1645]1644 but the kingdom of heaven to liberty; what has the kingdom of heaven

to do with the Old Testament? Since, however, as I have already remarked, we are accustomed, in our ordinary use of words, to designate all those Scriptures of the law and the prophets which were given previous to the Lordâs incarnation, and are embraced together by canonical authority, under the name and title of the Old Testament. what man who is ever so moderately informed in ecclesiastical lore can be ignorant that the kingdom of heaven could be quite as well promised in those early Scriptures as even the New Testament itself, to which the kingdom of heaven belongs? At all events, in those ancient Scriptures it is most distinctly written: aBehold, the days come, saith the Lord, that I will consummate a new testament with the house of Israel and with the house of Jacob; not according to the testament that I made with their fathers, in the day that I took them by the hand, to lead them out of the land of Egypt.â[1646]1645 This was done on Mount Sinai. But then there had not yet risen the prophet Daniel to say: aThe saints shall receive the kingdom of the Most High.â[1647]1646 For by these words he foretold the merit not of the Old, but of the New Testament. In the same manner did the same prophets foretell that Christ Himself would come, in whose blood the New Testament was consecrated. Of this Testament also the apostles became the ministers, as the most blessed Paul declares: aHe hath made us able ministers of the New Testament; not in its letter, but in spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.â[1648]1647 In that testament, however, which is properly called the Old, and was given on Mount Sinai, only earthly happiness is expressly promised. Accordingly that land, into which the nation, after being led through the wilderness, was conducted, is called the land of promise, wherein peace and royal power, and the gaining of victories over enemies, and an abundance of children and of fruits of the ground, and gifts of a similar kind are the promises of the Old Testament. And these, indeed, are figures of the spiritual blessings which appertain to the New Testament; but yet the man who lives under Godâs law with those earthly blessings for his sanction, is precisely the heir of the Old Testament, for just such rewards are promised and given to him, according to the terms of the Old Testament, as are the objects of his desire according to the condition of the old man. But whatever blessings are there figuratively set forth as appertaining to the New Testament require the new man to give them effect. And no doubt the areat apostle understood perfectly well what he was saving, when he described the two testaments as capable of the allegorical distinction of the bond-woman and the free, âattributing the children of the flesh to the Old, and to the New the children of the promise: aThey, a says he, awhich are the children of the flesh, are not the children of God; but the children of the promise are counted for the seed. a[1649]1648 The children of the flesh, then, belong to the earthly Jerusalem, which is in bondage with her children; whereas the children of the promise belong to the Jerusalem above, the free, the mother of us all, eternal in the heavens. [1650]1649 Whence we can easily see who they are that appertain to the earthly, and who to the heavenly kingdom. But then the happy persons, who even in that early age were by the grace of God taught to understand the distinction now set forth, were thereby made the children of promise, and were accounted in the secret purpose of God as heirs of the New Testament; although they continued with perfect fitness to administer the Old Testament to the ancient

people of God, because it was divinely appropriated to that people in Godâs distribution of the times and seasons.

Chapter 15.âThe Same Continued.

How then should there not be a feeling of just disguietude entertained by the children of promise, children of the free Jerusalem, which is eternal in the heavens, when they see that by the words of Pelagius the distinction which has been drawn by Apostolic and catholic authority is abolished, and Agar is supposed to be by some means on a par with Sarah? He therefore does injury to the scripture of the Old Testament with heretical impiety, who with an impious and sacrilegious face denies that it was inspired by the good, supreme, and very God, as Marcion does, as ManichA<sup>lus</sup> does, and other pests of similar opinions. On this account (that I may put into as brief a space as I can what my own views are on the subject), as much injury is done to the New Testament, when it is put on the same level with the Old Testament, as is inflicted on the Old itself when men deny it to be the work of the supreme God of goodness. Now, when Pelagius in his answer gave as his reason for saying that even in the Old Testament there was a promise of the kingdom of heaven, the testimony of the prophet Daniel, who most plainly foretold that the saints should receive the kingdom of the Most High, it was fairly decided that the statement of Pelagius was not opposed to the catholic faith, although not according to the distinction which shows that the earthly promises of Mount Sinai are the proper characteristics of the Old Testament; nor indeed was the decision an improper one, considering that mode of speech which designates all the canonical Scriptures which were given to men before the Lordâs coming in the flesh by the title of the aOld Testament.â The kingdom of the Most High is of course none other than the kingdom of God; otherwise, anybody might boldly contend that the kingdom of God is one thing, and the kingdom of heaven another.

Chapter 16 [VI.]âThe Sixth Item of the Accusation, and Pelagiusâ Reply.

The next objection was to the effect that Pelagius in that same book of his wrote thus: âA man is able, if he likes, to be without sin;â and that writing to a certain widow he said. flatteringly: all thee piety may find a dwelling-place, such as she finds nowhere else; in thee righteousness, though a stranger, can find a home; truth, which no one any longer recognises, can discover an abode and a friend in thee; and the law of God, which almost everybody despises, may be honoured by thee alone.â And in another sentence he writes to her: âO how happy and blessed art thou, when that righteousness which we must believe to flourish only in heaven has found a shelter on earth only in thy heart!â In another work addressed to her, after reciting the prayer of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, and teaching her in what manner saints ought to pray, he says: âHe worthily raises his hands to God, and with a good conscience does he pour out his prayer, who is able to say, aThou, O Lord, knowest how holy, and harmless, and pure from all injury and iniquity and violence, are the hands which I stretch out to Thee; how righteous, and pure, and free from all deceit, are the lips with which I offer to Thee my supplication, that

Thou wouldst have mercy upon me.ââ To all this Pelagius said in answer: aWe asserted that a man could be without sin, and could keep Godâs commandments if he wished; for this capacity has been given to him by God. But we never said that any man could be found who at no time whatever, from infancy to old age, had committed sin: but that if any person were converted from his sins, he could by his own labour and Godâs grace be without sin; and yet not even thus would he be incapable of change ever afterwards. As for the other statements which they have made against us, they are not to be found in our books, nor have we at any time said such things.â Upon hearing this vindication, the synod put this question to him: aYou have denied having ever written such words; are you therefore ready to anathematize those who do hold these opinions?â Pelagius answered: âl anathematize them as fools, not as heretics, for there is no dogma.â The bishops then pronounced their judgment in these words: aSince now Pelagius has with his own mouth anathematized this vague statement as foolish verbiage, justly declaring in his reply, aThat a man is able with Godas assistance and grace to be without sin, a let him now proceed to answer the other heads of accusation against him.â

Chapter 17.aExamination of the Sixth Charge and Answers.

Well, now, had the judges either the power or the right to condemn these unrecognised and vague words, when no person on the other side was present to assert that Pelagius had written the very culpable sentences which were alleged to have been addressed by him to the widow? In such a matter, it surely could not be enough to produce a manuscript, and to read out of it words as his, if there were not also witnesses forthcoming in case he denied, on the words being read out, that they ever dropped from his pen. But even here the judges did all that lay in their power to do, when they asked Pelagius whether he would anathematize the persons who held such sentiments as he declared he had never himself propounded either in speech or in writing. And when he answered that he did anathematize them as fools, what right had the judges to push the inquiry any further on the matter, in the absence of Pelagiusâ opponents?

Chapter 18. âThe Same Continued.

But perhaps the point requires some consideration, whether he was right in saying that âsuch as held the opinions in question deserved anathema, not as heretics, but as fools, since it was no dogma.â The question, when fairly confronted, is no doubt far from being an unimportant one,âhow far a man deserves to be described as a heretic; on this occasion, however, the judges acted rightly in abstaining from it altogether. If any one, for example, were to allege that eaglets are suspended in the talons of the parent bird, and so exposed to the rays of the sun, and such as wink are flung to the ground as spurious, the light being in some mysterious way the gauge of their genuine nature, he is not to be accounted a heretic, if the story happens to be untrue.[1651]1650 And, since it occurs in the writings of the learned and is very commonly received as fact, ought it to be considered a foolish thing to mention it, even though it be not true? much less ought our credit, which gains for us the name of being

trustworthy, to be affected, on the one hand injuriously if the story be believed by us, or beneficially if disbelieved.[1652]1651 lf, to go a step further in illustration, any one were from this opinion to contend that there existed in birds reasonable souls, from the notion that human souls at intervals passed into them, then indeed we should have to reject from our mind and ears alike an idea like this as the rankest heresy; and even if the story about the eagles were true (as there are many curious facts about bees before our eyes, that are true), we should still have to consider, and demonstrate, the great difference that exists between the condition of creatures like these. which are quite irrational, however surprising in their powers of sensation, and the nature which is common (not to men and beasts, but) to men and angels. There are, to be sure, a great many foolish things said by foolish and ignorant persons, which yet fail to prove them heretics. One might instance the silly talk so commonly heard about the pursuits of other people, from persons who have never learned these pursuits, âequally hasty and untenable whether in the shape of excessive and indiscriminate praise of those they love, or of blame in the case of those they happen to dislike. The same remark might be made concerning the usual curent of human conversation: whenever it does touch on a subject which requires dogmatic acuracy of statement, but is thrown out at random or suggested by the passing moment, it is too often pervaded by foolish levity, whether uttered by the mouth or expressed in writing. Many persons, indeed, when gently reminded of their reckless gossip, have afterwards much regretted their conduct; they scarcely recollected what they had never uttered with a fixed purpose, but had poured forth in a sheer volley of casual and unconsidered words. It is, unhappily, almost impossible to be quite clear of such faults. Who is he athat slippeth not in his tongue, a[1653]1652 and a offendeth not in word? a[1654]1653 It, however, makes all the difference in the world, to what extent, and from what motive, and whether in fact at all, a man when warned of his fault corrects it, or obstinately clings to it so as to make a dogma and settled opinion of that which he had not at first uttered on purpose, but only in levity. Although, then, it turns out eventually that every heretic is a fool, it does not follow that every fool must immediately be named a heretic. The judges were quite right in saying that Pelagius had anathematized the vague folly under consideration by its fitting designation for even if it were heresy, there could be no doubt of its being foolish prattle. Whatever, therefore, it was, they designated the offence under a general name. But whether the quoted words had been used with any definitely dogmatic purpose, or only in a vague and indeterminate sense, and with an unmeaningness which should be capable of an easy correction, they did not deem it necessary to discuss on the present occasion, since the man who was on his trial before them denied that the words were his at all, in whatever sense they had been employed.

Chapter 19.âThe Same Continued.

Now it so happened that, while we were reading this defence of Pelagius in the small paper which we received at first,[1655]1654 there were present certain holy brethren, who said that they had in their possession some hortatory or consolatory works which Pelagius had addressed to a widow lady whose name did not appear, and they advised us to examine whether the words which he had abjured for his own occurred anywhere in these books. They were not themselves aware whether they did or not. The said books were accordingly read through, and the words in question were actually discovered in them. Moreover. they who had produced the copy of the book, affirmed that for now almost four years they had had these books as Pelagiusa, nor had they once heard a doubt expressed about his authorship. Considering, then, from the integrity of these servants of God, which was very well known to us, how impossible it was for them to use deceit in the matter, the conclusion seemed inevitable, that Pelagius must be supposed by us to have rather been the deceiver at his trial before the bishops; unless we should think it possible that something may have been published. even for so many years, in his name, although not actually composed by him; for our informants did not tell us that they had received the books from Pelagius himself, nor had they ever heard him admit his own authorship. Now, in my own case, certain of our brethren have told me that sundry writings have found their way into Spain under my name. Such persons, indeed, as had read my genuine writings could not recognise those others as mine; although by other persons my authorship of them was quite believed.

Chapter 20.âThe Same Continued. Pelagius Acknowledges the Doctrine of Grace in Deceptive Terms.

There can be no doubt that what Pelagius has acknowledged as his own is as yet very obscure. I suppose, however, that it will become apparent in the subsequent details of these proceedings. Now he says: âWe have affirmed that a man is able to be without sin, and to keep the commandments of God if he wishes, inasmuch as God has given him this ability. But we have not said that any man can be found, who from infancy to old age has never committed sin; but that if any person were converted from his sins, he could by his own exertion and Godâs grace be without sin; and yet not even thus would he be incapable of change afterwards.â Now it is guite uncertain what he means in these words by the grace of God; and the judges, catholic as they were, could not possibly understand by the phrase anything else than the grace which is so very strongly recommended to us in the apostleas teaching. Now this is the grace whereby we hope that we can be delivered from the body of this death through our Lord Jesus Christ, [1656]1655 [VII.] and for the obtaining of which we pray that we may not be led into temptation. [1657]1656 This grace is not nature, but that which renders assistance to frail and corrupted nature. This grace is not the knowledge of the law, but is that of which the apostle says: al will not make void the grace of God: for if righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain.a[1658]1657 Therefore it is not athe letter that killeth, but the life-giving spirit.â[1659]1658 For the knowledge of the law, without the grace of the Spirit, produces all kinds of concupiscence in man; for, as the apostle says, al had not known sin but by the law: I had not known lust, unless the law had said, Thou shalt not covet. But sin, taking occasion by the commandment, wrought in me all manner of concupiscence.â[1660]1659 By saying this, however, he blames not the law; he rather praises it, for he says afterwards: aThe law indeed is

holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good.a[1661]1660 And he goes on to ask: aWas then that which is good made death unto me? God forbid. But sin, that it might appear sin, wrought death in me by that which is good.â[1662]1661 And, again, he praises the law by saying: aWe know that the law is spiritual: but I am carnal, sold under sin. For that which I do I know not: for what I would, that do I not; but what I hate, that do I. If then I do that which I would not, I consent unto the law that it is good.â[1663]1662 Observe, then, he knows the law, praises it, and consents to it; for what it commands, that he also wishes; and what it forbids, and condemns, that he also hates: but for all that, what he hates, that he actually does. There is in his mind, therefore, a knowledge of the holy law of God, but still his evil concupiscence is not cured. He has a good will within him, but still what he does is evil. Hence it comes to pass that, amidst the mutual struggles of the two laws within him, ââthe law in his members warring against the law of his mind, and making him captive to the law of sin, a[1664]1663ahe confesses his misery; and exclaims in such words as these: aO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from this body of death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[1665]1664

Chapter 21 [VIII.]âThe Same Continued.

It is not nature, therefore, which, sold as it is under sin and wounded by the offence, longs for a Redeemer and Saviour; nor is it the knowledge of the lawâthrough which comes the discovery, not the expulsion, of sinâwhich delivers us from the body of this death; but it is the Lordâs good grace through our Lord Jesus Christ.[1666]1665

Chapter 21 [IX.]âThe Same Continued.

This grace is not dying nature, nor the slaving letter, but the vivifying spirit; for already did he possess nature with freedom of will, because he said: aTo will is present with me.a[1667]1666 Nature, however, in a healthy condition and without a flaw, he did not possess, for he said: âl know that in me (that is, in my flesh) dwelleth nothing good.a[1668]1667 Already had he the knowledge of Godâs holy law, for he said: âl had not known sin but through the law:â[1669]1668 vet for all that, he did not possess strength and power to practise and fulfil righteousness, for he complained: aWhat I would, that do I not; but what I hate, that do I.a[1670]1669 And again, a How to accomplish that which is good I find not a [1671]1670 Therefore it is not from the liberty of the human will, nor from the precepts of the law, that there comes deliverance from the body of this death; for both of these he had already, athe one in his nature, the other in his learning; but all he wanted was the help of the grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Chapter 22 [X.]âThe Same Continued. The Synod Supposed that the Grace Acknowledged by Pelagius Was that Which Was So Thoroughly Known to the Church.

This grace, then, which was most completely known in the catholic Church (as the bishops were well aware), they supposed Pelagius made confession of, when they heard him say that a man, when converted from his sins, is able by his own exertion and the grace of God to be without sin.â For my own part, however, I remembered the treatise which had been given to me, that I might refute it, by those servants of God, who had been Pelagiusâ followers.[1672]1671 They, notwithstanding their great affection for him, plainly acknowledged that the passage was his; when, on this question being proposed, because he had already given offence to very many persons from advancing views against the grace of God, he most expressly admitted that awhat he meant by Godas grace was that, when our nature was created, it received the capacity of not sinning, because it was created with free will.a On account, therefore, of this treatise, I cannot help feeling still anxious, whilst many of the brethren who are well acquainted with his discussions, share in my anxiety, lest under the ambiguity which notoriously characterizes his words there lies some latent reserve, and lest he should afterwards tell his followers that it was without prejudice to his own doctrine that he made any admissions, adiscoursing thus: all no doubt asserted that a man was able by his own exertion and the grace of God to live without sin; but you know very well what I mean by grace; and you may recollect reading that grace is that in which we are created by God with a free will.â Accordingly, while the bishops understood him to mean the grace by which we have by adoption been made new creatures, not that by which we were created (for most plainly does Holy Scripture instruct us in the former sense of grace as the true one), ignorant of his being a heretic, they acquitted him as a catholic.[1673]1672 I must say that my suspicion is excited also by this, that in the work which I answered, he most openly said that arighteous Abel never sinned at all.â[1674]1673 Now, however, he thus expresses himself: âBut we did not say that any man could be found who at no time whatever, from infancy to old age, has committed sin; but that, if any man were converted from his sins, he could by his own labour and Godâs grace be without sin.â[1675]1674 When speaking of righteous Abel, he did not say that after being converted from his sins he became sinless in a new life, but that he never committed sin at all. If, then, that book be his, it must of course be corrected and amended from his answer. For I should be sorry to say that he was insincere in his more recent statement; lest perhaps he should say that he had forgotten what he had previously written in the book we have quoted. Let us therefore direct our view to what afterwards occurred. Now, from the sequel of these ecclesiastical proceedings, we can by Godâs help show that, although Pelagius, as some suppose, cleared himself in his examination, and was at all events acquitted by his judges (who were, however, but human beings after all), that this great heresy.[1676]1675 which we should be most unwilling to see making further progress or becoming aggravated in guilt, was undoubtedly itself condemned.

Chapter 23 [XI.]âThe Seventh Item of the Accusation: the Breviates of CÅlestius Objected to Pelagius.

Then follow sundry statements charged against Pelagius, which are said to be found among the opinions of his disciple CÅlestius: how that âAdam was created mortal, and would have died whether he had sinned or not sinned; that Adamâs sin injured only himself and not the human race; that the law no less than the gospel leads us to the kingdom; that there were sinless men previous to the coming of Christ; that new-born infants are in the same condition as Adam was before the fall: that the whole human race does not, on the one hand, die through Adamâs death or transgression, nor, on the other hand, does the whole human race rise again through the resurrection of Christ.â These have been so objected to, that they are even said to have been, after a full hearing, condemned at Carthage by your holiness and other bishops associated with you.[1677]1676 I was not present on that occasion, as you will recollect; but afterwards, on my arrival at Carthage, I read over the Acts of the synod, some of which I perfectly well remember, but I do not know whether all the tenets now mentioned occur among them. But what matters it if some of them were possibly not mentioned. and so not included in the condemnation of the synod when it is guite clear that they deserve condemnation? Sundry other points of error were next alleged against him, connected with the mention of my own name.[1678]1677 They had been transmitted to me from Sicily, some of our Catholic brethren there being perplexed by guestions of this kind; and I drew up a reply to them in a little work addressed to Hilary, [1679]1678 who had consulted me respecting them in a letter. My answer, in my opinion, was a sufficient one. These are the errors referred to: a That a man is able to be without sin if he wishes. That infants, even if they die unbaptized, have eternal life. That rich men, even if they are baptized, unless they renounce all, have, whatever good they may seem to have done, nothing of it reckoned to them; neither can they possess the kingdom of God.â

Chapter 24.âPelagiusâ Answer to the Charges Brought Together Under the Seventh Item.

The following, as the proceedings testify, was Pelagiusâ own answer to these charges against him: aConcerning a manas being able indeed to be without sin, we have spoken, a says he, a lready; concerning the fact, however, that before the Lordâs coming there were persons without sin, we say now that, previous to Christâs advent, some men lived holy and righteous lives, according to the teaching of the sacred Scriptures. The rest were not said by me, as even their testimony goes to show, and for them. I do not feel that I am responsible. But for the satisfaction of the holy synod, I anathematize those who either now hold, or have ever held, these opinions a After hearing this answer of his, the synod said: aWith regard to these charges aforesaid, Pelagius has in our presence given us sufficient and proper satisfaction, by anathematizing the opinions which were not his. a We see, therefore, and maintain that the most pernicious evils of this heresy have been condemned, not only by Pelagius, but also by the holy bishops who presided over that inquiry: athat aAdam was made mortal; a (and, that the meaning of this statement might be more clearly understood, it was added, âand he would have died whether he had sinned or not sinned;â) that his sin injured only himself and not the human race; that the law, no less than the gospel, leads us to the kingdom of heaven; that new born infants are in the same condition that Adam was before the fall; that the entire human race does not, on the one hand, die through Adamâs death and transgression, nor, on the other hand, does

the whole human race rise again through the resurrection of Christ; that infants, even if they die unbaptized, have eternal life; that rich men even if baptized, unless they renounce and give up all, have, whatever good they may seem to have done, nothing of it reckoned to them, neither can they possess the kingdom of God;ââall these opinions, at any rate, were clearly condemned in that ecclesiastical court,âPelagius pronouncing the anathema, and the bishops the interlocutory sentence.

Chapter 25.âThe Pelagians Falsely Pretended that the Eastern Churches Were on Their Side.

Now, by reason of these questions, and the very contentious assertions of these tenets, which are everywhere accompanied with heated feelings, many weak brethren were disturbed. We have accordingly, in the anxiety of that love which it becomes us to feel towards the Church of Christ through His grace, and out of regard to Marcellinus of blessed memory (who was extremely vexed day by day by these disputers, and who asked my advice by letter), been obliged to write on some of these questions, and especially on the baptism of infants. On this same subject also I afterwards, at your request, and assisted by your prayers, delivered an earnest address, to the best of my ability, in the church of the Majores, [1680]1679 holding in my hands an epistle of the most glorious martyr Cyprian, and reading therefrom and applying his words on the very matter, in order to remove this dangerous error out of the hearts of sundry persons, who had been persuaded to take up with the opinions which, as we see, were condemned in these proceedings. These opinions it has been attempted by their promoters to force upon the minds of some of the brethren, by threatening, as if from the Eastern Churches, that unless they adopted the said opinions, they would be formally condemned by those Churches. Observe, however, that no less than fourteen bishops of the Eastern Church,[1681]1680 assembled in synod in the land where the Lord manifested His presence in the days of His flesh, refused to acquit Pelagius unless he condemned these opinions as opposed to the Catholic faith. Since, therefore, he was then acquitted because he anathematized such views, it follows beyond a doubt that the said opinions were condemned. This, indeed, will appear more clearly still, and on still stronger evidence, in the sequel.

Chapter 26.âThe Accusations in the Seventh Item, Which Pelagius Confessed.

Let us now see what were the two points out of all that were alleged which Pelagius was unwilling to anathematize, and admitted to be his own opinions, but to remove their offensive aspect explained in what sense he held them. âThat a man,â says he, âis able to be without sin has been asserted already.â Asserted no doubt, and we remember the assertion quite well; but still it was mitigated, and approved by the judges, in that Godâs grace was added, concerning which nothing was said in the original draft of his doctrine. Touching the second, however, of these points, we ought to pay careful attention to what he said in answer to the charge against him. âConcerning the fact, indeed,â says he, âthat before the Lordâs coming there were persons without sin, we now again assert that previous to Christâs advent some men lived holy and righteous lives, according to the teaching of the sacred Scriptures.â He did not dare to say: âWe now again assert that previous to Christâs advent there were persons without sin, a although this had been laid to his charge after the very words of CÅlestius. For he perceived how dangerous such a statement was, and into what trouble it would bring him. So he reduced the sentence to these harmless dimensions: a We again assert that before the coming of Christ there were persons who led holy and righteous lives. â Of course there were: who would deny it? But to say this is a very different thing from saying that they lived awithout sin a Because, indeed, those ancient worthies lived holy and righteous lives, they could for that very reason better confess: all we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[1682]1681 In the present day, also, many men live holy and righteous lives; but yet it is no untruth they utter when in their prayer they say: aForgive us our debts, even as we forgive our debtors a[1683]1682 This avowal was accordingly acceptable to the judges, in the sense in which Pelagius solemnly declared his belief; but certainly not in the sense which CAlestius, according to the original charge against him, was said to hold. We must now treat in detail of the topics which still remain, to the best of our ability.

Chapter 27 [XII.] The Eighth Item in the Accusation.

Pelagius was charged with having said: aThat the Church here is without spot or wrinkle.â It was on this point that the Donatists also were constantly at conflict with us in our conference. We used, in their case, to lay especial stress on the mixture of bad men with good, like that of the chaff with the wheat; and we were led to this idea by the similitude of the threshing-floor. We might apply the same illustration in answer to our present opponents, unless indeed they would have the Church consist only of good men, whom they assert to be without any sin whatever, that so the Church might be without spot or wrinkle. If this be their meaning, then I repeat the same words as I quoted just now; for how can they be members of the Church, of whom the voice of a truthful humility declares, all we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us?a[1684]1683 or how could the Church offer up that praver which the Lord taught her to use, aForgive us our debts, a[1685]1684 if in this world the Church is without a spot or blemish? In short, they must themselves submit to be strictly catechised respecting themselves: do they really allow that they have any sins of their own? If their answer is in the negative, then they must be plainly told that they are deceiving themselves, and the truth is not in them. If, however, they shall acknowledge that they do commit sin, what is this but a confession of their own wrinkle and spot? They therefore are not members of the Church; because the Church is without spot and wrinkle, while they have both spot and wrinkle.

Chapter 28.âPelagiusâ Reply to the Eighth Item of Accusation.

But to this objection he replied with a watchful caution such as the catholic judges no doubt approved. âlt has,â says he, âbeen asserted

by me, abut in such a sense that the Church is by the laver cleansed from every spot and wrinkle, and in this purity the Lord wishes her to continue.â Whereupon the synod said: âOf this also we approve.â And who amongst us denies that in baptism the sins of all men are remitted, and that all believers come up spotless and pure from the laver of regeneration? Or what catholic Christian is there who wishes not, as his Lord also wishes, and as it is meant to be, that the Church should remain always without spot or wrinkle? For in very deed God is now in His mercy and truth bringing it about, that His holy Church should be conducted to that perfect state in which she is to remain without spot or wrinkle for evermore. But between the laver, where all past stains and deformities are removed, and the kingdom, where the Church will remain for ever without any spot or wrinkle, there is this present intermediate time of prayer, during which her cry must of necessity be: aForgive us our debts.a Hence arose the objection against them for saying that athe Church here on earth is without spot or wrinkle; a from the doubt whether by this opinion they did not boldly prohibit that prayer whereby the Church in her present baptized state entreats day and night for herself the forgiveness of her sins. On the subject of this intervening period between the remission of sins which takes place in baptism, and the perpetuity of sinlessness which is to be in the kingdom of heaven, no proceedings ensued with Pelagius, and no decision was pronounced by the bishops. Only he thought that some brief indication ought to be given that he had not expressed himself in the way which the accusation against him seemed to state. As to his saying, a This has been asserted by me, abut in such a sense, a what else did he mean to convey than the idea that he had not in fact expressed himself in the same manner as he was supposed to have done by his accusers? That, however, which induced the judges to say that they were satisfied with his answer was baptism as the means of being washed from our sins; and the kingdom of heaven, in which the holy Church, which is now in process of cleansing, shall continue in a sinless state for ever: this is clear from the evidence, so far as I can form an opinion.

Chapter 29 [XIII.]âThe Ninth Item of the Accusation; And Pelagiusâ Reply.

The next objections were urged out of the book of CAlestius, following the contents of each several chapter, but rather according to the sense than the words. These indeed he expatiates on rather fully; they, however, who presented the indictment against Pelagius said that they had been unable at the moment to adduce all the words. In the first chapter, then, of CÅlestiuså book they alleged that the following was written: aThat we do more than is commanded us in the law and the gospel.â To this Pelagius replied: âThis they have set down as my statement. What we said, however, was in keeping with the apostleas assertion concerning virginity, of which Paul writes: al have no commandment of the Lord.ââ[1686]1685 Upon this the synod said: âThis also the Church receives.â I have read for myself the meaning which CAlestius gives to this in his book, afor he does not deny that the book is his. Now he made this statement obviously with the view of persuading us that we possess through the nature of free will so great an ability for avoiding sin, that we are able to do more than is

commanded us; for a perpetual virginity is maintained by very many persons, and this is not commanded; whereas, in order to avoid sin, it is sufficient to fulfil what is commanded. When the judges, however, accepted Pelagiusâ answer, they did not take it to convey the idea that those persons keep all the commandments of the law and the gospel who over and above maintain the state of virginity, which is not commanded, abut only this, that virginity, which is not commanded, is something more than conjugal chastity, which is commanded; so that to observe the one is of course more than to keep the other; whereas, at the same time, neither can be maintained without the grace of God, inasmuch as the apostle, in speaking of this very subject, says: aBut I would that all men were even as I myself. Every man, however, hath his proper gift of God, one after this manner, and another after that.a[1687]1686 And even the Lord Himself, upon the disciples remarking, all the case of the man be so with his wife, it is not expedient to marryâ (or, as it may be better expressed in Latin, âit is not expedient to take a wifeâ),[1688]1687 said to them: âAll men cannot receive this saying, save they to whom it is given.a[1689]1688 This, therefore, is the doctrine which the bishops of the synod declared to be received by the Church, that the state of virginity, persevered in to the last, which is not commanded, is more than the chastity of married life, which is commanded. In what view Pelagius or CÅlestius regarded this subject, the judges were not aware.

Chapter 30 [XIV.]âThe Tenth Item in the Accusation. The More Prominent Points of CÅlestiusâ Work Continued.

After this we find objected against Pelagius some other points of CÅlestiuså teaching. aprominent ones, and undoubtedly worthy of condemnation; such, indeed, as would certainly have involved Pelagius in condemnation, if he had not anathematized them in the synod. Under his third head CAlestius was alleged to have written: aThat Godas grace and assistance is not given for single actions, but is imparted in the freedom of the will, or in the law and in doctrine. a And again: aThat Godas grace is given in proportion to our deserts; because, were He to give it to sinful persons, He would seem to be unrighteous.â And from these words he inferred that atherefore grace itself has been placed in my will, according as I have been either worthy or unworthy of it. For if we do all things by grace, then whenever we are overcome by sin, it is not we who are overcome, but Godâs grace, which wanted by all means to help us, but was not able.â And once more he says: alf, when we conquer sin, it is by the grace of God; then it is He who is in fault whenever we are conquered by sin, because He was either altogether unable or unwilling to keep us safe.â To these charges Pelagius replied: aWhether these are really the opinions of CAlestius or not, is the concern of those who say that they are. For my own part, indeed, I never entertained such views; on the contrary, I anathematize every one who does entertain them.â Then the synod said: aThis holy synod accepts you for your condemnation of these impious words.â Now certainly there can be no mistake, in regard to these opinions, either as to the clear way in which Pelagius pronounced on them his anathema, or as to the absolute terms in which the bishops condemned them. Whether Pelagius or CÅlestius, or both of them, or neither of them, or other persons with them or in their name, have

ever held or still hold these sentiments, âmay be doubtful or obscure; but nevertheless by this judgment of the bishops it has been declared plainly enough that they have been condemned, and that Pelagius would have been condemned along with them, unless he had himself condemned them too. Now, after this trial, it is certain that whenever we enter on a controversy touching opinions of this kind, we only discuss an already condemned heresy.

Chapter 31.âRemarks on the Tenth Item.

I shall make my next remark with greater satisfaction. In a former section I expressed a fear[1690]1689 that, when Pelagius said that âa man was able by the help of Godâs grace to live without sin, â he perhaps meant by the term agracea the capability possessed by nature as created by God with a free will, as it is understood in that book which I received as his and to which I replied; [1691]1690 and that by these means he was deceiving the judges, who were ignorant of the circumstances. Now, however, since he anathematizes those persons who hold that aGodas grace and assistance is not given for single actions, but is imparted in the freedom of the will, or in the law and in doctrine, a it is guite evident that he really means the grace which is preached in the Church of Christ, and is conferred by the ministration of the Holy Ghost for the purpose of helping us in our single actions, whence it is that we pray for needful and suitable grace that we enter not into any temptation. Nor, again, have I any longer a fear that, when he said, aNo man can be without sin unless he has acquired a knowledge of the law, and added this explanation of his words, that âhe posited in the knowledge of the law, help towards the avoidance of sin,â[1692]1691 he at all meant the said knowledge to be considered as tantamount to the grace of God; for, observe, he anathematizes such as hold this opinion. See, too, how he refuses to hold our natural free will, or the law and doctrine, as equivalent to that grace of God which helps us through our single actions. What else then is left to him but to understand that grace which the apostle tells us is given by athe supply of the Spirit?a[1693]1692 and concerning which the Lord said: âTake no thought how or what ye shall speak; for it shall be given you in that same hour what ye shall speak. For it is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father which speaketh in you.â[1694]1693 Nor, again, need I be under any apprehension that, when he asserted. âAll men are ruled by their own will, and afterwards explained that he had made that statement ain the interest of the freedom of our will, of which God is the helper whenever it makes choice of good, a[1695]1694 that he perhaps here also held Godas helping grace as synonymous with our natural free will and the teaching of the law. For inasmuch as he rightly anathematized the persons who hold that Godâs grace or assistance is not given for single actions, but lies in the gift of free will, or in the law and doctrine, it follows, of course, that Godâs grace or assistance is given us for single actions, âfree will, or the law and the doctrine, being left out of consideration; and thus through all the single actions of our life, when we act rightly, we are ruled and directed by God; nor is our prayer a useless one, wherein we say: aOrder my steps according to Thy word, and let not any iniquity have dominion over me.a[1696]1695

Chapter 32.âThe Eleventh Item of the Accusation.

But what comes afterwards again fills me with anxiety. On its being objected to him, from the fifth chapter of CÅlestiusâ book, that âthey say that every individual has the ability to possess all powers and graces, thus taking away that adiversity of graces, a which the apostle teaches, a Pelagius replied: a We have certainly said so much; but yet they have laid against us a malignant and blundering charge. We do not take away the diversity of graces; but we declare that God gives to the person, who has proved himself worthy to receive them, all graces, even as He conferred them on the Apostle Paul.â Hereupon the Synod said: âYou accordingly do yourself hold the doctrine of the Church touching the gift of the graces, which are collectively possessed by the apostle.â Here some one may say, âWhy then is he anxious? Do you on your side deny that all the powers and graces were combined in the apostle?â For my own part, indeed, if all those are to be understood which the apostle has himself mentioned together in one passage, âas, I suppose, the bishops understood Pelagius to mean when they approved of his answer, and pronounced it to be in keeping with the sense of the Church, athen I do not doubt that the apostle had them all; for he says: âAnd God hath set some in the Church, first, apostles; secondarily, prophets; thirdly, teachers; after that miracles; then gifts of healings, helps, governments, diversities of tongues.â[1697]1696 What then? shall we say that the Apostle Paul did not possess all these gifts himself? Who would be bold enough to assert this? The very fact that he was an apostle showed, of course, that he possessed the grace of the apostolate. He possessed also that of prophecy; for was not that a prophecy of his in which he says: âln the last times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils?â[1698]1697 He was, moreover, athe teacher of the Gentiles in faith and verity.a[1699]1698 He performed miracles also and cures; for he shook off from his hand, unhurt, the biting viper;[1700]1699 and the cripple stood upright on his feet at the apostleas word, and his strength was at once restored.[1701]1700 It is not clear what he means by helps, for the term is of very wide application; but who can say that he was wanting even in this grace, when through his labours such helps were manifestly afforded towards the salvation of mankind? Then as to his possessing the grace of agovernment. a what could be more excellent than his administration, when the Lord at that time governed so many churches by his personal agency, and governs them still in our day through his epistles? And in respect of the adiversities of tongues, a what tongues could have been wanting to him, when he says himself: all thank my God that I speak with tongues more than you all?a[1702]1701 It being thus inevitable to suppose that not one of these was wanting to the Apostle Paul, the judges approved of Pelagiusâ answer, wherein he said athat all graces were conferred upon him. But there are other graces in addition to these which are not mentioned here. For it is not to be supposed, however greatly the Apostle Paul excelled others as a member of Christâs body, that the very Head itself of the entire body did not receive more and ampler graces still, whether in His flesh or His soul as man; for such a created nature did the Word of God assume as His own into the unity of His Person, that He might be our Head, and we His body. And in very deed, if all gifts could be in

each member, it would be evident that the similitude, which is used to illustrate this subject, of the several members of our body is inapplicable; for some things are common to the members in general, such as life and health, whilst other things are peculiar to the separate members, since the ear has no perception of colours, nor the eve of voices. Hence it is written: alf the whole body were an eye, where were the hearing? if the whole were hearing, where were the smelling?â[1703]1702 Now this of course is not said as if it were impossible for God to impart to the ear the sense of seeing, or to the eve the function of hearing. However, what He does in Christâs body, which is the Church, and what the apostle meant by diversity of graces[1704]1703 as if through the different members, there might be gifts proper even to every one separately, is clearly known. Why, too, and on what ground they who raised the objection were so unwilling to have taken away all difference in graces, why, moreover, the bishops of the synod were able to approve of the answer given by Pelagius in deference to the Apostle Paul, in whom we admit the combination of all those graces which he mentioned in the one particular passage, is by this time clear also.

Chapter 33.aDiscussion of the Eleventh Item Continued.

What, then, is the reason why, as I said just now, I felt anxious on the subject of this head of his doctrine? It is occasioned by what Pelagius says in these words: a That God gives to the man who has proved himself worthy to receive them, all graces, even as He conferred them on the Apostle Paul.â Now, I should not have felt any anxiety about this answer of Pelagius, if it were not closely connected with the cause which we are bound to quard with the utmost careâeven that Godâs grace may never be attacked, while we are silent or dissembling in respect of so great an evil. As, therefore, he does not say, that God gives to whom He will, but that aGod gives to the man who has proved himself worthy to receive them, all these graces, a I could not help being suspicious, when I read such words. For the very name of grace, and the thing that is meant by it, is taken away, if it is not bestowed gratuitously, but he only receives it who is worthy of it. Will anybody say that I do the apostle wrong, because I do not admit him to have been worthy of grace? Nay, I should indeed rather do him wrong, and bring on myself a punishment, if I refused to believe what he himself says. Well, now, has he not pointedly so defined grace as to show that it is so called because it is bestowed gratuitously? These are his own very words: âAnd if by grace, then is it no more of works; otherwise grace is no more grace.â[1705]1704 In accordance with this, he says again: aNow to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt.â[1706]1705 Whosoever, therefore, is worthy, to him it is due; and if it is thus due to him, it ceases to be grace; for grace is given, but a debt is paid. Grace, therefore, is given to those who are unworthy, that a debt may be paid to them when they become worthy. He, however, who has bestowed on the unworthy the gifts which they possessed not before, does Himself take care that they shall have whatever things He means to recompense to them when they become worthy.

Chapter 34.âThe Same Continued. On the Works of Unbelievers; Faith is

the Initial Principle from Which Good Works Have Their Beginning; Faith is the Gift of Godâs Grace.

He will perhaps say to this: alt was not because of his works, but in consequence of his faith, that I said the apostle was worthy of having all those great graces bestowed upon him. His faith deserved this distinction, but not his works, which were not previously good â Well, then, are we to suppose that faith does not work? Surely faith does work in a very real way, for it aworketh by love.a[1707]1706 Preach up, however, as much as you like, the works of unbelieving men, we still know how true and invincible is the statement of this same apostle: aWhatsoever is not of faith is sin.a[1708]1707 The very reason, indeed, why he so often declares that righteousness is imputed to us, not out of our works, but our faith, whereas faith rather works through love, is that no man should think that he arrives at faith itself through the merit of his works; for it is faith which is the beginning whence good works first proceed; since (as has already been stated) whatsoever comes not from faith is sin. Accordingly, it is said to the Church, in the Song of Songs: a Thou shalt come and pass by from the beginning of faith.â[1709]1708 Although, therefore, faith procures the grace of producing good works, we certainly do not deserve by any faith that we should have faith itself; but, in its bestowal upon us, in order that we may follow the Lord by its help, âHis mercy has prevented us.â[1710]1709 Was it we ourselves that gave it to us? Did we ourselves make ourselves faithful? I must by all means say here, emphatically: all is He that hath made us, and not we ourselves.â[1711]1710 And indeed nothing else than this is pressed upon us in the apostleas teaching, when he says: aFor I declare, through the grace that is given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.â[1712]1711 Whence, too, arises the well-known challenge: aWhat hast thou that thou didst not receive?a[1713]1712 inasmuch as we have received even that which is the spring from which everything we have of good in our actions takes its beginning.

Chapter 35.âThe Same Continued.

aWhat, then, is the meaning of that which the same apostle savs: all have fought a good fight, I have finished my course, I have kept the faith: henceforth there is laid up for me a crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous judge, shall give me at that day;â[1714]1713 if these are not recompenses paid to the worthy, but gifts, bestowed on the unworthy? a He who says this, does not consider that the crown could not have been given to the man who is worthy of it, unless grace had been first bestowed on him whilst unworthy of it. He says indeed: all have fought a good fight;a[1715]1714 but then he also says: aThanks be to God, who giveth us the victory through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[1716]1715 He says too: âl have finished my course;â but he says again: alt is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.â[1717]1716 He says, moreover: al have kept the faith; a but then it is he too who says again: al know whom I have believed, and am persuaded that He is able to keep my deposit against that dayââthat is, âmy commendation;â for some copies

have not the word depositum, but commendatum, which yields a plainer sense.[1718]1717 Now, what do we commend to Godâs keeping, except the things which we pray Him to preserve for us, and amongst these our very faith? For what else did the Lord procure for the Apostle Peter by His prayer for him,[1719]1718 of which He said, âl have prayed for thee, Peter, that thy faith fail not,â[1720]1719 than that God would preserve his faith, that it should not fail by giving way to temptation? Therefore, blessed Paul, thou great preacher of grace, I will say it without fear of any man (for who will be less angry with me for so saying than thyself, who hast told us what to say, and taught us what to teach?)âl will, I repeat, say it, and fear no man for the assertion: Their own crown is recompensed to their merits; but thy merits are the gifts of God!

Chapter 36.âThe Same Continued. The Monk Pelagius. Grace is Conferred on the Unworthy.

His due reward, therefore, is recompensed to the apostle as worthy of it; but still it was grace which bestowed on him the apostleship itself, which was not his due, and of which he was not worthy. Shall I be sorry for having said this? God forbid! For under his own testimony shall I find a ready protection from such reproach; nor will any man charge me with audacity, unless he be himself audacious enough to charge the apostle with mendacity. He frankly says, nay he protests, that he commends the gifts of God within himself, so that he glories not in himself at all, but in the Lord; [1721]1720 he not only declares that he possessed no good deserts in himself why he should be made an apostle, but he even mentions his own demerits, in order to manifest and preach the grace of God. al am not meet, a says he, ato be called an apostle; a[1722]1721 and what else does this mean than al am not worthyââas indeed several Latin copies read the phrase. Now this, to be sure, is the very gist of our question; for undoubtedly in this grace of apostleship all those graces are contained. For it was neither convenient nor right that an apostle should not possess the gift of prophecy, nor be a teacher, nor be illustrious for miracles and the gifts of healings, nor furnish needful helps, nor provide governments over the churches, nor excel in diversities of tongues. All these functions the one name of apostleship embraces. Let us, therefore, consult the man himself, nav listen wholly to him. Let us say to him: âHoly Apostle Paul, the monk Pelagius declares that thou wast worthy to receive all the graces of thine apostleship. What dost thou say thyself? a He answers: all am not worthy to be called an apostle.â Shall I then, under pretence of honouring Paul, in a matter concerning Paul, dare to believe Pelagius in preference to Paul? I will not do so; for if I did, I should only prove to be more onerous to myself than honouring to him. [1723]1722 Let us hear also why he is not worthy to be called an apostle: âBecause,â says he, âl persecuted the Church of God.â[1724]1723 Now, were we to follow up the idea here expressed, who would not judge that he rather deserved from Christ condemnation, instead of an apostolic call? Who could so love the preacher as not to loathe the persecutor? Well, therefore, and truly does he say of himself: all am not worthy to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the Church of God.â As thou wroughtest then such evil, how camest thou to earn such good? Let all men hear his answer:

âBut by the grace of God, I am what I am.â Is there, then, no other way in which grace is commended, than because it is conferred on an unworthy recipient? âAnd His grace,â he adds, âwhich was bestowed on me was not in vain.â[1725]1724 He says this as a lesson to others also, to show the freedom of the will, when he says: aWe then, as workers together with Him, beseech you also that ye receive not the grace of God in vain.â[1726]1725 Whence however does he derive his proof, that a His grace bestowed on himself was not in vain, a except from the fact which he goes on to mention: aBut I laboured more abundantly than they all?a[1727]1726 So it seems he did not labour in order to receive grace, but he received grace in order that he might labour. And thus, when unworthy, he gratuitously received grace, whereby he might become worthy to receive the due reward. Not that he ventured to claim even his labour for himself; for, after saving: âl laboured more abundantly than they all, a he at once subjoined: a Yet not I, but the grace of God which was with me.a[1728]1727 O mighty teacher, confessor, and preacher of grace! What meaneth this: âl laboured more, yet not I?â Where the will exalted itself ever so little, there piety was instantly on the watch, and humility trembled, because weakness recognised itself.

Chapter 37âThe Same Continued. John, Bishop of Jerusalem, and His Examination.

With great propriety, as the proceedings show, did John, the holy overseer of the Church of Jerusalem, employ the authority of this same passage of the apostle, as he himself told our brethren the bishops who were his assessors at that trial, on their asking him what proceedings had taken place before him previous to the trial.[1729]1728 He told them that aon the occasion in question, whilst some were whispering, and remarking on Pelagiusa statement, that awithout Godas grace man was able to attain perfectiona (that is, as he had previously expressed it, aman was able to be without sina), he censured the statement, and reminded them besides, that even the Apostle Paul, after so many laboursanot indeed in his own strength, but by the grace of Godâsaid: al laboured more abundantly than they all: yet not I, but the grace of God that was with me;a[1730]1729 and again: alt is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy: a[1731]1730 and again: aExcept the Lord build the house, they labour but in vain who build it.â[1732]1731 And,â he added, awe quoted several other like passages out of the Holy Scriptures. When, however, they did not receive the quotations which we made out of the Holy Scriptures, but continued their murmuring noise, Pelagius said: aThis is what I also believe; let him be anathema, who declares that a man is able, without Godâs help, to arrive at the perfection of all virtues.ââ

Chapter 38 [XV.]âThe Same Continued.

Bishop John narrated all this in the hearing of Pelagius; but he, of course, might respectfully say: âYour holiness is in error; you do not accurately remember the facts. It was not in reference to the passages of Scripture which you have quoted that I uttered the words: âThis is what I also believe.â Because this is not my opinion of them. I do not

understand them to say, that Godâs grace so co-operates with man, that his abstinence from sin is due, not to âhim that willeth, nor to him that runneth, but to God that showeth mercy.ââ[1733]1732

Chapter 39 [XVI.] aThe Same Continued. Heros and Lazarus; Orosius.

Now there are some expositions of Paulâs Epistle to the Romans which are said to have been written by Pelagius himself,[1734]1733âin which he asserts, that the passage: aNot of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy, a was anot said in Paulas own person; but that he therein employed the language of questioning and refutation, as if such a statement ought not to be made a No safe conclusion, therefore, can be drawn, although the bishop John plainly acknowledged the passage in guestion as conveying the mind of the apostle, and mentioned it for the very purpose of hindering Pelagius from thinking that any man can avoid sin without Godâs grace, and declared that Pelagius said in answer: âThis is what I also believe,â and did not, upon hearing all this, repudiate his admission by replying: âThis is not my belief.â He ought, indeed, either to deny altogether, or unhesitatingly to correct and amend this perverse exposition, in which he would have it, that the apostle must not be regarded as entertaining the sentiment, [1735]1734 but rather as refuting it. Now, whatever Bishop John said of our brethren who were absentâwhether our brother bishops Heros and Lazarus, or the presbyter Orosius, or any others whose names are not there registered.[1736]1735âl am sure that he did not mean it to operate to their prejudice. For, had they been present, they might possibly (I am far from saying it absolutely) have convicted him of untruth; at any rate they might perhaps have reminded him of something he had forgotten, or something in which he might have been deceived by the Latin interpreteranot, to be sure, for the purpose of misleading him by untruth, but at least, owing to some difficulty occasioned by a foreign language, only imperfectly understood; especially as the question was not treated in the Proceedings, [1737]1736 which were drawn up for the useful purpose of preventing deceit on the part of evil men, and of preserving a record to assist the memory of good men. If, however, any man shall be disposed by this mention of our brethren to introduce any question or doubt on the subject, and summon them before the Episcopal judgment, they will not be wanting to themselves. as occasion shall serve. Why need we here pursue the point, when not even the judges themselves, after the narrative of our brother bishop, were inclined to pronounce any definite sentence in consequence of it?

Chapter 40 [XVII.]âThe Same Continued.

Since, then, Pelagius was present when these passages of the Scriptures were discussed, and by his silence acknowledged having said that he entertained the same view of their meaning, how happens it, that, after reconsidering the apostleâs testimony, as he had just done, and finding that he said: âl am not meet to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the Church of God; but by the grace of God I am what I am,â[1738]1737 he did not perceive that it was improper for him to say, respecting the question of the abundance of the graces which the said apostle received, that he had shown himself aworthy to receive them, a when the apostle himself not only confessed, but added a reason to prove, that he was unworthy of themâand by this very fact set forth grace as grace indeed? If he could not for some reason or other consider or recollect the narrative of his holiness the bishop John, which he had heard some time before, he might surely have respected his own very recent answer at the synod, and remembered how he anathematized, but a short while before, the opinions which had been alleged against him out of CAlestius. Now among these it was objected to him that CÅlestius had said: aThat the grace of God is bestowed according to our merits.â If, then, Pelagius truthfully anathematized this, why does he say that all those graces were conferred on the apostle because he deserved them? Is the phrase aworthy to receive a of different meaning from the expression ato receive according to meritâ? Can he by any disputatious subtlety show that a man is worthy who has no merit? But neither CAlestius, nor any other, all of whose opinions he anathematized, has any intention to allow him to throw clouds over the phrase, and to conceal himself behind them. He presses home the matter, and plainly says: âAnd this grace has been placed in my will, according as I have been either worthy or unworthy of it.a lf, then, a statement, wherein it is declared that aGodas grace is given in proportion to our deserts, to such as are worthy, a[1739]1738 was rightly and truly condemned by Pelagius, how could his heart permit him to think, or his mouth to utter, such a sentence as this: aWe say that God gives to the person who has proved himself worthy to receive them, all graces?a[1740]1739 Who that carefully considers all this can help feeling some anxiety about his answer or defence?

Chapter 41.âAugustin Indulgently Shows that the Judges Acted Incautiously in Their Official Conduct of the Case of Pelagius.

Why, then (some one will say), did the judges approve of this? I confess that I hardly even now understand why they did. It is, however, not to be wondered at, if some brief word or phrase too easily escaped their attention and ear; or if, because they thought it capable of being somehow interpreted in a correct sense, from seeming to have from the accused himself such clear confessions of truth on the subject, they decided it to be hardly worth while to excite a discussion about a word. The same feeling might have occurred to ourselves also, if we had sat with them at the trial. For if, instead of the term worthy, the word predestinated had been used, or some such word, my mind would certainly not have entertained any doubt, much less have been disquieted by it; and yet if it were asserted, that he who is justified by the election of grace is called worthy, through no antecedent merits of good indeed, but by destination, just as he is called aelect, a it would be really difficult to determine whether he might be so designated at all, or at least without some offence to an intelligent view of the subject.

As for myself, indeed, I might readily pass on from the discussion on this word, were it not that the treatise which called forth my reply, and in which he says that there is no Godâs grace at all except our own nature gratuitously created[1741]1740 with free will, made me suspicious and anxious about the actual meaning of Pelagiusâwhether he had procured the introduction of the term into the argument without any accurate intention as to its sense, or else as a carefully drawn dogmatic expression. The last remaining statements had such an effect on the judges, that they deemed them worthy of condemnation, without waiting for Pelagiusâ answer.

Chapter 42 [XVIII.]âThe Twelfth Item in the Accusation. Other Heads of CÅlestiusâ Doctrine Abjured by Pelagius.

For it was objected that in the sixth chapter of CÅlestiusâ work there was laid down this position: aMen cannot be called sons of God, unless they have become entirely free from all sin.â It follows from this statement, that not even the Apostle Paul is a child of God, since he said: aNot as though I had already attained, either were already perfect.â[1742]1741 In the seventh chapter he makes this statement: âForgetfulness and ignorance have no connection with sin, as they do not happen through the will, but through necessity; a although David says: a Remember not the sins of my youth, nor my sins of ignorance; a[1743]1742 although too, in the law, sacrifices are offered for ignorance, as if for sin.[1744]1743 In his tenth chapter he says: aOur will is free, if it needs the help of God; inasmuch as every one in the possession of his proper will has either something to do or to abstain from doing.â In the twelfth he says: âOur victory comes not from Godâs help, but from our own free will.â And this is a conclusion which he was said to draw in the following terms: aThe victory is ours, seeing that we took up arms of our own will; just as, on the other hand, being conquered is our own, since it was of our own will that we neglected to arm ourselves â And, after quoting the phrase of the Apostle Peter, âpartakers of the divine nature, â[1745]1744 he is said to have made out of it this argument: aNow if our spirit or soul is unable to be without sin, then even God is subject to sin, since this part of Him, that is to say, the soul, is exposed to sin.â In his thirteenth chapter he says: aThat pardon is not given to penitents according to the grace and mercy of God, but according to their own merits and effort, since through repentance they have been worthy of mercy.â

Chapter 43 [XIX.]âThe Answer of the Monk Pelagius and His Profession of Faith.

After all these sentences were read out, the synod said: âWhat says the monk Pelagius to all these heads of opinion which have been read in his presence? For this holy synod condemns the whole, as does also Godâs Holy Catholic Church.â Pelagius answered: âl say again, that these opinions, even according to their own testimony, are not mine; nor for them, as I have already said, ought I to be held responsible. The opinions which I have confessed to be my own, I maintain are sound; those, however, which I have said are not my own, I reject according to the judgment of this holy synod, pronouncing anathema on every man who opposes and gainsays the doctrines of the Holy Catholic Church. For I believe in the Trinity of the one substance, and I hold all things in accordance with the teaching of the Holy Catholic Church. If indeed any man entertains opinions different from her, let him be anathema.â Chapter 44 [XX.]âThe Acquittal of Pelagius.

The synod said: aNow since we have received satisfaction on the points which have come before us touching the monk Pelagius, who has been present; since, too, he gives his consent to the pious doctrines, and even anathematizes everything that is contrary to the Churchas faith, we confess him to belong to the communion of the Catholic Church.a

Chapter 45 [XXI.] âPelagius â Acquittal Becomes Suspected.

If these are the proceedings by which Pelagiusa friends rejoice that he was exculpated, we, on our part, asince he certainly took much pains to prove that we were well affected towards him, by going so far as to produce even our private letters to him, and reading them at the trial, âundoubtedly wish and desire his salvation in Christ; but as regards his exculpation, which is rather believed than clearly shown, we ought not to be in a hurry to exult. When I say this, indeed, I do not charge the judges either with negligence or connivance, or with consciously holding unsound doctrineawhich they most certainly would be the very last to entertain. But although by their sentence Pelagius is held by those who are on terms of fullest and closest intimacy with him to have been deservedly acquitted, with the approval and commendation of his judges, he certainly does not appear to me to have been cleared of the charges brought against him. They conducted his trial as of one whom they knew nothing of, especially in the absence of those who had prepared the indictment against him, and were quite unable to examine him with diligence and care; but, in spite of this inability, they completely destroyed the heresy itself, as even the defenders of his perverseness must allow, if they only follow the judgment through its particulars. As for those persons, however, who well know what Pelagius has been in the habit of teaching, or who have had to oppose his contentious efforts, or those who, to their joy, have escaped from his erroneous doctrine, how can they possibly help suspecting him, when they read the affected confession, wherein he acknowledges past errors, but so expresses himself as if he had never entertained any other opinion than those which he stated in his replies to the satisfaction of the judges?

Chapter 46 [XXII.]âHow Pelagius Became Known to Augustin; CÅlestius Condemned at Carthage.

Now, that I may especially refer to my own relation to him, I first became acquainted with Pelagiusâ name, along with great praise of him, at a distance, and when he was living at Rome. Afterwards reports began to reach us, that he disputed against the grace of God. This caused me much pain, for I could not refuse to believe the statements of my informants; but yet I was desirous of ascertaining information on the matter either from himself or from some treatise of his, that, in case I should have to discuss the question with him, it should be on grounds which he could not disown. On his arrival, however, in Africa, he was in my absence kindly received on our coast of Hippo, where, as I found from our brethren, nothing whatever of this kind was heard from him; because he left earlier than was expected. On a subsequent occasion, indeed, I caught a glimpse of him, once or twice, to the best of my recollection, when I was very much occupied in preparing for the conference which we were to hold with the heretical Donatists; but he hastened away across the sea. Meanwhile the doctrines connected with his name were warmly maintained, and passed from mouth to mouth, among his reputed followersâto such an extent that CÅlestius found his way before an ecclesiastical tribunal, and reported opinions well suited to his perverse character. We thought it would be a better way of proceeding against them, if, without mentioning any names of individuals, the errors themselves were met and refuted; and the men might thus be brought to a right mind by the fear of a condemnation from the Church rather than be punished by the actual condemnation. And so both by books and by popular discussions we ceased not to oppose the evil doctrines in question.

Chapter 47 [XXIII.]âPelagiusâ Book, Which Was Sent by Timasius and Jacobus to Augustin, Was Answered by the Latter in His Work âOn Nature and Grace.â

But when there was actually placed in my hands, by those faithful servants of God and honourable men, Timasius and Jacobus, the treatise in which Pelagius dealt with the question of Godas grace, it became very evident to meâtoo evident, indeed, to admit of any further doubtâhow hostile to salvation by Christ was his poisonous perversion of the truth. He treated the subject in the shape of an objection started, as if by an opponent, in his own terms against himself; for he was already suffering a good deal of obloguy from his opinions on the question, which he now appeared to solve for himself in no other way than by simply describing the grace of God as nature created with a free will, occasionally combining therewith either the help of the law, or even the remission of sins; although these additional admissions were not plainly made, but only sparingly suggested by him. And yet, even under these circumstances, I refrained from inserting Pelagiusâ name in my work, wherein I refuted this book of his; for I still thought that I should render a prompter assistance to the truth if I continued to preserve a friendly relation to him, and so to spare his personal feelings, while at the same time I showed no mercy, as I was bound not to show it, to the productions of his pen. Hence, I must say. I now feel some annovance, that in this trial he somewhere said: al anathematize those who hold these opinions, or have at any time held them.â He might have been contented with saying, âThose who hold these opinions, a which we should have regarded in the light of a self-censure; but when he went on to say, âOr have at any time held them, a in the first place, how could he dare to condemn so unjustly those harmless persons who no longer hold the errors, which they had learnt either from others, or actually from himself? And, in the second place, who among all those persons that were aware of the fact of his not only having held the opinions in question, but of his having taught them, could help suspecting, and not unreasonably, that he must have acted insincerely in condemning those who now hold those opinions, seeing that he did not hesitate to condemn in the same strain and at the same moment those also who had at any time previously held them, when they would be sure to remember that they had no less a person than himself as their instructor in these errors?

There are, for instance, such persons as Timasius and Jacobus, to say nothing of any others. How can he with unblushing face look at them, his dear friends (who have never relinquished their love of him) and his former disciples? These are the persons to whom I addressed the work in which I replied to the statements of his book. I think I ought not to pass over in silence the style and tone which they observed towards me in their correspondence, and I have here added a letter of theirs as a sample.

Chapter 48 [XXIV.]âA Letter Written by Timasius and Jacobus to Augustin on Receiving His Treatise âOn Nature and Grace.â

aTo his lordship, the truly blessed and deservedly venerable father, Bishop Augustin, Timasius and Jacobus send greeting in the Lord. We have been so greatly refreshed and strengthened by the grace of God. which your word has ministered to us, my lord, our truly blessed and justly venerated father, that we may with the utmost sincerity and propriety say, alle sent His word and healed them.a[1746]1745 We have found, indeed, that your holiness has so thoroughly sifted the contents of his little book as to astonish us with the answers with which even the slightest points of his error have been confronted, whether it be on matters which every Christian ought to rebut, loathe, and avoid, or on those in which he is not with sufficient certainty found to have erred. although even in these he has, with incredible subtlety, suggested his belief that Godas grace should be kept out of sight.[1747]1746 There is, however, one consideration which affects us under so great a benefit, athat this most illustrious gift of the grace of God has, however slowly, so fully shone out upon us. If, indeed, it has happened that some are removed from the influence of this clearest light of truth, whose blindness required its illumination, yet even to them, we doubt not, the same grace will find its steady way, however late, by the merciful favour of that God awho will have all men to be saved and to come unto the knowledge of the truth.â[1748]1747 As for ourselves, indeed, thanks to that loving spirit which is in you, we have, in consequence of your instruction, some time since thrown off our subjection to his errors; but we still have even now cause for continued gratitude in the fact that, as we have been informed, the false opinions which we formerly believed are now becoming apparent to othersâa way of escape opening out to them in the extremely precious discourse of your holiness.â Then, in another hand: âMay the mercy of our God keep your blessedness in safety, and mindful of us, for His eternal glory.â[1749]1748

Chapter 49 [XXV.]âPelagiusâ Behaviour Contrasted with that of the Writers of the Letter.

If now that man,[1750]1749 too, were to confess that he had once been implicated in this error as a person possessed, but that he now anathematized all that hold these opinions, whoever should withhold his congratulation from him, now that he was in possession of the way of truth, would surely surrender all the bowels of love. As the case, however, now stands, he has not only not acknowledged his liberation from his pestilential error; but, as if that were a small thing, he has gone on to anathematize men who have reached that freedom, who love him so well that they would fain desire his own emancipation. Amongst these are those very men who have expressed their good-will towards him in the letter, which they forwarded to me. For he it was whom they had chiefly in view when they said how much they were affected at the fact of my having at last written that work. âlf, indeed, it has happened,â they say, âthat some are removed from the influence of this clearest light of truth, whose blindness required its illumination, yet even to them,â they go on to remark, âwe doubt not, the self-same grace will find its way, by the merciful favour of God.â Any name, or names, even they, too, thought it desirable as yet to suppress, in order that, if friendship still lived on, the error of the friends might the more surely die.

Chapter 50.âPelagius Has No Good Reason to Be Annoyed If His Name Be at Last Used in the Controversy, and He Be Expressly Refuted.

But now if Pelagius thinks of God, if he is not ungrateful for His mercy in having brought him before this tribunal of the bishops, that thus he might be saved from the hardihood of afterwards defending these anathematized opinions, and be at once led to acknowledge them as deserving of abhorrence and rejection, he will be more thankful to us for our book, in which, by mentioning his name, we shall open the wound in order to cure it, than for one in which we were afraid to cause him pain, and, in fact, only produced irritation, a result which causes us regret. Should he, however, feel angry with us, let him reflect how unfair such anger is; and, in order to subdue it, let him ask God to give him that grace which, in this trial, he has confessed to be necessary for each one of our actions, that so by His assistance he may gain a real victory. For of what use to him are all those great laudations contained in the letters of the bishops, which he thought fit to be mentioned, and even to be read and guoted in his favour, âas if all those persons who heard his strong and, to some extent, earnest exhortations to goodness of life could not have easily discovered how perverse were the opinions which he was entertaining?

Chapter 51 [XXVI.]âThe Nature of Augustinâs Letter to Pelagius.

For my own part, indeed, in my letter which he produced, I not only abstained from all praises of him, but I even exhorted him, with as much earnestness as I could, short of actually mooting the question, to cultivate right views about the grace of God. In my salutation I called him alorda[1751]1750a title which, in our epistolary style, we usually apply even to some persons who are not Christians, â and this without untruth, inasmuch as we do, in a certain sense, owe to all such persons a service, which is yet freedom, to help them in obtaining the salvation which is in Christ. I added the epithet amost beloved; and as I now call him by this term, so shall I continue to do so, even if he be angry with me; because, if I ceased to retain my love towards him, because of his feeling the anger, I should only injure myself rather than him. I, moreover, styled him amost longed for, a because I greatly longed to have a conversation with him in person; for I had already heard that he was endeavouring publicly to oppose grace, whereby we are justified, whenever any mention was made of it. The brief contents of the letter itself indeed show all this:

for, after thanking him for the pleasure he gave me by the information of his own health and that of his friends (whose bodily health we are bound of course to wish for, however much we may desire their amendment in other respects), I at once expressed the hope that the Lord would recompense him with such blessings as do not appertain to physical welfare, but which he used to think, and probably still thinks, consist solely in the freedom of the will and his own power, at the same time, and for this reason, wishing him aeternal life.â Then again, remembering the many good and kind wishes he had expressed for me in his letter, which I was answering, I went on to beg of him, too, that he would pray for me, that the Lord would indeed make me such a man as he believed me to be already; that so I might gently remind him, against the opinion he was himself entertaining, that the very righteousness which he had thought worthy to be praised in me was anot of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.â[1752]1751 This is the substance of that short letter of mine, and such was my purpose when I dictated it. This is a copy of it:

Chapter 52 [XXVII. And XXVIII.]âThe Text of the Letter.

âTo my most beloved lord, and most longed-for brother Pelagius, Augustin sends greeting in the Lord. I thank you very much for the pleasure you have kindly afforded me by your letter, and for informing me of your good health. May the Lord requite you with blessings, and may you ever enjoy them, and live with Him for evermore in all eternity, my most beloved lord, and most longed-for brother. For my own part, indeed, although I do not admit your high encomiums of me, which the letter of your Benignity[1753]1752 conveys, I yet cannot be insensible of the benevolent view you entertain towards my poor deserts; at the same time requesting you to pray for me, that the Lord would make me such a man as you suppose me to be already.â Then, in another hand, it follows: âBe mindful of us; may you be safe, and find favour with the Lord, my most beloved lord, and most longed-for brother.â

Chapter 53 [XXIX.] âPelagius â Use of Recommendations.

As to that which I placed in the postscript. athat he might afind favour with the Lord, âal intimated that this lay rather in His grace than in manas sole will; for I did not make it the subject either of exhortation, or of precept, or of instruction, but simply of my wish. But just in the same way as I should, if I had exhorted or enjoined, or even instructed him, simply have shown that all this appertained to free will, without, however, derogating from the grace of God; so in like manner, when I expressed the matter in the way of a wish, I asserted no doubt the grace of God, but at the same time I did not quench the liberty of the will. Wherefore, then, did he produce this letter at the trial? If he had only from the beginning entertained views in accordance with it, very likely he would not have been at all summoned before the bishops by the brethren, who, with all their kindness of disposition, could yet not help being offended with his perverse contentiousness. Now, however, as I have given on my part an account of this letter of mine, so would they, whose epistles he

quoted, explain theirs also, if it were necessary; athey would tell us either what they thought, or what they were ignorant of, or with what purpose they wrote to him. Pelagius, therefore, may boast to his heartas content of the friendship of holy men, he may read their letters recounting his praises, he may produce whatever synodal acts he pleases to attest his own acquittal, athere still stands against him the fact, proved by the testimony of competent witnesses, that he has inserted in his books statements which are opposed to that grace of God whereby we are called and justified; and unless he shall, after true confession, anathematize these statements, and then go on to contradict them both in his writings and discussions, he will certainly seem to all those who have a fuller knowledge of him to have laboured in vain in his attempt to set himself right.

Chapter 54 [XXX.]âOn the Letter of Pelagius, in Which He Boasts that His Errors Had Been Approved by Fourteen Bishops.

For I will not be silent as to the transactions which took place after this trial, and which rather augment the suspicion against him. A certain epistle found its way into our hands, which was ascribed to Pelagius himself, writing to a friend of his, a presbyter, who had kindly admonished him (as appears from the same epistle) not to allow any one to separate himself from the body of the Church on his account. Among the other contents of this document, which it would be both tedious and unnecessary to quote here, Pelagius says: âBy the sentence of fourteen bishops our statement was received with approbation, in which we affirmed that a man is able to be without sin, and easily to keep the commandments of God, if he wishes.â This sentence, a says he, a has filled the mouths of the gainsayers with confusion, and has separated asunder the entire set which was conspiring together for evil.â Whether, indeed, this epistle was really written by Pelagius, or was composed by somebody in his name, who can fail to see, after what manner this error claims to have achieved a victory, even in the judicial proceedings where it was refuted and condemned? Now, he has adduced the words we have just quoted according to the form in which they occur in his book of aChapters, as it is called, not in the shape in which they were objected to him at his trial, and even repeated by him in his answer. For even his accusers, through some unaccountable inaccuracy, left out a word in their indictment, concerning which there is no small controversy. They made him say, that a man is able to be without sin, if he wishes; and, if he wishes, to keep the commandments of God.a There is nothing said here about this being aeasilya done. Afterwards, when he gave his answer, he spake thus: aWe said, that a man is able to be without sin, and to keep the commandments of God, if he wishes: a he did not then say, aeasily keep, a but only akeep. So in another place, amongst the statements about which Hilary consulted me, and I gave him my views, it was objected to Pelagius that he had said, âA man is able, if he wishes, to live without sin.â To this he himself responded, aThat a man is able to be without sin has been said above.a Now, on this occasion, we do not find on the part either of those who brought the objection or of him who rebutted it, that the word âeasilyâ was used at all. Then, again, in the narrative of the holy Bishop John, which we have partly quoted above, [1754]1753 he says,

âWhen they were importunate and exclaimed, âHe is a heretic, because he says, It is true that a man is able, if he only will, to live without sin;â and then, when we questioned him on this point, he answered, âl did not say that manâs nature has received the power of being impeccable,âbut I said, whosoever is willing, in the pursuit of his own salvation, to labour and struggle to abstain from sinning and to walk in the commandments of God, receives the ability to do so from God.â Then, whilst some were whispering, and remarking on the statement of Pelagius, that âwithout Godâs grace man was able to attain perfection,â I censured the statement, and reminded them, besides, that even the Apostle Paul, after so many labours,ânot, indeed, in his own strength, but by the grace of God,âsaid, âl laboured more abundantly than they all; yet not I, but the grace of God that was with me.ââ[1755]1754 And so on, as I have already mentioned.

## Chapter 55. âPelagius â Letter Discussed.

What, then, is the meaning of those vaunting words of theirs in this epistle, wherein they boast of having induced the fourteen bishops who sat in that trial to believe not merely that a man has ability but that he has afacility a to abstain from sinning, according to the position laid down in the aChaptersa of this same Pelagius, awhen, in the draft of the proceedings, notwithstanding the frequent repetition of the general charge and full consideration bestowed on it, this is nowhere found? How, indeed, can this word fail to contradict the very defence and answer which Pelagius made; since the Bishop John asserted that Pelagius put in this answer in his presence, that ahe wished it to be understood that the man who was willing to labour and agonize for his salvation was able to avoid sin, a while Pelagius himself, at this time engaged in a formal inquiry and conducting his defence, [1756]1755 said, that ait was by his own labour and the grace of God that a man is able to be without sin? Now, is a thing easy when labour is required to effect it? For I suppose that every man would agree with us in the opinion, that wherever there is labour there cannot be facility. And yet a carnal epistle of windiness and inflation flies forth, and, outrunning in speed the tardy record of the proceedings, gets first into menâs hands; so as to assert that fourteen bishops in the East have determined, not only athat a man is able to be without sin, and to keep Godâs commandments, a but aeasily to keep.â Nor is Godâs assistance once named: it is merely said, âlf he wishes; a so that, of course, as nothing is affirmed of the divine grace, for which the earnest fight was made, it remains that the only thing one reads of in this epistle is the unhappy and self-deceivingâbecause represented as victoriousâhuman pride. As if the Bishop John, indeed, had not expressly declared that he censured this statement, and that, by the help of three inspired texts of Scripture, [1757]1756 he had, as if by thunderbolts, struck to the ground the gigantic mountains of such presumption which they had piled up against the still over-towering heights of heavenly grace; or as if again those other bishops who were Johnâs assessors could have borne with Pelagius, either in mind or even in ear, when he pronounced these words: âWe said that a man is able to be without sin and to keep the commandments of God, if he wishes, a unless he had gone on at once to

say: aFor the ability to do this God has given to hima (for they were unaware that he was speaking of nature, and not of that grace which they had learnt from the teaching of the apostle); and had afterwards added this qualification: aWe never said, however, that any man could be found, who at no time whatever from his infancy to his old age had committed sin, but that if any person were converted from his sins, he could by his own exertion and the grace of God be without sin. a Now, by the very fact that in their sentence they used these words, ahe has answered correctly, athat a man can, when he has the assistance and grace of God, be without sin; ââ what else did they fear than that, if he denied this, he would be doing a manifest wrong not to manâs ability, but to Godâs grace? It has indeed not been defined when a man may become without sin; it has only been judicially settled, that this result can only be reached by the assisting grace of God; it has not, I say, been defined whether a man, whilst he is in this flesh which lusts against the Spirit, ever has been, or now is, or ever can be, by his present use of reason and free will, either in the full society of man or in monastic solitude, in such a state as to be beyond the necessity of offering up the prayer, not in behalf of others, but for himself personally: aForgive us our debts;a[1758]1757 or whether this gift shall be consummated at the time when awe shall be like Him, when we shall see Him as He is, a[1759]1758awhen it shall be said, not by those that are fighting: all see another law in my members, warring against the law of my mind, a[1760]1759 but by those that are triumphing: aO death, where is thy victory? O death, where is thy sting?â[1761]1760 Now, this is perhaps hardly a guestion which ought to be discussed between catholics and heretics, but only among catholics with a view to a peaceful settlement.[1762]1761

Chapter 56 [XXXI.] âls Pelagius Sincere?

How, then, can it be believed that Pelagius (if indeed this epistle is his) could have been sincere, when he acknowledged the grace of God, which is not nature with its free will, nor the knowledge of the law, nor simply the forgiveness of sins, but a something which is necessary to each of our actions; or could have sincerely anathematized everybody who entertained the contrary opinion:âseeing that in his epistle he set forth even the ease wherewith a man can avoid sinning (concerning which no question had arisen at this trial) just as if the judges had come to an agreement to receive even this word, and said nothing about the grace of God, by the confession and subsequent addition of which he escaped the penalty of condemnation by the Church?

Chapter 57 [XXXII.]âFraudulent Practices Pursued by Pelagius in His Report of the Proceedings in Palestine, in the Paper Wherein He Defended Himself to Augustin.

There is yet another point which I must not pass over in silence. In the paper containing his defence which he sent to me by a friend of ours, one Charus, a citizen of Hippo, but a deacon in the Eastern Church, he has made a statement which is different from what is contained in the Proceedings of the Bishops. Now, these Proceedings, as regards their contents, are of a higher and firmer tone, and more straightforward in defending the catholic verity in opposition to this heretical pestilence. For, when I read this paper of his, previous to receiving a copy of the Proceedings, I was not aware that he had made use of those words which he had used at the trial, when he was present for himself: they are few, and there is not much discrepancy, and they do not occasion me much anxiety. [XXXIII.] But I could not help feeling annoyance that he can appear to have defended sundry sentences of CAlestius, which, from the Proceedings, it is clear enough that he anathematized. Now, some of these he disavowed for himself, simply remarking, that ahe was not in any way responsible for them.a In his paper, however, he refused to anathematize these same opinions, which are to this effect: aThat Adam was created mortal, and that he would have died whether he had sinned or not sinned. That Adamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race. That the law, no less than the gospel, leads us to the kingdom. That new-born infants are in the same condition that Adam was before he fell. That, on the one hand, the entire human race does not die owing to Adamâs death and transgression; nor, on the other hand, does the whole human race rise again through the resurrection of Christ. That infants, even if they die unbaptized, have eternal life. That rich men, even if they are baptized, unless they renounce and give up all, have, whatever good they may seem to have done, nothing of it reckoned to them; neither shall they possess the kingdom of heaven. a Now, in his paper, the answer which he gives to all this is: âAll these statements have not been made by me, even on their own testimony, nor do I hold myself responsible for them.â In the Proceedings, however, he expressed himself as follows on these points: a They have not been made by me, as even their testimony shows, and for them I do not feel that I am at all responsible. But yet, for the satisfaction of the holy synod, I anathematize those who either now hold, or have ever held, them.â Now, why did he not express himself thus in his paper also? It would not, I suppose, have cost much ink, or writing, or delay; nor have occupied much of the paper itself, if he had done this. Who, however, can help believing that there is a purpose in all this, to pass off this paper in all directions as an abridgment of the Episcopal Proceedings. In consequence of which, men might think that his right still to maintain any of these opinions which he pleased had not been taken away, aon the ground that they had been simply laid to his charge but had not received his approbation, nor yet had been anathematized and condemned by him.

Chapter 58.âThe Same Continued.

He has, moreover, in this same paper, huddled together afterwards many of the points which were objected against him out of the âChapters,â of CÅlestiusâ book; nor has he kept distinct, at the intervals which separate them in the Proceedings, the two answers in which he anathematized these very heads; but has substituted one general reply for them all. This, I should have supposed, had been done for the sake of brevity, had I not perceived that he had a very special object in the arrangement which disturbs us. For thus has he closed this answer: âl say again, that these opinions, even according to their own testimony, are not mine; nor, as I have already said, am I to be held responsible for them. The opinions which I have confessed to be my own, I maintain are sound and correct; those, however, which I have said are not my own. I reject according to the judgment of the holy Church, pronouncing anathema on every man that opposes and gainsays the doctrines of the holy and catholic Church; and likewise on those who by inventing false opinions have excited odium against us.â This last paragraph the Proceedings do not contain; it has, however, no bearing on the matter which causes us anxiety. By all means let them have his anathema who have excited odium against him by their invention of false opinions. But, when first I read, a Those opinions, however, which I have said are not my own, I reject in accordance with the judgment of the holy Church, a being ignorant that any judgment had been arrived at on the point by the Church, since there is here nothing said about it, and I had not then read the Proceedings, I really thought that nothing else was meant than that he promised that he would entertain the same view about the aChaptersa as the Church. which had not yet determined the question, might some day decide respecting them; and that he was ready to reject the opinions which the Church had not yet indeed rejected, but might one day have occasion to reject; and that this, too, was the purport of what he further said: aPronouncing anathema on every man that opposes and gainsays the doctrines of the holy catholic Church.â But in fact, as the Proceedings testify, a judgment of the Church had already been pronounced on these subjects by the fourteen bishops; and it was in accordance with this judgment that he professed to reject all these opinions, and to pronounce his anathema against those persons who, by reason of the said opinions, were contravening the judgment which had already, as the Proceedings show, been actually settled. For already had the judges asked: âWhat says the monk Pelagius to all these heads of opinion which have been read in his presence? For this holy synod condemns them, as does also Godâs holy catholic Church.â Now, they who know nothing of all this, and only read this paper of his, are led to suppose that some one or other of these opinions may lawfully be maintained, as if they had not been determined to be contrary to catholic doctrine, and as if Pelagius had declared himself to be ready to hold the same sentiments concerning them which the Church had not as yet determined, but might have to determine. He has not, therefore, expressed himself in this paper, to which we have so often referred, straightforwardly enough for us to discover the fact, of which we find a voucher in the Proceedings, that all those dogmas by means of which this heresy has been stealing along and growing strong with contentious audacity, have been condemned by fourteen bishops presiding in an ecclesiastical synod! Now, if he was afraid that this fact would become known, as is the case, he has more reason for self-correction than for resentment at the vigilance with which we are watching the controversy to the best of our ability, however late. If, however, it is untrue that he had any such fears, and we are only indulging in a suspicion which is natural to man, let him forgive us; but, at the same time, let him continue to oppose and resist the opinions which were rejected by him with anathemas in the proceedings before the bishops, when he was on his defence; for if he now shows any leniency to them, he would seem not only to have believed these opinions formerly, but to be cherishing them still.

Chapter 59 [XXXIV.] âAlthough Pelagius Was Acquitted, His Heresy Was

## Condemned.

Now, with respect to this treatise of mine, which perhaps is not unreasonably lengthy, considering the importance and extent of its subject. I have wished to inscribe it to your Reverence, in order that, if it be not displeasing to your mind, it may become known to such persons as I have thought may stand in need of it under the recommendation of your authority, which carries so much more weight than our own poor industry. Thus it may avail to crush the vain and contentious thoughts of those persons who suppose that, because Pelagius was acquited, those Eastern bishops who pronounced the judgment approved of those dogmas which are beginning to shed very pernicious influences against the Christian faith, and that grace of God whereby we are called and justified. These the Christian verity never ceases to condemn, as indeed it condemned them even by the authoritative sentence of the fourteen bishops; nor would it, on the occasion in question, have hesitated to condemn Pelagius too, unless he had anathematized the heretical opinions with which he was charged. But now, while we render to this man the respect of brotherly affection (and we have all along expressed with all sincerity our anxiety for him and interest in him), let us observe, with as much brevity as is consistent with accuracy of observation, that, notwithstanding the undoubted fact of his having been acquitted by a human verdict, the heresy itself has ever been held worthy of condemnation by divine judgment, and has actually been condemned by the sentence of these fourteen bishops of the Eastern Church.

Chapter 60 [XXXV.]âThe Synodâs Condemnation of His Doctrines.

This is the concluding clause of their judgment. The synod said: aNow forasmuch as we have received satisfaction in these inquiries from the monk Pelagius, who has been present, who yields assent to godly doctrines, and rejects and anathematizes those which are contrary to the Church, we confess him still to belong to the communion of the catholic Church.â Now, there are two facts concerning the monk Pelagius here contained with entire perspicuity in this brief statement of the holy bishops who judged him: one, that ahe yields assent to godly doctrines; a the other, that ahe rejects and anathematizes those which are contrary to the Church.â On account of these two concessions, Pelagius was pronounced to be âin the communion of the catholic Church.â Let us, in pursuit of our inquiry, briefly recapitulate the entire facts, in order to discover what were the words he used which made those two points so clear, as far as men were able at the moment to form a judgment as to what were manifest points. For among the allegations which were made against him, he is said to have rejected and anathematized, as acontrary, a all the statements which in his answer he denied were his. Let us, then, summarize the whole case as far as we can.

Chapter 61.âHistory of the Pelagian Heresy. The Pelagian Heresy Was Raised by Sundry Persons Who Affected the Monastic State.

Since it was necessary that the Apostle Paulâs prediction should be accomplished,ââThere must be also heresies among you, that they which

are approved may be made manifest among you, a[1763]1762 after the older heresies, there has been just now introduced, not by bishops or presbyters or any rank of the clergy, but by certain would-be monks, a heresy which disputes, under colour of defending free will, against the grace of God which we have through our Lord Jesus Christ; and endeavours to overthrow the foundation of the Christian faith of which it is written, aBy one man, death, and by one man the resurrection of the dead; for as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive; a[1764]1763 and denies Godas help in our actions, by affirming that, âin order to avoid sin and to fulfil righteousness, human nature can be sufficient, seeing that it has been created with free will; and that Godâs grace lies in the fact that we have been so created as to be able to do this by the will, and in the further fact that God has given to us the assistance of His law and commandments, and also in that He forgives their past sins when men turn to Him; a that ain these things alone is Godâs grace to be regarded as consisting, not in the help He gives to us for each of our actions,âââseeing that a man can be without sin, and keep Godas commandments easily if he wishes.a

Chapter 62.âThe History Continued. CÅlestius Condemned at Carthage by Episcopal Judgment. Pelagius Acquitted by Bishops in Palestine, in Consequence of His Deceptive Answers; But Yet His Heresy Was Condemned by Them.

After this heresy had deceived a great many persons, and was disturbing the brethren whom it had failed to deceive, one CÅlestius, who entertained these sentiments, was brought up for trial before the Church of Carthage, and was condemned by a sentence of the bishops.[1765]1764 Then, a few years afterwards, Pelagius, who was said to have been this manas instructor, having been accused of holding his heresy, found also his way before an episcopal tribunal.[1766]1765 The indictment was prepared against him by the Gallican bishops, Heros and Lazarus, who were, however, not present at the proceedings, and were excused from attendance owing to the illness of one of them. After all the charges were duly recited, and Pelagius had met them by his answers, the fourteen bishops of the province of Palestine pronounced him, in accordance with his answers, free from the perversity of this heresy; while yet without hesitation condemning the heresv itself. They approved indeed of his answer to the objections, that a man is assisted by a knowledge of the law, towards not sinning; even as it is written, aHe hath given them a law for a help;ââ[1767]1766 but yet they disapproved of this knowledge of the law being that grace of God concerning which the Scripture says: aWho shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[1768]1767 Nor did Pelagius say absolutely: âAll men are ruled by their own will,â as if God did not rule them; for he said, when questioned on this point: a This I stated in the interest of the freedom of our will; God is its helper, whenever it makes choice of good. Man, however, when sinning, is himself in fault, as being under the direction of his free will.a[1769]1768 They approved, moreover, of his statement, that ain the day of judgment no forbearance will be shown to the ungodly and sinners, but they will be punished in everlasting fires; a because in his defence he said, athat he had made such an assertion in accordance with the gospel, in which

it is written concerning sinners, a These shall go away into eternal punishment, but the righteous into life eternal.ââ[1770]1769 But he did not say, all sinners are reserved for eternal punishment, for then he would evidently have run counter to the apostle, who distinctly states that some of them will be saved, avet so as by fire.a[1771]1770 When also Pelagius said that athe kingdom of heaven was promised even in the Old Testament, a they approved of the statement, on the ground that he supported himself by the testimony of the prophet Daniel, who thus wrote: aThe saints shall take the kingdom of the Most High.â[1772]1771 They understood him, in this statement of his, to mean by the term aOld Testament, a not simply the Testament which was made on Mount Sinai, but the entire body of the canonical Scriptures which had been given previous to the coming of the Lord. His allegation, however, that a man is able to be without sin, if he wishes, a was not approved by the bishops in the sense which he had evidently meant it to bear in his book[1773]1772âas if this was solely in a manâs power by free will (for it was contended that he must have meant no less than this by his saying: âif he wishesâ),âbut only in the sense which he actually gave to the passage on the present occasion in his answer; in the very sense, indeed, in which the episcopal judges mentioned the subject in their own interlocution with especial brevity and clearness, that a man is able to be without sin with the help and grace of God. But still it was left undetermined when the saints were to attain to this state of perfection, awhether in the body of this death, or when death shall be swallowed up in victory.

Chapter 63.âThe Same Continued. The Dogmas of CÅlestius Laid to the Charge of Pelagius, as His Master, and Condemned.

Of the opinions which CÅlestius has said or written, and which were objected against Pelagius, on the ground that they were the dogmas of his disciple, he acknowledged some as entertained also by himself; but, in his vindication, he said that he held them in a different sense from that which was alleged in the indictment. One of these opinions was thus stated: aBefore the advent of Christ some men lived holy and righteous lives.â[1774]1773 CÅlestius, however, was stated to have said that athey lived sinless lives a Again, it was objected that CÅlestius declared athe Church to be without spot and wrinkle.â[1775]1774 Pelagius, however, said in his reply, âthat he had made such an assertion, but as meaning that the Church is by the laver cleansed from every spot and wrinkle, and that in this purity the Lord would have her continue. a Respecting that statement of CAlestius: aThat we do more than is commanded us in the law and the gospel.a Pelagius urged in his own vindication, [1776]1775 that ahe spoke concerning virginity, a of which Paul says: all have no commandment of the Lord â[1777]1776 Another objection alleged that CAlestius had maintained that aevery individual has the ability to possess all powers and graces, a thus annulling that adiversity of gifts a which, the apostle sets forth.[1778]1777 Pelagius, however, answered, that ahe did not annul the diversity of gifts, but declared that God gives to the man who has proved himself worthy to receive them, all graces, even as He gave the Apostle Paul.â

Chapter 64.âHow the Bishops Cleared Pelagius of Those Charges.

These four dogmas, thus connected with the name of CAlestius, were therefore not approved by the bishops in their judgment, in the sense in which CÅlestius was said to have set them forth but in the sense which Pelagius gave to them in his reply. For they saw clearly enough, that it is one thing to be without sin, and another thing to live holily and righteously, as Scripture testifies that some lived even before the coming of Christ. And that although the Church here on earth is not without spot or wrinkle, she is yet both cleansed from every spot and wrinkle by the laver of regeneration, and in this state the Lord would have her continue. And continue she certainly will, for without doubt she shall reign without spot or wrinkle in an everlasting felicity. And that the perpetual virginity, which is not commanded, is unquestionably more than the purity of wedded life, which is commanded although virginity is persevered in by many persons, who, notwithstanding, are not without sin. And that all those graces which he enumerates in a certain passage were possessed by the Apostle Paul; and yet, for all that, either they could guite understand, in regard to his having been worthy to receive them, that the merit was not according to his works, but rather, in some way, according to predestination (for the apostle says himself: al am not meet to be called an apostle;â)[1779]1778 or else their attention was not arrested by the sense which Pelagius gave to the word, as he himself viewed it. Such are the points on which the bishops pronounced the agreement of Pelagius with the doctrines of godly truth.

Chapter 65.âRecapitulation of What Pelagius Condemned.

Let us now, by a like recapitulation, bestow a little more attention on those subjects which the bishops said he rejected and condemned as acontrary; a for herein especially lies the whole of that heresy. We will entirely pass over the strange terms of adulation which he is reported to have put into writing in praise of a certain widow; these he denied having ever inserted in any of his writings, or ever given utterance to, and he anathematized all who held the opinions in question not indeed as heretics, but as fools.[1780]1779 The following are the wild thickets of this heresy, which we are sorry to see shooting out buds, nav growing into trees, day by day:ââThat[1781]1780 Adam was made mortal, and would have died whether he had sinned or not; that Adamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race; that the law no less than the gospel leads to the kingdom; that new-born infants are in the same condition that Adam was before the transgression; that the whole human race does not, on the one hand, die in consequence of Adamâs death and transgression, nor, on the other hand, does the whole human race rise again through the resurrection of Christ; that infants, even if they die unbaptized, have eternal life; that rich men, even if baptized, unless they renounce and surrender everything, have, whatever good they may seem to have done, nothing of it reckoned to them, neither can they possess the kingdom of God; that [1782]1781 Godas grace and assistance are not given for single actions, but reside in free will, and in the law and teaching; that the grace of God is bestowed according to our merits, so that grace really lies in the will of man, as he makes himself

worthy or unworthy of it; that men cannot be called children of God, unless they have become entirely free from sin; that forgetfulness and ignorance do not come under sin, as they do not happen through the will, but of necessity; that there is no free will, if it needs the help of God, inasmuch as every one has his proper will either to do something, or to abstain from doing it; that our victory comes not from Godâs help, but from free will; that from what Peter says, that awe are partakers of the divine nature, a[1783]1782 it must follow that the soul has the power of being without sin, just in the way that God Himself has.â For this have I read in the eleventh chapter of the book, which bears no title of its author, but is commonly reported to be the work of CÅlestius, aexpressed in these words: a Now how can anybody, a asks the author, abecome a partaker of the thing from the condition and power of which he is distinctly declared to be a stranger?â Accordingly, the brethren who prepared these objections understood him to have said that manas soul and God are of the same nature, and to have asserted that the soul is part of God; for thus they understood that he meant that the soul partakes of the same condition and power as God. Moreover in the last of the objections laid to his charge there occurs this position: âThat pardon is not given to penitents according to the grace and mercy of God, but according to their own merits and effort, since through repentance they have been worthy of mercy. a Now all these dogmas, and the arguments which were advanced in support of them, were repudiated and anathematized by Pelagius, and his conduct herein was approved of by the judges, who accordingly pronounced that he had, by his rejection and anathema, condemned the opinions in question as contrary to the faith. Let us therefore rejoiceâwhatever may be the circumstances of the case, whether CÅlestius laid down these theses or not, or whether Pelagius believed them or notâthat the injurious principles of this new heresy were condemned before that ecclesiastical tribunal; and let us thank God for such a result, and proclaim His praises.

Chapter 66.âThe Harsh Measures of the Pelagians Against the Holy Monks and Nuns Who Belonged to Jeromeâs Charge.

Certain followers of Pelagius are said to have carried their support of his cause after these judicial proceedings to an incredible extent of perverseness and audacity. They are said[1784]1783 to have most cruelly beaten and maltreated the servants and handmaidens of the Lord who lived under the care of the holy presbyter Jerome, slain his deacon, and burnt his monastic houses; whilst he himself, by Godâs mercy, narrowly escaped the violent attacks of these impious assailants in the shelter of a well-defended fortress. However, I think it better becomes me to say nothing of these matters, but to wait and see what measures our brethren the bishops may deem it their duty to adopt concerning such scandalous enormities; for nobody can suppose that it is possible for them to pass them over without notice. Impious doctrines put forth by persons of this character it is no doubt the duty of all catholics, however remote their residence, to oppose and refute, and so to hinder all injury from such opinions wheresoever they may happen to find their way; but impious actions it belongs to the discipline of the episcopal authority on the spot to control, and they must be left for punishment to the bishops of the

very place or immediate neighbourhood, to be dealt with as pastoral diligence and godly severity may suggest. We, therefore, who live at so great a distance, are bound to hope that such a stop may there be put to proceedings of this kind, that there may be no necessity elsewhere of further invoking judicial remedies. But what rather befits our personal activity is so to set forth the truth, that the minds of all those who have been severely wounded by the report, so widely spread everywhere, may be healed by the mercy of God following our efforts. With this desire, I must now at last terminate this work, which, should it succeed, as I hope, in commending itself to your mind, will, I trust, with the Lordâs blessing, become serviceable to its readersârecommended to them rather by your name than by my own, and through your care and diligence receiving a wider circulation.

a treatise on the grace of christ, and on original sin.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 50,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe gratia christi, et de peccato originali.â

## âââââââââââââ

 âAfter the conviction and condemnation[1785]1784 of the Pelagian heresy with its authors by the bishops of the Church of Rome,âfirst Innocent, and then Zosimus,âwith the co-operation of letters of African councils, I wrote two books against them: one On the Grace of Christ, and the other On Original Sin. The work began with the following words: âHow greatly we rejoice on account of your bodily, and, above all, because of your Spiritual welfare.ââ

A Treatise on the grace of christ, and on original sin,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In Two Books,

written against pelagius and cÅlestius in the year a.d. 418.

## âââââââââââââ

Book I.

On the Grace of Christ.

Wherein he shows that Pelagius is disingenuous in his confession of grace, inasmuch as he places grace either in nature and free will, or in law and teaching; and, moreover, asserts that it is merely the âpossibilityâ (as he calls it) of will and action, and not the will and action itself, which is assisted by divine grace; and that this assisting grace, too, is given by God according to menâs merits;

whilst he further thinks that they are so assisted for the sole purpose of being able the more easily to fulfil the commandments. Augustin examines those passages of his writings in which he boasted that he had bestowed express commendation on the grace of God, and points out how they can be interpreted as referring to law and teaching,âin other words, to the divine revelation and the example of Christ which are alike included in âthe teaching,ââor else to the remission of sins; nor do they afford any evidence whatever that Pelagius really acknowledged Christian grace, in the sense of help rendered for the performance of right action to natural faculty and instruction, by the inspiration of a most glowing and luminous love; and he concludes with a request that Pelagius would seriously listen to Ambrose, whom he is so very fond of quoting, in his excellent eulogy in commendation of the grace of God.

Chapter 1 [I.]âIntroductory.

How greatly we rejoice on account of your bodily, and, above all, your spiritual welfare, my most sincerely attached brethren and beloved of God, Albina, Pinianus, and Melania,[1786]1785 we cannot express in words; we therefore leave all this to your own thoughts and belief, in order that we may now rather speak of the matters on which you consulted us. We have, indeed, had to compose these words to the best of the ability which God has vouchsafed to us, while our messenger was in a hurry to be gone, and amidst many occupations, which are much more absorbing to me at Carthage than in any other place whatever.

Chapter 2 [II.] âSuspicious Character of Pelagiusâ Confession as to the Necessity of Grace for Every Single Act of Ours.

You informed me in your letter, that you had entreated Pelagius to express in writing his condemnation of all that had been alleged against him; and that he had said, in the audience of you all: all anathematize the man who either thinks or says that the grace of God, whereby aChrist Jesus came into the world to save sinners, a[1787]1786 is not necessary not only for every hour and for every moment, but also for every act of our lives: and those who endeavour to disannul it deserve everlasting punishment.â Now, whoever hears these words, and is ignorant of the opinion which he has clearly enough expressed in his books. anot those, indeed, which he declares to have been stolen from him in an incorrect form, nor those which he repudiates, but those even which he mentions in his own letter which he forwarded to Rome, awould certainly suppose that the views he holds are in strict accordance with the truth. But whoever notices what he openly declares in them, cannot fail to regard these statements with suspicion. Because, although he makes that grace of God whereby Christ came into the world to save sinners to consist simply in the remission of sins, he can still accommodate his words to this meaning, by alleging that the necessity of such grace for every hour and for every moment and for every action of our life, comes to this, that while we recollect and keep in mind the forgiveness of our past sins, we sin no more, aided not by any supply of power from without, but by the powers of our own will as it recalls to our mind, in every action we do, what advantage has been conferred upon us by the remission of sins. Then,

again, whereas they are accustomed to say that Christ has given us assistance for avoiding sin, in that He has left us an example by living righteously and teaching what is right Himself, they have it in their power here also to accommodate their words, by affirming that this is the necessity of grace to us for every moment and for every action, namely, that we should in all our conversation regard the example of the Lordâs conversation. Your own fidelity, however, enables you clearly to perceive how such a profession of opinion as this differs from that true confession of grace which is now the question before us. And yet how easily can it be obscured and disguised by their ambiguous statements!

Chapter 3 [III.] âGrace According to the Pelagians.

But why should we wonder at this? For the same Pelagius, who in the Proceedings of the episcopal synod unhesitatingly condemned those who say athat Godas grace and assistance are not given for single acts, but consist in free will, or in law and teaching, a[1788]1787 upon which points we were apt to think that he had expended all his subterfuges; and who also condemned such as affirm that the grace of God is bestowed in proportion to our merits: ais proved, notwithstanding, to hold, in the books which he has published on the freedom of the will, and which he mentions in the letter he sent to Rome, no other sentiments than those which he seemingly condemned. For that grace and help of God, by which we are assisted in avoiding sin, he places either in nature and free will, or else in the gift of the law and teaching; the result of which of course is this, that whenever God helps a man, He must be supposed to help him to turn away from evil and do good, by revealing to him and teaching him what he ought to do,[1789]1788 but not with the additional assistance of His co-operation and inspiration of love, that he may accomplish that which he had discovered it to be his duty to do.

Chapter 4. âPelagius â System of Faculties.

In his system, he posits and distinguishes three faculties, by which he says Godâs commandments are fulfilled, âcapacity, volition, and action: [1790]1789 meaning by acapacity, a that by which a man is able to be righteous: by avolitiona that by which he wills to be righteous: by aaction, a that by which he actually is righteous. The first of these, the capacity, he allows to have been bestowed on us by the Creator of our nature; it is not in our power, and we possess it even against our will. The other two, however, the volition and the action, he asserts to be our own; and he assigns them to us so strictly as to contend that they proceed simply from ourselves. In short, according to his view, Godâs grace has nothing to do with assisting those two faculties which he will have to be altogether our own, the volition and the action, but that only which is not in our own power and comes to us from God, namely the capacity; as if the faculties which are our own, that is, the volition and the action, have such avail for declining evil and doing good, that they require no divine help, whereas that faculty which we have of God, that is to say, the capacity, is so weak, that it is always assisted by the aid of grace.

Chapter 5 [IV.] âPelagius â Own Account of the Faculties, Quoted.

Lest, however, it should chance to be said that we either do not correctly understand what he advances, or malevolently pervert to another meaning what he never meant to bear such a sense, I beg of you to consider his own actual words: aWe distinguish, a says he, athree things, arranging them in a certain graduated order. We put in the first place âability; a in the second, avolition; and in the third, âactuality.â[1791]1790 The âabilityâ we place in our nature, the avolitiona in our will, and the aactualitya in the effect. The first, that is, the aability, a properly belongs to God, who has bestowed it on His creature; the other two, that is, the avolitiona and the âactuality, â must be referred to man, because they flow forth from the fountain of the will. For his willing, therefore, and doing a good work, the praise belongs to man; or rather both to man, and to God who has bestowed on him the acapacitya for his will and work, and who evermore by the help of His grace assists even this capacity. That a man is able to will and effect any good work, comes from God alone. So that this one faculty can exist, even when the other two have no being; but these latter cannot exist without that former one. I am therefore free not to have either a good volition or action; but I am by no means able not to have the capacity of good. This capacity is inherent in me, whether I will or no; nor does nature at any time receive in this point freedom for itself. Now the meaning of all this will be rendered clearer by an example or two. That we are able to see with our eyes is not of us; but it is our own that we make a good or a bad use of our eyes. So again (that I may, by applying a general case in illustration, embrace all), that we are able to do, say, think, any good thing, comes from Him who has endowed us with this âability, â and who also assists this âability; a but that we really do a good thing, or speak a good word, or think a good thought, proceeds from our own selves, because we are also able to turn all these into evil. Accordingly, and this is a point which needs frequent repetition, because of your calumniation of us, awhenever we say that a man can live without sin, we also give praise to God by our acknowledgment of the capacity which we have received from Him, who has bestowed such âabilityâ upon us; and there is here no occasion for praising the human agent, since it is Godâs matter alone that is for the moment treated of: for the question is not about awilling.a or aeffecting.a but simply and solely about that which may possibly be.â

Chapter 6 [V.] âPelagius and Paul of Different Opinions.

The whole of this dogma of Pelagius, observe, is carefully expressed in these words, and none other, in the third book of his treatise in defence of the liberty of the will, in which he has taken care to distinguish with so great subtlety these three things,âthe âcapacity,â the âvolition,â and the âactuality,ââthat is, the âability,â the âvolition,â and the âactuality,ââthat, whenever we read or hear of his acknowledging the assistance of divine grace in order to our avoidance of evil and accomplishment of good,âwhatever he may mean by the said assistance of grace, whether law and the teaching or any other thing,âwe are sure of what he says; nor can we run into any mistake by understanding him otherwise than he means. For we cannot help knowing that, according to his belief, it is not our avolitiona nor our âactionâ which is assisted by the divine help, but solely our âcapacityâ to will and act, which alone of the three, as he affirms, we have of God. As if that faculty were infirm which God Himself placed in our nature: while the other two, which, as he would have it. are our own, are so strong and firm and self-sufficient as to require none of His help! so that He does not help us to will, nor help us to act, but simply helps us to the possibility of willing and acting. The apostle, however, holds the contrary, when he says, aWork out your own salvation with fear and trembling.a[1792]1791 And that they might be sure that it was not simply in their being able to work (for this they had already received in nature and in teaching), but in their actual working, that they were divinely assisted, the apostle does not say to them, aFor it is God that worketh in you to be able, as if they already possessed volition and operation among their own resources. without requiring His assistance in respect of these two; but he says, âFor it is God which worketh in you both to will and to perform of His own good pleasure; a[1793]1792 or, as the reading runs in other copies, especially the Greek, aboth to will and to operate. a Consider, now, whether the apostle did not thus long before foresee by the Holy Ghost that there would arise adversaries of the grace of God; and did not therefore declare that God works within us those two very things, even awillinga and apperating a which this man so determined to be our own. as if they were in no wise assisted by the help of divine grace.

Chapter 7 [VI.] âPelagius Posits Godâs Aid Only for Our âCapacity. â

Let not Pelagius, however, in this way deceive incautious and simple persons, or even himself; for after saying, âMan is therefore to be praised for his willing and doing a good work, a he added, as if by way of correcting himself, these words: aOr rather, this praise belongs to man and to God.â It was not, however, that he wished to be understood as showing any deference to the sound doctrine, that it is aGod which worketh in us both to will and to do, a that he thus expressed himself; but it is clear enough, on his own showing, why he added the latter clause, for he immediately subjoins: âWho has bestowed on him the acapacitya for this very will and work.a From his preceding words it is manifest that he places this capacity in our nature. Lest he should seem, however, to have said nothing about grace, he added these words: âAnd who evermore, by the help of His grace, assists this very capacity,âââthis very capacity,â observe; not âvery will,â or âvery action; a for if he had said so much as this, he would clearly not be at variance with the teaching of the apostle. But there are his words: âthis very capacity: â meaning that very one of the three faculties which he had placed in our nature. This God aevermore assists by the help of His grace.â The result, indeed, is, that âthe praise does not belong to man and to God, a because man so wills that yet God also inspires his volition with the ardour of love, or that man so works that God nevertheless also cooperates with him, â and without His help, what is man? But he has associated God in this praise in this wise, that were it not for the nature which God gave us in our creation wherewith we might be able to exercise volition and action, we should neither will nor act.

Chapter 8.âGrace, According to the Pelagians, Consists in the Internal and Manifold Illumination of the Mind.

As to this natural capacity which, he allows, is assisted by the grace of God, it is by no means clear from the passage either what grace he means, or to what extent he supposes our nature to be assisted by it. But, as is the case in other passages in which he expresses himself with more clearness and decision, we may here also perceive that no other grace is intended by him as helping natural capacity than the law and the teaching. [VII.] For in one passage he says: aWe are supposed by very ignorant persons to do wrong in this matter to divine grace, because we say that it by no means perfects sanctity in us without our will, as if God could have imposed any command on His grace, without also supplying the help of His grace to those on whom he imposed His commands, so that men might more easily accomplish through grace what they are required to do by their free will.â Then, as if he meant to explain what grace he meant, he immediately went on to add these words: aAnd this grace we for our part do not, as you suppose, allow to consist merely in the law, but also in the help of God.â Now who can help wishing that he would show us what grace it is that he would have us understand? Indeed, we have the strongest reason for desiring him to tell us what he means by saying that he does not allow grace merely to consist in the law. Whilst, however, we are in the suspense of our expectation, observe, I pray you, what he has further to tell us: aGod helps us, a says he, aby His teaching and revelation, whilst He opens the eyes of our heart; whilst He points out to us the future, that we may not be absorbed in the present; whilst He discovers to us the snares of the devil; whilst He enlightens us with the manifold and ineffable gift of heavenly grace.â He then concludes his statement with a kind of absolution: aDoes the man, a he asks, awho says all this appear to you to be a denier of grace? Does he not acknowledge both manas free will and Godas grace?a But, after all, he has not got beyond his commendation of the law and of teaching; assiduously inculcating this as the grace that helps us, and so following up the idea with which he had started, when he said, aWe, however, allow it to consist in the help of God.a Godas help, indeed, he supposed must be recommended to us by manifold lures; by setting forth teaching and revelation, the opening of the eyes of the heart, the demonstration of the future, the discovery of the devilas wiles, and the illumination of our minds by the varied and indescribable gift of heavenly grace, âall this, of course, with a view to our learning the commandments and promises of God. And what else is this than placing Godâs grace in athe law and the teachinga?

Chapter 9 [VIII.]âThe Law One Thing, Grace Another. The Utility of the Law.

Hence, then, it is clear that he acknowledges that grace whereby God points out and reveals to us what we are bound to do; but not that whereby He endows and assists us to act, since the knowledge of the law, unless it be accompanied by the assistance of grace, rather avails for producing the transgression of the commandment. âWhere there is no law,â says the apostle, âthere is no transgression;â[1794]1793 and again: âl had not known lust except the

law had said, Thou shalt not covet.â[1795]1794 Therefore so far are the law and grace from being the same thing, that the law is not only unprofitable, but it is absolutely prejudicial, unless grace assists it; and the utility of the law may be shown by this, that it obliges all whom it proves guilty of transgression to betake themselves to grace for deliverance and help to overcome their evil lusts. For it rather commands than assists; it discovers disease, but does not heal it; nay, the malady that is not healed is rather aggravated by it, so that the cure of grace is more earnestly and anxiously sought for, inasmuch as a The letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.â[1796]1795 âFor if there had been a law given which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law.â[1797]1796 To what extent, however, the law gives assistance, the apostle informs us when he says immediately afterwards: aThe Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe.â[1798]1797 Wherefore, says the apostle, âthe law was our schoolmaster in Christ Jesus. â[1799]1798 Now this very thing is serviceable to proud men, to be more firmly and manifestly aconcluded under sin, a so that none may pre-sumptuously endeavour to accomplish their justification by means of free will as if by their own resources; but rather athat every mouth may be stopped, and all the world may become guilty before God. Because by the deeds of the law there shall no flesh be justified in His sight: for by the law is the knowledge of sin. But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets.a[1800]1799 How then manifested without the law, if witnessed by the law? For this very reason the phrase is not, âmanifested without the law, a but athe righteousness without the law, a because it is athe righteousness of God; a that is, the righteousness which we have not from the law, but from God, anot the righteousness, indeed, which by reason of His commanding it, causes us fear through our knowledge of it; but rather the righteousness which by reason of His bestowing it, is held fast and maintained by us through our loving it, â a so that he that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord. a [1801] 1800

Chapter 10 [IX.]âWhat Purpose the Law Subserves.

What object, then, can this man gain by accounting the law and the teaching to be the grace whereby we are helped to work righteousness? For, in order that it may help much, it must help us to feel our need of grace. No man, indeed, is able to fulfil the law through the law. âLove is the fulfilling of the law.â[1802]1801 And the love of God is not shed abroad in our hearts by the law, but by the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us.[1803]1802 Grace, therefore, is pointed at by the law, in order that the law may be fulfilled by grace. Now what does it avail for Pelagius, that he declares the self-same thing under different phrases, that he may not be understood to place in law and teaching that grace which, as he avers, assists the acapacitya of our nature? So far, indeed, as I can conjecture, the reason why he fears being so understood is, because he condemned all those who maintain that Godâs grace and help are not given for a manâs single actions, but exist rather in his freedom, or in the law and teaching. And yet he supposes that he escapes detection by the shifts he so constantly employs for disguising what he means by his formula of alaw and

teachingâ under so many various phrases.

Chapter 11 [X.]âPelagiusâ Definition of How God Helps Us: âHe Promises Us Future Glory.â

For in another passage, after asserting at length that it is not by the help of God, but out of our own selves, that a good will is formed within us, he confronted himself with a question out of the apostleas epistle; and he asked this guestion: âHow will this stand consistently with the apostleas words. [1804]1803 alt is God that worketh in you both to will and to perfectâ?â Then, in order to obviate this opposing authority, which he plainly saw to be most thoroughly contrasted with his own dogma, he went on at once to add: alle works in us to will what is good, to will what is holy, when He rouses us from our devotion to earthly desires, and from our love of the present only, after the manner of brute animals, by the magnitude of the future glory and the promise of its rewards; when by revealing wisdom to us He stirs up our sluggish will to a longing after God; when (what you are not afraid to deny in another passage) he persuades us to everything which is good.â Now what can be plainer, than that by the grace whereby God works within us to will what is good, he means nothing else than the law and the teaching? For in the law and the teaching of the holy Scriptures are promised future glory and its great rewards. To the teaching also appertains the revelation of wisdom, whilst it is its further function to direct our thoughts to everything that is good. And if between teaching and persuading (or rather exhorting) there seems to be a difference, yet even this is provided for in the general term âteaching,â which is contained in the several discourses or letters; for the holy Scriptures both teach and exhort, and in the processes of teaching and exhorting there is room likewise for manas operation. We, however, on our side would fain have him sometime confess that grace, by which not only future glory in all its magnitude is promised, but also is believed in and hoped for; by which wisdom is not only revealed, but also loved; by which everything that is good is not only recommended, but pressed upon us until we accept it. For all men do not possess faith.[1805]1804 who hear the Lord in the Scriptures promising the kingdom of heaven; nor are all men persuaded, who are counselled to come to Him, who says, aCome unto me, all ye that labour.â[1806]1805 They, however, who have faith are the same who are also persuaded to come to Him. This He Himself set forth most plainly, when He said, aNo man can come to me, except the Father, which hath sent me, draw him.â[1807]1806 And some verses afterwards, when speaking of such as believe not, He says, âTherefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me except it were given unto him of my Father.â[1808]1807 This is the grace which Pelagius ought to acknowledge, if he wishes not only to be called a Christian, but to be one.

Chapter 12 [XI.] aThe Same Continued: aHe Reveals Wisdom.a

But what shall I say about the revelation of wisdom? For there is no man who can in the present life very well hope to attain to the great revelations which were given to the Apostle Paul; and of course it is impossible to suppose that anything was accustomed in these revelations to be made known to him but what appertained to wisdom. Yet for all this he says: aLest I should be exalted above measure through the abundance of the revelations, there was given to me a thorn in the flesh, the messenger of Satan to buffet me. For this thing I besought the Lord thrice, that He would take it away from me. And He said unto me, My grace is sufficient for thee; for my strength is made perfect in weakness. a[1809]1808 Now, undoubtedly, if there were already in the apostle that perfection of love which admitted of no further addition, and which could be puffed up no more, there could have been no further need of the messenger of Satan to buffet him, and thereby to repress the excessive elation which might arise from abundance of revelations. What means this elation, however, but a being puffed up? And of love it has been indeed most truly said. aLove vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up.a[1810]1809 This love, therefore, was still in process of constant increase in the great apostle, day by day, as long as his âinward man was renewed day by day, a[1811]1810 and would then be perfected, no doubt, when he was got beyond the reach of all further vaunting and elation. But at that time his mind was still in a condition to be inflated by an abundance of revelations before it was perfected in the solid edifice of love; for he had not arrived at the goal and apprehended the prize, to which he was reaching forward in his course.

Chapter 13 [XII.]âGrace Causes Us to Do.

To him, therefore, who is reluctant to endure the troublesome process, whereby this vaunting disposition is restrained, before he attains to the ultimate and highest perfection of charity, it is most properly said, âMy grace is sufficient for thee; for my strength is made perfect in weakness, a[1812]1811ain weakness, that is, not of the flesh only, as this man supposes, but both of the flesh and of the mind; because the mind, too, was, in comparison of that last stage of complete perfection, weak, and to it also was assigned, in order to check its elation, that messenger of Satan, the thorn in the flesh; although it was very strong, in contrast with the carnal or animal faculties, which as yet understand not the things of the Spirit of God.[1813]1812 Inasmuch, then, as strength is made perfect in weakness, whoever does not own himself to be weak, is not in the way to be perfected. This grace, however, by which strength is perfected in weakness, conducts all who are predestinated and called according to the divine purpose [1814]1813 to the state of the highest perfection and glory. By such grace it is effected, not only that we discover what ought to be done, but also that we do what we have discovered, anot only that we believe what ought to be loved, but also that we love what we have believed.

Chapter 14 [XII.]âThe Righteousness Which is of God, and the Righteousness Which is of the Law.

If this grace is to be called âteaching,â let it at any rate be so called in such wise that God may be believed to infuse it, along with an ineffable sweetness, more deeply and more internally, not only by their agency who plant and water from without, but likewise by His own too who ministers in secret His own increase,âin such a way, that He not only exhibits truth, but likewise imparts love. For it is thus that God teaches those who have been called according to His purpose, giving them simultaneously both to know what they ought to do, and to do what they know. Accordingly, the apostle thus speaks to the Thessalonians: âAs touching love of the brethren, ye need not that I write unto you; for ye yourselves are taught of God to love one another.â[1815]1814 And then, by way of proving that they had been taught of God, he subjoined: aAnd indeed ye do it towards all the brethren which are in all Macedonia.â[1816]1815 As if the surest sign that you have been taught of God, is that you put into practice what you have been taught. Of that character are all who are called according to Godâs purpose, as it is written in the prophets: aThey shall be all taught of God. a[1817]1816 The man, however, who has learned what ought to be done, but does it not, has not as yet been ataught of Goda according to grace, but only according to the law, anot according to the spirit, but only according to the letter. Although there are many who appear to do what the law commands, through fear of punishment, not through love of righteousness; and such righteousness as this the apostle calls ahis own which is after the law aaa thing as it were commanded, not given. When, indeed, it has been given, it is not called our own righteousness, but Godâs; because it becomes our own only so that we have it from God. These are the apostleas words: âThat I may be found in Him, not having mine own righteousness which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ the righteousness which is of God by faith.a[1818]1817 So great, then, is the difference between the law and grace, that although the law is undoubtedly of God, yet the righteousness which is a f the law is not âof God,â but the righteousness which is consummated by grace is âof God.â The one is designated âthe righteousness of the law,â because it is done through fear of the curse of the law; while the other is called athe righteousness of God, a because it is bestowed through the beneficence of His grace, so that it is not a terrible but a pleasant commandment, according to the prayer in the psalm: aGood art Thou, O Lord, therefore in Thy goodness teach me Thy righteousness; a[1819]1818 that is, that I may not be compelled like a slave to live under the law with fear of punishment; but rather in the freedom of love may be delighted to live with law as my companion. When the freeman keeps a commandment, he does it readily. And whosoever learns his duty in this spirit, does everything that he has learned ought to be done.

Chapter 15 [XIV.]âHe Who Has Been Taught by Grace Actually Comes to Christ.

Now as touching this kind of teaching, the Lord also says: âEvery man that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me.â[1820]1819 Of the man, therefore, who has not come, it cannot be correctly said: âHas heard and has learned that it is his duty to come to Him, but he is not willing to do what he has learned.â It is indeed absolutely improper to apply such a statement to that method of teaching, whereby God teaches by grace. For if, as the Truth says, âEvery man that hath learned cometh,â it follows, of course, that whoever does not come has not learned. But who can fail to see that a manâs coming or not coming is by the determination of his will? This determination, however, may stand alone, if the man does not come; but if he does come, it cannot be without assistance; and such assistance. that he not only knows what it is he ought to do, but also actually does what he thus knows. And thus, when God teaches, it is not by the letter of the law, but by the grace of the Spirit. Moreover, He so teaches, that whatever a man learns, he not only sees with his perception, but also desires with his choice, and accomplishes in action. By this mode, therefore, of divine instruction, volition itself, and performance itself, are assisted, and not merely the natural acapacitya of willing and performing. For if nothing but this acapacitya of ours were assisted by this grace, the Lord would rather have said, aEvery man that hath heard and hath learned of the Father may possibly come unto me.â This, however, is not what He said; but His words are these: aEvery man that hath heard and hath learned of the Father cometh unto me.â Now the possibility of coming Pelagius places in nature, or evenaas we found him attempting to say some time ago[1821]1820âin grace (whatever that may mean according to him), awhen he says, âwhereby this very capacity is assisted;â whereas the actual coming lies in the will and act. It does not, however, follow that he who may come actually comes, unless he has also willed and acted for the coming. But every one who has learned of the Father not only has the possibility of coming, but comes; and in this result are already included the motion of the capacity, the affection of the will, and the effect of the action.[1822]1821

Chapter 16 [XV.]âWe Need Divine Aid in the Use of Our Powers. Illustration from Sight.

Now what is the use of his examples, if they do not really accomplish his own promise of making his meaning clearer to us;[1823]1822 not, indeed, that we are bound to admit their sense, but that we may discover more plainly and openly what is his drift and purpose in using them? aThat we are able, a says he, ato see with our eyes is not of us; but it is of us that we make a good or a bad use of our sight.a Well, there is an answer for him in the psalm, in which the psalmist says to God, aTurn Thou away mine eyes, that they behold not iniquity.â[1824]1823 Now although this was said of the eyes of the mind, it still follows from it, that in respect of our bodily eyes there is either a good use or a bad use that may be made of them: not in the literal sense merely of a good sight when the eves are sound. and a bad sight when they are bleared, but in the moral sense of a right sight when it is directed towards succouring the helpless, or a bad sight when its object is the indulgence of lust. For although both the pauper who is succoured, and the woman who is lusted after, are seen by these external eyes; it is after all from the inner eyes that either compassion in the one case or lust in the other proceeds. How then is it that the prayer is offered to God, aTurn Thou away mine eyes, that they behold not iniquityâ? Or why is that asked for which lies within our own power, if it be true that God does not assist the will?

Chapter 17 [XVI.]âDoes Pelagius Designedly Refrain from Openly Saying that All Good Action is from God?

âThat we are able to speak, â says he, âis of God; but that we make a

good or a bad use of speech is of ourselves.â He, however, who has made the most excellent use of speech does not teach us so. aFor, a says He, âit is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father that speaketh in you.â[1825]1824 âSo, again,â adds Pelagius, âthat I may, by applying a general case in illustration, embrace all athat we are able to do, say, think, any good thing, comes from Him who has endowed us with this ability, and who also assists it.a Observe how even here he repeats his former meaning athat of these three, capacity, volition, action, it is only the capacity which receives help. Then, by way of completely stating what he intends to say, he adds: aBut that we really do a good thing, or speak a good word, or think a good thought, proceeds from our own selves â He forgot what he had before[1826]1825 said by way of correcting, as it were, his own words; for after saying, aMan is to be praised therefore for his willing and doing a good work, a he at once goes on to modify his statement thus: âOr rather, this praise belongs both to man, and to God who has given him the capacity of this very will and work.â Now what is the reason why he did not remember this admission when giving his examples, so as to say this much at least after quoting them: aThat we are able to do, say, think any good thing, comes from Him who has given us this ability, and who also assists it. That, however, we really do a good thing, or speak a good word, or think a good thought, proceeds both from ourselves and from Him!â This, however, he has not said. But, if I am not mistaken, I think I see why he was afraid to do so.

Chapter 18 [XVII.]âHe Discovers the Reason of Pelagiusâ Hesitation So to Say.

For, when wishing to point out why this lies within our own competency, he says: âBecause we are able to turn all these actions into evil.â This, then, was the reason why he was afraid to admit that such an action proceeds âboth from ourselves and from God,â lest it should be objected to him in reply: âlf the fact of our doing, speaking, thinking anything good, is owing both to ourselves and to God, because He has endowed us with this ability, then it follows that our doing, thinking, speaking evil things, is due to ourselves and to God, because He has here also endowed us with ability of indifferency; the conclusion from this beingâand God forbid that we should admit any suchâthat just as God is associated with ourselves in the praise of good actions, so must He share with us the blame of evil actions.â For that âcapacityâ with which He has endowed us makes us capable alike of good actions and of evil ones.

Chapter 19 [XVIII.]âThe Two Roots of Action, Love and Cupidity; And Each Brings Forth Its Own Fruit.

Concerning this âcapacity,â Pelagius thus writes in the first book of his Defence of Free Will: âNow,â says he, âwe have implanted in us by God a capacity for either part.[1827]1826 It resembles, as I may say, a fruitful and fecund root which yields and produces diversely according to the will of man, and which is capable, at the planterâs own choice, of either shedding a beautiful bloom of virtues, or of bristling with the thorny thickets of vices.â Scarcely heeding what he says, he here makes one and the same root productive both of good and evil fruits, in opposition to gospel truth and apostolic teaching. For the Lord declares that âa good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit;â[1828]1827 and when the Apostle Paul says that covetousness is âthe root of all evils,â[1829]1828 he intimates to us, of course, that love may be regarded as the root of all good things. On the supposition, therefore, that two trees, one good and the other corrupt, represent two human beings, a good one and a bad, what else is the good man except one with a good will, that is, a tree with a good root? And what is the bad man except one with a bad will, that is, a tree with a bad root? The fruits which spring from such roots and trees are deeds, are words, are thoughts, which proceed, when good, from a good will, and when evil, from an evil one.

Chapter 20 [XIX.]âHow a Man Makes a Good or a Bad Tree.

Now a man makes a good tree when he receives the grace of God. For it is not by himself that he makes himself good instead of evil; but it is of Him, and through Him, and in Him who is always good. And in order that he may not only be a good tree, but also bear good fruit, it is necessary for him to be assisted by the self-same grace, without which he can do nothing good. For God Himself cooperates in the production of fruit in good trees, when He both externally waters and tends them by the agency of His servants, and internally by Himself also gives the increase [1830]1829 A man, however, makes a corrupt tree when he makes himself corrupt, when he falls away from Him who is the unchanging good; for such a declension from Him is the origin of an evil will. Now this decline does not initiate some other corrupt nature, but it corrupts that which has been already created good. When this corruption, however, has been healed, no evil remains; for although nature no doubt had received an injury, yet nature was not itself a blemish.[1831]1830

Chapter 21 [XX.]âLove the Root of All Good Things; Cupidity, of All Evil Ones.

The âcapacity,â then, of which we speak is not (as he supposes) the one identical root both of good things and evil. For the love which is the root of good things is quite different from the cupidity which is the root of evil thingsâas different, indeed, as virtue is from vice. But without doubt this âcapacityâ is capable of either root: because a man is not only able to possess love, whereby the tree becomes a good one; but he is likewise able to have cupidity, which makes the tree evil. This human cupidity, however, which is a vice, has for its author man, or manâs deceiver, but not manâs Creator. It is indeed that âlust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, which is not of the Father, but is of the world.â[1832]1831 And who can be ignorant of the usage of the Scripture, which under the designation of âthe worldâ is accustomed to describe those who inhabit the world?

Chapter 22 [XXI.] âLove is a Good Will.

That love, however, which is a virtue, comes to us from God, not from

ourselves, according to the testimony of Scripture, which says: aLove is of God; and every one that loveth is born of God, and knoweth God: for God is love. a[1833]1832 It is on the principle of this love that one can best understand the passage, aWhosoever is born of God doth not commit sin:â[1834]1833 as well as the sentence. âAnd he cannot sin.â[1835]1834 Because the love according to which we are born of God âdoth not behave itself unseemly, â and âthinketh no evil. â[1836]1835 Therefore, whenever a man sins, it is not according to love: but it is according to cupidity that he commits sin; and following such a disposition, he is not born of God. Because, as it has been already stated, âthe capacityâ of which we speak is capable of either root. When, therefore, the Scripture says, aLove is of God, a or still more pointedly, aGod is love; a when the Apostle John so very emphatically exclaims, aBehold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called, and be, the sons of God!a[1837]1836 with what face can this writer, on hearing that aGod is love, a persist in maintaining his opinion, that we bare of God one only of those three,[1838]1837 namely, athe capacity; a whereas it is of ourselves that we have a the good will and a the good action? a As if, indeed, this good will were a different thing from that love which the Scripture so loudly proclaims to have come to us from God, and to have been given to us by the Father, that we might become His children.

Chapter 23 [XXII.] âPelagius âDouble Dealing Concerning the Ground of the Conferrence of Grace.

Perhaps, however, our own antecedent merits caused this gift to be bestowed upon us; as this writer has already suggested in reference to Godâs grace, in that work which he addressed to a holy virgin,[1839]1838 whom he mentions in the letter sent by him to Rome. For, after adducing the testimony of the Apostle James, in which he says, aSubmit yourselves unto God; but resist the devil, and he will flee from you, a[1840]1839 he goes on to say: aHe shows us how we ought to resist the devil, if we submit ourselves indeed to God and by doing His will merit His divine grace, and by the help of the Holy Ghost more easily withstand the evil spirit.â Judge, then, how sincere was his condemnation in the Palestine Synod of those persons who say that Godâs grace is conferred on us according to our merits! Have we any doubt as to his still holding this opinion, and most openly proclaiming it? Well, how could that confession of his before the bishops have been true and real? Had he already written the book in which he most explicitly alleges that grace is bestowed on us according to our desertsathe very position which he without any reservation condemned at that Synod in the East? Let him frankly acknowledge that he once held the opinion, but that he holds it no longer; so should we most frankly rejoice in his improvement. As it is, however, when, besides other objections, this one was laid to his charge which we are now discussing, he said in reply: âWhether these are the opinions of CÅlestius or not, is the concern of those who affirm that they are. For my own part, indeed, I never entertained such views; on the contrary, I anathematize every one who does entertain them.a[1841]1840 But how could he anever have entertained such views, a when he had already composed this work? Or how does he still âanathematize everybody who entertains these views, â if he

afterwards composed this work?

Chapter 24.âPelagius Places Free Will at the Basis of All Turning to God for Grace.

But perhaps he may meet us with this rejoinder, that in the sentence before us he spoke of our âmeriting the divine grace by doing the will of God, a in the sense that grace is added to those who believe and lead godly lives, whereby they may boldly withstand the tempter; whereas their very first reception of grace was, that they might do the will of God. Lest, then, he make such a rejoinder, consider some other words of his on this subject: aThe man, a says he, awho hastens to the Lord, and desires to be directed by Him, that is, who makes his own will depend upon Godâs, who moreover cleaves so closely to the Lord as to become (as the apostle says) aone spirita with Him, [1842]1841 does all this by nothing else than by his freedom of will.â Observe how great a result he has here stated to be accomplished only by our freedom of will; and how, in fact, he supposes us to cleave to God without the help of God: for such is the force of his words, aby nothing else than by his own freedom of will.a So that, after we have cleaved to the Lord without His help, we even then, because of such adhesion of our own, deserve to be assisted. [XXIII.] For he goes on to say: aWhosoever makes a right use of thisa (that is, rightly uses his freedom of will), adoes so entirely surrender himself to God, and does so completely mortify his own will, that he is able to say with the apostle, aNevertheless it is already not I that live, but Christ liveth in me;a[1843]1842 and aHe placeth his heart in the hand of God, so that He turneth it whithersoever He willeth.ââ[1844]1843 Great indeed is the help of the grace of God, so that He turns our heart in whatever direction He pleases. But according to this writeras foolish opinion, however great the help may be, we deserve it all at the moment when, without any assistance beyond the liberty of our will, we hasten to the Lord, desire His guidance and direction, suspend our own will entirely on His, and by close adherence to Him become one spirit with Him. Now all these vast courses of goodness we (according to him) accomplish, forsooth, simply by the freedom of our own free will; and by reason of such antecedent merits we so secure His grace, that He turns our heart which way soever He pleases. Well, now, how is that grace which is not gratuitously conferred? How can it be grace, if it is given in payment of a debt? How can that be true which the apostle says, alt is not of yourselves, but it is the gift of God; not of works, lest any man should boast; a[1845]1844 and again, alf it is of grace, then is it no more of works, otherwise grace is no more grace: a[1846]1845 how, I repeat, can this be true, if such meritorious works precede as to procure for us the bestowal of grace? Surely, under the circumstances, there can be no gratuitous gift, but only the recompense of a due reward. Is it the case, then, that in order to find their way to the help of God, men run to God without Godâs help? And in order that we may receive Godâs help while cleaving to Him, do we without His help cleave to God? What greater gift, or even what similar gift, could grace itself bestow upon any man, if he has already without grace been able to make himself one spirit with the Lord by no other power than that of his own free will?

Chapter 25 [XXIV.]âGod by His Wonderful Power Works in Our Hearts Good Dispositions of Our Will.

Now I want him to tell us whether that king of Assvria.[1847]1846 whose holy wife Esther aabhorred his bed, a[1848]1847 whilst sitting upon the throne of his kingdom, and clothed in all his glorious apparel, adorned all over with gold and precious stones, and dreadful in his majesty when he raised his face, which was inflamed with anger, in the midst of his splendour, and beheld her, with the glare of a wild bull in the fierceness of his indignation; and the queen was afraid, and her colour changed as she fainted, and she bowed herself upon the head of the maid that went before her:[1849]1848âl want him to tell us whether this king had yet anastened to the Lord, and had desired to be directed by Him, and had subordinated his own will to His, and had, by cleaving fast to God, become one spirit with Him, simply by the force of his own free will.â Had he surrendered himself wholly to God, and entirely mortified his own will, and placed his heart in the hand of God? I suppose that anybody who should think this of the king, in the state he was then in, would be not foolish only, but even mad. And yet God converted him, and turned his indignation into gentleness. Who, however, can fail to see how much greater a task it is to change and turn wrath completely into gentleness, than to bend the heart to something, when it is not preoccupied with either affection, but is indifferently poised between the two? Let them therefore read and understand, observe and acknowledge, that it is not by law and teaching uttering their lessons from without, but by a secret, wonderful, and ineffable power operating within, that God works in menâs hearts not only revelations of the truth, but also good dispositions of the will.

Chapter 26 [XXV.]âThe Pelagian Grace of âCapacityâ Exploded. The Scripture Teaches the Need of Godâs Help in Doing, Speaking, and Thinking, Alike.

Let Pelagius, therefore, cease at last to deceive both himself and others by his disputations against the grace of God. It is not on account of only one of these three [1850]1849 athat is to say, of the acapacitya of a good will and workathat the grace of God towards us ought to be proclaimed; but also on account of the good awilla and aworka themselves. This acapacity, a indeed, according to his definition, avails for both directions; and yet our sins must not also be attributed to God in consequence, as our good actions, according to his view, are attributed to Him owing to the same capacity. It is not only, therefore, on this account that the help of Godas grace is maintained, because it assists our natural capacity. He must cease to say, a That we are able to do, say, think any good, is from Him who has given us this ability, and who also assists this ability; whereas that we really do a good thing, or speak a good word, or think a good thought, proceeds from our own selves.â He must, I repeat, cease to say this. For God has not only given us the ability and aids it, but He further works in us ato will and to do.a[1851]1850 It is not because we do not will, or do not do, that we will and do nothing good, but because we are without His help. How can he say, a That we

are able to do good is of God, but that we actually do it is of ourselves, a when the apostle tells us that he aprays to Goda in behalf of those to whom he was writing, athat they should do no evil, but that they should do that which is good?â[1852]1851 His words are not, aWe pray that ye be able to do nothing evil; a but, a that ye do no evil.â Neither does he say, âthat ye be able to do good;â but, âthat ye do good â Forasmuch as it is written, âAs many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God, a[1853]1852 it follows that, in order that they may do that which is good, they must be led by Him who is good. How can Pelagius say, a That we are able to make a good use of speech comes from God; but that we do actually make this good use of speech proceeds from ourselves, a when the Lord declares, alt is the Spirit of your Father which speaketh in you2?[1854]1853 He does not say, alt is not you who have given to yourselves the power of speaking well; a but His words are, alt is not ve that speak.â[1855]1854 Nor does He say, âlt is the Spirit of your Father which giveth, or hath given, you the power to speak well; a but He says, âwhich speaketh in you.â He does not allude to the motion[1856]1855 of athe capacity, a but He asserts the effect of the co-operation. How can this arrogant asserter of free will say, a That we are able to think a good thought comes from God, but that we actually think a good thought proceeds from ourselvesa? He has his answer from the humble preacher of grace, who says, aNot that we are sufficient of ourselves to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.â[1857]1856 Observe he does not say, âto be able to think anything; a but, ato think anything.a

Chapter 27 [XXVI.]âWhat True Grace Is, and Wherefore Given. Merits Do Not Precede Grace.

Now even Pelagius should frankly confess that this grace is plainly set forth in the inspired Scriptures; nor should he with shameless effrontery hide the fact that he has too long opposed it, but admit it with salutary regret; so that the holy Church may cease to be harassed by his stubborn persistence, and rather rejoice in his sincere conversion. Let him distinguish between knowledge and love, as they ought to be distinguished; because aknowledge puffeth up, but love edifieth.a[1858]1857 And then knowledge no longer puffeth up when love builds up. And inasmuch as each is the gift of God (although one is less, and the other greater), he must not extol our righteousness above the praise which is due to Him who justifies us, in such a way as to assign to the lesser of these two gifts the help of divine grace, and to claim the greater one for the human will. And should he consent that we receive love from the grace of God, he must not suppose that any merits of our own preceded our reception of the gift. For what merits could we possibly have had at the time when we loved not God? In order, indeed, that we might receive that love whereby we might love, we were loved while as yet we had no love ourselves. This the Apostle John most expressly declares: aNot that we loved God, a says he, abut that He loved us; a[1859]1858 and again, aWe love Him, because He first loved us.â[1860]1859 Most excellently and truly spoken! For we could not have wherewithal to love Him, unless we received it from Him in His first loving us. And what good could we possibly do if we possessed no love? Or how could we help doing good

if we have love? For although Godâs commandment appears sometimes to be kept by those who do not love Him, but only fear Him; yet where there is no love, no good work is imputed, nor is there any good work, rightly so called; because âwhatsoever is not of faith is sin,â[1861]1860 and âfaith worketh by love.â[1862]1861 Hence also that grace of God, whereby âHis love is shed abroad in our hearts through the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us,â[1863]1862 must be so confessed by the man who would make a true confession, as to show his undoubting belief that nothing whatever in the way of goodness pertaining to godliness and real holiness can be accomplished without it. Not after the fashion of him who clearly enough shows us what he thinks of it when he says, that âgrace is bestowed in order that what God commands may be the more easily fulfilled;â which of course means, that even without grace Godâs commandments may, although less easily, yet actually, be accomplished.

Chapter 28 [XXVII.]âPelagius Teaches that Satan May Be Resisted Without the Help of the Grace of God.

In the book which he addressed to a certain holy virgin, there is a passage which I have already mentioned,[1864]1863 wherein he plainly indicates what he holds on this subject; for he speaks of our âdeserving the grace of God, and by the help of the Holy Ghost more easily resisting the evil spirit.â Now why did he insert the phrase âmore easilyâ? Was not the sense already complete: âAnd by the help of the Holy Ghost resisting the evil spiritâ? But who can fail to perceive what an injury he has done by this insertion? He wants it, of course, to be supposed, that so great are the powers of our nature, which he is in such a hurry to exalt, that even without the assistance of the Holy Ghost the evil spirit can be resisted alless easily it may be, but still in a certain measure.

Chapter 29 [XXVIII.]âWhen He Speaks of Godâs Help, He Means It Only to Help Us Do What Without It We Still Could Do.

Again, in the first book of his Defence of the Freedom of the Will, he says: âBut while we have within us a free will so strong and so stedfast against sinning, which our Maker has implanted in human nature generally, still, by His unspeakable goodness, we are further defended by His own daily help.â What need is there of such help, if free will is so strong and so stedfast against sinning? But here, as before, he would have it understood that the purpose of the alleged assistance is, that that may be more easily accomplished by grace which he nevertheless supposes may be effected, less easily, no doubt, but yet actually, without grace.

Chapter 30 [XXIX.]âWhat Pelagius Thinks is Needful for Ease of Performance is Really Necessary for the Performance.

In like manner, in another passage of the same book, he says: âln order that men may more easily accomplish by grace that which they are commanded to do by free will.â Now, expunge the phrase âmore easily,â and you leave not only a full, but also a sound sense, if it be regarded as meaning simply this: âThat men may accomplish through grace what they are commanded to do by free will.â The addition of the words âmore easily,â however, tacitly suggests the possibility of accomplishing good works even without the grace of God. But such a meaning is disallowed by Him who says, âWithout me ye can do nothing.â[1865]1864

Chapter 31 [XXX.]âPelagius and CÅlestius Nowhere Really Acknowledge Grace.

Let him amend all this, that if human infirmity has erred in subjects so profound, he may not add to the error diabolical deception and wilfulness, either by denying what he has really believed, or by maintaining what he has rashly believed, after he has once discovered, on recollecting the light of truth, that he ought never to have so believed. As for that grace, indeed, by which we are justified, ain other words, whereby athe love of God is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us, a[1866]1865al have nowhere, in those writings of Pelagius and CAlestius which I have had the opportunity of reading, found them acknowledging it as it ought to be acknowledged. In no passage at all have I observed them recognising âthe children of the promise, â concerning whom the apostle thus speaks: aThey which are children of the flesh, these are not the children of God; but the children of the promise are counted for the seed.â[1867]1866 For that which God promises we do not ourselves bring about by our own choice or natural power, but He Himself effects it by grace.

Chapter 32.âWhy the Pelagians Deemed Prayers to Be Necessary. The Letter Which Pelagius Despatched to Pope Innocent with an Exposition of His Belief.

Now I will say nothing at present about the works of CAlestius, or those tracts of his which he produced in those ecclesiastical proceedings, [1868]1867 copies of the whole of which we have taken care to send to you, along with another letter which we deemed it necessary to add. If you carefully examine all these documents, you will observe that he does not posit the grace of God, which helps us whether to avoid evil or to do good, beyond the natural choice of the will, but only in the law and teaching. Thus he even asserts that their very prayers are necessary for the purpose of showing men what to desire and love. All these documents, however, I may omit further notice of at present; for Pelagius himself has lately forwarded to Rome both a letter and an exposition of his belief, addressing it to Pope Innocent, of blessed memory, of whose death he was ignorant. Now in this letter he says that athere are certain subjects about which some men are trying to vilify him. One of these is, that he refuses to infants the sacrament of baptism, and promises the kingdom of heaven to some, independently of Christâs redemption. Another of them is, that he so speaks of manâs ability to avoid sin as to exclude Godâs help, and so strongly confides in free will that he repudiates the help of divine grace. a Now, as touching the perverted opinion he holds about the baptism of infants (although he allows that it ought to be administered to them), in opposition to the Christian faith and catholic truth, this is not the place for us to enter on an accurate

discussion, for we must now complete our treatise on the assistance of grace, which is the subject we undertook. Let us see what answer he makes out of this very letter to the objection which he has proposed concerning this matter. Omitting his invidious complaints about his opponents, we approach the subject before us; and find him expressing himself as follows.

Chapter 33 [XXXI.]âPelagius Professes Nothing on the Subject of Grace Which May Not Be Understood of the Law and Teaching.

aSee, a he says, a how this epistle will clear me before your Blessedness; for in it we clearly and simply declare, that we possess a free will which is unimpaired for sinning and for not sinning: [1869]1868 and this free will is in all good works always assisted by divine help.â Now you perceive, by the understanding which the Lord has given you, that these words of his are inadequate to solve the question. For it is still open to us to inquire what the help is by which he would say that the free will is assisted; lest perchance he should, as is usual with him, maintain that law and teaching are meant. If, indeed, you were to ask him why he used the word âalways,â he might answer: Because it is written, And in His law will he meditate day and night.a[1870]1869 Then, after interposing a statement about the condition of man, and his natural capacity for sinning and not sinning, he added the following words: aNow this power of free will we declare to reside generally in all alikeâin Christians, in Jews, and in Gentiles. In all men free will exists equally by nature, but in Christians alone is it assisted by grace.â We again ask: aBy what grace?a And again he might answer: aBy the law and the Christian teaching.â

Chapter 34.âPelagius Says that Grace is Given According to Menâs Merits. The Beginning, However, of Merit is Faith; And This is a Gratuitous Gift, Not a Recompense for Our Merits.

Then, again, whatever it is which he means by agrace, a he says is given even to Christians according to their merits, although (as I have already mentioned above[1871]1870), when he was in Palestine, in his very remarkable vindication of himself, he condemned those who hold this opinion. Now these are his words: all the one.a says he. âthe good of their created [1872]1871 condition is naked and defenceless; a meaning in those who are not Christians. Then adding the rest: all these, however, who belong to Christ, there is defence afforded by Christâs help.â You see it is still uncertain what the help is, according to the remark we have already made on the same subject. He goes on, however, to say of those who are not Christians: âThose deserve judgment and condemnation, because, although they possess free will whereby they could come to have faith and deserve Godâs grace, they make a bad use of the freedom which has been granted to them. But these deserve to be rewarded, who by the right use of free will merit the Lordâs grace, and keep His commandments.â Now it is clear that he says grace is bestowed according to merit, whatever and of what kind soever the grace is which he means, but which he does not plainly declare. For when he speaks of those persons as deserving reward who make a good use of their free will, and as therefore

meriting the Lordâs grace, he asserts in fact that a debt is paid to them. What, then, becomes of the apostleas saying, aBeing justified freely by His graceâ? [1873] 1872 And what of his other statement too, aBy grace are ye saveda?[1874]1873awhere, that he might prevent menas supposing that it is by works, he expressly added. âby faith.â[1875]1874 And yet further, lest it should be imagined that faith itself is to be attributed to men independently of the grace of God, the apostle says: âAnd that not of yourselves; for it is the gift of God.â[1876]1875 It follows, therefore, that we receive, without any merit of our own, that from which everything which, according to them, we obtain because of our merit, has its beginningathat is, faith itself. If, however, they insist on denying that this is freely given to us, what is the meaning of the apostleas words: aAccording as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faitha?[1877]1876 But if it is contended that faith is so bestowed as to be a recompense for merit. not a free gift, what then becomes of another saying of the apostle: âUnto you it is given in the behalf of Christ, not only to believe in Him, but also to suffer for His sakea?[1878]1877 Each is by the apostleas testimony made a gift, aboth that he believes in Christ, and that each suffers for His sake. These men however, attribute faith to free will in such a way as to make it appear that grace is rendered to faith not as a gratuitous gift, but as a debtathus ceasing to be grace any longer, because that is not grace which is not gratuitous.

Chapter 35 [XXXII.]âPelagius Believes that Infants Have No Sin to Be Remitted in Baptism.

But Pelagius would have the reader pass from this letter to the book which states his belief. This he has made mention of to vourselves, and in it he has discoursed a good deal on points about which no question was raised as to his views. Let us, however, look simply at the subjects about which our own controversy with them is concerned. Having, then terminated a discussion which he had conducted to his heartas content, a from the Unity of the Trinity to the resurrection of the flesh, on which nobody was guestioning him, ahe goes on to say: aWe hold likewise one baptism, which we aver ought to be administered to infants in the same sacramental formula as it is to adults. a Well, now, you have yourselves affirmed that you heard him admit at least as much as this in your presence. What, however, is the use of his saving that the sacrament of baptism is administered to children âin the same words as it is to adults, a when our inquiry concerns the thing, not merely the words? It is a more important matter, that (as you write) with his own mouth he replied to your own question, that âinfants receive baptism for the remission of sins.â For he did not say here. too, âin words of remission of sins,â but he acknowledged that they are baptized for the remission itself; and yet for all this, if you were to ask him what the sin is which he supposes to be remitted to them, he would contend that they had none whatever.

Chapter 36 [XXXIII.] aCÅlestius Openly Declares Infants to Have No Original Sin.

Who would believe that, under so clear a confession, there is concealed a contrary meaning, if CÅlestius had not exposed it? He who in that book of his, which he quoted at Rome in the ecclesiastical proceedings there, [1879]1878 distinctly acknowledged that ainfants too are baptized for the remission of sins, a also denied athat they have any original sin.â But let us now observe what Pelagius thought, not about the baptism of infants, but rather about the assistance of divine grace, in this exposition of his belief which he forwarded to Rome. âWe confess, â says he, âfree will in such a sense that we declare ourselves to be always in need of the help of God.â Well, now, we ask again, what the help is which he says we require; and again we find ambiguity, since he may possibly answer that he meant the law and the teaching of Christ, whereby that natural acapacitya is assisted. We, however, on our side require them to acknowledge a grace like that which the apostle describes, when he says: aFor God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind: a[1880]1879 although it does not follow by any means that the man who has the gift of knowledge, whereby he has discovered what he ought to do, has also the grace of love so as to do it.

Chapter 37 [XXXIV.]âPelagius Nowhere Admits the Need of Divine Help for Will and Action.

I also have read those books or writings of his which he mentions in the letter which he sent to Pope Innocent, of blessed memory, with the exception of a brief epistle which he says he sent to the holy Bishop Constantius; but I have nowhere been able to find in them that he acknowledges such a grace as helps not only that ânatural capacity of willing and actingâ (which according to him we possess, even when we neither will a good thing nor do it), but also the will and the action itself, by the ministration of the Holy Ghost.

Chapter 38 [XXXV.]âA Definition of the Grace of Christ by Pelagius.

aLet them read, a says he, athe epistle which we wrote about twelve years ago to that holy man Bishop Paulinus: its subject throughout in some three hundred lines is the confession of Godâs grace and assistance alone, and our own inability to do any good thing at all without God.â Well, I have read this epistle also, and found him dwelling throughout it on scarcely any other topic than the faculty and capacity of nature, whilst he makes Godâs grace consist almost entirely in this. Christâs grace, indeed, he treats with great brevity, simply mentioning its name, so that his only aim seems to have been to avoid the scandal of ignoring it altogether. It is, however, absolutely uncertain whether he means Christâs grace to consist in the remission of sins, or even in the teaching of Christ, including also the example of His life (a meaning which he asserts in several passages of his treatises); or whether he believes it to be a help towards good living, in addition to nature and teaching, through the inspiring influence of a burning and shining love.

Chapter 39 [XXXVI]âA Letter of Pelagius Unknown to Augustin.

âLet them also read,â says he, âmy epistle to the holy Bishop Constantius, wherein I haveâbriefly no doubt, but yet plainlyâconjoined the grace and help of God with manâs free will.â This epistle, as I have already stated,[1881]1880 I have not read; but if it is not unlike the other writings which he mentions, and with which I am acquainted, even this work does nothing for the subject of our present inquiry.

Chapter 40 [XXXVII]âThe Help of Grace Placed by Pelagius in the Mere Revelation of Teaching.

âLet them read moreoverâ says he, âwhat I wrote, [1882]1881 when I was in the East, to Christâs holy virgin Demetrias, and they will find that we so commend the nature of man as always to add the help of Godâs grace.â Well, I read this letter too; and it had almost persuaded me that he did acknowledge therein the grace about which our discussion is concerned, although he did certainly seem in many passages of this work to contradict himself. But when there also came to my hands those other treatises which he afterwards wrote for more extensive circulation, I discovered in what sense he must have intended to speak of grace, aconcealing what he believed under an ambiguous generality, but employing the term agracea in order to break the force of obloguy, and to avoid giving offence. For at the very commencement of this work (where he says: aLet us apply ourselves with all earnestness to the task which we have set before us, nor let us have any misgiving because of our own humble ability; for we believe that we are assisted by the motheras faith and her daughteras merita[1883]1882) he appeared to me at first to acknowledge the grace which helps us to individual action; nor did I notice at once the fact that he might possibly have made this grace consist simply in the revelation of teaching.

Chapter 41.âRestoration of Nature Understood by Pelagius as Forgiveness of Sins.

In this same work he says in another passage: âNow, if even without God men show of what character they have been made by God, see what Christians have it in their power to do, whose nature has been through Christ restored to a better condition, and who are, moreover, assisted by the help of divine grace.â[1884]1883 By this restoration of nature to a better state he would have us understand the remission of sins. This he has shown with sufficient clearness in another passage of this epistle, where he says: âEven those who have become in a certain sense obdurate through their long practice of sinning, can be restored through repentance.â[1885]1884 But he may even here too make the assistance of divine grace consist in the revelation of teaching.

Chapter 42 [XXXVIII.]âGrace Placed by Pelagius in the Remission of Sins and the Example of Christ.

Likewise in another place in this epistle of his he says: aNow, if even before the law, as we have already remarked, and long previous to the coming of our Lord and Saviour, some men are related to have lived righteous and holy lives; how much more worthy of belief is it that we are capable of doing this since the illumination of His coming, who have been restored by the grace of Christ, and born again into a better man? How much better than they, who lived before the law, ought we to be, who have been reconciled and cleansed by His blood, and by His example encouraged to the perfection of righteousness!a[1886]1885 Observe how even here, although in different language, he has made the assistance of grace to consist in the remission of sins and the example of Christ. He then completes the passage by adding these words: âBetter than they were even who lived under the law; according to the apostle, who says, aSin shall not have dominion over you: for ye are not under the law, but under grace.a[1887]1886 Now, inasmuch as we have, a says he, as a said enough, as I suppose, on this point, let us describe a perfect virgin, who shall testify the good at once of nature and of grace by the holiness of her conduct, evermore warmed with the virtues of both a[1888]1887 Now you ought to notice that in these words also he wished to conclude what he was saving in such a way that we might understand the good of nature to be that which we received when we were created; but the good of grace to be that which we receive when we regard and follow the example of Christ, as if sin were not permitted to those who were or are under the law, on this account, because they either had not Christâs example, or else do not believe in Him.

Chapter 43 [XXXIX.]âThe Forgiveness of Sins and Example of Christ Held by Pelagius Enough to Save the Most Hardened Sinner.

That this, indeed, is his meaning, other words also of his show us, anot contained in this work, but in the third book of his Defence of Free Will, wherein he holds a discussion with an opponent, who had insisted on the apostleas words when he says, aFor what I would, that do I not: a[1889]1888 and again, al see another law in my members, warring against the law of my mind a[1890]1889 To this he replied in these words: aNow that which you wish us to understand of the apostle himself, all Church writers[1891]1890 assert that he spoke in the person of the sinner, and of one who was still under the law, asuch a man as was, by reason of a very long custom of vice, held bound, as it were, by a certain necessity of sinning, and who, although he desired good with his will, in practice indeed was hurried headlong into evil. In the person, however, of one man, a he continues, athe apostle designates the people who still sinned under the ancient law. This nation he declares was to be delivered from this evil of custom through Christ, who first of all remits all sins in baptism to those who believe in Him, and then urges them by an imitation of Himself to perfect holiness, and by the example of His own virtues overcomes the evil custom of their sins.â Observe in what way he supposes them to be assisted who sin under the law: they are to be delivered by being justified through Christâs grace, as if the law alone were insufficient for them, without some reinforcement from Christ, owing to their long habit of sinning; not the inspiration of love by His Holy Spirit, but the contemplation and copy of His example in the inculcation of virtue by the gospel. Now here, at any rate, there was the very greatest call on him to say plainly what grace he meant, seeing that the apostle closed the very passage which formed the ground of discussion with these telling words: aO wretched man that I am, who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord. a[1892]1891 Now, when he places this grace, not in the aid of His power, but in His example for

imitation, what further hope must we entertain of him, since everywhere the word âgraceâ is mentioned by him under an ambiguous generality?

Chapter 44 [XL.]âPelagius Once More Guards Himself Against the Necessity of Grace.

Then, again, in the work addressed to the holy virgin, [1893]1892 of which we have spoken already, there is this passage: âLet us submit ourselves to God, and by doing His will let us merit the divine grace; and let us the more easily, by the help of the Holy Ghost, resist the evil spirit.â Now, in these words of his, it is plain enough that he regards us as assisted by the grace of the Holy Ghost, not because we are unable to resist the tempter without Him by the sheer capacity of our nature, but in order that we may resist more easily. With respect, however, to the quantity and quality, whatever these might be, of this assistance, we may well believe that he made them consist of the additional knowledge which the Spirit reveals to us through teaching, and which we either cannot, or scarcely can, possess by nature. Such are the particulars which I have been able to discover in the book which he addressed to the virgin of Christ, and wherein he seems to confess grace. Of what purport and kind these are, you of course perceive.

Chapter 45 [XLI.]âTo What Purpose Pelagius Thought Prayers Ought to Be Offered.

âLet them also read, â says he, âmy recent little treatise which we were obliged to publish a short while ago in defence of free will, and let them acknowledge how unfair is their determination to disparage us for a denial of grace, when we throughout almost the whole work acknowledge fully and sincerely both free will and grace.â There are four books in this treatise, all of which I read, marking such passages as required consideration, and which I proposed to discuss: these I examined as well as I was able, before we came to that epistle of his which was sent to Rome. But even in these four books, that which he seems to regard as the grace which helps us to turn aside from evil and to do good, he describes in such a manner as to keep to his old ambiguity of language, and thus have it in his power so to explain to his followers, that they may suppose the assistance which is rendered by grace, for the purpose of helping our natural capacity, consists of nothing else than the law and the teaching. Thus our very prayers (as, indeed, he most plainly affirms in his writings) are of no other use, in his opinion, than to procure for us the explanation of the teaching by a divine revelation, not to procure help for the mind of man to perfect by love and action what it has learned should be done. The fact is, he does not in the least relinquish that very manifest dogma of his system in which he sets forth those three things, capacity, volition, action; maintaining that only the first of these, the capacity, is favoured with the constant assistance of divine help, but supposing that the volition and the action stand in no need of Godâs assistance. Moreover, the very help which he says assists our natural capacity, he places in the law and teaching. This teaching, he allows, is revealed or explained to us by the Holy Ghost,

on which account it is that he concedes the necessity of prayer. But still this assistance of law and teaching he supposes to have existed even in the days of the prophets; whereas the help of grace, which is properly so called, he will have to lie simply in the example of Christ. But this example, you can plainly see, pertains after all to âteaching,ââeven that which is preached to us as the gospel. The general result, then, is the pointing out, as it were, of a road to us by which we are bound to walk, by the powers of our free will, and needing no assistance from any one else, may suffice to ourselves not to faint or fail on the way. And even as to the discovery of the road itself, he contends that nature alone is competent for it; only the discovery will be more easily effected if grace renders assistance.

Chapter 46 [XLII] âPelagius Professes to Respect the Catholic Authors.

Such are the particulars which, to the best of my ability, I have succeeded in obtaining from the writings of Pelagius, whenever he makes mention of grace. You perceive, however, that men who entertain such opinions as we have reviewed are aignorant of Godas righteousness, and desire to establish their own, a[1894]1893 and are far off from athe righteousness which we have of Goda[1895]1894 and not of ourselves; and this they ought to have discovered and recognised in the very holy canonical Scriptures. Forasmuch, however, as they read these Scriptures in a sense of their own, they of course fail to observe even the most obvious truths therein. Would that they would but turn their attention in no careless mood to what might be learned concerning the help of Godâs grace in the writings, at all events, of catholic authors; for they freely allow that the Scriptures were correctly understood by these, and that they would not pass them by in neglect, out of an overweening fondness for their own opinions. For note how this very man Pelagius, in that very treatise of his so recently put forth, and which he formally mentions in his self-defence (that is to say, in the third book of his Defence of Free Will), praises St. Ambrose.

Chapter 47 [XLIII.]âAmbrose Most Highly Praised by Pelagius.

âThe blessed Bishop Ambrose,â says he, âin whose writings the Roman faith shines forth with especial brightness, and whom the Latins have always regarded as the very flower and glory of their authors, and who has never found a foe bold enough to censure his faith or the purity of his understanding of the Scriptures.â Observe the sort as well as the amount of the praises which he bestows; nevertheless, however holy and learned he is, he is not to be compared to the authority of the canonical Scripture. The reason of this high commendation of Ambrose lies in the circumstance, that Pelagius sees proper to quote a certain passage from his writings to prove that man is able to live without sin.[1896]1895 This, however, is not the question before us. We are at present discussing that assistance of grace which helps us towards avoiding sin, and leading holy lives.

Chapter 48 [XLIV].âAmbrose is Not in Agreement with Pelagius.

I wish, indeed, that he would listen to the venerable bishop when, in

the second book of his Exposition of the Gospel according to Luke, [1897]1896he expressly teaches us that the Lord co-operates also with our wills. aYou see, therefore, a says he, abecause the power of the Lord co-operates everywhere with human efforts, that no man is able to build without the Lord, no man to watch without the Lord, no man to undertake anything without the Lord. Whence the apostle thus enjoins: aWhether ye eat, or whether ye drink, do all to the glory of God.ââ[1898]1897 You observe how the holy Ambrose takes away from men even their familiar expressions. asuch as, aWe undertake, but God accomplishes, ââwhen he says here that ano man is able to undertake anything without the Lord.â To the same effect he says, in the sixth book of the same work, [1899]1898 treating of the two debtors of a certain creditor: aAccording to menas opinions, he perhaps is the greater offender who owed most. The case, however, is altered by the Lordâs mercy, so that he loves the most who owes the most, if he yet obtains grace. a See how the catholic doctor most plainly declares that the very love which prompts every man to an ampler love appertains to the kindly gift of grace.

Chapter 49 [XLV.]âAmbrose Teaches with What Eye Christ Turned and Looked Upon Peter.

That repentance, indeed, itself, which beyond all doubt is an action of the will, is wrought into action by the mercy and help of the Lord, is asserted by the blessed Ambrose in the following passage in the ninth book of the same work: [1900]1899 âGood, says he, âare the tears which wash away sin. They upon whom the Lord at last turns and looks, bewail. Peter denied Him first, and did not weep, because the Lord had not turned and looked upon him. He denied Him a second time, and still wept not, because the Lord had not even yet turned and looked upon him. The third time also he denied Him, Jesus turned and looked, and then he wept most bitterly.â Let these persons read the Gospel; let them consider how that the Lord Jesus was at that moment within, having a hearing before the chief of the priests; whilst the Apostle Peter was outside, [1901]1900 and down in the hall, [1902]1901 sitting at one time with the servants at the fire,[1903]1902 at another time standing, [1904]1903 as the most accurate and consistent narrative of the evangelists shows. It cannot therefore be said that it was with His bodily eves that the Lord turned and looked upon him by a visible and apparent admonition. That, then, which is described in the words, aThe Lord turned and looked upon Peter, a[1905]1904 was effected internally; it was wrought in the mind, wrought in the will. In mercy the Lord silently and secretly approached, touched the heart, recalled the memory of the past, with His own internal grace visited Peter, stirred and brought out into external tears the feelings of his inner man. Behold in what manner God is present with His help to our wills and actions; behold how âHe worketh in us both to will and to do.â

Chapter 50.âAmbrose Teaches that All Men Need Godâs Help.

In the same book the same St. Ambrose says again:[1906]1905 âNow if Peter fell, who said, âThough all men shall be offended, yet will I never be offended,â who else shall rightly presume concerning himself? David, indeed, because he had said, âIn my prosperity I said, I shall never be moved, a confesses how injurious his confidence had proved to himself: aThou didst turn away Thy face, a he says, and I was troubled.aa[1907]1906 Pelagius ought to listen to the teaching of so eminent a man, and should follow his faith, since he has commended his teaching and faith. Let him listen humbly; let him follow with fidelity; let him indulge no longer in obstinate presumption, lest he perish. Why does Pelagius choose to be sunk in that sea whence Peter was rescued by the Rock?[1908]1907

Chapter 51 [XLVI.]âAmbrose Teaches that It is God that Does for Man What Pelagius Attributes to Free Will.

Let him lend an ear also to the same godly bishop, who says, in the sixth book of this same book: [1909]1908 aThe reason why they would not receive Him is mentioned by the evangelist himself in these words. âBecause His face was as though He would go to Jerusalem.â[1910]1909 But His disciples had a strong wish that He should be received into the Samaritan town. God, however, calls whomsoever He deigns, and whom He wills He makes religious. a What wise insight of the man of God, drawn from the very fountain of Godâs grace! âGod,â says he, âcalls whomsoever He deigns, and whom He wills He makes religious. â See whether this is not the prophetas own declaration: al will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and will show pity on whom I will be pitiful; a[1911]1910 and the apostleas deduction therefrom: aSo then, a says he, âit is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.a[1912]1911 Now, when even his model man of our own times says, that awhomsoever God deigns He calls, and whom He wills He makes religious, a will any one be bold enough to contend that that man is not yet religious awho hastens to the Lord, and desires to be directed by Him, and makes his own will depend upon Godâs; who, moreover, cleaves so closely to the Lord, that he becomes (as the apostle says) aone spirita with Him?a[1913]1912 Great, however, as is this entire work of a areligious man, a Pelagius maintains that ait is effected only by the freedom of the will.â But his own blessed Ambrose, whom he so highly commends in word, is against him, saying, aThe Lord God calls whomsoever He deigns, and whom He wills He makes religious.â It is God, then, who makes religious whomsoever He pleases, in order that he may ahasten to the Lord, and desire to be directed by Him, and make his own will depend upon Godas, and cleave so closely to the Lord as to become (as the apostle says) aone spirita with Him; and all this none but a religious man does. Who, then, ever does so much, unless he be made by God to do it?

Chapter 52 [XLVII.]âlf Pelagius Agrees with Ambrose, Augustin Has No Controversy with Him.

Inasmuch, however, as the discussion about free will and Godâs grace has such difficulty in its distinctions, that when free will is maintained, Godâs grace is apparently denied; whilst when Godâs grace is asserted, free will is supposed to be done away with,âPelagius can so involve himself in the shades of this obscurity as to profess agreement with all that we have quoted from St. Ambrose, and declare that such is, and always has been, his opinion also; and endeavour so to explain each, that men may suppose his opinion, to be in fair accord with Ambroseâs. So far therefore, as concerns the questions of Godâs help and grace, you are requested to observe the three things which he has distinguished so very plainly, under the terms ability, a âwill,â and âactuality,â that is, âcapacity,â âvolition,â and âaction.â[1914]1913 If, then, he has come round to an agreement with us, then not the acapacitya alone in man, even if he neither wills nor performs the good, but the volition and the action also, ain other words, our willing well and doing well, athings which have no existence in man, except when he has a good will and acts rightly: aif, I repeat, he thus consents to hold with us that even the volition and the action are assisted by God, and so assisted that we can neither will nor do any good thing without such help; if, too, he believes that this is that very grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ which makes us righteous through His righteousness, and not our own, so that our true righteousness is that which we have of Him, athen, so far as I can judge, there will remain no further controversy between us concerning the assistance we have from the grace of God.

Chapter 53 [XLVIII.]âln What Sense Some Men May Be Said to Live Without Sin in the Present Life.

But in reference to the particular point in which he quoted the holy Ambrose with so much approbation, abecause he found in that authoras writings, from the praises he accorded to Zacharias and Elisabeth, the opinion that a man might possibly in this life be without sin:[1915]1914 although this cannot be denied if God wills it, with whom all things are possible, yet he ought to consider more carefully in what sense this was said. Now, so far as I can see, this statement was made in accordance with a certain standard of conduct, which is among men held to be worthy of approval and praise, and which no human being could justly call in guestion for the purpose of laying accusation or censure. Such a standard Zacharias and his wife Elisabeth are said to have maintained in the sight of God, for no other reason than that they, by walking therein, never deceived people by any dissimulation; but as they in their sincerity appeared to men, so were they known in the sight of God.[1916]1915 The statement, however, was not made with any reference to that perfect state of righteousness in which we shall one day live truly and absolutely in a condition of spotless purity. The Apostle Paul, indeed, has told us that he was ablameless, as touching the righteousness which is of the law; a[1917]1916 and it was in respect of the same law that Zacharias also lived a blameless life. This righteousness, however, the apostle counted as adunga and aloss, a in comparison with the righteousness which is the object of our hope,[1918]1917 and which we ought to âhunger and thirst after, â[1919]1918 in order that hereafter we may be satisfied with the vision thereof, enjoying it now by faith, so long as âthe just do live by faith.â[1920]1919

Chapter 54 [XLIX.]âAmbrose Teaches that No One is Sinless in This World.

Lastly, let him give good heed to his venerable bishop, when he is expounding the Prophet Isaiah,[1921]1920 and says that ano man in this world can be without sin.a Now nobody can pretend to say that by the

phrase âin this worldâ he simply meant, in the love of this world. For he was speaking of the apostle, who said, aOur conversation is in heaven; a[1922]1921 and while unfolding the sense of these words, the eminent bishop expressed himself thus: a Now the apostle says that many men, even while living in the present world, are perfect with themselves, who could not possibly be deemed perfect, if one looks at true perfection. For he says himself: a We now see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know, even as also I am known.â[1923]1922 Thus, there are those who are spotless in this world, there are those who will be spotless in the kingdom of God; although, of course, if you sift the thing minutely, no one could be spotless, because no one is without sin.â That passage, then, of the holy Ambrose, which Pelagius applies in support of his own opinion, was either written in a gualified sense, probable, indeed, but not expressed with minute accuracy; or if the holy and lowly-minded author did think that Zacharias and Elisabeth lived according to the highest and absolutely perfect righteousness, which was incapable of increase or addition, he certainly corrected his opinion on a minuter examination of it.

Chapter 55 [L.]âAmbrose Witnesses that Perfect Purity is Impossible to Human Nature.

He ought, moreover, carefully to note that, in the very same context from which he quoted that passage of Ambroseâs, which seemed so satisfactory for his purpose, he also said this: aTo be spotless from the beginning is an impossibility to human nature.â[1924]1923 In this sentence the venerable Ambrose does undoubtedly predicate feebleness and infirmity of that natural acapacity, a which Pelagius refuses faithfully to regard as corrupted by sin, and therefore boastfully extols. Beyond guestion, this runs counter to this manâs will and inclination, although it does not contravene the truthful confession of the apostle, wherein he says: aWe too were once by nature the children of wrath, even as others. a[1925]1924 For through the sin of the first man, which came from his free will, our nature became corrupted and ruined; and nothing but Godâs grace alone, through Him who is the Mediator between God and men, and our Almighty Physician, succours it. Now, since we have already prolonged this work too far in treating of the assistance of the divine grace towards our justification, by which God co-operates in all things for good with those who love Him, [1926]1925 and whom He first loved [1927]1926 agiving to them that He might receive from them: we must commence another treatise, as the Lord shall enable us, on the subject of sin also, which by one man has entered into the world, along with death, and so has passed upon all men,[1928]1927 setting forth as much as shall seem needful and sufficient, in opposition to those persons who have broken out into violent and open error, contrary to the truth here stated.

Book II.

On Original Sin.

Wherein Augustin shows that Pelagius really differs in no respect, on the question of original sin and the baptism of infants, from his follower CÅlestius, who, refusing to acknowledge original sin and even daring to deny the doctrine in public, was condemned in trials before the bishopsâfirst at Carthage, and afterwards at Rome; for this question is not, as these heretics would have it, one wherein persons might err without danger to the faith. Their heresy, indeed, aimed at nothing else than the very foundations of Christian belief. He afterwards refutes all such as maintained that the blessing of matrimony is disparaged by the doctrine of original depravity, and an injury done to God himself, the Creator of man who is born by means of matrimony.

Chapter 1 [I.]âCaution Needed in Attending to Pelagiusâ Deliverances on Infant Baptism.

Next I beg of you,[1929]1928 carefully to observe with what caution you ought to lend an ear, on the question of the baptism of infants, to men of this character, who dare not openly deny the laver of regeneration and the forgiveness of sins to this early age, for fear that Christian ears would not bear to listen to them; and who yet persist in holding and urging their opinion, that the carnal generation is not held guilty of manâs first sin, although they seem to allow infants to be baptized for the remission of sins. You have, indeed, yourselves informed me in your letter, that you heard Pelagius say in your presence, reading out of that book of his which he declared that he had also sent to Rome, that they maintain that âinfants ought to be baptized with the same formula of sacramental words as adults.â[1930]1929 Who, after that statement, would suppose that one ought to raise any question at all on this subject? Or if he did, to whom would he not seem to indulge a very calumnious dispositionaprevious to the perusal of their plain assertions, in which they deny that infants inherit original sin, and contend that all persons are born free from all corruption?

Chapter 2 [II.] Chapter 2 [II.

CÅlestius, indeed, maintained this erroneous doctrine with less restraint. To such an extent did he push his freedom as actually to refuse, when on trial before the bishops at Carthage,[1931]1930 to condemn those who say, âThat Adamâs sin injured only Adam himself, and not the human race; and that infants at their birth are in the same state that Adam was in before his transgression.â[1932]1931 In the written statement, too, which he presented to the most blessed Pope Zosimus at Rome, he declared with especial plainness, âthat original sin binds no single infant.â Concerning the ecclesiastical proceedings at Carthage we copy the following account of his words.

Chapter 3 [III.]âPart of the Proceedings of the Council of Carthage Against CÅlestius.

âThe bishop Aurelius said: âLet what follows be recited.â It was accordingly recited, âThat the sin of Adam was injurious to him alone, and not to the human race.â Then, after the recital, CÅlestius said: âl said that I was in doubt about the transmission of sin,[1933]1932 but so as to yield assent to any man whom God has gifted with the grace of knowledge; for I have heard different opinions from those who have been even appointed presbyters in the Catholic Church.â The deacon Paulinus[1934]1933 said: âTell us their names.â CÅlestius answered: aThe holy presbyter Rufinus,[1935]1934 who lived at Rome with the holy Pammachius. I have heard him declare that there is no transmission of sin.â The deacon Paulinus then asked: âls there any one else?â CÅlestius replied: âl have heard more say the same.â The deacon Paulinus rejoined: aTell us their names. a CAlestius said: als not one priest enough for you?ââ Then afterwards in another place we read: âThe bishop Aurelius said: âLet the rest of the accusation be read.â It then was recited âThat infants at their birth are in the same state that Adam was before the transgression; and they read to the very end of the brief accusation which had been previously put in. [IV.] The bishop Aurelius inquired: aHave you, CAlestius, taught at any time, as the deacon Paulinus has stated, that infants are at their birth in the same state that Adam was before his transgression?â CÅlestius answered: aLet him explain what he meant when he said, âbefore the transgression.ââ The deacon Paulinus then said: âDo you on your side deny that you ever taught this doctrine? It must be one of two things: he must either say that he never so taught, or else he must now condemn the opinion.â CÅlestius rejoined: âl have already said, Let him explain the words he mentioned, âbefore the transgression.ââ The deacon Paulinus then said: âYou must denv ever having taught this.â The bishop Aurelius said: âl ask, What conclusion I have on my part to draw from this manâs obstinacy; my affirmation is, that although Adam, as created in Paradise, is said to have been made immortal at first, he afterwards became corruptible through transgressing the commandment. Do you say this, brother Paulinus?â âl do, my lord, answered the deacon Paulinus. Then the bishop Aurelius said: âAs regards the condition of infants before baptism at the present day, the deacon Paulinus wishes to be informed whether it is such as Adamâs was before the transgression; and whether it derives the guilt of transgression from the same origin of sin from which it is born?â The deacon Paulinus asked: âLet him deny whether he taught this, or not.â CÅlestius answered: âAs touching the transmission of sin, I have already asserted, that I have heard many persons of acknowledged position in the catholic Church deny it altogether; and on the other hand, others affirm it: it may be fairly deemed a matter for inquiry, but not a heresy. I have always maintained that infants require baptism, and ought to be baptized. What else does he want?ââ

Chapter 4.âCÅlestius Concedes Baptism for Infants, Without Affirming Original Sin.

You, of course, see that CÅlestius here conceded baptism for infants only in such a manner as to be unwilling to confess that the sin of the first man, which is washed away in the laver of regeneration, passes over to them, although at the same time he did not venture to deny this; and on account of this doubt he refused to condemn those who maintain âThat Adamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race;â and âthat infants at their birth are in the same condition wherein Adam was before the transgression.â Chapter 5 [V.]aCÅlestiusa Book Which Was Produced in the Proceedings at Rome.

But in the book which he published at Rome, and produced in the proceedings before the church there, he so speaks on this guestion as to show that he really believes what he had professed to be in doubt about. For these are his words: [1936]1935 âThat infants, however, ought to be baptized for the remission of sins, according to the rule of the Church universal, and according to the meaning of the Gospel, we confess. For the Lord has determined that the kingdom of heaven should only be conferred on baptized persons;[1937]1936 and since the resources of nature do not possess it, it must necessarily be conferred by the gift of grace. a Now if he had not said anything elsewhere on this subject, who would not have supposed that he acknowledged the remission of original sin even in infants at their baptism, by saying that they ought to be baptized for the remission of sins? Hence the point of what you have stated in your letter, that Pelagiusâ answer to you was on this wise, âThat infants are baptized with the same words of sacramental formula as adults, and that you were rejoiced to hear the very thing which you were desirous of hearing, and yet that you preferred holding a consultation with us concerning his words.

Chapter 6 [VI.]âCÅlestius the Disciple is In This Work Bolder Than His Master.

Carefully observe, then, what CAlestius has advanced so very openly, and you will discover what amount of concealment Pelagius has practised upon you. CAlestius goes on to say as follows: aThat infants, however, must be baptized for the remission of sins, was not admitted by us with the view of our seeming to affirm sin by transmission. This is very alien from the catholic meaning, because sin is not born with a man, ait is subsequently committed by the man for it is shown to be a fault, not of nature, but of the will. It is fitting, therefore, to confess this, lest we should seem to make different kinds of baptism; it is, moreover, necessary to lay down this preliminary safeguard, lest by the occasion of this mystery evil should, to the disparagement of the Creator, be said to be conveyed to man by nature. before that it has been committed by man.â Now Pelagius was either afraid or ashamed to avow this to be his own opinion before you; although his disciple experienced neither a qualm nor a blush in openly professing it to be his, without any obscure subterfuges, in presence of the Apostolic See.

Chapter 7.âPope Zosimus Kindly Excuses Him.

The bishop, however, who presides over this See, upon seeing him hurrying headlong in so great presumption like a madman, chose in his great compassion, with a view to the manâs repentance, if it might be, rather to bind him tightly by eliciting from him answers to questions proposed by himself, than by the stroke of a severe condemnation to drive him over the precipice, down which he seemed to be even now ready to fall. I say advisedly, âdown which he seemed to be ready to fall,â rather than âover which he had actually fallen,â because he had already in this same book of his forecast the subject with an intended reference to questions of this sort in the following words: âlf it should so happen that any error of ignorance has stolen over us human beings, let it be corrected by your decisive sentence.â

Chapter 8 [VII.] aCÅlestius Condemned by Zosimus.

The venerable Pope Zosimus, keeping in view this deprecatory preamble, dealt with the man, puffed up as he was with the blasts of false doctrine, so as that he should condemn all the objectionable points which had been alleged against him by the deacon Paulinus, and that he should yield his assent to the rescript of the Apostolic See which had been issued by his predecessor of sacred memory. The accused man, however, refused to condemn the objections raised by the deacon, yet he did not dare to hold out against the letter of the blessed Pope Innocent; indeed, he went so far as to apromise that he would condemn all the points which the Apostolic See condemned.â Thus the man was treated with gentle remedies, as a delirious patient who required rest; but, at the same time, he was not regarded as being yet ready to be released from the restraints of excommunication. The interval of two months being granted him, until communications could be received from Africa, a place for recovery was conceded to him, under the mild restorative of the sentence which had been pronounced. For in truth, if he would have laid aside his vain obstinacy, and be now willing to carry out what he had undertaken, and would carefully read the very letter to which he had replied by promising submission, he would yet come to a better mind. But after the rescripts were duly issued from the council of the African bishops, there were very good reasons why the sentence should be carried out against him, in strictest accordance with equity. What these reasons were you may read for vourselves, for we have sent you all the particulars.

Chapter 9 [VIII.]âPelagius Deceived the Council in Palestine, But Was Unable to Deceive the Church at Rome.

Wherefore Pelagius, too, if he will only reflect candidly on his own position and writings, has no reason for saying that he ought not to have been banned with such a sentence. For although he deceived the council in Palestine, seemingly clearing himself before it, he entirely failed in imposing on the church at Rome (where, as you well know, he is by no means a stranger), although he went so far as to make the attempt, if he might somehow succeed. But, as I have just said, he entirely failed. For the most blessed Pope Zosimus recollected what his predecessor, who had set him so worthy an example, had thought of these very proceedings. Nor did he omit to observe what opinion was entertained about this man by the trusty Romans, whose faith deserved to be spoken of in the Lord, [1938]1937 and whose consistent zeal in defence of catholic truth against this heresy he saw prevailing amongst them with warmth, and at the same time most perfect harmony. The man had lived among them for a long while, and his opinions could not escape their notice; moreover, they had so completely found out his disciple CÅlestius, as to be able at once to adduce the most trustworthy and irrefragable evidence on this subject. Now what was the solemn judgment which the holy Pope Innocent formed respecting the proceedings in the Synod of Palestine, by which Pelagius boasts of having been acquitted, you may indeed read in the letter which he addressed to me. It is duly mentioned also in the answer which was forwarded by the African Synod to the venerable Pope Zosimus and which, along with the other instructions, we have despatched to your loving selves.[1939]1938 But it seems to me, at the same time, that I ought not to omit producing the particulars in the present work.

Chapter 10 [IX.]âThe Judgment of Innocent Respecting the Proceedings in Palestine.

Five bishops, then, of whom I was one, wrote him a letter, [1940]1939 wherein we mentioned the proceedings in Palestine, of which the report had already reached us. We informed him that in the East, where this man lived, there had taken place certain ecclesiastical proceedings, in which he was thought to have been acquitted on all the charges. To this communication from us Innocent replied in a letter which contains the following among other words: aThere are, a says he, asundry positions, as stated in these very Proceedings, which, when they were objected against him, he partly suppressed by avoiding them, and partly confused in absolute obscurity, by wresting the sense of many words; whilst there are other allegations which he cleared off, anot, indeed, in the honest way which he might seem at the time to use, but rather by methods of sophistry, meeting some of the objections with a flat denial, and tampering with others by a fallacious interpretation. Would, however, that he would even now adopt what is the far more desirable course of turning from his own error back to the true ways of catholic faith; that he would also, duly considering Godâs daily grace, and acknowledging the help thereof, be willing and desirous to appear, amidst the approbation of all men, to be truly corrected by the method of open conviction, anot, indeed, by judicial process, but by a hearty conversion to the catholic faith. We are therefore unable either to approve of or to blame their proceedings at that trial; for we cannot tell whether the proceedings were true, or even, if true, whether they do not really show that the man escaped by subterfuge, rather than that he cleared himself by entire truth.a[1941]1940 You see clearly from these words, how that the most blessed Pope Innocent without doubt speaks of this man as of one who was by no means unknown to him. You see what opinion he entertained about his acquittal. You see, moreover, what his successor the holy Pope Zosimus was bound to recollect, âas in truth he did, âso as to confirm without hesitation the judgment of his predecessor in this case.

Chapter 11 [X.]âHow that Pelagius Deceived the Synod of Palestine.

Now I pray you carefully to observe by what evidence Pelagius is shown to have deceived his judges in Palestine, not to mention other points, on this very question of the baptism of infants, lest we should seem to any one to have used calumny and suspicion, rather than to have ascertained the certain fact, when we alleged that Pelagius concealed the opinion which CÅlestius expressed with greater frankness, while at the same time he actually entertained the same views. Now, from what has been stated above, it has been clearly seen that CÅlestius refused to condemn the assertion that âAdamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race, and that infants at their birth are in the same state that Adam was before the transgression,â because he saw that, if he condemned these propositions, he would affirm that there was in infants a transmission of sin from Adam. When, however, it was objected to Pelagius that he was of one mind with CÅlestius on this point, he condemned the words without hesitation. I am quite aware that you have read all this before. Since, however, we are not writing this account for you alone, we proceed to transcribe the very words of the synodal acts, lest the reader should be unwilling either to turn to the record for himself, or if he does not possess it, take the trouble to procure a copy. Here, then, are the words:â

Chapter 12 [XI.]âA Portion of the Proceedings of the Synod of Palestine in the Cause of Pelagius.

âThe synod said:[1942]1941 Now, forasmuch as Pelagius has pronounced his anathema on this uncertain utterance of folly, rightly replying that a man by Godâs help and grace is able to live ananartitov, that is to say, without sin, let him give us his answer on other articles also. Another particular in the teaching of CÅlestius, disciple of Pelagius, selected from the heads which were mentioned and heard at Carthage before the holy Aurelius bishop of Carthage, and other bishops, was to this effect: aThat Adam was made mortal, and that he would have died, whether he sinned or did not sin; that Adamâs sin injured himself alone, and not the human race; that the law no less than the gospel leads us to the kingdom; that before the coming of Christ there were persons without sin; that newborn infants are in the same condition that Adam was before the transgression; that, on the one hand, the entire human race does not die on account of Adamâs death and transgression, nor, on the other hand, does the whole human race rise again through the resurrection of Christ; that the holy bishop Augustin wrote a book in answer to his followers in Sicily, on articles which were subjoined, and in this book, which was addressed to Hilary, are contained the following statements: That a man is able to be without sin if he wishes; that infants, even if they are unbaptized, have eternal life; that rich men, even if they are baptized, unless they renounce and give up all, have, whatever good they may seem to have done, nothing of it reckoned unto them, neither can they possess the kingdom of heaven. a Pelagius then said: As regards manas ability to be without sin, my opinion has been already spoken. With respect, however, to the allegation that there were even before the Lordâs coming persons who lived without sin, we also on our part say, that before the coming of Christ there certainly were persons who passed their lives in holiness and righteousness. according to the accounts which have been handed down to us in the Holy Scriptures. As for the other points, indeed, even on their own showing, they are not of a character which obliges me to be answerable for them; but yet, for the satisfaction of the sacred Synod, I anathematize those who either now hold or have ever held these opinions.â

Chapter 13 [XII.]âCÅlestius the Bolder Heretic; Pelagius the More Subtle.

You see, indeed, not to mention other points, how that Pelagius pronounced his anathema against those who hold that âAdamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race; and that infants are at their birth in the same condition in which Adam was before the transgression.â Now what else could the bishops who sat in judgment on him have possibly understood him to mean by this, but that the sin of Adam is transmitted to infants? It was to avoid making such an admission that CÅlestius refused to condemn this statement, which this man on the contrary anathematized. If, therefore, I shall show that he did not really entertain any other opinion concerning infants than that they are born without any contagion of a single sin, what difference will there remain on this guestion between him and CÅlestius, except this, that the one is more open, the other more reserved; the one more pertinacious, the other more mendacious; or, at any rate, that the one is more candid, the other more astute? For, the one before the church of Carthage refused to condemn what he afterwards in the church at Rome publicly confessed to be a tenet of his own; at the same time professing himself aready to submit to correction if an error had stolen over him, considering that he was but human; a whereas the other both condemned this dogma as being contrary to the truth lest he should himself be condemned by his catholic judges, and yet kept it in reserve for subsequent defence, so that either his condemnation was a lie, or his interpretation a trick.

Chapter 14 [XIII.]âHe Shows That, Even After the Synod of Palestine, Pelagius Held the Same Opinions as CÅlestius on the Subject of Original Sin.

I see, however, that it may be most justly demanded of me, that I do not defer my promised demonstration, that he actually entertains the same views as CÅlestius. In the first book of his more recent work, written in defence of free will (which work he mentions in the letter he despatched to Rome), he says: a Everything good, and everything evil, on account of which we are either laudable or blameworthy, is not born with us but done by us: for we are born not fully developed, but with a capacity for either conduct; and we are procreated as without virtue, so also without vice; and previous to the action of our own proper will, that alone is in man which God has formed. a Now you perceive that in these words of Pelagius, the dogma of both these men is contained, that infants are born without the contagion of any sin from Adam. It is therefore not astonishing that CAlestius refused to condemn such as say that Adamâs sin injured only himself, and not the human race; and that infants are at their birth in the same state in which Adam was before the transgression. But it is very much to be wondered at, that Pelagius had the effrontery to anathematize these opinions. For if, as he alleges, aevil is not born with us, and we are procreated without fault, and the only thing in man previous to the action of his own will is what God has formed, a then of course the sin of Adam did only injure himself, inasmuch as it did not pass on to his offspring. For there is not any sin which is not an evil; or a sin that is not a fault; or else sin was created by God. But he says: âEvil is not born with us, and we are procreated without fault; and the only thing in men at their birth is what God has formed. a Now,

since by this language he supposes it to be most true, that, according to the well-known sentence of his: âAdamâs sin was injurious to himself alone, and not to the human race, a why did Pelagius condemn this, if it were not for the purpose of deceiving his catholic judges? By parity of reasoning, it may also be argued: alf evil is not born with us, and if we are procreated without fault, and if the only thing found in man at the time of his birth is what God has formed, â it follows beyond a doubt that ainfants at their birth are in the same condition that Adam was before the transgression, a in whom no evil or fault was inherent, and in whom that alone existed which God had formed. And yet Pelagius pronounced anathema on all those persons awho hold now, or have at any time held, that newborn babes are placed by their birth in the same state that Adam was in before the transgression, ââin other words, are without any evil, without any fault, having that only which God had formed. Now, why again did Pelagius condemn this tenet also, if it were not for the purpose of deceiving the catholic Synod, and saving himself from the condemnation of an heretical innovator?

Chapter 15 [XIV.]âPelagius by His Mendacity and Deception Stole His Acquittal from the Synod in Palestine.

For my own part, however, I, as you are quite aware, and as I also stated in the book which I addressed to our venerable and aged Aurelius on the proceedings in Palestine, really felt glad that Pelagius in that answer of his had exhausted the whole of this question.[1943]1942 To me, indeed, he seemed most plainly to have acknowledged that there is original sin in infants, by the anathema which he pronounced against those persons who supposed that by the sin of Adam only himself, and not the human race, was injured, and who entertained the opinion that infants are in the same state in which the first man was before the transgression. When, however, I had read his four books (from the first of which I copied the words which I have just now quoted), and discovered that he was still cherishing thoughts which were opposed to the catholic faith touching infants, I felt all the greater surprise at a mendacity which he so unblushingly maintained in a synod of the Church, and on so great a guestion. For if he had already written these books, how did he profess to anathematize those who had ever entertained the opinions alluded to? If he purposed, however, afterwards to publish such a work, how could he anathematize those who at the time were holding the opinions? Unless, to be sure, by some ridiculous subterfuge he meant to say that the objects of his anathema were such persons as had in some previous time held, or were then holding, these opinions; but that in respect of the future athat is, as regarded those persons who were about to take up with such viewsâhe felt that it would be impossible for him to prejudge either himself or other people, and that therefore he was guilty of no lie when he was afterwards detected in the maintenance of similar errors. This plea, however, he does not advance, not only because it is a ridiculous one, but because it cannot possibly be true; because in these very books of his he both argues against the transmission of sin from Adam to infants, and glories in the proceedings of the Synod in Palestine, where he was supposed to have sincerely anathematized such as hold the opinions in dispute, and

where he, in fact, stole his acquittal by practising deceit.

Chapter 16 [XV.] âPelagius a Fraudulent and Crafty Excuses.

For what is the significance to the matter with which we now have to do of his answers to his followers, when he tells them that athe reason why he condemned the points which were objected against him, is because he himself maintains that primal sin was injurious not only to the first man, but to the whole human race, not by transmission, but by example: a in other words, not because those who have been propagated from him have derived any fault from him, but because all who afterwards have sinned, have imitated him who committed the first sin? Or when he says that athe reason why infants are not in the same state in which Adam was before the transgression, is because they are not yet able to receive the commandment, whereas he was able; and because they do not yet make use of that choice of a rational will which he certainly made use of, since otherwise no commandment would have been given to himâ? How does such an exposition as this of the points alleged against him justify him in thinking that he rightly condemned the propositions, âAdamâs sin injured only himself, and not the whole race of man; and and an and an anter their birth are in the self-same state in which Adam was before he sinned; and that by the said condemnation he is not guilty of deceit in holding such opinions as are found in his subsequent writings, how that ainfants are born without any evil or fault, and that there is nothing in them but what God has formed, ââno wound, in short, inflicted by an enemy?

Chapter 17.âHow Pelagius Deceived His Judges.

Now, is it by making such statements as these, meeting objections which are urged in one sense with explanations which are meant in another, that he designs to prove to us that he did not deceive those who sat in judgment on him? Then he utterly fails in his purpose. In proportion to the craftiness of his explanations, was the stealthiness with which he deceived them. For, just because they were catholic bishops, when they heard the man pouring out anathemas upon those who maintained that âAdamâs sin was injurious to none but himself, and not to the human race, a they understood him to assert nothing but what the catholic Church has been accustomed to declare, on the ground of which it truly baptizes infants for the remission of sinsanot, indeed, sins which they have committed by imitation owing to the example of the first sinner, but sins which they have contracted by their very birth, owing to the corruption of their origin. When, again, they heard him anathematizing those who assert that ainfants at their birth are in the same state in which Adam was before the transgression, a they supposed him to refer to none others than those persons who athink that infants have derived no sin from Adam, and that they are accordingly in that state that he was in before his sin.â For. of course, no other objection would be brought against him than that on which the question turned. When, therefore, he so explains the objection as to say that infants are not in the same state that Adam was in before he sinned, simply because they have not yet arrived at the same firmness of mind or body, not because of any propagated fault that has passed on to them, he must be answered thus: âWhen the

objections were laid against you for condemnation, the catholic bishops did not understand them in this sense; therefore, when you condemned them, they believed that you were a catholic. That, accordingly, which they supposed you to maintain, deserved to be released from censure; but that which you really maintained was worthy of condemnation. It was not you, then, that were acquitted, who held tenets which ought to be condemned; but that opinion was freed from censure which you ought to have held and maintained. You could only be supposed to be acquitted by having been believed to entertain opinions worthy to be praised; for your judges could not suppose that you were concealing opinions which merited condemnation. Rightly have you been adjudged an accomplice of CÅlestius, in whose opinions you prove yourself to be a sharer. And though you kept your books shut during your trial, you published them to the world after it was over.â

Chapter 18 [XVII.] âThe Condemnation of Pelagius.

This being the case, you of course feel that episcopal councils, and the Apostolic See, and the whole Roman Church, and the Roman Empire itself,[1944]1943 which by Godâs gracious favour has become Christian, has been most righteously moved against the authors of this wicked error, until they repent and escape from the snares of the devil. For who can tell whether God may not give them repentance to discover, and acknowledge, and even proclaim His truth,[1945]1944 and to condemn their own damnable error? But whatever may be the bent of their own will, we cannot doubt that the merciful kindness of the Lord has sought the good of many persons who followed them, for no other reason than because they saw them associated in communion with the catholic Church.

Chapter 19.âPelagiusâ Attempt to Deceive the Apostolic See; He Inverts the Bearings of the Controversy.

But I would have you carefully observe the way in which Pelagius endeavoured by deception to overreach even the judgment of the bishop of the Apostolic See on this very question of the baptism of infants. He sent a letter to Rome to Pope Innocent of blessed memory; and when it found him not in the flesh, it was handed to the holy Pope Zosimus, and by him directed to us. In this letter he complains of being âdefamed by certain persons for refusing the sacrament of baptism to infants, and promising the kingdom of heaven irrespective of Christâs redemption.â The objections, however, are not urged against them in the manner he has stated. For they neither deny the sacrament of baptism to infants, nor do they promise the kingdom of heaven to any irrespective of the redemption of Christ. As regards, therefore, his complaint of being defamed by sundry persons, he has set it forth in such terms as to be able to give a ready answer to the alleged charge against him, without injury to his own dogma. [XVIII.] The real objection against them is, that they refuse to confess that unbaptized infants are liable to the condemnation of the first man, and that original sin has been transmitted to them and requires to be purged by regeneration; their contention being that infants must be baptized solely for being admitted into the kingdom of heaven, as if they could only have eternal death apart from the kingdom of heaven, who cannot

have eternal life without partaking of the Lordâs body and blood. This, I would have you know, is the real objection to them respecting the baptism of infants; and not as he has represented it, for the purpose of enabling himself to save his own dogmas while answering what is actually a proposition of his own, under colour of meeting an objection.

Chapter 20.âPelagius Provides a Refuge for His Falsehood in Ambiguous Subterfuges.

And then observe how he makes his answer, how he provides in the obscure mazes of his double sense retreats for his false doctrine, quenching the truth in his dark mist of error; so that even we, on our first perusal of his words, almost rejoiced at their propriety and correctness. But the fuller discussions in his books, in which he is generally forced, in spite of all his efforts at concealment, to explain his meaning, have made even his better statements suspicious to us, lest on a closer inspection of them we should detect them to be ambiguous. For, after saying that ahe had never heard even an impious heretic say thisâ (namely, what he set forth as the objection) âabout infants, â he goes on to ask: âWho indeed is so unacquainted with Gospel lessons, as not only to attempt to make such an affirmation, but even to be able to lightly say it or even let it enter his thought? And then who is so impious as to wish to exclude infants from the kingdom of heaven, by forbidding them to be baptized and to be born again in Christ?â

Chapter 21 [XIX.]âPelagius Avoids the Question as to Why Baptism is Necessary for Infants.

Now it is to no purpose that he says all this. He does not clear himself thereby. Not even they have ever denied the impossibility of infants entering the kingdom of heaven without baptism. But this is not the question; what we are discussing concerns the obliteration[1946]1945 of original sin in infants. Let him clear himself on this point, since he refuses to acknowledge that there is anything in infants which the laver of regeneration has to cleanse. On this account we ought carefully to consider what he has afterwards to say. After adducing, then, the passage of the Gospel which declares that awhosoever is not born again of water and the Spirit cannot enter into the kingdom of heavena[1947]1946 (on which matter, as we have said, they raise no question), he goes on at once to ask: aWho indeed is so impious as to have the heart to refuse the common redemption of the human race to an infant of any age whatever? a But this is ambiguous language; for what redemption does he mean? Is it from evil to good? or from good to better? Now even CAlestius, at Carthage, [1948] 1947 allowed a redemption for infants in his book: although, at the same time, he would not admit the transmission of sin to them from Adam.

Chapter 22 [XX.] âAnother Instance of Pelagiusâ Ambiguity.

Then, again, observe what he subjoins to the last remark: âCan any one,â says he, âforbid a second birth to an eternal and certain life,

to him who has been born to this present uncertain life?â In other words: âWho is so impious as to forbid his being born again to the life which is sure and eternal, who has been born to this life of uncertainty?â When we first read these words, we supposed that by the phrase auncertain lifea he meant to designate this present temporal life; although it appeared to us that he ought rather to have called it âmortalâ than âuncertain,â because it is brought to a close by certain death. But for all this, we thought that he had only shown a preference for calling this mortal life an uncertain one, because of the general view which men take that there is undoubtedly not a moment in our lives when we are free from this uncertainty. And so it happened that our anxiety about him was allayed to some extent by the following consideration, which rose almost to a proof, notwithstanding the fact of his unwillingness openly to confess that infants incur eternal death who depart this life without the sacrament of baptism. We argued: alf, as he seems to admit, eternal life can only accrue to them who have been baptized, it follows of course that they who die unbaptized incur everlasting death. This destiny, however, cannot by any means justly befall those who never in this life committed any sins of their own, unless on account of original sin.â

Chapter 23 [XXI.]âWhat He Means by Our Birth to an âUncertainâ Life.

Certain brethren, however, afterwards failed not to remind us that Pelagius possibly expressed himself in this way, because on this question he is represented as having his answer ready for all inquirers, to this effect: aAs for infants who die unbaptized, I know indeed whither they go not; yet whither they go, I know not; a that is, I know they do not go into the kingdom of heaven. But as to whither they go, he was (and for the matter of that, still is[1949]1948) in the habit of saying that he knew not, because he dared not say that those went to eternal death, who he was persuaded had never committed sin in this life, and whom he would not admit to have inherited original sin. Consequently those very words of his which were forwarded to Rome to secure his absolute acquittal, are so steeped in ambiguity that they afford a shelter for their doctrine, out of which may sally forth an heretical sense to entrap the unwary straggler; for when no one is at hand who can give the answer, any solitary man may find himself weak.

Chapter 24. âPelagius à Long Residence at Rome.

The truth indeed is, that in the book of his faith which he sent to Rome with this very letter[1950]1949 to the before-mentioned Pope Innocent, to whom also he had written the letter, he only the more evidently exposed himself by his efforts at concealment. He says:[1951]1950 âWe hold one baptism, which we say ought to be administered in the same sacramental words in the case of infants as in the case of adults.â He did not, however, say, âin the same sacramentâ (although if he had so said, there would still have been ambiguity), but âin the same sacramental words,ââas if remission of sins in infants were declared by the sound of the words, and not wrought by the effect of the acts. For the time, indeed, he seemed to say what was agreeable with the catholic faith; but he had it not in his power permanently to deceive that see. Subsequent to the rescript of the African Council, into which province this pestilent doctrine had stealthily made its wayawithout, however, spreading widely or sinking deeplyaother opinions also of this man were by the industry of some faithful brethren discovered and brought to light at Rome, where he had dwelt for a very long while, and had already engaged in sundry discourses and controversies. In order to procure the condemnation of these opinions, Pope Zosimus, as you may read, annexed them to his letter, which he wrote for publication throughout the catholic world. Among these statements, Pelagius, pretending to expound the Apostle Paulâs Epistle to the Romans, argues in these words: alf Adamas sin injured those who have not sinned, then also Christâs righteousness profits those who do not believe. â He says other things, too, of the same purport; but they have all been refuted and answered by me with the Lordâs help in the books which I wrote. On the Baptism of Infants.[1952]1951 But he had not the courage to make those objectionable statements in his own person in the fore-mentioned so-called exposition. This particular one, however, having been enunciated in a place where he was so well known, his words and their meaning could not be disguised. In those books, from the first of which I have already before quoted, [1953]1952 he treats this point without any suppression of his views. With all the energy of which he is capable, he most plainly asserts that human nature in infants cannot in any wise be supposed to be corrupted by propagation; and by claiming salvation for them as their due, he does despite to the Saviour.

Chapter 25 [XXII.]âThe Condemnation of Pelagius and CÅlestius.

These things, then, being as I have stated them, it is now evident that there has arisen a deadly heresy, which, with the Lordâs help, the Church by this time guards against more directlyanow that those two men, Pelagius and CÅlestius, have been either offered repentance, or on their refusal been wholly condemned. They are reported, or perhaps actually proved, to be the authors of this perversion; at all events, if not the authors (as having learnt it from others), they are yet its boasted abettors and teachers, through whose agency the heresy has advanced and grown to a wider extent. This boast, too, is made even in their own statements and writings, and in unmistakeable signs of reality, as well as in the fame which arises and grows out of all these circumstances. What, therefore, remains to be done? Must not every catholic, with all the energies wherewith the Lord endows him, confute this pestilential doctrine, and oppose it with all vigilance; so that whenever we contend for the truth, compelled to answer, but not fond of the contest, the untaught may be instructed, and that thus the Church may be benefited by that which the enemy devised for her destruction; in accordance with that word of the apostleas, a There must be heresies, that they which are approved may be made manifest among youâ?[1954]1953

Chapter 26 [XXIII.]âThe Pelagians Maintain that Raising Questions About Original Sin Does Not Endanger the Faith.

Therefore, after the full discussion with which we have been able to

rebut in writing this error of theirs, which is so inimical to the grace of God bestowed on small and great through our Lord Jesus Christ, it is now our duty to examine and explode that assertion of theirs, which in their desire to avoid the odious imputation of heresy they astutely advance, to the effect that âcalling this subject into question produces no danger to the faith,ââin order that they may appear, forsooth, if they are convicted of having deviated from it, to have erred not criminally, but only, as it were,

courteously.[1955]1954 This, accordingly, is the language which CÅlestius used in the ecclesiastical process at Carthage: [1956]1955 âAs touching the transmission of sin,â he said, âl have already said that I have heard many persons of acknowledged position in the catholic Church deny it, and on the other hand many affirm it; it may fairly, indeed, be deemed a matter for inquiry, but not a heresy. I have always maintained that infants require baptism, and ought to be baptized. What else does he want?â He said this, as if he wanted to intimate that only then could he be deemed chargeable with heresy, if he were to assert that they ought not to be baptized. As the case stood, however, inasmuch as he acknowledged that they ought to be baptized, he thought that he had not erred [criminally], and therefore ought not to be adjudged a heretic, even though he maintained the reason of their baptism to be other than the truth holds, or the faith claims as its own. On the same principle, in the book which he sent to Rome, he first explained his belief, so far as it suited his pleasure, from the Trinity of the One Godhead down to the kind of resurrection of the dead that is to be; on all which points, however, no one had ever questioned him, or been questioned by him. And when his discourse reached the question which was under consideration, he said: alf, indeed, any questions have arisen beyond the compass of the faith, on which there might be perhaps dissension on the part of a great many persons, in no case have I pretended to pronounce a decision on any dogma, as if I possessed a definitive authority in the matter myself; but whatever I have derived from the fountain of the prophets and the apostles, I have presented for approbation to the judgment of your apostolic office; so that if any error has crept in among us, human as we are, through our ignorance, it may be corrected by your sentence.â[1957]1956 You of course clearly see that in this action of his he used all this deprecatory preamble in order that, if he had been discovered to have erred at all, he might seem to have erred not on a matter of faith, but on questionable points outside the faith; wherein, however necessary it may be to correct the error, it is not corrected as a heresy; wherein also the person who undergoes the correction is declared indeed to be in error, but for all that is not adjudged a heretic.

Chapter 27 [XXIII.] âOn Questions Outside the FaithâWhat They Are, and Instances of the Same.

But he is greatly mistaken in this opinion. The questions which he supposes to be outside the faith are of a very different character from those in which, without any detriment to the faith whereby we are Christians, there exists either an ignorance of the real fact, and a consequent suspension of any fixed opinion, or else a conjectural view of the case, which, owing to the infirmity of human thought, issues in conceptions at variance with truth: as when a question arises about the description and locality of that Paradise where God placed man whom He formed out of the ground, without any disturbance, however, of the Christian belief that there undoubtedly is such a Paradise; or as when it is asked where Elijah is at the present moment, and where Enochâwhether in this Paradise or in some other place, although we doubt not of their existing still in the same bodies in which they were born; or as when one inquires whether it was in the body or out of the body that the apostle was caught up to the third heaven. an inquiry, however, which betokens great lack of modesty on the part of those who would fain know what he who is the subject of the mystery itself expressly declares his ignorance of,[1958]1957 without impairing his own belief of the fact; or as when the question is started, how many are those heavens, to the athirda of which he tells us that he was caught up; or whether the elements of this visible world are four or more; what it is which causes those eclipses of the sun or the moon which astronomers are in the habit of foretelling for certain appointed seasons; why, again, men of ancient times lived to the age which Holy Scripture assigns to them; and whether the period of their puberty, when they begat their first son, was postponed to an older age, proportioned to their longer life; or where Methuselah could possibly have lived, since he was not in the Ark, inasmuch as (according to the chronological notes of most copies of the Scripture, both Greek and Latin) he is found to have survived the deluge; or whether we must follow the order of the fewer copiesaand they happen to be extremely fewawhich so arrange the years as to show that he died before the deluge. Now who does not feel, amidst the various and innumerable questions of this sort, which relate either to Godâs most hidden operations or to most obscure passages of the Scriptures, and which it is difficult to embrace and define in any certain way, that ignorance may on many points be compatible with sound Christian faith, and that occasionally erroneous opinion may be entertained without any room for the imputation of heretical doctrine?

Chapter 28 [XXIV.]âThe Heresy of Pelagius and CÅlestius Aims at the Very Foundations of Our Faith.

This is, however, in the matter of the two men by one of whom we are sold under sin.[1959]1958 by the other redeemed from sinsaby the one have been precipitated into death, by the other are liberated unto life; the former of whom has ruined us in himself, by doing his own will instead of His who created him; the latter has saved us in Himself, by not doing His own will, but the will of Him who sent Him: [1960]1959 and it is in what concerns these two men that the Christian faith properly consists. For athere is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus; a[1961]1960 since athere is none other name under heaven given to men, whereby we must be saved; a[1962]1961 and ain Him hath God defined unto all men their faith, in that He hath raised Him from the dead.a[1963]1962 Now without this faith, that is to say, without a belief in the one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus; without faith, I say, in His resurrection by which God has given assurance to all men and which no man could of course truly believe were it not for His incarnation and death; without faith, therefore, in the incarnation

and death and resurrection of Christ, the Christian verity unhesitatingly declares that the ancient saints could not possibly have been cleansed from sin so as to have become holy, and justified by the grace of God. And this is true both of the saints who are mentioned in Holy Scripture, and of those also who are not indeed mentioned therein, but must yet be supposed to have existed,âeither before the deluge, or in the interval between that event and the giving of the law, or in the period of the law itself,ânot merely among the children of Israel, as the prophets, but even outside that nation, as for instance Job. For it was by the self-same faith in the one Mediator that the hearts of these, too, were cleansed, and there also was âshed abroad in them the love of God by the Holy Ghost,â[1964]1963 âwho bloweth where He listeth,â[1965]1964 not following menâs merits, but even producing these very merits Himself. For the grace of God will in no wise exist unless it be wholly free.

Chapter 29.âThe Righteous Men Who Lived in the Time of the Law Were for All that Not Under the Law, But Under Grace. The Grace of the New Testament Hidden Under the Old.

Death indeed reigned from Adam until Moses, [1966]1965 because it was not possible even for the law given through Moses to overcome it: it was not given, in fact, as something able to give life;[1967]1966 but as something that ought to show those that were dead and for whom grace was needed to give them life, that they were not only prostrated under the propagation and domination of sin, but also convicted by the additional guilt of breaking the law itself: not in order that any one might perish who in the mercy of God understood this even in that early age; but that, destined though he was to punishment, owing to the dominion of death, and manifested, too, as guilty through his own violation of the law, he might seek Godâs help, and so where sin abounded, grace might much more abound, [1968]1967 even the grace which alone delivers from the body of this death.[1969]1968 [XXV.] Yet, notwithstanding this, although not even the law which Moses gave was able to liberate any man from the dominion of death, there were even then, too, at the time of the law, men of God who were not living under the terror and conviction and punishment of the law, but under the delight and healing and liberation of grace. Some there were who said, al was shapen in iniquity, and in sin did my mother conceive me;â[1970]1969 and, âThere is no rest in my bones, by reason of my sins;â[1971]1970 and, âCreate in me a clean heart, O God; and renew a right spirit in my inward parts; a[1972]1971 and, a Stablish me with Thy directing Spirit; a[1973]1972 and, aTake not Thy Holy Spirit from me.â[1974]1973 There were some, again, who said: âl believed, therefore have I spoken.â[1975]1974 For they too were cleansed with the self-same faith with which we ourselves are. Whence the apostle also says: aWe having the same spirit of faith, according as it is written, I believe, and therefore have I spoken; we also believe, and therefore speak.a[1976]1975 Out of very faith was it said, aBehold, a virgin shall conceive and bear a son, and they shall call His name Emmanuel, a[1977]1976 awhich is, being interpreted, God with us.â[1978]1977 Out of very faith too was it said concerning Him: âAs a bridegroom He cometh out of His chamber; as a giant did He exult to run His course. His going forth is from the extremity of heaven, and

His circuit runs to the other end of heaven; and no one is hidden from His heat.â[1979]1978 Out of very faith, again, was it said to Him: âThy throne, O God, is for ever and ever; a sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of Thy kingdom. Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity; therefore God, Thy God, hath anointed Thee with the oil of gladness above Thy fellows. a[1980]1979 By the self-same Spirit of faith were all these things foreseen by them as to happen, whereby they are believed by us as having happened. They, indeed, who were able in faithful love to foretell these things to us were not themselves partakers of them. The Apostle Peter says, aWhy tempt ve God to put a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear? But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ we shall be saved, even as they.â[1981]1980 Now on what principle does he make this statement, if it be not because even they were saved through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and not the law of Moses, from which comes not the cure, but only the knowledge of sin?[1982]1981 Now, however, the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets. [1983]1982 If, therefore, it is now manifested, it even then existed, but it was hidden. This concealment was symbolized by the veil of the temple. When Christ was dying, this veil was rent asunder, [1984]1983 to signify the full revelation of Him. Even of old, therefore there existed amongst the people of God this grace of the one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus; but like the rain in the fleece which God sets apart for His inheritance, [1985]1984 not of debt, but of His own will, it was latently present, but is now patently visible amongst all nations as its afloor, a the fleece being dry, ain other words, the Jewish people having become reprobate [1986]1985

Chapter 30 [XXVI]âPelagius and CÅlestius Deny that the Ancient Saints Were Saved by Christ.

We must not therefore divide the times, as Pelagius and his disciples do, who say that men first lived righteously by nature, then under the law, thirdly under grace, aby nature meaning all the long time from Adam before the giving of the law. aFor then, a say they, athe Creator was known by the guidance of reason; and the rule of living rightly was carried written in the hearts of men, not in the law of the letter, but of nature. But menas manners became corrupt; and then, a they say, awhen nature now tarnished began to be insufficient, the law was added to it whereby as by a moon the original lustre was restored to nature after its blush was impaired. But after the habit of sinning had too much prevailed among men, and the law was unequal to the task of curing it, Christ came; and the Physician Himself, through His own self, and not through His disciples, brought relief to the malady at its most desperate development.a

Chapter 31.aChristas Incarnation Was of Avail to the Fathers, Even Though It Had Not Yet Happened.

By disputation of this sort, they attempt to exclude the ancient saints from the grace of the Mediator, as if the man Christ Jesus were not the Mediator between God and those men; on the ground that, not having yet taken flesh of the Virginâs womb. He was not yet man at the time when those righteous men lived. If this, however, were true, in vain would the apostle say: âBy man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead; for as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.â[1987]1986 For inasmuch as those ancient saints, according to the vain conceits of these men, found their nature self-sufficient, and required not the man Christ to be their Mediator to reconcile them to God, so neither shall they be made alive in Him, to whose body they are shown not to belong as members. according to the statement that it was on manâs account that He became man. If, however, as the Truth says through His apostles, even as all die in Adam, even so shall all be made alive in Christ; forasmuch as the resurrection of the dead comes through the one man, even as death comes through the other man; what Christian man can be bold enough to doubt that even those righteous men who pleased God in the more remote periods of the human race are destined to attain to the resurrection of eternal life, and not eternal death, because they shall be made alive in Christ? that they are made alive in Christ, because they belong to the body of Christ? that they belong to the body of Christ, because Christ is the head even to them?[1988]1987 and that Christ is the head even to them, because there is but one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus? But this He could not have been to them, unless through His grace they had believed in His resurrection. And how could they have done this, if they had been ignorant that He was to come in the flesh, and if they had not by this faith lived justly and piously? Now, if the incarnation of Christ could be of no concern to them, on the ground that it had not yet come about, it must follow that Christâs judgment can be of no concern to us, because it has not yet taken place. But if we shall stand at the right hand of Christ through our faith in His judgment, which has not yet transpired, but is to come to pass, it follows that those ancient saints are members of Christ through their faith in His resurrection, which had not in their day happened, but which was one day to come to pass.

Chapter 32 [XXVII.]âHe Shows by the Example of Abraham that the Ancient Saints Believed in the Incarnation of Christ.

For it must not be supposed that those saints of old only profited by Christâs divinity, which was ever existent, and not also by the revelation of His humanity, which had not yet come to pass. What the Lord Jesus says, âAbraham desired to see my day, and he saw it, and was glad, a[1989]1988 meaning by the phrase his day to understand his time, affords of course a clear testimony that Abraham was fully imbued with belief in His incarnation. It is in respect of this that He has a âtime; â for His divinity exceeds all time, for it was by it that all times were created. If, however, any one supposes that the phrase in question must be understood of that eternal adaya which is limited by no morrow, and preceded by no yesterday, ain a word, of the very eternity in which He is co-eternal with the Father, ahow would Abraham really desire this, unless he was aware that there was to be a future mortality belonging to Him whose eternity he wished for? Or, perhaps, some one would confine the meaning of the phrase so far as to say, that nothing else is meant in the Lordâs saying, âHe desired to

see my day,â than âHe desired to see me,â who am the never-ending Day, or the unfailing Light, as when we mention the life of the Son, concerning which it is said in the Gospel: âSo hath He given to the Son to have life in Himself.â[1990]1989 Here the life is nothing less than Himself. So we understand the Son Himself to be the life, when He said, âI am the way, the truth, and the life;â[1991]1990 of whom also it was said, âHe is the true God, and eternal life.â[1992]1991 Supposing, then, that Abraham desired to see this equal divinity of the Sonâs with the Father, without any precognition of His coming in the fleshâas certain philosophers sought Him, who knew nothing of His fleshâcan that other act of Abraham, when he orders his servant to place his hand under his thigh, and to swear by the God of heaven,[1993]1992 be rightly understood by any one otherwise than as showing that Abraham well knew that the flesh in which the God of heaven was to come was the offspring of that very thigh?[1994]1993

Chapter 33 [XVIII.]âHow Christ is Our Mediator.

Of this flesh and blood Melchizedek also, when he blessed Abram himself,[1995]1994 gave the testimony which is very well known to Christian believers, so that long afterwards it was said to Christ in the Psalms: aThou art a Priest for ever, after the order of Melchizedek.â[1996]1995 This was not then an accomplished fact, but was still future; yet that faith of the fathers, which is the self-same faith as our own, used to chant it. Now, to all who find death in Adam, Christ is of this avail, that He is the Mediator for life. He is, however, not a Mediator, because He is equal with the Father; for in this respect He is Himself as far distant from us as the Father; and how can there be any medium where the distance is the very same? Therefore the apostle does not say, âThere is one Mediator between God and men, even Jesus Christ; a but his words are, a The Man Christ Jesus.â[1997]1996 He is the Mediator, then, in that He is man, ainferior to the Father, by so much as He is nearer to ourselves, and superior to us, by so much as He is nearer to the Father. This is more openly expressed thus: âHe is inferior to the Father, because in the form of a servant; a[1998]1997 superior to us, because without spot of sin.

Chapter 34 [XXIX.] aNo Man Ever Saved Save by Christ.

Now, whoever maintains that human nature at any period required not the second Adam for its physician, because it was not corrupted in the first Adam, is convicted as an enemy to the grace of God; not in a question where doubt or error might be compatible with soundness of belief, but in that very rule of faith which makes us Christians. How happens it, then, that the human nature, which first existed, is praised by these men as being so far less tainted with evil manners? How is it that they overlook the fact that men were even then sunk in so many intolerable sins, that, with the exception of one man of God and his wife, and three sons and their wives, the whole world was in Godâs just judgment destroyed by the flood, even as the little land of Sodom was afterwards with fire?[1999]1998 From the moment, then, when âby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, in whom all sinned,â[2000]1999 the entire mass of our nature was ruined beyond doubt, and fell into the possession of its destroyer. And from him no oneâno, not oneâhas been delivered, or is being delivered, or ever will be delivered, except by the grace of the Redeemer.

Chapter 35 [XXX.]âWhy the Circumcision of Infants Was Enjoined Under Pain of So Great a Punishment.

The Scripture does not inform us whether before Abrahamâs time righteous men or their children were marked by any bodily or visible sign.[2001]2000 Abraham himself, indeed, received the sign of circumcision, a seal of the righteousness of faith. [2002]2001 And he received it with this accompanying injunction: All the male infants of his household were from that very time to be circumcised, while fresh from their motheras womb, on the eighth day from their birth; [2003] 2002 so that even they who were not yet able with the heart to believe unto righteousness, should nevertheless receive the seal of the righteousness of faith. And this command was imposed with so fearful a sanction, that God said: aThat soul shall be cut off from his people, whose flesh of his foreskin is not circumcised on the eighth day.â[2004]2003 If inquiry be made into the justice of so terrible a penalty, will not the entire argument of these men about free will, and the laudable soundness and purity of nature, however cleverly maintained, fall to pieces, struck down and fractured to atoms? For, pray tell me, what evil has an infant committed of his own will, that, for the negligence of another in not circumcising him, he himself must be condemned, and with so severe a condemnation, that that soul must be cut off from his people? It was not of any temporal death that this fear was inflicted, since of righteous persons, when they died, it used rather to be said, âAnd he was gathered unto his people; a[2005]2004 or, aHe was gathered to his fathers: a[2006]2005 for no attempt to separate a man from his people is long formidable to him, when his own people is itself the people of God.

Chapter 36 [XXXI]âThe Platonistsâ Opinion About the Existence of the Soul Previous to the Body Rejected.

What, then, is the purport of so severe a condemnation, when no wilful sin has been committed? For it is not as certain Platonists have thought, because every such infant is thus requited in his soul for what it did of its own wilfulness previous to the present life, as having possessed previous to its present bodily state a free choice of living either well or ill; since the Apostle Paul says most plainly, that before they were born they did neither good nor evil.[2007]2006 On what account, therefore, is an infant rightly punished with such ruin, if it be not because he belongs to the mass of perdition, and is properly regarded as born of Adam, condemned under the bond of the ancient debt unless he has been released from the bond, not according to debt, but according to grace? And what grace but Godâs, through our Lord Jesus Christ? Now there was a forecast of His coming undoubtedly contained not only in other sacred institutions [2008]2007 of the ancient Jews, but also in their circumcision of the foreskin. For the eighth day, in the recurrence of weeks, became the Lordâs day, on which the Lord arose from the dead; and Christ was the rock[2009]2008

whence was formed the stony blade for the circumcision;[2010]2009 and the flesh of the foreskin was the body of sin.

## Chapter 37 [XXXII.]âln What Sense Christ is Called âSin.â

There was a change of the sacramental ordinances made after the coming of Him whose advent they prefigured; but there was no change in the Mediatorâs help, who, even previous to His coming in the flesh, all along delivered the ancient members of His body by their faith in His incarnation; and in respect of ourselves too, though we were dead in sins and in the uncircumcision of our flesh, we are guickened together in Christ, in whom we are circumcised with the circumcision not made with the hand. [2011] 2010 but such as was prefigured by the old manual circumcision, that the body of sin might be done away[2012]2011 which was born with us from Adam. The propagation of a condemned origin condemns us, unless we are cleansed by the likeness of sinful flesh, in which He was sent without sin, who nevertheless concerning sin condemned sin, having been made sin for us.[2013]2012 Accordingly the apostle says: âWe beseech you in Christâs stead, be ye reconciled unto God. For He hath made Him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in Him.a[2014]2013 God, therefore, to whom we are reconciled, has made Him to be sin for us, athat is to say, a sacrifice by which our sins may be remitted; for by sins are designated the sacrifices for sins. And indeed He was sacrificed for our sins, the only one among men who had no sins, even as in those early times one was sought for among the flocks to prefigure the Faultless One who was to come to heal our offences. On whatever day, therefore, an infant may be baptized after his birth, he is as if circumcised on the eighth day; inasmuch as he is circumcised in Him who rose again the third day indeed after He was crucified, but the eighth according to the weeks. He is circumcised for the putting off of the body of sin; in other words, that the grace of spiritual regeneration may do away with the debt which the contagion of carnal generation contracted. aFor no one is pure from uncleannessa (what uncleanness, pray, but that of sin?), anot even the infant, whose life is but that of a single day upon the earth.a[2015]2014

Chapter 38 [XXXIII.] aOriginal Sin Does Not Render Marriage Evil.

But they argue thus, saying: âls not, then, marriage an evil, and the man that is produced by marriage not Godâs work?â As if the good of the married life were that disease of concupiscence with which they who know not God love their wivesâa course which the apostle forbids;[2016]2015 and not rather that conjugal chastity, by which carnal lust is reduced to the good purposes of the appointed procreation of children. Or as if, forsooth, a man could possibly be anything but Godâs work, not only when born in wedlock, but even if he be produced in fornication or adultery. In the present inquiry, however, when the question is not for what a Creator is necessary, but for what a Saviour, we have not to consider what good there is in the procreation of nature, but what evil there is in sin, whereby our nature has been certainly corrupted. No doubt the two are generated simultaneouslyâboth nature and natureâs corruption; one of which is good, the other evil. The one comes to us from the bounty of the

Creator, the other is contracted from the condemnation of our origin; the one has its cause in the good-will of the Supreme God, the other in the depraved will of the first man; the one exhibits God as the maker of the creature, the other exhibits God as the punisher of disobedience: in short, the very same Christ was the maker of man for the creation of the one, and was made[2017]2016man for the healing of the other.

Chapter 39 [XXXIV.]âThree Things Good and Laudable in Matrimony.

Marriage, therefore, is a good in all the things which are proper to the married state. And these are three: it is the ordained means of procreation, it is the guarantee [2018]2017 of chastity, it is the bond of union.[2019]2018 In respect of its ordination for generation the Scripture says, al will therefore that the younger women marry, bear children, guide the house; a[2020]2019 as regards its guaranteeing chastity, it is said of it, aThe wife hath not power of her own body, but the husband; and likewise also the husband hath not power of his own body, but the wife; a[2021]2020 and considered as the bond of union: aWhat God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.â[2022]2021 Touching these points, we do not forget that we have treated at sufficient length, with whatever ability the Lord has given us, in other works of ours, which are not unknown to you.[2023]2022 In relation to them all the Scripture has this general praise: âMarriage is honourable in all, and the bed undefiled.a[2024]2023 For, inasmuch as the wedded state is good, insomuch does it produce a very large amount of good in respect of the evil of concupiscence; for it is not lust, but reason, which makes a good use of concupiscence. Now lust lies in that law of the âdisobedientâ members which the apostle notes as âwarring against the law of the mind; a[2025]2024 whereas reason lies in that law of the wedded state which makes good use of concupiscence. If, however, it were impossible for any good to arise out of evil, God could not create man out of the embraces of adultery. As, therefore, the damnable evil of adultery, whenever man is born in it, is not chargeable on God, who certainly amidst manas evil work actually produces a good work; so, likewise, all which causes shame in that rebellion of the members which brought the accusing blush on those who after their sin covered these members with the fig-tree leaves, [2026]2025 is not laid to the charge of marriage, by virtue of which the conjugal embrace is not only allowable, but is even useful and honourable; but it is imputable to the sin of that disobedience which was followed by the penalty of manas finding his own members emulating against himself that very disobedience which he had practised against God. Then, abashed at their action, since they moved no more at the bidding of his rational will, but at their own arbitrary choice as it were, instigated by lust, he devised the covering which should conceal such of them as he judged to be worthy of shame. For man, as the handiwork of God, deserved not confusion of face; nor were the members which it seemed fit to the Creator to form and appoint by any means designed to bring the blush to the creature. Accordingly, that simple nudity was displeasing neither to God nor to man: there was nothing to be ashamed of, because nothing at first accrued which deserved punishment.

Chapter 40 [XXXV.]âMarriage Existed Before Sin Was Committed. How Godâs Blessing Operated in Our First Parents.

There was, however, undoubtedly marriage, even when sin had no prior existence; and for no other reason was it that woman, and not a second man, was created as a help for the man. Moreover, those words of God, âBe fruitful and multiply, a[2027]2026 are not prophetic of sins to be condemned, but a benediction upon the fertility of marriage. For by these ineffable words of His, I mean by the divine methods which are inherent in the truth of His wisdom by which all things were made, God endowed the primeval pair with their seminal power. Suppose, however, that nature had not been dishonoured by sin. God forbid that we should think that marriages in Paradise must have been such, that in them the procreative members would be excited by the mere ardour of lust, and not by the command of the will for producing offspring, as the foot is for walking, the hand for labour, and the tongue for speech. Nor, as now happens, would the chastity of virginity be corrupted to the conception of offspring by the force of a turbid heat, but it would rather be submissive to the power of the gentlest love; and thus there would be no pain, no blood-effusion of the concumbent virgin, as there would also be no groan of the parturient mother. This, however, men refuse to believe, because it has not been verified in the actual condition of our mortal state. Nature, having been vitiated by sin, has never experienced an instance of that primeval purity. But we speak to faithful men, who have learnt to believe the inspired Scriptures, even though no examples are adduced of actual reality. For how could I now possibly prove that a man was made of the dust, without any parents, and a wife formed for him out of his own side?[2028]2027 And yet faith takes on trust what the eye no longer discovers.

Chapter 41 [XXXVI.]âLust and Travail Come from Sin. Whence Our Members Became a Cause of Shame.

Granted, therefore, that we have no means of showing both that the nuptial acts of that primeval marriage were quietly discharged, undisturbed by lustful passion, and that the motion of the organs of generation, like that of any other members of the body, was not instigated by the ardour of lust, but directed by the choice of the will (which would have continued such with marriage had not the disgrace of sin intervened); still, from all that is stated in the sacred Scriptures on divine authority, we have reasonable grounds for believing that such was the original condition of wedded life. Although, it is true, I am not told that the nuptial embrace was unattended with prurient desire; as also I do not find it on record that parturition was unaccompanied with groans and pain, or that actual birth led not to future death; yet, at the same time, if I follow the verity of the Holy Scriptures, the travail of the mother and the death of the human offspring would never have supervened if sin had not preceded. Nor would that have happened which abashed the man and woman when they covered their loins; because in the same sacred records it is expressly written that the sin was first committed, and then immediately followed this hiding of their

shame.[2029]2028 For unless some indelicacy of motion had announced to their eyesâwhich were of course not closed, though not open to this point, that is, not attentiveâthat those particular members should be corrected, they would not have perceived anything on their own persons, which God had entirely made worthy of all praise, that called for either shame or concealment. If, indeed, the sin had not first occurred which they had dared to commit in their disobedience, there would not have followed the disgrace which their shame would fain conceal.

Chapter 42 [XXXVII.]âThe Evil of Lust Ought Not to Be Ascribed to Marriage. The Three Good Results of the Nuptial Ordinance: Offspring, Chastity, and the Sacramental Union.

It is then manifest that that must not be laid to the account of marriage, even in the absence of which, marriage would still have existed. The good of marriage is not taken away by the evil, although the evil is by marriage turned to a good use. Such, however, is the present condition of mortal men, that the connubial intercourse and lust are at the same time in action; and on this account it happens, that as the lust is blamed, so also the nuptial commerce, however lawful and honourable, is thought to be reprehensible by those persons who either are unwilling or unable to draw the distinction between them. They are, moreover, inattentive to that good of the nuptial state which is the glory of matrimony; I mean offspring, chastity, and the pledge.[2030]2029 The evil, however, at which even marriage blushes for shame is not the fault of marriage, but of the lust of the flesh. Yet because without this evil it is impossible to effect the good purpose of marriage, even the procreation of children, whenever this process is approached, secrecy is sought, witnesses removed, and even the presence of the very children which happen to be born of the process is avoided as soon as they reach the age of observation. Thus it comes to pass that marriage is permitted to effect all that is lawful in its state, only it must not forget to conceal all that is improper. Hence it follows that infants, although incapable of sinning, are yet not born without the contagion of sin, anot, indeed, because of what is lawful, but on account of that which is unseemly: for from what is lawful nature is born; from what is unseemly, sin. Of the nature so born. God is the Author, who created man, and who united male and female under the nuptial law; but of the sin the author is the subtlety of the devil who deceives, and the will of the man who consents.

Chapter 43 [XXXVIII.]âHuman Offspring, Even Previous to Birth, Under Condemnation at the Very Root. Uses of Matrimony Undertaken for Mere Pleasure Not Without Venial Fault.

Where God did nothing else than by a just sentence to condemn the man who wilfully sins, together with his stock; there also, as a matter of course, whatsoever was even not yet born is justly condemned in its sinful root. In this condemned stock carnal generation holds every man; and from it nothing but spiritual regeneration liberates him. In the case, therefore, of regenerate parents, if they continue in the same state of grace, it will undoubtedly work no injurious consequence, by reason of the remission of sins which has been bestowed upon them, unless they make a perverse use of it, anot alone all kinds of lawless corruptions, but even in the marriage state itself, whenever husband and wife toil at procreation, not from the desire of natural propagation of their species, but are mere slaves to the gratification of their lust out of very wantonness. As for the permission which the apostle gives to husbands and wives, anot to defraud one another, except with consent for a time, that they may have leisure for prayer, a[2031]2030 he concedes it by way of indulgent allowance, and not as a command; but this very form of the concession evidently implies some degree of fault. The connubial embrace, however, which marriage-contracts point to as intended for the procreation of children, considered in itself simply, and without any reference to fornication, is good and right; because, although it is by reason of this body of death (which is unrenewed as yet by the resurrection) impracticable without a certain amount of bestial motion, which puts human nature to the blush, yet the embrace is not after all a sin in itself, when reason applies the concupiscence to a good end, and is not overmastered to evil.

Chapter 44 [XXXIX.]âEven the Children of the Regenerate Born in Sin. The Effect of Baptism.

This concupiscence of the flesh would be prejudicial. [2032]2031 just in so far as it is present in us,[2033]2032 if the remission of sins were not so beneficial [2034] 2033 that while it is present in men, both as born and as born again, it may in the former be prejudicial as well as present, but in the latter present simply but never prejudicial. In the unregenerate it is prejudicial to such an extent indeed, that, unless they are born again, no advantage can accrue to them from being born of regenerate parents. The fault of our nature remains in our offspring so deeply impressed as to make it guilty, even when the guilt of the self-same fault has been washed away in the parent by the remission of sinsâuntil every defect which ends in sin by the consent of the human will is consumed and done away in the last regeneration. This will be identical with that renovation of the very flesh itself which is promised in its future resurrection, when we shall not only commit no sins, but be even free from those corrupt desires which lead us to sin by vielding consent to them. To this blessed consummation advances are even now made by us, through the grace of that holy laver which we have put within our reach. The same regeneration which now renews our spirit, so that all our past sins are remitted, will by and by also operate, as might be expected, to the renewal to eternal life of that very flesh, by the resurrection of which to an incorruptible state the incentives of all sins will be purged out of our nature. But this salvation is as yet only accomplished in hope: it is not realized in fact; it is not in present possession, but it is looked forward to with patience. [XL.] And thus there is a whole and perfect cleansing, in the self-same baptismal laver, not only of all the sins remitted now in our baptism, which make us guilty owing to the consent we yield to wrong desires, and to the sinful acts in which they issue; but of these said wrong desires also, which, if not consented to by us, would contract no guilt of sin, and which, though not in this present life removed, will yet have no existence in the life beyond.

Chapter 45.âManâs Deliverance Suited to the Character of His Captivity.

The guilt, therefore, of that corruption of which we are speaking will remain in the carnal offspring of the regenerate, until in them also it be washed away in the laver of regeneration. A regenerate man does not regenerate, but generates, sons according to the flesh; and thus he transmits to his posterity, not the condition of the regenerated, but only of the generated. Therefore, be a man guilty of unbelief, or a perfect believer, he does not in either case beget faithful children, but sinners; in the same way that the seeds, not only of a wild olive, but also of a cultivated one, produce not cultivated olives, but wild ones. So, likewise, his first birth holds a man in that bondage from which nothing but his second birth delivers him. The devil holds him, Christ liberates him: Eveas deceiver holds him, Maryâs Son frees him: he holds him, who approached the man through the woman; He frees him, who was born of a woman that never approached a man: he holds him, who injected into the woman the cause of lust; He liberates him, who without any lust was conceived in the woman. The former was able to hold all men in his grasp through one; nor does any deliver them out of his power but One, whom he was unable to grasp. The very sacraments indeed of the Church, which she[2035]2034 administers with due ceremony, according to the authority of very ancient tradition (so that these men, notwithstanding their opinion that the sacraments are imitatively rather than really used in the case of infants, still do not venture to reject them with open disapproval), athe very sacraments, I say, of the holy Church show plainly enough that infants, even when fresh from the womb, are delivered from the bondage of the devil through the grace of Christ. For, to say nothing of the fact that they are baptized for the remission of sins by no fallacious, but by a true and faithful mystery, there is previously wrought on them the exorcism and the exsufflation of the hostile power, which they profess to renounce by the mouth of those who bring them to baptism. Now, by all these consecrated and evident signs of hidden realities, they are shown to pass from their worst oppressor to their most excellent Redeemer, who, by taking on Himself our infirmity in our behalf, has bound the strong man, that He may spoil his goods; [2036] 2035 seeing that the weakness of God is stronger, not only than men, but also than angels. While, therefore, God delivers small as well as great, He shows in both instances that the apostle spoke under the direction of the Truth. For it is not merely adults, but little babes too whom He rescues from the power of darkness, in order to transfer them to the kingdom of Godâs dear Son.[2037]2036

Chapter 46.âDifficulty of Believing Original Sin. Manâs Vice is a Beastâs Nature.

No one should feel surprise, and ask: âWhy does Godâs goodness create anything for the devilâs malignity to take possession of?â The truth is, Godâs gift is bestowed on the seminal elements of His creature with the same bounty wherewith âHe maketh His sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust.a[2038]2037 It is with so large a bounty that God has blessed the very seeds, and by blessing has constituted them. Nor has this blessing been eliminated out of our excellent nature by a fault which puts us under condemnation. Owing, indeed, to Godâs justice, who punishes, this fatal flaw has so far prevailed, that men are born with the fault of original sin; but yet its influence has not extended so far as to stop the birth of men. Just so does it happen in persons of adult age: whatever sins they commit, do not eliminate his manhood from man; nay, Godâs work continues still good, however evil be the deeds of the impious. For although aman being placed in honour abideth not; and being without understanding, is compared with the beasts, and is like them, a[2039]2038 yet the resemblance is not so absolute that he becomes a beast. There is a comparison, no doubt, between the two: but it is not by reason of nature, but through viceanot vice in the beast, but in nature. For so excellent is a man in comparison with a beast, that manas vice is beastas nature; still manas nature is never on this account changed into beastâs nature. God, therefore, condemns man because of the fault wherewithal his nature is disgraced, and not because of his nature, which is not destroyed in consequence of its fault. Heaven forbid that we should think beasts are obnoxious to the sentence of condemnation! It is only proper that they should be free from our misery, inasmuch as they cannot partake of our blessedness. What, then, is there surprising or unjust in manas being subjected to an impure spiritanot on account of nature, but on account of that impurity of his which he has contracted in the stain of his birth, and which proceeds, not from the divine work, but from the will of man; asince also the impure spirit itself is a good thing considered as spirit, but evil in that it is impure? For the one is of God, and is His work, while the other emanates from manas own will. The stronger nature, therefore, that is, the angelic one, keeps the lower, or human, nature in subjection, by reason of the association of vice with the latter. Accordingly the Mediator, who was stronger than the angels, became weak for manas sake. [2040]2039 So that the pride of the Destroyer is destroyed by the humility of the Redeemer; and he who makes his boast over the sons of men of his angelic strength, is vanguished by the Son of God in the human weakness which He assumed.

Chapter 47 [XLI.] âSentences from Ambrose in Favour of Original Sin.

And now that we are about to bring this book to a conclusion, we think it proper to do on this subject of Original Sin what we did before in our treatise On Grace,[2041]2040âadduce in evidence against the injurious talk of these persons that servant of God, the Archbishop Ambrose, whose faith is proclaimed by Pelagius to be the most perfect among the writers of the Latin Church; for grace is more especially honoured in doing away with original sin. In the work which the saintly Ambrose wrote, Concerning the Resurrection, he says: âl fell in Adam, in Adam was I expelled from Paradise, in Adam I died; and He does not recall me unless He has found me in Adam,âso as that, as I am obnoxious to the guilt of sin in him, and subject to death, I may be also justified in Christ.â[2042]2041 Then, again, writing against the Novatians, he says: âWe men are all of us born in sin; our very origin is in sin; as you may read when David says, âBehold, I was shapen in iniquity, and in sin did my mother conceive me.â[2043]2042 Hence it is that Paulâs flesh is âa body of death; â[2044]2043 even as he says himself, aWho shall deliver me from the body of this death?a Christas flesh, however, has condemned sin, which He experienced not by being born, and which by dying He crucified, that in our flesh there might be justification through grace, where previously there was impurity through sin.â[2045]2044 The same holy man also, in his Exposition of Isaiah, speaking of Christ, says: âTherefore as man He was tried in all things, and in the likeness of men He endured all things; but as born of the Spirit, He was free from sin. For every man is a liar, and no one but God alone is without sin. It is therefore an observed and settled fact, that no man born of a man and a woman, that is, by means of their bodily union, is seen to be free from sin. Whosoever, indeed, is free from sin, is free also from a conception and birth of this kind.a[2046]2045 Moreover, when expounding the Gospel according to Luke, he says: alt was no cohabitation with a husband which opened the secrets of the Virginas womb; rather was it the Holy Ghost which infused immaculate seed into her unviolated womb. For the Lord Jesus alone of those who are born of woman is holy, inasmuch as He experienced not the contact of earthly corruption, by reason of the novelty of His immaculate birth; nay, He repelled it by His heavenly majesty.â[2047]2046

Chapter 48.âPelagius Rightly Condemned and Really Opposed by Ambrose.

These words, however, of the man of God are contradicted by Pelagius, notwithstanding all his commendation of his author, when he himself declares that awe are procreated, as without virtue, so without vice.â[2048]2047 What remains, then, but that Pelagius should condemn and renounce this error of his; or else be sorry that he has quoted Ambrose in the way he has? Inasmuch, however, as the blessed Ambrose, catholic bishop as he is, has expressed himself in the above-quoted passages in accordance with the catholic faith, it follows that Pelagius, along with his disciple CAlestius, was justly condemned by the authority of the catholic Church for having turned aside from the true way of faith, since he repented not for having bestowed commendation on Ambrose, and for having at the same time entertained opinions in opposition to him. I know full well with what insatiable avidity you[2049]2048 read whatever is written for edification and in confirmation of the faith: but vet. notwithstanding its utility as contributing to such an end, I must at last bring this treatise to a conclusion.

on marriage and concupiscence.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 53,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe nuptiis et concupiscentia.â

âââââââââââââ

al Addressed two books to the Illustrious Count Valerius, upon hearing that the Pelagians had brought sundry vague charges upon usahow, for instance, we condemned marriage by maintaining Original Sin. These books are entitled, On Marriage and Concupiscence. We maintain that marriage is good: and that it must not be supposed that the concupisence of the flesh, or âthe law in our members which wars against the law of mind, a[2050]2049 is a fault of marriage. Conjugal chastity makes a good use of the evil of concupiscence in the procreation of children. My first treatise contained two books. The first of them found its way into the hands of Julianus the Pelagian, who wrote four books in opposition to it. Out of these, somebody extracted sundry passages, and sent them to Count Valerius; he handed them to us, and after I had received them I wrote a second book in answer to these extracts. The first book of this work of mine opens with these words: aOur new heretics, most beloved son Valerius, a while the second begins thus: âAmid the cares of your duty as a soldier.â

advertisement to the reader on the following treatise.

## âââââââââââââ

On revising these two Books, which he addressed to the Count Valerius. Augustin placed them immediately after his reply to the discourse of the Arians, which was affixed to the Proceedings with Emeritus [2051]2050 Now these proceedings are stated to have taken place on the 20th of September, in the year of our Lord 418.[2052]2051 There can be no doubt, then, that these subjoined booksaor, at any rate, the former of themâwere written either at the close of the year 418, or in the beginning of the year 419. For, concerning this first book, Augustin says himself: aThis book of mine, however, which he [Julianus] says he answered in four books, I wrote after the condemnation of Pelagius and CÅlestius. This, â he adds, âl have deemed it right to mention, because he declares that my words had been used by the enemies of the truth to bring it into odium. Let no one, therefore, suppose that it was owing to this book of mine that condemnation had been passed on the new heretics who are enemies of the grace of Christ.â[2053]2052 From these words one may see at once that this first book was published about the same time as the condemnation of the Pelagians in the year 418.

Soon after its publication it began to be assailed by the Pelagians, who observed that its perusal was producing in the minds of the catholics much odium against their heresy. One of them, Julianus,[2054]2053 influenced with a warm desire of furthering the heretical movement, attacked the first book of Augustinâs treatise in four books of his own. Out of these, sundry extracts were culled by some interested person, and forwarded to Count Valerius. Valerius despatched them from Ravenna to Rome, to Alypius,[2055]2054 in order that he, on returning to Africa, might hand them to Augustin for the purpose of an early refutation, together with a letter in which Valerius thanked Augustin for the previous work which he also mentioned. Augustin saw at once that these extracts had been taken out of the work of Julianus; and, although he preferred reserving his answer to the selections till he had received the entire work from

which they were culled, he still thought that he was bound to avoid all delay in satisfying the Count Valerius. Without loss of time, therefore, he drew up in answer his second book, with the same title as before, On Marriage and Concupiscence, which, as we think, must be assigned to the year 420, since the holy doctor wrote it immediately after the expression of thanks for the first book; for it is clearly improbable that Valerius should have waited two years or more to make the acknowledgment of his gratitude.

Moreover, the Valerius whom Augustin dignifies with the title of Illustrious as well as Count, was much employed in public lifeânot, to be sure, in the forum, but in the field; and from this circumstance we find it difficult to accede to the opinion that supposes him to have been the same person with the Valerius who was Count of the Private Estate in the year 425, Consul in 432, and lastly Master of the Offices under Theodosius the younger in the year 434. These appointments, indeed, had no connection with military service, nor had the prefects of Theodosius anything in common with those of Honorius.

A Letter[2056]2055 Addressed to the Count Valerius,

on augustinâs forwarding to him what he calls his first book âon marriage and concupiscence.â

## âââââââââââââ

To the illustrious and deservedly eminent Lord and his most dearly beloved son in the love of Christ, Valerius, Augustin sends greeting in the Lord.

1. While I was chafing at the long disappointment of receiving no acknowledgments from your Highness of the many letters which I had written to you, I all at once received three letters from your Grace, aone by the hand of my fellow bishop Vindemialis, which was not meant for me only, and two, soon afterwards, through my brother presbyter Firmus. This holy man, who is bound to me, as you may have ascertained from his own lips, by the ties of a most intimate love, had much conversation with me about your excellence, and gave me undoubted proofs of his complete knowledge of your character ain the bowels of Christ; a[2057]2056 by these means he had sight, not only of the letters of which the fore-mentioned bishop and he himself had been the bearers, but also of those which we expressed our disappointment at not having received. Now his information respecting you was all the more pleasant to us, inasmuch as he gave me to understand, what it was out of your power to do, that you would not, even at my earnest request for an answer, become the extoller of your own praises, contrary to the permission of Holy Scripture. [2058]2057 But I ought myself to hesitate to write to you in this strain, lest I should incur the suspicion of flattering you, my illustrious and deservedly eminent lord and dearly beloved son in the love of Christ.

2. Now, as to your praises in Christ, or rather Christâs praises in you, see what delight and joy it was to me to hear of them from him, who could neither deceive me because of his fidelity to me, nor be

ignorant of them by reason of his friendship with you. But other testimony, which though inferior in amount and certainty has still reached my ear from divers quarters, assures me how sound and catholic is your faith; how devout your hope of the future; how great your love to God and the brethren: how humble your mind amid the highest honours, as you do not trust in uncertain riches, but in the living God, and art rich in good works; [2059]2058 how your house is a rest and comfort of the saints, and a terror to evil-doers; how great is your care that no man lay snares for Christâs members (either among His old enemies or those of more recent days), although he use Christâs name as a cloak for his wiles; and at the same time, though you give no quarter to the error of these enemies, how provident you are to secure their salvation. This and the like, we frequently hear, as I have already said, even from others; but at the present moment we have, by means of the above-mentioned brother, received a fuller and more trustworthy knowledge.

Touching, however, the subject of conjugal purity, that we might be able to bestow our commendation and love upon you for it, could we possibly listen to the information of any one but some bosom friend of your own, who had no mere superficial acquaintance with you, but knew your innermost life? Concerning, therefore, this excellent gift of God to you, I am delighted to converse with you with more frankness and at greater length. I am quite sure that I shall not prove burdensome to you, even if I send you a prolix treatise, the perusal of which will only ensure a longer converse between us. For this have I discovered, that amidst your manifold and weighty cares you pursue your reading with ease and pleasure; and that you take great delight in any little performances of ours, even if they are addressed to other persons, whenever they have chanced to fall into your hands. Whatever, therefore, is addressed to yourself, in which I can speak to you as it were personally, you will deign both to notice with greater attention, and to receive with a higher pleasure. From the perusal, then, of this letter, turn to the book which I send with it. It will in its very commencement, in a more convenient manner, intimate to your Reverence the reason, both why it has been written, and why it has been submitted specially to your consideration.

on marriage and concupiscence,

In Two Books,

addressed to the count valerius

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

written in 419 and 420.

## âââââââââââââ

Book I.[2060]2059

Wherein He expounds the peculiar and natural blessings of marriage. He shows that among these blessings must not be reckoned fleshly

concupiscence; insomuch as this is wholly evil, such as does not proceed from the very nature of marriage, but is an accident thereof arising from original sin. This evil, notwithstanding, is rightly employed by marriage for the procreation of children. But, as the result of this concupiscence, it comes to pass that, even from the lawful marriage of the children of God, men are not born children of God, but of the world, and are bound with the chain of sin, although their parents have been liberated therefrom by grace; and are led captive by the devil, if they be not in like manner rescued by the self-same grace of Christ. He explains how it is that concupiscence remains in the baptized in act though not in guilt. He teaches, that by the sanctity of baptism, not merely this original guilt, but all other sins of men whatever, are taken away. He lastly quotes the authority of Ambrose to show that the evil of concupiscence must be distinguished from the good of marriage.

Chapter 1.aConcerning the Argument of This Treatise.

Our new heretics, my dearest son Valerius, who maintain that infants born in the flesh have no need of that medicine of Christ whereby sins are healed, are constantly affirming, in their excessive hatred of us, that we condemn marriage and that divine procedure by which God creates human beings by means of men and women, inasmuch as we assert that they who are born of such a union contract that original sin of which the apostle says, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all sinned: a[2061]2060 and because we do not deny, that of whatever kind of parents they are born, they are still under the devilas dominion, unless they be born again in Christ, and by His grace be removed from the power of darkness and translated into His kingdom, [2062]2061 who willed not to be born from the same union of the two sexes. Because. then, we affirm this doctrine, which is contained in the oldest and unvarying rule of the catholic faith, these propounders of the novel and perverse dogma, who assert that there is no sin in infants to be washed away in the laver of regeneration, [2063]2062 in their unbelief or ignorance calumniate us, as if we condemned marriage, and as if we asserted to be the devilas work what is Godas own workathe human being which is born of marriage. Nor do they reflect that the good of marriage is no more impeachable on account of the original evil which is derived therefrom, than the evil of adultery and fornication is excusable on account of the natural good which is born of them. For as sin is the work of the devil, from whencesoever contracted by infants; so man is the work of God, from whencesoever born. Our purpose, therefore, in this book, so far as the Lord vouchsafes us in His help, is to distinguish between the evil of carnal concupiscence from which man who is born therefrom contracts original sin, and the good of marriage. For there would have been none of this shame-producing concupiscence, which is impudently praised by impudent men, if man had not previously sinned; while as to marriage, it would still have existed even if no man had sinned, since the procreation of children in the body that belonged to that life would have been effected without that malady which in athe body of this deatha[2064]2063 cannot be separated from the process of procreation.

Chapter 2. [II.] aWhy This Treatise Was Addressed to Valerius.

Now there are three very special reasons, which I will briefly indicate, why I wished to write to you particularly on this subject. One is, because by the gift of Christ you are a strict observer of conjugal chastity. Another is, because by your great care and diligence you have effectually withstood those profane novelties which we are resisting in our present discussion. The third is, because of my learning that something which they had committed to writing had found its way into your hands; and although in your robust faith you could despise such an attempt, it is still a good thing for us also to know how to bring aid to our faith by defending it. For the Apostle Peter instructs us to be aready always to give an answer to every one that asketh us a reason of the faith and hope that is in us; a[2065]2064 and the Apostle Paul says, aLet your speech be always with grace, seasoned with salt, that ye may know how ye ought to answer every man.â[2066]2065 These are the motives which chiefly impel me to hold such converse with you in this volume, as the Lord shall enable me. I have never liked, indeed, to intrude the perusal of any of my humble labours on any eminent person, who is like yourself conspicuous to all from the elevation of his office, without his own request, aespecially when he is not blessed with the enjoyment of a dignified retirement, but is still occupied in the public duties of a soldierâs profession; this has always seemed to me to savour more impertinence than of respectful esteem. If, then, I have incurred censure of this kind, while acting on the reasons which I have now mentioned, I crave the favour of your forgiveness, and kindly regard to the following arguments.

Chapter 3 [III.] aConjugal Chastity the Gift of God.

That chastity in the married state is Godâs gift, is shown by the most blessed Paul, when, speaking on this very subject, he says: âBut I would that all men were even as I myself: but every man hath his proper gift of God, one after this manner, and another after that.a[2067]2066 Observe, he tells us that this gift is from God; and although he classes it below that continence in which he would have all men to be like himself, he still describes it as a gift of God. Whence we understand that, when these precepts are given to us in order that we should do them, nothing else is stated than that there ought to be within us our own will also for receiving and having them. When, therefore, these are shown to be gifts of God, it is meant that they must be sought from Him if they are not already possessed; and if they are possessed, thanks must be given to Him for the possession; moreover, that our own wills have but small avail for seeking, obtaining, and holding fast these gifts, unless they be assisted by Godâs grace.

Chapter 4.âA Difficulty as Regards the Chastity of Unbelievers. None But a Believer is Truly a Chaste Man.[2068]2067

What, then, have we to say when conjugal chastity is discovered even in some unbelievers? Must it be said that they sin, in that they make a bad use of a gift of God, in not restoring it to the worship of Him from whom they received it? Or must these endowments, perchance, be not regarded as gifts of God at all, when they are not believers who exercise them; according to the apostleas sentiment, when he says, âWhatsoever is not of faith is sin?â[2069]2068 But who would dare to say that a gift of God is sin? For the soul and the body, and all the natural endowments which are implanted in the soul and the body, even in the persons of sinful men, are still gifts of God; for it is God who made them, and not they themselves. When it is said, aWhatsoever is not of faith is sin, a only those things are meant which men themselves do. When men, therefore, do without faith those things which seem to appertain to conjugal chastity, they do them either to please men, whether themselves or others, or to avoid incurring such troubles as are incidental to human nature in those things which they corruptly desire, or to pay service to devils. Sins are not really resigned, but some sins are overpowered by other sins. God forbid, then, that a man be truly called chaste who observes connubial fidelity to his wife from any other motive than devotion to the true God.

Chapter 5 [IV.]âThe Natural Good of Marriage. All Society Naturally Repudiates a Fraudulent Companion. What is True Conjugal Purity? No True Virginity and Chastity Except in Devotion to True Faith.

The union, then, of male and female for the purpose of procreation is the natural good of marriage. But he makes a bad use of this good who uses it bestially, so that his intention is on the gratification of lust, instead of the desire of offspring. Nevertheless, in sundry animals unendowed with reason, as, for instance, in most birds, there is both preserved a certain kind of confederation of pairs, and a social combination of skill in nest-building; and their mutual division of the periods for cherishing their eggs and their alternation in the labor of feeding their young, give them the appearance of so acting, when they mate, as to be intent rather on securing the continuance of their kind than on gratifying lust. Of these two, the one is the likeness of man in a brute; the other, the likeness of the brute in man. With respect, however, to what I ascribed to the nature of marriage, that the male and the female are united together as associates for procreation, and consequently do not defraud each other (forasmuch as every associated state has a natural abhorrence of a fraudulent companion), although even men without faith possess this palpable blessing of nature, yet, since they use it not in faith, they only turn it to evil and sin. In like manner, therefore, the marriage of believers converts to the use of righteousness that carnal concupiscence by which athe flesh lusteth against the Spirit.â[2070]2069 For they entertain the firm purpose of generating offspring to be regenerated athat the children who are born of them as achildren of the worlda may be born again and become asons of God.â Wherefore all parents who do not beget children with this intention, this will, this purpose, of transferring them from being members of the first man into being members of Christ, but boast as unbelieving parents over unbelieving children, ahowever circumspect they be in their cohabitation, studiously limiting it to the begetting of children, areally have no conjugal chastity in themselves. For inasmuch as chastity is a virtue, hating unchastity as its contrary

vice, and as all the virtues (even those whose operation is by means of the body) have their seat in the soul, how can the body be in any true sense said to be chaste, when the soul itself is committing fornication against the true God? Now such fornication the holy psalmist censures when he says: âFor, lo, they that are far from Thee shall perish: Thou hast destroyed all them that go a whoring from Thee.â[2071]2070 There is, then, no true chastity, whether conjugal, or vidual, or virginal, except that which devotes itself to true faith. For though consecrated virginity is rightly preferred to marriage, yet what Christian in his sober mind would not prefer catholic Christian women who have been even more than once married, to not only vestals, but also to heretical virgins? So great is the avail of faith, of which the apostle says, âWhatsoever is not of faith is sin;â[2072]2071 and of which it is written in the Epistle to the Hebrews, âWithout faith it is impossible to please God.â[2073]2072

Chapter 6 [V.]âThe Censuring of Lust is Not a Condemnation of Marriage; Whence Comes Shame in the Human Body. Adam and Eve Were Not Created Blind; Meaning of Their âEyes Being Opened.â

Now, this being the real state of the question, they undoubtedly err who suppose that, when fleshly lust is censured, marriage is condemned; as if the malady of concupiscence was the outcome of marriage and not of sin. Were not those first spouses, whose nuptials God blessed with the words, aBe fruitful and multiply,a[2074]2073 naked, and yet not ashamed? Why, then, did shame arise out of their members after sin, except because an indecent motion arose from them, which, if men had not sinned, would certainly never have existed in marriage? Or was it, forsooth, as some hold (who give little heed to what they read), that human beings were, like dogs, at first created blind; andâabsurder stillâobtained sight, not as dogs do, by growing, but by sinning? Far be it from us to entertain such an opinion. But they gather that opinion of theirs from reading: aShe took of the fruit thereof, and did eat; and gave also unto her husband with her, and he did eat: and the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked a[2075]2074 This accounts for the opinion of unintelligent persons, that the eyes of the first man and woman were previously closed, because Holy Scripture testifies that they were then opened. Well, then, were Hagarâs eves, the handmaid of Sarah. previously shut, when, with her thirsty and sobbing child, she opened her eyes[2076]2075 and saw the well? Or did those two disciples, after the Lordâs resurrection, walk in the way with Him with their eyes shut, since the evangelist says of them that ain the breaking of bread their eyes were opened, and they knew Hima?[2077]2076 What, therefore, is written concerning the first man and woman, that athe eyes of them both were opened, a[2078]2077 we ought to understand as that they gave attention to perceiving and recognising the new state which had befallen their body. Now that their eyes were opened, their body appeared to them naked, and they knew it. If this were not the meaning, how, when the beast of the field and the fowls of the air were brought unto him, [2079]2078 could Adam have given them names if his eyes were shut? He could not have done this without distinguishing them; and he could not distinguish them without seeing them. How, too, could the woman herself have been beheld so clearly by him when he

said, âThis is now bone of my bone, and flesh of my fleshâ?[2080]2079 If, indeed, any one shall be so determined on cavilling as to insist that Adam might have acquired a discernment of these objects, not by sight but by touch, what explanation will he have to give of the passage wherein we are told how the woman âsaw that the tree,â from which she was about to pluck the forbidden fruit, âwas pleasant for the eyes to beholdâ?[2081]2080 No; âthey were both naked, and were not ashamed,â[2082]2081 not because they had no eyesight, but because they perceived no reason to be ashamed in their members, which had all along been seen by them. For it is not said: They were both naked, and knew it not; but âthey were not ashamed.â Because, indeed, nothing had previously happened which was not lawful, so nothing had ensued which could cause them shame.

Chapter 7 [VI.]âManâs Disobedience Justly Requited in the Rebellion of His Own Flesh; The Blush of Shame for the Disobedient Members of the Body.

When the first man transgressed the law of God, he began to have another law in his members which was repugnant to the law of his mind, and he felt the evil of his own disobedience when he experienced in the disobedience of his flesh a most righteous retribution recoiling on himself. Such, then, was athe opening of his eyesa which the serpent had promised him in his temptation[2083]2082âthe knowledge, in fact, of something which he had better been ignorant of. Then, indeed, did man perceive within himself what he had done; then did he distinguish evil from good, anot by avoiding it, but by enduring it. For it certainly was not just that obedience should be rendered by his servant, that is, his body, to him, who had not obeyed his own Lord. Well, then, how significant is the fact that the eyes, and lips, and tongue, and hands, and feet, and the bending of back, and neck, and sides, are all placed within our powerâto be applied to such operations as are suitable to them, when we have a body free from impediments and in a sound state of health; but when it must come to manâs great function of the procreation of children the members which were expressly created for this purpose will not obey the direction of the will, but lust has to be waited for to set these members in motion, as if it had legal right over them, and sometimes it refuses to act when the mind wills, while often it acts against its will! Must not this bring the blush of shame over the freedom of the human will, that by its contempt of God, its own Commander, it has lost all proper command for itself over its own members? Now, wherein could be found a more fitting demonstration of the just depravation of human nature by reason of its disobedience, than in the disobedience of those parts whence nature herself derives subsistence by succession? For it is by an especial propriety that those parts of the body are designated as natural. This, then, was the reason why the first human pair, on experiencing in the flesh that motion which was indecent because disobedient, and on feeling the shame of their nakedness, covered these offending members with fig-leaves; [2084]2083 in order that, at the very least, by the will of the ashamed offenders, a veil might be thrown over that which was put into motion without the will of those who wished it: and since shame arose from what indecently pleased, decency might be attained by concealment.

Chapter 8 [VII.]âThe Evil of Lust Does Not Take Away the Good of Marriage.

Forasmuch, then, as the good of marriage could not be lost by the addition of this evil, some imprudent persons suppose that this is not an added evil, but something which appertains to the original good. A distinction, however, occurs not only to subtle reason, but even to the most ordinary natural judgment, which was both apparent in the case of the first man and woman, and also holds good still in the case of married persons to-day. What they afterward effected in propagation, athat is the good of marriage; but what they first veiled through shame, athat is the evil of concupiscence, which everywhere shuns sight, and in its shame seeks privacy. Since, therefore, marriage effects some good even out of that evil, it has whereof to glory; but since the good cannot be effected without the evil, it has reason for feeling shame. The case may be illustrated by the example of a lame man. Suppose him to attain to some good object by limping after it, then, on the one hand, the attainment itself is not evil because of the evil of the manas lameness; nor, on the other hand, is the lameness good because of the goodness of the attainment. So, on the same principle, we ought not to condemn marriage because of the evil of lust; nor must we praise lust because of the good of marriage.

Chapter 9 [VIII.]âThis Disease of Concupiscence in Marriage is Not to Be a Matter of Will, But of Necessity; What Ought to Be the Will of Believers in the Use of Matrimony; Who is to Be Regarded as Using, and Not Succumbing To, the Evil of Concupiscence; How the Holy Fathers of the Old Testament Formerly Used Wives.

This disease of concupiscence is what the apostle refers to, when, speaking to married believers, he says: a This is the will of God, even your sanctification, that ye should abstain from fornication: that every one of you should know how to possess his vessel in sanctification and honour: not in the disease of desire, even as the Gentiles which know not God.â[2085]2084 The married believer, therefore, must not only not use another manas vessel, which is what they do who lust after othersâ wives; but he must know that even his own vessel is not to be possessed in the disease of carnal concupiscence. And this counsel is not to be understood as if the apostle prohibited conjugalathat is to say, lawful and honourableacohabitation; but so as that that cohabitation (which would have no adjunct of unwholesome lust, were it not that manas perfect freedom of choice had become by preceding sin so disabled that it has this fatal adjunct) should not be a matter of will, but of necessity, without which, nevertheless, it would be impossible to attain to the fruition of the will itself in the procreation of children. And this wish is not in the marriages of believers determined by the purpose of having such children born as shall pass through life in this present world, but such as shall be born again in Christ, and remain in Him for evermore. Now if this result should come about, the reward of a full felicity will spring from marriage; but if such result be not realized, there will yet ensue to the married pair the peace of their good will. Whosoever possesses his vessel (that is, his wife) with

this intention of heart, certainly does not possess her in the âdisease of desire,â as the Gentiles which know not God, but in sanctification and honour, as believers who hope in God. A man turns to use the evil of concupiscence, and is not overcome by it, when he bridles and restrains its rage, as it works in inordinate and indecorous motions; and never relaxes his hold upon it except when intent on offspring, and then controls and applies it to the carnal generation of children to be spiritually regenerated, not to the subjection of the spirit to the flesh in a sordid servitude. That the holy fathers of olden times after Abraham, and before him, to whom God gave His testimony that âthey pleased Him,â[2086]2085 thus used their wives, no one who is a Christian ought to doubt, since it was permitted to certain individuals amongst them to have a plurality of wives, where the reason was for the multiplication of their offspring, not the desire of varying gratification.

Chapter 10 [IX.]âWhy It Was Sometimes Permitted that a Man Should Have Several Wives, Yet No Woman Was Ever Allowed to Have More Than One Husband. Nature Prefers Singleness in Her Dominations.

Now, if to the God of our fathers, who is likewise our God, such a plurality of wives had not been displeasing for the purpose that lust might have a fuller range of indulgence; then, on such a supposition, the holy women also ought each to have rendered service to several husbands. But if any woman had so acted, what feeling but that of a disgraceful concupiscence could impel her to have more husbands. seeing that by such licence she could not have more children? That the good purpose of marriage, however, is better promoted by one husband with one wife, than by a husband with several wives, is shown plainly enough by the very first union of a married pair, which was made by the Divine Being Himself, with the intention of marriages taking their beginning therefrom, and of its affording to them a more honourable precedent. In the advance, however, of the human race, it came to pass that to certain good men were united a plurality of good wives, amany to each; and from this it would seem that moderation sought rather unity on one side for dignity, while nature permitted plurality on the other side for fecundity. For on natural principles it is more feasible for one to have dominion over many, than for many to have dominion over one. Nor can it be doubted, that it is more consonant with the order of nature that men should bear rule over women, than women over men. It is with this principle in view that the apostle says, aThe head of the woman is the man;a[2087]2086 and, aWives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands.â[2088]2087 So also the Apostle Peter writes: aEven as Sara obeyed Abraham, calling him lord.a[2089]2088 Now, although the fact of the matter is, that while nature loves singleness in her dominations, but we may see plurality existing more readily in the subordinate portion of our race; yet for all that, it was at no time lawful for one man to have a plurality of wives, except for the purpose of a greater number of children springing from him. Wherefore, if one woman cohabits with several men, inasmuch as no increase of offspring accrues to her therefrom, but only a more frequent gratification of lust, she cannot possibly be a wife, but only a harlot.

Chapter 11 [X.]âThe Sacrament of Marriage; Marriage Indissoluble; The Worldâs Law About Divorce Different from the Gospelâs.

It is certainly not fecundity only, the fruit of which consists of offspring, nor chastity only, whose bond is fidelity, but also a certain sacramental bond[2090]2089 in marriage which is recommended to believers in wedlock. Accordingly it is enjoined by the apostle: âHusbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the Church.â[2091]2090 Of this bond the substance[2092]2091 undoubtedly is this, that the man and the woman who are joined together in matrimony should remain inseparable as long as they live; and that it should be unlawful for one consort to be parted from the other, except for the cause of fornication.[2093]2092 For this is preserved in the case of Christ and the Church; so that, as a living one with a living one, there is no divorce, no separation for ever. And so complete is the observance of this bond in the city of our God, in His holy mountain[2094]2093 athat is to say, in the Church of Christaby all married believers, who are undoubtedly members of Christ, that, although women marry, and men take wives, for the purpose of procreating children, it is never permitted one to put away even an unfruitful wife for the sake of having another to bear children. And whosoever does this is held to be guilty of adultery by the law of the gospel; though not by this worldas rule, which allows a divorce between the parties, without even the allegation of guilt, and the contraction of other nuptial engagements, a concession which, the Lord tells us, even the holy Moses extended to the people of Israel, because of the hardness of their hearts.[2095]2094 The same condemnation applies to the woman, if she is married to another man. So enduring, indeed, are the rights of marriage between those who have contracted them, as long as they both live, that even they are looked on as man and wife still, who have separated from one another, rather than they between whom a new connection has been formed. For by this new connection they would not be guilty of adultery, if the previous matrimonial relation did not still continue. If the husband die, with whom a true marriage was made, a true marriage is now possible by a connection which would before have been adultery. Thus between the conjugal pair, as long as they live, the nuptial bond has a permanent obligation, and can be cancelled neither by separation nor by union with another. But this permanence avails, in such cases, only for injury from the sin, not for a bond of the covenant. In like manner the soul of an apostate, which renounces as it were its marriage union with Christ, does not, even though it has cast its faith away, lose the sacrament of its faith, which it received in the laver of regeneration. It would undoubtedly be given back to him if he were to return, although he lost it on his departure from Christ. He retains, however, the sacrament after his apostasy, to the aggravation of his punishment, not for meriting the reward.

Chapter 12 [XI.]âMarriage Does Not Cancel a Mutual Vow of Continence; There Was True Wedlock Between Mary and Joseph; In What Way Joseph Was the Father of Christ.

But God forbid that the nuptial bond should be regarded as broken between those who have by mutual consent agreed to observe a perpetual abstinence from the use of carnal concupiscence. Nay, it will be only a firmer one, whereby they have exchanged pledges together, which will have to be kept by an especial endearment and concord, anot by the voluptuous links of bodies, but by the voluntary affections of souls. For it was not deceitfully that the angel said to Joseph: aFear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife.a[2096]2095 She is called his wife because of her first troth of betrothal, although he had had no carnal knowledge of her, nor was destined to have. The designation of wife was neither destroyed nor made untrue, where there never had been, nor was meant to be, any carnal connection. That virgin wife was rather a holier and more wonderful joy to her husband because of her very pregnancy without man, with disparity as to the child that was born, without disparity in the faith they cherished. And because of this conjugal fidelity they are both deservedly called aparentsa[2097]2096 of Christ (not only she as His mother, but he as His father, as being her husband), both having been such in mind and purpose, though not in the flesh. But while the one was His father in purpose only, and the other His mother in the flesh also, they were both of them, for all that, only the parents of His humility, not of His sublimity; of His weakness, not of His divinity. For the Gospel does not lie, in which one reads, aBoth His father and His mother marvelled at those things which were spoken about Him; a[2098]2097 and in another passage, aNow His parents went to Jerusalem every year; a [2099] 2098 and again a little afterwards, âHis mother said unto Him, Son, why hast Thou thus dealt with us? Behold, Thy father and I have sought Thee sorrowing.â[2100]2099 In order, however, that He might show them that He had a Father besides them, who begat Him without a mother, He said to them in answer: âHow is it that ye sought me? Wist ye not that I must be about my Fatherâs business?â[2101]2100 Furthermore, lest He should be thought to have repudiated them as His parents by what He had just said, the evangelist at once added: âAnd they understood not the saying which He spake unto them; and He went down with them, and came to Nazareth, and was subject unto them.a[2102]2101 Subject to whom but His parents? And who was the subject but Jesus Christ, awho, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with Godâ?[2103]2102 And wherefore subject to them, who were far beneath the form of God, except that aHe emptied Himself, and took upon Him the form of a servant, a[2104]2103 athe form in which His parents lived? Now, since she bore Him without his engendering, they could not surely have both been His parents, of that form of a servant, if they had not been conjugally united, though without carnal connection. Accordingly the genealogical series (although both parents of Christ are mentioned together in the succession)[2105]2104 had to be extended, as it is in fact. [2106]2105 down rather to Josephas name, that no wrong might be done, in the case of this marriage, to the male, and indeed the stronger sex, while at the same time there was nothing detrimental to truth. since Joseph, no less than Mary, was of the seed of David, [2107]2106 of whom it was foretold that Christ should come.

Chapter 13.âln the Marriage of Mary and Joseph There Were All the Blessings of the Wedded State; All that is Born of Concubinage is Sinful Flesh.

The entire good, therefore, of the nuptial institution was effected in

the case of these parents of Christ: there was offspring, there was faithfulness, there was the bond. [2108]2107 As offspring, we recognise the Lord Jesus Himself; the fidelity, in that there was no adultery; the bond, [2109]2108 because there was no divorce. [XII.] Only there was no nuptial cohabitation: because He who was to be without sin, and was sent not in sinful flesh, but in the likeness of sinful flesh,[2110]2109 could not possibly have been made in sinful flesh itself without that shameful lust of the flesh which comes from sin, and without which He willed to be born, in order that He might teach us, that every one who is born of sexual intercourse is in fact sinful flesh, since that alone which was not born of such intercourse was not sinful flesh. Nevertheless conjugal intercourse is not in itself sin, when it is had with the intention of producing children; because the mindâs good-will leads the ensuing bodily pleasure, instead of following its lead: and the human choice is not distracted by the voke of sin pressing upon it, inasmuch as the blow of the sin is rightly brought back to the purposes of procreation. This blow has a certain prurient activity which plays the king in the foul indulgences of adultery, and fornication, and lasciviousness, and uncleanness; whilst in the indispensable duties of the marriage state, it exhibits the docility of the slave. In the one case it is condemned as the shameless effrontery of so violent a master; in the other, it gets modest praise as the honest service of so submissive an attendant. This lust, then, is not in itself the good of the nuptial institution; but it is obscenity in sinful men, a necessity in procreant parents, the fire of lascivious indulgences, the shame of nuptial pleasures. Wherefore, then, may not persons remain man and wife when they cease by mutual consent from cohabitation; seeing that Joseph and Mary continued such, though they never even began to cohabit?

Chapter 14 [XIII.]âBefore Christ It Was a Time for Marrying; Since Christ It Has Been a Time for Continence.

Now this propagation of children which among the ancient saints was a most bounden duty for the purpose of begetting and preserving a people for God, amongst whom the prophecy of Christâs coming must needs have had precedence over everything, now has no longer the same necessity. For from among all nations the way is open for an abundant offspring to receive spiritual regeneration, from whatever quarter they derive their natural birth. So that we may acknowledge that the scripture which says there is âa time to embrace, and a time to refrain from embracing,â[2111]2110 is to be distributed in its clauses to the periods before Christ and since. The former was the time to embrace, the latter to refrain from embracing.

Chapter 15.âThe Teaching of the Apostle on This Subject.

Accordingly the apostle also, speaking apparently with this passage in view, declares: âBut this I say, brethren, the time is short: it remaineth, that both they that have wives be as though they had them not; and they that weep, as though they wept not; and they that rejoice, as though they rejoiced not; and they that buy, as though they possessed not; and they that use this world, as though they used it not: for the fashion of this world passeth away. But I would have

you without solicitude.â[2112]2111 This entire passage (that I may express my view on this subject in the shape of a brief exposition of the apostleas words) I think must be understood as follows: aThis I say, brethren, the time is short. a No longer is Godas people to be propagated by carnal generation; but, henceforth, it is to be gathered out by spiritual regeneration. alt remaineth, therefore, that they that have wives a be not subject to carnal concupiscence; and they that weep,â under the sadness of present evil, should rejoice in the hope of future blessing; and they that rejoice, a over any temporary advantage, should fear the eternal judgment; and they that buy, a should so hold their possessions as not to cleave to them by overmuch love; âand they that use this worldâ should reflect that it is passing away, and does not remain. aFor the fashion of this world passeth away: but,â he says, âl would have you to be without solicitude,ââin other words: I would have you lift up your heart, that it may dwell among those things which do not pass away. He then goes on to say: âHe that is unmarried careth for the things that belong to the Lord, how he may please the Lord: but he that is married careth for the things that are of the world, how he may please his wife.â[2113]2112 And thus to some extent he explains what he had already said: âLet them that have wives be as though they had none.â For they who have wives in such a way as to care for the things of the Lord, how they may please the Lord, without having any care for the things of the world in order to please their wives, are, in fact, just as if they had no wives. And this is effected with greater ease when the wives, too, are of such a disposition, because they please their husbands not merely because they are rich, because they are high in rank, noble in race, and amiable in natural temper, but because they are believers, because they are religious, because they are chaste, because they are good men.

Chapter 16 [XIV.]âA Certain Degree of Intemperance is to Be Tolerated in the Case of Married Persons; The Use of Matrimony for the Mere Pleasure of Lust is Not Without Sin, But Because of the Nuptial Relation the Sin is Venial.

But in the married, as these things are desirable and praiseworthy, so the others are to be tolerated, that no lapse occur into damnable sins: that is, into fornications and adulteries. To escape this evil. even such embraces of husband and wife as have not procreation for their object, but serve an overbearing concupiscence, are permitted, so far as to be within range of forgiveness, though not prescribed by way of commandment: [2114]2113 and the married pair are enjoined not to defraud one the other, lest Satan should tempt them by reason of their incontinence.[2115]2114 For thus says the Scripture: âLet the husband render unto the wife her due: [2116]2115 and likewise also the wife unto the husband. The wife hath not power of her own body, but the husband: and likewise also the husband hath not power of his own body, but the wife. Defraud ye not one the other; except it be with consent for a time, that ye may have leisure for prayer; [2117]2116 and then come together again, that Satan tempt you not for your incontinency. But I speak this by permission, [2118]2117 and not of commandment.a[2119]2118 Now in a case where permission[2120]2119 must be given, it cannot by any means be contended that there is not some

amount of sin. Since, however, the cohabitation for the purpose of procreating children, which must be admitted to be the proper end of marriage, is not sinful, what is it which the apostle allows to be permissible,[2121]2120 but that married persons, when they have not the gift of continence, may require one from the other the due of the fleshâand that not from a wish for procreation, but for the pleasure of concupiscence? This gratification incurs not the imputation of guilt on account of marriage, but receives permission[2122]2121 on account of marriage. This, therefore, must be reckoned among the praises of matrimony; that, on its own account, it makes pardonable that which does not essentially appertain to itself. For the nuptial embrace, which subserves the demands of concupiscence, is so effected as not to impede the child-bearing, which is the end and aim of marriage.

Chapter 17 [XV.]âWhat is Sinless in the Use of Matrimony? What is Attended With Venial Sin, and What with Mortal?

It is, however, one thing for married persons to have intercourse only for the wish to beget children, which is not sinful: it is another thing for them to desire carnal pleasure in cohabitation, but with the spouse only, which involves venial sin. For although propagation of offspring is not the motive of the intercourse, there is still no attempt to prevent such propagation, either by wrong desire or evil appliance. They who resort to these, although called by the name of spouses, are really not such; they retain no vestige of true matrimony, but pretend the honourable designation as a cloak for criminal conduct. Having also proceeded so far, they are betrayed into exposing their children, which are born against their will. They hate to nourish and retain those whom they were afraid they would beget. This infliction of cruelty on their offspring so reluctantly begotten, unmasks the sin which they had practised in darkness, and drags it clearly into the light of day. The open cruelty reproves the concealed sin. Sometimes, indeed, this lustful cruelty, or, if you please, cruel lust, resorts to such extravagant methods as to use poisonous drugs to secure barrenness; or else, if unsuccessful in this, to destroy the conceived seed by some means previous to birth, preferring that its offspring should rather perish than receive vitality; or if it was advancing to life within the womb, should be slain before it was born. Well, if both parties alike are so flagitious, they are not husband and wife; and if such were their character from the beginning, they have not come together by wedlock but by debauchery. But if the two are not alike in such sin, I boldly declare either that the woman is, so to say, the husbandas harlot; or the man the wifeas adulterer.

Chapter 18 [XVI.]âContinence Better Than Marriage; But Marriage Better Than Fornication.

Forasmuch, then, as marriage cannot be such as that of the primitive men might have been, if sin had not preceded; it may yet be like that of the holy fathers of the olden time, in such wise that the carnal concupiscence which causes shame (which did not exist in paradise previous to the fall, and after that event was not allowed to remain there), although necessarily forming a part of the body of this death, is not subservient to it, but only submits its function, when forced thereto, for the sole purpose of assisting in the procreation of children; otherwise, since the present time (as we have already[2123]2122 said) is the period for abstaining from the nuptial embrace, and therefore makes no necessary demand on the exercise of the said function, seeing that all nations now contribute so abundantly to the production of an offspring which shall receive spiritual birth, there is the greater room for the blessing of an excellent continence. aHe that is able to receive it, let him receive it.â[2124]2123 He, however, who cannot receive it, âeven if he marry, sinneth not; a[2125]2124 and if a woman have not the gift of continence, let her also marry.[2126]2125 âlt is good, indeed, for a man not to touch a woman.â[2127]2126 But since âall men cannot receive this saying, save they to whom it is given, a[2128]2127 it remains that ato avoid fornication, every man ought to have his own wife, and every woman her own husband. a[2129]2128 And thus the weakness of incontinence is hindered from falling into the ruin of profligacy by the honourable estate of matrimony. Now that which the apostle says of women, al will therefore that the younger women marry, a[2130]2129 is also applicable to males: I will that the younger men take wives; that so it may appertain to both sexes alike ato bear children, to bea fathers and âmothers of families, to give none occasion to the adversary to speak reproachfully.â[2131]2130

Chapter 19 [XVII.] âBlessing of Matrimony.

In matrimony, however, let these nuptial blessings be the objects of our loveaoffspring, fidelity, the sacramental bond.[2132]2131 Offspring, not that it be born only, but born again; for it is born to punishment unless it be born again to life. Fidelity, not such as even unbelievers observe one towards the other, in their ardent love of the flesh. For what husband, however impious himself, likes an adulterous wife? Or what wife, however impious she be, likes an adulterous husband? This is indeed a natural good in marriage, though a carnal one. But a member of Christ ought to be afraid of adultery, not on account of himself, but of his spouse; and ought to hope to receive from Christ the reward of that fidelity which he shows to his spouse. The sacramental bond, again, which is lost neither by divorce nor by adultery, should be guarded by husband and wife with concord and chastity. For it alone is that which even an unfruitful marriage retains by the law of piety, now that all that hope of fruitfulness is lost for the purpose of which the couple married. Let these nuptial blessings be praised in marriage by him who wishes to extol the nuptial institution. Carnal concupiscence, however, must not be ascribed to marriage: it is only to be tolerated in marriage. It is not a good which comes out of the essence of marriage, but an evil which is the accident of original sin.

Chapter 20 [XVIII]âWhy Children of Wrath are Born of Holy Matrimony.

This is the reason, indeed, why of even the just and lawful marriages of the children of God are born, not children of God, but children of the world; because also those who generate, if they are already regenerate, beget children not as children of God, but as still children of the world. aThe children of this world, a says our Lord, âbeget and are begotten.â[2133]2132 From the fact, therefore, that we are still children of this world, our outer man is in a state of corruption; and on this account our offspring are born as children of the present world: nor do they become sons of God, except they be regenerated.[2134]2133 Yet inasmuch as we are children of God, our inner man is renewed from day to day.[2135]2134 And yet even our outer man has been sanctified through the laver of regeneration, and has received the hope of future incorruption, on which account it is justly designated as athe temple of God.a aYour bodies.a says the apostle, âare the temples of the Holy Ghost, which is in you, and which ye have of God; and ye are not your own, for ye are bought with a great price: therefore glorify and carry God in your body.â[2136]2135 The whole of this statement is made in reference to our present sanctification, but especially in consequence of that hope of which he says in another passage, aWe ourselves also, which have the first-fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body.â[2137]2136 If, then, the redemption of our body is expected, as the apostle declares, it follows, that being an expectation, it is as yet a matter of hope, and not of actual possession. Accordingly the apostle adds: aFor we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope: for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for it.â[2138]2137 Not, therefore, by that which we are waiting for, but by that which we are now enduring, are the children of our flesh born. God forbid that a man who possesses faith should, when he hears the apostle bid men âlove their wives, â[2139]2138 love that carnal concupiscence in his wife which he ought not to love even in himself; as he may know, if he listens to the words of another apostle: aLove not the world, neither the things that are in the world. If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world. And the world passeth away, and the lust thereof: but he that doeth the will of God abideth for ever. even as also God abideth for ever.â[2140]2139

Chapter 21 [XIX.]âThus Sinners are Born of Righteous Parents, Even as Wild Olives Spring from the Olive.

That, therefore, which is born of the lust of the flesh is really born of the world, and not of God; but it is born of God, when it is born again of water and of the Spirit. The guilt of this concupiscence, regeneration alone remits, even as natural generation contracts it. What, then, is generated must be regenerated, in order that likewise since it cannot be otherwise, what has been contracted may be remitted. It is, no doubt, very wonderful that what has been remitted in the parent should still be contracted in the offspring; but nevertheless such is the case. That this mysterious verity, which unbelievers neither see nor believe, might get some palpable evidence in its support, God in His providence has secured in the example of certain trees. For why should we not suppose that for this very purpose the wild olive springs from the olive? Is it not indeed credible that, in a thing which has been created for the use of mankind, the Creator provided and appointed what should afford an instructive example, applicable to the human race? It is a wonderful thing, then, how those who have been themselves delivered by grace from the bondage of sin, should still beget those who are tied and bound by the self-same chain, and who require the same process of loosening? Yes; and we admit the wonderful fact. But that the embryo of wild olive trees should latently exist in the germs of true olives, who would deem credible, if it were not proved true by experiment and observation? In the same manner, therefore, as a wild olive grows out of the seed of the wild olive, and from the seed of the true olive springs also nothing but a wild olive, notwithstanding the very great difference there is between the wild olive and the olive; so what is born in the flesh, either of a sinner or of a just man, is in both instances a sinner, notwithstanding the vast distinction which exists between the sinner and the righteous man. He that is begotten is no sinner as yet in act, and is still new from his birth; but in guilt he is old. Human from the Creator, he is a captive of the destroyer, and needs a redeemer. The difficulty, however, is how a state of captivity can possibly befall the offspring, when the parents have been themselves previously redeemed from it. Now it is no easy matter to unravel this intricate point, or to explain it in a set discourse; therefore unbelievers refuse to accept it as true; just as if in that other point about the wild olive and the olive, which we gave in illustration, any reason could be easily found, or explanation clearly given, why the self-same shoot should sprout out of so dissimilar a stock. The truth, however, of this can be discovered by any one who is willing to make the experiment. Let it then serve for a good example for suggesting belief of what admits not of ocular demonstration.

Chapter 22 [XX.]âEven Infants, When Unbaptized, are in the Power of the Devil; Exorcism in the Case of Infants, and Renunciation of the Devil.

Now the Christian faith unfalteringly declares, what our new heretics have begun to deny, both that they who are cleansed in the laver of regeneration are redeemed from the power of the devil, and that those who have not yet been redeemed by such regeneration are still captive in the power of the devil, even if they be infant children of the redeemed, unless they be themselves redeemed by the self-same grace of Christ. For we cannot doubt that that blessing of God applies to every stage of human life, which the apostle describes when he says concerning Him: aWho hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of His dear Son.a[2141]2140 From this power of darkness, therefore, of which the devil is the prince, ain other words, from the power of the devil and his angels, âinfants are delivered when they are baptized; and whosoever denies this, is convicted by the truth of the Churchâs very sacraments, which no heretical novelty in the Church of Christ is permitted to destroy or change, so long as the Divine Head rules and helps the entire body which He ownsâsmall as well as great. It is true, then, and in no way false, that the devilas power is exorcised in infants, and that they renounce him by the hearts and mouths of those who bring them to baptism, being unable to do so by their own; in order that they may be delivered from the power of darkness, and be

translated into the kingdom of their Lord. What is that, therefore, within them which keeps them in the power of the devil until they are delivered from it by Christâs sacrament of baptism? What is it, I ask, but sin? Nothing else, indeed, has the devil found which enables him to put under his own control that nature of man which the good Creator made good. But infants have committed no sin of their own since they have been alive. Only original sin, therefore, remains, whereby they are made captive under the devilâs power, until they are redeemed therefrom by the laver of regeneration and the blood of Christ, and pass into their Redeemerâs kingdom,âthe power of their enthraller being frustrated, and power being given them to become âsons of Godâ instead of children of this world.[2142]2141

Chapter 23 [XXI.]âSin Has Not Arisen Out of the Goodness of Marriage; The Sacrament of Matrimony a Great One in the Case of Christ and the ChurchâA Very Small One in the Case of a Man and His Wife.

If now we interrogate, so to speak, those goods of marriage to which we have often referred, [2143]2142 and inquire how it is that sin could possibly have been propagated from them to infants, we shall get this answer from the first of themathe work of procreation of offspring: âMy happiness would in paradise have been greater if sin had not been committed. For to me belongs that blessing of almighty God: âBe fruitful, and multiply.a[2144]2143 For accomplishing this good work. divers members were created suited to each sex; these members were, of course, in existence before sin, but they were not objects of shame.â This will be the answer of the second goodathe fidelity of chastity: alf sin had not been committed, what in paradise could have been more secure than myself, when there was no lust of my own to spur me, none of another to tempt me?â And then this will be the answer of the sacramental bond of marriage, âthe third good: âOf me was that word spoken in paradise before the entrance of sin: âA man shall leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they two shall become one flesh.ââ[2145]2144 This the apostle applies to the case of Christ and of the Church, and calls it then aa great sacrament.â[2146]2145 What, then, in Christ and in the Church is great, in the instances of each married pair it is but very small, but even then it is the sacrament of an inseparable union. What now is there in these three blessings of marriage out of which the bond of sin could pass over to posterity? Absolutely nothing. And in these blessings it is certain that the goodness of matrimony is entirely comprised; and even now good wedlock consists of these same blessings.

Chapter 24.âLust and Shame Come from Sin; The Law of Sin; The Shamelessness of the Cynics.

But if, in like manner, the question be asked of the concupiscence of the flesh, how it is that acts now bring shame which once were free from shame, will not her answer be, that she only began to have existence in menâs members after sin? [XXII.] And, therefore, that the apostle designated her influence as âthe law of sin,â[2147]2146 inasmuch as she subjugated man to herself when he was unwilling to remain subject to his God; and that it was she who made the first married pair ashamed at that moment when they covered their loins;

even as all are still ashamed, and seek out secret retreats for cohabitation, and dare not have even the children, whom they have themselves thus begotten, to be witnesses of what they do. It was against this modesty of natural shame that the Cynic philosophers, in the error of their astonishing shamelessness, struggled so hard: they thought that the intercourse indeed of husband and wife, since it was lawful and honourable, should therefore be done in public. Such barefaced obscenity deserved to receive the name of dogs; and so they went by the title of aCynics.a[2148]2147

Chapter 25 [XXIII.] Concupiscence in the Regenerate Without Consent is Not Sin; In What Sense Concupiscence is Called Sin.

Now this concupiscence, this law of sin which dwells in our members, to which the law of righteousness forbids allegiance, saying in the words of the apostle, âLet not sin, therefore, reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof; neither yield ye your members as instruments of unrighteousness unto sin:â[2149]2148âthis concupiscence, I say, which is cleansed only by the sacrament of regeneration, does undoubtedly, by means of natural birth, pass on the bond of sin to a manâs posterity, unless they are themselves loosed from it by regeneration. In the case, however, of the regenerate, concupiscence is not itself sin any longer, whenever they do not consent to it for illicit works, and when the members are not applied by the presiding mind to perpetrate such deeds. So that, if what is enjoined in one passage, aThou shalt not covet, a[2150]2149 is not kept, that at any rate is observed which is commanded in another place, aThou shalt not go after thy concupiscences.a[2151]2150 Inasmuch, however, as by a certain manner of speech it is called sin, since it arose from sin, and, when it has the upper hand, produces sin, the guilt of it prevails in the natural man; but this guilt, by Christâs grace through the remission of all sins, is not suffered to prevail in the regenerate man, if he does not yield obedience to it whenever it urges him to the commission of evil. As arising from sin, it is, I say, called sin, although in the regenerate it is not actually sin; and it has this designation applied to it, just as speech which the tongue produces is itself called atongue; and just as the word ahanda is used in the sense of writing, which the hand produces. In the same way concupiscence is called sin, as producing sin when it conquers the will: so to cold and frost the epithet âsluggishâ is given; not as arising from, but as productive of, sluggishness; benumbing us, in fact.

Chapter 26.âWhatever is Born Through Concupiscence is Not Undeservedly in Subjection to the Devil by Reason of Sin; The Devil Deserves Heavier Punishment Than Men.

This wound which the devil has inflicted on the human race compels everything which has its birth in consequence of it to be under the devilâs power, as if he were rightly plucking fruit off his own tree. Not as if manâs nature, which is only of God, came from him, but sin alone, which is not of God. For it is not on its own account that manâs nature is under condemnation, because it is the work of God, and therefore laudable; but on account of that condemnable corruption by which it has been vitiated. Now it is by reason of this condemnation that it is in subjection to the devil, who is also in the same damnable state. For the devil is himself an unclean spirit: good, indeed, so far as he is a spirit, but evil as being unclean; for by nature he is a spirit, by the corruption thereof an unclean one. Of these two, the one is of God, the other of himself. His hold over men, therefore, whether of an advanced age or in infancy, is not because they are human, but because they are polluted. He, then, who feels surprise that Godâs creature is a subject of the devil, should cease from such feeling. For one creature of God is in subjection to another creature of God, the less to the greater, a human being to an angelic one; and this is not owing to nature, but to a corruption of nature: polluted is the sovereign, polluted also the subject. All this is the fruit of that ancient stock of pollution which he has planted in man; himself being destined to suffer a heavier punishment at the last judgment, as being the more polluted; but at the same time even they who will have to bear a less heavy burden in that condemnation are subjects of him as the prince and author of sin, for there will be no other cause of condemnation than sin.

Chapter 27 [XXIV.]âThrough Lust Original Sin is Transmitted; Venial Sins in Married Persons; Concupiscence of the Flesh, the Daughter and Mother of Sin.

Wherefore the devil holds infants guilty who are born, not of the good by which marriage is good, but of the evil of concupiscence, which, indeed, marriage uses aright, but at which even marriage has occasion to feel shame. Marriage is itself ahonourable in alla[2152]2151 the goods which properly appertain to it; but even when it has its âbed undefiledâ (not only by fornication and adultery, which are damnable disgraces, but also by any of those excesses of cohabitation such as do not arise from any prevailing desire of children, but from an overbearing lust of pleasure, which are venial sins in man and wife), yet, whenever it comes to the actual process of generation, the very embrace which is lawful and honourable cannot be effected without the ardour of lust, so as to be able to accomplish that which appertains to the use of reason and not of lust. Now, this ardour, whether following or preceding the will, does somehow, by a power of its own, move the members which cannot be moved simply by the will, and in this manner it shows itself not to be the servant of a will which commands it, but rather to be the punishment of a will which disobeys it. It shows, moreover, that it must be excited, not by a free choice, but by a certain seductive stimulus, and that on this very account it produces shame. This is the carnal concupiscence, which, while it is no longer accounted sin in the regenerate, yet in no case happens to nature except from sin. It is the daughter of sin, as it were; and whenever it yields assent to the commission of shameful deeds, it becomes also the mother of many sins. Now from this concupiscence whatever comes into being by natural birth is bound by original sin, unless, indeed, it be born again in Him whom the Virgin conceived without this concupiscence. Wherefore, when He vouchsafed to be born in the flesh, He alone was born without sin.

Chapter 28 [XXV.] aConcupiscence Remains After Baptism, Just as Languor

Does After Recovery from Disease; Concupiscence is Diminished in Persons of Advancing Years, and Increased in the Incontinent.

If the guestion arises, how this concupiscence of the flesh remains in the regenerate, in whose case has been effected a remission of all sins whatever; seeing that human semination takes place by its means, even when the carnal offspring of even a baptized parent is born: or, at all events, if it may be in the case of a baptized parent concupiscence and not be sin, why should this same concupiscence be sin in the offspring? athe answer to be given is this: Carnal concupiscence is remitted, indeed, in baptism; not so that it is put out of existence, but so that it is not to be imputed for sin. Although its guilt is now taken away, it still remains until our entire infirmity be healed by the advancing renewal of our inner man, day by day, when at last our outward man shall be clothed with incorruption.[2153]2152 It does not remain, however, substantially, as a body, or a spirit; but it is nothing more than a certain affection of an evil quality, such as languor, for instance. There is not, to be sure, anything remaining which may be remitted whenever, as the Scripture says, athe Lord forgiveth all our iniquities.a[2154]2153 But until that happens which immediately follows in the same passage, aWho healeth all thine infirmities, who redeemeth thy life from corruption, a[2155]2154 there remains this concupiscence of the flesh in the body of this death. Now we are admonished not to obey its sinful desires to do evil: âLet not sin reign in your mortal body.â[2156]2155 Still this concupiscence is daily lessened in persons of continence and increasing years, and most of all when old age makes a near approach. The man, however, who yields to it a wicked service, receives such great energies that, even when all his members are now failing through age, and those especial parts of his body are unable to be applied to their proper function, he does not ever cease to revel in a still increasing rage of disgraceful and shameless desire.

Chapter 29 [XXVI.]âHow Concupiscence Remains in the Baptized in Act, When It Has Passed Away as to Its Guilt.

In the case, then, of those persons who are born again in Christ, when they receive an entire remission of all their sins, it is of course necessary that the guilt also of the still indwelling concupiscence should be remitted, in order that (as I said) it should not be imputed to them for sin. For even as in the case of those sins which cannot be themselves permanent, since they pass away as soon as they are committed, the guilt yet is permanent, and (if not remitted) will remain for evermore; so, when the concupiscence is remitted, the guilt of it also is taken away. For not to have sin means this, not to be deemed guilty of sin. If a man have (for example) committed adultery, though he do not repeat the sin, he is held to be guilty of adultery until the indulgence in guilt be itself remitted. He has the sin, therefore, remaining, although the particular act of his sin no longer exists, since it has passed away along with the time when it was committed. For if to desist from sinning were the same thing as not to have sins, it would be sufficient if Scripture were content to give us the simple warning, âMy son, hast thou sinned? Do so no more.â[2157]2156 This, however, does not suffice, for it goes on to

say, âAsk forgiveness for thy former sins.â[2158]2157 Sins remain, therefore, if they are not forgiven. But how do they remain if they are passed away? Only thus, they have passed away in their act, but they are permanent in their guilt. Contrariwise, then, may it happen that a thing may remain in act, but pass away in guilt.

Chapter 30 [XXVII.]âThe Evil Desires of Concupiscence; We Ought to Wish that They May Not Be.

For the concupiscence of the flesh is in some sort active, even when it does not exhibit either an assent of the heart, where its seat of empire is, or those members whereby, as its weapons, it fulfils what it is bent on. But what in this action does it effect, unless it be its evil and shameful desires? For if these were good and lawful, the apostle would not forbid obedience to them, saving, âLet not sin therefore reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey the lusts thereof.â[2159]2158 He does not say, that ye should have the lusts thereof, but athat ye should obey the lusts thereof; a in order that (as these desires are greater or less in different individuals, according as each shall have progressed in the renewal of the inner man) we may maintain the fight of holiness and chastity, for the purpose of withholding obedience to these lusts. Nevertheless, our wish ought to be nothing less than the nonexistence of these very desires, even if the accomplishment of such a wish be not possible in the body of this death. This is the reason why the same apostle, in another passage, addressing us as if in his own person, gives us this instruction: aFor what I would, a says he, athat do I not; but what I hate, that do I.â[2160]2159 In a word, âl covet.â[2161]2160 For he was unwilling to do this, that he might be perfect on every side. alf, then, I do that which I would not, a he goes on to say, al consent unto the law that it is good.a[2162]2161 Because the law, too, wills not that which I also would not. For it wills not that I should have concupiscence, for it says, aThou shall not covet;a[2163]2162 and I am no less unwilling to cherish so evil a desire. In this, therefore, there is complete accord between the will of the law and my own will. But because he was unwilling to covet, [2164]2163 and yet did covet, [2165]2164 and for all that did not by any means obey this concupiscence so as to yield assent to it, he immediately adds these words: aNow, then, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me.â[2166]2165

Chapter 31 [XXVIII.]âWho is the Man that Can Say, âlt is No More I that Do Itâ?

A man, however, is much deceived if, while consenting to the lust of his flesh, and then both resolving in his mind to do its desires and setting about it, he supposes that he has still a right to say, âlt is not I that do it,â even if he hates and loathes himself for assenting to evil desires. The two things are simultaneous in his case: he hates the thing himself because he knows that it is evil; and yet he does it, because he is bent on doing it. Now if, in addition to all this, he proceeds to do what the Scripture forbids him, when it says, âNeither yield ye your members as instruments of unrighteousness unto sin,â[2167]2166 and completes with a bodily act what he was bent on doing in his mind; and says, âlt is not I that do the thing, but sin that dwelleth in me,â[2168]2167 because he feels displeased with himself for resolving on and accomplishing the deed,âhe so greatly errs as not to know his own self. For, whereas he is altogether himself, his mind determining and his body executing his own purpose, he yet supposes that he is himself no longer! [XXIX.] That man, therefore, alone speaks the truth when he says, âlt is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me,â who only feels the concupiscence, and neither resolves on doing it with the consent of his heart, nor accomplishes it with the ministry of his body.

Chapter 32.âWhen Good Will Be Perfectly Done.

The apostle then adds these words: aFor I know that in me (that is, in my flesh) dwelleth no good thing: for to will is present with me; but how to perfect that which is good I find not.a[2169]2168 Now this is said, because a good thing is not then perfected, when there is an absence of evil desires, as evil is perfected when evil desires are obeyed. But when they are present, but are not obeyed, neither evil is performed, since obedience is not yielded to them; nor good, because of their inoperative presence. There is rather an intermediate condition of things: good is effected in some degree, because the evil concupiscence has gained no assent to itself; and in some degree there is a remnant of evil, because the concupiscence is present. This accounts for the apostleas precise words. He does not say, To do good is not present to him, but ahow to perfect it.a For the truth is, one does a good deal of good when he does what the Scripture enjoins, aGo not after thy lusts; a[2170]2169 yet he falls short of perfection, in that he fails to keep the great commandment, a Thou shalt not covet.â[2171]2170 The law said, âThou shalt not covet,â in order that, when we find ourselves lying in this diseased state, we might seek the medicine of Grace, and by that commandment know both in what direction our endeavours should aim as we advance in our present mortal condition, and to what a height it is possible to reach in the future immortality. For unless perfection could somewhere be attained, this commandment would never have been given to us.

Chapter 33 [XXX.]âTrue Freedom Comes with Willing Delight in Godâs Law.

The apostle then repeats his former statement, the more fully to recommend its purport: aFor the good, a says he, athat I would, I do not: but the evil which I would not, that I do. Now, if I do that I would not, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me.a Then follows this: al find then the law, when I would act, to be good to me; for evil is present with me.a[2172]2171 In other words, I find that the law is a good to me, when I wish to do what the law would have me do; inasmuch as it is not with the law itself (which says, aThou shalt not coveta) that evil is present; no, it is with myself that the evil is present, which I would not do, because I have the concupiscence even in my willingness. aFor, a he adds, al delight in the law of God after the inward man; but I see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of sin which is in my members.a[2173]2172 This

delight with the law of God[2174]2173 after the inward man, comes to us from the mighty grace of God; for thereby is our inward man renewed day by day,[2175]2174 because it is thereby that progress is made by us with perseverance. In it there is not the fear that has torment, but the love that cheers and gratifies. We are truly free there, where we have no unwilling joy.

Chapter 34.âHow Concupiscence Made a Captive of the Apostle; What the Law of Sin Was to the Apostle.

Then, indeed, this statement, all see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, a refers to that very concupiscence which we are now speaking of athe law of sin in our sinful flesh. But when he said, âAnd bringing me into captivity to the law of sin,â that is, to its own self, awhich is in my members, a he either meant abringing me into captivity, a in the sense of endeavouring to make me captive, that is, urging me to approve and accomplish evil desire; or rather (and this opens no controversy), in the sense of leading me captive according to the flesh, and, if this is not possessed by the carnal concupiscence which he calls the law of sin, no unlawful desireasuch as our mind ought not to obeyawould of course, be there to excite and disturb. The fact, however, that the apostle does not say, Bringing my flesh into captivity, but âBringing me into captivity, â leads us to look out for some other meaning for the phrase, and to understand the term abringing me into captivitya as if he had said, endeavouring to make me captive. But why, after all, might he not say, aBringing me into captivity, and at the same time mean us to understand his flesh? Was it not spoken by one concerning Jesus, when His flesh was not found in the sepulchre: aThey have taken away my Lord, and I know not where they have laid Himâ?[2176]2175 Was Maryâs then an improper question, because she said, âMy Lord,â and not âMy Lordâs bodyâ or âfleshâ?

Chapter 35 [XXXI.]âThe Flesh, Carnal Affection.

But we have in the apostleas own language, a little before, a sufficiently clear proof that he might have meant his flesh when he said, âBringing me into captivity.â For after declaring, âl know that in me dwelleth no good thing. a he at once added an explanatory sentence to this effect, aThat is, in my flesh.a[2177]2176 It is then the flesh, in which there dwells nothing good, that is brought into captivity to the law of sin. Now he designates that as the flesh wherein lies a certain morbid carnal affection, not the mere conformation of our bodily fabric whose members are not to be used as weapons for sinathat is, for that very concupiscence which holds this flesh of ours captive. So far, indeed, as concerns this actual bodily substance and nature of ours, it is already Godâs temple in all faithful men, whether living in marriage or in continence. If, however, absolutely nothing of our flesh were in captivity, not even to the devil, because there has accrued to it the remission of sin, that sin be not imputed to it (and this is properly designated the law of sin); yet if under this law of sin, that is, under its own concupiscence, our flesh were not to some degree held captive, how could that be true which the apostle states, when he speaks of our

âwaiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our bodya?[2178]2177 In so far, then, as there is now this waiting for the redemption of our body, there is also in some degree still existing something in us which is a captive to the law of sin. Accordingly he exclaims, aO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[2179]2178 What are we to understand by such language, but that our body, which is undergoing corruption, weighs heavily on our soul? When, therefore, this very body of ours shall be restored to us in an incorrupt state, there shall be a full liberation from the body of this death; but there will be no such deliverance for them who shall rise again to condemnation. To the body of this death then is understood to be owing the circumstance that there is in our members another law which wars against the law of the mind, so long as the flesh lusts against the spiritâwithout, however, subjugating the mind, inasmuch as on its side, too, the spirit has a concupiscence contrary to the flesh.[2180]2179 Thus, although the actual law of sin partly holds the flesh in captivity (whence comes its resistance to the law of the mind), still it has not an absolute empire in our body, notwithstanding its mortal state, since it refuses obedience to its desires.[2181]2180 For in the case of hostile armies between whom there is an earnest conflict, even the side which is inferior in the fight usually holds a something which it has captured; and although in some such way there is somewhat in our flesh which is kept under the law of sin, yet it has before it the hope of redemption: and then there will remain not a particle of this corrupt concupiscence; but our flesh, healed of that diseased plague, and wholly clad in immortality, shall live for evermore in eternal blessedness.

Chapter 36.âEven Now While We Still Have Concupiscence We May Be Safe in Christ.

But the apostle pursues the subject, and says, as then with the mind I myself serve the law of God, but with the flesh the law of sin;â[2182]2181 which must be thus understood: âWith my mind I serve the law of God, a by refusing my consent to the law of sin; a with my flesh, however, a I serve athe law of sin, a by having the desires of sin, from which I am not yet entirely freed, although I yield them no assent. Then let us observe carefully what he has said after all the above: âThere is therefore now no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus.â[2183]2182 Even now, says he, when the law in my members keeps up its warfare against the law of my mind, and retains in captivity somewhat in the body of this death, there is no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus. And listen why: aFor the law of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus, a says he, a hath made me free from the law of sin and death.â[2184]2183 How made me free, except by abolishing its sentence of guilt by the remission of all my sins; so that, though it still remains, only daily lessening more and more, it is nevertheless not imputed to me as sin?

Chapter 37 [XXXII.]âThe Law of Sin with Its Guilt in Unbaptized Infants. By Adamâs Sin the Human Race Has Become a âWild Olive Tree.â

Until, then, this remission of sins takes place in the offspring, they

have within them the law of sin in such manner, that it is really imputed to them as sin; in other words, with that law there is attaching to them its sentence of guilt, which holds them debtors to eternal condemnation. For what a parent transmits to his carnal offspring is the condition of his own carnal birth, not that of his spiritual new birth. For, that he was born in the flesh, although no hindrance after the remission of his guilt to his fruit, still remains hidden, as it were, in the seed of the olive, even though, because of the remission of his sins, it in no respect injures the oilâthat is, in plain language, his life which he lives, arighteous by faith, a[2185]2184 after Christ, whose very name comes from the oil, that is, from the anointing.[2186]2185 That, however, which in the case of a regenerate parent, as in the seed of the pure olive, is covered without any quilt, which has been remitted, is still no doubt retained in the case of his offspring, which is yet unregenerate, as in the wild olive, with all its guilt, until here also it be remitted by the self-same grace. When Adam sinned, he was changed from that pure olive, which had no such corrupt seed whence should spring the bitter issue of the wild olive, into a wild olive tree; and, inasmuch as his sin was so great, that by it his nature became commensurately changed for the worse, he converted the entire race of man into a wild olive stock. The effect of this change we see illustrated, as has been said above, in the instance of these very trees. Whenever Godas grace converts a sapling into a good olive, so that the fault of the first birth (that original sin which had been derived and contracted from the concupiscence of the flesh) is remitted, covered, and not imputed, there is still inherent in it that nature from which is born a wild olive, unless it, too, by the same grace, is by the second birth changed into a good olive.

Chapter 38 [XXXIII.]âTo Baptism Must Be Referred All Remission of Sins, and the Complete Healing of the Resurrection. Daily Cleansing.

Blessed, therefore, is the olive tree awhose iniquities are forgiven, and whose sins are covered: a blessed is it ato which the Lord hath not imputed sin.â[2187]2186 But this, which has received the remission, the covering, and the acquittal, even up to the complete change into an eternal immortality, still retains a secret force which furnishes seed for a wild and bitter olive tree, unless the same tillage of God prunes it also, by remission, covering, and acquittal. There will, however, be left no corruption at all in even carnal seed, when the same regeneration, which is now effected through the sacred laver, purges and heals all manas evil to the very end. By its means the very same flesh, through which the carnal mind was formed, shall become spiritual, ano longer having that carnal lust which resists the law of the mind, no longer emitting carnal seed. For in this sense must be understood that which the apostle whom we have so often quoted says elsewhere: aChrist loved the Church, and gave Himself for it; that He might sanctify and cleanse it by the washing of water by the word; that He might present it to Himself a glorious Church, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing.a[2188]2187 It must, I say, be understood as implying, that by this laver of regeneration and word of sanctification all the evils of regenerate men of whatever kind are cleansed and healed, anot the sins only which are all now remitted in

baptism, but those also which after baptism are committed by human ignorance and frailty; not, indeed, that baptism is to be repeated as often as sin is repeated, but that by its one only ministration it comes to pass that pardon is secured to the faithful of all their sins both before and after their regeneration. For of what use would repentance be, either before baptism, if baptism did not follow; or after it, if it did not precede? Nay, in the Lordas Prayer itself, which is our daily cleansing, of what avail or advantage would it be for that petition to be uttered, aForgive us our debts, a[2189]2188 unless it be by such as have been baptized? And in like manner, how great soever be the liberality and kindness of a manâs alms, what, I ask, would they profit him towards the remission of his sins if he had not been baptized? In short, on whom but on the baptized shall be bestowed the very felicities of the kingdom of heaven; where the Church shall have no spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing; where there shall be nothing blameworthy, nothing unreal; where there shall be not only no guilt for sin, but no concupiscence to excite it?

Chapter 39 [XXXIV.] aBy the Holiness of Baptism, Not Sins Only, But All Evils Whatsoever, Have to Be Removed. The Church is Not Yet Free from All Stain.

And thus not only all the sins, but all the ills of men of what kind soever, are in course of removal by the holiness of that Christian laver whereby Christ cleanses His Church, that He may present it to Himself, not in this world, but in that which is to come, as not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing. Now there are some who maintain that such is the Church even now, and yet they are in it. Well then, since they confess that they have some sins themselves, if they say the truth in this (and, of course, they do, as they are not free from sins), then the Church has âa spotâ in them; whilst if they tell an untruth in their confession (as speaking from a double heart), then the Church has in them âa wrinkle.â If, however, they assert that it is themselves, and not the Church, which has all this, they then as good as acknowledge that they are not its members, nor belong to its body, so that they are even condemned by their own confession.

Chapter 40 [XXXV.]âRefutation of the Pelagians by the Authority of St. Ambrose, Whom They Quote to Show that the Desire of the Flesh is a Natural Good.

In respect, however, to this concupiscence of the flesh, we have striven in this lengthy discussion to distinguish it accurately from the goods of marriage. This we have done on account of our modern heretics, who cavil whenever concupiscence is censured, as if it involved a censure of marriage. Their object is to praise concupiscence as a natural good, that so they may defend their own baneful dogma, which asserts that those who are born by its means do not contract original sin. Now the blessed Ambrose, bishop of Milan, by whose priestly office I received the washing of regeneration, briefly spoke on this matter, when, expounding the prophet Isaiah, he gathered from him the nativity of Christ in the flesh: âThus,â says the bishop, âHe was both tempted in all points as a man,[2190]2189 and in the likeness of man He bare all things; but inasmuch as He was born of the Spirit, He kept Himself from sin. For every man is a liar; and there is none without sin but God alone. It has, therefore, been ever firmly maintained, that it is clear that no man from husband and wife, that is to say, by means of that conjunction of their persons, is free from sin. He who is free from sin is also free from conception of this kind.â Well now, what is it which St. Ambrose has here condemned in the true doctrine of this deliverance? a is it the goodness of marriage, or not rather the worthless opinion of these heretics, although they had not then come upon the stage? I have thought it worth while to adduce this testimony, because Pelagius mentions Ambrose with such commendation as to say: aThe blessed Bishop Ambrose, in whose writings more than anywhere else the Roman faith is clearly stated, has flourished like a beautiful flower among the Latin writers. His fidelity and extremely pure perception of the sense of Scripture no opponent even has ever ventured to impugn. a[2191]2190 I hope he may regret having entertained opinions opposed to Ambrose, but not that he has bestowed this praise on that holy man.

Here, then, you have my book, which, owing to its tedious length and difficult subject, it has been as troublesome for me to compose as for you to read, in those little snatches of time in which you have been able (or at least, as I suppose, have been able) to find yourself at leisure. Although it has been indeed drawn up with considerable labour amidst my ecclesiastical duties, as God has vouchsafed to give me His help, I should hardly have intruded it on your notice, with all your public cares, if I had not been informed by a godly man, who has an intimate knowledge of you, that you take such pleasure in reading as to lie awake by the hour, night after night, spending the precious time in your favourite pursuit.

preliminary notes on the second book.

## âââââââââââââ

(1) From the Preface of Augustinâs âUnfinished Work Against Julianus.â

I Wrote a treatise, under the title On Marriage and Concupiscence, and addressed it to the Count Valerius, on learning that he had been informed of the Pelagians that they charge us with condemning marriage. Now in that treatise I showed the distinction, as criticially and accurately as I was able, between the good of marriage and the evil of carnal concupiscence, âan evil which is well used by conjugal chastity. On receiving my treatise, the illustrious man whom I have named sent me in a short paper[2192]2191 a few sentences culled from a work of Julianus, [2193]2192 a Pelagian heretic. In this work he has thought fit to extend to four books his answer to the before-mentioned treatise of mine, which is limited to one book only, On Marriage and Concupiscence. I do not know to whom we were indebted for the said extracts: he confined his selection, evidently on purpose, to the first book of Julianusâ work. At the request of Valerius, I lost no time in drawing up my answer to the extracts. And thus it happened that I have written a second book also under the same title; and in reply to this Julianus has drawn up to eight books, in excess of his loquacious powers.

(2) From Augustinas Epistle to Claudius [CCVII.].

âWhoever has perused this second book of mine, addressed (as the first was) to the Count Valerius, and drawn up (as, indeed, both were) for his use, will have discovered that there are some points in which I have not answered Julianus, but that I meant my work rather for him who made the extracts from that writerâs books, and who did not arrange them in the order in which he found them. He deemed some considerable alteration necessary in his arrangement, very probably with the view of appropriating by this method as his own the thoughts which evidently were another personâs.â

Book II.[2194]2193

Augustin, in this latter book, refutes sundry sentences which had been culled by some unknown author from the first of four books that Julianus had published in opposition to the former book of his treatise âOn Marriage and Concupiscence;â which sentences had been forwarded to him at the instance of the Count Valerius. He vindicates the Catholic doctrine of original sin from his opponentâs cavils and subtleties, and particularly shows how diverse it is from the infamous heresy of the Manicheans.

Chapter 1 [I.] âIntroductory Statement.

I Cannot tell you, dearly loved and honoured son Valerius, how great is the pleasure which my heart receives when I hear of your warm and earnest interest in the testimony of the word of God against the heretics; and this, too, amidst your military duties and the cares which devolve on you in the eminent position you so justly occupy, and the pressing functions, moreover, of your political life. After reading the letter of your Eminence, in which you acknowledge the book which I dedicated to you, I was roused to write this also; for you request me to attend to the statement, which my brother and fellow-bishop Alypius is commissioned to make to me, about the discussion which is being raised by the heretics over sundry passages of my book. Not only have I received this information from the narrative of my said brother, but I have also read the extracts which he produced, and which you had yourself forwarded to Rome, after his departure from Ravenna. On discovering the boastful language of our adversaries, as I could easily do in these extracts, I determined, with the help of the Lord, to reply to their taunts with all the truthfulness and scriptural authority that I could command.

Chapter 2 [II.]âln This and the Four Next Chapters He Adduces the Garbled Extracts He Has to Consider.

The paper which I now answer starts with this title: âHeadings out of a book written by Augustin, in reply to which I have culled a few passages out of books.â I perceive from this that the person who forwarded these written papers to your Excellency wanted to make his extracts out of the books he does not name, with a view, so far as I can judge, to getting a quicker answer, in order that he might not delay your urgency. Now, after considering what books they were which he meant, I suppose that it must have been those which Julianus mentioned in the Epistle he sent to Rome,[2195]2194 a copy of which found its way to me at the same time. For he there says: âThey go so far as to allege that marriage, now in dispute, was not instituted by God,âa declaration which may be read in a work of Augustinâs, to which I have lately replied in a treatise of four books.â These are the books, as I believe, from which the extracts were taken. It would, then, have been perhaps the better course if I had set myself deliberately to disprove and refute that entire work of his,[2196]2195 which he spread out into four volumes. But I was most unwilling to delay my answer, even as you yourself lost no time in forwarding to me the written statements which I was requested to reply to.

Chapter 3.âThe Same Continued.

The words which he has quoted and endeavoured to refute out of my book, which I sent to you, and with which you are very well acquainted, are the following: a They are constantly affirming, in their excessive hatred of us, that we condemn marriage and that divine procedure by which God creates human beings by means of men and women, inasmuch as we maintain that they who are born of such a union contract original sin, and do not deny that, of whatever parents they are born, they are still under the devilâs dominion unless they be born again in Christ.a[2197]2196 Now, in quoting these words of mine, he took care to omit the testimony of the apostle, which I adduced by the weighty significance of which he felt himself too hard pressed. For, after saying that men at their birth contract original sin, I at once introduced the apostleas words: aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all men sinned.â[2198]2197 Well, as I have already mentioned, he omitted this passage of the apostle, and then closed up the other remarks of mine which have been now quoted. For he knew too well how acceptable to the hearts and consciences of all faithful catholics are these words of the apostle, which I had adopted, but which he omitted, awords which are so direct and so clear, that these new-fangled heretics use every effort in their dark and tortuous glosses to obscure and deprave their force.

Chapter 4.âThe Same Continued.

But he has added other words of mine, where I have said: âNor do they reflect that the good of marriage is no more impeachable by reason of the original evil which is derived therefrom, than the evil of adultery and fornication can be excused by reason of the natural good which is born of them. For as sin is the work of the devil, whether derived from this source or from that; so is man, whether born of this or that, the work of God.â Here, too, he has left out some words, in which he was afraid of catholic ears. For to come to the words here quoted, it had previously been said by us: âBecause, then, we affirm this doctrine, which is contained in the oldest and unvarying rule of the catholic faith, these propounders of novel and perverse dogmas, who deny that there is in infants any sin to be washed away in the laver of regeneration, in their unbelief or ignorance calumniate us as

if we condemned marriage, and as if we asserted to be the devilâs work what is Godâs own work, to wit, the human being which is born of marriage.â[2199]2198 All this passage he has passed over, and merely quoted the words which follow it, as given above. Now, in the omitted words he was afraid of the clause which suits all hearts in the catholic Church and appeals to the very faith which has been firmly established and transmitted from ancient times with unfaltering voice and excites their hostility most strongly against us. The clause is this: âThey deny that there is in infants any sin to be washed away in the laver of regeneration.â For all persons run to church with their infants for no other reason in the world than that the original sin which is contracted in them by their first and natural birth may be cleansed by the regeneration of their second birth.

Chapter 5.âThe Same Continued.

He then returns[2200]2199 to our words, which were quoted before: aWe maintain that they who are born of such a union contract original sin; and we do not deny that, of whatever parents they are born, they are still under the devilas dominion unless they be born again in Christ.a Why he should again refer to these words of ours I cannot tell; he had already cited them a little before. He then proceeds to quote what we said of Christ: aWho willed not to be born from the same union of the two sexes.â But here again he guietly ignored the words which I placed just previous to these words; my entire sentence being this: aThat by His grace they may be removed from the power of darkness, and translated into the kingdom of Him who willed not to be born from the same union of the two sexes.â Observe, I pray you, what my words were which he shunned, in the temper of one who is thoroughly opposed to that grace of God which comes through our âLord Jesus Christ.â He knows well enough that it is the height of improbity and impiety to exclude infants from their interest in the apostleas words, where he said of God the Father: aWho hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of His dear son.â[2201]2200 This, no doubt, is the reason why he preferred to omit rather than quote these words.

Chapter 6.âThe Same Continued.

He has next adduced that passage of ours, wherein we said: âFor there would have been none of this shame-producing concupiscence, which is impudently praised by impudent men, if man had not previously sinned; while as to marriage, it would still have existed, even if no man had sinned: for the procreation of children would have been effected without this disease.â Up to this point he cited my words; but he shrank from adding what comes nextââin the body of that chaste life, although without it this cannot be done in âthe body of this death.ââ He would not complete my sentence, but mutilated it somewhat, because he dreaded the apostleâs exclamation, of which my words gave him a reminder: âO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[2202]2201 For the body of this death existed not in paradise before sin; therefore did we say, âIn the body of that chaste life,â which was the life of paradise, âthe procreation of children could

have been effected without the disease, without which now in the body of this death it cannot be done. a The apostle, however, before arriving at that mention of manas misery and Godas grace which we have just guoted, had first said: al see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into captivity to the law of sin which is in my members.â Then it is that he exclaimed, âO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord â In the body of this death, therefore, such as it was in paradise before sin, there certainly was not aanother law in our members warring against the law of our mindââwhich now, even when we are unwilling, and withhold consent, and use not our members to fulfil that which it desires, still dwells in these members, and harasses our resisting and repugnant mind. And this conflict in itself, although not involving condemnation, because it does not consummate sin, is nevertheless âwretched, â inasmuch as it has no peace. I think, then, that I have shown you clearly enough that this man had a special object as well as method in quoting my words: he adduced them for refutation in such manner as in some instances to interrupt the context of my sentences by removing what stood between them, and in other instances to curtail them by withdrawing their concluding words; and his reason for doing all this I think I have sufficiently explained.

Chapter 7 [III.]âAugustin Adduces a Passage Selected from the Preface of Julianus. (See âThe Unfinished Work,â i. 73.)

Let us now look at those words of ours which he adduced just as it suited him, and to which he would oppose his own. For they are followed by his words; moreover, as the person insinuated who sent you the paper of extracts, he copied something out of a preface, which was no doubt the preface of the books from which he selected a few passages. The paragraph thus copied stands as follows: a The teachers of our day, most holy brother, [2203]2202 who are the instigators of the disgraceful faction which is now overheated with its zeal, are determined on compassing the injury and discredit of the men with whose sacred fervour they are set on fire, by nothing less than the ruin of the whole Church; little thinking how much honour they have conferred on those whose renown they have shown to be only capable of being destroyed along with the catholic religion. For, if one should say, either that there is free will in man, or that God is the Creator of those that are born, [2204]2203 he is at once set down as a CAlestian and a Pelagian. To avoid being called heretics, they turn Manicheans; and so, whilst shirking a pretended infamy, they incur a real reproach; just like the animals, which in hunting they surround with dyed feathers, in order to scare and drive them into their nets; [2205]2204 the poor brutes are not gifted with reason, and so they are thrust all together by a vain panic into a real destruction.â[2206]2205

Chapter 8.âAugustin Refutes the Passage Adduced Above.

Well, now, whoever you are that have said all this, what you say is by no means true; by no means, I repeat; you are much deceived, or you aim at deceiving others. We do not deny free will; but, even as the

Truth declares, âif the Son shall make you free, then shall ye be free indeed.â[2207]2206 It is yourselves who invidiously deny this Liberator, since you ascribe a vain liberty to yourselves in your captivity. Captives you are; for a of whom a man is overcome, a as the Scripture says, and the same is he brought in bondage; a[2208]2207 and no one except by the grace of the great Liberator is loosed from the chain of this bondage, from which no man living is free. For aby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all have sinned. a[2209]2208 Thus, then, God is the Creator of those that are born in such wise that all pass from the one into condemnation, who have not the One Liberator by regeneration. For He is described as athe Potter, forming out of the same lump one vessel unto honour in His mercy, and another unto dishonour[2210]2209 in judgment.â And so runs the Churchâs canticle âmercy and judgment. a[2211]2210 You are therefore only misleading yourself and others when you say, alf one should affirm, either that there is free will in man, or that God is the Creator of those that are born, he is at once set down as a CAlestian and a Pelagian; a[2212]2211 for the catholic faith says these things. If, however, any one says that there is a free will in man for worshipping God aright, without His assistance; and whosoever says that God is the Creator of those that are born in such wise as to deny that infants have any need of one to redeem them from the power of the devil: that is the man who is set down as a disciple of CÅlestius and Pelagius. Therefore that men have within them a free will, and that God is the Creator of those that are born, are propositions which we both allow. You are not CAlestians and Pelagians for merely saying this. But what you do really say is this, that any man whatever has freedom enough of will for doing good without Godâs help, and that infants undergo no such change as being âdelivered from the power of darkness and translated into the kingdom of God; a[2213]2212 and because you say so, you are CÅlestians and Pelagians. Why, then, do you hide under the covering of a common dogma for deceit, concealing your own especial delinquency which has gained for you a party-name; and why, to terrify the ignorant with a shocking term, do you say of us, aTo avoid being called heretics, they turn Manicheans?â

Chapter 9.âThe Catholics Maintain the Doctrine of Original Sin, and Thus are Far from Being Manicheans.

Listen, then, for a little while, and observe what is involved in this question. Catholics say that human nature was created good by the good God as Creator; but that, having been corrupted by sin, it needs the physician Christ. The Manicheans affirm, that human nature was not created by God good, and corrupted by sin; but that man was formed by the prince of eternal darkness of a mixture of two natures which had ever existedâone good and the other evil. The Pelagians and CÅlestians say that human nature was created good by the good God; but that it is still so sound and healthy in infants at their birth, that they have no need at that age of Christâs medicine. Recognise, then, your name in your dogma; and cease from intruding upon the catholics, who refute you, a name and a dogma which belong to others. For truth rejects both partiesâthe Manicheans and yourselves. To the Manicheans it says: âHave ye not read that He which made man at the beginning, made them

male and female; and said, For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife; and they twain shall be one flesh? Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh. What, therefore, God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.â[2214]2213 Now Christ shows, in this passage, that God is both the Creator of man, and the uniter in marriage of husband and wife; whereas the Manicheans deny both these propositions. To you, however, He says: a The Son of man is come to seek and to save that which is lost.â[2215]2214 But you, admirable Christians as you are, answer Christ: alf you came to seek and to save that which was lost, then you did not come for infants; for they were not lost, but are born in a state of salvation: go to older men; we give you a rule from your own words: a They that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick.âa[2216]2215 Now, as it happens, the Manichean, who says that man has evil mixed in his nature, must wish his good soul at any rate to be saved by Christ; whereas you contend that there is in infants nothing to be sired by Christ, since they are already safe.[2217]2216 And thus the Manichean besets human nature with his detestable censure, and you with your cruel praise. For whosoever shall believe your laudation, will never bring their babes to the Saviour. Entertaining such impious views as these, of what use is it that you fearlessly face that which is enacted for you[2218]2217 in order to induce salutary fear and to treat you as a human being, and not as that poor animal of yours which was surrounded with the coloured feathers to be driven into the hunting toils? Need was that you should hold the truth, and, on account of zeal for it, have no fear; but, as things are, you evade fear in such wise that, if you feared, you would rather run away from the net of the malignant one than run into it. The reason why your catholic mother alarms you is, because she fears for both you and others from you; and if by the help of her sons who possess any authority in the State she acts with a view to make you afraid, she does so, not from cruelty, but from love. You, however, are a very brave man; and you deem it the cowardas part to be afraid of men. Well then, fear God; and do not try with such obstinacy to subvert the ancient foundations of the catholic faith. Although I could even wish that spirited temper of yours would entertain some little fear of human authority, at least in the present case. I could wish, I say, that it would rather tremble through cowardice than perish through audacity.

Chapter 10 [IV.]âln What Manner the Adversaryâs Cavils Must Be Refuted.

Let us now look at the rest of what he has joined together in his selections. But what should be my course of proceeding? Ought I to set forth every passage of his for the purpose of answering it, or, omitting everything which the catholic faith contains, as not in dispute between us, only handle and confute those statements in which he strays away from the beaten path of truth, and endeavours to graft on catholic stems the poisonous shoots of his Pelagian heresy? This is, no doubt, the easier course. But I suppose I must not lose sight of a possible contingency, that any one, after reading my book, without perusing all that has been alleged by him, may think that I was unwilling to bring forward the passages on which his allegations depend, and by which are shown to be truly deduced the statements which I am controverting as false. I should be glad, therefore, if the reader will without exception kindly observe and consider the two classes of contributions which occur in this little work of oursâthat is to say, all that he has alleged, and the answers which on my side I give him.

Chapter 11.âThe Devil the Author, Not of Nature, But Only of Sin.

Now, the man who forwarded to your Love the paper in question has introduced the contents thereof with this title: âln opposition to those persons who condemn matrimony, and ascribe its fruits to the devil.â This, then, is not in opposition to us, who neither condemn matrimony, which we even commend in its order with a just commendation, nor ascribe its fruits to the devil. For the fruits of matrimony are men which are orderly engendered from it, and not the sins which accompany their birth. Human beings are not under the devilâs dominion because they are human beings, in which respect they are the fruits of matrimony; but because they are sinful, in which resides the transmission of their sins. For the devil is the author of sin, not of nature.

Chapter 12.aEveas Name Means Life, and is a Great Sacrament of the Church.

Now, observe the rest of the passage in which he thinks he finds, to our prejudice, what is consonant with the above-guoted title. aGod.a says he, awho had framed Adam out of the dust of the ground, formed Eve out of his rib.[2219]2218 and said. She shall be called Life. because she is the mother of all who live.â Well now, it is not so written. But what matters that to us? For it constantly happens that our memory fails in verbal accuracy, while the sense is still maintained. Nor was it God, but her husband, who gave Eve her name, which should signify Life; for thus it is written: âAnd Adam called his wifeas name Life, because she is the mother of all living.â[2220]2219 But very likely he might have understood the Scripture as testifying that God gave Eve this name through Adam, as His prophet. For in that she was called Life, and the mother of all living, there lies a great sacrament of the Church, of which it would detain us long to speak, and which is unnecessary to our present undertaking. The very same thing which the apostle says, a This is a great sacrament: but I speak concerning Christ and the Church, a was also spoken by Adam when he said, âFor this cause shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they twain shall be one flesh.a[2221]2220 The Lord Jesus, however, in the Gospel mentions God as having said this of Eve; and the reason, no doubt, is, that God declared through the man what the man, in fact, uttered as a prophecy. Now, observe what follows in the paper of extracts: âBy that primitive name, â says he, âHe showed for what labour the woman had been provided; and He said accordingly, âBe fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth.âa[2222]2221 Now, who amongst ourselves denies that the woman was provided for the work of child-bearing by the Lord God, the beneficent Creator of all good? See further what he goes on to say: aGod, therefore, who created them male and female,[2223]2222 furnished them with members suitable for procreation, and ordained that bodies should be produced from bodies; and yet is security for their capacity for effecting the work, executing all that exists with that power which He used in creation.â[2224]2223 Well, even this we acknowledge to be catholic doctrine, as we also do with regard to the passage which he immediately subjoins: âlf, then, offspring comes only through sex, and sex only through the body, and the body through God, who can hesitate to allow that fecundity is rightly attributed to God?â

Chapter 13.âThe Pelagian Argument to Show that the Devil Has No Rights in the Fruits of Marriage.

After these true and catholic statements, which are, moreover, really contained in the Holy Scriptures, although they are not adduced by him in a catholic spirit, with the earnestness of a catholic mind, he loses no time in introducing to us the heresy of Pelagius and CÅlestius, for which purpose he wrote, indeed, his previous remarks. Mark carefully the following words: aYou now who say, aWe do not deny that they, are still, of whatever parents born, under the devilas power, unless they be born again in Christ, a show us what the devil can recognise as his own in the sexes, by reason of which he can (to use your phrase) rightly claim as his property the fruit which they produce. Is it the difference of the sexes? But this is inherent in the bodies which God made. Is it their union? But this union is justified in the privilege of the primeval blessing no less than institution. For it is the voice of God that says, âA man shall leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave to his wife; and they two shall be one flesh.â[2225]2224 It is again the voice of God which says, aBe fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth.a[2226]2225 Or is it, perchance, their fertility? But this is the very reason why matrimony was instituted.â

Chapter 14 [V.] aConcupiscence Alone, in Marriage, is Not of God.

You see the terms of his guestion to us: what the devil can find in the sexes to call his own, by reason of which they should be in his power, who are born of parents of whatsoever kind, unless they be born again in Christ: he asks us, moreover, whether it is the difference in the sexes which we ascribe to the devil, or their union, or their very fruitfulness. We answer, then, nothing of these qualities, inasmuch as the difference of sex belongs to athe vesselsa of the parents; while the union of the two pertains to the procreation of children; and their fruitfulness to the blessing pronounced on the marriage institution. But all these things are of God; yet amongst them he was unwilling to name that alust of the flesh, which is not of the Father, but is of the world; a[2227]2226 and a fthis world a the devil is said to be athe prince.a[2228]2227 Now, the devil found no carnal concupiscence in the Lord, because the Lord did not come as a man to men by its means. Accordingly, He says Himself: aThe prince of this world cometh, and findeth nothing in mea[2229]2228anothing, that is, of sin; neither that which is derived from birth, nor that which is added during life. Among all the natural goods of procreation which he mentioned, he was, I repeat, unwilling to name this particular fact of

concupiscence, over which even marriage blushes, which glories in all these before-mentioned goods. For why is the especial work of parents withdrawn and hidden even from the eyes of their children, except that it is impossible for them to be occupied in laudable procreation without shameful lust? Because of this it was that even they were ashamed who first covered their nakedness.[2230]2229 These portions of their person were not suggestive of shame before, but deserved to be commended and praised as the work of God. They put on their covering when they felt their shame, and they felt their shame when, after their own disobedience to their Maker, they felt their members disobedient to themselves. Our quoter of extracts likewise felt ashamed of this concupiscence. For he mentioned the difference of the sexes; he mentioned also their union, and he mentioned their fertility; but this last concomitant of lust he blushed to mention. And no wonder if mere talkers are ashamed of that which we see parents themselves, so interested in their function, blush to think of.

Chapter 15.âMan, by Birth, is Placed Under the Dominion of the Devil Through Sin; We Were All One in Adam When He Sinned.

He then proceeds to ask: âWhy, then, are they in the devilâs power whom God created?â And he finds an answer to his own question apparently from a phrase of mine. aBecause of sin, a says he, anot because of nature â Then framing his answer in reference to mine, he says: âBut as there cannot be offspring without the sexes, so there cannot be sin without the will.â Yes, indeed, such is the truth. For even as aby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; so also has death passed through to all men, for in him all have sinned.â[2231]2230 By the evil will of that one man all sinned in him, since all were that one man, from whom, therefore, they individually derived original sin. aFor you allege, a says he, athat the reason why they are in the devilas power is because they are born of the union of the two sexes.â I plainly aver that it is by reason of transgression that they are in the devilas power, and that their participation, moreover, of this transgression is due to the circumstance that they are born of the said union of the sexes, which cannot even accomplish its own honourable function without the incident of shameful lust. This has also, in fact, been said by Ambrose, of most blessed memory, bishop of the church in Milan, when he gives as the reason why Christâs birth in the flesh was free from all sinful fault, that His conception was not the result of a union of the two sexes; whereas there is not one among human beings conceived in such union who is without sin. These are his precise words: aOn that account, and being man. He was tried by every sort of temptation, and in the likeness of man He bore them all; inasmuch, however, as He was born of the Spirit, He abstained from all sin. For every man is a liar, and none is without sin, but God only. It has accordingly, a adds he, abeen constantly observed, that clearly no one who is born of a man and a woman, that is to say, through the union of their bodies, is free from sin; for whoever is free from sin is free also from conception of this kind.â[2232]2231 Well now, will you dare, ye disciples of Pelagius and CÅlestius, to call this man a Manichean? as the heretic Jovinian did, when the holy bishop maintained the permanent virginity of the blessed Mary even after child-bearing, in opposition to this manas impiety.

If, however, you do not dare to call him a Manichean, why do you call us Manicheans when we defend the catholic faith in the self-same cause and with the self same opinions? But if you will taunt that most faithful man with having entertained Manichean error in this matter, there is no help for it, you must enjoy your taunts as best you may, and so fill up Jovinianâs measure more fully; as for ourselves, we can patiently endure along with such a man of God your taunts and jibes. And yet your heresiarch Pelagius commends Ambroseâs faith and extreme purity in the knowledge of the Scriptures so greatly, as to declare that not even an enemy could venture to find fault with him. Observe, then, to what length you have gone, and refrain from following any further in the audacious steps of Jovinian. And yet that man, although by his excessive commendation of marriage he put it on a par with holy virginity, never denied the necessity of Christ to save those who are born of marriage even fresh from their motheras womb, and to redeem them from the power of the devil. This, however, you deny; and because we oppose you in defence of those who cannot yet speak for themselves, and in defence of the very foundations of the catholic faith, you taunt us, with being Manicheans. But let us now see what comes next.

Chapter 16 [VI.]âlt is Not of Us, But Our Sins, that the Devil is the Author.

He puts to us, then, another question, saying, aWhom, then, do you confess to be the author of infants? The true God?â I answer: [2233]2232 aYes; the true God. a He then remarks, aBut He did not make evil; and again asks, aWhether we confess the devil to be the creator of infants?â Then again he answers, âBut he did not create human nature.â He then closes the subject, as it were, with this inference: aSince union is evil, and the condition of our bodies is degraded, therefore you ascribe our bodies to an evil creator.â My answer to this is, I do not ascribe to an evil creator our bodies, but our sins; by reason of which it came to pass that, whereas in our bodies, that is to say, in what God has made, all was honourable and well-pleasing, there yet accrued in the intercourse of male and female what caused shame, so that their union was not such as might have been in the body of that unimpaired life, but such as we see with a blush in the body of this death. aBut God, a says he, ahas divided in sex what He would unite in operation. So that from Him comes the union of bodies, from whom first came the creation of bodies.<sup>a</sup> We have already furnished an answer to this statement, when we said that these bodies are of God. But as regards the disobedience of the members of these bodies, this comes through the lust of the flesh which ais not of the Father.â[2234]2233 He goes on to say, that âit is impossible for evil fruits to spring from so many good things, such as bodies, sexes, and their unions; or that human beings should be made by God for the purpose of their being, by lawful right, as you maintain, held in possession by the devil.â Now it has been already affirmed, that they are not thus held because they are men, which designation belongs to their nature, of which the devil is not the author; but because they are sinners, which designation is the result of that fault of nature of which the devil is the author.

Chapter 17 [VII.]âThe Pelagians are Not Ashamed to Eulogize

Concupiscence, Although They are Ashamed to Mention Its Name.

But among so many names of good things, such as bodies, sexes, unions, he never once mentions the lust or concupiscence of the flesh. He is silent, because he is ashamed; and vet with a strange shamelessness of shame (if the expression may be used), he is not ashamed to praise what he is ashamed to mention. Now just observe how he prefers to point to his object by circumlocution rather than by direct mention of it. âAfter that the man, â says he, âby natural appetite knew his wife.â See again, he refused to say. He knew his wife by carnal concupiscence; but he used the phrase, aby natural appetite, a by which it is open to us to understand that holy and honourable will which wills the procreation of children, and not that lust, of which even he is so much ashamed, forsooth, that he prefers to use ambiguous language to us, to expressing his mind in unmistakeable words. aNow what is the meaning of his phraseââby natural appetiteâ? Is not both the wish to be saved and the wish to beget, nourish, and educate children, natural appetite? and is it not likewise of reason, and not of lust? Since, however, we can ascertain his intention, we are pretty sure that he meant by these words to indicate the lust of the organs of generation. Do not the words in question appear to you to be the fig-leaves, under cover of which is hidden nothing else but that which he feels ashamed of? For just as they of old sewed the leaves together[2235]2234 as a girdle of concealment, so has this man woven a web of circumlocution to hide his meaning. Let him weave out his statement: âBut when the man knew his wife by natural appetite, the divine Scripture says, Eve conceived, and bare a son, and called his name Cain. But what, â he adds, âdoes Adam sav? Let us hear: I have obtained a man from God. So that it is evident that he was Godâs work. and the divine Scripture testifies to his having been received from God.â[2236]2235 Well, who can entertain a doubt on this point? Who can deny this statement, especially if he be a catholic Christian? A man is Godâs work; but carnal concupiscence (without which, if sin had not preceded, man would have been begotten by means of the organs of generation, not less obedient than the other members to a guiet and normal will) is not of the Father, but is of the world.[2237]2236

Chapter 18. âThe Same Continued.

But now, I pray you, look a little more attentively, and observe how he contrives to find a name wherewith to cover again what he blushes to unfold.  $\hat{a}For$ ,  $\hat{a}$  says he,  $\hat{a}Adam$  begot him by the power of his members, not by diversity of merits.  $\hat{a}$  Now I confess I do not understand what he meant by the latter clause, not by diversity of merits; but when he said,  $\hat{a}$ by the power of his members,  $\hat{a}$  I believe he wished to express what he is ashamed to say openly and clearly. He preferred to use the phrase,  $\hat{a}$ by the power of his members,  $\hat{a}$  rather than say,  $\hat{a}$ by the lust of the flesh.  $\hat{a}$  Plainly $\hat{a}$ even if the thought did not occur to him $\hat{a}$ he intimated a something which has an evident application to the subject. For what is more powerful than a man $\hat{a}$ s members, when they are not in due submission to a man $\hat{a}$ s will? Even if they be restrained by temperance or continence, their use and control are not in any man $\hat{a}$ s power. Adam, then, begat his sons by what our author calls  $\hat{a}$ the power of his members, $\hat{a}$  over which, before he begat them, he blushed, after his sin. If, however, he had never sinned, he would not have begotten them by the power, but in the obedience, of his members. For he would himself have had the power to rule them as subjects to his will, if he, too, by the same will had only submitted himself as a subject to a more powerful One.

Chapter 19 [VIII.]âThe Pelagians Misunderstand âSeedâ In Scripture.

He goes on to say: âAfter a while the divine Scripture says again, aAdam knew Eve his wife; and she bare a son, and he called his name Seth: saying, The Lord hath raised me up another seed instead of Abel, whom Cain slew.ââ He then adds: âThe Divinity is said to have raised up the seed itself; as a proof that the sexual union was His appointment.â This person did not understand what the Scripture records; for he supposed that the reason why it is said. The Lord hath raised me up another seed instead of Abel, was none other than that God might be supposed to have excited in him a desire for sexual intercourse, by means whereof seed might be raised for being poured into the womanas womb. He was perfectly unaware that what the Scripture has said is not âHas raised me up seedâ in the sense he uses, but only as meaning allas given me a son.a Indeed, Adam did not use the words in guestion after his sexual intercourse, when he emitted his seed, but after his wifeas confinement, in which he received his son by the gift of God. For what gratification is there (except perhaps for lascivious persons, and those who, as the apostle says with prohibition, apossess their vessel in the lust of concupiscencea[2238]2237) in the mere shedding of seed as the ultimate pleasure of sexual union, unless it is followed by the true and proper fruit of marriageâconception and birth?

Chapter 20.âOriginal Sin is Derived from the Faulty Condition of Human Seed.

This, however, I would not say, as implying at all that we must look for some other creator than the supreme and true God, of either human seed or of man himself who comes from the seed; but as meaning, that the seed would have issued from the human being by the guiet and normal obedience of his members to his willas command, if sin had not preceded. The question now before us does not concern the nature of human seed, but its corruption. Now the nature has God for its author; it is from its corruption that original sin is derived. If, indeed, the seed had itself no corruption, what means that passage in the Book of Wisdom, aNot being ignorant that they were a naughty generation, and that their malice was inbred, and that their cogitation would never be changed; for their seed was accursed from the beginningâ?[2239]2238 Now whatever may be the particular application of these words, they are spoken of mankind. How, then, is the malice of every man inbred, and his seed cursed from the beginning, unless it be in respect of the fact, that aby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all have sinneda?[2240]2239 But where is the man whose aevil cogitation can never be changed, â unless because it cannot be effected by himself, but only by divine grace; without the assistance of which, what are human beings, but that which the Apostle Peter says of them,

when he describes them as anatural brute beasts made to be taken and destroyeda?[2241]2240 Accordingly, the Apostle Paul, in a certain passage, having both conditions in view, aeven the wrath of God with which we are born, and the grace whereby we are delivered, asays: aAmong whom also we all had our conversation in times past in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind; and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others. But God, who is rich in mercy, for His great love wherewith He loved us, even when we were dead in sins, hath guickened us together with Christ; by whose grace we are saved.â[2242]2241 What, then, is manâs ânatural malice, â and âthe seed cursed from the beginning; â and what are âthe natural brute beasts made to be taken and destroyed, â and what the aby nature children of wratha? Was this the condition of the nature which was formed in Adam? God forbid! Inasmuch as his pure nature, however, was corrupted in him, it has run on in this condition by natural descent through all, and still is running; so that there is no deliverance for it from this ruin, except by the grace of God through our Lord Jesus Christ.

Chapter 21 [IX.]âlt is the Good God That Gives Fruitfulness, and the Devil That Corrupts the Fruit.

What, therefore, is this manâs meaning, in the next passage, wherein he says concerning Noah and his sons, that athey were blessed, even as Adam and Eve were; for God said unto them, aBe fruitful, and multiply, and have dominion over the earthââ?[2243]2242 To these words of the Almighty he added some of his own, saying: aNow that pleasure, which you would have seem diabolical, was resorted to in the case of the above-mentioned married pairs; and it continued to exist, both in the goodness of its institution and in the blessing attached to it. For there can be no doubt that the following words were addressed to Noah and his sons in reference to their bodily connection with their wives, which had become by this time unalterably fixed by use: aBe fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth.ââ It is unnecessary for us to employ many words in repeating our former argument. The point here in question is the corruption in our nature, whereby its goodness has been depraved, of which corruption the devil is the author. That goodness of nature, as it is in itself, the author of which is God, is not the question we have to consider. Now God has never withdrawn from corrupted and depraved nature His own mercy and goodness, so as to deprive man of fruitfulness, vivacity, and health, as well as the very substance of his mind and body, his senses also and reason, as well as food, and nourishment, and growth. He, moreover, âmaketh His sun to arise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust; a[2244]2243 and all that is good in human nature is from the good God, even in the case of those men who will not be delivered from evil.

Chapter 22. aShall We Be Ashamed of What We Do, or of What God Does?

It is, however, of pleasure that this man spoke in his passage, because pleasure can be even honourable: of carnal concupiscence, or lust, which produces shame, he made no mention. In some subsequent words, however, he uncovered his susceptibility of shame; and he was unable to dissemble what nature herself has prescribed so forcibly. âThere is also,â says he, âthat statement: âTherefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they twain shall be one flesh.ââ Then after these words of God, he ages on to offer some of his own, saving; a That he might express faith in works, the prophet approached very near to a perilling of modesty.â What a confession! How clear and extorted from him by the force of truth! The prophet, it would seem, to express faith in works, almost imperilled modesty, when he said, aThey twain shall become one flesh; a wishing it to be understood of the sexual union of the male and the female. Let the cause be alleged, why the prophet, in expressing the works of God, should approach so near an imperilling of modesty? Is it then the case that the works of man ought not to produce shame, but must be gloried in at all events, and that the works of God must produce shame? Is it, that in setting forth and expressing the works of God the prophetas love or labour receives no honour, but his modesty is imperilled? What, then, was it possible for God to do, which it would be a shame for His prophet to describe? And, what is a weightier question still, could a man be ashamed of any work which not man, but God, has made in man? whereas workmen in all cases strive, with all the labour and diligence in their power, to avoid shame in the works of their own hands. The truth, however, is, that we are ashamed of that very thing which made those primitive human beings ashamed, when they covered their loins. That is the penalty of sin; that is the plague and mark of sin; that is the temptation and very fuel of sin; that is the law in our members warring against the law of our mind; that is the rebellion against our own selves, proceeding from our very selves, which by a most righteous retribution is rendered us by our disobedient members. It is this which makes us ashamed, and justly ashamed. If it were not so, what could be more ungrateful, more irreligious in us, if in our members we were to suffer confusion of face, not for our own fault or penalty, but because of the works of God?

Chapter 23 [X.]âThe Pelagians Affirm that God in the Case of Abraham and Sarah Aroused Concupiscence as a Gift from Heaven.

He has much also to say, though to no purpose, concerning Abraham and Sarah, how they received a son according to the promise: and at last he mentions the word concupiscence. But he does not add the usual phrase, aof the flesh, a because this is the very thing which causes the shame. Whereas, on account of concupiscence there is sometimes a call for boasting, inasmuch as there is a concupiscence of the spirit against the flesh. [2245] 2244 and a concupiscence of wisdom. [2246] 2245 Accordingly, he says: aNow you have certainly defined as naturally evil this concupiscence which is indispensable for fecundity; whence comes it, therefore, that it is aroused in aged men by the gift of Heaven? Make it clear then, if you can, that that belongs to the devilâs work, which you see is conferred by God as a gift.â He says this, just as if concupiscence of the flesh had been previously wanting in them, and as if God had bestowed it upon them. No doubt it was inherent in this body of death; that fecundity, however, was wanting of which God is the author: and this was actually given whensoever God willed to confer the gift. Be it, however, far from us

to affirm, what he thought we meant to say, that Isaac was begotten without the heat of sexual union.

Chapter 24 [XI.]âWhat Covenant of God the New-Born Babe Breaks. What Was the Value of Circumcision.

But let him inform us how it was that his [2247]2246 soul would be cut off from his people if he had not been circumcised on the eighth day. How could he have so sinned, how so offended God, as to be punished for the neglect of others towards him with so severe a sentence, had there been no original sin in the case? For thus ran the commandment of God concerning the circumcision of infants: aThe uncircumcised man-child, whose flesh of his foreskin is not circumcised on the eighth day, his soul shall be cut off from his people; because he hath broken my covenant.â[2248]2247 Let him tell us, if he can, how that child broke Godâs covenant, âan innocent babe, so far as he was personally concerned, of eight daysâ age; and yet there is by no means any falsehood uttered here by God or Holy Scripture. The fact is, the covenant of God which he then broke was not this which commanded circumcision, but that which forbade the tree; when aby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all have sinned.a[2249]2248 And in his case the explation of this was signified by the circumcision of the eighth day. that is, by the sacrament of the Mediator who was to be incarnate. For it was through this same faith in Christ, who was to come in the flesh, and was to die for us, and on the third day (which coming after the seventh or Sabbath day, was to be the eighth) to rise again, that even holy men were saved of old. For âHe was delivered for our offences, and raised again for our justification. a[2250]2249 Ever since circumcision was instituted amongst the people of God, which was at that time the sign of the righteousness of faith, it availed also to signify the cleansing even in infants of the original and primitive sin, just as baptism in like manner from the time of its institution began to be of avail for the renewal of man. Not that there was no justification by faith before circumcision; for even when he was still in uncircumcision, Abraham was himself justified by faith, being the father of those nations which should also imitate his faith.[2251]2250 In former times, however, the sacramental mystery of justification by faith lav concealed in every mode. Still it was the self-same faith in the Mediator which saved the saints of old, both small and greatanot the old covenant, awhich gendereth to bondage; a[2252]2251 not the law, which was not so given as to be able to give life; [2253]2252 but the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord [2254]2253 For as we believe that Christ has come in the flesh, so they believed that He was to come; as, again, we believe that He has died, so they believed that He would die; and as we believe that He has risen from the dead, so they believed that He would rise again; whilst both we and they believe alike, that He will hereafter come to judge the guick and the dead. Let not this man, then, throw any hindrance in the way of its salvation upon human nature, by setting up a bad defence of its merits; because we are all born under sin, and are delivered therefrom by the only One who was born without sin.

Chapter 25 [XII.]âAugustin Not the Deviser of Original Sin.

âThis sexual connection of bodies,â he says, âtogether with the ardour, with the pleasure, with the emission of seed, was made by God, and is praiseworthy on its own account, and is therefore to be approved: it, moreover, became sometimes even a great gift to pious men.â He distinctly and severally repeated the phrases, awith ardour, a âwith pleasure,â âwith emission of seed.â He did not, however, venture to say, awith lust. Why is this, if it be not that he is ashamed to name what he does not blush to praise? A gift, indeed, for pious men is the prosperous propagation of children; but not that shame-producing excitement of the members, which our nature would not feel were it in a sound state, although corrupted nature now experiences it. On this account, indeed, it is that he who is born of it requires to be born again, in order that he may be a member of Christ; and that he of whom he is born, even though he be already born again, wants to be freed from that which exists in this body of death by reason of the law of sin. Now since this is the case, how is it he goes on to say, aYou must, therefore, of necessity confess that the original sin which you had devised is done away witha? It was not I who devised the original sin, which the catholic faith holds from ancient times; but you, who deny it, are undoubtedly an innovating heretic. In the judgment of God, all are in the devilas power, born in sin, unless they are regenerated in Christ.

Chapter 26 [XIII.]âThe Child in No Sense Formed by Concupiscence.

But as he was speaking of Abraham and Sarah, he goes on to say: alf, indeed, you were to affirm that the natural use was strong in them, and there was no offspring, my answer will be: Whom the Creator promised, the Creator also gave; the child which is born is not the work of cohabitation, but of God. He, indeed, who made the first man of the dust, fashions all men out of seed. As, therefore, the dust of the earth, which was taken as the material, was not the author of man; so likewise that power of sexual pleasure which forms and commingles the seminal elements does not complete the entire process of manâs making, but rather presents to God, out of the treasures of nature, material with which He vouchsafes to make the human being. a Now the whole of this statement of his, except where he says, that the seminal elements are formed and commingled by sexual pleasure, would be correctly expressed by him were he only earnest in making it to defend the catholic sense. To us, however, who are fully aware what he strives to make out of it, he speaks indeed correctly in a perverse manner. The exceptional statement to the general truth, which I do not deny belongs to this passage, is untrue for this reason, because the pleasure in question of carnal concupiscence does not form the seminal elements. These are already in the body, and are formed by the same true God who created the body itself. They do not receive their existence from the libidinous pleasure, but are excited and emitted in company with it. Whether, indeed, such pleasure accompanies the commingling of the seminal elements of the two sexes in the womb, is a question which perhaps women may be able to determine from their inmost feelings; but it is improper for us to push an idle curiosity so far. That concupiscence, however, which we have to be ashamed of, and the shame of which has given to our secret members their shameful designation, pudenda, had no existence in the body during its life in paradise before the entrance of sin; but it began to exist âin the body of this deathâ after sin, the rebellion of the members retaliating manâs own disobedience. Without this concupiscence it was quite possible to effect the function of the wedded pair in the procreation of children: just as many a laborious work is accomplished by the compliant operation of our other limbs, without any lascivious heat; for they are simply moved by the direction of the will, not excited by the ardour of concupiscence.

Chapter 27.âThe Pelagians Argue that God Sometimes Closes the Womb in Anger, and Opens It When Appeased.

Carefully consider the rest of his remarks: aThis likewise, a says he, ais confirmed by the apostleas authority. For when the blessed Paul spoke of the resurrection of the dead, he said, aThou fool, that which thou sowest is not quickened. a[2255]2254 And afterwards, aBut God giveth it a body as it pleaseth Him, and to every seed its own body.â If, therefore, God, a says he, a has assigned to human seed, as to every thing else, its own proper body, which no wise or pious man will deny, how will you prove that any person is born guilty? Do, I beg of you, reflect with what a noose this assertion of natural sin is choked. But come, a he says, adeal more gently with yourself, I pray you. Believe me, God made even you: it must, however, be confessed, that a serious error has infected you. For what profaner opinion can be broached than that either God did not make man, or else that He made him for the devil; or, at any rate, that the devil framed Godâs image, that is, man, awhich clearly is a statement not more absurd than impious? Is then, a says he, a God so poor in resources, so lacking in all sense of propriety, as not to have had aught which He could confer on holy men as their reward, except what the devil, after making them his dupes, might infuse into them for their vitiation?[2256]2255 Would you like to know, however, that even in the case of those who are no saints, God can be proved to have bestowed this power of procreation of children? When Abraham, struck with fear among a foreign nation, said that Sarah, his wife, was his sister, it is said that Abimelech, the king of the country, abducted her for a nightas enjoyment of her. But God, who had the holy womanas honour in His keeping, appeared to Abimelech in his sleep, and restrained the royal audacity: threatening him with death if he went to the length of violating the wife. Then Abimelech said: âWilt thou, O Lord, slay an innocent and righteous nation? Did they not tell me that they were brother and sister? Therefore Abimelech arose early in the morning, and took a thousand pieces of silver, and sheep, and oxen, and men-servants, and women-servants, and gave them to Abraham, and sent away his wife untouched. But Abraham prayed unto God for Abimelech; and God healed Abimelech, and his wife, and his maid-servants.ââ[2257]2256 Now why he narrated all this at so great a length, you may find in these few words which he added: aGod, a he says, at the prayer of Abraham, restored their potency of generation, which had been taken away from the wombs of even the meanest servants; because God had closed up every womb in the house of Abimelech.[2258]2257 Consider now, a says he, awhether that ought to be called a natural evil which sometimes God when angry takes away, and when appeased restores. He, â says he,

âmakes the children both of the pious and of the ungodly, inasmuch as the circumstance of their being parents appertains to that nature which rejoices in God as its Author, whilst the fact of their impiety belongs to the depravity of their desires, and this comes to every person whatever as the consequence of free will.â

Chapter 28 [XIV.]âAugustinâs Answer to This Argument. Its Dealing with Scripture.

Now to this lengthy statement of his we have to say in answer, that, in the passages which he has quoted from the sacred writings, there is nothing said about that shameful lust, which we say did not exist in the body of our first parents in their blessedness, when they were naked and were not ashamed. [2259]2258 The first passage from the apostle was spoken of the seeds of corn, which first die in order to be guickened. For some reason or other, he was unwilling to complete the verse for his quotation. All he adduces from it is: aThou fool, that which thou sowest is not guickened; a but the apostle adds, âexcept it die.â[2260]2259 This writer, however, so far as I can judge, wished this passage, which treats only of corn seeds, to be understood of human seed, by such as read it without either understanding the Holy Scriptures or recollecting them. Indeed, he not merely curtailed this particular sentence, by omitting the clause, aexcept it die, a but he omitted the following words, in which the apostle explained of what seeds he was speaking; for the apostle adds: aAnd that which thou sowest, thou sowest not that body which shall be. but the bare grain, it may chance of wheat, or of some other grain.a[2261]2260 This he omitted, and closed up his context with what the apostle then writes: aBut God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him, and to every seed its own body; a just as if the apostle spoke of man in cohabitation when he said, aThou fool, that which thou sowest is not guickened, a with a view to our understanding of human seed, that it is guickened by God, not by man in cohabitation begetting children. For he had previously said: âSexual pleasure does not complete the entire process of manâs making, but rather presents to God, out of the treasures of nature, material with which He vouchsafes to make the human being.a[2262]2261 He then added the quotation, as if the apostle affirmed as follows: Thou fool, that which thou sowest is not guickened. aguickened, that is, by thyself: but God forms the human being out of thy seed. As if the apostle had not said the intermediate words, which this writer chose to pass over; and as if the apostleas aim was to speak of human seed thus: aThou fool, that which thou sowest is not quickened; but God giveth to the seed a body such as pleaseth Him, and to every seed its own body.a Indeed, after the apostleas words, he introduces remarks of his own to this effect: alf, therefore, God has assigned to human seed, as to everything else, its own proper body, which no wise or pious man will deny; a quite as if the apostle in the passage in guestion spoke of human seed.

Chapter 29.âThe Same Continued. Augustin Also Asserts that God Forms Man at Birth.

Though I have given special attention to the point, I have failed to discover what assistance he could obtain from this deceitful use of

Scripture, except that he wanted to produce the apostle as a witness, and by him to prove, what we also assert, that God forms man of human seed. And inasmuch as no passage directly occurred to him, he deceitfully manipulated this particular one; fearing no doubt that, if the apostle should chance to seem to have spoken of corn seeds, and not of human, in this passage, we should have suggested to us at once by such procedure of his, how to refute him: not indeed as the pure-minded advocate of a chastened will, but as the impudent proclaimer of a profligate voluptuousness. But from the very seeds, forsooth, which the farmers sow in their fields he can be refuted. For why can we not suppose that God could have granted to man in his happy state in paradise, the same course with regard to his own seed which we see granted to the seeds of corn, in such wise that the former might be sown without any shameful lust, the members of generation simply obeying the inclination of the will; just as the latter is sown without any shameful lust, the hands of the husbandman merely moving in obedience to his will? There being, indeed, this difference, that the desire of begetting children in the parent is a nobler one than that which characterizes the farmer, of filling his barns. Then, again, why might not the almighty Creator, with His incontaminable ubiquity, and his power of creating from human seed just what it pleased Him, have operated in women, with respect to what He even now makes, in the self-same manner as He operates in the ground with corn seeds according to His will, making blessed mothers conceive without lustful passion, and bring forth children without parturient pains, inasmuch as there was not (in that state of happiness, and in the body which was not as yet the body of this death, but rather of that life) in woman when receiving seed anything to produce shame, as there was nothing when giving birth to offspring to cause pain? Whoever refuses to believe this, or is unwilling to have it supposed that, while men previous to any sin lived in that happy state of paradise, such a condition as that which we have sketched could not have been permitted in Godâs will and kindness, must be regarded as the lover of shameful pleasure, rather than the encomiast of desirable fecundity.

Chapter 30 [XV.]âThe Case of Abimelech and His House Examined.

Then, again, as to the passage which he has adduced from the inspired history concerning Abimelech, and Godâs choosing to close up every womb in his household that the women should not bear children, and afterwards opening them that they might become fruitful, what is all this to the point? What has it to do with that shameful concupiscence which is now the question in dispute? Did God, then, deprive those women of this feeling, and give it to them again just when He liked? The punishment however, was that they were unable to bear children, and the blessing that they were able to bear them, after the manner of this corruptible flesh. For God would not confer such a blessing upon this body of death, as only that body of life in paradise could have had before sin entered; that is, the process of conceiving without the prurience of lust, and of bearing children without excruciating pain. But why should we not suppose, since, indeed, Scripture says that every womb was closed, that this took place with something of pain, so that the women were unable to bear cohabitation, and that God inflicted this pain in His wrath, and removed it in His mercy? For if

lust was to be taken away as an impediment to begetting offspring, it ought to have been taken away from the men, not from the women. For a woman might perform her share in cohabitation by her will, even if the lust ceased by which she is stimulated, provided it were not absent from the man for exciting him; unless, perhaps (as Scripture informs us that even Abimelech himself was healed), he would tell us that virile concupiscence was restored to him. If, however, it were true that he had lost this, what necessity was there that he should be warned by God to hold no connection with Abrahamâs wife? The truth is, Abimelech is said to have been healed, because his household was cured of the affliction which smote it.

Chapter 31 [XVI.]âWhy God Proceeds to Create Human Beings, Who He Knows Will Be Born in Sin.

Let us now look at those three clauses of his, than which three, he says, nothing more profane could possibly be uttered: âEither God did not make man, or else He made him for the devil; or, at any rate, the devil framed Godâs image, that is, man.â Now, the first and the last of these sentences, even he himself must allow, if he be not reckless and perverse, were never uttered by us. The dispute is confined to that which he puts second between the other two. In respect of this, he is so far mistaken as to suppose that we had said that God made man for the devil; as if, in the case of human beings whom God creates of human parents, His care and purpose and provision were, that by means of His workmanship the devil should have as slaves those whom he is unable to make for himself. God forbid that any sort of pious belief, however childish, should ever entertain such a sentiment as this! Of His own goodness God has made manathe first without sin, all others under sinâfor the purposes of His own profound thoughts. For just as He knew full well what to do with reference to the malice of the devil himself, and what He does is just and good, however unjust and evil he is, about whom He takes His measures; and just as He was not unwilling to create him because He foresaw that he would be evil; so in regard to the entire human race, though not a man of it is born without the taint of sin, He who is supremely good Himself is always working out good, making some men, as it were, avessels of mercy, a whom grace distinguishes from those who are avessels of wrath; a whilst He makes others, as it were, avessels of wrath, a that He may make known the riches of His glory towards the vessels of mercy. [2263]2262 Let, then, this objector go and contest the point against the apostle, whose words I use; nay, against the very Potter, whom the apostle forbids us answering again, in the well-known words: aWho art thou, O man, that repliest against God! Shall the thing formed say to him that formed it, Why hast thou made me thus? Hath not the potter power over the clay, of the same lump to make one vessel unto honour, and another unto dishonour?a[2264]2263 Well now, will this man contend that the vessels of wrath are not under the dominion of the devil? or else. because they are under this dominion, are they made by another creator than He who makes the vessels of mercy? Or does He make them of other material, and not out of the self-same lump? Here, then, he may object, and say: aTherefore God makes these vessels for the devil.a As if God knew not how to make such a use of even these for the furtherance of His own good and righteous works, as He makes of the

very devil himself.

Chapter 32 [XVII.]âGod Not the Author of the Evil in Those Whom He Creates.

Then, does God feed the children of perdition, the goats on His left hand, [2265] 2264 for the devil and nourish and clothe them for the devil abecause He maketh His sun to rise on the evil and the good, and sendeth rain upon the just and the unjusta?[2266]2265 He creates. then, the evil just in the same way as He feeds and nourishes the evil; because what He bestows on them by creating them appertains to the goodness of nature; and the growth which He gives them by food and nourishment. He bestows on them, of course, as a kindly help, not to their evil character, but to that same good nature which He in His goodness created. For in as far as they are human beingsâthis is a good of that nature whose author and maker is God; but in as far as they are born with sin and so destined to perdition unless they are born again, they belong to the seed which was cursed from the beginning, [2267]2266 by the fault of the primitive disobedience. This fault, however, is turned to good account by the Maker of even the vessels of wrath, that He may make known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy: [2268]2267 and that no one may attribute to any merits of his own, pertaining as he does to the self-same mass, his deliverance through grace; but ahe that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.â[2269]2268

Chapter 33 [XVIII.]âThough God Makes Us, We Perish Unless He Re-makes Us in Christ.

From this most true and firmly-established principle of the apostolic and catholic faith the writer before us departs in company with the Pelagians. He will not have it that men are born under the dominion of the devil, lest infants be carried to Christ to be delivered from the power of darkness, and to be translated into His kingdom.[2270]2269 Thus he becomes the accuser of the Church which is spread over the world; into this Church everywhere infants, when to be baptized, are first exorcised, for no other reason than that the prince of this world may be cast out[2271]2270 of them. For by him must they be necessarily possessed, as vessels of wrath, since they are born of Adam, unless they be born again in Christ, and transferred through grace as vessels of mercy into His kingdom. In his attack, however, upon this most firmly-established truth, he would avoid the appearance of an assault upon the entire Church of Christ. Accordingly, he limits his appeal to me alone, and in the tone of reproof and admonition he says: âBut God made even you, though it must be confessed that a serious error has infected you.â Well now, I thankfully acknowledge that God did make even me; and still I must have perished with the vessels of wrath, if He had only made me of Adam, and had not re-made me in Christ. Possessed, however, as this man is with the heresy of Pelagius, he does not believe this: if, indeed, he persists in so great an error to the very end, then not he, but catholics, will be able to see the character and extent of the error which has not simply infected, but absolutely destroyed[2272]2271 him.

Chapter 34 [XIX.]âThe Pelagians Argue that Cohabitation Rightly Used is a Good, and What is Born from It is Good.

I request your attention now to the following words. He says, aThat children, however, who are conceived in wedlock are by nature good, we may learn from the apostleas words, when he speaks of men who, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust, men with men working together that which is disgraceful.[2273]2272 Here, a says he, athe apostle shows the use of the woman to be both natural and, in its way, laudable; the abuse consisting in the exercise of oneas own will in opposition to the decent use of the institution. Deservedly then, â says he, âin those who make a right use thereof, concupiscence is commended in its kind and mode; whilst the excess of it, in which abandoned persons indulge, is punished. Indeed, at the very time when God punished the abuse in Sodom with His judgment of fire. He invigorated the generative powers of Abraham and Sarah, which had become impotent through old age.[2274]2273 If, therefore, a he goes on to say, ayou think that fault must be found with the strength of the generative organs, because the Sodomites were steeped in sin thereby, you will have also to censure such created things as bread and wine, since Holy Scripture informs us that they sinned also in the abuse of these gifts. For the Lord, by the mouth of His prophet Ezekiel, says: âThese, moreover, were the sins of thy sister Sodom; in their pride, she and her children overflowed in fulness of bread and abundance of wine; and they helped not the hand of the poor and needy.a[2275]2274 Choose, therefore, a says he, awhich alternative you would rather have: either impute to the work of God the sexual connection of human bodies, or account such created things as bread and wine to be equally evil. But if you should prefer this latter conclusion, you prove yourself to be a Manichean. The truth, however, is this: he who observes moderation in natural concupiscence uses a good thing well; but he who does not observe moderation, abuses a good thing. What means your statement, then, a[2276]2275 he asks, awhen you say that athe good of marriage is no more impeachable on account of the original sin which is derived herefrom, than the evil of adultery and fornication can be excused because of the natural good which is born of themâ? In these words, a says he, ayou conceded what you had denied, and what you had conceded you nullified; and you aim at nothing so much as to be unintelligible. Show me any bodily marriage without sexual connection. Else impose some one name on this operation, and designate the conjugal union as either a good or an evil. You answer, no doubt, that you have already defined marriages to be good. Well then, if marriage is good, aif the human being is the good fruit of marriage; if this fruit, being Godâs work, cannot be evil, born as it is by good agency out of good, awhere is the original evil which has been set aside by so many prior admissions?â

Chapter 35 [XX.]âHe Answers the Arguments of Julianus. What is the Natural Use of the Woman? What is the Unnatural Use?

My answer to this challenge is, that not only the children of wedlock, but also those of adultery, are a good work in so far as they are the work of God, by whom they are created: but as concerns original sin, they are all born under condemnation of the first Adam; not only those who are born in adultery, but likewise such as are born in wedlock, unless they be regenerated in the second Adam, which is Christ. As to what the apostle says of the wicked, that aleaving the natural use of the woman, the men burned in their lust one toward another: men with men working that which is unseemly; a[2277]2276 he did not speak of the conjugal use, but the anatural use, a wishing us to understand how it comes to pass that by means of the members created for the purpose the two sexes can combine for generation. Thus it follows, that even when a man unites with a harlot to use these members, the use is a natural one. It is not, however, commendable, but rather culpable. But as regards any part of the body which is not meant for generative purposes, should a man use even his own wife in it, it is against nature and flagitious. Indeed, the same apostle had previously[2278]2277 said concerning women: âEven their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature; and then concerning men he added, that they worked that which is unseemly by leaving the natural use of the woman. Therefore, by the phrase in question, athe natural use, a it is not meant to praise conjugal connection; but thereby are denoted those flagitious deeds which are more unclean and criminal than even menâs use of women, which, even if unlawful, is nevertheless natural.

Chapter 36 [XXI.]âGod Made Nature Good: the Saviour Restores It When Corrupted.

Now we do not reprehend bread and wine because some men are luxurious and drunkards, any more than we disapprove of gold because of the greedy and avaricious. Wherefore on the same principle we do not censure the honourable connection between husband and wife, because of the shame-causing lust of bodies. For the former would have been quite possible before any antecedent commission of sin, and by it the united pair would not have been made to blush; whereas the latter arose after the perpetration of sin, and they were obliged to hide it, from very shame.[2279]2278 Accordingly, in all united pairs ever since, however well and lawfully they have used this evil, there has been a permanent necessity of avoiding the sight of man in any work of this kind, and thus acknowledging what caused inevitable shame, though a good thing would certainly cause no man to be ashamed. In this way we have two distinct facts insensibly introduced to our notice: the good of that laudable union of the sexes for the purpose of generating children; and the evil of that shameful lust, in consequence of which the offspring must be regenerated in order to escape condemnation. The man, therefore, who, though with the lust which causes shame, joins in lawful cohabitation, turns an evil to good account; whereas he who joins in an unlawful cohabitation uses an evil badly; for that is more correctly called evil than good, at which both bad and good alike blush. We do better to believe him who has said, al know that in me, that is, in my flesh, dwelleth no good thing, a[2280]2279 rather than him who calls that good, by which he is so conformed that he admits it to be evil; but if he feels no shame, he adds the worse evil of impudence. Rightly then did we declare that athe good of marriage is no more impeachable because of the original sin which is derived therefrom, than the evil of adultery and fornication can be excused, because of the natural good which is born of them: a since the human

nature which is born, whether of wedlock or of adultery, is the work of God. Now if this nature were an evil, it ought not to have been born; if it had not evil, it would not have to be regenerated: and (that I may combine the two cases in one and the same predicate) if human nature were an evil thing, it would not have to be saved; if it had not in it any evil, it would not have to be saved. He, therefore, who contends that nature is not good, says that the Maker of the creature is not good; whilst he who will have it, that nature has no evil in it, deprives it in its corrupted condition of a merciful Saviour. From this, then, it follows, that in the birth of human beings neither fornication is to be excused on account of the good which is formed out of it by the good Creator, nor is marriage to be impeached by reason of the evil which has to be healed in it by the merciful Saviour.

Chapter 37 [XXII.] alf There is No Marriage Without Cohabitation, So There is No Cohabitation Without Shame.

âShow me,â he says, âany bodily marriage without sexual connection.â l do not show him any bodily marriage without sexual connection; but then, neither does he show me any case of sexual connection which is without shame. In paradise, however, if sin had not preceded, there would not have been, indeed, generation without union of the sexes, but this union would certainly have been without shame; for in the sexual union there would have been a quiet acquiescence of the members, not a lust of the flesh productive of shame. Matrimony, therefore, is a good, in which the human being is born after orderly conception; the fruit, too, of matrimony is good, as being the very human being which is thus born; sin, however, is an evil with which every man is born. Now it was God who made and still makes man; but âby one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all sinned.â[2281]2280

Chapter 38 [XXIII.]âJovinian Used Formerly to Call Catholics Manicheans; The Arians Also Used to Call Catholics Sabellians.

âBy your new mode of controversy,â says he, âyou both profess to be a catholic and patronize ManichA<sup>l</sup>us, inasmuch as you designate matrimony both as a great good and a great evil. a Now he is utterly ignorant of what he says, or pretends to be ignorant. Or else he does not understand what we say, or does not wish it to be understood. But if he does not understand, he is impeded by the pre-occupation of error; or if he does not wish our meaning to be understood, then obstinacy is the fault with which he defends his error. Jovinian, too, who endeavoured a few years ago to found a new heresy, used to declare that the catholics patronized the Manicheans, because in opposition to him they preferred holy virginity to marriage. But this man is sure to reply, that he does not agree with Jovinian in his indifference about marriage and virginity. I do not myself say that this is their opinion; still these new heretics must allow, by the fact of Jovinianas playing off the Manicheans upon the catholics, that the expedient is not a novel one. We then declare that marriage is a good, not an evil. But just as the Arians charge us with being Sabellians, although we do not say that the Father, and the Son, and the Holy

Ghost are one and the same, as the Sabellians hold; but affirm that the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Ghost have one and the same nature, as the catholics believe: so do the Pelagians cast the Manicheans in our teeth, although we do not declare marriage to be an evil, as the Manicheans pretend, but affirm that evil accrued to the first man and woman, that is to say, to the first married pair, and from them passed on to all men, as the catholics hold. As, however, the Arians, while avoiding the Sabellians, fall into worse company, because they have had the audacity to divide not the Persons of the Trinity, but the natures; so the Pelagians, in their efforts to escape from the pestilent error of the Manicheans, by taking the opposite extreme, are convicted of entertaining worse sentiments than the Manicheans themselves touching the fruit of matrimony, inasmuch as they believe that infants stand in no need of Christ as their Physician.

Chapter 39 [XXIV.]âMan Born of Whatever Parentage is Sinful and Capable of Redemption.

He then says: a You conclude that a human being, if born of fornication, is not guilty; and if born in wedlock, is not innocent. Your assertion, therefore, amounts to this, that natural good may possibly subsist from adulterous connections, while original sin is actually derived from marriage.â Well now, he here attempts, but in vain before an intelligent reader, to give a wrong turn to words which are correct enough. Far be it from us to say, that a human being, if born in fornication, is not guilty. But we do affirm, that a human being, whether he be born in wedlock or in fornication, is in some respect good, because of the Author of nature, God; we add, however, that he derives some evil by reason of original sin. Our statement, therefore, athat natural good can subsist even from adulterous parentage, but that original sin is derived even from marriage, a does not amount to what he endeavours to make of it, that one born in adultery is not guilty, nor innocent when born in wedlock; but that one who is generated in either condition is guilty, because of original sin; and that the offspring of either state may be freed by regeneration, because of the good of nature.

Chapter 40 [XXV.] âAugustin Declines the Dilemma Offered Him.

âOne of these propositions,â says he, âis true, the other false.â My reply is as brief as the allegation: Both are really true, neither is false. âlt is true,â he goes on to say, âthat the sin of adultery cannot be excused by reason of the man who is born of it; inasmuch as the sin which adulterers commit, pertains to corruption of the will; but the offspring which they produce tends to the praise of fecundity. If one were to sow wheat which had been stolen, the crop which springs up is none the worse. Of course,â says he, âl blame the thief, but I praise the corn. So I pronounce him innocent who is born of the generous fruitfulness of the seed; even as the apostle puts it: âGod giveth it a body, as it pleases Him; and to every seed its own body;â[2282]2281 but, at the same time, I condemn the flagitious man who has committed his adulterous sin in his perverse use of the divine appointment.â

Chapter 41 [XXVI.]âThe Pelagians Argue that Original Sin Cannot Come Through Marriage If Marriage is Good.

After this he proceeds with the following words: aCertainly if evil is contracted from marriage, it may be blamed, nay, cannot be excused; and you place under the devilas power its work and fruit, because everything which is the cause of evil is itself without good. The human being, however, who is born of wedlock owes his origin not to the reproaches of wedlock, but to its seminal elements: the cause of these, however, lies in the condition of bodies; and whosoever makes a bad use of these bodies, deals a blow at the good desert thereof, not at their nature. It is therefore clear, a argues he, athat the good is not the cause of the evil. If, therefore, a he continues, a original evil is derived even from marriage, the cause of the evil is the compact of marriage; and that must needs be evil by which and from which the evil fruit has made its appearance; even as the Lord says in the Gospel: âA tree is known by its fruits.â[2283]2282 How then,â he asks, ado you think yourself worthy of attention, when you say that marriage is good, and yet declare that nothing but evil proceeds from it? It is evident, then, that marriages are guilty, since original sin is deduced from them; and they are indefensible, too, unless their fruit be proved innocent. But they are defended, and pronounced good; therefore their fruit is proved to be innocent.â

Chapter 42.âThe Pelagians Try to Get Rid of Original Sin by Their Praise of Godâs Works; Marriage, in Its Nature and by Its Institution, is Not the Cause of Sin.

I have an answer ready for all this; but before I give it, I wish the reader carefully to notice, that the result of the opinions of these persons is, that no Saviour is necessary for infants, whom they deem to be entirely without any sins to be saved from. This vast perversion of the truth, so hostile to Godâs great grace, which is given through our Lord Jesus Christ, who acame to seek and to save what was lost, a[2284]2283 tries to insinuate its way into the hearts of the unintelligent by eulogizing the works of God; that is, by its eulogy of human nature, of human seed, of marriage, of sexual intercourse, of the fruits of matrimonvawhich are all of them good things. I will not say that he adds the praise of lust; because he too is ashamed even to name it, so that it is something else, and not it, which he seems to praise. By this method of his, not distinguishing between the evils which have accrued to nature and the goodness of natureas very self, he does not, indeed, show it to be sound (because that is untrue), but he does not permit its diseased condition to be healed. And, therefore, that first proposition of ours, to the effect that the good thing, even the human being, which is born of adultery, does not excuse the sin of adulterous connection, he allows to be true; and this point, which occasions no question to arise between us, he even defends and strengthens (as he well may) by his similitude of the thief who sows the seed which he stole, and out of which there arises a really good harvest. Our other proposition, however, that athe good of marriage cannot be blamed for the original sin which is derived from it, â he will not admit to be true; if, indeed, he assented to it,

he would not be a Pelagian heretic, but a catholic Christian. aCertainly, a says he, aif evil arises from marriage, it may be blamed, nay, cannot be excused; and you place its work and fruit under the devilâs power, because everything which is the cause of evil is itself without good.â And in addition to this, he contrived other arguments to show that good could not possibly be the cause of evil; and from this he drew the inference, that marriage, which is a good, is not the cause of evil; and that consequently from it no man could be born in a sinful state, and having need of a Saviour: just as if we said that marriage is the cause of sin, though it is true that the human being which is born in wedlock is not born without sin. Marriage was instituted not for the purpose of sinning, but of producing children. Accordingly the Lordâs blessing on the married state ran thus: âBe fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth.a[2285]2284 The sin, however, which is derived to children from marriage does not belong to marriage, but to the evil which accrues to the human agents, from whose union marriage comes into being. The truth is, both the evil of shameful lust can exist without marriage, and marriage might have been without it. It appertains, however, to the condition of the body (not of that life, but) of this death, that marriage cannot exist without it though it may exist without marriage. Of course that lust of the flesh which causes shame has existence out of the married state, whenever it urges men to the commission of adultery, chambering and uncleanness, so utterly hostile to the purity of marriage; or again, when it does not commit any of these things, because the human agent gives no permission or assent to their commission, but still rises and is set in motion and creates disturbance, and (especially in dreams) effects the likeness of its own veritable work, and reaches the end of its own emotion. Well, now, this is an evil which is not even in the married state actually an evil of marriage; but it has this apparatus all ready in the body of this death, even against its own will, which is indispensable no doubt for the accomplishment of that which it does will. The evil in guestion, therefore, does not accrue to marriage from its own institution, which was blessed; but entirely from the circumstance that sin entered into the world by one man, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all sinned.[2286]2285

Chapter 43.âThe Good Tree in the Gospel that Cannot Bring Forth Evil Fruit, Does Not Mean Marriage.

What, then, does he mean by saying, âA tree is known by its fruits,â on the ground of our reading that the Lord spake thus in the Gospel? Was, then, the Lord speaking of this question in these words, and not rather of menâs two wills, the good and the evil, calling one of these the good tree, and the other the corrupt tree, inasmuch as good works spring out of a good will, and evil ones out of an evil willâthe converse being impossible, good works out of an evil will, and evil ones out of a good will? If, however, we were to suppose marriage to be the good tree, according to the Gospel simile which he has mentioned, then, of course, we must on the other hand assume fornication to be the corrupt tree. Wherefore, if a human being is said to be the fruit of marriage, in the sense of the good fruit of a good tree, then undoubtedly a human being could never have been born in fornication. âFor a corrupt tree bringeth not forth good fruit.â[2287]2286 Once more, if he were to say that not adultery must be supposed to occupy the place of the tree, but rather human nature, of which man is born, then in this way not even marriage can stand for the tree, but only the human nature of which man is born. His simile, therefore, taken from the Gospel avails him nothing in elucidating this question, because marriage is not the cause of the sin which is transmitted in the natural birth, and atoned for in the new birth; but the voluntary transgression of the first man is the cause of original sin. âYou repeat,â says he, âyour allegation, âJust as sin, from whatever source it is derived to infants, is the work of the devil, so man, howsoever he be born, is the work of God.ââ Yes, I said this, and most truly too; and if this man were not a Pelagian, but a catholic, he too would have nothing else to avow in the catholic faith.

Chapter 44 [XXVII.]âThe Pelagians Argue that If Sin Comes by Birth, All Married People Deserve Condemnation.

What, then, is his object when he inquires of us, aBy what means sin may be found in an infant, through the will, or through marriage, or through its parentsa? He speaks, indeed, in such a way as if he had an answer to all these questions, and as if by clearing all of sin together he would have nothing remain in the infant whence sin could be found. I beg your attention to his very words: aThrough what, a says he, âis sin found in an infant? Through the will? But there has never been one in him? Through marriage? But this appertains to the parentsa work, of whom you had previously declared that in this action they had not sinned; though it appears from your subsequent words that you did not make this concession truly. Marriage, therefore, a he says, amust be condemned, since it furnished the cause of the evil. Yet marriage only indicates the work of personal agents. The parents, therefore, who by their coming together afforded occasion for the sin, are properly deserving of the condemnation. It does not then admit of doubt, a says he, a any longer, if we are to follow your opinion, that married persons are handed over to eternal punishment, it being by their means brought about that the devil has come to exercise dominion over men. And what becomes of what you just before had said, that man was the work of God? Because if through their birth it happens that evil is in men, and through the evil that the devil has power over men, so in fact you declare the devil to be the author of men, from whom comes their origin at birth. If, however, you believe that man is made by God, and that husband and wife are innocent, see how impossible is your standpoint, that original sin is derived from them.â

Chapter 45.âAnswer to This Argument: The Apostle Says We All Sinned in One.

Now, there is an answer for him to all these questions given by the apostle, who censures neither the infantâs will, which is not yet matured in him for sinning, nor marriage, which, as such, has not only its institution, but its blessing also, from God; nor parents, so far as they are parents, who are united together properly and lawfully for the procreation of children; but he says, âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men for in him all have sinned. a[2288]2287 Now, if these persons would only receive this statement with catholic hearts and ears, they would not have rebellious feelings against the grace and faith of Christ, nor would they vainly endeavour to convert to their own particular and heretical sense these very clear and manifest words of the apostle, when they assert that the purport of the passage is to this effect: that Adam was the first to sin, and that any one who wished afterwards to commit sin found an example for sinning in him; so that sin, you must know, did not pass from this one upon all men by birth, but by the imitation of this one. Whereas it is certain that if the apostle meant this imitation to be here understood, he would have said that sin had entered into the world and passed upon all men, not by one man, but rather by the devil. For of the devil it is written: a They that are on his side do imitate him. a[2289]2288 He used the phrase aby one man, a from whom the generation of men, of course, had its beginning, in order to show us that original sin had passed upon all men by generation.

Chapter 46.âThe Reign of Death, What It Is; The Figure of the Future Adam; How All Men are Justified Through Christ.

But what else is meant even by the apostleas subsequent words? For after he had said the above, he added, aFor until the law sin was in the world, a[2290]2289 as much as to say that not even the law was able to take away sin. aBut sin.a adds he. awas not imputed when there was no law.â[2291]2290 It existed then, but was not imputed, for it was not set forth so that it might be imputed. It is on the same principle, indeed, that he says in another passage: âBy the law is the knowledge of sin.â[2292]2291 âNevertheless,â says he, âdeath reigned from Adam to Moses; a[2293]2292 that is, as he had already expressed it, auntil the law.a Not that there was no sin after Moses, but because even the law, which was given by Moses, was unable to deprive death of its power, which, of course, reigns only by sin. Its reign, too, is such as to plunge mortal man even into that second death which is to endure for evermore. aDeath reigned, a but over whom? aEven over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adamâs transgression, who is the figure of Him that was to come.a[2294]2293 Of whom that was to come, if not Christ? And in what sort a figure, except in the way of contrariety? which he elsewhere briefly expresses: âAs in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. a[2295]2294 The one condition was in one, even as the other condition was in the other; this is the figure. But this figure is not conformable in every respect: accordingly the apostle, following up the same idea, added, âBut not as the offence, so also is the free gift. For if through the offence of one many be dead; much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace, which is by one man, Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto many.â[2296]2295 But why âhath it much more abounded,â except it be that all who are delivered through Christ suffer temporal death on Adamâs account, but have everlasting life in store for the sake of Christ Himself? âAnd not as it was by one that sinned, â says he, âso is the gift for the judgment was from one to condemnation, but the free gift is from many offences unto justification.â[2297]2296 âBy oneâ what, but offence? since it is added, âthe free gift is from many

offences.â Let these objectors tell us how it can be âby one offence unto condemnation, a unless it be that even the one original sin which has passed over unto all men is sufficient for condemnation? Whereas the free gift delivers from many offences to justification, because it not only cancels the one offence, which is derived from the primal sin, but all others also which are added in every individual man by the motion of his own will. aFor if by one manas offence death reigned by one, much more they which receive abundance of grace and righteousness shall reign in life by One, Jesus Christ. Therefore, by the offence of one upon all men to condemnation; so by the righteousness of one upon all men unto justification of life.â[2298]2297 Let them after this persist in their vain imaginations, and maintain that one man did not hand on sin by propagation, but only set the example of committing it. How is it, then, that by oneas offence judgment comes on all men to condemnation. and not rather by each manâs own numerous sins, unless it be that even if there were but that one sin, it is sufficient, without the addition of any more, to lead to condemnation, as, indeed, it does lead all who die in infancy who are born of Adam, without being born again in Christ? Why, then, does he, when he refuses to hear the apostle, ask us for an answer to his question, aBy what means may sin be discovered in an infant, athrough the will, or through marriage, or through its parents?â Let him listen in silence, and hear by what means sin may be discovered in an infant. aBy the offence of one, a says the apostle, âupon all men to condemnation.â He said, moreover, all to condemnation through Adam, and all to justification through Christ: not, of course, that Christ removes to life all those who die in Adam; but he said âallâ and âall,â because, as without Adam no one goes to death, so without Christ no man to life. Just as we say of a teacher of letters, when he is alone in a town: This man teaches all their learning; not because all the inhabitants take lessons, but because no man who learns at all is taught by any but him. Indeed, the apostle afterwards designates as many those whom he had previously described as all, meaning the self-same persons by the two different terms. aFor, a says he, aas by one manas disobedience many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous. a[2299]2298

Chapter 47.âThe Scriptures Repeatedly Teach Us that All Sin in One.

Still let him ply his question: âBy what means may sin be discovered in an infant?â He may find an answer in the inspired pages: âBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all sinned.â âThrough the offence of one many are dead.â âThe judgment was from one to condemnation.â âBy one manâs offence death reigned by one.â âBy the offence of one, Judgment came upon all men to condemnation.â âBy one manâs disobedience many were made sinners.â[2300]2299 Behold, then, âby what means sins may be discovered in an infant.â Let him now believe in original sin; let him permit infants to come to Christ, that they may be saved. [XXVIII.] What means this passage of his: âHe sins not who is born; he sins not who begat him; He sins not who created him. Amidst these intrenchments of innocence, therefore, what are the breaches through which you pretend that sin entered?â Why does he search for a hidden chink when he has an open door? âBy one man,â says the apostle; âthrough the offence of one,â says the apostle; âBy one manâs disobedience,â says the apostle. What does he want more? What does he require plainer? What does he expect to be more impressively repeated?

Chapter 48.âOriginal Sin Arose from Adamâs Depraved Will. Whence the Corrupt Will Sprang.

alf, a says he, as in comes from the will, it is an evil will that causes sin; if it comes from nature, then nature is evil.â l at once answer, Sin does come from the will. Perhaps he wants to know, whether original sin also? I answer, most certainly original sin also. Because it, too, was engendered from the will of the first man; so that it both existed in him, and passed on to all. As for what he next proposes, alf it comes from nature, then nature is evil, a I request him to answer, if he can, to this effect: As it is manifest that all evil works spring from a corrupt will, like the fruits of a corrupt tree; so let him say whence arose the corrupt will itselfâthe corrupt tree which yields the corrupt fruits. If from an angel, what was the angel, but the good work of God? If from man, what was even he, but the good work of God? Nay, inasmuch as the corrupt will arose in the angel from an angel, and in man from man, what were both these, previous to the evil arising within them, but the good work of God, with a good and laudable nature? Behold, then, evil arises out of good; nor was there any other source, indeed, whence it could arise, but out of good. I call that will bad which no evil has preceded; no evil works, of course, since they only proceed from an evil will, as from a corrupt tree. Nevertheless, that the evil will arose out of good, could not be, because that good was made by the good God, but because it was created out of nothinganot out of God. What, therefore, becomes of his argument, alf nature is the work of God, it will never do for the work of the devil to permeate the work of Goda? Did not the work of the devil, I ask, arise in a work of God, when it first arose in that angel who became the devil? Well, then, if evil, which was absolutely nowhere previously, could arise in a work of God, why could not evil, which had by this time found an existence somewhere, pervade the work of God; especially when the apostle uses the very expression in the passage,  $\hat{a}$ And so death passed upon all men $\hat{a}$ ?[2301]2300 Can it be that men are not the work of God? Sin, therefore, has passed upon all menâin other words, the devilâs work has penetrated the work of God; or putting the same meaning in another shape. The work done by a work of God has pervaded Godâs work. And this is the reason why God alone has an unchangeable and almighty goodness: even before any evil came into existence He made all things good; and out of all the evils which have arisen in the good things which He has made. He works through all for good.

Chapter 49 [XXIX.]âln Infants Nature is of God, and the Corruption of Nature of the Devil.

âln a single man rightly is the intention blamed and the origin praised; because there must be two things to admit of contraries: in an infant, however, there is but one thing, nature only; because will has no existence in his case. Now this one thing,â says he, âis ascribable either to God or to the devil. If nature,â he goes on to observe, âis of God, there cannot be original evil in it. If of the devil, there will be nothing on the ground of which man may be vindicated for the work of God. So that he is completely a Manichean who maintains original sin.â Let him hear rather what is true in opposition to all this. In a single man the will is to be blamed, and his nature to be praised; because there should be two things for the application of contraries. Still, even in an infant, it is not the case that there is but one thing only, that is, the nature in which man was created by the good God; for he has also that corruption, which has passed upon all men by one, as the apostle wisely says, and not as the folly of Pelagius, or CÅlestius, or any of their disciples would represent the matter. Of these two things, then, which we have said exist in an infant, one is ascribed to God, the other to the devil. From the fact, however, that (owing to one of the two, even the corruption) both are subjected to the power of the devil, there really ensues no incongruity; because this happens not from the power of the devil himself, but of God. In fact, corruption is subjected to corruption, nature to nature, because the two are even in the devil; so that whenever those who are beloved and elect are âdelivered from the power of darknessa[2302]2301 to which they are justly exposed, it is clear enough how great a gift is bestowed on the justified and good by the good God, who brings good even out of evil.

Chapter 50.âThe Rise and Origin of Evil. The Exorcism and Exsufflation of Infants, a Primitive Christian Rite.

As to the passage, which he seemed to himself to indite in a pious vein, as it were, alf nature is of God, there cannot be original sin in it, a would not another person seem even to him to give a still more pious turn to it, thus: alf nature is of God, there cannot arise any sin in it?â And yet this is not true. The Manicheans, indeed, meant to assert this, and they endeavoured to steep in all sorts of evil the very nature of God itself, and not His creature, made out of nothing. For evil arose in nothing else than what was goodânot, however, the supreme and unchangeable good which is Godâs nature, but that which was made out of nothing by the wisdom of God. This, then, is the reason why man is claimed for a divine work; for he would not be man unless he were made by the operation of God. But evil would not exist in infants, if evil had not been committed by the wilfulness of the first man, and original sin derived from a nature thus corrupted. It is not true, then, as he puts it, âHe is completely a Manichean who maintains original sin; a but rather, he is completely a Pelagian who does not believe in original sin. For it is not simply from the time when the pestilent opinions of ManichA<sup>lus</sup> began to grow that in the Church of God infants about to be baptized were for the first time exorcised with exsufflation, awhich ceremonial was intended to show that they were not removed into the kingdom of Christ without first being delivered from the power of darkness;[2303]2302 nor is it in the books of ManichAlus that we read how athe Son of man come to seek and to save that which was lost, a[2304]2303 or how aby one man sin entered into the world, a[2305]2304 with those other similar passages which we have guoted above; or how God avisits the sins of the fathers upon the children; a[2306]2305 or how it is written in the Psalm, al was shapen in iniquity, and in sin did my mother conceive me;a[2307]2306 or

again, how âman was made like unto vanity: his days pass away like a shadow; a[2308]2307 or again, abehold, Thou hast made my days old, and my existence as nothing before Thee; nay, every man living is altogether vanity; a[2309]2308 or how the apostle says, aevery creature was made subject to vanity; a[2310]2309 or how it is written in the book of Ecclesiastes, avanity of vanities; all is vanity: what profit hath a man of all his labour which he taketh under the sun?a[2311]2310 and in the book of Ecclesiasticus, a heavy yoke is upon the sons of Adam from the day that they go out of their motheras womb to the day that they return to the mother of all things: a[2312]2311 or how again the apostle writes, âin Adam all die;â[2313]2312 or how holy Job says, when speaking about his own sins, afor man that is born of a woman is short-lived and full of wrath: as the flower of grass, so does he fall; and he departs like a shadow, nor shall he stay. Hast Thou not taken account even of him, and caused him to enter into judgment in Thy sight? For who shall be pure from uncleanness? Not even one, even if his life should be but of one day upon the earth.a[2314]2313 Now when he speaks of uncleanness here, the mere perusal of the passage is enough to show that he meant sin to be understood. It is plain from the words, of what he is speaking. The same phrase and sense occur in the prophet Zechariah, in the place where athe filthy garments are removed from off the high priest, and it is said to him, al have taken away thy sins.â[2315]2314 Well now, I rather think that all these passages, and others of like import, which point to the fact that man is born in sin and under the curse, are not to be read among the dark recesses of the Manicheans, but in the sunshine of catholic truth.

Chapter 51.âTo Call Those that Teach Original Sin Manicheans is to Accuse Ambrose, Cyprian, and the Whole Church.

What, moreover, shall I say of those commentators on the divine Scriptures who have flourished in the catholic Church? They have never tried to pervert these testimonies to an alien sense, because they were firmly established in our most ancient and solid faith, and were never moved aside by the novelty of error. Were I to wish to collect these together, and to make use of their testimony, the task would both be too long, and I should probably seem to have bestowed less preference than I ought on canonical authorities, [2316]2315 from which one must never deviate. I will merely mention the most blessed Ambrose, to whom (as I have already observed [2317]2316) Pelagius accorded so signal a testimony of his integrity in the faith. This Ambrose, however, maintained that there was nothing else in infants, which required the healing grace of Christ, than original sin.[2318]2317 But in respect of Cyprian, with his all-glorious crown, [2319]2318 will any one say of him, that he either was, or ever could by any possibility have been, a Manichean, when he suffered before the pestilent heresy had made its appearance in the Roman world? And yet, in his book on the baptism of infants, he so vigorously maintains original sin as to declare, that even before the eighth day, if necessary, the infant ought to be baptized, lest his soul should be lost; and he wished it to be understood, that the infant could the more readily attain to the indulgence of baptism, inasmuch as it is not so much his own sins, but the sins of another. which are remitted to him. Well, then, let this writer dare to call

these Manicheans; let him, moreover, under this scandalous imputation asperse that most ancient tradition of the Church, whereby infants are, as I have said, exorcised with exsufflation, for the purpose of being translated into the kingdom of Christ, after they are delivered from the power of darknessâthat is to say, of the devil and his angels. As for ourselves, indeed, we are more ready to be associated with these men, and with the Church of Christ, so firmly rooted in this ancient faith, in suffering any amount of curse and contumely, than with the Pelagians, to be covered with the flattery of public praise.

Chapter 52 [XXX.]âSin Was the Origin of All Shameful Concupiscence.

aDo you, a he asks, arepeat your affirmation, aThere would be no concupiscence if man had not first sinned; marriage, however, would have existed, even if no one had sinneda?a I never said, aThere would be no concupiscence, a because there is a concupiscence of the spirit, which craves wisdom.[2320]2319 My words were, aThere would be no shameful concupiscence.â[2321]2320 Let my words be re-perused, even those which he has cited, that it may be clearly seen how dishonestly they are handled by him. However, let him call it by any name he likes. What I said would not have existed unless man had previously sinned, was that which made them ashamed in paradise when they covered their loins, and which every one will allow would not have been felt, had not the sin of disobedience first occurred. Now he who wishes to understand what they felt, ought to consider what it was they covered. For of the fig-leaves they made themselves approns, a not clothes; and these aprons or kilts are called perizðmata in Greek. Now all know well enough what it is which these peri-zomata cover, which some Latin writers explain by the word campestria. Who is ignorant of what persons wore this kilt, and what parts of the body such a dress concealed; even the same which the Roman youths used to cover when they practised naked in the campus, from which circumstance the name campester was given to the apron [2322]2321

Chapter 53 [XXXI.] aConcupiscence Need Not Have Been Necessary for Fruitfulness.

He says: aTherefore that marriage which might have been without concupiscence, without bodily motion, without necessity for sexual organsâto use your own statementâis pronounced by you to be laudable; whereas such marriages as are now enacted are, according to your decision, the invention of the devil. Those, therefore, whose institution was possible in your dreams, you deliberately assert to be good, while those which Holy Scripture intends, when it says, aTherefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife, and they shall be one flesh, a [2323]2322 you pronounce to be diabolical evils, worthy, in short, to be called a pest, not matrimony. a It is not to be wondered at, that these Pelagian opponents of mine try to twist my words to any meaning they wish them to bear, when it has been their custom to do the same thing with the Holy Scriptures, and not simply in obscure passages, but where their testimony is clear and plain: a custom, indeed, which is followed by all other heretics. Now who could make such an assertion, as that it

was possible for marriages to be awithout bodily motion, without necessity for sexual organsa? For God made the sexes; because, as it is written, aHe created them male and female.a[2324]2323 But how could it possibly happen, that they who were to be united together, and by the verv union were to beget children, were not to move their bodies, when, of course, there can be no bodily contact of one person with another if bodily motion be not resorted to? The question before us, then, is not about the motion of bodies, without which there could not be sexual intercourse; but about the shameful motion of the organs of generation, which certainly could be absent, and yet the fructifying connection be still not wanting, if the organs of generation were not obedient to lust, but simply to the will, like the other members of the body. Is it not even now the case, in athe body of this death, a that a command is given to the foot, the arm, the finger, the lip, or the tongue, and they are instantly set in motion at this intimation of our will? And (to take a still more wonderful case) even the liquid contained in the urinary vessels obeys the command to flow from us at our pleasure, and when we are not pressed with its overflow; while the vessels, also, which contain the liquid, discharge without difficulty, if they are in a healthy state, the office assigned them by our will of propelling, pressing out, and ejecting their contents. With how much greater ease and quietness, then, if the generative organs of our body were compliant, would natural motion ensue, and human conception be effected; except in the instance of those persons who violate natural order, and by a righteous retribution are punished with the intractability of these members and organs! This punishment is felt by the chaste and pure, who, without doubt, would rather beget children by mere natural desire than by voluptuous pruriency; while unchaste persons, who are impelled by this diseased passion, and bestow their love upon harlots as well as wives, are excited by a still heavier mental remorse in consequence of this carnal chastisement.

Chapter 54 [XXXII.]âHow Marriage is Now Different Since the Existence of Sin.

God forbid that we should say, what this man pretends we say, aSuch marriages as are now enacted are the invention of the devil. a Why, they are absolutely the same marriages as God made at the very first. For this blessing of His, which He appointed for the procreation of mankind, He has not taken away even from men under condemnation, any more than He has deprived them of their senses and bodily limbs, which are no doubt His gifts, although they are condemned to die by an already incurred retribution. This, I say, is the marriage whereof it was said (only excepting the great sacrament of Christ and the Church. which the institution prefigured): aFor this cause shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they twain shall be one flesh.â[2325]2324 For this, no doubt, was said before sin; and if no one had sinned, it might have been done without shameful lust. And now, although it is not done without that, in the body of this death, there is that nevertheless which does not cease to be done so that a man may cleave to his wife, and they twain be one flesh. When, therefore, it is alleged that marriage is now one thing, but might have been another had no one sinned, this is not predicated of its nature, but of a certain guality which has undergone a change

for the worse. Just as a man is said to be different, though he is actually the same individual, when he has changed his manner of life either for the better or the worse; for as a righteous man he is one thing, and as a sinful man another, though the man himself be really the same individual. In like manner, marriage without shameful lust is one thing, and marriage with shameful lust is another. When, however, a woman is lawfully united to her husband in accordance with the true constitution of wedlock, and fidelity to what is due to the flesh is kept free from the sin of adultery, and so children are lawfully begotten, it is actually the very same marriage which God instituted at first, although by his primeval inducement to sin, the devil inflicted a heavy wound, not, indeed, on marriage itself, but on man and woman by whom marriage is made, by his prevailing on them to disobey God, a sin which is requited in the course of the divine judgment by the reciprocal disobedience of manas own members. United in this matrimonial state, although they were ashamed of their nakedness, still they were not by any means able altogether to lose the blessedness of marriage which God appointed.

Chapter 55 [XXXIII.]âLust is a Disease; The Word âPassionâ In the Ecclesiastical Sense.

He then passes on from those who are united in marriage to those who are born of it. It is in relation to these that we have to encounter the most laborious discussions with the new heretics in connection with our subject. Impelled by some hidden instinct from God, he makes avowals which go far to untie the whole knot. For in his desire to raise greater odium against us, because we had said that infants are born in sin even of lawful wedlock, he makes the following observation: aYou assert that they, indeed, who have not been ever born might possibly have been good; those, however, who have peopled the world, and for whom Christ died, you decide to be the work of the devil, born in a disordered state, and guilty from the beginning. Therefore, a he continues, al have shown that you are doing nothing else than denying that God is the Creator of the men who actually exist.â I beg to say, that I declare none but God to be the Creator of all men, however true it be that all are born in sin, and must perish unless born again. It was, indeed, the sinful corruption which had been sown in them by the devilas persuasion that became the means of their being born in sin; not the created nature of which men are composed. Shameful lust, however, could not excite our members, except at our own will, if it were not a disease. Nor would even the lawful and honourable cohabiting of husband and wife raise a blush, with avoidance of any eye and desire of secrecy, if there were not a diseased condition about it. Moreover, the apostle would not prohibit the possession of wives in this disease, did not disease exist in it. The phrase in the Greek text, Ân pâ¬qei Âpiqum°av, is by some rendered in Latin, in morbo desiderii vel concupiscentiæ, in the disease of desire or of concupiscence; by others, however, in passione concupiscentiÃ<sup>1</sup>, in the passion of concupiscence; or however it is found otherwise in different copies: at any rate, the Latin equivalent passio (passion), especially in the ecclesiastical use, is usually understood as a term of censure.

Chapter 56.âThe Pelagians Allow that Christ Died Even for Infants; Julianus Slays Himself with His Own Sword.

But whatever opinion he may entertain about the shame-causing concupiscence of the flesh. I must request your attention to what he has said respecting infants (and it is in their behalf that we labour), as to their being supposed to need a Saviour, if they are not to die without salvation. I repeat his words once more: âYou assert,â says he to me, athat they, indeed, who have not been ever born might possibly have been good; those, however, who have peopled the world, and for whom Christ died, you decide to be the work of the devil, born in a disordered state, and guilty from the very beginning. a Would that he only solved the entire controversy as he unties the knot of this question! For will he pretend to say that he merely spoke of adults in this passage? Why, the subject in hand is about infants, about human beings at their birth; and it is about these that he raises odium against us, because they are defined by us as guilty from the very first, because we declare them to be guilty, since Christ died for them. And why did Christ die for them if they are not guilty? It is entirely from them, yes, from them, we shall find the reason, wherefore he thought odium should be raised against me. He asks: âHow are infants guilty, for whom Christ died?â We answer: Nay, how are infants not guilty, since Christ died for them? This dispute wants a judge to determine it. Let Christ be the Judge, and let Him tell us what is the object which has profited by His death? aThis is my blood, a He says, awhich shall be shed [2326]2325 for many for the remission of sins.â[2327]2326 Let the apostle, too, be His assessor in the judgment; since even in the apostle it is Christ Himself that speaks. Speaking of God the Father, he exclaims: aHe who spared not His own Son, but delivered Him up for us all!â[2328]2327 I suppose that he describes Christ as so delivered up for us all, that infants in this matter are not separated from ourselves. But what need is there to dwell on this point, out of which even he no longer raises a contest? For the truth is, he not only confesses that Christ died even for infants, but he also reproves us out of this admission, because we say that these same infants are guilty for whom Christ died. Now, then, let the apostle, who says that Christ was delivered up for us all, also tell us why Christ was delivered up for us. âHe was delivered, a savs he, afor our offences, and rose again for our justification.â[2329]2328 If, therefore, as even this man both confesses and professes, both admits and objects, infants, too, are included amongst those for whom Christ was delivered up; and if it was for our sins that Christ was delivered up, even infants, of course, must have original sins, for whom Christ was delivered up; He must have something in them to heal, who (as Himself affirms) is not needed as a Physician by the whole, but by the sick; [2330]2329 He must have a reason for saving them, seeing that He came into the world, as the Apostle Paul says, âto save sinners; a[2331]2330 He must have something in them to remit, who testifies that He shed His blood afor the remission of sins; a[2332]2331 He must have good reason for seeking them out, who acame, as He says, ato seek and to save that which was lost; a[2333]2332 the Son of man must find in them something to destroy, who came for the express purpose, as the Apostle John says, âthat He might destroy the works of the devil. a[2334]2333 Now to this

salvation of infants He must be an enemy, who asserts their innocence, in such a way as to deny them the medicine which is required by the hurt and wounded.

Chapter 57 [XXXIV.]âThe Great Sin of the First Man.

Now observe what follows, as he goes on to say: alf, before sin, God created a source from which men should be born, but the devil a source from which parents were disturbed, then beyond a doubt holiness must be ascribed to those that are born, and guilt to those that produce. Since, however, this would be a most manifest condemnation of marriage; remove, I pray you, this view from the midst of the churches, and really believe that all things were made by Jesus Christ, and that without Him nothing was made. a[2335]2334 He so speaks here, as if he would make us say, that there is a something in manas substance which was created by the devil. The devil persuaded evil as a sin; he did not create it as a nature. No doubt he persuaded nature for man is nature; and therefore by his persuasion he corrupted it. He who wounds a limb does not, of course, create it, but he injures it.[2336]2335 Those wounds, indeed, which are inflicted on the body produce lameness in a limb, or difficulty of motion; but they do not affect the virtue whereby a man becomes righteous: that wound, however, which has the name of sin, wounds the very life, which was being righteously lived. This wound was at that fatal moment of the fall inflicted by the devil to a vastly wider and deeper extent than are the sins which are known amongst men. Whence it came to pass, that our nature having then and there been deteriorated by that great sin of the first man, not only was made a sinner, but also generates sinners; and yet the very weakness, under which the virtue of a holy life has drooped and died, is not really nature, but corruption; precisely as a bad state of health is not a bodily substance or nature, but disorder; very often, indeed, if not always, the ailing character of parents is in a certain way implanted, and reappears in the bodies of their children.

Chapter 58.âAdamâs Sin is Derived from Him to Every One Who is Born Even of Regenerate Parents; The Example of the Olive Tree and the Wild Olive.

But this sin, which changed man for the worse in paradise, because it is far greater than we can form any judgment of, is contracted by every one at his birth, and is remitted only in the regenerate; and this derangement is such as to be derived even from parents who have been regenerated, and in whom the sin is remitted and covered, to the condemnation of the children born of them, unless these, who were bound by their first and carnal birth, are absolved by their second and spiritual birth. Of this wonderful fact the Creator has produced a wonderful example in the cases of the olive and the wild olive trees, in which, from the seed not only of the wild olive, but even of the good olive, nothing but a wild olive springs. Wherefore, although even in persons whose natural birth is followed by regeneration through grace, there exists this carnal concupiscence which contends against the law of the mind, yet, seeing that it is remitted in the remission of sins, it is no longer accounted to them as sin, nor is it in any degree hurtful, unless consent is yielded to its motions for unlawful deeds. Their offspring, however, being begotten not of spiritual concupiscence, but of carnal, like a wild olive of our race from the good olive, derives guilt from them by natural birth to such a degree that it cannot be liberated from that pest except by being born again. How is it, then, that this man affirms that we ascribe holiness to those who are born, and guilt to their parents? when the truth rather shows that even if there has been holiness in the parents, original sin is inherent in their children, which is abolished in them only if they are born again.

Chapter 59 [XXXV.]âThe Pelagians Can Hardly Venture to Place Concupiscence in Paradise Before the Commission of Sin.

This being the case, let him think what he pleases about this concupiscence of the flesh and about the lust which lords it over the unchaste, has to be mastered by the chaste, and yet is to be blushed at both by the chaste and the unchaste; for I see plainly he is much pleased with it. Let him not hesitate to praise what he is ashamed to name; let him call it (as he has in fact called it) the vigour of the members, and let him not be afraid of the honor of chaste ears; let him designate it the power of the members, and let him not care about the impudence. Let him say, if his blushes permit him, that if no one had sinned, this vigour must have flourished like a flower in paradise; nor would there have been any need to cover that which would have been so moved that no one should have felt ashamed; rather, with a wife provided, it would have been ever exercised and never repressed, lest so great a pleasure should ever be denied to so vast a happiness. Far be it from being thought that such blessedness could in such a spot fail to have what it wished, or ever experience in mind or body what it disliked. And so, should the motion of lust precede menâs will, then the will would immediately follow it. The wife, who ought certainly never to be absent in this happy state of things, would be urged on by it, whether about to conceive or already pregnant; and, either a child would be begotten, or a natural and laudable pleasure would be gratified, afor perish all seed rather than disappoint the appetite of so good a concupiscence. Only be sure that the united pair do not apply themselves to that use of each other which is contrary to nature, then (with so modest a reservation) let them use, as often as they would have delight, their organs of generation, created for the purpose. But what if this very use, which is contrary to nature, should peradventure give them delight; what if the aforesaid laudable lust should hanker even after such delight; I wonder whether they should pursue it because it was sweet, or loathe it because it was base? If they should pursue it to gratification, what becomes of all thought about honour? If they should loathe it, where is the peaceful composure of so good a happiness? But at this point perchance his blushes will awake, and he will say that so great is the tranguillity of this happy state, and so entire the orderliness which may have existed in this state of things, that carnal concupiscence never preceded these personsâ will: only whenever they themselves wished, would it then arise; and only then would they entertain the wish, when there was need for begetting children; and the result would be, that no seed would ever be emitted to no purpose, nor would any embrace

ever ensue which would not be followed by conception and birth; the flesh would obey the will, and concupiscence would vie with it in subserviency. Well, if he says all this of the imagined happy state, he must at least be pretty sure that what he describes does not now exist among men. And even if he will not concede that lust is a corrupt condition, let him at least allow that through the disobedience of the man and woman in the happy state the very concupiscence of their flesh was corrupted, so that what would once be excited obediently and orderly is now moved disobediently and inordinately, and that to such a degree that it is not obedient to the will of even chaste-minded husbands and wives, so that it is excited when it is not wanted; and whenever it is necessary, it never, indeed, follows their will, but sometimes too hurriedly, at other times too tardily, exerts its own movements. Such, then, is the rebellion of this concupiscence which the primitive pair received for their own disobedience, and transfused by natural descent to us. It certainly was not at their bidding, but in utter disorder, that it was excited, when they covered their members, which at first were worthy to be gloried in, but had then become a ground of shame.

Chapter 60.âLet Not the Pelagians Indulge Themselves in a Cruel Defence of Infants.

As I said, however, let him entertain what views he likes of this lust; let him proclaim it as he pleases, praise it as much as he chooses (and he pleases much, as several of his extracts show), that the Pelagians may gratify themselves, if not with its uses, at all events with its praises, as many of them as fail to enjoy the limitation of continence enjoined in wedlock. Only let him spare the infants, so as not to praise their condition uselessly, and defend them cruelly. Let him not declare them to be safe; let him suffer them to come, not, indeed, to Pelagius for eulogy, but to Christ for salvation. For, that this book may be now brought to a termination, since the dissertation of this man is ended, which was written on the short paper you sent me, I will close with his last words: âReally believe that all things were made by Jesus Christ, and that without Him nothing was made.â[2337]2336 Let him grant that Jesus is Jesus even to infants; and as he confesses that all things were made by Him, in that He is God the Word, so let him acknowledge that infants, too, are saved by Him in that He is Jesus; let him, I say, do this if he would be a catholic Christian. For thus it is written in the Gospel: aAnd they shall call His name Jesus; for He shall save His people from their sinsa[2338]2337aJesus, because Jesus is in Latin Salvator, aSaviour.a He shall, indeed, save His people; and amongst His people surely there are infants. aFrom their sinsa shall He save them; in infants, too, therefore, are there original sins, on account of which He can be Jesus, that is, Saviour, even unto them.

a treatise on the soul and its origin.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 56,

On the Following Treatise,

âDe anima et ejus origine.â

## âââââââââââââ

âAt that time one Vincentius discovered in the possesion of a certain presbyter called Peter, in Mauritania CAsariensis, a little work of mine, in a particular passage of which, touching the origin of souls in individual men. I had confessed that I knew not whether they are propagated from the primeval soul of the first man, and from that by parental descent, or whether they are severally assigned to each person without propogation, as the first was to Adam; but that I was, at the same time, guite sure that the soul was not body, but spirit. In opposition to these opinions of mine, he addressed to this Peter two books, which were sent to me from CA<sup>+</sup>sarea by the monk Renatus. Having read these books, I replied in four others, aone addressed to the monk Renatus, another to the presbyter Peter, and two more to Victor himself. That to Peter, however, though it has all the lengthiness of a book, is yet only a letter, which I did not like to be kept separate from the other three works. In all of them, while discussing many points which were unavoidable, I defended my hesitancy on the point of the origin of the souls which are given to individual men; and I pointed out this manâs many errors and presumptuous pravity. At the same time, I treated the young man as gently as I could.ânot as one who ought to be denounced all out of hand, but as one who ought to be still instructed; and I accepted the account of his conduct which he wrote back to me. In this work of mine, the book addressed to Renatus begins with these words: aYour sincerity towards us; a while that which was written to Peter begins thus: aTo his Lordship, my dearly beloved brother and co-presbyter Peter.â Of the last two books, which are addressed to Vincentius Victor, the former one thus opens: aAs to that which I have thought it my duty to write to you.â

advertisement to the reader on the following treatise.

## âââââââââââââ

The occasion of these four books was furnished by a young man named Vincentius Victor, a native of Mauritania Cæsariensis, a convert to the catholic Church from the Rogatian faction (which split off from the Donatist schism, and inhabited that part of Mauritania which lay around Cartenna). This Victor, they say, had previously so high an opinion of the Vincentius who succeeded Rogatus as the head of the before-mentioned faction, that he adopted his name as his own.[2339]2338 Happening to meet with a certain work of Augustinâs, in which the writer acknowledged himself to be incapable of saying whether all souls were propagated from Adamâs soul simply, or whether every man severally had his soul given to him by God, even as Adam himself had, without propagation, although he declared, for all that, his conviction that the soul was in its nature spirit, not body, Victor was equally offended with both statements: he wondered that so great a man as Augustin did not unhesitatingly teach what one ought to

hold concerning the origin of the soul, especially as he thought its propagation probable; and also that he did state with so great assurance the nature of the soul to be incorporeal. He accordingly published two books written to one Peter, a presbyter of Spain, against Augustin on this subject, containing some conceits of the Pelagian heretics, and other things even worse than these.[2340]2339

A monk called Renatus happened then to be at CA sarea. It appears that this man had shown to Augustin, who was staying at the same place in the autumn of the year 418, a letter of the Bishop Optatus consulting him about the origin of the soul. [2341]2340 This monk, of the order of laymen, but perfectly orthodox in the faith, induced by the circumstance, carefully copied the books of Victor, and forwarded them from CA sarea to Hippo the next summer; Augustin, however, only received them at the end of autumn of the year 419, as is supposed. As soon as the holy doctor read them, he without delay wrote the first of the four following books to the good monk, and then the second, in the shape of a letter, to the presbyter Peter, and the two last books to Victor himself, but after a considerable interval, as it appears from the following words of the fourth chapter of the second book: alf, indeed, the Lord will that I should write to the young man, as I desire to do.â In the Retractations this little work of Augustin is placed immediately after the treatises of the year 419, i.e. in the fifth place after the Proceedings with Emeritus, which were completed in the month of September in the year 418. It belongs, therefore, to the termination of the year 419 or to the commencement of the year 420, having been written after athe condemnation of the Pelagians by the authority of catholic Councils and of the Apostolic See, a[2342]2341 but avery soon after, a[2343]2342 as that happy event had happened in the year of Christ 418.

In Book I., written to Renatus, he points out his own opinion about the nature of the soul, and his hesitation as to its origin, which had been unjustly blamed by Victor. He reproves the manâs juvenile forwardness, shows him he had fallen into grave and unheard-of errors while venturing to take upon himself the solution of a question which exceeded his abilities, and points out that he adduced only doubtful passages of Scripture, and such as were not applicable to the subject, in his endeavour to prove that souls are not propagated, but that entirely new ones are breathed by God into every man at his separate birth.

In Book II., he advises Peter not to incur the imputation of having approved of the books which had been addressed to him by Victor On the Origin of the Soul by any use he might make of them, nor to take as catholic doctrines that personâs rash utterances contrary to the Christian faith. Victorâs various and very serious errors he points out and briefly confutes, and he concludes with advising Peter himself to try to persuade Victor to correct his errors.

In Book III., which was written to Victor himself, he points out the corrections which Victor ought to make in his books if he wished to be deemed a catholic; those opinions also and paradoxes of his, which had been already refuted in the preceding books to Renatus and Peter, the

author briefly censures in this third book, and classifies under eleven heads of error.

In Book IV., addressed to the same Victor, he first shows that his hesitation on the subject of the origin of souls was undeservedly blamed, and that he was wrongly compared with cattle, because he had refrained from any bold conclusions on the subject. Then again, with regard to his own unhesitating statement, that the soul was spirit, not body, he points out how rashly Victor disapproved of this assertion, especially when he was vainly expending his efforts to prove that the soul was corporeal in its own nature, and that the spirit in man was distinct from the soul itself.

A Treatise on the soul and its origin,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In Four Books,

written towards the end of 419.

ââââââââââââ

Book I.[2344]2343

Addressed to Renatus, the Monk.

On receiving from Renatus the two books of Vincentius Victor, who disapproved of Augustinâs opinion touching the nature of the soul, and of his hesitation in respect of its origin, Augustin points out how the young objector, in his self-conceit in aiming to decide on so abstruse a subject, had fallen into insufferable mistakes. He then proceeds to show that those passages of Scripture by which Victor thought he could prove that human souls are not derived by propagation, but are breathed by God afresh into each man at birth, are ambiguous, and inadequate for the confirmation of this opinion of his.

Chapter 1 [I.]âRenatus Had Done Him a Kindness by Sending Him the Books Which Had Been Addressed to Him.

Your sincerity towards us, dearest brother Renatus, and your brotherly kindness, and the affection of mutual love between us, we already had clear proof of; but now you have afforded us a still clearer proof, by sending me two books, written by a person whom I knew, indeed, nothing of, athough he was not on that account to be despised, acalled Vincentius Victor (for in such form did I find his name placed at the head of his work): this you did in the summer of last year; but owing to my absence from home, it was the end of autumn before they found their way to me. How, indeed, would you be likely with your very great affection for me to fail either in means or inclination to bring under my notice any writings of the kind, by whomsoever composed, if they fell into your hands, even if they were addressed to some one else? How much less likely, when my own name was mentioned and readaand that

in a context of gainsaying some words of mine, which I had published in certain little treatises? Now you have done all this in the way you were sure to act as my very sincere and beloved friend.

Chapter 2 [II.]âHe Receives with a Kindly and Patient Feeling the Books of a Young and Inexperienced Man Who Wrote Against Him in a Tone of Arrogance. Vincentius Victor Converted from the Sect of the Rogatians.

I am somewhat pained, however, at being thus far less understood by your Holiness than I should like to be; forasmuch as you supposed that I should so receive your communication, as if you did me an injury, by making known to me what another had done. You may see, indeed, how far this feeling is from my mind, in that I have no complaint to make of having suffered any wrong even from him. For, when he entertained views different from my own, was he bound to preserve silence? It ought, no doubt, to be even pleasant to me, that he broke silence in such a way as to put it in our power to read what he had to say. He ought, I certainly think, to have written simply to me, rather than to another concerning me; but as he was unknown to me, he did not venture to intrude personally on me in refuting my words. He thought there was no necessity for applying to me in a matter on which he seemed to himself least of all liable to be doubted, [2345]2344 but to be holding a perfectly well-known and certain opinion. He moreover, acted in obedience to a friend of his by whom he tells us he was compelled to write. And if he expressed any sentiment during the controversy which was contumelious to me, I would prefer supposing that he did this, not with any wish to treat me with incivility, but from the necessity of thinking differently from me. For in all cases where a personas animus towards one is indeterminate and unknown, I think it better to suppose the existence of the kindlier motive, than to find fault with an undiscovered one. Perhaps, too, he acted from love to me, as knowing that what he had written might possibly reach me; being at the same time unwilling that I should be in error on such points as he especially thinks himself to be free from error regarding. I ought, therefore, to be grateful for his kindness, although I feel obliged to disapprove of his opinion. Accordingly, as regards the points on which he does not entertain right views, he appears to me to deserve gentle correction rather than severe disapproval; more especially because, if I am rightly informed, he has lately become a catholicâa matter in which he is to be congratulated. For he has freed himself from the schism and errors of the Donatists (or rather the Rogatists) in which he was previously implicated; and if he understands the catholic verity as he ought, we may really rejoice at his conversion.

Chapter 3 [III]âThe Eloquence of Vincentius, Its Dangers and Its Tolerableness.

For he has an eloquence by which he is able to explain what he thinks. He must, therefore, be dealt with accordingly; and we must hope that he may entertain right sentiments, and that he may not turn useless things into objects of desire; that he may not seem to have propounded as true whatever he may have expressed with eloquence. But in his very outspokenness he may have much to correct, and to prune of redundant verbiage. And this characteristic of his has actually given offence to you, who are a person of gravity, as your own writings indicate. This fault, however, is either easily corrected, or, if it be resorted to with fondness by light minds, and borne with by serious ones, it is not attended with any injury to their faith. For we have already amongst us men who are frothy in speech, but sound in the faith. We need not then despair that this quality even in him (it might be endurable, however, even if it proved permanent) may be tempered and cleansedâin fact, may be either extended or recalled to an entire and solid criterion; especially as he is said to be young, so that diligence may supply to him whatever defect his inexperience may possess, and ripeness of age may digest what crude loguacity finds indigestible. The troublesome, dangerous, and pernicious thing is, when folly is set off by the commendation which is accorded to eloquence, and when a poisonous draught is drunk out of a precious goblet.

Chapter 4 [IV.]âThe Errors Contained in the Books of Vincentius Victor. He Says that the Soul Comes from God, But Was Not Made Either Out of Nothing or Out of Any Created Thing.

I will now proceed to point out what things are chiefly to be avoided in his contentious statement. He says that the soul was made, indeed, by God, but that it is not a portion of God or of the nature of God, âwhich is an entirely true statement. When, however, he refuses to allow that it is made out of nothing, and mentions no other created thing out of which it was made; and makes God its author, in such a sense that He must be supposed to have made it, neither out of any non-existing things, that is, out of nothing, nor out of anything which exists other than God, but out of His very self: he is little aware that in the revolution of his thoughts he has come back to the position which he thinks he has avoided, even that the soul is nothing else than the nature of God; and consequently that there is an actual something made out of the nature of God by the self-same God, for the making of which the material of which He makes it is His own very self who makes it; and that thus Godâs nature is changeable, and by being changed for the worse the very nature of God Himself incurs condemnation at the hands of the self-same God! How far all this is from being fit for your intelligent faith to suppose, how alien it is from the heart of a catholic, and how much to be avoided, you can readily see. For the soul is either so made out of the breath, or Godâs breath is so made into it, that it was not created out of Himself, but by Himself out of nothing. It is not, indeed, like the case of a human being, when he breathes: he cannot form a breath out of nothing, but he restores to the air the breath which he inhaled out of it. We may in some such manner suppose that certain airs surrounded the Divine Being, and that He inhaled a particle of it by breathing, and exhaled it again by respiration, when He breathed into manas face, and so formed for him a soul. If this were the process, it could not have been out of His very self, but out of the circumambient airy matter, that what He breathed forth must have arisen. Far be it, however, from us to say, that the Almighty could not have made the breath of life out of nothing, by which man might become a living soul; and to crowd ourselves into such straits, as that we must either

think that something already existed other than Himself, out of which He formed breath, or else suppose that He formed out of Himself that which we see was made subject to change. Now, whatever is out of Himself, must necessarily be of the self-same nature as Himself, and therefore immutable: but the soul (as all allow) is mutable. Therefore it is not out of Him, because it is not immutable, as He is. If, however, it was not made of anything else, it was undoubtedly made out of nothingâbut by Himself.

Chapter 5 [V.]âAnother of Victorâs Errors, that the Soul is Corporeal.

But as regards his contention, âthat the soul is not spirit, but body,â what else can he mean to make out, than that we are composed, not of soul and body, but of two or even three bodies? For inasmuch as he says that we consist of spirit, soul and body, and asserts that all the three are bodies; it follows, that he supposes us to be made up of three bodies. How absurd this conclusion is, I think ought rather to be demonstrated to him than to you. But this is not an intolerable error on the part of a person who has not yet discovered that there is in existence a something, which, though it be not corporeal, yet may wear somewhat of the similitude of a body.

Chapter 6 [VI.]âAnother Error Out of His Second Book, to the Effect, that the Soul Deserved to Be Polluted by the Body.

But he is plainly past endurance in what he says in his second book. when he endeavours to solve a very difficult question on original sin, how it belongs to body and soul, if the soul is not derived by parental descent but is breathed afresh by God into a man. Striving to explain this troublesome and profound point, he thus expresses his view: aThrough the flesh the soul fitly recovers its primitive condition, which it seemed to have gradually lost through the flesh, in order that it may begin to be regenerated by the very flesh by which it had deserved to be polluted. a You observe how this person, having been so bold as to undertake what exceeds his powers, has fallen down such a precipice as to say, that the soul deserved to be defiled by the body; although he could in no wise declare whence it drew on itself this desert, before it put on flesh. For if it first had from the flesh its desert of sin. let him tell us (if he can) whence (previous to sin) it derived its desert to be contaminated by the flesh. For this desert, which projected it into sinful flesh to be polluted by it, it of course had either from itself, or, which is much more offensive to our mind, from God. It certainly could not, previous to its being invested with the flesh, have received from that flesh that ill desert by reason of which it was projected into the flesh, in order to be defiled by it. Now, if it had the ill desert from its own self, how did it get it, seeing that it did no sin previous to its assumption of flesh? But if it be alleged that it had the ill desert from God, then, I ask, who could listen to such blasphemy? Who could endure it? Who could permit it to be alleged with impunity? For the question which arises here, remember, is not, what was the ill desert which adjudged the soul to be condemned after it became incarnate, but what was its ill desert prior to the flesh, which condemned it to the investiture of the flesh, that it might be thereby polluted? Let him

explain this to us, if he can, seeing that he has dared to say that the soul deserved to be defiled by the flesh.

Chapter 7 [VII.]âVictor Entangles Himself in an Exceedingly Difficult Question. Godâs Foreknowledge is No Cause of Sin.

In another passage, also, on proposing for explanation the very same question in which he had entangled himself, he says, speaking in the person of certain objectors: aWhy, they ask, did God inflict upon the soul so unjust a punishment as to be willing to relegate it into a body, when, by reason of its association with the flesh, that begins to be sinful which could not have been sinful?â Now, amidst the reefy sea of such a question, it was surely his duty to beware of shipwreck; nor to commit himself to dangers which he could not hope to escape by passing over them, and where his only chance of safety lay in putting back againain a word, by repentance. He tries to free himself by means of the foreknowledge of God, but to no purpose. For Godâs foreknowledge only marks beforehand those sinners whom He purposes to heal. For if He liberates from sin those souls which He Himself involved in sin when innocent and pure. He then heals a wound which Himself inflicted on us, not which He found in us. May God, however, forbid it, and may it be altogether far from us to say, that when God cleanses the souls of infants by the laver of regeneration, He then corrects evils which He Himself made for them, when He commingled them, which had no sin before, with sinful flesh, that they might be contaminated by its original sin. As regards, however, the souls which this calumniator alleges to have deserved pollution by the flesh, he is guite unable to tell us how it is they deserved so vast an evil, previous to their connection with the flesh.

Chapter 8 [VIII.]âVictorâs Erroneous Opinion, that the Soul Deserved to Become Sinful.

Vainly supposing, then, that he was able to solve this guestion from the foreknowledge of God, he keeps floundering on, and says: alf the soul deserved to be sinful which could not have been sinful, yet neither did it remain in sin, because, as prefigured in Christ, it was not bound to be in sin, even as it was unable to be.a Now what can he mean when he says, awhich could not have been sinful, a or awas unable to be in sin, a except, as I suppose, this, if it did not come into the flesh? For, of course, it could not have been sinful through original sin, or have been at all involved in original sin, except through the flesh, if it is not derived from the parent. We see it, then, liberated from sin through grace, but we do not see how it deserved to be involved in sin. What, then, is the meaning of these words of his, alf the soul deserved to be sinful, yet neither did it remain in sina? For if I were to ask him, why it did not remain in sin, he would very properly answer, Because the grace of Christ delivered it therefrom. Since, then, he tells us how it came to pass that an infantâs soul was liberated from its sinfulness, let him further tell us how it happened that it deserved to be sinful.

Chapter 9.âVictor Utterly Unable to Explain How the Sinless Soul Deserved to Be Made Sinful.

But what does he mean by that, which in his introduction he says has befallen him? For previous to proposing that question of his, and as introducing it, he affirms: a There are other opprobrious expressions underlying the querulous murmurings of those who rail at us; and, shaken about as in a hurricane, we are again and again dashed amongst enormous rocks.â Now, if I were to express myself about him in this style, he would probably be angry. The words are his; and after premising them, he propounded his question, by way of showing us the very rocks against which he struck and was wrecked. For to such lengths was he carried, and against such frightful reefs was he borne, drifted, and struck, that his escape was a perfect impossibility without a retreatâa correction, in short, of what he had said; since he was unable to show by what desert the soul was made sinful; though he was not afraid to say, that previous to any sin of its own it had deserved to become sinful. Now, who deserves, without committing any sin, so immense a punishment as to be conceived in the sin of another, before leaving his motheras womb, and then to be no longer free from sin? But from this punishment the free grace of God delivers the souls of such infants as are regenerated in Christ, with no previous merits of their ownâotherwise grace is no grace.â[2346]2345 With regard, then, to this person, who is so vastly intelligent, and who in the great depth of his wisdom is displeased at our hesitation, which, if not well informed, is at all events circumspect, let him tell us, if he can, what the merit was which brought the soul into such a punishment, from which grace delivers it without any merit. Let him speak, and, if he can, defend his assertion with some show of reason. I would not, indeed, require so much of him, if he had not himself declared that the soul deserved to become sinful. Let him tell us what the desert wasawhether good desert or evil? If good, how could well-deserving lead to evil? If evil, whence could arise any ill desert previous to the commission of any sin? I have also to remark, that if there be a good desert, then the liberation of the soul would not be of free grace, but it would be due to the previous merit, and thus âgrace would be no more grace.â If there be, however, an evil desert, then I ask what it is. Is it true that the soul has come into the flesh; and that it would not have so come unless He in whom there is no sin had Himself sent it? Never, therefore, except by floundering worse and worse, will he contrive to set up this view of his, in which he predicates of the soul that it deserved to be sinful. In the case of those infants, too, in whose baptism original sin is washed away, he found something to say after a fashion, ato the effect, that being involved in the sin of another could not possibly have been detrimental to them, predestinated as they were to eternal life in the foreknowledge of God. This might admit of a tolerably good sense, if he had not entangled himself in that formula of his, in which he asserts that the soul deserved to be sinful: from this difficulty he can only extricate himself by revoking his words, with regret at having expressed them.

Chapter 10 [IX.]âAnother Error of Victorâs, that Infants Dying Unbaptized May Attain to the Kingdom of Heaven. Another, that the Sacrifice of the Body of Christ Must Be Offered for Infants Who Die Before They are Baptized. But when he wished to answer with respect, however, to those infants who are prevented by death from being first baptized in Christ, he was so bold as to promise them not only paradise, but also the kingdom of heaven. afinding no way else of avoiding the necessity of saving that God condemns to eternal death innocent souls which, without any previous desert of sin, He introduces into sinful flesh. He saw, however, to some extent what evil he was giving utterance to, in implying that without any grace of Christ the souls of infants are redeemed to everlasting life and the kingdom of heaven, and that in their case original sin may be cancelled without Christâs baptism, in which is effected the forgiveness of sins: observing all this, and into what a depth he had plunged in his sea of shipwreck, he says, al am of opinion that for them, indeed, constant oblations and sacrifices must be continually offered up by holy priests.â You may here behold another danger, out of which he will never escape except by regret and a recall of his words. For who can offer up the body of Christ for any except for those who are members of Christ? Moreover, from the time when He said, aExcept a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of heaven; a[2347]2346 and again, aHe that loseth his life for my sake shall find it; a[2348]2347 no one becomes a member of Christ except it be either by baptism in Christ, or death for Christ.[2349]2348

Chapter 11.âMartyrdom for Christ Supplies the Place of Baptism. The Faith of the Thief Who Was Crucified Along with Christ Taken as Martyrdom and Hence for Baptism.

Accordingly, the thief, who was no follower of the Lord previous to the cross, but His confessor upon the cross, from whose case a presumption is sometimes taken, or attempted, against the sacrament of baptism, is reckoned by St. Cyprian [2350] 2349 among the martyrs who are baptized in their own blood, as happens to many unbaptized persons in times of hot persecution. For to the fact that he confessed the crucified Lord so much weight is attributed and so much availing value assigned by Him who knows how to weigh and value such evidence, as if he had been crucified for the Lord. Then, indeed, his faith on the cross flourished when that of the disciples failed, and that without recovery if it had not bloomed again by the resurrection of Him before the terror of whose death it had drooped. They despaired of Him when dying, ahe hoped when joined with Him in dying; they fled from the author of life, and prayed to his companion in punishment; they grieved as for the death of a man, ahe believed that after death He was to be a king: they forsook the sponsor of their salvation, and honoured the companion of His cross. There was discovered in him the full measure of a martyr, who then believed in Christ when they fell away who were destined to be martyrs. All this, indeed, was manifest to the eyes of the Lord, who at once bestowed so great felicity on one who, though not baptized, was yet washed clean in the blood, as it were, of martyrdom. But even of ourselves, who cannot reflect with how much faith, how much hope, how much charity he might have undergone death for Christ when living, who begged life of Him when dying? Besides all this, there is the circumstance, which is not incredibly reported, that the thief who then believed as he hung by the side of the

crucified Lord was sprinkled, as in a most sacred baptism, with the water which issued from the wound of the Saviourâs side. I say nothing of the fact that nobody can prove, since none of us knows that he had not been baptized previous to his condemnation. However, let every man take this in the sense he may prefer; only let no rule about baptism affecting the Saviourâs own precept be taken from this example of the thief; and let no one promise for the case of unbaptized infants, between damnation and the kingdom of heaven, some middle place of rest and happiness, such as he pleases and where he pleases. For this is what the heresy of Pelagius promised them: he neither fears damnation for infants, whom he does not regard as having any original sin, nor does he give them the hope of the kingdom of heaven, since they do not approach to the sacrament of baptism. As for this man, however, although he acknowledges that infants are involved in original sin, he vet boldly promises them, even without baptism, the kingdom of heaven. This even the Pelagians had not the boldness to do, though asserting infants to be absolutely without sin. See, then, what a network of presumptuous opinion he entangles, unless he regret having committed such views to writing.

Chapter 12 [X.]âDinocrates, Brother of the Martyr St. Perpetua, is Said to Have Been Delivered from the State of Condemnation by the Prayers of the Saint.

Concerning Dinocrates, however, the brother of St. Perpetua, there is no record in the canonical Scripture; nor does the saint herself, or whoever it was that wrote the account, say that the boy, who had died at the age of seven years, died without baptism; in his behalf she is believed to have had, when her martyrdom was imminent, her prayers effectually heard that he should be removed from the penalties of the lost to rest. Now, boys at that time of life are able both to lie, and, saying the truth, both to confess and deny. Therefore, when they are baptized they say the Creed, and answer in their behalf to such questions as are proposed to them in examination. Who can tell, then, whether that boy, after baptism, in a time of persecution was estranged from Christ to idolatry by an impious father, and on that account incurred mortal condemnation, from which he was only delivered for Christâs sake, given to the prayers of his sister when she was at the point of death?

Chapter 13 [XI.]âThe Sacrifice of the Body and Blood of Christ Will Not Avail for Unbaptized Persons, and Can Not Be Offered for the Majority of Those Who Die Unbaptized.

But even if it be conceded to this man (what cannot by any means be allowed with safety to the catholic faith and the rule of the Church), that the sacrifice of the body and blood of Christ may be offered for unbaptized persons of every age, as if they were to be helped by this kind of piety on the part of their friends to reaching the kingdom of heaven: what will he have to say to our objections respecting the thousands of infants who are born of impious parents and never fall, by any mercy of God or man, into the hands of pious friends, and who depart from that wretched life of theirs at their most tender age without the washing of regeneration? Let him tell us, if he only can, how it is that those souls deserved to be made sinful to such a degree as certainly never afterwards to be delivered from sin. For if I ask him why they deserve to be condemned if they are not baptized, he will rightly answer me: On account of original sin. If I then inquire whence they derived original sin, he will answer. From sinful flesh, of course. If I go on to ask why they deserved to be condemned to a sinful flesh, seeing they had done no evil before they came in the flesh, and to be so condemned to undergo the contagion of the sin of another, that neither baptism shall regenerate them, born as they are in sin, nor sacrifices explate them in their pollution: let him find something to reply to this! For in such circumstances and of such parents have these infants been born, or are still being born, that it is not possible for them to be reached with such help. Here, at any rate, all argument is lacking. Our guestion is not, why souls have deserved to be condemned subsequently to their consorting with sinful flesh? But we ask, how it is that souls have deserved to be condemned to undergo at all this association with sinful flesh, seeing that they have no sin previous to this association. There is no room for him to say: alt was no detriment to them that they shared for a season the contagion of anotherâs sin, since in the prescience of God redemption had been provided for them. a For we are now speaking of those to whom no redemption brings help, since they depart from the body before they are baptized. Nor is there any propriety in his saying: âThe souls which baptism does not cleanse, the many sacrifices which are offered up for them will cleanse. God foreknew this, and willed that they should for a little while be implicated in the sins of another without incurring eternal damnation, and with the hope of eternal happiness.â For we are now speaking of those whose birth among impious persons and of impious parents could by no possibility find such defences and helps. And even if these could be applied, they would, it is certain, be unable to benefit any who are unbaptized; just as the sacrifices which he has mentioned out of the book of the Maccabees could be of no use for the sinful dead for whom they were offered, inasmuch as they had not been circumcised.[2351]2350

Chapter 14.âVictorâs Dilemma: He Must Either Say All Infants are Saved, or Else God Slays the Innocent.

Let him, then, find an answer, if he can, when the question is asked of him, why it was that the soul, without any sin whatever, either original or personal, deserved so to be condemned to undergo the original sin of another as to be unable to be delivered from it; let him see which he will choose of two alternatives: Either to say that even the souls of dying infants who depart hence without the washing of regeneration, and for whom no sacrifice of the Lordâs body is offered, are absolved from the bond of original sinâalthough the apostle teaches that âfrom one all go into condemnation,â[2352]2351âall, that is, of course, to whom grace does

not find its way to help, in order that by One all might escape into redemption. Or else to say that souls which have no sin, either their own or original, and are in every respect innocent, simple, and pure, are punished with eternal damnation by the righteous God when He inserts them Himself into sinful flesh without any deliverance therefrom. Chapter 15 [XII.]âGod Does Not Judge Any One for What He Might Have Done If His Life Had Been Prolonged, But Simply for the Deeds He Actually Commits.

For my own part, indeed, I affirm that neither of the alternative cases ought to be admitted, nor that third opinion which would have it that souls sinned in some other state previous to the flesh, and so deserved to be condemned to the flesh; for the apostle has most distinctly stated that athe children being not vet born, had done neither good nor evil.a[2353]2352 So it is evident that infants can have contracted none but original sin to require remission of sins. Nor, again, that fourth position, that the souls of infants who will die without baptism are by the righteous God banished and condemned to sinful flesh, since He foreknew that they would lead evil lives if they grew old enough for the use of free will. But this not even he has been daring enough to affirm, though embarrassed in such perplexities. On the contrary, he has declared, briefly indeed, yet manifestly, against this vain opinion in these words: aGod would have been unrighteous if He had willed to judge any man yet unborn, who had done nothing whatever of his own free will.â This was his answer when treating a question in opposition to those persons who ask why God made man, when in His foreknowledge He knew that he would not be good? He would be judging a man before he was born if He had been unwilling to create him because He knew beforehand that he would not turn out good. And there can be no doubt about it, even as this person himself thought, that the proper course would be for the Almighty to judge a man for his works when accomplished, not for such as might be foreseen, nor such as might be permitted to be done some time or other. For if the sins which a man would have committed if he were alive are condemned in him when dead, even when they have not been committed, no benefit is conferred on him when he is taken away that no wickedness might change his mind; inasmuch as judgment will be given upon him according to the wickedness which might have developed in him, not according to the uprightness which was actually found in him. Nor will any man possibly be safe who dies after baptism, because even after baptism men may, I will not say sin in some way or other, but actually go so far as to commit apostasy. What then? Suppose a man who has been taken away after baptism should, if he had lived, have become an apostate, are we to think that no benefit was conferred even upon him in that he was removed and was saved from the misery of his mind being changed by wickedness? And are we to imagine that he will have to be judged, by reason of Godâs foreknowledge, as an apostate, and not as a faithful member of Christ? How much better, to be sure, would it have beenaif sins are punished not as they have been committed or contemplated by the human agent, but foreknown and to happen in the cognizance of the Almightyaif the first pair had been cast forth from paradise previous to their fall, and so sin have been prevented in so holy and blessed a place! What, too, is to be said about the entire nullification of foreknowledge itself, when what is foreknown is not to happen? How, indeed, can that be rightly called the prescience of something to be, which in fact will not come to pass? And how are sins punished which are none, that is to say, which are not committed before the assumption of flesh, since life itself is

not yet begun; nor after the assumption, since death has prevented?

Chapter 16 [XIII.]âDifficulty in the Opinion Which Maintains that Souls are Not by Propagation.

This means, then, of settling the point whereby the soul was sent into the flesh until what time it should be delivered from the flesh, aseeing that the soul of an infant, which has not grown old enough for the will to become free, is the case supposed, âmakes no discovery of the reason why condemnation should overtake it without the reception of baptism, except the reason of original sin. Owing to this sin, we do not deny that the soul is righteously condemned, because for sin Godâs righteous law has appointed punishment. But then we ask, why the soul has been made to undergo this sinful state, if it is not derived from that one primeval soul which sinned in the first father of the human race. Wherefore, if God does not condemn the innocent, âif He does not make guilty those whom He sees to be innocent, âand if nothing liberates souls from either original sins or personal ones but Christâs baptism in Christâs Church, âand if sins, before they are committed, and much more when they have never been committed, cannot be condemned by any righteous law: then this writer cannot adduce any of these four cases; he must, if he can, explain, in respect to the souls of infants, which, as they guit life without baptism, are sent into condemnation, by what desert of theirs it is that they, without having ever sinned, are consigned to a sinful flesh, there to find the sin which is to secure their just condemnation. Moreover, if he shrinks from these four cases which sound doctrine condemns, athat is to say, if he has not the courage to maintain that souls, when they are even without sin, are made sinful by God, or that they are freed from the original sin that is in them without Christâs sacrament, or that they committed sin in some other state before they were sent into the flesh, or that sins which they never committed are condemned in them, aif, I say, he has not the courage to tell us these things because they really do not deserve to be mentioned but should affirm that infants do not inherit original sin, and have no reason why they should be condemned should they depart hence without receiving the sacrament of regeneration, he will without doubt, to his own condemnation, run into the damnable heresy of Pelagius. To avoid this, how much better is it for him to share my hesitation about the soulas origin, without daring to affirm that which he cannot comprehend by human reason nor defend by divine authority! So shall he not be obliged to utter foolishness, whilst he is afraid to confess his ignorance.

Chapter 17 [XIV.]âHe Shows that the Passages of Scripture Adduced by Victor Do Not Prove that Souls are Made by God in Such a Way as Not to Be Derived by Propagation: First Passage.

Here, perhaps, he may say that his opinion is backed by divine authority, since he supposes that he proves by passages of the Holy Scriptures that souls are not made by God by way of propagation, but that they are by distinct acts of creation breathed afresh into each individual. Let him prove this if he can, and I will allow that I have learnt from him what I was trying to find out with great earnestness. But he must go in guest of other defences, which, perhaps, he will not find, for he has not proved his point by the passages which he has thus far advanced. For all he has applied to the subject are to some extent undoubtedly suitable, but they afford only doubtful demonstration to the point which he raises respecting the soulas origin. For it is certain that God has given to man breath and spirit, as the prophet testifies: a Thus saith the Lord, who made the heaven, and founded the earth, and all that is therein; who give the breath to the people upon it, and spirit to them that walk over it.a[2354]2353 This passage he wishes to be taken in his own sense, which he is defending; so that the words, awho give the breath to the people, a may be understood as implying that He creates souls for people not by propagation, but by insufflation of new souls in every case. Let him, then, boldly maintain at this rate that He does not give us flesh, on the ground that our flesh derives its original from our parents. In the instance, too, which the apostle adduces, aGod giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him, a[2355]2354 let him deny, if he dares, that corn springs from corn, and grass from grass, from the seed, each after its kind. And if he dares not deny this, how does he know in what sense it is said, alle giveth breath to the peoplea? awhether by derivation from parents, or by fresh breathing into each individual?

Chapter 18. âBy âBreathâ Is Signified Sometimes the Holy Spirit.

How, again, does he know whether the repetition of the idea in the sentence, awho give the breath to the people upon it, and spirit to them that walk over it, a may not be understood of only one thing under two expressions, and may not mean, not the life or spirit whereby human nature lives, but the Holy Spirit? For if by the âbreathâ the Holy Ghost could not be signified, the Lord would not, when He âbreathed uponâ His disciples after His resurrection, have said, âReceive ve the Holy Ghost.â[2356]2355 Nor would it have been thus written in the Acts of the Apostles, aSuddenly there came a sound from heaven, as if a mighty breath were borne in upon them; and there appeared unto them cloven tongues, like as of fire, and it sat upon each of them, and they were all filled with the Holy Ghost. a[2357]2356 Suppose, now, that it was this which the prophet foretold in the words, awho giveth breath unto the people upon it; and then, as an exposition of what he had designated abreath. a he went on to say. a and spirit to them that walk over it.â Surely this prediction was most manifestly fulfilled when they were all filled with the Holy Ghost. If, however, the term âpeopleâ is not yet applicable to the one hundred and twenty persons who were then assembled together in one place, at all events, when the number of believers amounted to four or five thousand, who when they were baptized received the Holy Ghost, [2358]2357 can any doubt that the recipients of the Holy Ghost were then athe people, a even athe men walking in the eartha? For that spirit which is given to man as appertaining to his nature, whether it be given by propagation or be inbreathed as something new to individuals (and I do not determine which of these two modes ought to be affirmed, at least until one of the two can be clearly ascertained beyond a doubt), is not given to men when they awalk over the earth, a but whilst they are still shut up in their motheras womb. aHe gave breath, therefore, to the people upon the earth, and spirit to them that walk over it, a when many became

believers together, and were together filled with the Holy Ghost. And He gives Him to His people, although not to all at the same time, but to every one in His own time, until, by departing from this life, and by coming into it, the entire number of His people be fulfilled. In this passage of Holy Scripture, therefore, breath is not one thing, and spirit another thing; but there is a repetition of one and the same idea. Just as alle that sitteth in the heavensa is not one, and âthe Lordâ is not another; nor, again, is it one thing âto laugh,â and another thing ato hold in derision; a but there is only a repetition of the same meaning in the passage where we read, aHe that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision. a[2359]2358 So, in precisely the same manner, in the passage, al will give Thee the heathen for Thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for Thy possession, a[2360]2359 it is certainly not meant that âinheritanceâ is one thing, and âpossessionâ another thing; nor that âthe heathenâ means one thing, and âthe uttermost parts of the earthâ another; there is only a repetition of the self-same thing. He will, indeed, discover innumerable expressions of this sort in the sacred writings, if he will only attentively consider what he reads.[2361]2360

Chapter 19.âThe Meaning of âBreathâ In Scripture.

The term, however, that is used in the Greek version, pnoÃ, is variously rendered in Latin: sometimes by flatus, breath; sometimes by spiritus, spirit; sometimes by inspiratio, inspiration. This term occurs in the Greek editions of the passage which we are now reviewing, aWho giveth breath to the people upon it, a the word for breath being pnoÃ.[2362]2361 The same word is used in the narrative where man was endued with life: âAnd God breathed upon his face the breath of life.â[2363]2362 Again, in the psalm the same term occurs: âLet every thing that hath spirit praise the Lord. a[2364]2363 It is the same word also in the Book of Job: aThe inspiration of the Almighty is that which teaches. a [2365] 2364 The translator refused the word flatus, breath, for adspiratio, inspiration, although he had before him the very term pnoÃ, which occurs in the text of the prophet which we are considering. We can hardly doubt, I think, that in this passage of Job the Holy Ghost is signified. The question discussed was concerning wisdom, whence it comes to men: alt cometh not from number of years; but the Spirit is in mortals, and the inspiration of the Almighty is that which teaches. a[2366]2365 By this repetition of terms it may be quite understood that he did not speak of manas own spirit in the clause, aThe Spirit is in mortals. a He wanted to show whence men have wisdom. athat it is not from their own selves; so by using a duplicate expression he explains his idea; a The inspiration of the Almighty is that which teaches. â Similarly, in another passage of the same book, he says, a The understanding of my lips shall meditate purity. The divine Spirit is that which formed me, and the breath of the Almighty is that which teacheth me.a[2367]2366 Here, likewise, what he calls adspiratio, or ainspiration, a is in Greek pnoÃ, the same word which is translated flatus, abreath, a in the passage quoted from the prophet. Therefore, although it is rash to deny that the passage, aWho give the breath to the people upon it, and spirit to them that walk over it, a has reference to the soul or spirit of man, although the

Holy Ghost may with greater credibility be understood as referred to in the passage: yet I ask on what ground anybody can boldly determine that the prophet meant in these words to intimate that the soul or spirit whereby our nature possesses vitality [is not given to us by God through the process of propagation?][2368]2367 Of course if the prophet had very plainly said, âWho giveth soul to the people upon earth,â it still would remain to be asked whether God Himself gives it from an origin in the preceding generation, just as He gives the body out of such prior material, and that not only to men or cattle, but also to the seed of corn, or to any other body whatever, just as it pleases Him; or whether He bestows it by inbreathing as a new gift to each individual, as the first man received it from Him?

Chapter 20.âOther Ways of Taking the Passage.

There are also some persons who understand the prophetas words, alle gave breath to the people upon it, a that is to say, upon the earth, as if the word abreath, a flatus, were simply equivalent to asoul, a anima; while they construe the next clause, and spirit to them that walk over it, as referring to the Holy Ghost; and they suppose that the same order is observed by the prophet that is mentioned by the apostle: aThat was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural; and afterward that which is spiritual.a[2369]2368 Now from this view of the prophetas words an elegant interpretation may, no doubt, be formed consistent with the apostleas sense. The phrase, ato them that walk over it, a is in the Latin, acalcantibus eam; a and as the literal meaning of these words is âtreading upon it, â we may understand the idea of contempt of it to be implied. For they who receive the Holy Ghost despise earthly things in their love of heavenly things. None of these opinions, however, is contrary to the faith, whether one regards the two terms, breath and spirit, to pertain to human nature, or both of them to the Holy Ghost, or one of them, breath, to the soul, and the other, spirit, to the Holy Ghost. If, however, the soul and spirit of the human being be the meaning here, since undoubtedly it ought to be, as the gift of God to him, then we must further inquire, in what way does God bestow this gift? Is it by propagation, as He gives us our bodily limbs by this process? Or is it bestowed on each person severally by Godâs inbreathing, not by propagation, but as always a fresh creation? These questions are not ambiguous, as this man would make them; but we wish that they be defended by the most certain warrant of the divine Scriptures.

## Chapter 21.âThe Second Passage Quoted by Victor.

On the same principle we treat the passage in which God says: âFor my Spirit shall go forth from me; and I have created every breath.â[2370]2369 Here the former clause, âMy Spirit shall go forth from me, must be taken as referring to the Holy Ghost, of whom the Saviour similarly says, âHe proceedeth from the Father.â[2371]2370 But the other clause, âI have created every breath,â is undeniably spoken of each individual soul. Well; but God also creates the entire body of man; and, as nobody doubts, He makes the human body by the process of propagation: it is therefore, of course, still open to inquiry concerning the soul (since it is evidently Godâs work), whether He creates it as He does the body; by propagation, or by inbreathing, as He made the first soul.

Chapter 22. aVictoras Third Quotation.

He proceeds to favour us with a third passage, in which it is written: âWho forms the spirit of man within him.â[2372]2371 As if any one denied this! No; all our question is as to the mode of the formation. Now let us take the eye of the body, and ask, who but God forms it? I suppose that He forms it not externally, but in itself, and yet, most certainly, by propagation. Since, then, He also forms âthe human spirit in him,â the question still remains, whether it be derived by a fresh insufflation in every instance, or by propagation.

Chapter 23. âHis Fourth Quotation.

We have read all about the mother of the Maccabean youths, who was really more fruitful in virtues when her children suffered than of children when they were born; how she exhorted them to constancy, speaking in this wise: al cannot tell, my sons, how ye came into my womb. For it was not I who gave you spirit and soul, nor was it I that formed the members of every one of you; but it was God, who also made the world, and all things that are therein; who, moreover, formed the generation of men; and searches the action[2373]2372 of all; and who will Himself of His great mercy restore to you your spirit and soul.a[2374]2373 All this we know; but how it supports this manas assertion we do not see. For what Christian would deny that God gives to men soul and spirit? But similarly, I suppose that he cannot deny that God gives to men their tongue, and ear, and hand, and foot, and all their bodily sensations, and the form and nature of all their limbs. For how is he going to deny all these to be the gifts of God, unless he forgets that he is a Christian? As, however, it is evident that these were made by Him, and bestowed on man by propagation; so also the question must arise, by what means manas spirit and soul are formed by Him; by what efficiency given to manâfrom the parents, or from nothing, or (as this man asserts, in a sense which we must by all means guard against) from some existing nature of the divine breath, not created out of nothing, but out of His own self?

Chapter 24 [XV.]âWhether or No the Soul is Derived by Natural Descent (Ex Traduce), His Cited Passages Fail to Show.

Forasmuch, then, as the passages of Scripture which he mentions by no means show what he endeavours to enforce (since, indeed, they express nothing at all on the immediate question before us), what can be the meaning of these words of his: âWe firmly maintain that the soul comes from the breath of God, not from natural generation, because it is given from Godâ? As if, forsooth, the body could be given from another, than from Him by whom it is created, âOf whom are all things, through whom are all things, in whom are all things;â[2375]2374 not that they are of His nature, but of His workmanship. âNor is it from nothing,â says he, âbecause it comes forth from God.â Whether this be so, is (we must say) not the question to be here entertained. At the same time, we do not hesitate to affirm, that the proposition which he

advances, that the soul comes to man neither out of descent nor out of nothing, is certainly not true: this, I say, we affirm to be without doubt not true. For it is one of two things: if the soul is not derived by natural descent from the parent, it comes out of nothing. To pretend that it is derived from God in such wise as to be a portion of His nature, is simply sacrilegious blasphemy. But we solicit and seek up to the present time some plain passages of Scripture bearing on the point, whether the soul does not come by parental descent; but we do not want such passages as he has adduced, which yield no illustration of the question now before us.

Chapter 25.âJust as the Mother Knows Not Whence Comes Her Child Within Her, So We Know Not Whence Comes the Soul.

How I wish that, on so profound a question, so long as he is ignorant what he should say, he would imitate the mother of the Maccabean youths! Although she knew very well that she had conceived children of her husband, and that they had been created for her by the Creator of all, both in body and in soul and spirit, yet she says, al cannot tell, my sons, how ye came into my womb.â Well now, I only wish this man would tell us that which she was ignorant of! She, of course, knew (on the points I have mentioned) how they came into her womb as to their bodily substance, because she could not possibly doubt that she had conceived them by her husband. She furthermore confessedabecause this, too, she was, of course, well aware of athat it was God who gave them their soul and spirit, and that it was He also who formed for them their features and their limbs. What was it, then, that she was so ignorant of? Was it not probably (what we likewise are equally unable to determine) whether the soul and spirit, which God no doubt bestowed upon them, was derived to them from their parents, or breathed into them separately as it had been into the first man? But whether it was this, or some other particular respecting the constitution of human nature, of which she was ignorant, she frankly confessed her ignorance; and did not venture to defend at random what she knew nothing about. Nor would this man say to her, what he has not been ashamed to say to us: aMan being in honour doth not understand; he is compared to the senseless cattle, and is like unto them.â[2376]2375 Behold how that woman said of her sons, âl cannot tell how ve came into my womb, and vet she is not compared to the senseless brutes. âl cannot tell,â she said; then, as if they would inquire of her why she was ignorant, she went on to say, aFor it was not I who gave you spirit and soul â He, therefore, who gave them that gift, knows whence He made what He gave, whether He communicated it by propagation, or breathed it as a fresh creation, a point which (this man says) I for my part know nothing of. aNor was it I that formed the features and members of every one of you.â He, however, who formed them, knows whether He formed them with the soul, or gave the soul to them after they had been formed. She had no idea of the manner, this or that, in which her sons came into her womb; only one thing was she sure of, that He who gave her all she had would restore to her what He gave. But this man would choose out what that woman was ignorant of, on so profound and abstruse a fact of our nature; only he would not judge her, if in error; nor compare her, if ignorant, to the senseless cattle. Whatever the point was about which she was ignorant, it

certainly pertained to manâs nature; and yet anybody would be blameless for such ignorance. Wherefore, I too, on my side, say concerning my soul, I have no certain knowledge how it came into my body; for it was not I who gave it to myself. He who gave it to me knows whether He imparted it to me from my father, or created it afresh for me, as He did for the first man. But even I shall know, when He Himself shall teach me, in His own good time. Now, however, I do not know; nor am I ashamed, like him, to confess my ignorance of what I know not.

Chapter 26 [XVI.]âThe Fifth Passage of Scripture Quoted by Victor.

âLearn,â says he, âfor, behold the apostle teaches you.â Yes, indeed, I will learn, if the apostle teaches; since it is God alone who teaches by the apostle. But, pray, what is it which the apostle teaches? âBehold, â he adds, âhow, when speaking to the men of Athens, he strongly set forth this truth, saying: aSeeing He giveth to all life and spirit.ââ Well, who thinks of denying this? âBut understand,â he says, awhat it is the apostle states: He giveth; not, He hath given. He refers us to continuous and indefinite time, and does not proclaim past and completed time. Now that which he gives without cessation, He is always giving; just as He who gives is Himself ever existent.â I have quoted his words precisely as I found them in the second of the books which you sent me. First, I beg you to notice to what lengths he has gone, while endeavouring to affirm what he knows nothing about. For he has dared to say, that God, without any cessation, and not merely in the present time, but for ever and ever, gives souls to persons when they are born. aHe is always giving, a says he, âjust as He who gives is Himself ever existent.â Far be it from me to say that I do not understand what the apostle said, for it is plain enough. But what this man says, he even ought himself to know, is contrary to the Christian faith; and he should be on his guard against going any further in such assertions. For, of course, when the dead shall rise again, there will be no more persons to be born; therefore God will bestow no longer any souls at any birth; but those which He is now giving to men along with their bodies He will judge. So that He is not always giving, although He is ever existent, who at present is giving. Nor, indeed, is that at all derivable from the apostleas expression, who give th (not hath given), which this writer wishes to deduce, namely, that God does not give men souls by propagation. For souls are still given by Him, even if it be by propagation; even as bodily endowments, such as limbs, and sensations, and shape, and, in fact, the whole substance, are given by God Himself to human beings, although it be by propagation that He gives them. Nor again, because the Lord says, [2377]2376 alf God so clothes the grass of the field, which to-day is, and to-morrow is cast into the ovena (not using the preterite time, hath clothed, as when He first formed the material; but employing the present form, clothes, which, indeed, He still is doing), shall we on that account say, that the lilies are not produced from the original source of their own kind. What, therefore, if the soul and spirit of a human being in like manner is given by God Himself, whenever it is given; and given, too, by propagation from its own kind? Now this is a position which I neither maintain nor refute. Nevertheless, if it must be defended or confuted, I certainly

recommend its being done by clear, and not doubtful proofs. Nor do I deserve to be compared with senseless cattle because I avow myself to be as yet incapable of determining the question, but rather with cautious persons, because I do not recklessly teach what I know nothing about. But I am not disposed on my own part to return railing for railing and compare this man with brutes; but I warn him as a son to acknowledge that he is really ignorant of that which he knows nothing about; nor to attempt to teach that which he has not yet learnt, lest he should deserve to be compared with those persons whom the apostle mentions as âdesiring to be teachers of the law, understanding neither what they say nor whereof they affirm.â[2378]2377

Chapter 27 [XVII.]âAugustin Did Not Venture to Define Anything About the Propagation of the Soul.

For whence comes it that he is so careless about the Scriptures, which he talks of, as not to notice that when he reads of human beings being from God, it is not merely, as he contends, in respect of their soul and spirit, but also as regards their body? For the apostleas statement, aWe are His offspring, a[2379]2378 this man supposes must not be referred to the body, but only to the soul and spirit. If, indeed, our human bodies are not of God, then that is false which the Scripture says: aFor of Him are all things, through Him are all things, and in Him are all things a[2380]2379 Again, with reference to the same apostleas statement, aFor as the woman is of the man, so also is the man by the woman, a[2381]2380 let him explain to us what propagation he would choose to be meant in the process, athat of the soul, or of the body, or of both? But he will not allow that souls come by propagation: it remains, therefore, that, according to him and all who deny the propagation of souls, the apostle signified the masculine and feminine body only, when he said, âAs the woman is of the man, so also is the man by the woman; a the woman having been made out of the man, in order that the man might afterwards, by the process of birth, come out of the woman. If, therefore, the apostle, when he said this, did not intend the soul and spirit also to be understood, but only the bodies of the two sexes, why does he immediately add, âBut all things are of God, a[2382]2381 unless it be that bodies also are of God? For so runs his entire statement: aAs the woman is of the man, so also is the man by the woman; but all things are of God.â Let, then, our disputant determine of what this is said. If of menâs bodies, then, of course, even bodies are of God. How comes it to pass, therefore, that whenever this person reads in Scripture the phrase, aof God.a when man is in question, he will have the words understood. not in reference to menâs bodies, but only as concerning their souls and spirits? But if the expression, aAll things are of God, a was spoken both of the body of the two sexes, and of their soul and spirit, it follows that in all things the woman is of the man, for the woman comes from the man, and the man is by the woman: but all things of God. What all things are meant, except those he was speaking of, namely, the man of whom came the woman, and the woman who was of the man, and also the man who came by the woman? For that man came not by woman, out of whom came the woman; but only he who afterwards was born of man by woman, just as men are now born. Hence it follows that if

the apostle, when he said the words we have guoted from him, spoke of menâs bodies, undoubtedly the bodies of persons of both sexes are of God. Furthermore, if he insists that nothing in man comes from God except their souls and spirits, then, of course, the woman is of the man even as regards her soul and spirit: so that nothing is left to those who dispute against the propagation of souls. But if he is for dividing the subject in such a manner as to say that the woman is of the man as regards her body, but is of God in respect of her soul and spirit, how, then, will that be true which the apostle says, âAll things of God, a if the woman body is of the man in such a sense that it is not of God? Wherefore, allowing that the apostle is more likely to speak the truth than that this person must be preferred as an authority to the apostle, the woman is of the man, whether in regard to her body only, or in reference to the entire whole of which human nature consists (but we assert nothing on these points as an absolute certainty, but are still inquiring after their truth); and the man is through the woman, whether it be that his whole nature as man is derived to him from his father, and is born in him through the woman, or the flesh alone; about which points the question is still undecided. âAll things, however, are of God,â and about this there is no question; and in this phrase are included the body, soul, and spirit, both of the man and the woman. For even if they were not born or derived from God, or emanated from Him as portions of His nature, vet they are of God, inasmuch as whatever is created, formed, and made by Him, has from Him the reality of its existence.

Chapter 28.âA Natural Figure of Speech Must Not Be Literally Pressed.

He goes on to remark: âBut the apostle, by saving, âAnd He Himself giveth life and spirit to all, and then by adding the words, aAnd hath made the whole race of men of one blood, a[2383]2382 has referred this soul and spirit to the Creator in respect of their origin, and the body to propagation. a Now, certainly any one who does not wish to deny at random the propagation of souls, before ascertaining clearly whether the opinion is correct or not, has ground for understanding, from the apostleas words, that he meant the expression, of one blood, to be equivalent to of one man, by the figure of speech which understands the whole from its part. Well, then, if it be allowable for this man to take the whole from a part in the passage, and man became a living soul, a[2384]2383 as if the spirit also was understood to be implied, about which the Scripture there said nothing, why is it not allowable to others to attribute an equally comprehensive sense to the expression, of one blood, so that the soul and spirit may be considered as included in it, on the ground that the human being who is signified by the term ablooda consists not of body alone, but also of soul and spirit? For just as the controversialist who maintains the propagation of souls, ought not, on the one hand, to press this man too hard, because the Scripture says concerning the first man, âln whom all have sinneda[2385]2384 (for the expression is not, In whom the flesh of all has sinned, but all, a that is, all men, a seeing that man is not flesh only); as, I repeat, he ought not to be too hard pressed himself, because it happens to be written aall men, a in such a way that they might be understood simply in respect of the flesh; so, on the other hand, he ought not to bear too hard on those who hold the

propagation of souls, on the ground of the phrase, aThe whole race of men of one blood, as if this passage proved that flesh alone was transmitted by propagation. For if it is true, as they[2386]2385 assert, that soul does not descend from soul, but flesh only from flesh, then the expression, and one blood, a does not signify the entire human being, on the principle of a part for the whole, but merely the flesh of one person alone; while that other expression, aln whom all have sinned, a must be so understood as to indicate merely the flesh of all men, which has been handed on from the first man, the Scripture signifying a part by the whole. If, on the other hand, it is true that the entire human being is propagated of each man, himself also entire, consisting of body, soul, and spirit, then the passage, âln whom all have sinned, â must be taken in its proper literal sense; and the other phrase, and one blood, a is used metaphorically, the whole being signified by a part, that is to say, the whole man who consists of soul and flesh; or rather (as this person is fond of putting it) of soul, and spirit, and flesh. For both modes of expression the Holy Scriptures are in the habit of employing, putting both a part for the whole and the whole for a part. A part, for instance, implies the whole, in the place where it is said, âUnto Thee shall all flesh come; a[2387]2386 the whole man being understood by the term flesh. And the whole sometimes implies a part, as when it is said that Christ was buried, whereas it was only His flesh that was buried. Now as regards the statement which is made in the apostleas testimony. to the effect that aHe giveth life and spirit to all, a I suppose that nobody, after the foregoing discussion, will be moved by it. No doubt âHe giveth;â the fact is not in dispute; our question is, How does He give it? By fresh inbreathing in every instance, or by propagation? For with perfect propriety is He said to give the substance of the flesh to the human being, though at the same time it is not denied that He gives it by means of propagation.

Chapter 29 [XVIII.] aThe Sixth Passage of Scripture Quoted by Victor.

Let us now look at the quotation from Genesis, where the woman was created out of the side of the man, and was brought to him, and he said: âThis is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh.â Our opponent thinks that aAdam ought to have said, aSoul of my soul, or spirit of my spirit.â if this, too, had been derived from him.â But. in fact, they who maintain the opinion of the propagation of souls feel that they possess a more impregnable defence of their position in the fact that in the Scripture narrative which informs us that God took a rib out of the manas side and formed it into a woman, it is not added that He breathed into her face the breath of life; for this reason, as they say, because she had already been ensouled[2388]2387 from the man. If, indeed, she had not, they say, the sacred Scripture would certainly not have kept us in ignorance of the circumstance. With regard to the fact that Adam says, a This is now bone of my bone, and flesh of my flesh, a[2389]2388 without adding, Spirit or soul, from my spirit or soul, they may answer, just as it has been already shown, that the expression, any flesh and bone, a may be understood as indicating the whole by a part, only that the portion that was taken out of man was not dead, but ensouled;[2390]2389 for no good ground for denying that the Almighty was able to do all this is furnished by

the circumstance that not a human being could be found capable of cutting off a part of a manas flesh along with the soul. Adam went on, however, to say, aShe shall be called woman, because she was taken out of man.a[2391]2390 Now, why does he not rather say (and thus confirm the opinion of our opponents). aSince her flesh was taken out of mana? As the case stands, indeed, they who hold the opposite view may well contend, from the fact that it is written, not womanas flesh, but the woman herself was taken out of man, that she must be considered in her entire nature endued with soul and spirit. For although the soul is undistinguished by sex, yet when women are mentioned it is not necessary to regard them apart from the soul. On no other principle would they be thus admonished with respect to self-adornment. aNot with braided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array; but which (says the apostle) becometh women professing godliness with a good conversation.â[2392]2391 Now, âgodliness,â of course, is an inner principle in the soul or spirit; and yet they are called women, although the ornamentation concerns that internal portion of their nature which has no sex.

Chapter 30âThe Danger of Arguing from Silence.

Now, while the disputants are thus contending with one another in alternate argument. I so judge between them that they must not rely on uncertain evidence; nor make bold assertions on points of which they are ignorant. For if the Scripture had said, aGod breathed into the womanâs face the breath of life, and she became a living soul, â it would not have followed even then that the human soul is not derived by propagation from parents, except the same statement were likewise made concerning their son. For it might have been that whilst an unensouled [2393] 2392 member taken from the body might require to be ensouled,[2394]2393 yet that the soul of the son might be derived from the father, transfused by propagation through the mother. There is, however, an absolute silence on the point; it is entirely concealed from our view. Nothing is denied, but at the same time nothing is affirmed. And thus, if in any place the Scripture is possibly not quite silent, the point requires to be supported by clearer proofs. Whence it follows, that neither they who maintain the propagation of souls receive any assistance from the circumstance that God did not breathe into the womanâs face: nor ought they, who deny this doctrine on the ground that Adam did not say, a This is soul of my soul, a to persuade themselves to believe what they know nothing of. For just as it has been possible for the Scripture to be silent on the point of the womanas having received her soul, like the man, by the inbreathing of God, without the guestion before us being solved, but, on the contrary, remaining open; so has it been possible for the same question to remain open and unsolved, notwithstanding the silence of Scripture, as to whether or not Adam said, This is soul of my soul. And hence, if the soul of the first woman comes from the man, a part signifies the whole in his exclamation, a This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh; a inasmuch as not her flesh alone, but the entire woman, was taken out of man. If, however, it is not from the man, but came by Godâs inbreathing it into her, as at first into the man, then the whole signifies a part in the passage, aShe was taken out of the man; a since on the supposition it was not her whole self,

but her flesh that was taken.

Chapter 31.âThe Argument of the Apollinarians to Prove that Christ Was Without the Human Soul of This Same Sort.

Although, then, this question remains unsolved by these passages of Scripture, which are certainly indecisive so far as pertains to the point before us, yet I am quite sure of this, that those persons who think that the soul of the first woman did not come from her husbandas soul, on the ground of its being only said, aFlesh of my flesh, and not, aSoul of my soul, a do, in fact, argue in precisely the same manner as the Apollinarians argue, and all such gainsayers, in opposition to the Lordâs human soul, which they deny for no other reason than because they read in the Scripture, aThe Word was made flesh.â[2395]2394 For if, say they, there was a soul in Him also, it ought to have been said, a The Word was made man. a But the reason why the great truth is stated in the terms in question really is, that under the designation flesh, Holy Scripture is accustomed to describe the entire human being, as in the passage, âAnd all flesh shall see the salvation of God.â[2396]2395 For flesh alone without the soul cannot see anything. Besides, many other passages of the Holy Scriptures go to make it manifest, without any ambiguity, that in the man Christ there is not only flesh, but a humanâthat is, a reasonableasoul also. Whence they, who maintain the propagation of souls might also understand that a part is put for the whole in the passage, aBone of my bone, and flesh of my flesh, a in such wise that the soul, too, be understood as implied in the words, in the same manner as we believe that the Word became flesh, not without the soul. All that is wanted is, that they should support their opinion of the propagation of souls on passages which are unambiguous; just as other passages of Scripture show us that Christ possesses a human soul. On precisely the same principle we advise the other side also, who do away with the opinion of the propagation of souls, that they should produce certain proofs for their assertion that souls are created by God in every fresh case by insufflation, and that they should then maintain the position that the saying, a This is bone of my bone, and flesh of my flesh, a was not spoken figuratively as a part for the whole, including the soul in its signification, but in a bare literal sense of the flesh alone.

Chapter 32 [XIX.]âThe Self-Contradiction of Victor as to the Origin of the Soul.

Under these circumstances, I find that this treatise of mine must now be closed. It contains, in fact, all that seemed to me chiefly necessary to the subject under discussion. They who peruse its contents will know how to be on their guard against agreeing with the person whose two books you sent me, so as not to believe with him, that souls are produced by the breath of God in such wise as not to be made out of nothing. The man, indeed, who supposes this, however much he may in words deny the conclusion, does in reality affirm that souls have the substance of God, and are His offspring, not by endowment, but by nature. For from whomsoever a man derives the origin of his nature, from him, in all sober earnestness, it must needs be admitted, that he also derives the kind of his nature. But this author is, after all, self-contradictory: at one time he says that asouls are the offspring of God, anot, indeed, by nature, but by endowment; and at another time he says, that athey are not made out of nothing, but derive their origin from God. Thus he does not hesitate to refer them to the nature of God, a position which he had previously denied.

Chapter 33.âAugustin Has No Objection to the Opinion About the Propagation of Souls Being Refuted, and that About Their Insufflation Being Maintained.

As for the opinion, that new souls are created by inbreathing without being propagated, we certainly do not in the least object to its maintenance, aonly let it be by persons who have succeeded in discovering some new evidence, either in the canonical Scriptures, in the shape of unambiguous testimony towards the solution of a most knotty question, or else in their own reasonings, such as shall not be opposed to catholic truth, but not by such persons as this man has shown himself to be. Unable to find anything worth saying, and at the same time unwilling to suspend his disputatious propensity, without measuring his strength at all, in order to avoid saying nothing, he boldly affirmed that athe soul deserved to be polluted by the flesh, a and that athe soul deserved to become sinful; a though previous to its incarnation he was unable to discover any merit in it, whether good or evil. Moreover, that ain infants departing from the body without baptism original sin may be remitted, and that the sacrifice of Christâs body must be offered for them.â who have not been incorporated into Christ through His sacraments in His Church, and that âthey, quitting this present life without the laver of regeneration, not only can go to rest, but can even attain to the kingdom of heaven.â He has propounded a good many other absurdities, which it would be evidently tedious to collect together, and to consider in this treatise. If the doctrine of the propagation of souls is false, may its refutation not be the work of such disputants; and may the defence of the rival principle of the insufflation of new souls in every creative act, proceed from better hands.

Chapter 34.âThe Mistakes Which Must Be Avoided by Those Who Say that Menâs Souls are Not Derived from Their Parents, But are Afresh Inbreathed by God in Every Instance.

All, therefore, who wish to maintain that new souls are rightly said to be breathed into persons at their birth, and not derived from their parents, must by all means be cautious on each of the four points which I have already mentioned. That is to say, do not let them affirm that souls become sinful by anotherâs original sin; do not let them affirm that infants who died unbaptized can possibly reach eternal life and the kingdom of heaven by the remission of original sin in any other way whatever; do not let them affirm that souls had sinned in some other place previous to their incarnation, and that on this account they were forcibly introduced into sinful flesh; nor let them affirm that the sins which were not actually found in them were, because they were foreknown, deservedly punished, although they were never permitted to reach that life where they could be committed. Provided that they affirm none of these points, because each of them is simply false and impious, they may, if they can, produce any conclusive testimonies of the Holy Scriptures on this question; and they may maintain their own opinion, not only without any prohibition from me, but even with my approbation and best thanks. If, however, they fail to discover any very decided authority on the point in the divine oracles, and are obliged to propound any one of the four opinions by reason of their failure, let them restrain their imagination, lest they should be driven in their difficulty to enunciate the now damnable and very recently condemned heresy of Pelagius, to the effect that the souls of infants have not original sin. It is, indeed, better for a man to confess his ignorance of what he knows nothing about, than either to run into heresy which has been already condemned, or to found some new heresy, while recklessly daring to defend over and over again opinions which only display his ignorance. This man has made some other absurd mistakes, indeed many, in which he has wandered out of the beaten track of truth, without going, however, to dangerous lengths; and I would like, if the Lord be willing, to write even to himself something on the subject of his books; and probably I shall point them all out to him, or a good many of them if I should be unable to notice all.

Chapter 35 [XX.] âConclusion.

As for this present treatise, which I have thought it proper to address to no other person in preference to yourself, who have taken a kindly and true interest both in our common faith and my character, as a true catholic and a good friend, you will give it to be read or copied by any persons you may be able to find interested in the subject, or may deem worthy to be trusted. In it I have thought proper to repress and confute the presumption of this young man, in such a way, however, as to show that I love him, wishing him to be amended rather than condemned, and to make such progress in the great house which is the catholic Church, whither the divine compassion has conducted him, that he may be therein a vessel unto honour, sanctified, and meet for the Masterâs use, and prepared unto every good work, a[2397]2396 both by holy living and sound teaching. But I have this further to say: if it behoves me to bestow my love upon him, as I sincerely do, how much more ought I to love you, my brother, whose affection towards me and whose catholic faith I have found by the best of proofs to be cautious and sober! The result of your loyalty has been, that you have, with a brotherâs real love and duty, taken care to have the books, which displeased you, and wherein you found my name treated in a way which ran counter to your liking. copied out and forwarded to me. Now, I am so far from feeling offended at this charitable act of yours, because you did it, that I think I should have had a right, on the true claims of friendship, to have been angry with you if you had not done it. I therefore give you my most earnest thanks. Moreover, I have afforded a still plainer indication of the spirit in which I have accepted your service, by instantly composing this treatise for your consideration, as soon as I had read those books of his.

Book II.

In the Shape of a Letter Addressed to the Presbyter Peter.

He advises Peter not to incur the imputation of having approved of the books which had been addressed to him by Victor on the origin of the soul, by any use he might make of them, nor to take as Catholic doctrines that personâs rash utterances contrary to the Christian faith. Victorâs various errors, and those, too, of a very serious character, he points out and briefly confutes; and he concludes with advising Peter himself to try to persuade Victor to amend his errors.

To his Lordship, my dearly beloved brother and fellow-presbyter Peter, Augustin, bishop, sendeth greeting in the Lord.

Chapter 1 [I.] âDepraved Eloquence an Injurious Accomplishment.

There have reached me the two books of Vincentius Victor, which he addressed in writing to your Holiness; they have been forwarded to me by our brother Renatus, a layman indeed, but a person who has a prudent and religious care about the faith both of himself and of all he loves. On reading these books, I saw that their author was a man of great resources in speech, of which he had enough, and more than enough; but that on the subjects of which he wished to teach, he was as vet insufficiently instructed. If, however, by the gracious gift of the Lord this qualification were also conferred upon him, he would be serviceable to many. For he possesses in no slight degree the faculty of explaining and beautifying what he thinks; all that is wanted is, that he should first take care to think rightly. Depraved eloquence is a hurtful accomplishment; for to persons of inadequate information it always carries the appearance of truth in its readiness of speech. I know not, indeed, how you received his books; but if I am correctly informed, you are said, after reading them, to have been so greatly overjoyed, that you (though an elderly man and a presbyter) kissed the face of this youthful layman, and thanked him for having taught you what you had been previously ignorant of. Now, in this conduct of yours I do not disapprove of your humility; indeed, I rather commend it; for it was not the man whom you praised, but the truth itself which deigned to speak to you through him: only I wish you were able to point out to me what was the truth which you received through him. I should, therefore, be glad if you would show me, in your answer to this letter, what it was he taught you. Be it far from me to be ashamed to learn from a presbyter, since you did not blush to be instructed by a layman, in proclaiming and imitating your humble conduct, if the lessons were only true in which you received instruction.

Chapter 2 [II.]âHe Asks What the Great Knowledge is that Victor Imparts.

Therefore, brother greatly beloved, I desire to know what you learned of him, in order that, if I have already possessed the knowledge, I may participate in your joy; but if I happen to be ignorant, I may be instructed by you. Did you not then understand that there are two somethings, soul and spirit, according as it is said in Scripture, aThou wilt separate my soul from my spirita?[2398]2397 And that both of them pertain to manâs nature, so that the whole man consists of spirit, and soul, and body? Sometimes, however, these two are combined together under the designation of soul; for instance, in the passage, âAnd man became a living soul.â[2399]2398 Now, in this place the spirit is implied. Similarly in sundry passages the two are described under the name of spirit, as when it is written, âAnd He bowed His head and gave up the spirit; a[2400]2399 in which passage it is the soul that must also be understood. And that the two are of one and the same substance? I suppose that you already knew all this. But if you did not, then you may as well know that you have not acquired any great knowledge, the ignorance of which would be attended with much danger. And if there must be any more subtle discussion on such points it would be better to carry on the controversy with himself, whose wordy qualities we have already discovered. The questions we might consider are: whether, when mention is made of the soul, the spirit is also implied in the term in such a way that the two comprise the soul, the spirit being, as it were, some part of it, awhether, in fact (as this person seemed to think), under the designation soul, the whole is so designated from only a part; or else, whether the two together make up the spirit, that which is properly called soul being a part thereof; whether again, in fact, the whole is not called from only a part, when the term spirit is used in such a wide sense as to comprehend the soul also, as this man supposes. These, however, are but subtle distinctions, and ignorance about them certainly is not attended with any great danger.

Chapter 3.âThe Difference Between the Senses of the Body and Soul.

Again, I wonder whether this man taught you the difference between the bodily senses and the sensibilities of the soul; and whether you, who were a person of considerable age and position before you took lessons of this man, used to consider to be one and the same that faculty by which white and black are distinguished, which sparrows even see as well as ourselves, and that by which justice and injustice are discriminated, which Tobit also perceived even after he lost the sight of his eyes.[2401]2400 If you held the identity, then, of course, when you heard or read the words, âLighten my eyes, that I sleep not in death,â[2402]2401 you merely thought of the eyes of the body. Or if this were an obscure point, at all events when you recalled the words of the apostle, âThe eyes of your heart being enlightened,â[2403]2402 you must have supposed that we possessed a heart somewhere between our forehead and cheeks. Well, I am very far from thinking this of you, so that this instructor of yours could not have given you such a lesson.

Chapter 4.aTo Believe the Soul is a Part of God is Blasphemy.

And if you happened to suppose, before receiving the instruction from this teacher, which you are rejoicing to have received, that the human soul is a portion of Godâs nature, then you were ignorant how false and terribly dangerous this opinion was. And if you only were taught by this person that the soul is not a portion of God, then I bid you thank God as earnestly as you can that you were not taken away out of the body before learning so important a lesson. For you would have quitted life a great heretic and a terrible blasphemer. However, I never could have believed this of you, that a man who is both a catholic and a presbyter of no contemptible position like yourself, could by any means have thought that the soulâs nature is a portion of God. I therefore cannot help expressing to your beloved self my fears that this man has by some means or other taught you that which is decidedly opposed to the faith which you were holding.

Chapter 5 [III.] âln What Sense Created Beings are Out of God.

Now, just because I do not suppose that you, a member of the catholic Church, ever believed the human soul to be a portion of God, or that the soulas nature is in any degree identical with Godas. I have some apprehension lest you may have been induced to fall in with this manas opinion, that aGod did not make the soul from nothing, but that the soul is so far out of Him as to have emanated from Him.â For he has put out such a statement as this, with his other opinions, which have led him out of the usual track on this subject to a huge precipice. Now, if he has taught you this, I do not want you to teach it to me; nay, I should wish you to unlearn what you have been taught. For it is not enough to avoid believing and saying that the soul is a part of God. We do not even say that the Son or the Holy Ghost is a part of God, although we affirm that the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit are all of one and the same nature. It is not, then, enough for us to avoid saying that the soul is a part of God, but it is of indispensable importance that we should say that the soul and God are not of one and the self-same nature. This person is therefore right in declaring that asouls are Godas offspring, not by nature, but by gift: and then, of course, not the souls of all men, but of the faithful. But afterwards he returned to the statement from which he had shrunk, and affirmed that God and the soul are of the same natureânot, indeed, in so many words, but plainly and manifestly to such a purport. For when he says that the soul is out of God, in such a manner that God created it not out of any other nature, nor out of nothing, but out of His own self, what would he have us believe but the very thing which he denies, in other words, even that the soul is of the self-same nature as God Himself is? For every nature is either God, who has no author; or out of God, as having Him for its Author. But the nature which has for its author God, out of whom it comes, is either not made, or made. Now, that nature which is not made and yet is out of Him, is either begotten by Him or proceeds from Him. That which is begotten is His only Son, that which proceedeth is the Holy Ghost, and this Trinity is of one and the self-same nature. For these three are one, and each one is God, and all three together are one God, unchangeable, eternal, without any beginning or ending of time. That nature, on the other hand, which is made is called acreature; a God is its Creator, even the blessed Trinity. The creature, therefore, is said to be out of God in such wise as not to be made out of His nature. It is predicated as out of Him, inasmuch as it has in Him the author of its being, not so as to have been born of Him, or to have proceeded from Him, but as having been created, moulded, and formed by Him, in some cases, out of no other substance, athat is, absolutely out of nothing, as, for instance, the heaven and the earth, or rather the whole material of the universe coeval in its creation with the

worldâbut, in some cases, out of another nature already created and in existence, as, for instance, man out of the dust, woman out of the man, and man out of his parents. Still, every creature is out of God,âbut out of God as its creator either out of nothing, or out of something previously existing, not, however, as its begetter or its producer from His own very self.

Chapter 6.âShall Godâs Nature Be Mutable, Sinful, Impious, Even Eternally Damned.

All this, however, I am saying to a catholic: advising with him rather than teaching him. For I do not suppose that these things are new to you; or that they have been long heard of by you, but not believed. This epistle of mine, you will, I am sure, so read as to recognise in its statement your own faith also, which is by the gracious gift of the Lord the common property of us all in the catholic Church. Since, then (as I was saying), I am now speaking to a catholic, whence I pray you tell me, do you suppose that the soul, I will not say your soul or my own soul, but the soul of the first man, was given to him? If you admit that it came from nothing, made, however, and inbreathed into him by God, then your belief tallies with my own. If, on the contrary, you suppose that it came out of some other created thing, which served as the material, as it were, for the divine Artificer to make the soul out of, just as the dust was the material of which Adam was formed, or the rib whence Eve was made, or the waters whence the fishes and the fowls were created, or the ground out of which the terrestrial animals were formed: then this opinion is not catholic, nor is it true. But further, if you think, which may God forbid, that the divine Creator made, or is still making, human souls neither out of nothing, nor out of some other created thing, but out of His own self, that is, out of His own nature, then you have learnt this of your new instructor; but I cannot congratulate you, or flatter you, on the discovery. You have wandered along with him very far from the catholic faith. Better would it be, though it would be untrue, yet it would be better, I say, and more tolerable, that you should believe the soul to have been made out of some other created substance which God had already formed, than out of Godâs own uncreated substance, so that what is mutable, and sinful, and impious, and if persistent to the end in the impiety will have to suffer eternal damnation, should not with horrible blasphemy be referred to the nature of God! Away, brother, I beseech you, away with this, I will not call it faith, but execrably impious error. May God avert from you, a man of gravity and a presbyter, the misery of being seduced by a youthful layman; and, while supposing that your opinion is the catholic faith, of being lost from the number of the faithful. For I must not deal with you as I might with him; nor does this tremendous error, when yours, deserve the same indulgence as being that of this young man, although you may have derived it from him. He has but just now found his way to the catholic fold to get healing and safety;[2404]2403 you have a rank among the very shepherds of that fold. But we would not that a sheep which comes to the Lordâs flock for shelter from error, should be healed of his sores in such a way, as first to infect and destroy the shepherd by his contagious presence.

Chapter 7.âTo Think the Soul Corporeal an Error.

But if you say to me. He has not taught me this; nor have I by any means given my assent to this erroneous opinion of his, however much I was enchanted by the sweetness of his eloquent and elegant discourse: then I earnestly thank God. Still I cannot help asking, why, even with kisses, as the report goes, you expressed your gratitude to him for having taught you what you were ignorant of, previous to hearing his discussion. Now if it be a false report which makes you to have done and said so much, then I beg you to be kind enough to give me this assurance, that the idle rumour may be stopped by your own written authority. If, however, it is true that you bestowed your thanks with such humility upon this man. I should rejoice, indeed, if he has not taught you to believe the opinion which I have already pointed out as a detestable one, and to be carefully avoided as such. Nor shall I find fault [IV.] if your humble thanks to your instructor were further earned by your having acquired from discussions with him some other true and useful knowledge. But may I ask you what it is? Is it that the soul is not spirit, but body? Well, I really do not think ignorance on such a point is any great injury to Christian learning; and if you indulge in more subtle disputes about the different kinds of bodily substance, I think the information you obtain is more difficult than serviceable. If, however, the Lord will that I should write to this young man himself, as I desire to do, then perhaps your loving self[2405]2404 will know to what extent you are not indebted to him for your instruction; although you rejoice in what you have learnt from him. And now I request you not to feel annoyance in writing me an answer; so that what is clearly useful and pertinent to our indispensable faith may not by any chance turn out to be something different.

Chapter 8.âThe Thirst of the Rich Man in Hell Does Not Prove the Soul to Be Corporeal.

Now with regard to the point, which with perfect propriety and great soundness of view he believes, that souls after guitting the body are judged, before they come to that final judgment to which they must submit when their bodies are restored to them, and are either tormented or alorified in the very same flesh wherein they once lived here on earth; is it, let me ask you, the case that you were really ignorant of this? Who ever had his mind so obstinately set against the gospel as not to hear these truths, and after hearing to believe them, in the parable of the poor man who was carried away after death to Abrahamâs bosom, and of the rich man who is set forth as suffering torment in hell?[2406]2405 But has this man taught you how it was that the soul apart from the body could crave from the beggaras finger a drop of water; [2407]2406 when he himself confessed, that the soul did not require bodily aliment except for the purpose of protecting the perishing body which encloses it from dissolution? These are his words: âls it,â asks he, âbecause the soul craves meat and drink, that we suppose material food passes into it?â Then shortly afterwards he says: aFrom this circumstance it is understood and proved, that the sustenance of meat and drink is not wanted for the soul, but for the body: for which clothing also, in addition to food, is provided in

like manner; so that the supplying of food seems to be necessary to that nature, which is also fitted for wearing clothes.â This opinion of his he expounds clearly enough; but he adds some illustrative similes, and says: aNow what do we suppose the occupier of a house does on an inspection of his dwelling? If he observe the tenement has a shaky roof, or a nodding wall, or a weak foundation, does he not fetch girders and build up buttresses, in order that he may succeed in propping up by his care and diligence the fabric which threatened to fall, so that in the dangerous plight of the residence the peril which evidently overhung the occupier might be warded off? From this simile, a says he, a see how the soul craves for its flesh, from which it undoubtedly conceives the craving itself. a Such are the very lucid and adequate words in which this young person has explained his ideas: he asserts that it is not the soul, but the body, which requires food; out of a careful regard, no doubt, of the former for the latter, as one that occupies a dwelling-house, and by a prudent repair prevents the downfall with which the fleshly tenement was threatened. Well, now, let him go on to explain to you what probable ruin this particular soul of the rich man was so eager to prevent by propping up, seeing that it no longer possessed a mortal body, and yet suffered thirst, and begged for the drop of water from the poor manas finger. Here is a good knotty question for this astute instructor of elderly men to exercise himself on; let him inquire, and find a solution if he can: for what purpose did that soul in hell beg the aliment of ever so small a drop of water, when it had no ruinous tenement to support?

Chapter 9 [V.]âHow Could the Incorporeal God Breathe Out of Himself a Corporeal Substance?

In that he believes God to be truly incorporeal, I congratulate him that herein, at all events, he has kept himself uninfluenced by the ravings of Tertullian. For he insisted, that as the soul is corporeal, so likewise is God. [2408] 2407 It is therefore specially surprising that our author, who differs from Tertullian in this point, yet labours to persuade us that the incorporeal God does not make the soul out of nothing, but exhales it as a corporeal breath out of Himself. What a wonderful learning that must be to which every age erects its attentive ears, and which contrives to gain for its disciples men of advanced years, and even presbyters! Let this eminent man read what he has written, read it in public; let him invite to hear the reading well-known persons and unknown ones, learned and unlearned. Old men, assemble with your younger instructors; learn what you used to know nothing about; hear now what you had never heard before. Behold, according to the teaching of this scribe, God creates a breath, not out of something else which exists in some way or other, and not out of that which absolutely has no existence; but out of that which He is Himself, perfectly incorporeal, He breathes a body so that He actually changes His own incorporeal nature into a body, before it undergoes the change into the body of sin. Does he say, that He does not change something out of His own nature, when He creates breath? Then, of course, He does not make that breath out of Himself: for He is not Himself one thing, and His nature another thing. What is this insane man thinking of? But if he says that God creates breath out of His own nature in such a way as to remain absolutely entire Himself, this is

not the question. The question is, whether that which comes not of some previously created substance, nor from nothing, but from Him, is not what He is, that is, of the same nature and essence? Now He remains absolutely entire after the generation of His Son; but because He begat Him of His own nature. He did not beget a something which was different from that which He is Himself. For, putting to one side the circumstance that the Word took on Himself a human nature and became flesh, the Word who is the Son of God is another but not another thing: that is, He is another person but not a different nature. And whence does this come to pass, except from the fact that He is not created out of something else, or out of nothing, but was begotten out of Himself; not that He might be better than He was, but that He might be altogether even what He is of whom He is begotten; that is, of one and the same nature, equal, co-eternal, in every way like, equally unchangeable, equally invisible, equally incorporeal, equally God; in a word, that He might be altogether what the Father is, except that He actually is Himself the Son, and not the Father? But if He remains Himself the same God entire and unimpaired, but yet creates something different from Himself, and worse than Himself, not out of nothing, nor out of some other creature, but out of His very self; and that something emanates as a body out of the incorporeal God; then God forbid that a catholic should imbibe such an opinion, for it does not flow from the divine fountain, but it is a mere fiction of the human mind.

Chapter 10 [VI.]âChildren May Be Found of Like or of Unlike Dispositions with Their Parents.

Then, again, how ineptly he labours to free the soul, which he supposes to be corporeal, from the passions of the body, raising questions about the soulas infancy; about the soulas emotions, when paralysed and oppressed; about the amputation of bodily limbs, without cutting or dividing the soul. But in dealing with such points as these, my duty is to treat rather with him than with you; it is for him to labour to assign a reason for all he says. In this way we shall not seem to wish to be too importunate with an elderly manas gravity on the subject of a young manas work. As to the similarity of disposition to the parents which is discovered in their children, he does not dispute its coming from the soulas seed. Accordingly, this is the opinion also of those persons who do away with the soulas propagation; but the opposite party who entertain this theory do not place on this the weight of their assertion. For they observe also that children are unlike their parents in disposition; and the reason of this, as they suppose, is, that one and the same person very often has various dispositions himself, unlike each other, anot, of course, that he has received another soul, but that his life has undergone a change for the better or for the worse. So they say that there is no impossibility in a soulas not possessing the same disposition which he had by whom it was propagated, seeing that the selfsame soul may have different dispositions at different times. If, therefore, you think that you have learnt this of him, that the soul does not come to us by natural transmission at birth, al only wish that you had discovered from him the truth of the case, al would with the greatest pleasure resign myself to your hands to learn the whole truth. But really to

learn is one thing, and to seem to yourself to have learned is another thing. If, then, you suppose that you have learned what you still are ignorant of, you have evidently not learnt, but given a random credence to a pleasant hearsay. Falsity has stolen over you in the suavity.[2409]2408 Now I do not say this from feeling as yet any certainty as to the proposition being false, which asserts that souls are created afresh by Godâs inbreathing rather than derived from the parents at birth; for I think that this is a point which still requires proof from those who find themselves able to teach it. No; my reason for saying it is, that this person has discussed the whole subject in such a way as not only not to solve the point still in dispute, but even to indulge in statements which leave no doubt as to their falsity. In his desire to prove things of doubtful import, he has boldly stated things which undoubtedly merit reprobation.

Chapter 11 [VII.]âVictor Implies that the Soul Had a âStateâ And âMeritâ Before Incarnation.

Would you hesitate yourself to reprobate what he has said concerning the soul? aYou will not have it, a he says, athat the soul contracts from the sinful flesh the health, to which holy state you can see it in due course pass by means of the flesh, so as to amend its state through that by which it had lost its merit? Or is it because baptism washes the body that what is believed to be conferred by baptism does not pass on to the soul or spirit? It is only right, therefore, that the soul should, by means of the flesh, repair that old condition which it had seemed to have gradually lost through the flesh, in order that it may begin a regenerate state by means of that whereby it had deserved to be polluted a[2410]2409 Now, do observe how grave an error this teacher has fallen into! He says that athe soul repairs its condition by means of the flesh through which it had lost its merit.â The soul, then, must have possessed some state and some good merit previous to the flesh, which he would have that it recovers through the flesh, when the flesh is cleansed in the laver of regeneration. Therefore, previous to the flesh, the soul had lived somewhere in a good state and merit, which state and merit it lost when it came into the flesh. His words are, athat the soul repairs by means of the flesh that primitive condition which it had seemed to have gradually lost through the flesh.<sup>a</sup> The soul, then, possessed before the flesh, an ancient condition (for his term aprimitivea describes the antiquity of the state); and what could that ancient condition have possibly been, but a blessed and laudable state? Now, he avers that this happiness is recovered through the sacrament of baptism, although he will not admit that the soul derives its origin through propagation from that soul which was once manifestly happy in paradise. How is it, then, that in another passage he says that ahe constantly affirms of the soul that it exists not by propagation, nor comes out of nothing, nor exists by its own self, nor previous to the bodya? You see how in this place he insists that souls do exist prior to the body somewhere or other, and that in so happy a state that the same happiness is restored to them by means of baptism. But, as if forgetful of his own views, he goes on to speak of its abeginning a regenerate state by means of that, a meaning the flesh, awhereby it had deserved to be polluted.a In a previous statement he had indicated some good desert which had been

lost by means of the flesh; now, however, he speaks of some evil desert, by means of which it had happened that the soul had to come, or be sent, into the flesh; for his words are, âBy which it had deserved to be polluted;â and if it deserved to be polluted, its merits could not, of course, have been good. Pray let him tell us what sin it had committed previous to its pollution by the flesh, in consequence of which it merited such pollution by the flesh. Let him, if he can, explain to us a matter which is utterly beyond his power, because it is certainly far above his reach to discover what to tell us on this subject which shall be true.

Chapter 12 [VIII.]âHow Did the Soul Deserve to Be Incarnated?

He also says some time afterwards: aThe soul therefore, if it deserved to be sinful, although it could not have been sinful, yet did not remain in sin; because, as it was prefigured in Christ, it was bound not to be in a sinful state, even as it was unable to be.a[2411]2410 Now, my brother, do you, I ask, really think thus? At any rate, have you formed such an opinion, after having read and duly considered his words, and after having reflected upon what extorted from you praise during his reading, and the expression of your gratitude after he had ended? I pray you, tell me what this means: âAlthough the soul deserved to be sinful, which could not have been sinful.â What mean his phrases, deserved and could not? For it could not possibly have deserved its alleged fate, unless it had been sinful; nor would it have been, unless it could have been, sinful, aso as, by committing sin previous to any evil desert, it might make for itself a position whence it might, under Godâs desertion, advance to the commission of other sins. When he said, awhich could not have been sinful, a did he mean, which would not have been able to be sinful, unless it came in the flesh? But how did it deserve a mission at all into a state where it could be sinful, when it could not possibly have become capable of sinning anywhere else, unless it entered that particular state? Let him, then, tell us how it so deserved. For if it deserved to become capable of sinning, it must certainly have already committed some sin, in consequence of which it deserved to be sinful again. These points, however, may perhaps appear to be obscure, or may be tauntingly said to be of such a character, but they are really most plain and clear. The truth is, he ought not to have said that athe soul deserved to become sinful through the flesh, a when he will never be able to discover any desert of the soul, either good or bad, previous to its being in the flesh.

Chapter 13 [IX.] aVictor Teaches that God Thwarts His Own Predestination.

Let us now go on to plainer matters. For while he was confined within these great straits, as to how souls can be held bound by the chain of original sin, when they derive not their origin from the soul which first sinned, but the Creator breathes them afresh at every birth into sinful flesh,âpure from all contagion and propagation of sin:âin order that he might avoid the objection being brought against his argument, that thus God makes them guilty by such insufflation, he first of all had recourse to the theory drawn from Godâs prescience, that âHe had provided redemption for them.â Infants are by the sacrament of this redemption baptized, so that the original sin which they contracted from the flesh is washed away, as if God were remedying His own acts for having made these souls polluted. But afterwards, when he comes to speak of those who receive no such assistance, but expire before they are baptized, he says: all this place I do not offer myself as an authority, but I present you with an example by way of conjecture. We say, then, that some such method as this must be had recourse to in the case of infants, who, being predestinated for baptism, are yet, by the failing of this life, hurried away before they are born again in Christ. We read, â adds he, âit written of such, Speedily was he taken away, lest that wickedness should alter his understanding, or deceit beguile his soul. Therefore He hasted to take him away from among the wicked, for his soul pleased the Lord; and, being made perfect in a short time, he fulfilled a long time.a[2412]2411 Now who would disdain having such a teacher as this? Is it the case, then, with infants, whom people usually wish to have baptized, even hurriedly, before they die, that, if they should be detained ever so short a time in this life, that they might be baptized, and then at once die, wickedness would alter their understanding, and deceit beguile their soul; and to prevent this happening to them, a hasty death came to their rescue, so that they were suddenly taken away before they were baptized? By their very baptism, then, they were changed for the worse, and beguiled by deceit, if it was after baptism that they were snatched away. O excellent teaching, worthy to be admired and closely followed! But he presumed greatly on the prudence of all you who were present at his reading, and especially on yours, to whom he addressed this treatise and handed it after the reading, in supposing that you would believe that the scripture he quoted was intended for the case of unbaptized infants, although it was written of the immature ages of all those saints whom foolish men deem to be hardly dealt with, whenever they are suddenly removed from the present life and are not permitted to attain to the years which people covet for themselves as a great gift of God. What, however, is the meaning of these words of his: âlnfants predestinated for baptism, who are yet, by the failing of this life, hurried away before they are born again in Christ, as if some power of fortune, or fate, or anything else you please, did not permit God to fulfil what He had fore-ordained? And how is it that He hurries them Himself away, when they have pleased Him? Then, does He really predestinate them to be baptized, and then Himself hinder the accomplishment of the very thing which He has predestinated?

Chapter 14 [X.]âVictor Sends Those Infants Who Die Unbaptized to Paradise and the Heavenly Mansions, But Not to the Kingdom of Heaven.

But I beg you mark how bold he is, who is displeased with hesitancy, which prefers to be cautious rather than overknowing in a question so profound as this: âl would be bold to sayââsuch are his wordsââthat they can attain to the forgiveness of their original sins, yet not so as to be admitted into the kingdom of heaven. Just as in the case of the thief on the cross, who confessed but was not baptized, the Lord did not give him the kingdom of heaven, but paradise;[2413]2412 the words remaining accordingly in full force, âExcept a man be born again of water and of the Holy Ghost, he shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven.â[2414]2413 This is especially true, inasmuch as the Lord acknowledges that in His Fatherâs house are many mansions, [2415]2414 by which are indicated the many different merits of those who dwell in them; so that in these abodes the unbaptized is brought to forgiveness, and the baptized to the reward which by grace has been prepared for him.â You observe how the man keeps paradise and the mansions of the Fatherâs house distinct from the kingdom of heaven, so that even unbaptized persons may have an abundant provision in places of eternal happiness. Nor does he see, when he says all this, that he is so unwilling to distinguish the future abode of a baptized infant from the kingdom of heaven as to have no fear in keeping distinct therefrom the very house of God the Father, or the several parts thereof. For the Lord Jesus did not say: In all the created universe, or in any portion of that universe, but, aln my Fatheras house, are many mansions.â But in what way shall an unbaptized person live in the house of God the Father, when he cannot possibly have God for his Father, except he be born again? He should not be so ungrateful to God, who has vouchsafed to deliver him from the sect of the Donatists or Rogatists, as to aim at dividing the house of God the Father, and to put one portion of it outside the kingdom of heaven, where the unbaptized may be able to dwell. And on what terms does he himself presume that he is to enter into the kingdom of heaven, when from that kingdom he excludes the house of the King Himself, in what part soever He pleases? From the case, however, of the thief who, when crucified at the Lordâs side, put his hope in the Lord who was crucified with him, and from the case of Dinocrates, the brother of St. Perpetua, he argues that even to the unbaptized may be given the remission of sins and an abode with the blessed; as if any one unbelief in whom would be a sin, had shown him that the thief and Dinocrates had not been baptized. Concerning these cases, however, I have more fully explained my views in the book which I wrote to our brother Renatus.[2416]2415 This your loving self will be able to ascertain if you will condescend to read the book; for I am sure our brother will not find it in his heart to refuse you, if you ask him the loan of it.

Chapter 15 [XI.]âVictor âDecidesâ That Oblations Should Be Offered Up for Those Who Die Unbaptized.

Still he chafes with indecision, and is well-nigh suffocated in the terrible straits of his theory; for very likely he descries with a more sensitive eye than you, the amount of evil which he enunciates, to the effect that original sin in infants is effaced without Christâs sacrament of baptism. It is, indeed, for the purpose of finding an escape to some extent, and tardily, in the Churchâs sacraments that he says: âln their behalf I most certainly decide that constant oblations and incessant sacrifices must be offered up on the part of the holy priests.â Well, then, you may take him if you like for your arbiter, if it were not enough to have him as your instructor. Let him decide that you must offer up the sacrifice of Christâs body even for those who have not been incorporated into Christ. Now this is guite a novel idea, and foreign to the Churchâs discipline and the rule of truth: and yet, when daring to propound it in his books, he does not modestly say, I rather think; he does not say, I suppose; he does not say, I am of opinion; nor does he say, I at least would suggest, or mention; abut

he says, I give it as my decision; so that, should we be (as might be likely) offended by the novelty or the perverseness of his opinion, we might be overawed by the authority of his judicial determination. It is your own concern, my brother, how to be able to bear him as your instructor in these views. Catholic priests, however, of right feeling (and among them you ought to take your place) could never keep quietâGod forbid itâand hear this man pronounce his decisions, when they would wish him rather to recover his senses, and be sorry both for having entertained such opinions, and for having gone so far as to commit them to writing, and chastise himself with the most wholesome discipline of repentance. aNow it is, a says he; aon this example of the Maccabees who fell in battle that I ground the necessity of doing this. When they offered stealthily some interdicted sacrifices, and after they had fallen in the battle, we find, a says he, athat this remedial measure was at once resorted to by the priests as a crifices were offered up to liberate their souls, which had been bound by the guilt of their forbidden conduct.â[2417]2416 But he says all this, as if (according to his reading of the story) those atoning sacrifices were offered up for uncircumcised persons, as he has decided that these sacrifices of ours must be offered up for unbaptized persons. For circumcision was the sacrament of that period, which prefigured the baptism of our day.

Chapter 16 [XII.]âVictor Promises to the Unbaptized Paradise After Their Death, and the Kingdom of Heaven After Their Resurrection, Although He Admits that This Opposes Christâs Statement.

But your friend, in comparison with what he has shown himself to be further on, thus far makes mistakes which one may somewhat tolerate. He apparently felt some disposition to relent; not, to be sure, at what he ought to have misgivings about, namely, for having ventured to assert that original sin is relaxed even in the case of the unbaptized, and that remission is given to them of all their sins, so that they are admitted into paradise, that is, to a place of great happiness, and possess a claim to the happy mansions in our Fatherâs house; but he seems to have entertained some regret at having conceded to them abodes of lesser blessedness outside the kingdom of heaven. Accordingly he goes on to say, aOr if any one is perhaps reluctant to believe that paradise is bestowed as a temporary and provisional gift on the soul of the thief or of Dinocrates (for there remains for them still, in the resurrection, the reward of the kingdom of heaven), although that principal passage stands in the way, [2418]2417ââExcept a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he shall not enter into the kingdom of God. a[2419]2418ahe may yet hold my assent as ungrudgingly given to this point; only let him magnify[2420]2419 both the aim and the effect of the divine compassion and fore-knowledge.â These words have I copied, as I read them in his second book. Well, now, could any one have shown on this erroneous point greater boldness, recklessness, or presumption? He actually guotes and calls attention to the Lordâs weighty sentence, encloses it in a statement of his own, and then says, aAlthough the opinion is opposed to the âprincipal passage,â âExcept a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he shall not enter into the kingdom of God;ââ he dares then to lift his haughty head in censure against the Princeâs judgment: âHe

may yet hold my assent as ungrudgingly given to this point;â and he explains his point to be, that the souls of unbaptized persons have a claim to paradise as a temporary gift; and in this class he mentions the dying thief and Dinocrates, as if he were prescribing, or rather prejudging, their destination; moreover, in the resurrection, he will have them transferred to a better provision, even making them receive the reward of the kingdom of heaven. âAlthough,â says he, âthis is opposed to the sentence of the Prince.â Now, do you, my brother, I pray you, seriously consider this question: What sentence of the Prince shall that man deserve to have passed upon him, who imposes on any person an assent of his own which runs counter to the authority of the Prince Himself?

Chapter 17.âDisobedient Compassion and Compassionate Disobedience Reprobated. Martyrdom in Lieu of Baptism.

The new-fangled Pelagian heretics have been most justly condemned by the authority of catholic councils and of the Apostolic See, on the ground of their having dared to give to unbaptized infants a place of rest and salvation, even apart from the kingdom of heaven. This they would not have dared to do, if they did not deny their having original sin, and the need of its remission by the sacrament of baptism. This man, however, professes the catholic belief on this point, admitting that infants are tied in the bonds of original sin, and yet he releases them from these bonds without the laver of regeneration, and after death, in his compassion, he admits them into paradise; while, with a still ampler compassion, he introduces them after the resurrection even to the kingdom of heaven. Such compassion did Saul see fit to assume when he spared the king whom God commanded to be slain;[2421]2420 deservedly, however, was his disobedient compassion, or (if you prefer it) his compassionate disobedience, reprobated and condemned, that man may be on his guard against extending mercy to his fellow-man, in opposition to the sentence of Him by whom man was made. Truth, by the mouth of Itself incarnate, proclaims as if in a voice of thunder: aExcept a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.â[2422]2421 And in order to except martyrs from this sentence, to whose lot it has fallen to be slain for the name of Christ before being washed in the baptism of Christ. He says in another passage, alle that loseth his life for my sake shall find it.â[2423]2422 And so far from promising the abolition of original sin to any one who has not been regenerated in the laver of Christian faith, the apostle exclaims, aBy the offence of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation.â[2424]2423 And as a counterbalance against this condemnation, the Lord exhibits the help of His salvation alone, saying, alle that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned.a[2425]2424 Now the mystery of this believing in the case of infants is completely effected by the response of the sureties by whom they are taken to baptism; and unless this be effected, they all pass by the offence of one into condemnation. And yet, in opposition to such clear declarations uttered by the Truth, forth marches before all men a vanity which is more foolish than pitiful, and says: Not only do infants not pass into condemnation, though no laver of Christian faith absolves them from the chain of original sin, but they even after

death have an intermediate enjoyment of the felicities of paradise, and after the resurrection they shall possess even the happiness of the kingdom of heaven. Now, would this man dare to say all this in opposition to the firmly-established catholic faith, if he had not presumptuously undertaken to solve a question which transcends his powers touching the origin of the soul?

Chapter 18 [XIII.] aVictoras Dilemma and Fall.

For he is hemmed in within terrible straits by those who make the natural inquiry: aWhy has God visited on the soul so unjust a punishment as to have willed to relegate it into a body of sin, since by its consorting with the flesh that began to be sinful, which else could not have been sinful?â For, of course, they say: âThe soul could not have been sinful, if God had not commingled it in the participation of sinful flesh.â Well, this opponent of mine was unable to discover the justice of Godâs doing this, especially in consequence of the eternal damnation of infants who die without the remission of original sin by baptism; and his inability was equally great in finding out why the good and righteous God both bound the souls of infants, who He foresaw would derive no advantage from the sacrament of Christian grace, with the chain of original sin, by sending them into the body which they derive from Adam, athe souls themselves being free from all taint of propagation, and by this means also made them amenable to eternal damnation. No less was he unwilling to admit that these very souls likewise derived their sinful origin from that one primeval soul. And so he preferred escaping by a miserable shipwreck of faith, rather than to furl his sails and steady his oars, in the voyage of his controversy, and by such prudent counsel check the fatal rashness of his course. Worthless in his youthful eye was our aged caution; just as if this most troublesome and perilous question of his was more in need of a torrent of eloquence than the counsel of prudence. And this was foreseen even by himself, but to no purpose; for, as if to set forth the points which were objected to him by his opponents, he says: âAfter them other reproachful censures are added to the guerulous murmurings of those who rail against us; and, as if tossed about in a whirlwind, we are dashed repeatedly among huge rocks.â After saying this, he propounded for himself the very dangerous question, which we have already treated, wherein he has wrecked the catholic faith, unless by a real repentance he shall have repaired the faith which he had shattered. That whirlwind and those rocks I have myself avoided, unwilling to entrust my frail barque to their dangers; and when writing on this subject I have expressed myself in such a way as rather to explain the grounds of my hesitancy. than to exhibit the rashness of presumption.[2426]2425 This little work of mine excited his derision, when he met with it at your house, and in utter recklessness he flung himself upon the reef: he showed more spirit than wisdom in his conduct. To what lengths, however, that over-confidence of his led him, I suppose that you can now yourself perceive. But I give heartier thanks to God, since you even before this descried it. For all the while he was refusing to check his headlong career, when the issue of his course was still in doubt, he alighted on his miserable enterprise, and maintained that God, in the case of infants who died without Christian regeneration, conferred

upon them paradise at once, and ultimately the kingdom of heaven.

Chapter 19 [XIV.] âVictor Relies on Ambiguous Scriptures.

The passages of Scripture, indeed, which he has adduced in the attempt to prove from them that God did not derive human souls by propagation from the primitive soul, but as in that first instance that He formed them by breathing them into each individual, are so uncertain and ambiguous, that they can with the utmost facility be taken in a different sense from that which he would assign to them. This point I have already demonstrated [2427]2426 with sufficient clearness, I think in the book which I addressed to that friend o ours, of whom I have made mention above. The passages which he has used for his proofs inform us that God gives, or makes, or fashion menâs souls; but whence He gives them, or of what He makes or fashions them, they tell us nothing: they leave untouched the question whether it be by propagation from the first soul or by insufflation, like the first soul. This writer however, simply because he reads that God agivetha souls.[2428]2427 ahath madea souls, aformetha souls, supposes that these phrases amount to a denial of the propagation of souls; whereas, by the testimony of the same scripture, God gives men their bodies, or makes them, or fashions and forms them; although no one doubts that the said bodies are given, made, and formed by Him by seminal propagation.

Chapter 20.âVictor Quotes Scriptures for Their Silence, and Neglects the Biblical Usage.

As for the passage which affirms that aGod hath made of one blood all nations of men, a[2429]2428 and that in which Adam says, aThis is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh, a[2430]2429 in asmuch as it is not said in the one, aof one soul, and in the other, asoul of my soul, a he supposes that it is denied that children as souls come from their parents, or the first womanas from her husband just as if, forsooth, had the sentence run in the way suggested, aof one soul, a instead of a of one blood, a anything else than the whole human being could be understood, without any denial of the propagation of the body. So likewise, if it had been said, asoul of my soul, a the flesh would not be denied, of course, which evidently had been taken out of the man. Constantly does Holy Scripture indicate the whole by a part, and a part by the whole. For certainly, if in the passage which this man has guoted as his proof it had been said that the human race had been made, not aof one blood, a but aof one man, a it could not have prejudiced the opinion of those who deny the propagation of souls. although man is not soul alone, nor only flesh, but both. For they would have their answer ready to this effect, that the Scripture here might have meant to indicate a part by the whole, that is to say, the flesh only by the entire human being. In like manner, they who maintain the propagation of souls contend that in the passage where it is said, aof one blood, a the human being is implied by the term âblood,â on the principle of the whole being expressed by a part. For just as the one party seems to be assisted by the expression, aof one blood, a instead of the phrase, a of one man, a so the other side evidently gets countenance from the statement being so plainly

written, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for in him all sinned, a[2431]2430 instead of its being said, ain whom the flesh of all sinned.a Similarly, as one party seems to receive assistance from the fact that Scripture says, a This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh, a on the ground that a part covers the whole; so, again, the other side derives some advantage from what is written in the immediate sequel of the passage, aShe shall be called woman, because she was taken out of her husband.â For, according to their contention, the latter clause should have run, âBecause her flesh was taken out of her husband, â if it was not true that the entire woman, soul and all, but only her flesh, was taken out of man. The fact, however, of the whole matter is simply this, that after hearing both sides, anybody whose judgment is free from party prejudice sees at once that loose guotation is unavailing in this controversy; for against one party, which maintains the opinion of the propagation of souls, those passages must not be adduced which mention only a part, inasmuch as the Scripture might mean by the part to imply the whole in all such passages; as, for instance, when we read, a The Word was made flesh, a [2432]2431 we of course understand not the flesh only, but the entire human being; nor against the other party, who deny this doctrine of the soulas propagation, is it of any avail to quote those passages which do not mention a part of the human being, but the whole; because in these the Scripture might possibly mean to imply a part by the whole; as we confess that Christ was buried, whereas it was only His flesh that was laid in the sepulchre. We therefore say, that on such grounds there is no ground on the one hand for rashly constructing, nor on the other hand for, with equal rashness, demolishing the theory of propagation; but we add this advice, that other passages be duly looked out, such as admit of no ambiguity.[2433]2432

Chapter 21 [XV.] âVictor âs Perplexity and Failure.

For these reasons I fail thus far to discover what this instructor has taught you, and what grounds you have for the gratitude you have lavished upon him. For the question remains just as it was, which inquires about the origin of souls, whether God gives, forms, and makes them for men by propagating them from that one soul which He breathed into the first man, or whether it is by His own inbreathing that He does this in every case, as He did for the first man. For that God does form, and make, and bestow souls on men, the Christian faith does not hesitate to aver. Now, when this person endeavoured to solve the question without gauging his own resources, by denying the propagation of souls, and asserting that the Creator inbreathed them into men pure from all contagion of sin, anot out of nothing, but out of Himself, aHe dishonoured the very nature of God by opprobriously attributing mutability to it, an imputation which was necessarily untenable. Then, desirous of avoiding all implication which might lead to Godâs being deemed unrighteous, if He ties with the bond of original sin souls which are pure of all actual sin, although not redeemed by Christian regeneration, he has given utterance to words and sentiments which I only wish he had not taught you. For he has accorded to unbaptized infants such happiness and salvation as even the Pelagian heresy could not have ventured on doing. And yet for all

this, when the question touches the many thousands of infants who are born of the ungodly, and die among the ungodly, al do not mean those whom charitable persons are unable to assist by baptism, however desirous of doing so, but those of whose baptism nobody either has been able or shall be able to think, and for whom no one has offered or is likely to offer the sacrifice which, as this instructor of yours thought, ought to be offered even for those who have not been baptized, [2434]2433 ahe has discovered no means of solving it. If he were guestioned concerning them, what their souls deserved that God should involve them in sinful flesh to incur eternal damnation, never to be washed in the laver of baptism, nor atoned for by the sacrifice of Christâs body and blood, he will then either feel himself at an utter loss, and so will regard our hesitation with a real, though tardy favour; or else will determine that Christâs body must be offered for all those infants which all the world over die without Christian baptism (their names having been never heard of, since they are unknown in the Church of Christ), although not incorporated into the body of Christ.

Chapter 22 [XVI.] âPeterâs Responsibility in the Case of Victor.

Far be it from you, my brother, that such views should be pleasant to you, or that you should either feel pleasure in having acquired them, or presume ever to teach them. Otherwise, even he would be a far better man than yourself. Because at the commencement of his first book he has prefixed the following modest and humble preface: aThough I desire to comply with your request, I am only affording a clear proof of my presumption.â And a little further on he says, [2435]2434 âlnasmuch as I am, indeed, by no means confident of being able to prove what I may have advanced; and moreover I should always be anxious not to insist on any opinion of my own, if it is found to be an improbable one; and it would be my hearty desire, in case my own judgment is condemned, earnestly to follow better and truer views. For as it shows evidence of the best intention, and a laudable purpose, to permit yourself to be easily led to truer views of a subject; so it betokens an obstinate and depraved mind to refuse to turn quickly aside into the pathway of reason. a Now, as he said all this sincerely, and still feels as he spoke, he no doubt entertains a very hopeful feeling about a right issue. In similar strain he concludes his second book: aYou must not think, a says he, athat there is any chance of its ever recoiling invidiously against you, that I constitute you the judge of my words. And lest by chance the sharp eye of some inquisitive reader may have opportunity of turning up and encountering any possible vestiges of elemental error which may be left behind on my illegal sheets, I beg you to tear up page after page with unsparing hand, if need be; and after expending on me your critical censure, punish me further, by smearing out the very ink which has given form to my worthless words; so that, having your full opportunity, you may prevent all ridicule, on the score either of the favourable opinion you so strongly entertain of me, or of the inaccuracies which lurk in my writings.â

Chapter 23 [XVII.]âWho They are that are Not Injured by Reading Injurious Books.

Forasmuch, then, as he has both commenced and terminated his books with such safeguards, and has placed on your shoulders the religious burden of their correction and emendation, I only trust that he may find in you all that he has asked you for, that you may acorrect him righteously in mercy, and reprove him; whilst the oil of the sinner which anoints his heada[2436]2435 is absent from your hands and eyes, aeven the indecent compliance of the flatterer, and the deceitful leniency of the sycophant. If, however, you decline to apply correction when you see anything to amend, you offend against love; but if he does not appear to you to require correction, because you think him to be right in his opinions, then you are wise against truth. He, therefore, is a better man (since he is only too ready to be corrected, if a true censurer be at hand) than yourself, if either knowing him to be in error you despise him with derision, or ignorant of his wandering course you at the same time closely follow his error. Everything, therefore, which you find in the books that he has addressed and forwarded to you, I beg you to consider with sobriety and vigilance; and you will perhaps make fuller discoveries than I have myself of statements which deserve to be censured. And as for such of their contents as are worthy of praise and approbation, awhatever good you have learnt therein, and by his instruction, which perhaps you were really ignorant of before, tell us plainly what it is, that all may know that it was for this particular benefit that you expressed your obligations to him, and not for the manifold statements in his books which call for their disapproval, âall, I mean, who, like yourself, heard him read his writings, or who afterwards read the same for themselves: lest in his ornate style they may drink poison, as out of a choice goblet, at your instance, though not after your own example, because they know not precisely what it is you have drunk yourself, and what you have left untasted, and because, from your high character, they suppose that whatever is drunk out of this fountain would be for their health. For what else are hearing, and reading, and copiously depositing things in the memory, than several processes of drinking? The Lord, however, foretold concerning His faithful followers, that even aif they should drink any deadly thing, it should not hurt them.a[2437]2436 And thus it happens that they who read with judgment, and bestow their approbation on whatever is commendable according to the rule of faith. and disapprove of things which ought to be reprobated, even if they commit to their memory statements which are declared to be worthy of disapproval, they receive no harm from the poisonous and depraved nature of the sentences. To myself, through the Lordas mercy, it can never become a matter of the least regret, that, actuated by our previous love, I have given your reverend and religious self advice and warning on these points, in whatever way you may receive the admonition for which I have regarded you as possessing the first claim upon me. Abundant thanks, indeed, shall I give unto Him in whose mercy it is most salutary to put oneas trust, if this letter of mine shall either find or else make your faith both free from the depraved and erroneous opinions which I have been able herein to point out from this manâs books, and sound in catholic integrity.

Book III.

Addressed to Vincentius Victor.

Augustin points out to Vincentius Victor the corrections which he ought to make in his books concerning the origin of the soul, if he wishes to be a Catholic. Those opinions also which had been already refuted in the preceding books addressed to Renatus and Peter, Augustin briefly censures in this third book, which is written to Victor himself: moreover, he classifies them under eleven heads of error.

Chapter 1 [I.]âAugustinâs Purpose in Writing.

As to that which I have thought it my duty to write to you, my much-loved son Victor, I would have you to entertain this above all other thoughts in your mind, if I seemed to despise you, that it was certainly not my intention to do so. At the same time I must beg of you not to abuse our condescension in such a way as to suppose that you possess my approval merely because you have not my contempt. For it is not to follow, but to correct you, that I give you my love; and since I by no means despair of the possibility of your amendment, I do not want you to be surprised at my inability to despise the man who has my love. Now, since it was my bounden duty to love you before you had united with us, in order that you might become a catholic; how much more ought I now to love you since your union with us, to prevent your becoming a new heretic, and that you may become so firm a catholic that no heretic may be able to withstand you! So far as appears from the mental endowments which God has largely bestowed upon you, you would be undoubtedly a wise man if you only did not believe that you were one already, and begged of Him who maketh men wise, with a pious, humble, and earnest prayer, that you might become one, and preferred not to be led astray with error rather than to be honoured with the flattery of those who go astray.

Chapter 2 [II.]âWhy Victor Assumed the Name of Vincentius. The Names of Evil Men Ought Never to Be Assumed by Other Persons.

The first thing which caused me some anxiety about you was the title which appeared in your books with your name: for on inquiring of those who knew you, and were probably your associates in opinion, who Vincentius Victor was, I found that you had been a Donatist, or rather a Rogatist, but had lately come into communion with the catholic Church. Now, while I was rejoicing, as one naturally does at the recovery of those whom he sees rescued from that system of error.âand in your case my joy was all the greater because I saw that your ability, which so much delighted me in your writings, had not remained behind with the enemies of truth, âadditional information was given me by your friends which caused me sorrow amid my joy, to the effect that you wished to have the name Vincentius prefixed to your own name, inasmuch as you still held in affectionate regard the successor of Rogatus, who bore this name, as a great and holy man, and that for this reason you wished his name to become your surname. Some persons also told me that you had, moreover, boasted about his having appeared in some sort of a vision to you, and assisted you in composing those

books the subject of which I have discussed with you in this small work of mine, and to such an extent as to dictate to you himself the precise topics and arguments which you were to write about. Now, if all this be true, I no longer wonder at your having been able to make those statements which, if you will only lend a patient ear to my admonition, and with the attention of a catholic duly consider and weigh those books, you will undoubtedly come to regret having ever advanced. For he who, according to the apostleas portrait, atransforms himself into an angel of light, a[2438]2437 has transformed himself before you into a shape which you believe to have been, or still to be, an angel of light. In this way, indeed, he is less able to deceive catholics when his transformations are not into angels of light, but into heretics; now, however, that you are a catholic, I should be sorry for you to be beguiled by him. He will certainly feel torture at your having learnt the truth, and so much the more in proportion to the pleasure he formerly experienced in having persuaded you to believe error. With a view, however, to your refraining from loving a dead person, when the love can neither be serviceable to yourself nor profitable to him, I advise you to consider for a moment this one pointâthat he is not, of course, a just and holy man, since you withdrew yourself from the snares of the Donatists or Rogatists on the score of their heresy; but if you do think him to be just and holy, you ruin yourself by holding communion with catholics. You are, indeed, only feigning yourself a catholic if you are in mind the same as he was on whom you bestow your love; and you are aware how terribly the Scripture has spoken on this subject: a The Holy Spirit of discipline will flee from the man who feigns. a[2439]2438 lf, however, you are sincere in communicating with us, and do not merely pretend to be a catholic, how is it that you still love a dead man to such a degree as to be willing even now to boast of the name of one in whose errors you no longer permit yourself to be held? We really do not like your having such a surname, as if you were the monument of a dead heretic. Nor do we like your book to have such a title as we should say was a false one if we read it on his tomb. For we are sure Vincentius is not Victor, the conqueror, but Victus, the conquered; amay it be, however, with fruitful effect, even as we wish you to be conquered by the truth! And yet your thought was an astute and skilful one, when you designated the books, which you wish us to suppose were dictated to you by his inspiration, by the name of Vincentius Victor; as much as to intimate that it was rather he than you who wished to be designated by the victorious appellation, as having been himself the conqueror of error, by revealing to you what were to be the contents of your written treatise. But of what avail is all this to you, my son? Be, I pray you, a true catholic, not a feigned one, lest the Holy Spirit should flee from you, and that Vincentius be unable to profit you at all, into whom the most malignant spirit of error has transformed himself for the purpose of deceiving you; for it is from that one that all these evil opinions have proceeded, notwithstanding the artful fraud which has persuaded you to the contrary. If this admonition shall only induce you to correct these errors with the humility of a God-fearing man and the peaceful submission of a catholic, they will be regarded as the mistakes of an over-zealous young man, who is eager rather to amend them than to persevere in them. But if he shall have by his influence

prevailed on you to contend for these opinions with obstinate perseverance, which God forbid, it will in such a case be necessary to condemn them and their author as heretical, as is required by the pastoral and remedial nature of the Churchâs charge, to check the dire contagion before it quietly spreads through the heedless masses, while wholesome correction is neglected, under the name but without the reality of love.

Chapter 3 [III.]âHe Enumerates the Errors Which He Desires to Have Amended in the Books of Vincentius Victor. The First Error.

If you ask me what the particular errors are, you may read what I have written to our brethren, that servant of God Renatus, and the presbyter Peter, to the latter of whom you yourself thought it necessary to write the very works of which we are now treating, âin obedience, as you allege, ato his own wish and request. Now, they will, I doubt not, lend you my treatises for your perusal if you should like it, and even press them upon your attention without being asked. But be that as it may, I will not miss this present opportunity of informing you what amendments I desire to have made in these writings of yours, as well as in your belief. The first is, that you will have it that aThe soul was not so made by God that He made it out of nothing, but out of His own very self.â[2440]2439 Here you do not reflect what the necessary conclusion is, that the soul must be of the nature of God; and you know very well, of course, how impious such an opinion is. Now, to avoid such implety as this, you ought so to say that God is the Author of the soul as that it was made by Him, but not of Him. For whatever is of Him (as, for instance, His only-begotten Son) is of the self-same nature as Himself. But, that the soul might not be of the same nature as its Creator, it was made by Him, but not of Him. Or, then, tell me whence it is, or else confess that it is of nothing. What do you mean by that expression of yours, a That it is a certain particle of an exhalation from the nature of Goda? Do you mean to say, then, that the exhalation [2441]2440 itself from the nature of God, to which the particle in guestion belongs, is not of the same nature as God is Himself? If this be your meaning, then God made out of nothing that exhalation of which you will have the soul to be a particle. Or, if not out of nothing, pray tell me of what God made it? If He made it out of Himself, it follows that He is Himself (what should never be affirmed) the material of which His own work is formed. But you go on to say: aWhen however, He made the exhalation or breath out of Himself, He remained at the same time whole and entire; a just as if the light of a candle did not also remain entire when another candle is lighted from it, and yet be of the same nature, and not another.

Chapter 4 [IV.]âVictorâs Simile to Show that God Can Create by Breathing Without Impartation of His Substance.

âBut,â you say, âwhen we inflate a bag, no portion of our nature or quality is poured into the bag, while the very breath, by the current of which the filled bag is extended, is emitted from us without the least diminution of ourselves.â Now, you enlarge and dwell upon these words of yours, and inculcate the simile as necessary for our understanding how it is that God, without any injury to His own nature, makes the soul out of His own self, and how, when it is thus made out of Himself, it is not what Himself is. For you ask: als this inflation of the bag a portion of our own soul? Or do we create human beings when we inflate bags? Or do we suffer any injury in anything at all when we impart our breath by inflation on diverse things? But we suffer no injury when we transfer breath from ourselves to anything, nor do we ever remember experiencing any damage to ourselves from inflating a bag, the full guality and entire guantity of our breath remaining in us notwithstanding the process.â Now, however elegant and applicable this simile seems to you, I beg you to consider how greatly it misleads you. For you affirm that the incorporeal God breathes out a corporeal soul, anot made out of nothing, but out of Himself, awhereas the breath which we ourselves emit is corporeal, although of a more subtle nature than our bodies; nor do we exhale it out of our soul, but out of the air through internal functions in our bodily structure. Our lungs, like a pair of bellows, are moved by the soul (at the command of which also the other members of the body are moved), for the purpose of inhaling and exhaling the atmospheric air. For, besides the aliments, solid or fluid, which constitute our meat and drink, God has surrounded us with this third aliment of the atmosphere which we breathe; and that with so good effect, that we can live for some time without meat and drink, but we could not possibly subsist for a moment without this third aliment, which the air, surrounding us on all sides, supplies us with as we breathe and respire. And as our meat and drink have to be not only introduced into the body, but also to be expelled by passages formed for the purpose, to prevent injury accruing either way (from either not entering or not guitting the body); so this third airy aliment (not being permitted to remain within us, and thus not becoming corrupt by delay, but being expelled as soon as it is introduced) has been furnished, not with different, but with the self-same channels both for its entrance and for its exit, even the mouth, or the nostrils, or both together.

Chapter 5.âExamination of Victorâs Simile: Does Man Give Out Nothing by Breathing?

Prove now yourself what I say, for your own satisfaction in your own case: emit breath by exhalation, and see whether you can continue long without catching back your breath; then again catch it back by inhalation, and see what discomfort you experience unless you again emit it. Now, when we inflate a bag, as you prescribe, we do, in fact, the same thing which we do to maintain life, except that in the case of the artificial experiment our inhalation is somewhat stronger, in order that we may emit a stronger breath, so as to fill and distend the bag by compressing the air we blow into it, rather in the manner of a hard puff than of the gentle process of ordinary breathing and respiration. On what ground, then, do you say, aWe suffer no injury whenever we transfer breath from ourselves to any object, nor do we ever remember experiencing any damage to ourselves from inflating a bag, the full quality and entire quantity of our own breath remaining in us notwithstanding the processa? It is very plain, my son, if ever you have inflated a bag, that you did not carefully observe your own performance. For you do not perceive what you lose by the act of

inflation by reason of the immediate recovery of your breath. But you can learn all this with the greatest ease if you would simply prefer doing so to stiffly maintaining your own statements for no other reason than because you have made themanot inflating the bag, but inflated yourself to the full, and inflating your hearers (whom you should rather edify and instruct by veritable facts) with the empty prattle of your turgid discourse. In the present case I do not send you to any other teacher than your own self. Breathe, then, a good breath into the bag; shut your mouth instantly, hold tight your nostrils, and in this way discover the truth of what I say to you. For when you begin to suffer the intolerable inconvenience which accompanies the experiment, what is it you wish to recover by opening your mouth and releasing your nostrils? Surely there would be nothing to recover if your supposition be a correct one, that you have lost nothing whenever you breathe. Observe what a plight you would be in, if by inhalation you did not regain what you had parted with by your breathing outwards. See, too, what loss and injury the insufflation would produce, were it not for the repair and reaction caused by respiration. For unless the breath which you expend in filling the bag should all return by the re-opened channel to discharge its function of nourishing yourself, what, I wonder, would be left remaining to you, al will not say to inflate another bag, but to supply your very means of living?

Chapter 6.âThe Simile Reformed in Accordance with Truth.

Well, now, you ought to have thought of all this when you were writing, and not to have brought God before our eyes in that favourite simile of yours, of inflated and inflateable bags, breathing forth souls out of some other nature which was already in existence, just as we ourselves make our breath from the air which surrounds us; or certainly you should not, in a manner which is really as diverse from your similitude as it is abundant in impiety, have represented God as either producing some changeable thing without injury, indeed, to Himself, but yet out of His own substance; or what is worse, creating it in such wise as to be Himself the material of His own work. If, however, we are to employ a similitude drawn from our breathing which shall suitably illustrate this subject, the following one is more credible: Just as we, whenever we breathe, make a breath, not out of our own nature, but, because we are not omnipotent, out of that air that surrounds us, which we inhale and discharge whenever we breathe and respire; and the said breath is neither living nor sentient, although we are ourselves living and sentient; so God cananot, indeed, out of His own nature, but (as being so omnipotent as to be able to create whatever He wills) even out of that which has no existence at all, that is to say, out of nothingâmake a breath that is living and sentient, but evidently mutable, though He be Himself immutable.

Chapter 7 [V.]âVictor Apparently Gives the Creative Breath to Man Also.

But what is the meaning of that, which you have thought proper to add to this simile, with regard to the example of the blessed Elisha because he raised the dead by breathing into his face?[2442]2441 Now, do you really suppose that Elishaâs breath was made the soul of the child? I could not believe that even you could stray so far away from the truth. If, now, that soul which was taken from the living child so as to cause his death, was itself afterwards restored to him so as to cause his restoration to life: where, I ask, is the pertinence of your remark when you say athat no diminution accrued to Elisha, as if it could be imagined that anything had been transferred from the prophet to the child to cause his revival? But if you meant no more than that the prophet breathed and remained entire, where was the necessity for your saying that of Elisha, when raising the dead child, which you might with no less propriety say of any one whatever when emitting a breath, and reviving no one? Then, again, you spoke unadvisedly (though God forbid that you should believe the breath of Elisha to have become the soul of the resuscitated child!) when you intimated your meaning to be a desire to keep separate what was first done by God from this that was done by the prophet, in that the One breathed but once, and the other thrice. These are your words: âElisha breathed into the face of the deceased child of the Shunammite, after the manner of the original creation. And when by the prophetas breathing a divine force inspired the dead limbs, reanimated to their original vigour, no diminution accrued to Elisha, through whose breathing the dead body recovered its revived soul and spirit. Only there is this difference, the Lord breathed but once into manas face and he lived, while Elisha breathed three times into the face of the dead and he lived again.â Thus your words sound as if the number of the breathings alone made all the difference, why we should not believe that the prophet actually did what God did. This statement, then, requires to be entirely revised. There was so complete a difference between that work of God and this of Elisha, that the former breathed the breath of life whereby man became a living soul, and the latter breathed a breath which was not itself sentient nor endued with life, but was figurative for the sake of some signification. The prophet did not really cause the child to live again by giving him life, but he procured Godâs doing that by giving him love. [2443]2442 As to what you allege, that he breathed three times, either your memory, as often happens, or a faulty reading of the text, must have misled you. Why need I enlarge? You ought not to be seeking for examples and arguments to establish your point, but rather to amend and change your opinion. I beg of you neither to believe, nor to say, nor to teach athat God made the human soul not out of nothing, but out of His own substance, â if you wish to be a catholic.

Chapter 8 [VI.]âVictorâs Second Error. (See Above in Book I. 26 [XVI.].)

À Do not, I pray you, believe, say, or teach that âThus is God ever giving souls through infinite time, just as He who gives is Himself ever existent,â if you wish to be a catholic. For a time will come when God will not give souls, although He will not therefore Himself cease to exist. Your phrase, âis ever giving,â might be understood âto give without cessation,â so long as men are born and get offspring, even as it is said of certain men that they are âever learning, and never coming to the knowledge of the truth.â[2444]2443 For this term âeverâ is not in this passage taken to mean ânever ceasing to learn,â inasmuch as they do cease to learn when they have ceased to exist in this body, or have begun to suffer the fiery pains of hell. You, however, did not allow your word to be understood in this sense when you said âis ever giving,â since you thought that it must be applied to infinite time. And even this was a small matter; for, as if you had been asked to explain your phrase, âever giving,â more explicitly, you went on to say, âjust as He is Himself ever existent who gives.â This assertion the sound and catholic faith utterly condemns. For be it far from us to believe that God is ever giving souls, just as He is Himself, who gives them, ever existent. He is Himself ever existent in such a sense as never to cease to exist; souls, however, He will not be ever giving; but He will beyond doubt cease to give them when the age of generation ceases, and children are no longer born to whom they are to be given.

Chapter 9 [VII.]âHis Third Error. (See Above in Book II. 11 [VII.].)

Again, do not, I pray you, believe, say, or teach that athe soul deservedly lost something by the flesh, although it was of good merit previous to the flesh, a if you wish to be a catholic. For the apostle declares that achildren who are not yet born, have done neither good nor evil.â[2445]2444 How, therefore, could their soul, previous to its participation of flesh, have had anything like good desert, if it had not done any good thing? Will you by any chance venture to assert that it had, previous to the flesh, lived a good life, when you cannot actually prove to us that it even existed at all? How, then, can you say: âYou will not allow that the soul contracts health from the sinful flesh; and to this holy state, then, you can see it in due course pass, with the view of amending its condition, through that very flesh by which it had lost meritâ? Perhaps you are not aware that these opinions, which attribute to the human soul a good state and a good merit previous to the flesh, have been already condemned by the catholic Church, not only in the case of some ancient heretics, whom I do not here mention, but also more recently in the instance of the Priscillianists.

Chapter 10.âHis Fourth Error. (See Above in Book I. 6 [VI.] and Book II. 11 [VII.].)

Neither believe, nor say, nor teach that âthe soul, by means of the flesh, repairs its ancient condition, and is born again by the very means through which it had deserved to be polluted,â if you wish to be a catholic. I might, indeed, dwell upon the strange discrepancy with your own self which you have exhibited in the next sentence, wherein you said that the soul through the flesh deservedly recovers its primitive condition, which it had seemed to have gradually lost through the flesh, in order that it may begin to be regenerated by the very flesh through which it had deserved to be polluted.â Here youâthe very man who had just before said that the soul repairs its condition through the flesh, by reason of which it had lost its desert (where nothing but good desert can be meant, which you will have to be recovered in the flesh, by baptism, of course)âsaid in another turn of your thought, that through the flesh the soul had deserved to be polluted (in which statement it is no longer the good desert, but an evil one, which must be meant). What flagrant inconsistency! but I will pass it over, and content myself with observing, that it is absolutely uncatholic to believe that the soul, previous to its incarnate state, deserved either good or evil.

Chapter 11 [VIII.]âHis Fifth Error. (See Above in Book I. 8 [VIII.] and Book II. 12 [VIII.].)

Neither believe, nor say, nor teach, if you wish to be a catholic, that athe soul deserved to be sinful before any sin.a It is, to be sure, an extremely bad desert to have deserved to be sinful. And, of course, it could not possibly have incurred so bad a desert previous to any sin, especially prior to its coming into the flesh, when it could have possessed no merit either way, either evil or good. How, then, can you say: alf, therefore, the soul, which could not be sinful, deserved to be sinful, it yet did not remain in sin, because as it was prefigured in Christ it was bound not to be in a sinful state, even as it was unable to beâ? Now, just for a little consider what it is you say, and desist from repeating such a statement. How did the soul deserve, and how was it unable, to be sinful? How, I pray you tell me, did that deserve to be sinful which never lived sinfully? How, I ask again, was that made sinful which was not able to be sinful? Or else, if you mean your phrase, awas unable, a to imply inability apart from the flesh, how in that case did the soul deserve to be sinful, and by reason of what desert was it sent into the flesh, when previous to its union with the flesh it was not able to be sinful, so as to deserve any evil at all?

Chapter 12 [IX.]âHis Sixth Error. (See Above in Book I. 10-12 [IX., X.], and in Book II. 13, 14 [IX., X.].)

If you wish to be a catholic, refrain from believing, or saying, or teaching that ainfants which are forestalled by death before they are baptized may yet attain to forgiveness of their original sins.â For the examples by which you are misledathat of the thief who confessed the Lord upon the cross, or that of Dinocrates the brother of St. Perpetuaâcontribute no help to you in defence of this erroneous opinion. As for the thief, although in Godâs judgment he might be reckoned among those who are purified by the confession of martyrdom. yet you cannot tell whether he was not baptized. For, to say nothing of the opinion that he might have been sprinkled with the water which gushed at the same time with the blood out of the Lordas side, [2446]2445 as he hung on the cross next to Him, and thus have been washed with a baptism of the most sacred kind, what if he had been baptized in prison, as in after times some under persecution were enabled privately to obtain? or what if he had been baptized previous to his imprisonment? If, indeed, he had been, the remission of his sins which he would have received in that case from God would not have protected him from the sentence of public law, so far as appertained to the death of the body. What if, being already baptized, he had committed the crime and incurred the punishment of robbery and lawlessness, but yet received, by virtue of repentance added to his baptism, forgiveness of the sins which, though baptized, he had committed? For beyond doubt his faith and piety appeared to the Lord

clearly in his heart, as they do to us in his words. If, indeed, we were to conclude that all those who have guitted life without a record of their baptism died unbaptized, we should calumniate the very apostles themselves; for we are ignorant when they were, any of them, baptized, except the Apostle Paul. [2447]2446 If, however, we could regard as an evidence that they were really baptized the circumstance of the Lordâs saying to St. Peter, âHe that is washed needeth not save to wash his feet, a[2448]2447 what are we to think of the others, of whom we do not read even so much as this, aBarnabas, Timothy, Titus, Silas, Philemon, the very evangelists Mark and Luke, and innumerable others, about whose baptism God forbid that we should entertain any doubt, although we read no record of it? As for Dinocrates, he was a child of seven years of age; and as children who are baptized so old as that can now recite the creed and answer for themselves in the usual examination. I know not why he may not be supposed after his baptism to have been recalled by his unbelieving father to the sacrilege and profanity of heathen worship, and for this reason to have been condemned to the pains from which he was liberated at his sisteras intercession. For in the account of him you have never read, either that he was never a Christian, or died a catechumen. But for the matter of that, the account itself that we have of him does not occur in that canon of Holy Scripture whence in all questions of this kind our proofs ought always to be drawn.

Chapter 13 [X]âHis Seventh Error. (See Above in Book II. 13 [IX.].)

If you wish to be a catholic, do not venture to believe, to say, or to teach that athey whom the Lord has predestinated for baptism can be snatched away from his predestination, or die before that has been accomplished in them which the Almighty has predestined.â There is in such a dogma more power than I can tell assigned to chances in opposition to the power of God, by the occurrence of which casualties that which He has predestinated is not permitted to come to pass. It is hardly necessary to spend time or earnest words in cautioning the man who takes up with this error against the absolute vortex of confusion into which it will absorb him, when I shall sufficiently meet the case if I briefly warn the prudent man who is ready to receive correction against the threatening mischief. Now these are vour words: aWe say that some such method as this must be had recourse to in the case of infants who, being predestinated for baptism, are yet, by the failing of this life, hurried away before they are born again in Christ.â Is it then really true that any who have been predestinated to baptism are forestalled before they come to it by the failing of this life? And could God predestinate anything which He either in His foreknowledge saw would not come to pass, or in ignorance knew not that it could not come to pass, either to the frustration of His purpose or the discredit of His foreknowledge? You see how many weighty remarks might be made on this subject; but I am restrained by the fact of having treated on it a little while ago, so that I content myself with this brief and passing admonition.

Chapter 14. âHis Eighth Error. (See Above in Book II. 13 [IX.].)

Refuse, if you wish to be a catholic, to believe, or to say, or to

teach that âit is of infants, who are forestalled by death before they are born again in Christ, that the Scripture says, a Speedily was he taken away, lest that wickedness should alter his understanding, or deceit beguile his soul. Therefore God hastened to take him away from among the wicked: for his soul pleased the Lord: and being made perfect in a short time he fulfilled long seasons.ââ[2449]2448 For this passage has nothing to do with those to whom you apply it, but rather belongs to those who, after they have been baptized and have progressed in pious living, are not permitted to tarry long on earth, a having been made perfect, not with years, but with the grace of heavenly wisdom. This error however, of yours, by which you think that this scripture was spoken of infants who die unbaptized, does an intolerable wrong to the holy laver itself, if an infant, who could have been âhurried awayâ after baptism, has been âhurried awayâ before this, for this reason:ââlest wickedness should alter his understanding, or deceit beguile his soul.â As if this âwickedness,â and this âdeceit which beguiles the soul, â and changes it for the worse, if it be not before taken away, is to be believed to be in baptism itself! In a word, since his soul had pleased God, He hastened to remove him out of the midst of iniquity; and he tarried not for ever so little while, in order to fulfil in him what He had predestinated; but preferred to act in opposition to His predestined purpose, and actually hastened lest what had pleased Him so well in the unbaptized child should be exterminated by his baptism! As if the dying infant would perish in that, whither we ought to run with him in our arms in order to save him from perdition. Who, therefore, in respect of these words of the Book of Wisdom, could believe, or say, or write, or quote them as having been written concerning infants who die without baptism, if he only reflected upon them with proper consideration?

Chapter 15 [XI.] âHis Ninth Error. (See Above in Book II. 14 [X.].)

If you wish to be a catholic, I pray you, neither believe, nor say, nor teach that âthere are some mansions outside the kingdom of God which the Lord said were in His Fatherâs house.â For He does not affirm, as you have adduced his testimony, âThere are with my Father (apud Patrem meum) many mansions;â although, if He had even expressed Himself so, the mansions could hardly be supposed to have any other situation than in the house of His Father; but He plainly says, âIn my Fatherâs house are many mansions.â[2450]2449 Now, who would be so reckless as to separate some parts of Godâs house from the kingdom of God; so that, whilst the kings of the earth are found reigning, not in their house only, nor only in their own country, but far and wide, even in regions across the sea, the King who made the heaven and the earth is not described as reigning even over all His own house?

Chapter 16.âGod Rules Everywhere: and Yet the âKingdom of Heavenâ May Not Be Everywhere.

You may, however, not improbably contend that all things, it is true, belong to the kingdom of God, because He reigns in heaven, reigns on earth, in the depths beneath, in paradise, in hell (for where does He not reign, since His power is everywhere supreme?); but that the kingdom of heaven is one thing, into which none are permitted to enter, according to the Lordâs own true and settled sentence, unless they are washed in the laver of regeneration, while quite another thing is the kingdom over the earth, or over any other parts of creation, in which there may be some mansions of Godâs house; but these, although appertaining to the kingdom of God, belong not to that kingdom of heaven where Godâs kingdom exists with an especial excellence and blessedness; and that it hence happens that, while no parts and mansions of Godâs house can be rudely separated from the kingdom of God, yet not all the mansions are prepared in the kingdom of heaven; and still, even in the abodes which are not situated in the kingdom of heaven, those may live happily, to whom, if they are even unbaptized, God has willed to assign such habitations. They are no doubt in the kingdom of God, although (as not having been baptized) they cannot possibly be in the kingdom of heaven.

Chapter 17.âWhere the Kingdom of God May Be Understood to Be.

Now, they who say this, do no doubt seem to themselves to say a good deal, because theirs is only a slight and careless view of Scripture; nor do they understand in what sense we use the phrase, akingdom of God, a when we say of it in our prayers, a Thy kingdom come; a [2451]2450 for that is called the kingdom of God, in which His whole family shall reign with Him in happiness and for ever. Now, in respect of the power which He possesses over all things, he is of course even now reigning. What, therefore, do we intend when we pray that His kingdom may come unless that we may deserve to reign with Him? But even they will be under His power who shall have to suffer the pains of eternal fire. Well, then, do we mean to predicate of these unhappy beings that they too will be in the kingdom of God? Surely it is one thing to be honoured with the gifts and privileges of the kingdom of God, and another thing to be restrained and punished by the laws of the same. However, that you may have a very manifest proof that on the one hand the kingdom of heaven must not be parcelled out to the baptized, and other portions of the kingdom of God be given to the unbaptized, as you seem to have determined, I beg of you to hear the Lordâs own words; He does not say, aExcept a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom or heaven; a but His words are, and cannot enter into the kingdom of God.a His discourse with Nicodemus on the subject before us runs thus: aVerily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God.â Observe, He does not here say, the kingdom of heaven, but the kingdom of God. And then, on Nicodemus asking Him in reply, âHow can a man be born when he is old? can he enter the second time into his motherâs womb and be born?â the Lord, in explanation, repeats His former statement more plainly and openly: âVerily, verily, I say unto you, Except a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.â Observe again, He uses the same phrase, the kingdom of God, notthe kingdom of heaven.[2452]2451 It is worthy of remark, that while He varies two expressions in explaining them the second time (for after saying, aExcept a man be born again, a He interprets that by the fuller expression, a Except a man be born of water and the Spirit; and in like manner He explains, ahe cannot see, â by the completer phrase, âhe cannot enter intoâ), He yet makes

no variation here; He said âthe kingdom of Godâ the first time, and He afterwards repeated the same phrase exactly. It is not now necessary to raise and discuss the question, whether the kingdom of God and the kingdom of heaven must be understood as involving different senses, or whether only one thing is described under two designations. It is enough to find that no one can enter into the kingdom of God, except he be washed in the laver of regeneration. I suppose you perceive by this time how wide of the truth it is to separate from the kingdom of God any mansions that are placed in the house of God. And as to the idea which you have entertained that there will be found dwelling among the various mansions, which the Lord has told us abound in His Fatherâs house, some who have not been born again of water and the Spirit, I advise you, if you will permit me, not to defer amending it, in order that you may hold the catholic faith.

Chapter 18 [XII.]âHis Tenth Error. (See Above in Book I. 13 [XI.] and Book II. 15 [XI.]).

Again, if you wish to be a catholic, I pray you, neither believe, nor say, nor teach that athe sacrifice of Christians ought to be offered in behalf of those who have departed out of the body without having been baptized.â Because you fail to show that the sacrifice of the Jews, which you have quoted out of the books of the Maccabees, [2453]2452 was offered in behalf of any who had departed this life without circumcision. In this novel opinion of yours, which you have advanced against the authority and teaching of the whole Church, you have used a very arrogant mode of expression. You say, âln behalf of these, I most certainly decide that constant oblations and incessant sacrifices must be offered up on the part of the holy priests.â Here you show, as a layman, no submission to Godâs priests for instruction; nor do you associate yourself with them (the least you could do) for inquiry; but you put yourself before them by your proud assumption of judgment. Away, my son, with all this pretension; men walk not so arrogantly in the Way, which the Humble Christ taught that He Himself is. [2454]2453 No man enters through His narrow gate with so proud a disposition as this.

Chapter 19 [XIII.]âHis Eleventh Error. (See Above in Book I. 15 [XII.] and Book II. 16.)

Once more, if you desire to be a catholic, do not believe, or say, or teach that âsome of those persons who have departed this life without Christâs baptism, do not in the meantime go into the kingdom of heaven, but into paradise; yet afterwards in the resurrection of the dead they attain also to the blessedness of the kingdom of heaven.â Even the Pelagian heresy was not daring enough to grant them this, although it holds that infants do not contract original sin. You, however, as a catholic, confess that they are born in sin; and yet by some unaccountable perverseness in the novel opinion you put forth, you assert that they are absolved from that sin with which they were born, and admitted into the kingdom of heaven without the baptism which saves. Nor do you seem to be aware how much below Pelagius himself you are in your views on this point. For he, being alarmed by that sentence of the Lord which does not permit unbaptized persons to

enter into the kingdom of heaven, does not venture to send infants thither, although he believes them to be free from all sin; whereas you have so little regard for what is written, a Except a man be born again of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God, a[2455]2454 that (to say nothing of the error which induces you recklessly to sever paradise from the kingdom of God) you do not hesitate to promise to certain persons, whom you, as a catholic, believe to be born under guilt, both absolution from this guilt and the kingdom of heaven, even when they die without baptism. As if you could possibly be a true catholic because you build up the doctrine of original sin against Pelagius, if you show yourself a new heretic against the Lord, by pulling down His statement respecting baptism. For our own part, beloved brother, we do not desire thus to gain victories over heretics: vanguishing one error by another, and, what is still worse, a less one by a greater. You say, a Should any one perhaps be reluctant to allow that paradise was temporarily bestowed in the meantime on the souls of the dying thief and of Dinocrates, while there still remains to them the reversion of the kingdom of heaven at the resurrection, seeing that the principal passage stands in the way of the opinion, a Except a man be born again of water and the Holy Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of heaven, a he may still hold my ungrudging assent on this point; only let him do full honour to both the effect and the aim[2456]2455 of the divine mercy and foreknowledge a These are your own words, and in them you express your agreement with the man who says that paradise is conferred on certain unbaptized for a time, in such a sense that at the resurrection there is in store for them the reward of the kingdom of heaven, in opposition to athat principal passagea which has determined that none shall enter into that kingdom who has not been born again of water and the Holy Ghost. Pelagius was afraid to oppose himself to this aprincipal passagea of the Gospel, and he did not believe that any (whom he still did not suppose to be sinners) would enter into the kingdom of heaven unbaptized. You, on the contrary, acknowledge that infants have original sin, and yet you absolve them from it without the laver of regeneration, and send them for a temporary residence in paradise, and subsequently permit them to enter even into the kingdom of heaven.

Chapter 20 [XIV.]âAugustin Calls on Victor to Correct His Errors. (See Above in Book II. 22 [XVI.].)

Now these errors, and such as these, with whatever others you may perhaps be able to discover in your books on a more attentive and leisurely perusal, I beg of you to correct, if you possess a catholic mind; in other words, if you spoke in perfect sincerity when you said, that you were not over-confident in yourself that what statements you had made were all capable of proof; and that your constant aim was not to maintain even your own opinion, if it were shown to be improbable; and that it gave you much pleasure, if your own judgment were condemned, to adopt and pursue better and truer sentiments. Well now, my dear brother, show that you said this in no fallacious sense; so that the catholic Church may rejoice in your capacity and character, as possessing not only genius, but prudence withal, and piety, and moderation, rather than that the madness of heresy should be kindled by your contentious persistence in these errors. Now you have an opportunity of showing also how sincerely you expressed your feelings in the passage which immediately follows the satisfactory statement which I have just now mentioned of yours. aFor, a you say, aas it is the mark of every highest aim and laudable purpose to transfer oneas self readily to truer views; so it shows a depraved and obstinate judgment to refuse to return promptly to the pathway of reason. a Well, then, show yourself to be influenced by this high aim and laudable purpose, and transfer your mind readily to truer views; and do not display a depraved and obstinate judgment by refusing to return promptly to the pathway of reason. For if your words were uttered in frank sincerity, if they were not mere sound of the lips, if you really felt them in your heart, then you cannot but abhor all delay in accomplishing the great good of correcting yourself. It was not, indeed, much for you to allow, that it showed a depraved and obstinate judgment to refuse to return to the pathway of reason, unless you had added apromptly.a By adding this, you showed us how execrable is his conduct who never accomplishes the reform; inasmuch as even he who effects it but tardily appears to you to deserve so severe a censure, as to be fairly described as displaying a depraved and obstinate mind. Listen, therefore, to your own admonition, and turn to good account mainly and largely the fruitful resources of your eloquence; that so you may promptly return to the pathway of reason, more promptly, indeed, than when you declined therefrom, at an unstable period of your age, when you were fortified with too little prudence and less learning.

Chapter 21.âAugustin Compliments Victorâs Talents and Diligence.

It would take me too long a time to handle and discuss fully all the points which I wish to be amended in your books, or rather in your own self, and to give you even a brief reason for the correction of each particular. And yet you must not because of them despise yourself, so as to suppose that your ability and powers of speech are to be thought lightly of. I have discovered in you no small recollection of the sacred Scriptures; but your erudition is less than was proportioned to your talent, and the labour you bestowed on them. My desire, therefore, is that you should not, on the one hand, grow vain by attributing too much to vourself: nor. on the other hand, become cold and indifferent by prostration or despair. I only wish that I could read your writings in company with yourself, and point out the necessary emendations in conversation rather than by writing. This is a matter which could be more easily accomplished by oral communication between ourselves than in letters. If the entire subject were to be treated in writing, it would require many volumes. Those chief errors, however, which I have wished to sum up comprehensively in a definite number, I at once call your attention to, in order that you may not postpone the correction of them, but banish them entirely from your preaching and belief; so that the great faculty which you possess of disputation, may, by Godâs grace, be employed by you usefully for edification, not for injuring and destroying sound and wholesome doctrine.

Chapter 22 [XV.] âA Summary Recapitulation of the Errors of Victor.

What these particular errors are, I have, to the best of my ability, already explained. But I will run over them again with a brief recapitulation. One is, aThat God did not make the soul out of nothing, but out of His own self.â A second is, that âiust as God who gives is Himself ever existent, so is He ever giving souls through infinite time.â The third is, that âthe soul lost some merit by the flesh, which it had had previous to the flesh.â The fourth is, that âthe soul by means of the flesh recovers its ancient condition, and is born again through the very same flesh by which it had deserved to be polluted.â The fifth is, that âthe soul deserved to be sinful, previous to any sin.â The sixth is, that âinfants which are forestalled by death before they are baptized, may yet attain to forgiveness of their original sins.â The seventh is, that âthey whom the Lord has predestinated to be baptized may be taken away from his predestination, or die before that has been accomplished in them which the Almighty has predestined.â The eighth is, that âit is of infants who are fore-stalled by death, before they are born again in Christ, that the Scripture says, aSpeedily was he taken away, lest wickedness should alter his understanding, ââ with the remainder of the passage to the same effect in the Book of Wisdom. The ninth is, that âthere are outside the kingdom of God some of those mansions which the Lord said were in His Fatherâs house.â The tenth is, that âthe sacrifice of Christians ought to be offered in behalf of those who have departed out of the body without being baptized a The eleventh is, that asome of those persons who have departed this life without the baptism of Christ do not in the meanwhile go into the kingdom, but into paradise; afterwards, however, in the resurrection of the dead, they attain even to the blessedness of the kingdom of heaven.â

Chapter 23. aObstinacy Makes the Heretic.

Well, now, as for these eleven propositions, they are extremely and manifestly perverse and opposed to the catholic faith; so that you should no longer hesitate to root them out and cast them away from your mind, from your words, and from your pen, if you are desirous that we should rejoice not only at your having come over to our catholic altars, but at your being really and truly a catholic. For if these dogmas of yours are severally maintained with pertinacity, they may possibly engender as many heresies as they number opinions. Wherefore consider, I pray you, how dreadful it is that they should be all concentrated in one person, when they would, if held severally by various persons, be every one of them damnable in each holder. If, however, you would in your own person cease to fight contentiously in their defence, nay, would turn your arms against them by faithful words and writings, you would acquire more praise as the censurer of your own self than if you directed any amount of right criticism against any other person; and your amendment of your own errors would bring you more admiration than if you had never entertained them. May the Lord be present to your heart and mind, and by His Spirit pour into your soul such readiness in humility, such light of truth, such sweetness of love, and such peaceful piety, that you may prefer being a conqueror of your own spirit in the truth, than of any one else who gainsays it with his errors. But I do not by any means wish you to

think, that by holding these opinions you have departed from the catholic faith, although they are unquestionably opposed to the catholic faith; if so be you are able, in the presence of that God whose eye infallibly searches every manas heart, to look back on your own words as being truly and sincerely expressed, when you said that you were not over-confident in yourself as to the opinions you had broached, that they were all capable of proof; and that your constant aim was not to persist in your own sentiments, if they were shown to be improbable; inasmuch as it was a real pleasure to you, when any judgment of yours was condemned, to adopt and pursue better and truer thoughts. Now such a temper as this, even in relation to what may have been said in an uncatholic form through ignorance, is itself catholic by the very purpose and readiness of amendment which it premeditates. With this remark, however, I must now end this volume, where the reader may rest a while, ready to renew his attention to what is to follow, when I begin my next book.

Book IV.

Addressed to Vincentius Victor.

He first shows, that his hesitation on the subject of the origin of souls was undeservedly blamed, and that he was wrongly compared with cattle, because he had refrained from any rash conclusions on the subject. Then, again, with regard to his own unhesitating statement, that the soul was spirit, not body, he points out how rashly Victor disapproved of this assertion, especially when he was vainly expending his efforts to prove that the soul was corporeal in its own nature, and that the spirit in man was distinct from the soul itself.

Chapter 1 [I.]âThe Personal Character of This Book.

I Must now, in the sequel of my treatise, request you to hear what I desire to say to you concerning myselfâas I best can; or rather as He shall enable me in whose hand are both ourselves and our words. For you blamed me on two several occasions, even going so far as to mention my name. In the beginning of your book you spoke of yourself as being perfectly conscious of your own want of skill, and as being destitute of the support of learning; and, when you mentioned me. bestowed on me the complimentary phrases of âmost learnedâ and âmost skilful.â But yet, all the while, on those subjects in which you seemed to yourself to be perfectly acquainted with what I either confess my ignorance of, or presume with no unbecoming liberty to have some knowledge of, youâyoung as you are, and a layman tooâdid not hesitate to censure me, an old man and a bishop, and a person withal whom in your own judgment you had pronounced most learned and most skilful. Well, for my own part, I know nothing about my great learning and skill; nay, I am very certain that I possess no such eminent qualities; moreover, I have no doubt that it is guite within the scope of possibility, that it may fall to the lot of even an unskilful and unlearned man occasionally to know what a learned and skilful person is ignorant of; and in this I plainly commend you, that you have preferred to merely personal regard a love of truth, afor if you have not understood the truth, yet at any rate you have thought it such.

This you have done no doubt with temerity, because you thought you knew what you were really ignorant of; and without restraint, because, having no respect of persons, you chose to publish abroad whatever was in your mind. You ought therefore to understand how much greater our care should be to recall the Lordâs sheep from their errors: since it is evidently wrong for even the sheep to conceal from the shepherds whatever faults they have discovered in them. O that you censured me in such things as are indeed worthy of just blame! For I must not deny that both in my conduct and in my writings there are many points which may be censured by a sound judge without temerity. Now, if you would select any of these for your censure, I might be able by them to show you how I should like you to behave in those particulars which you judiciously and fairly condemned; moreover, I should have (as an elder to a younger, and as one in authority to him who has to obey) an opportunity of setting you an example under correction which should not be more humble on my part than wholesome to both of us. With respect, however, to the points on which you have actually censured me, they are not such as humility obliges me to correct, but such as truth compels me partly to acknowledge and partly to defend.

Chapter 2 [II.]âThe Points Which Victor Thought Blameworthy in Augustin.

And they are these: The first, that I did not venture to make a definite statement touching the origin of those souls which have been given, or are being given, to human beings, since the first manâbecause I confess my ignorance of the subject; the second, because I said I was sure the soul was spirit, not body. Under this second point, however, you have included two grounds of censure: one, because I refused to believe the soul to be corporeal; the other, because I affirmed it to be spirit. For to you the soul appears both to be body and not to be spirit. I must therefore request your attention to my own defence against your censure, and ask you to embrace the opportunity which my self-defence affords you of learning what points there are in yourself also which require your amendment. Recall, then, the words of your book in which you first mentioned my name. al know, a you say, âmany men of very great reputation who when consulted have kept silence, or admitted nothing clearly, but have withdrawn from their discussions everything definite when they commence their exposition. Of such character are the contents of sundry writings which I have read at your house by a very learned man and renowned bishop, called Augustin. The truth is, I suppose, they have with an overweening modesty and diffidence investigated the mysteries of this subject, and have consumed within themselves the judgment of their own treatises, and have professed themselves incapable of determining anything on this point. But, I assure you, it appears to me excessively absurd and unreasonable that a man should be a stranger to himself; or that a person who is supposed to have acquired the knowledge of all things, should regard himself as unknown to his very self. For what difference is there between a man and a brute beast, if he knows not how to discuss and determine his own quality and nature? so that there may justly be applied to him the statement of Scripture: âMan, although he was in honour, understood not; he is like the cattle, and is compared with them.a[2457]2456 For when the good and

gracious God created everything with reason and wisdom, and produced man as a rational animal, capable of understanding, endowed with reason, and lively with sensation,âbecause by His prudent arrangement He assigns their place to all creatures which do not participate in the faculty of reason,âwhat more incongruous idea could be suggested, than that God had withheld from him the simple knowledge of himself? The wisdom of this world, indeed, is ever aiming with much effort to attain to the knowledge of truth; its researches, no doubt, fall short of the aim, from its inability to know through what agency it is permitted that truth should be ascertained; but yet there are some things on the nature of the soul, near (I might even say, akin) to the truth which it has attempted to discern. Under these circumstances, how unbecoming and even shameful a thing it is, that any man of religious principle should either have no intelligent views on this very subject, or prohibit himself from acquiring any!â

Chapter 3.âHow Much Do We Know of the Nature of the Body?

Well, now, this extremely lucid and eloquent castigation which you have inflicted on our ignorance lays you so strictly under the necessity of knowing every possible thing which appertains to the nature of man, that, should you unhappily be ignorant of any particular, you must (and remember it is not I, but you, that have made the necessity) be compared with athe cattle a For although you appear to aim your censure at us more especially, when you quote the passage, âMan, although he was in honour, understood not, â inasmuch as we (unlike yourself) hold an honourable place in the Church; yet even you occupy too honourable a rank in nature, not to be preferred above the cattle, with which according to your own judgment you will have to be compared, if you should happen to be ignorant on any of the points which manifestly appertain to your nature. For you have not merely aspersed with your censure those who are affected with the same ignorance as I am myself labouring under, that is to say, concerning the origin of the human soul (although I am not indeed absolutely ignorant even on this point, for I know that God breathed into the face of the first man, and that aman then became a living soul, a[2458]2457 a truth, however, which I could never have known by myself, unless I had read of it in the Scripture); but you asked in so many words, aWhat difference is there between a man and a brute beast. if he knows not how to discuss and determine his own quality and nature?â And you seem to have entertained your opinion so distinctly, as to have thought that a man ought to be able to discuss and determine the facts of his own entire quality and nature so clearly, that nothing concerning himself should escape his observation. Now, if this is really the truth of the matter. I must now compare you to athe cattle, a if you cannot tell me the precise number of the hairs of your head. But if, however far we may advance in this life, you allow us to be ignorant of sundry facts appertaining to our nature, I then want to know how far your concession extends, lest, perchance, it may include the very point we are now raising, that we do not by any means know the origin of our soul; although we know, at thing which belongs to faith, abeyond all doubt, that the soul is a gift to man from God, and that it still is not of the same nature as God Himself. Do you, moreover, think that each personas ignorance of his own nature must be

exactly on the same level as your ignorance of it? Must everybodyas knowledge, too, of the subject be equal to what you have been able to attain to? So that if he is so unfortunate as to possess a slightly larger amount of ignorance than yourself, you must compare him with cattle; and on the same principle, if any one shall be ever so little wiser than yourself on this subject, he will have the pleasure of comparing you with equal justice to the aforesaid cattle. I must therefore request you to tell me, to what extent you permit us to be ignorant of our nature so as to save our distance from the formidable cattle; and I beg you besides duly to reflect, whether he is not further removed from cattle who knows his ignorance of any part of the subject, than he is who thinks he knows what in fact he knows not. The entire nature of man is certainly spirit, soul, and body; therefore, whoever would alienate the body from manas nature, is unwise. Those medical men, however, who are called anatomists have investigated with careful scrutiny, by dissecting processes, even living men, so far as men have been able to retain any life in the hands of the examiners; their researches have penetrated limbs, veins, nerves, bones, marrow, the internal vitals; and all to discover the nature of the body. But none of these men have ever thought of comparing us with the cattle, because of our ignorance of their subject. But perhaps you will say that it is those who are ignorant of the nature of the soul, not of the body, who are to be compared with the brute beasts. Then you ought not to have expressed yourself at starting in the way you have done. Your words are not, aFor what difference is there between a man and cattle, if he is ignorant of the nature and guality of the soul; a but you say, âif he knows not how to discuss and determine his own nature and quality.â Of course our quality and our nature must be taken account of together with the body, but at the same time the investigation of the several elements of which we are composed is conducted in each case separately. For my own part, indeed, if I wished to display how far it was in my power to treat scientifically and intelligently the entire field of manas nature, I should have to fill many volumes; not to mention how many topics there are which I must confess my ignorance of.

Chapter 4 [III.] als the Question of Breath One that Concerns the Soul, or Body, or What?

But to what, in your judgment, does that which we discussed in our former book concerning the breath of man belong?âto the nature of the soul, seeing that it is the soul which effects it in man; or to that of the body, since the body is moved by the soul to effect it; or to that of this air, by whose alternation of action it is discovered to effect it; or rather to all three, that is to say, to the soul as that which moves the body, and to the body which by its motion receives and emits the breath, and also to the circumambient air which raises by its entrance, and by its departure depresses? And yet you were evidently ignorant of all this, learned and eloquent though you are, when you supposed, and said, and wrote, and read in the presence of the crowd assembled to hear your opinion, that it was out of our own nature that we inflated a bag, and yet had no diminution of our nature at all by the operation; although you might most easily ascertain how we accomplish the process, not by any tedious examination of the pages either of human or of inspired writings, but by a simple investigation of your own physical action, whenever you liked. This, then, being the case, how can I trust you to teach me concerning the origin of souls, âa subject which I confess myself to be ignorant of, âyou who are actually ignorant of what you are doing unintermittingly with your nose and mouth, and of why you are doing it? May the Lord bring it to pass that you may be advised by me, and accept rather than resist so manifest a truth, and one so ready to your hand. May you also not interrogate your lungs about the bag inflation in such a temper as to prefer inflating them in opposition to me, rather than acquiesce in their tuition, when they answer your inquiry with entire truth, anot by speech and altercation, but by breath and respiration. Then I could bear with you patiently while you correct and reproach me for my ignorance of the origin of souls; nay, I could even warmly thank you, if, besides inflicting on me rebuke, you would convince me with truth. For if you could teach me the truth I am ignorant of, it would be my duty to bear with all patience any blows you might deal against me, not in word only, but even with hand.

Chapter 5 [IV.] âGod Alone Can Teach Whence Souls Come.

Now with respect to the question between us. I confess to your loving self[2459]2458 I greatly desire to know one of two things if I can, aeither concerning the origin of souls, of which I am ignorant, or whether this knowledge is within our reach so long as we are in the present life. For what if our controversy touches the very points of which it is enjoined to us, aSeek not out the things that are too high for thee, neither search the things that are above thy strength; but whatever things the Lord hath commanded and taught thee, think thereupon for evermore.a[2460]2459 This, then, is what I desire to know, either from God Himself, who knows what He creates, or even from some competently learned man who knows what he is saying, not from a person who is ignorant of the breath he heaves. It is not everybody who recollects his own infancy; and do you suppose that a man is able, without divine instruction, to know whence he began to exist in his motheras womb, aespecially if the knowledge of human nature has so completely eluded him as to leave him ignorant, not only of what is within him, but of that also which is added to his nature from without? Will you, my dearest brother, be able to teach me, or any one else, whence human beings at their birth are ensouled, [2461]2460 when you still know not how it is that their life is so sustained by food, that they are certain to die if the aliment is withdrawn for a while? Or will you be able to teach me, or any one else, whence men obtain their souls, when you are still actually ignorant whence bags, when inflated, get the filling? My only wish, as you are ignorant whence souls have their origin, is, that I may on my side know whether such knowledge is attainable by me in this present life. If this be one of the things which are too high for us, and which we are forbidden to seek out or search into, then we have good grounds for fearing lest we should sin, not by our ignorance of it, but our guest after it. For we ought not to suppose that a subject, to fall under the category of the things which are too high for us, must appertain to the nature of God, and not to our own.

Chapter 6 [V.]âQuestions About the Nature of the Body are Sufficiently Mysterious, and Yet Not Higher Than Those of the Soul.

What do you say to the statement, that amongst the works of God there are some which it is more difficult to know than even God Himself.âso far, indeed, as He can be an object of knowledge to us at all? For we have learnt that God is a Trinity; but to this very day we do not know how many kinds of animals, not even of land animals which were able to enter Noahâs ark. [2462]2461 He has created aunless by some happy chance you have ascertained this fact. Again, in the Book of Wisdom it is written, aFor if they were able to prevail so much, that they could know and estimate the world; how is it that they did not more easily find out the Lord thereof?a[2463]2462 Is it because the subject before us is within us that it is therefore not too high for us? For it must be granted that the nature of our soul is a more internal thing than our body. As if the soul has been no better able to explore the body itself externally by the eyes of that body than internally by its own means. For what is there in the inward parts of the body where the soul does not exist? But yet, even with regard to these several inner and vital portions of our frame, the soul has examined and searched them out by the bodily eyes; and all that it has succeeded in learning of them it has acquired by means of the eyes of the body; and, without doubt, all the material substance was there, even when the soul knew not of it. Since also our inward parts are incapable of living without the soul, it follows that the soul has been more able to give them life than to know them. Well, then, is the soulas body a higher object for its knowledge than the soulas own self? And therefore if it wishes to inquire and consider when human seed is converted into blood, when into solid flesh; when the bones begin to harden, and when to fill with marrow; how many kinds of veins and nerves there are; by what channels and circuits the former serve for irrigation and the latter for ligature to the entire body; whether the skin is to be reckoned among the nerves, and the teeth among the bones, afor they show some difference, inasmuch as they have no marrow; and in what respect the nails differ from both, being similar to them in hardness, while they possess a quality in common with the hair, in being capable of growing and being cut; what, again, is the use of those veins wherein air, instead of blood, circulates, which they call the arteries[2464]2463âif. I repeat, the soul desired to come to know these and similar points respecting the nature of its body, ought it then to be said to a man, aSeek not out the things that are too high for thee, neither search the things that are above thy strength? a But, if the inquiry be made into the soulas own origin, of which subject it knows nothing, the matter then, forsooth, is not too high or beyond oneâs strength to be capable of apprehension? And you deem it an absurd thing, and incompatible with reason, for the soul not to know whether it is inbreathed by God, or whether it is derived from the parents, although it does not remember this event as soon as it is past, and reckons it among the things which it has forgotten beyond recall, âlike infancy, and all other stages of life which followed close upon birth, though doubtless, when they happened, they were not unaccompanied with sensation. But yet you do not deem it absurd or unreasonable that it should be ignorant of the body which is subject to it, and should know nothing whatever about incidents pertaining to

it which are not in the category of things that are past, but of present facts, âas to whether it sets the veins in motion in order to produce life in the body, but the nerves in order to operate by the limbs of the body; and if so, why it does not move the nerves except at its especial will, whereas it affects the pulsations of the veins without intermission, even without willing; from what part of the body that which they call the AgemonikA§n (the authoritative part of the soul, the reason) exercises its universal rule, whether from the heart or from the brain, or by a distribution, the motions from the heart and the sensations from the brain, ar from the brain, both the sensations and voluntary motions, but from the heart, the involuntary pulsations of the veins; and once more, if it does both of these from the brain, how is it that it has the sensations, even without willing, while it does not move the limbs except it wills? Inasmuch, then, as only the soul itself does all this in the body, how is it that it knows not what it does? or whence its power to do it? And it is no disgrace to it to be so ignorant. Then do you suppose it to be a discredit if it knows not whence or how it was itself made, since it certainly did not make itself? Well, then, none know how or whence the soul effects all its action in the body; do you not therefore think that it, too, appertains to those things which are said to be atoo high for us, and above our strengtha?

Chapter 7 [VI.]âWe Often Need More Teaching as to What is Most Intimately Ours Than as to What is Further from Us.

But I have to put to you a far wider question arising out of our subject. Why should only a very few know why all men do what they do? Perhaps you will tell me, Because they have learnt the art of anatomy or experiment, which are both comprised in the physicianas education, which few obtain, while others have refused to acquire the information, although they might, of course, if they had liked. Here, then, I say nothing of the point why many try to acquire this information, but cannot, because they are hindered by a slow intellect (which, however, is a very strange fact) from learning of others what is done by their own selves and in their own selves. But this is a very important question which I now ask, Why I should have no need of art to know that there is a sun in the heavens, and a moon, and other stars: but must have the aid of art to know, on moving my finger. whence the act begins, a from the heart, or the brain, or from both, or from neither: why I do not require a teacher to know what is so much higher than me; but must yet wait for some one else to learn whence that is done by me which is done within me? For although we are said to think in our heart, and although we know what our thoughts are, without the knowledge of any other person, yet we know not in what part of the body we have the heart itself, where we do our thinking, unless we are taught it by some other person, who yet is ignorant of what we think. I am not unaware that when we hear that we should love God with our whole heart, this is not said of that portion of our flesh which lies under our ribs, but of that power that originates our thoughts. And this is properly designated by this name, because, as motion does not cease in the heart whence the pulsation of the veins radiates in every direction, so in the process of thought we do not rest in the act itself and abstain from further pondering. But

although every sensation is imparted even to the body by the soul, how is it that we can count our external limbs, even in the dark and with closed eyes, by the bodily sense which is called âtouch,â but we know nothing of our internal functions in the very central region of the soul itself, where that power is present which imparts life and animation to all else,âa mystery this which, I apprehend, no medical men of any kind, whether empirics, or anatomists, or dogmatists, or methodists,[2465]2464 or any man living, have any knowledge of?

Chapter 8.âWe Have No Memory of Our Creation.

And whosoever shall have attempted to fathom such knowledge may not improperly have addressed to him the words we have before guoted. âSeek not out the things that are too high for thee, neither search the things that are above thy strength a Now it is not a question of mere altitude, such as is beyond our stature, but it is an elevation which our intelligence cannot reach, and a strength which our mental power cannot cope with. And yet it is neither the heaven of heavens, nor the measure of the stars, nor the scope of sea and land, nor the nethermost hell; it is our own selves that we are incapable of comprehending; it is our own selves, who, in our too great height and strength, transcend the humble limits of our own knowledge; it is our own selves, whom we are incapable of embracing, although we are certainly not beside ourselves. But we are not to be compared with cattle simply because we do not perfectly discover what we ourselves are: and yet you think that we deserve the humiliating comparison, if we have forgotten what we were, even though we knew it once. My soul is not now being derived from my parents, is not now receiving insufflation from God. Whichever of these two processes He used, He used when He created me; He is not at this moment using it of me, or within me. It is past and gone, anot a present thing, nor a recent one to me. I do not even know whether I was aware of it and then forgot it; or whether I was unable, even at the time when it was done, to feel and to know it.

Chapter 9 [VII.]âOur Ignorance of Ourselves Illustrated by the Remarkable Memory of One Simplicius.

Observe now, while we are, while we live, while we know that we live. while we are certain that we possess memory, understanding, and will; who boast of ourselves as having a great knowledge of our own nature; abserve, I say, how entirely ignorant we are of what avail to us is our memory, or our understanding, or our will. A certain man who from his youth has been a friend of mine, named Simplicius, is a person of accurate and astonishing memory. I once asked him to tell me what were the last lines but one of all the books of Virgil; he immediately answered my question without the least hesitation, and with perfect accuracy. I then asked him to repeat the preceding lines; he did so. And I really believe that he could have repeated Virgil line after line backward. For wherever I wished, I made trial whether he could do it, and he did it. Similarly in prose, from any of Ciceroâs orations, which he had learnt by heart, he would perform a similar feat at our request, by reciting backwards as far as we wished. Upon our expressing astonishment, he called God to witness

that he had no idea of this ability of his previous to that trial. So far, therefore, as memory is concerned, his mind only then learnt its own power; and such discovery would at no time be possible except by trial and experiment. Moreover, he was of course the very same man before he tried his powers; how was it, then, that he was ignorant of himself?

Chapter 10.âThe Fidelity of Memory; The Unsearchable Treasure of Memory; The Powers of a Manâs Understanding Sufficiently Understood by None.

We often assume that we shall retain a thing in our memory; and so thinking, we do not write it down. But afterwards, when we wish to recall it, it refuses to come to mind; and we are then sorry that we thought it would return to memory, or that we did not secure it in writing so as to prevent its escape; and lo, on a sudden, without our seeking it, it occurs to us. Then does it follow that we were not ourselves when we thought this? And that we cease to be the same thing that we were, when we are no longer able to think it? Now how does it happen that I know not how we are abstracted from, and denied to, ourselves; and similarly am ignorant how we are restored and returned to ourselves? As if we are other persons, and elsewhere, when we seek, but fail to find, what we deposited in our memory; and are ourselves incapable of returning to ourselves, as if we were situated somewhere else; but afterwards return again, on finding ourselves out. For where do we make our guest, except in our own selves? And what is it we search for, except our own selves? As if we were not actually at home in our persons, but had gone somewhither. Do you not observe, even with alarm, so deep a mystery? And what is all this but our own natureânot what it has been, but such as it now is? And observe how much more we seek than we comprehend. I have often believed that I could understand a question which had been submitted to me, if I were to bestow thought upon it. Well, I have bestowed the thought, but have not been able to solve the question; and many a time I have not so believed, and yet have been able to determine the point. The powers, then, of my own understanding have not been really known to me; nor, I apprehend, have they been to you either.

Chapter 11.âThe Apostle Peter Told No Lie, When He Said He Was Ready to Lay Down His Life for the Lord, But Only Was Ignorant of His Will.

But perhaps you despise me for confessing all this, and will in consequence compare me with âcattle.â For myself, however, I will not cease to advise you, or (if you refuse to listen to me) at all events to warn you, to acknowledge rather this common infirmity, in which virtue is perfected; lest, by assuming unknown things to be known, you fail to attain to the truth. For I suppose that there is something which even you wish to understand, but are unable; which you would never seek to understand, unless you hoped some day to succeed in your research. Thus you also are ignorant of the powers of your own understanding, who profess to know all about your own nature, and decline to follow me in my confession of ignorance. Well, there is also the will; what am I to say about that, where certainly free choice is ostentatiously claimed by us? The blessed Apostle Peter, indeed, was willing to lay down his life for the Lord. He was no doubt sincere in his willingness; nor was he treacherous to the Lord when he made the promise. But his will was entirely ignorant of its own powers. Therefore the great apostle, who had discovered his Master to be the Son of God, was unknown to himself. Thus we are quite aware respecting ourselves that we will a thing, or ânillâ it; but although our will is a good one, we are ignorant, my dear son, unless we deceive ourselves, of its strength, of its resources, of what temptations it may yield to, or of what it may resist.

Chapter 12 [VIII.]âThe Apostle Paul Could Know the Third Heaven and Paradise, But Not Whether He Was in the Body or Not.

See therefore how many facts of our nature, not of the past but of the present time, and not pertaining to the body only, but also to our inner man, we know nothing about, without deserving to be compared with the brute beasts. And yet this is the opprobrious comparison which you have thought me worthy of, because I have not complete knowledge of the past origin of my soulâalthough I am not wholly ignorant of it, inasmuch as I know that it was given me by God, and yet that it is not out of God. But when can I enumerate all the particulars relating to the nature of our spirit and our soul of which we are ignorant? Whereas we ought rather to utter that exclamation before God, which the Psalmist uttered: aThe knowledge of Thee is too wonderful for me; it is very difficult, I cannot attain to it.a[2466]2465 Now why did he add the words for me, except because he conjectured how incomprehensible was the knowledge of God for himself, inasmuch as he was unable to comprehend even his own self? The apostle was caught up into the third heaven, and heard unspeakable words, which it is not lawful for a man to utter; and whether this had happened to him in the body or out of the body, he declares himself unable to say; [2467]2466 but yet he has no fear of encountering from you comparison with the cattle. His spirit knew that it was in the third heaven, in paradise; but knew not whether it was in the body. The third heaven, of course, and paradise were not the Apostle Paul himself; but his body and soul and spirit were himself. Behold, then, the curious fact: he knew the great thingsalofty and divineawhich were not himself; but that which appertained to his own nature he was ignorant of. Who in the vast knowledge of such occult things can help being astonished at his great ignorance of his own existence? Who, in short, would believe it possible, if one who errs not had not told us, that awe know not what we should pray for as we oughta?[2468]2467 Where, then, ought our bent and purpose mainly to beato areach forth to those things which are before a? And yet you compare me to cattle, if among the things which are behind I have forgotten anything concerning my own originaalthough you hear the same apostle say: aForgetting those things which are behind, and reaching forth unto those things which are before, I press toward the mark, for the prize of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus. a[2469]2468

Chapter 13 [IX.]âln What Sense the Holy Ghost is Said to Make Intercession for Us.

Do you perhaps also think me ridiculous and like the irrational

beasts, because I said, aWe know not what we should pray for as we oughtâ? Perhaps this is not quite so intolerable. For since, in the dictates of a sound and righteous judgment, we prefer our future to our past; and since our prayer must have reference not to what we have been, but what we shall be, it is of course much more injurious not to know what we should pray for, than to be ignorant of the manner of our origin. But recollect whose words I repeated, or read them again for yourself, and reflect whence they come; and do not pelt me with your reproaches, lest the stone you throw should alight on a head you would not wish. For it is the great teacher of the Gentiles, the Apostle Paul himself, who said, aFor we know not what we should pray for as we ought.a[2470]2469 And he not only taught this lesson by word, but also illustrated it by his example. For, contrary to his own advantage and the promotion of his own salvation, he once in his ignorance prayed that athe thorn in the flesh might depart from him, a which he said had been given to him âlest he should be exalted above measure by the abundance of the revelations which were given him.a[2471]2470 But the Lord loved him, and so did not do what he had requested Him to do. Nevertheless, when the apostle said, aWe know not what we should pray for as we ought, a he immediately added, aBut the Spirit Himself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered. And He that searcheth the hearts knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit, because He maketh intercession for the saints according to the will of Goda[2472]2471 athat is to say. He makes the saints offer intercessions. He, of course, is that Spirit awhom God hath sent into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father; a[2473]2472 and aby whom we cry, Abba, Father; a[2474]2473 for both expressions are used by the apostleaboth that we have received the Spirit who cries, Abba, Father; and also that it is through Him that we cry, Abba, Father. His object is to explain by these varied statements in what sense he used the word acrying: a he meant causing to cry; so that it is we who cry at His instance and impulse. Let Him therefore teach me this too, whenever He pleases, if He knows it to be expedient for me, that I should know whence I derive my origin as regards my soul. But let me be taught by that Spirit who searches the deep things of God; not by a man who knows nothing of the breath which inflates a bag. However, be it far from me to compare you with brutes because of this piece of ignorance; because it arose not from incurable inability, but from sheer inadvertence.

Chapter 14 [X.]âlt is More Excellent to Know That the Flesh Will Rise Again and Live for Evermore, Than to Learn Whatever Scientific Men Have Been Able to Teach Us Concerning Its Nature.

But although the questions which arise touching the origin of souls are âhigher,â no doubt, than that which treats of the source whence the breath comes which we inhale and exhale, you yet believe that those things are âhigherâ which you have learnt out of the Holy Scriptures, from which we derive what we learn by faith; and such as are not traceable by any human minds. Of course it is far more excellent to know that the flesh will rise again and will live for evermore, than any thing that scientific men have been able to discover in it by careful examination, which the soul perceives by no outward sense, although its presence quickens all the things of which it is ignorant. It is also far better to know that the soul, which has been born again and renewed in Christ, will be blessed for ever, than to discover all that we are ignorant of touching its memory, understanding, and will. Now these subjects, which I have designated as more excellent and as better, we could by no means find out, unless we believed them on the testimony of the inspired Scriptures. These Scriptures you perhaps think you so thoroughly believe, that you do not hesitate to draw out of them a definite theory about the origin of souls. Well, then, first of all, if it be as you suppose, you ought never to have attributed to human nature itself what man knows by discussion and inquiry about his own nature and quality, but to Godâs gift. Now you asked: aWherein does a man differ from the cattle, if he is ignorant of this? a But why need we read any thing, in order to know this, if we ought already to know it by the very fact that we are different from cattle? For just as you do not read anything to me for the purpose of teaching me that I am alive (my own nature making it impossible that I should be ignorant of this fact), so if it is an attribute of nature to know this other matter, why do you produce passages of Scripture for me to believe concerning this subject? Is it then only those persons who read them that differ from the cattle? Are we not so created as to be different from brute animals, even before we can acquire the art of reading? Pray, tell me how it is that you put in so high a claim for our nature, that by the very circumstance of its differing from cattle it already knows how to discuss and inquire into the origin of souls; while at the same time you make it so inexpert in this knowledge, as to be unable by human endowment to know this without it believe the divine testimonies.

Chapter 15 [XI.]âWe Must Not Be Wise Above What is Written.

But then, again, you are mistaken in this matter; for the passages of Scripture which you chose to produce for the solution of this question of yours, do not prove the point. For it is another thing which they prove, without which we cannot really lead a pious life, namely, that we have in God the giver, creator, and fashioner of our souls. But how He does this for them, whether by inbreathing them as new, or by deriving them from the parents, they do not tell usaexcept in the instance of that one soul which He gave to the first man. Read attentively what I have written to that servant of God, our brother Renatus; [2475]2474 for inasmuch as I have pointed it all out to him there, it is not necessary for me to repeat my proofs here. But you would like me to follow your example in definiteness of theory, and so thrust myself into such difficulties as you have surrounded yourself with. Involved in these, you have spoken many stout words against the catholic faith; if, however, you would faithfully and humbly bethink yourself and consider, you would assuredly see how greatly it would have profited you, if you had only known how to be natural and consistent in your ignorance; and how this advantage is still open to you, if you were even now able to maintain such propriety. Now, since understanding so pleases you in manâs nature (for, truly enough, if our nature were without it, we should not be different from brute beasts, so far as our souls are concerned), understand, I beg of you, what it is that you do not understand, lest you should understand nothing: and do not despise any man who, in order that he may truly

understand, understands that he does not understand that which he does not understand.[2476]2475 With regard, however, to the passage in the inspired psalm, âMan, being in honour, understandeth not; he is compared to the senseless cattle, and is like unto them;â[2477]2476 read and understand these words, that you may rather with a humble spirit guard against the opprobrium yourself, than arrogantly throw it out against another person. The passage applies to those who regard only that as a life worth living which they live in the fleshâhaving no hope after deathâjust like âcattle;â it has no reference to those who never deny their knowledge of what they actually know, and always acknowledge their ignorance of what they really do not know; who, in point of fact, are aware of their weakness, rather than confident of their strength.

Chapter 16.âlgnorance is Better Than Error. Predestination to Eternal Life, and Predestination to Eternal Death.

Do not, my son, let senile timidity displease your youthful confidence. For my own part, indeed, if I proved unequal, either under the teaching of God or of some spiritual instructor, to the task of understanding the subject of our present inquiry on the origin of souls, I am more prepared to vindicate Godâs righteous will, that we should remain in ignorance on this point, as on many others, than to say in my rashness what either is so obscure that I can neither bring it home to the intelligence of other people, nor understand it myself; or certainly even to help the cause of the heretics who endeavour to persuade us that the souls of infants are entirely free from guilt, on the ground, forsooth, that such guilt would only recoil on God as its Author, for having compelled innocent souls (for the help of which He knew beforehand no laver of regeneration was prepared) to become sinful, by assigning them to sinful flesh without any provision for that grace of baptism which should prevent their incurring eternal damnation. For the fact undoubtedly is, that numberless souls of infants pass out of the body before they are baptized. God forbid that I should cast about for any futile effort to dilute this stern fact, and say what you have yourself said: aThat the soul deserved to be polluted by the flesh, and to become sinful, though it previously had no sin, by reason of which it could be rightly said to have incurred this desert.â And again: âThat even without baptism original sins may be remitted â And once more: âThat even the kingdom of heaven is at last bestowed on those who have not been baptized.â Now, if I were not afraid to utter these and similar poisonous allegations against the faith, I should probably not be afraid to propound some definite theory on this subject. How much better, then, is it, that I should not separately dispute and affirm about the soul, what I am ignorant of; but simply hold what I see the apostle has most plainly taught us: That owing to one man all pass into condemnation who are born of Adam[2478]2477 unless they are born again in Christ, even as He has appointed them to be regenerated, before they die in the body, whom He predestinated to everlasting life, as the most merciful bestower of grace; whilst to those whom He has predestinated to eternal death, He is also the most righteous awarder of punishment not only on account of the sins which they add in the indulgence of their own will, but also because of their original sin, even if, as in the case of

infants, they add nothing thereto. Now this is my definite view on that question, so that the hidden things of God may keep their secret, without impairing my own faith.

Chapter 17 [XII.]âA Twofold Question to Be Treated Concerning the Soul; Is It âBodyâ? and is It âSpiritâ? What Body is.

And now, as far as the Lord vouchsafes to enable me, I must reply also to that allegation of yours, in which, speaking of the soul, you again mention my name, and say, aWe do not, as the very able and learned bishop Augustin professes, allow it to be incorporeal and also a spirit.â We have therefore, first, to discuss the question, whether the soul is to be deemed incorporeal, as I have said; or corporeal, as you hold. Then, secondly, whether in our Scriptures it is called a spiritâalthough not the whole but its own separate part is also properly called spirit. [2479]2478 Well, I should, to begin with, like to know how you define body. For if that is not abodya which does not consist of limbs of flesh, then the earth cannot be a body, nor the sky, nor a stone, nor water, nor the stars, nor anything of the kind. If, however, a abodya is whatever consists of parts, whether greater or less, which occupy greater or smaller local spaces, then all the things which I have just mentioned are bodies; the air is a body; the visible light is a body; and so are all the things which the apostle has in view, when he says, âThere are celestial bodies, and bodies terrestrial.â[2480]2479

Chapter 18.âThe First Question, Whether the Soul is Corporeal; Breath and Wind, Nothing Else Than Air in Motion.

Now whether the soul is such a substance, is an extremely nice and subtle question. You, indeed, with a promptitude for which I very greatly congratulate you, affirm that God is not a body. But then, again, you give me some anxiety when you say, alf the soul lacks body, so as to be (as some persons are pleased to suppose) of hollow emptiness, of airy and futile substance. â Now, from these words you seem to believe, that everything which lacks body is of an empty substance. Well, if this is the case, how do you dare to say that God lacks body, without fearing the consequence that He is of an empty substance? If, however, God has not a body, as you have just allowed: and if it be profane to say that He is of an empty substance; then not everything which lacks body is an empty substance. And therefore a person who contends that the soul is incorporeal does not necessarily mean, that it is of an empty and futile substance; for he allows that God, who is not an empty being, is at the same time incorporeal. But observe what great difference there is between my actual assertion, and what you suppose me to say. I do not say that the soul is an airy substance; if I did, I should admit that it is a body. For air is a body; as all who understand what they say declare, whenever they speak concerning bodily substances. But you, because I called the soul incorporeal, supposed me not only to predicate mere emptiness of it, but, as the result of such predication, to say that it is an airy substance; a whereas I must have said both that it has not corporeity, which air has, and that what is filled with air could not be empty. And your own bag similes failed to remind you of this. For when the

bags are inflated, what is it but air that is pressed into them? And they are so far from being empty, that by reason of their distension they become even ponderous. But perhaps the breath seems to you to be a different thing from air; although your very breath is nothing else than air in motion: and what this is, can be seen from the shaking of a fan. With respect to any hollow vessels, which you may suppose to be empty, you may ascertain with certainty that they are really full, by lowering them straight into the water, with the mouth downwards. You see no water can get in, by reason of the air with which they are filled. If, however, they are lowered either in the opposite way, with mouth upward, or aslant, they then fill, as the water enters at the same opening where the air passes out and escapes. This could be, of course, more easily proved by performing the experiment, than by a description in writing. This, however, is not the time or place for longer delay on the subject; for whatever may be your perception of the nature of the air, as to whether it has corporeity or not, you certainly ought not to suppose me to have said that the soul is an aerial thing, but absolutely incorporeal. And this even you acknowledge God to be, whom you do not dare to describe as an empty substance, while you cannot but admit that He has an essence which is unchangeable and almighty. Now, why should we fear that the soul is an empty void, if it be incorporeal, when we confess that God is incorporeal, and at the same time deny Him to be an empty void? Thus it was within the competency of an Incorporeal Being to create an incorporeal soul, even as the living God made living man; although, as the unchangeable and the almighty. He communicated not these attributes to the changeable and far inferior creature.

Chapter 19 [XIII.]âWhether the Soul is a Spirit.

But again, why you would have the soul to be a body, and refuse to deem it a spirit, I cannot see. For if it is not a spirit, on the ground that the apostle named it with distinction from the spirit, when he said, all pray God your whole spirit, and soul, and body be preserved, a[2481]2480 the same is a good reason why it is not a body, inasmuch as he named the body, too, as distinct from it. If you affirm that the soul is a body, although they are both distinctly named; you should allow it to be a spirit, although these are also distinctly named. Indeed, the soul has a much greater claim to be regarded by you as a spirit than a body; because you acknowledge the spirit and the soul to be of one substance, but deny the soul and the body to be of one substance. On what principle, then, is the soul a body, when its nature is different from that of a body; and not a spirit, although its nature and a spiritâs is one and the same? Why, according to your argument, must you not confess that even the spirit is a body? For otherwise, if the spirit is not a body, and the soul is a body, the soul and the spirit are not of one and the same substance. You, however, allow them both (although believing them to be two separate things) to have one substance. Therefore, if the soul is a body, the spirit is a body also; for under no other condition can they be regarded as being of one and the same nature. On your own principles, therefore, the statement of the apostle, who mentions, a Your spirit, and soul, and body, a must imply three bodies; yet the body, which has likewise the name of flesh, is of a different nature. And of these

three bodies, as you would call them, of which one is of a different, and the other two of one and the same substance, the entire human being is composedâone thing and one existence. Now, although you assert this, yet you will not allow that the two which are of one and the same substance, that is, the soul and the spirit, should have the one designation of spirit; whilst the two things which are not of one and the same substance ought, as you suppose, to have the one name of body.

Chapter 20 [XIV.]âThe Body Does Not Receive Godâs Image.

But I pass by all this, lest the discussion between us should degenerate into one of names rather than things. Let us, then, see whether the inner man be the soul, or the spirit, or both. I observe, however, that you have expressed your opinion on the point in writing, calling the inner man the soul; for of this you spoke when you said: âAnd as the substance congealed, which was incapable of comprehension, it would produce another body within the body rounded and amassed by the force and twirl of its own nature, and thus an inner man would begin to appear, who, being moulded in a corporeal sheath would in its lineaments be shaped after the likeness of its outer man.â And from this you draw the following inference: aGodas breath, therefore, made the soul; yea, that breath from God was made the soul, an image, substantial, corporeal according to its own nature, like its own body. and conformed to its image.â After this you proceed to speak of the spirit, and say: aThis soul which had its origin from the breath of God could not exist without an innermost sense and intellect of its own; and such is the spirit. â As I, then, understand your statement, you mean the inner man to be the soul, and the inmost one to be the spirit; as if the latter were inferior to the soul, as this is to the body. Whence it comes to pass, that just as the body receives another body pervading its own inner cavity, which (as you suppose) is the soul; so in its turn must the soul be regarded as having its interior emptiness also, where it could receive the third body, even the spirit; and thus the whole man consists of three, the outer, the inner, and the inmost. Now, do you not yet perceive what great absurdities follow in your wake, when you attempt the asseveration that the soul is corporeal? Tell me, I pray you, which of the two is it that is to be renewed in the knowledge of God, after the image of Him that created him?[2482]2481 The inner, or the inmost? For my own part, indeed, I do not see that the apostle, besides the inner and the outer man, knows anything of another man inside the inner one, that is, of an inmost man. But you must decide which it is you would have to be renewed after the image of God. How is he to receive this, who has already got the image of the outer man? For if the inner man has run throughout the limbs of the outward one, and congealed (for this is the term you have used; as if a molten shape were formed out of soft clay, which was thickened out of the dust), how, if this same figure which has been impressed upon it, or rather expressed out of a body, is to retain its place, could it be refashioned after the image of God? Is it to have two imagesaGodas from above, that of the body from belowâas is said in the case of money, âHeads and Tailsâ?[2483]2482 Will you perhaps say, that the soul received the bodily image, and that the spirit takes Godâs image, as if the former

were contiguous to the body, and the latter to God; and that, therefore, it is really the inmost man which is refashioned after the image of God, and not the inner man? Well, but this pretence is useless. For if the inmost man is as entirely diffused through all the members of the soul, as the inner man of the soul is through the limbs of the body; even it has now, through the soul, received the image of the body, as the soul moulded the same; and thus it results that it has no means whereby to receive Godâs image, while the afore-mentioned image of the body remains impressed upon it; except as in the case of the money which I have just guoted, where there is one form on the upper surface, and another on the lower one. These are the absurd lengths to which you are driven, whether you will or no, when you apply to the consideration of the soul the material ideas of bodily substances. But, as even you yourself with perfect propriety confess, God is not a body. How, then, could a body receive His image? âl beseech you, brother, that you be not conformed to this world, but be transformed by the renewing of your mind; a[2484]2483 and cherish not âthe carnal mind, which is death.â[2485]2484

Chapter 21 [XV.]âRecognition and Form Belong to Souls as Well as Bodies.

But you say: all the soul is incorporeal, what was it that the rich man saw in hell? He certainly recognised Lazarus; he did [not[2486]2485] know Abraham. Whence arose to him the knowledge of Abraham, who had died so long before? By using these words, I suppose that you do not think a man can be recognised and known without his bodily form. To know yourself, therefore, I imagine that you often stand before your looking-glass, lest by forgetting your features you should be unable to recognise yourself. But let me ask you, what man does anybody know more than himself; and whose face can he see less than his own? But who could possibly know God, whom even you do not doubt to be incorporeal, if knowledge could not (as you suppose) accrue without bodily shape; that is, if bodies alone can be recognised? What Christian, however, when discussing subjects of such magnitude and difficulty, can give such little heed to the inspired word as to say, alf the soul be incorporeal, it must of necessity lack formâ? Have you forgotten that in that word you have read of âa form of doctrinea?[2487]2486 Have you forgotten, too, that it is written concerning Christ Jesus, previous to His clothing Himself with humanity, that He was âin the form of Godâ?[2488]2487 How, then, can you say, all the soul is incorporeal, it must of necessity lack form; a when you hear of athe form of God, a whom you acknowledge to be incorporeal; and so express yourself, as if form could not possibly exist except in bodies?

Chapter 22. aNames Do Not Imply Corporeity.

You also say, that ânames cease to be given, when form is not distinguished; and that, where there is no designation of persons, there is no giving of names.â Your aim is to prove that Abrahamâs soul was corporeal, inasmuch as he could be addressed as âFather Abraham.â Now, we have already said, that there is form even where there is no body. If, however, you think that where there are not bodies there is no assigning of names, I must beg of you to count the names which occur in this passage of Scripture, âBut the fruit of the Spirit is love, joy, peace, long-suffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, meekness, temperance,â[2489]2488 and tell me whether you do not recognise the very things of which these are the names; or whether you recognise them so as to descry some outlines of bodies. Come, tell me, to mention only love, for instance, what are its members, its figure, its colour? For if you are not yourself empty-headed, these appurtenances cannot possibly be regarded by you as an empty thing. Then you go on to say: âThe look and form must, of course, be corporeal of him whose help is implored.â Well, let men hear what you say; and let no one implore Godâs help, because no one can possibly see anything corporeal in Him.

Chapter 23 [XVI.] âFigurative Speech Must Not Be Taken Literally.

âln short,â you say, âmembers are in this parable ascribed to the soul, as if it were really a body.â You will have it, that âby the eye the whole head is understood, a because it is said, that ahe lifted up his eyes.â Again you say, that âby tongues are meant jaws, and by finger the hand, a because it is said, a Send Lazarus, that he may dip the tip of his finger in water, and cool my tongue a[2490]2489 And yet to save yourself from the inconsistency of ascribing corporeal qualities to God, you say that aby these terms must be understood incorporeal functions and powers; a because with the greatest propriety you insist on it, that God is not corporeal. What is the reason, therefore, that the names of these limbs do not argue corporeity in God, although they do in the case of the soul? Is it that these terms must be understood literally when spoken of the creature, and only metaphorically and figuratively when predicated of the Creator? Then you will have to give us wings of literal bodily substance, since it is not the Creator, but only a human creature, who said, alf I should take my wings like a dove.a[2491]2490 Moreover, if the rich man of the parable had a bodily tongue, on the ground of his exclaiming, aLet him cool my tongue, â it would look very much as if our tongue, even while we are in the flesh, itself possessed material hands, because it is written, aDeath and life are in the hands of the tongue.a[2492]2491 I suppose it is even to yourself self-evident, that sin is neither a creature nor a bodily substance; why, then, has it a face? For do you not hear the psalmist say, a There is no peace in my bones, in the face of my sinsâ?[2493]2492

## Chapter 24.âAbrahamâs BosomâWhat It Means.

As to your supposing that âthe Abrahamâs bosom referred to is corporeal,â and your further assertion, that âby it is meant his whole body,â I fear that you must be regarded (even in such a subject) as trying to joke and raise a laugh, instead of acting gravely and seriously. For you could not else be so foolish as to think that the material bosom of one person could receive so many souls; nay, to use your own words, âbear the bodies of as many meritorious men as the angels carry thither, as they did Lazarus.â Unless it happen to be your opinion, that his soul alone deserved to find its way to the said bosom. If you are not, then, in fun, and do not wish to make childish mistakes, you must understand by âAbrahamâs bosomâ that remote and separate abode of rest and peace in which Abraham now is; and that what was said to Abraham[2494]2493 did not merely refer to him personally, but had reference to his appointment as the father of many nations,[2495]2494 to whom he was presented for imitation as the first and principal example of faith; even as God willed Himself to be called âthe God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob,â although He is the God of an innumerable company.

Chapter 25 [XVII.]âThe Disembodied Soul May Think of Itself Under a Bodily Form.

You must not, however, suppose that I say all this as if denying it to be possible that the soul of a dead man, like a person asleep, may think either good or evil thoughts in the similitude of his body. For, in dreams, when we suffer anything harsh and troublesome, we are, of course, still ourselves; and if the distress do not pass away when we awake, we experience very great suffering. But to suppose that they are veritable bodies in which we are hurried, or flit, about hither and thither in dreams, is the idea of a person who has thought only carelessly on such subjects; for it is in fact mainly by these imaginary sights that the soul is proved to be non-corporeal; unless you choose to call even the objects which we see so often in our dreams, besides ourselves, bodies, such as the sky, the earth, the sea, the sun, the moon, the stars, and rivers, mountains, trees, or animals. Whoever takes these phantoms to be bodies, is incredibly foolish; although they are certainly very like bodies. Of this character also are those phenomena which are demonstrably of divine significance, whether seen in dreams or in a trance. Who can possibly trace out or describe their origin, or the material of which they consist? It is, beyond question, spiritual, not corporeal. Now things of this kind, which look like bodies, but are not really corporeal, are formed in the thoughts of persons when they are awake, and are held in the depths of their memories, and then out of these secret recesses, by some wonderful and ineffable process, they come out to view in the operation of our memory, and present themselves as if palpably before our eyes. If, therefore, the soul were a material body, it could not possibly contain so many things and such large forms of bodily substances in its scope of thought, and in the spaces of its memory; for, according to your own definition, âit does not exceed this external body in its own corporeal substance. a Possessing, therefore, no magnitude of its own, what capacity has it to hold the images of vast bodies, spaces, and regions? What wonder is it, then, if it actually itself appears to itself in the likeness of its own body, even when it appears without a body? For it never appears to itself in dreams with its own body; and yet in the very similitude of its own body it runs hither and thither through known and unknown places, and beholds many sad and joyous sights. I suppose, however, that you really would not, yourself, be so bold as to maintain that there is true corporeity in that form of limb and body which the soul seems to itself to possess in dreams. For at that rate that will be a real mountain which it appears to ascend; and that a material house which it seems to enter: and that a veritable tree, with real wood and bulk, beneath which it apparently reclines; and that actual water

which it imagines itself to drink. All the things with which it is conversant, as if they were corporeal, would be undoubted bodies, if the soul were itself corporeal, as it ranges about amongst them all in the likeness of a body.

Chapter 26 [XVIII.]âSt. Perpetua Seemed to Herself, in Some Dreams, to Have Been Turned into a Man, and Then Have Wrestled with a Certain Egyptian.

Some notice must be taken of sundry accounts of martyrsa visions, because you have thought proper to derive some of your evidence therefrom. St. Perpetua, for instance, seemed to herself in dreams to be wrestling with an Egyptian, after being changed into a man. Now, who can doubt that it was her soul in that apparent bodily form, not her body, which, of course, remained in her own sex as a woman, and lay on the bed with her senses steeped in sleep, whilst her soul was struggling in the similitude of a manâs body? What have you to say to this? Was that male likeness a veritable body, or was it no body at all, although possessing the appearance of a body? Choose your alternative. If it was a body, why did it not maintain its sexual integrity? For in that womanas flesh were found no virile functions of generation, whence by any such process as that which you call congelation could be moulded this similitude of a manas body. We will conclude then, if you please, that, as her body was still alive while she slept, notwithstanding the wrestling of her soul, she remained in her own natural sex, enclosed, of course, in all her proper limbs which belong to her in her living state, and was still in possession of that bodily shape and the lineaments of which she had been originally formed. She had not resigned, as she would by death, her joints and limbs; nor had she withdrawn from the transposing power, which arises from the operation of the power of death, any of her members which had already received their fixed form. Whence, then, did her soul get that virile body in which she seemed to wrestle with her adversary? If, however, this [male likeness] was not a body, although such a semblance of one as admitted the sensation in it of a real struggle or a real joy, do you not by this time see, as far as may be, that there can be in the soul a certain resemblance of a bodily substance, while the soul is not itself a body?

## Chapter 27. als the Soul Wounded When the Body is Wounded?

What, then, if some such thing is exhibited among the departed; and souls recognise themselves among them, not, indeed, by bodies, but by the semblances of bodies? Now, when we suffer pain, if only in our dreams, although it is only the similitude of bodily limbs which is in action, and not the bodily limbs themselves, still the pain is not merely in semblance, but in reality; as is also the case in the instance of joyous sensations. Inasmuch, however, as St. Perpetua was not yet dead, you probably are unwilling to lay down a precise rule for yourself from that circumstance (although it bears strongly on the question), as to what nature you will suppose those semblances of bodies to partake of, which we have in our dreams. If you allow them to be like bodies, but not bodies actually, then the entire question would be settled. But her brother Dinocrates was dead; she saw him with the wound which he received while alive, and which caused his death. Where is the ground for the earnest contention to which you devoted your efforts, when you laboured to show, that when a limb is cut off, the soul must not be supposed as suffering a like amount of loss by amputation? Observe, the wound was inflicted on the soul of Dinocrates, expelling it by its force from his body, when it was inhabiting that body. How, then, can your opinion be correct, that awhen the limbs of the body are cut off, the soul withdraws itself from the stroke, and after condensation retires to other parts, so that no portion of it is amputated with the wound inflicted on the body, a even if the person be asleep and unconscious when the loss of limb is suffered? So great is the vigilance which you have ascribed to the soul, that even should the stroke fall on any part of the flesh without its knowledge, when it is absorbed in the visions of dreams, it would instantly, and by a providential instinct, withdraw itself, and so render it impossible for any blow, or injury, or mutilation to be inflicted upon it. However, you may, as much as you will, ransack your ingenuity for an answer to the natural question, how the soul withdraws the portions of its own existence, and retreats within itself, so that, whenever a limb of the body is cut off or broken, it does not suffer any amputation or fracture in itself; but I cannot help asking you to look at the case of Dinocrates, and to explain to me why his soul did not withdraw from that part of his body which received the mortal wound, and so escape from suffering in itself what was plainly enough seen in his face, even after his body was dead? Is it, perchance, your good pleasure that we should suppose the phenomena in question to be rather the semblances of bodies than the reality; so that as that which is really no wound seems to be a wound, so that which is no body at all wears the appearance of corporeity? If, indeed, the soul can be wounded by those who wound the body, should we not have good reason to fear that it can be killed also by those who kill the body? This, however, is a fate which the Lord Himself most plainly declares it to be impossible to happen. [2496]2495 And the soul of Dinocrates could not at any rate have died of the blow which killed his body: its wound, too, was only an apparent one; for not being corporeal, it was not really wounded, as the body had been; possessing the likeness of the body, it shared also the resemblance of its wound. Still it may be further said, that in its unreal body the soul felt a real misery, which was signified by the shadow of the bodyas wound. It was from this real misery that he earned deliverance by the prayers of his holy sister.

### Chapter 28. als the Soul Deformed by the Bodyas Imperfections?

Now, again, what means it that you say, âThe soul acquires form from the body, and grows and extends with the increase of the body,â without keeping in view what a monstrosity the soul of either a young man or an old man would become if his arm had been amputated when he was an infant? âThe hand of the soul,â you say, âcontracts itself, so that it is not amputated with the hand of the body, and by condensation it shrinks into other parts of the body.â At this rate the aforesaid arm of the soul will be kept, wherever it holds its ground, as short as it was at first when it received the form of the body, because it has lost the form by the growth of which it might itself have increased at an equal degree of expansion. Thus the soul of the young man or the old man who lost his hand in his infancy advances with two hands, indeed (because the one which shrank back escaped the amputation of the bodily limb), but one of these was the hand of an adult, young or old, according to the hypothesis, while the other was only an infantâs hand, just as it was when the amputation happened. Such souls, believe me, are not made in the mould and form of the body, but they are fictitiously framed under the deformed stamp of error. It seems to me impossible for you to be rescued from this error, unless with Godâs help you fully and calmly examine the visions of those who dream, and from these convince yourself that some forms are not real bodies, but only the semblances of bodies. Now, although even those objects which we suppose to be like bodies are of the same class.[2497]2496 vet so far as the dead are concerned, we can form an after guess about them from persons who are asleep. For it is not in vain that Holy Scripture describes as âasleepâ those who are dead[2498]2497 were it only because in a certain sense âsleep is akin to death.â[2499]2498

Chapter 29 [XIX.] aDoes the Soul Take the Bodyas Clothes Also Away with It?

If, indeed, the soul were body, and the form were also a corporeal figure in which it sees itself in dreams, on the ground that it received its expression from the body in which it is enclosed: not a human being, if he lost a limb, would in dreams see himself bereft of the amputated member, although actually deprived of it. On the contrary, he would always appear to himself entire and unmutilated, from the circumstance that no part has been cut away from the soul itself. But since persons sometimes see themselves whole and sometimes mutilated in limb, when this happens to be their actual plight, what else does this fact show than that the soul, both in respect of other things seen by it in dreams and in reference to the body, bears about, hither and thither, not their reality, but only their resemblance? The soulâs joy, however, or sadness, its pleasure or pain, are severally real emotions, whether experienced in actual or in apparent bodies. Have you not yourself said (and with perfect truth): âAliments and vestments are not wanted by the soul, but only by the bodya? Why. then, did the rich man in hell crave for the drop of water?[2500]2499 Why did holy Samuel appear after his death (as you have yourself noticed) clothed in his usual garments?[2501]2500 Did the one wish to repair the ruins of the soul, as of the flesh, by the aliment of water? Did the other quit life with his clothes on him? Now in the former case there was a real suffering, which tormented the soul; but not a real body, such as required food. While the latter might have seemed to be clothed, not as being a veritable body, but a soul only, having the semblance of a body with a dress. For although the soul extends and contracts itself to suit the members of the body, it does not similarly adapt itself to the clothes, so as to fit its form to them.

Chapter 30.âls Corporeity Necessary for Recognition?

But who is able to trace out what capacity of recognition even souls

which are not good possess after death when relieved of the corruptible bodies, so as to be able by an inner sense to observe and recognise either souls that are evil like themselves, or even good ones, either in states which are actually not corporeal, but the semblances of bodies: or else in good or evil affections of the mind. in which there occur no lineaments whatever of bodily members? Whence arises the fact that the rich man in the parable, though in torments, recognised aFather Abraham, a whose face and figure he had never seen, but the semblance of whose body his soul, though incorporeal, was able to comprehend?[2502]2501 But who could rightly say that he had known any man, except in so far as he has had means of knowing his life and disposition, which have, of course, neither material substance nor colours? It is in this way that we know ourselves more certainly than any others, because our own consciousness and disposition are all before us. This we plainly perceive, and yet we see therein no similitude of a bodily substance. But we do not perceive this inner quality of our nature in another man, even if he be present before our eves; though in his absence we recollect his features, and recognise them, and think of them. Our own features, however, we cannot in the same manner recollect, and recognise, and think of; and yet with most perfect truth we say that we are ourselves better known to ourselves than he is, so manifest is it where lies the stronger and truer knowledge of man.

Chapter 31 [XX.] âModes of Knowledge in the Soul Distinguished.

Forasmuch, then, as there is one function in the soul, by which we perceive real bodies, which we do by the five bodily senses; another, which enables us to discern apart from these non-corporeal likenesses of bodies (and by this we can have a view of ourselves also, as not otherwise than like to bodies); and a third, by which we gain a still surer and stronger insight into objects fitted for its faculty, which are neither corporeal nor are like bodily substances,âsuch as faith, hope, charity,âthings which have neither complexion, nor passion, nor any such thing: on which of these functions ought we to dwell more intently, and to some degree more familiarly, and where be renewed in the knowledge of God after the image of Him who created us? Is it not on and in that which I have now put in the third place? And here we shall certainly experience neither sexual difference nor the semblance thereof.

Chapter 32.âlnconsistency of Giving the Soul All the Parts of Sex and Yet No Sex.

For that form of the soul, whether masculine or feminine, which has the distinction of members characteristic of man and woman, being no semblance merely of body, but actual body, is either a male or a female, whether you will or no, precisely as it appears to be a man or a woman. But if your opinion be correct, and the soul is a body, even a living body, then it both possesses swelling and pendent breasts, and lacks a beard, it has a womb, and all the generative organs of a woman, yet is not a woman after all. Will not mine, then, be a statement more consistent with truth: the soul, indeed, has an eye and has a tongue, has a finger, and all other members which resemble those of the body, and yet the whole is the semblance of a body, not a body really? My statement is open to a general test; everybody can prove it in himself, when he brings home to his mind the image of absent friends; he can prove it with certainty when he recalls the figures both of himself and other persons, which have occurred to him in his dreams. On your part, however, no example can throughout nature be produced of such a monstrosity as you have imagined, where there is a womanâs real and living body, but not a womanâs sex.

Chapter 33.aThe Phenix After Death Coming to Life Again.

Now, what you say about the phenix has nothing whatever to do with the subject before us. For the phenix symbolizes the resurrection of the body; it does not do away with the sex of souls; if indeed, as is thought, he is born afresh after his death. I suppose, however, that you thought your discourse would not be sufficiently plausible unless you declaimed a good deal about the phenix, after the fashion of young people. Now do you find in the body of your bird male organs of generation and not a male bird; or female ones, and not a female? But, I beg of you, reflect on what it is you say, awhat theory you are trying to construct, and to recommend for our acceptance. You say that the soul, spread through all the limbs of the body, grew stiff by congelation, and received the entire shape of the whole body from the crown of the head to the soles of the feet, and from the inmost marrow to the skinas outward surface. At this rate it must have received, in the case of a female body, all the inner appurtenances of a womanâs body, and yet not be a woman! Why, pray, are all the members feminine in a true living body, and yet the whole no woman? And why all be male, and the result not a man? Who can be so presumptuous as to believe, and profess, and teach all this? Is it that souls never generate? Then, of course, mules and she-mules are not male and female. Is it that souls without bodies of flesh would be unable to cohabit? Well, but this deprivation is shared by castrated men; and yet, although both the process and the motion be taken from them, their sex is not removed a some slender remnant of their male members being still left to them. Nobody ever said that a eunuch is not a male. What now becomes of your opinion, that the souls even of eunuchs have the generative organs unimpaired, and that these organs will remain entire, on your principle, in their souls, even when they are clean removed from their bodily structure? For you say, the soul knows how to withdraw itself when that part of the flesh begins to be cut off, so that the form which has been removed when amputated is not lost; but although spread over it by condensation, it retires by an extremely rapid movement, and so buries itself within as to be kept quite safe; yet that cannot, forsooth, be a male in the other world which carries with it thither the whole appendage of male organs of generation, and which, if it had not even other signs in the body, was a male by reason of those organs alone. These opinions, my son, have no truth in them; if you will not allow that there is sex in the soul, there cannot be a body either.

Chapter 34 [XXI.] âProphetic Visions.

Not every semblance of a body is itself a body. Fall asleep and you

will see this; but when you awake again, carefully discern what it is you have seen. For in your dreams you will appear to yourself as if endued with a body; but it really is not your body, but your soul; nor is it a real body, but the semblance of a body. Your body will be lying on the bed, but the soul walking; the tongue of your body will be silent, but that of your soul in the dream will talk; your eyes will be shut, but your soul will be awake; and, of course, the limbs of your body stretched out in your bed will be alive, not dead. Consequently that congealed form, as you regard it, of your soul is not vet extracted, as it were, out of its sheath; and vet in it is seen the whole and perfect semblance of your fleshly frame. Belonging to this class of similitudes of corporeity, which are not real bodies, though they seem to be such, are all those appearances which you read of in the Holy Scriptures in the visions even of the prophets. without, however, understanding them; by which are also signified the things which come to pass in all timeapresent, past, and future. You make mistakes about these, not because they are in themselves deceptive, but because you do not accept them as they ought to be taken. For in the same apocalyptic vision where athe souls of the martyrsâ are seen. [2503]2502 there is also beheld âa lamb as it were slain, having seven horns: a[2504]2503 there are also horses and other animals figuratively described with all consistency;[2505]2504 and lastly, there were the stars falling, and the earth rolled up like a book;[2506]2505 nor does the world, in spite of all, then actually collapse. If therefore we understand all these things wisely, although we say they are true apparitions, yet we do not call them real bodies.

#### Chapter 35.aDo Angels Appear to Men in Real Bodies?

It would, however, require too lengthy a discourse to enter very carefully on a discussion concerning this kind of corporeal semblances; whether angels even, either good ones or evil ones, appear in this manner, [2507]2506 whenever they appear in the likeness of human beings or of any bodies whatever; or whether they possess real bodies, and show themselves in this veritable state of corporeity; or, again, whether by persons when dreaming, indeed, or in a trance they are perceived in these formsanot in bodies, but in the likeness of bodiesâwhile to persons when awake they present real bodies which can be seen, and, if necessary, actually touched. Such questions as these, however, I do not deem it at all requisite to investigate and fully treat in this book. By this time enough has been advanced respecting the soulas incorporeity. If you would rather persist in your opinion that it is corporeal, you must first of all define what abodya means; lest, peradventure, it may turn out that we are agreed about the thing itself, but labouring to no purpose about its name. The absurd conclusions, however, to which you would be reduced if you thought of such a body in the soul, as are those substances which are called âbodiesâ by all learned men,âl mean such as occupy portions of space, smaller ones for their smaller parts, and larger ones for their larger, aby means of the different relations of length and breadth and thickness, I venture to think you are by this time able intelligently to observe.

Chapter 36 [XXII.] aHe Passes on to the Second Question About the Soul,

# Whether It is Called Spirit.

It now remains for me to show how it is that while the designation spirit is rightly predicated of a part of the soul, not the whole of it.âeven as the apostle says. âYour whole spirit, and soul, and body; a[2508]2507 or, according to the much more expressive statement in the Book of Job, aThou wilt separate my soul from my spirit, a[2509]2508 ayet the whole soul is also called by this name; although this question seems to be much more a question of names than of things. For since it is certainly a fact that there is a something in the soul which is properly called aspirit, a while (this being left out of question) it is also designated with equal propriety asoul, a our present contention is not about the things themselves: [2510]2509 mainly because I on my side certainly admit, and you on your part say the same, that that is properly called spirit by which we reason and understand, and yet that these things are distinguishingly designated, as the apostle says ayour whole spirit, and soul, and body.a This spirit, however, the same apostle appears also to describe as mind; as when he says, as then with the mind I serve the law of God, but with the flesh the law of sin.a[2511]2510 Now the meaning of this is precisely what he expresses in another passage thus: aFor the flesh lusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh.â[2512]2511 What he designates mind in the former place, he must be understood to call spirit in the latter passage. Not as you interpret the statement, aThe whole mind is meant, which consists of soul and spirit,ââa view which I know not where you obtained. By our âmind,â indeed, we usually understand nothing but our rational and intellectual faculty; and thus, when the apostle says, aBe ve renewed in the spirit of your mind, a[2513]2512 what else does he mean than, Be ye renewed in your mind? aThe spirit of the minda is, accordingly, nothing else than the mind, just as âthe body of the fleshâ is nothing but the flesh; thus it is written, all putting off the body of the flesh, *â*[2514]2513 where the apostle calls the flesh *â*the body of the flesh.â He designates it, indeed, in another point of view as the spirit of man, which he guite distinguishes from the mind: alf, a says he, all pray with the tongue, my spirit prayeth, but my mind is unfruitful.â[2515]2514 We are not now, however, speaking of that spirit which is distinct from the mind; and this involves a question relating to itself which is really a difficult one. For in many ways and in divers senses the Holy Scriptures make mention of the spirit; but with respect to that we are now speaking of, by which we exercise reason, intelligence, and wisdom, we are both agreed that it is called (and indeed rightly called) aspirit, a in such a sense as not to include the entire soul, but a part of it. If, however, you contend that the soul is not the spirit, on the ground that the understanding is distinctly called aspirit, a you may as well deny that the whole seed of Jacob is called Israel, since, apart from Judah, the same appellation was distinctly and separately borne by the ten tribes which were then organized in Samaria. But why need we linger any longer here on this subject?

Chapter 37 [XXIII.] âWide and Narrow Sense of the Word aSpirit. â

But now, with a view to our easier elucidation, I beg you to observe

that what is the soul is also designated spirit in the scripture which narrates an incident in our Lordâs death, thus, âHe bowed His head and gave up the spirit.a[2516]2515 Now, when you hear or read these words, you wish to understand them as if the whole were signified by a part, and not because that which is the soul may also be called spirit. But I shall, for the purpose of being able the more readily to prove what I say, actually summon yourself with all promptitude and convenience as my witness. For you have defined spirit in such terms that cattle appear not to have a spirit, but a soul. Irrational animals are so called, because they have not the power of intelligence and reason. Accordingly, when you admonished man himself to know his own nature, you spoke as follows: aNow, inasmuch as the good God has made nothing without a purpose. He has produced man himself as a rational animal. capable of intelligence, endowed with reason, and enlivened by sensibility, so as to be able to distribute in a wise arrangement all things that are void of reason.â In these words of yours you have plainly asserted what is certainly most true, that man is endowed with reason and capable of intelligence, which, of course, animals void of reason are not. And you have, in accordance with this view, guoted a passage of Scripture, and, adopting its language, have compared men of no understanding to the cattle, which, of course, have not intellect.[2517]2516 A statement the like to which occurs in another passage of Scripture: âBe ve not as the horse or as the mule, which have no understanding.â[2518]2517 This being the case, I want you also to observe in what terms you have defined and described the spirit when trying to distinguish it from the soul: a This soul, a you say, awhich has its origin from the breath of God, could not have possibly been without an inner sense and intellect of its own; and this is the spirit.â A little afterwards you add: âAnd although the soul animates the body, yet inasmuch as it possesses sense, and wisdom, and vigour, there must needs be a spirit.â And then somewhat further on you say: aThe soul is one thing, and the spiritawhich is the soulas wisdom and senseâis another.â In these words you plainly enough indicate what you take the spirit of man to mean; that it is even our rational faculty, whereby the soul exercises sense and intelligence, anot, indeed, the sensation which is felt by the bodily senses, but the operation of that innermost sense from which arises the term sentiment. Owing to this it is, no doubt, that we are placed above brute animals, since these are unendowed with reason. These animals therefore have not spirit. athat is to say, intellect and a sense of reason and wisdom, abut only soul. For it is of these that it was spoken, aLet the waters bring forth the creeping creatures that have a living soul; a[2519]2518 and again, aLet the earth bring forth the living soul.a[2520]2519 In order, indeed, that you may have the fullest and clearest assurance that what is the soul is in the usage of the Holy Scriptures also called spirit, the soul of a brute animal has the designation of spirit. And of course cattle have not that spirit which you, my beloved brother, have defined as being distinct from the soul. It is therefore guite evident that the soul of a brute animal could be rightly called aspirita in a general sense of the term; as we read in the Book of Ecclesiastes, aWho knoweth the spirit of the sons of men, whether it goeth upward; and the spirit of the beast, whether it goeth downward into the earth?a[2521]2520 In like manner, touching the devastation of the deluge, the Scripture testifies, âAll flesh died

that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: and all things which have the spirit of life.a[2522]2521 Here, if we remove all the windings of doubtful disputation, we understand the term spirit to be synonymous with soul in its general sense. Of so wide a signification is this term, that even God is called âa spirit;â[2523]2522 and a stormy blast of the air, although it has material substance, is called by the psalmist the aspirita of a tempest. [2524]2523 For all these reasons, therefore, you will no longer deny that what is the soul is called also spirit; I have, I think, adduced enough from the pages of Holy Scripture to secure your assent in passages where the soul of the very brute beast, which has no understanding, is designated spirit. If, then, you take and wisely consider what has been advanced in our discussion about the incorporeity of the soul, there is no further reason why you should take offence at my having said that I was sure the soul was not body, but spirit, aboth because it is proved to be not corporeal, and because in its general sense it is denominated spirit.

Chapter 38 [XXIV.] âVictorâs Chief Errors Again Pointed Out.

Wherefore if you take these books, which I have with a sincere and affectionate interest written in answer to your opinions, and read them with a reciprocal love for me; if you attend to what you have yourself declared in the beginning of your first book, and âare anxious not to insist on any opinion of your own, if it be found an improbable one, a[2525]2524 then I beseech you to beware especially of those eleven errors which I warned you of in the preceding book of this treatise. [2526]2525 Do not say, that athe soul is of God in such a sense that He created it not out of no, nor out of another, but out of His own nature; a or that, a as God who gives is Himself ever existent, so is He ever giving souls through infinite time; a or that athe soul lost some merit through the flesh, which it had previous to the flesh; a or that a the soul by means of the flesh repairs its ancient condition, and is born again through the very same flesh, by which it had deserved to be polluted; a or that a the soul deserved to be sinful even prior to sin; a or that a infants who die without the regeneration of baptism, may yet attain to forgiveness of their original sins: a or that a they whom the Lord has predestinated to be baptized can be taken away from His predestination, or die before that has been accomplished in them which the Almighty had predetermined; â or that ait is of those who expire before they are baptized that the Scripture says, aSpeedily was he taken away, lest wickedness should alter his understanding, âââwith the remainder of the passage to the same effect; or that athere are some mansions outside the kingdom of God, belonging to the âmany, â which the Lord said were in His Fatherâs house; a or that a the sacrifice of the body and blood of Christ ought to be offered in behalf of those who have departed out of the body without being baptized; a or that aany of those persons who die without Christâs baptism, are received for a while into paradise, and afterwards attain even to the blessedness of the kingdom of heaven.â Above all things, beware of these opinions, my son, and, as you wish to be the vanguisher of error, do not rejoice in the surname of aVincentius.a And when you are ignorant on any subject, do not think

that you know it; but in order to get real knowledge, learn how to be ignorant. For we commit a sin by affecting to be ignorant of nothing among athe secret things of God; a by constructing random theories about unknown things, and taking them for known; and by producing and defending errors as if they were truth. As for my own ignorance on the question whether the souls of men are created afresh at every birth, or are transmitted by the parents (an ignorance which is, however, modified by my belief, which it would be impious to falter in, that they are certainly made by the Divine Creator, though not of His own substance). I think that your loving self will by this time be persuaded that it either ought not to be censured at all, or, if it ought, that it should be done by a man who is capable by his learning of removing it altogether; and so also with respect to my other opinions, that while souls have in them the incorporeal semblances of bodies, they are not themselves bodies; and that, without impairing the natural distinction between soul and spirit, the soul is in a general sense actually designated spirit. If, indeed, I have unfortunately failed to persuade you, I must leave it rather to my readers to determine whether what I have advanced ought not to have convinced you.

Chapter 39. aConcluding Admonition.

If, as may possibly be the case, you desire to know whether there are many other points which appear to me to require emendation in your books, it cannot be troublesome for you to come to me, anot, indeed, as a scholar to his master, but as a person in his prime to one full of years, and as a strong man to a weak one. And although you ought not to have published your books, still there is a greater and a truer glory in a manas being censured, when he confesses with his own lips the justice of his correction, than in being lauded out of the mouth of any defender of error. Now, while I should be unwilling to believe that all those who listened to your reading of the afore-mentioned books, and lavished their praises on you, had either previously held for themselves the opinions which sound doctrine disapproves of, or were induced by you to entertain them, I still cannot help thinking that they had the keenness of their mind blunted by the impetuous and constant flow of your elocution, and so were unable to bestow adequate attention on the contents of your discourse; or else, that when they were in any case capable of understanding what you said, it was less for any very clear statement of the truth that they praised you than for the affluence of your language, and the facility and resources of your mental powers. For praise, and fame, and kindly regard are very commonly bestowed on a young manâs eloquence in anticipation of the future, though as yet it lacks the mellowed perfection and fidelity of a fully-informed instructor. In order, then, that you may attain to true wisdom yourself, and that what you say may be able not only to delight, but even edify other people, it behoves you, after removing from your mind the dangerous applause of others, to keep conscientious watch over your own words.

a treatise against two letters of the pelagians.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 61,

On the Following Treatise,

âcontra duas epistolas pelagianorum.â

## ââââââââââââ

Then follow four books which I wrote to Boniface, bishop of the Roman Church, in opposition to two letters of the Pelagians, because when they came into his hands he had sent them to me, finding in them a calumnious mention of my name. This work commences on this wise: âl had indeed known you by the praise of your renowned fame.â

A Treatise against two letters of the pelagians, [2527]2526

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In Four Books,

written to boniface, bishop of the roman church, in opposition to two letters of the pelagians, a.d. 420, or a little later.

## âââââââââââââ

Book I.

Augustin replies to a letter sent by Julian, as it was said, to Rome; and first of all vindicates the catholic doctrine from his calumnies; then discovers and confutes the heretical sense of the Pelagians hidden in that profession of faith which the author of the letter opposed to the catholics.

Chapter 1.alntroduction: Address to Boniface.

I Had indeed known you by the praise of your renowned fame; and by very numerous and veracious messengers I had learned how full you were of the grace of God, most blessed and venerable Pope Boniface! But after my brother Alypius saw you even in bodily presence; and, having been received by you with all kindness and sincerity, held, at the bidding of affection, conversations with you; and living with you, and, although only for a short time, united with you in earnest affection, poured out to your mind both himself and me; and brought you back to me in his mind: athe more assured was your friendship, the greater became in me the conviction of your holiness. For you, who mind not high things, however loftily you are placed, did not disdain to be a friend of the lowly and to return the love bestowed upon you. For what else is friendship which has its name from no other source than love, [2528]2527 and is nowhere faithful but in Christ, in whom alone it can be eternal and happy? Whence, also, having received a greater assurance by means of that brother, through whom I have learned to know you more familiarly, I have ventured to write something to your blessedness concerning those things which at this

juncture are claiming by a later stimulus the episcopal care, as far as we are able, to vigilance on behalf of the Lordâs flock.

Chapter 2.âWhy Heretical Writings Must Be Answered.

For the new heretics, enemies of the grace of God which is given by Jesus Christ our Lord to small and great, although they are already shown more openly to need to be avoided by a manifest disapprobation, still do not cease by their writings to try the hearts of the less cautious and less learned. And these must certainly be answered, lest they should confirm themselves or their friends in that wicked error; even if we were not afraid that they might deceive some one of the catholics by their plausible discourse. But since they do not cease to growl at the entrances to the Lordâs fold, and from every side to tear open approaches with a view to tear in pieces the sheep redeemed at such a price; and since the pastoral watch-tower is common to all of us who discharge the office of the episcopate (although you are prominent therein on a loftier height), I do what I can in respect of my small portion of the charge, as the Lord condescends by the aid of your prayers to grant me power, to oppose to their pestilent and crafty writings, healing and defensive writings, so that the madness with which they are raging may either itself be cured, or may be prevented from hurting others.

Chapter 3.âWhy He Addresses His Book to Boniface.

But these words which I am answering to their two letters, athe one, to wit, which Julian is said to have sent to Rome, that by its means, as I believe, he might find or make as many allies as he could; and the other, which eighteen so-called bishops, sharers in his error, dared to write to Thessalonica, not to any and every body, but to the bishop of that place itself, with a view of tempting him by their craftiness and bringing him over, if it could be done, to their views; at hese words which, as I said, I am writing in answer to those two letters of theirs in respect of that argument, I have determined to address especially to your sanctity, not so much for your learning as for your examination and, if perchance anything should displease you, for your correction. For my brother intimated to me that you yourself condescended to give those letters to him, which could not come into your hands except by the most watchful diligence of my brethren, your sons. And I thank your most sincere kindness to me that you have been unwilling that those letters of the enemies of Godas grace should be hidden from me, seeing that in them you have found my name calumniously as well as openly expressed. But I hope from my Lord God that not without the reward which is in heaven do those tear me with their scurrilous teeth to whom I oppose myself on behalf of the little ones, that they may not be left for destruction to the deceitful flatterer Pelagius, but may be presented for deliverance to the truthful Saviour Christ.

Chapter 4 [II.]âThe Calumny of Julian,âThat the Catholics Teach that Free Will is Taken Away by Adamâs Sin.

Let us now, therefore, reply to Julianâs letter. âThose Manicheans

say,â says he, âwith whom now we do not communicate,âthat is, the whole of them with whom we differ,âthat by the sin of the first man, that is, of Adam, free will perished: and that no one has now the power of living well, but that all are constrained into sin by the necessity of their flesh.â He calls the catholics Manicheans, after the manner of that Jovinian who a few years ago, as a new heretic, destroyed the virginity of the blessed Mary, and placed the marriage of the faithful on the same level with her sacred virginity. And he did not object this to the catholics on any other ground than that he wished them to seem to be either accusers or condemners of marriage.

Chapter 5.âFree Choice Did Not Perish With Adam âs Sin. What Freedom Did Perish.

But in defending free will they hasten to confide rather in it for doing righteousness than in Godâs aid, and to glory every one in himself, and not in the Lord. [2529]2528 But who of us will say that by the sin of the first man free will perished from the human race? Through sin freedom indeed perished, but it was that freedom which was in Paradise, to have a full righteousness with immortality; and it is on this account that human nature needs divine grace, since the Lord says, alf the Son shall make you free, then shall ye be free indeeda[2530]2529afree of course to live well and righteously. For free will in the sinner up to this extent did not perish, athat by it all sin, especially they who sin with delight and with love of sin; what they are pleased to do gives them pleasure. Whence also the apostle says, aWhen ye were the servants of sin, ye were free from righteousness.â[2531]2530 Behold, they are shown to have been by no means able to serve sin except by another freedom. They are not, then, free from righteousness except by the choice of the will, but they do not become free from sin save by the grace of the Saviour. For which reason the admirable Teacher also distinguished these very words: aFor when ye were the servants, a says he, a of sin, ye were free from righteousness. What fruit had ye, then, in those things whereof ye are now ashamed? for the end of those things is death. But now being freed from sin and become servants to God, ye have your fruit unto holiness, and the end eternal life.a[2532]2531 He called them afreea from righteousness, not afreed; a but from sin not afree, a lest they should attribute this to themselves, but most watchfully he preferred to say âfreed, â referring this to that declaration of the Lord, âlf the Son shall make you free, then shall ye be free indeed. a[2533]2532 Since, then, the sons of men do not live well unless they are made the sons of God, why is it that this writer wishes to give the power of good living to free will, when this power is not given save by Godâs grace through Jesus Christ our Lord, as the gospel says: âAnd as many as received Him, to them gave He power to become the sons of Godâ?[2534]2533

Chapter 6 [III.] âGrace is Not Given According to Merits.

But lest perchance they say that they are aided to this,âthat they may âhave power to become the sons of God,â but that they may deserve to receive this power they have first âreceived Himâ by free will with no assistance of grace (because this is the purpose of their endeavour to destroy grace, that they may contend that it is given according to our deservings); lest perchance, then, they so divide that evangelical statement as to refer merit to that portion of it wherein it is said, âBut as many as received Him, â and then say that in that which follows, all gave them power to become the sons of God a grace is not given freely, but is repaid to this merit; if it is asked of them what is the meaning of areceived Him, a will they say anything else than abelieved on Hima? And in order, therefore, that they may know that this also pertains to grace, let them read what the apostle says: âAnd that ye be in nothing terrified by your adversaries, which indeed is to them a cause of perdition, but of your salvation, and that of God; for unto you it is given in the behalf of Christ not only to believe on Him, but also to suffer for His sake. a[2535]2534 Certainly he said that both were given. Let them read what he said also: aPeace be to the brethren, and love, with faith from God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.â[2536]2535 Let them also read what the Lord Himself says: aNo man can come to me, except the Father who hath sent me shall draw him.â[2537]2536 Where, lest any one should suppose that anything else is said in the words acome to mea than abelieve in me, a a little after, when He was speaking of His body and blood, and many were offended at His discourse, He says, a The words which I have spoken unto you are spirit and life; but there are some of you which believe not.â[2538]2537 Then the Evangelist added, âFor Jesus knew from the beginning who they were that believed, and who should betray Him. And He said, Therefore I said unto you that no man can come unto me except it were given him of my Father. a[2539]2538 He repeated, to wit, the saying in which He had said, aNo man can come unto me, except the Father who hath sent me shall draw him.â And He declared that He said this for the sake of believers and unbelievers, explaining what He had said, âexcept the Father who hath sent me shall draw him, â by repeating the very same thing in other words in that which He said, âexcept it were given him of my Father.â Because he is drawn to Christ to whom it is given to believe on Christ. Therefore the power is given that they who believe on Him should become the sons of God, since this very thing is given, that they believe on Him. And unless this power be given from God, out of free will there can be none; because it will not be free for good if the deliverer have not made it free; but in evil he has a free will in whom a deceiver, either secret or manifest, has grafted the love of wickedness, or he himself has persuaded himself of it.

Chapter 7.âHe Concludes that He Does Not Deprive the Wicked of Free Will.

It is not, therefore, true, as some affirm that we say, and as that correspondent of yours ventures moreover to write, that âall are forced into sin,â as if they were unwilling, âby the necessity of their flesh;â but if they are already of the age to use the choice of their own mind, they are both retained in sin by their own will, and by their own will are hurried along from sin to sin. For even he who persuades and deceives does not act in them, except that they may commit sin by their will, either by ignorance of the truth or by delight in iniquity, or by both evils,âas well of blindness as of weakness. But this will, which is free in evil things because it takes pleasure in evil, is not free in good things, for the reason that it has not been made free. Nor can a man will any good thing unless he is aided by Him who cannot will evil,âthat is, by the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord. For âeverything which is not of faith is sin.â[2540]2539 And thus the good will which withdraws itself from sin is faithful, because the just lives by faith.[2541]2540 And it pertains to faith to believe on Christ. And no man can believe on Christâthat is, come to Himâunless it be given to him.[2542]2541 No man, therefore, can have a righteous will, unless, with no foregoing merits, he has received the true, that is, the gratuitous grace from above.

Chapter 8 [IV.]âThe Pelagians Demolish Free Will.

These proud and haughty people will not have this; and yet they do not maintain free will by purifying it, but demolish it by exaggerating it. For they are angry with us who say these things, for no other reason than that they disdain to glory in the Lord. Yet Pelagius feared the episcopal judgment of Palestine; and when it was objected to him that he said that the grace of God is given according to our merits, he denied that he said so, and condemned those who said this with an anathema.[2543]2542 And yet nothing else is found to be defended in the books which he afterwards wrote, thinking that he had made a fraud upon the men who were his judges, by lying or by hiding his meaning, I know not how, in ambiguous words.[2544]2543

Chapter 9 [V.]âAnother Calumny of Julian,âThat âlt is Said that Marriage is Not Appointed by God.â

But now let us see what follows. aThey say also, a he says, athat those marriages which are now celebrated were not appointed by God, and this is to be read in Augustinas book, [2545]2544 against which I replied in four books. And the words of this Augustin our enemies have taken up by way of hostility to the truth. a To these most calumnious words I see that a brief answer must be made, because he repeats them afterwards when he wishes to insinuate what such men as they would say, as if against my words. On that point, with Godâs assistance, I must contend with him as far as the matter shall seem to demand. Now, therefore. I reply that marriage was ordained by God both then, when it was said, a Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife, and they shall be two in one flesh,â[2546]2545 and now, wherefore it is written, âA woman is joined to a man by the Lord a[2547]2546 For nothing else is even now done than that a man cleave to his wife, and they become two in one flesh. Because concerning that very marriage which is now contracted, the Lord was consulted by the Jews whether it was lawful for any cause to put away a wife. And to the testimony of the law on the occasion mentioned, He added, âWhat, therefore, God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.â[2548]2547 The Apostle Paul also applied this witness of the law when he admonished husbands that their wives should be loved by them. [2549]2548 Away, then, with the notion that in my book that man should read anything opposed to these divine testimonies! But either by not understanding, or rather by calumniating, he seeks to twist what he reads into another meaning.

But I wrote my book, against which he mentions that he replied in four books, after the condemnation of Pelagius and CÅlestius. And this, I have thought, must be said, because that man avers that my words had been taken up by his enemies in hostility to the truth, lest any one should think that these new heretics were condemned as enemies of the grace of Christ on account of this book of mine. But in that book is found the defence rather than the censure of marriage.

Chapter 10âThe Third Calumny,âThe Assertion that Conjugal Intercourse is Condemned.

âThey say also,â says he, âthat sexual impulse and the intercourse of married people were devised by the devil, and that therefore those who are born innocent are guilty, and that it is the work of the devil, not of God, that they are born of this diabolical intercourse. And this, without any ambiguity, is Manicheism.â Nay, as I say that marriage was appointed by God for the sake of the ordinance of the begetting of children, so I say that the propagation of children to be begotten could not have taken place without sexual impulse, and without intercourse of husband and wife, even in Paradise, if children were begotten there. But whether such impulse and intercourse would have existed, as is now the case with shameful lust, if no one had sinned, here is the question concerning which I shall argue hereafter, if God will.

Chapter 11 [VI.]âThe Purpose of the Pelagians in Praising the Innocence of Conjugal Intercourse.

Yet what it is they wish, what they purpose, to what result they are striving to bring the matter, the words that are added by that writer declare, when he asserts that I say, athat therefore they who are born innocent are guilty, and that it is the work of the devil, not of God, that they are born of this diabolical intercourse. â Since, therefore, I neither say that this intercourse of husband and wife is diabolical, especially in the case of believers, which is effected for the sake of generating children who are afterwards to be regenerated; nor that any men are made by the devil, but, in so far as they are men, by God; and nevertheless that even of believing husband and wife are born guilty persons (as if a wild olive were produced from an olive).[2550]2549 on account of original sin, and on this account they are under the devil unless they are born again in Christ, because the devil is the author of the fault, not of the nature: what, on the other hand, are they labouring to bring about who say that infants inherit no original sin, and therefore are not under the devil, except that that grace of God in infants may be made of no effect, by which He has plucked us out, as the apostle says, from the power of darkness, and has translated us into the kingdom of the Son of His love?[2551]2550 [VII.] When, indeed, they deny that infants are in the power of darkness even before the help of the Lord the deliverer, they are in such wise praising in them the Creatorâs work as to destroy the mercy of the Redeemer. And because I confess this both in grown-up people and in infants, he says that this is without any ambiguity Manicheism, although it is the most ancient catholic dogma by which the new heretical dogma of these men is overturned.

Chapter 12.âThe Fourth Calumny,âThat the Saints of the Old Testament are Said to Be Not Free from Sins.

aThev say, a says he, athat the saints in the Old Testament were not without sins, athat is that they were not free from crimes even by amendment, but they were seized by death in their guilt.â Nay, I say that either before the law, or in the time of the Old Testament, they were freed from sins, anot by their own power, because acursed is every one that hath put his hope in man, a[2552]2551 and without any doubt those are under this curse whom also the sacred Psalm notifies, awho trust in their own strength; a[2553]2552 nor by the old covenant which gendereth to bondage, [2554]2553 although it was divinely given by the grace of a sure dispensation; nor by that law itself, holy and just and good as it was, where it is written, a Thou shalt not covet, a[2555]2554 since it was not given as being able to give life, but it was added for the sake of transgression until the seed should come to whom the promise was made; but I say that they were freed by the blood of the Redeemer Himself, who is the one Mediator of God and man, the man Christ Jesus [2556]2555 But those enemies of the grace of God, which is given to small and great through Jesus Christ our Lord, say that the men of God of old were of a perfect righteousness, lest they should be supposed to have needed the incarnation, the passion, and resurrection of Christ, by belief in whom they were saved.

Chapter 13 [VIII.]âThe Fifth Calumny,âThat It is Said that Paul and the Rest of the Apostles Were Polluted by Lust.

He says, a They say that even the Apostle Paul, even all the apostles, were always polluted by immoderate lust.â What man, however profane he may be, would dare to say this? But doubtless this man thus misrepresents because they contend that what the apostle said, al know that in me, that is, in my flesh, dwelleth no good thing, for to will is present with me, but how to perform that which is good I find not, a[2557]2556 and other such things, he said not of himself, but that he introduced the person of somebody else, I know not who, who was suffering these things. Wherefore that passage in his epistle must be carefully considered and investigated, that their error may not lurk in any obscurity of his. Although, therefore, the apostle is here arguing broadly, and with great and lasting conflict maintaining grace against those who were boasting in the law, yet we do come upon a few matters which pertain to the matter in hand. On which subject he says: âBecause by the law there shall no flesh be justified in His sight. For by the law is the knowledge of sin. But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets, even the righteousness of God by the faith of Jesus Christ unto all them that believe. For there is no difference. For all have sinned and come short of the glory of God, being justified freely by His grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus. a[2558]2557 And again: aWhere is boasting? It is excluded. By what law? Of works? No; but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the works of the law.â[2559]2558 And again: aFor the promise that he should be the heir of the world was not to Abraham or to his seed through the law, but by the righteousness of

faith. For if they which are of the law be heirs, faith is made void, and the promise made of none effect. Because the law worketh wrath, for where no law is, there is no transgression.â[2560]2559 And in another place: aMoreover, the law entered that the offence might abound. But where sin abounded grace did much more abound. al 2561 2560 In still another place: aFor sin shall not have dominion over you, for ye are not under law, but under grace.â[2562]2561 And again in another place: aKnow ye not, brethren (for I speak to them that know the law), that the law hath dominion over a man so long as he liveth? For the woman which is under a husband is joined to her husband by the law so long as he liveth; but if her husband be dead, she is freed from the law of her husband. a[2563]2562 And a little after: aTherefore, my brethren, ye also are become dead to the law by the body of Christ, that ve should belong to another, who has risen from the dead that we should bring forth fruit unto God. For when we were in the flesh the passions of sins which are by the law did work in our members to bring forth fruit unto death, but now we are delivered from the law of death in which we were held, so that we may serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter. a[2564]2563 With these and such like testimonies that teacher of the Gentiles showed with sufficient evidence that the law could not take away sin, but rather increased it, and that grace takes it away; since the law knew how to command, to which command weakness gives way, while grace knows to assist, whereby love is infused. [2565] 2564 And lest any one, on account of these testimonies, should reproach the law, and contend that it is evil, the apostle, seeing what might occur to those who ill understand it, himself proposed to himself the same question. aWhat shall we say, then?â said he. âls the law sin? Far from it. But I did not know sin except by the law.â[2566]2565 He had already said before, âFor by the law is the knowledge of sin.â It is not, therefore, the taking away, but the knowledge of sin.

Chapter 14.âThat the Apostle is Speaking in His Own Person and that of Others Who Are Under Grace, Not Still Under Law.

And from this point he now begins and, it was on account of this that I undertook the consideration of these thingsato introduce his own person, and to speak as if about himself; where the Pelagians will not have it that the apostle himself is to be understood, but say that he has transfigured another person into himself, athat is, a man placed still under the law, not yet freed by grace. And here, indeed, they ought at least to concede that ain the law no one is justified, as the same apostle says elsewhere; but that the law avails for the knowledge of sin, and for the transgression of the law itself, so that sin, being known and increased, grace may be sought for through faith. But they do not fear that those things should be understood concerning the apostle which he might also say concerning his past, but they fear those things which follow. For here he says: al had not known lust if the law had not said, Thou shall not covet. But the occasion being taken, sin wrought in me by the commandment all manner of lust. For without the law sin was dead. But I was alive without the law once, but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died, and the commandment which was for life was found for me to be death. For sin. taking occasion by the commandment, deceived me, and by it slew me.

Therefore the law indeed is holy, and the commandment holy, just, and good. Was, then, that which is good made death unto me? By no means. But sin, that it might appear sin, worked death to me by that which is good, that the sinner or the sin might become by the commandment excessive.â All these things, as I have said, the apostle can seem to have commemorated from his past life: so that from what he says, âFor I was alive without the law once,â he may have wished his first age from infancy to be understood, before the years of reason; but in that he added, âBut when the commandment came, sin revived, but I died,â he would fain show himself able to receive the commandment, but not to do it, and therefore a transgressor of the law.

Chapter 15 [IX.]âHe Sins in Will Who is Only Deterred from Sinning by Fear.

Nor let us be disturbed by what he wrote to the Philippians: aTouching the righteousness which is in the law, one who is without blame.â For he could be within in evil affections a transgressor of the law, and yet fulfil the open works of the law, either by the fear of men or of God Himself; but by terror of punishment, not by love and delight in righteousness. For it is one thing to do good with the will of doing good, and another thing to be so inclined by the will to do evil, that one would actually do it if it could be allowed without punishment. For thus assuredly he is sinning within in his will itself, who abstains from sin not by will but by fear. And knowing himself to have been such in these his internal affections, before the grace of God which is through Jesus Christ our Lord, the apostle elsewhere confesses this very plainly. For writing to the Ephesians, he says: âAnd you, though ye were dead in your trespasses and sins, wherein sometime ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, of that spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience, in whom also we all at one time had our conversation in the lusts of our flesh, doing the will of our flesh and our affections, and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others also: but God, who is rich in mercy, for His great love wherewith He loved us even when we were dead in sins, guickened us together with Christ, by whose grace we are saved. a Again to Titus he says: aFor we ourselves also were sometime foolish and unbelieving, erring, serving various lusts and pleasures, living in malice and envy, hateful, and holding one another in hatred. a Such was Saul when he says that he was, touching the righteousness which is in the law, without reproach. For that he had not pressed on in the law, and changed his character so as to be without reproach after this hateful life, he plainly shows in what follows, when he says that he was not changed from these evils except by the grace of the Saviour. For adding also this very thing, here as well as to the Ephesians, he says: âBut when the kindness and love of God our Saviour shone forth, not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and of the renewal of the Holy Spirit, whom He shed on us most abundantly, through Jesus Christ our Saviour, that being justified by His grace we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life.â

Chapter 16.âHow Sin Died, and How It Revived.

And what he says in that passage of the Epistle to the Romans, aSin, that it might appear sin, wrought death to me by that which is good, a[2567]2566 agrees with the former passages where he said, aBut I had not known sin but by the law, for I had not known lust unless the law had said, Thou shalt not covet. a[2568]2567 And previously, aBy the law is the knowledge of sin, a for he said this also here, athat it might appear sin; a that we might not understand what he had said, aFor without law sin was dead, a except in the sense as if it were not, ait lies hidden, it does not appear, it is completely ignored, as if it were buried in I know not what darkness of ignorance. a And in that he says, âAnd I was alive once without the law,â what does he say except, I seemed to myself to live? And with respect to what he added, aBut when the commandment came, sin revived, a what else is it but sin shone forth, became apparent? Nor yet does he say lived, but revived. For it had lived formerly in Paradise, where it sufficiently appeared, admitted in opposition to the command given; but when it is inherited by children coming into the world, it lies concealed, as if it were dead, until its evil, resisting righteousness, is felt by its prohibition, when one thing is commanded and approved, another thing delights and rules: then, in some measure sin revives in the knowledge of the man that is born, although it had lived already for some time in the knowledge of the man as at first made.

Chapter 17 [X.]ââThe Law is Spiritual, But I Am Carnal,â To Be Understood of Paul.

But it is not so clear how what follows can be understood concerning Paul. aFor we know, a says he, athat the law is spiritual, but I am carnal.â[2569]2568 He does not say, âl was,â but, âl am.â Was, then, the apostle, when he wrote this, carnal? or does he say this with respect to his body? For he was still in the body of this death, not yet made what he speaks of elsewhere: alt is sown a natural body, it shall be raised a spiritual body. a[2570]2569 For then, of the whole of himself, that is, of both parts of which he consists, he shall be a spiritual man, when even the body shall be spiritual. For it is not absurd that in that life even the flesh should be spiritual, if in this life in those who still mind earthly things even the spirit itself may be carnal. Thus, then, he said, aBut I am carnal a because the apostle had not yet a spiritual body, as he might say, aBut I am mortal, â which assuredly he could not be understood to have said except in respect of his body, which had not yet been clothed with immortality. Moreover, in reference to what he added, asold under sin, a[2571]2570 lest any one think that he was not yet redeemed by the blood of Christ, this also may be understood in respect of that which he says: âAnd we ourselves, having the first-fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body.a[2572]2571 For if in this respect he says that he was sold under sin, that as yet his body has not been redeemed from corruption; or that he was sold once in the first transgression of the commandment so as to have a corruptible body which drags down the soul;[2573]2572 what hinders the apostle here from being understood to say about himself that which he says in such wise that it may be understood also of himself, even if in his person

he wishes not himself alone, but all, to be received who had known themselves as struggling, without consent, in spiritual delight with the affection of the flesh?

Chapter 18.âHow the Apostle Said that He Did the Evil that He Would Not.

Or by chance do we fear what follows, aFor that which I do I know not, for what I will I do not, but what I hate that I do.a[2574]2573 lest perhaps from these words some one should suspect that the apostle is consenting to the evil works of the concupiscence of the flesh? But we must consider what he adds: âBut if I do that which I will not, I consent to the law that it is good.â For he says that he rather consents to the law than to the concupiscence of the flesh. For this he calls by the name of sin. Therefore he said that he acted and laboured not with the desire of consenting and fulfilling, but from the impulse of lusting itself. Hence, then, he says, al consent to the law that it is good a I consent because I do not will what it does not will. Afterwards he says, aNow, then, it is no more I that do it, but sin which dwelleth in me.a[2575]2574 What does he mean by anow then, a but, now at length, under the grace which has delivered the delight of my will from the consent of lust? For, âit is not I that do it,â cannot be better understood than that he does not consent to set forth his members as instruments of unrighteousness unto sin. For if he lusts and consents and acts, how can he be said not to do the thing himself, even although he may grieve that he does it, and deeply groan at being overcome?

Chapter 19.âWhat It is to Accomplish What is Good.

And now does not what follows most plainly show whence he spoke? aFor I know that in me, that is, in my flesh, dwelleth no good thinga?[2576]2575 For if he had not explained what he said by the addition of athat is, in my flesh, a it might, perchance, be otherwise understood, when he said, âin me.â And therefore he repeats and urges the same thing in another form: aFor to will is present with me, but to perform that which is good is not.a[2577]2576 For this is to perform that which is good, that a man should not even lust. For the good is incomplete when one lusts, even although a man does not consent to the evil of lust. aFor the good that I would, a says he, al do not; but the evil that I would not, that I do. Now, if I do that I would not, it is no more I that do it, but sin that dwelleth in me.â[2578]2577 This he repeated impressively, and as it were to stir up the most slothful from slumber: al find then that the law, a said he, ais for me wishing to do good, since evil is present with me.â[2579]2578 The law, then, is for one who would do good, but evil is present from lust, though he does not consent to this who says, alt is no longer I that do it.â

Chapter 20.aln Me, that Is, in My Flesh.

And he declares both more plainly in what follows: âFor I delight in the law of God after the inward man; but I see another law in my members, warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me into

captivity to the law of sin which is in my members.â[2580]2579 But in that he said, âbringing me into captivity, â he can feel emotion without consenting to it. Whence, because of those three things, two, to wit, of which we have already argued, in that he says, aBut I am carnal, â and âSold under sin, â and this third, âBringing me into captivity in the law of sin, which is in my members, a the apostle seems to be describing a man who is still living under the law, and is not yet under grace. But as I have expounded the former two sayings in respect of the still corruptible flesh, so also this latter may be understood as if he had said, abringing me into captivity, a in the flesh, not in the mind; in emotion, not in consent; and therefore âbringing me into captivity, â because even in the flesh there is not an alien nature, but our own. As, therefore, he himself expounded what he had said, aFor I know that in me, that is, in my flesh, dwelleth no good thing, a so also now out of the exposition of that we ought to learn the meaning of this passage, as if he had said, âBringing me into captivity, a that is, amy flesh, a ato the law of sin, which is in my members.â

Chapter 21. aNo Condemnation in Christ Jesus.

Then he adds the reason why he said all these things: âO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord!â And thence he concludes: âTherefore I myself with the mind serve the law of God, but with the flesh the law of sin.â[2581]2580 To wit, with the flesh, the law of sin, by lusting; but with the mind, the law of God, by not consenting to that lust. âFor there is now no condemnation to those who are in Christ Jesus.â[2582]2581 For he is not condemned who does not consent to the evil of the lust of the flesh. âFor the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus has made thee free from the law of sin and death,â so that, to wit, the lust of the flesh may not appropriate to itself thy consent. And what follows more and more demonstrates the same meaning. But moderation must be used.

Chapter 22.âWhy the Passage Referred to Must Be Understood of a Man Established Under Grace.

And it had once appeared to me also that the apostle was in this argument of his describing a man under the law.[2583]2582 But afterwards I was constrained to give up the idea by those words where he says, âNow, then, it is no more I that do it.â For to this belongs what he says subsequently also: âThere is, therefore, now no condemnation to them that are in Christ Jesus.â And because I do not see how a man under the law should say, âI delight in the law of God after the inward man;â since this very delight in good, by which, moreover, he does not consent to evil, not from fear of penalty, but from love of righteousness (for this is meant by âdelightingâ), can only be attributed to grace.

Chapter 23 [XI.]âWhat It is to Be Delivered from the Body of This Death.

For when he says also, aWho shall deliver me from the body of this

death?â[2584]2583 who can deny that when the apostle said this he was still in the body of this death? And certainly the wicked are not delivered from this, to whom the same bodies are returned for eternal torment. Therefore, to be delivered from the body of this death is to be healed of all the weakness of fleshly lust, and to receive the body, not for penalty, but for glory. With this passage also those words are sufficiently in harmony: âOurselves also, which have the first-fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, the redemption, of our body. a For surely we groan with that groaning wherein we say, aO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death?â That also where he says, aFor what I do, I know not; a what else is it than: a will not, I do not approve, I do not consent, I do not doâ? Otherwise it is contrary to what he said above, âBy the law is the knowledge of sin,â and, all had not known sin but by the law, and, aSin, that it might appear sin, worked death in me by that which is good.â For how did he know sin, of which he was ignorant, by the law? How does sin which is not known appear? Therefore it is said, âl know not,â for âl do not,â because I myself commit it with no consent of mine; in the same way in which the Lord will say to the wicked, al know you not, a[2585]2584 although, beyond a doubt, nothing can be hid from Him; and as it is said, âHim who had not known sin, â[2586]2585 which means who had not done sin, for He had not known what He condemned.

Chapter 24.âHe Concludes that the Apostle Spoke in His Own Person, and that of Those Who are Under Grace.

On the careful consideration of these things, and things of the same kind in the context of that apostolical Scripture, the apostle is rightly understood to have signified not, indeed, himself alone in his own person, but others also established under grace, and with him not yet established in that perfect peace in which death shall be swallowed up in victory. [2587]2586 And concerning this he afterwards says, aBut if Christ be in you, the body is dead because of sin, but the spirit is life because of righteousness. If, then, the Spirit of Him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwelleth in you, He that raised up Jesus from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by His Spirit that dwelleth in you.â[2588]2587 Therefore, after our mortal bodies have been quickened, not only will there be no consent to sinning, but even the lust of the flesh itself, to which there is no consent, will not remain. And not to have this resistance to the spirit in the mortal flesh, was possible only to that man who came not by the flesh to men. And that the apostles, because they were men, and carried about in the mortality of this life a body which is corrupted and weighs down the soul, [2589]2588 were, therefore, aalways polluted with excessive lust, as that man injuriously affirms, be it far from me to say. But I do say that although they were free from consent to depraved lusts, they nevertheless aroaned concerning the concupiscence of the flesh, which they bridled by restraint with such humility and piety, that they desired rather not to have it than to subdue it.

Chapter 25 [XII.]âThe Sixth Calumny,âThat Augustin Asserts that Even Christ Was Not Free from Sins.

In like manner as to what he added, that I say,[2590]2589 âthat Christ even was not free from sins, but that, from the necessity of the flesh, He spoke falsely, and was stained with other faults,â he should see from whom he heard these things, or in whose letters he read them; for that, indeed, he perchance did not understand them, and turned them by the deceitfulness of malice into calumnious meanings.

Chapter 26 [XIII.]âThe Seventh Calumny,âThat Augustin Asserts that in Baptism All Sins are Not Remitted.

âThey also say,â says he, âthat baptism does not give complete remission of sins, nor take away crimes, but that it shaves them off, so that the roots of all sins are retained in the evil flesh.â Who but an unbeliever can affirm this against the Pelagians? I say, therefore, that baptism gives remission of all sins, and takes away guilt, and does not shave them off; and âthat the roots of all sins areâ not âretained in the evil flesh, as if of shaved hair on the head, whence the sins may grow to be cut down again.â For it was I that found out that similitude, too, for them to use for the purposes of their calumny, as if I thought and said this.

Chapter 27.åln What Sense Lust is Called Sin in the Regenerate.

But concerning that concupiscence of the flesh of which they speak, I believe that they are deceived, or that they deceive; for with this even he that is baptized must struggle with a pious mind, however carefully he presses forward, and is led by the Spirit of God. But although this is called sin, it is certainly so called not because it is sin, but because it is made by sin, as a writing is said to be some oneâs âhandâ because the hand has written it. But they are sins which are unlawfully done, spoken, thought, according to the lust of the flesh, or to ignoranceathings which, once done, keep their doers guilty if they are not forgiven. And this very concupiscence of the flesh is in such wise put away in baptism, that although it is inherited by all that are born, it in no respect hurts those that are born anew. And yet from these, if they carnally beget children, it is again derived; and again it will be hurtful to those that are born, unless by the same form it is remitted to them as born again, and remains in them in no way hindering the future life, because its guilt, derived by generation, has been put away by regeneration; and thus it is now no more sin, but is called so, whether because it became what it is by sin, or because it is stirred by the delight of sinning, although by the conquest of the delight of righteousness consent is not given to it. Nor is it on account of this, the guilt of which has already been taken away in the laver of regeneration, that the baptized say in their prayer, âForgive us our debts, as we also forgive our debtors; a[2591]2590 but on account of sins which are committed, whether in consentings to it, when what is right is overcome by that which pleases, or when by ignorance evil is accepted as if it were good. And they are committed, whether by acting, or by speaking, orâand this is the easiest and the quickestâby thinking. From all which things what believer ever will boast that he has his heart pure? or who will boast that he is pure from sin?[2592]2591 Certainly that which follows in the prayer is said on account of

concupiscence: âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.â âFor every one,â as it is written, âis tempted when he is drawn away of his own concupiscence, and enticed; then, when concupiscence hath conceived, it bringeth forth sin.â[2593]2592

Chapter 28 [XIV.] âMany Without Crime, None Without Sin.

All these products of concupiscence, and the old guilt of concupiscence itself, are put away by the washing of baptism. And whatever that concupiscence now brings forth, if they are not those products which are called not only sins, but even crimes, are purified by that method of daily prayer when we say, aForgive us our debts, as we forgive, and by the sincerity of alms-giving. For no one is so foolish as to say that that precept of our Lord does not refer to baptized people: aForgive and it shall be forgiven you, give and it shall be given you.a[2594]2593 But none could rightly be ordained a minister in the Church if the apostle had said, alf any is without sin, a where he says, alf any is without crime; a [2595]2594 or if he had said, âHaving no sin,â where he says, âHaving no crime.â[2596]2595 Because many baptized believers are without crime, but I should say that no one in this life is without sin, ahowever much the Pelagians are inflated, and burst asunder in madness against me because I say this: not because there remains anything of sin which is not remitted in baptism; but because by us who remain in the weakness of this life such sins do not cease daily to be committed, as are daily remitted to those who pray in faith and work in mercy. This is the soundness of the catholic faith, which the Holy Spirit everywhere sows, anot the vanity and presumption of spirit of heretical pravity.

Chapter 29 [XV.]âJulian Opposes the Faith of His Friends to the Opinions of Catholic Believers. First of All, of Free Will.

Now therefore let us see, for the rest, in what wayâafter thinking that he might calumniously object against me what I believe, and feign what I do not believeâhe himself professes his own faith or that of the Pelagians. âln opposition to these things,â he says, âwe daily argue, and we are unwilling to yield our consent to transgressors, because we say that free will is in all by nature, and could not perish by the sin of Adam; which assertion is confirmed by the authority of all Scriptures.â If in any degree it is necessary to say this, you should not say it against the grace of God,âyou should not give your consent to transgressors, but you should correct your opinion. But about this, as much as I could, and as far as it seemed to be sufficient, I have argued above.

Chapter 30. âSecondly, of Marriage.

âWe say,â says he, âthat that marriage which is now celebrated throughout the earth was ordained by God, and that married people are not guilty, but that fornicators and adulterers are to be condemned.â This is true and catholic doctrine; but what you want to gather from this, to wit, that from the intercourse of male and female those who are born derive no sin to be put away by the laver of regeneration,âthis is false and heretical. Chapter 31.âThirdly, of Conjugal Intercourse.

âWe say,â says he, âthat the sexual impulseâthat is, that the virility itself, without which there can be no intercourseâis ordained by God.â To this I reply that the sexual impulse, and, to make use of his word, virility, without which there can be no intercourse, was so appointed by God that there was in it nothing to be ashamed of. For it was not fit that His creature should blush at the work of his Creator; but by a just punishment the disobedience of the members was the retribution to the disobedience of the first man, for which disobedience they blushed when they covered with fig-leaves those shameful parts which previously were not shameful.

Chapter 32 [XVI.]âThe Aprons Which Adam and Eve Wore.

For they did not use for themselves tunics to cover their whole bodies after their sin, but aprons, [2597]2596 which some of the less careful of our translators have translated as acoverings. a And this indeed is true; but acovering a is a general name, by which may be understood every kind of clothing and veil. And ambiguity ought to be avoided, so that, as the Greek called them perizð mata, by which only the shameful parts of the body are covered, so also the Latin should either use the Greek word itself, because now custom has come to use it instead of the Latin, or, as some do, use the word aprons,[2598]2597 or, as others have better named them, wrestling aprons.[2599]2598 Because this name is taken from that ancient Roman custom whereby the youth covered their shameful parts when they were exercised naked in the field; whence even at this day they are called campestrati,[2600]2599 since they cover those members with the girdle. Although, if those members by which sin was committed were to be covered after the sin, men ought not indeed to have been clothed in tunics, but to have covered their hand and mouth, because they sinned by taking and eating. What, then, is the meaning, when the prohibited food was taken, and the transgression of the precept had been committed, of the look turned towards those members? What unknown novelty is felt there, and compels itself to be noticed? And this is signified by the opening of the eyes. For their eyes were not closed, either when Adam gave names to the cattle and birds, or when Eve saw the trees to be beautiful and good; but they were made openathat is, attentiveato consider; as it is written of Agar, the handmaid of Sarah, that she opened her eyes and saw a well, [2601]2600 although she certainly had not had them closed before. As, therefore, they were so suddenly ashamed of their nakedness, which they were daily in the habit of looking upon and were not confused, that they could now no longer bear those members naked, but immediately took care to cover them; did not theyâhe in the open, she in the hidden impulseâperceive those members to be disobedient to the choice of their will, which certainly they ought to have ruled like the rest by their voluntary command? And this they deservedly suffered, because they themselves also were not obedient to their Lord. Therefore they blushed that they in such wise had not manifested service to their Creator, that they should deserve to lose dominion over those members by which children were to be procreated.

Chapter 33.aThe Shame of Nakedness.

This kind of shameathis necessity of blushingais certainly born with every man, and in some measure is commanded by the very laws of nature; so that, in this matter, even virtuous married people are ashamed. Nor can any one go to such an extreme of evil and disgrace, as, because he knows God to be the author of nature and the ordainer of marriage, to have intercourse even with his wife in any oneas sight, or not to blush at those impulses and seek secrecy, where he can shun the sight not only of strangers, but even of all his own relatives. Therefore let human nature be permitted to acknowledge the evil that happens to it by its own fault, lest it should be compelled either not to blush at its own impulses, which is most shameless, or else to blush at the work of its Creator, which is most ungrateful. Of this evil, nevertheless, virtuous marriage makes good use for the sake of the benefit of the begetting of children. But to consent to lust for the sake of carnal pleasure alone is sin, although it may be conceded to married people with permission.

Chapter 34 [XVII.]âWhether There Could Be Sensual Appetite in Paradise Before the Fall.

But, while maintaining, ye Pelagians, the honourableness and fruitfulness of marriage, determine, if nobody had sinned, what you would wish to consider the life of those people in Paradise, and choose one of these four things. For beyond a doubt, either as often as ever they pleased they would have had intercourse; or they would bridle lust when intercourse was not necessary; or lust would arise at the summons of will, just at the time when chaste prudence would have perceived beforehand that intercourse was necessary; or, with no lust existing at all, as every other member served for its own work, so for its own work the organs of generation also would obey the commands of those that willed, without any difficulty. Of these four suppositions, choose which you please; but I think you will reject the two former, in which lust is either obeyed or resisted. For the first one would not be in accordance with so great a virtue, and the second not in harmony with so great a happiness. For be the idea far from us, that the alory of so areat a blessedness as that should either be most basely enslaved by always following a preceding lust, or, by resisting it, should not enjoy the most abounding peace. Away, I say, with the thought that that mind should either be gratified by consenting to satisfy the concupiscence of the flesh, arising not opportunely for the sake of procreation, but with unregulated excitement, or that that quiet should find it necessary to restrain it by refusing.

Chapter 35.âDesire in Paradise Was Either None at All, or It Was Obedient to the Impulse of the Will.

But whichever you choose of the two other alternatives, there is no necessity for striving against you with any disputation. For even if you should refuse to elect the fourth, in which there is the highest tranquillity of all the obedient members without any lust, since already the urgency of your arguments has made you hostile to it; that will doubtless please you which I have put in the third place, that that carnal concupiscence, whose impulse attains to the final pleasure which much delights you, should never arise in Paradise except at the bidding of the will when it would be necessary for procreation. If it is agreeable to you to arrange this in Paradise, and if, by means of such a concupiscence of the flesh which should neither anticipate, nor impede, nor exceed the bidding of the will, it appears to you that children could have been begotten, I have no objection. For, as far as I am concerned in this matter, it is enough for me that such a concupiscence of the flesh is not now among men, as you concede there might have been in that place of happiness. For what it now is, the sense of all men certainly confesses, although with modesty; because it both solicits with excessive and importunate uneasiness the chaste. even when they are unwilling and are checking it by moderation, and frequently withdraws itself from the willing and inflicts itself on the unwilling; so that, by its disobedience, it testifies that it is nothing else than the punishment of that first disobedience. Whence, reasonably, both then the first men when they covered their nakedness, and now whoever considers himself to be a man, every no less modest than immodest person is confounded at itafar be it from us to say by the work of God, butaby the penalty of the first and ancient sin. You, however, not for the sake of religious reasoning, but for excited contention, anot on behalf of human modesty, but for your own madness, that even the concupiscence of the flesh itself should not be thought to be currupted, and original sin to be derived from it, âare endeavouring by your argument to recall it absolutely, such as it now is, into Paradise; and to contend that that concupiscence could have been there which would either always be followed by a disgraceful consent, or would sometimes be restrained by a pitiable refusal. I, however, do not greatly care what it delights you to think of it. Still, whatever of men is born by its means, if he is not born again, without doubt he is damned; and he must be under the dominion of the devil, if he is not delivered thence by Christ.

Chapter 36 [XVIII.]âJulianâs Fourth Objection, that Man is Godâs Work, and is Not Constrained to Evil or Good by His Power.

aWe maintain, a says he, athat men are the work of God, and that no one is forced unwillingly by His power either into evil or good, but that man does either good or ill of his own will; but that in a good work he is always assisted by Godâs grace, while in evil he is incited by the suggestions of the devil. a To this I answer, that men, in so far as they are men, are the work of God; but in so far as they are sinners, they are under the devil, unless they are plucked from thence by Him who became the Mediator between God and man, for no other reason than because He could not be a sinner from men. And that no one is forced by Godâs power unwillingly either into evil or good, but that when God forsakes a man, he deservedly goes to evil, and that when God assists, without deserving he is converted to good. For a man is not good if he is unwilling, but by the grace of God he is even assisted to the point of being willing; because it is not vainly written. aFor it is God that worketh in you, both to will and to do for His good pleasure, a[2602]2601 and, a The will is prepared by God.â[2603]2602

Chapter 37 [XIX.]âThe Beginning of a Good Will is the Gift of Grace.

But you think that a man is so aided by the grace of God in a good work, that in stirring up his will to that very good work you believe that grace does nothing; for this your own words sufficiently declare. For why have you not said that a man is incited by Godâs grace to a good work, as you have said that he is incited to evil by the suggestions of the devil, but have said that in a good work he is always aided by Godâs grace?âas if by his own will, and without any grace of God, he undertook a good work, and were then divinely assisted in the work itself, for the sake, that is to say, of the merits of his good will; so that grace is rendered as due, anot given as not due, â and thus grace is made no more grace. [2604]2603 But this is what, in the Palestinian judgment, Pelagius with a deceitful heart condemned, athat the grace of God, namely, is given according to our merits. Tell me, I beseech you, what good, Paul, while he was as yet Saul, willed, and not rather great evils, when breathing out slaughter he went, in horrible darkness of mind and madness, to lay waste the Christians?[2605]2604 For what merits of a good will did God convert him by a marvellous and sudden calling from those evils to good things? What shall I say, when he himself cries, aNot by works of righteousness that we have done, but according to His mercy He saved usa?[2606]2605 What is that which I have already mentioned[2607]2606 as having been said by the Lord, aNo one can come to me, aawhich is understood as âbelieve on me.ââunless it were given him of my Fatherâ?[2608]2607 Whether is this given to him who is already willing to believe, for the sake of the merits of a good will? or rather is the will itself, as in the case of Saul, stirred up from above, that he may believe, even although he is so averse from the faith as even to persecute the believers? For how has the Lord commanded us to pray for those who persecute us? Do we pray thus that the grace of God may be recompensed them for the sake of their good will, and not rather that the evil will itself may be changed into a good one? Just as we believe that at that time the saints whom he was persecuting did not pray for Saul in vain, that his will might be converted to the faith which he was destroying. And indeed that his conversion was effected from above, appeared even by a manifest miracle. But how many enemies of Christ are at the present day suddenly drawn by Godâs secret grace to Christ! And if I had not set down this word from the gospel, what things would that man have said in this behalf concerning me, since even now he is stirring, not against me, but against Him who cries, aNo man can come to me, except the Father who hath sent me draw hima![2609]2608 For He does not say, aexcept He lead him.a so that we can thus in any way understand that his will precedes. For who is âdrawn,â if he was already willing? And yet no man comes unless he is willing. Therefore he is drawn in wondrous ways to will, by Him who knows how to work within the very hearts of men. Not that men who are unwilling should believe, which cannot be, but that they should be made willing from being unwilling.

Chapter 38 [XX.] âThe Power of Godâs Grace is Proved.

That this is true we do not surmise by human conjecture, but we

discern by the most evident authority of the divine Scriptures. It is read in the books of the Chronicles: âAlso in Judah, the hand of God was made to give them one heart, to do the commandment of the king and of the princes in the word of the Lord. a[2610]2609 Also by Ezekiel the prophet the Lord says, al will give them another heart, and a new spirit will I give them; and I will take away their stony heart out of their flesh, and I will give them an heart of flesh, that they may walk in my commandments and observe my judgments and do them.a[2611]2610 And what is that which Esther the gueen prays when she says, aGive me eloquent speech in my mouth, and enlighten my words in the sight of the lion, and turn his heart to hatred of him that fighteth against usa?[2612]2611 How does she say such things as these in her prayer to God, if God does not work His will in menas hearts? But perchance the woman was foolish in praying thus. Let us see, then, whether the desire of the petitioner was vainly sent on in advance. and whether the result did not follow as of one who heard. Lo, she goes in to the king. We need not say much. And because she did not approach him in her own order, under the compulsion of her great necessity, ane looked upon her, as it is written, alike a bull in the impulse of his indignation. And the gueen feared, and her colour was changed through faintness, and she bowed herself upon the head of her maid, who went before her. And God changed him, and converted his indignation into mildness.a[2613]2612 Now what need is there to relate what follows, where the divine Scripture testifies that God fulfilled what she had asked for by working in the heart of the king nothing other than the will by which he commanded, and it was done as the queen had asked of him? And now God had heard her that it should be done, who changed the heart of the king by a most secret and efficacious power before he had heard the address of the woman beseeching him, and moulded it from indignation to mildness, athat is, from the will to hurt, to the will to favour, âaccording to that word of the apostle, aGod worketh in you to will also a Did the men of God who wrote these thingsanay, did the Spirit of God Himself, under whose guidance such things were written by themaassail the free will of man? Away with the notion! But He has commended both the most righteous judgment and the most merciful aid of the Omnipotent in all cases. For it is enough for man to know that there is no unrighteousness with God. But how He dispenses those benefits, making some deservedly vessels of wrath, others graciously vessels of mercy, awho has known the mind of the Lord, or who has been His counsellor? If, then, we attain to the honour of grace, let us not be ungrateful by attributing to ourselves what we have received. aFor what have we which we have not received?â[2614]2613

Chapter 39 [XXI.]âJulianâs Fifth Objection Concerning the Saints of the Old Testament.

âWe say,â says he, âthat the saints of the Old Testament, their righteousness being perfected here, passed to eternal life,âthat is, that by the love of virtue they departed from all sins; because those whom we read of as having committed any sin, we nevertheless know to have amended themselves.â Of whatever virtue you may declare that the ancient righteous men were possessed, nothing saved them but the belief in the Mediator who shed His blood for the remission of their sins. For their own word is, al believed, and therefore I spoke.â[2615]2614 Whence the Apostle Paul also says, âAnd we having the same Spirit of faith, according as it is written, I believed, and therefore have I spoken; we also believe, and therefore speak.â[2616]2615 What is âthe same Spirit,â but that Spirit whom these righteous men also had who said such things? The Apostle Peter also says, aWhy do ye wish to put a yoke upon the heathen, which neither we nor our fathers have been able to bear? But, by the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, we believe that we shall be saved, even as they.a[2617]2616 You who are enemies to this grace do not wish this. that the ancients should be believed to have been saved by the same grace of Jesus Christ; but you distribute the times according to Pelagius, [2618]2617 in whose books this is read, and you say that before the law men were saved by nature, then by the law, lastly by Christ, as if to men of the two former times, that is to say, before the law and under the law, the blood of Christ had not been necessary; making void what is said: aFor there is one God and one Mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus. a[2619]2618

Chapter 40 [XXII.]âThe Sixth Objection, Concerning the Necessity of Grace for All, and Concerning the Baptism of Infants.

They say, a We confess that the grace of Christ is necessary to all, both to grown-up people and to infants; and we anathematize those who say that a child born of two baptized people ought not to be baptized.â I know in what sense you say such things as theseanot according to the Apostle Paul, but according to the heretic Pelagius; ato wit, that baptism is necessary for infants, not for the sake of the remission of sins, but only for the sake of the kingdom of heaven; for you give them outside the kingdom of heaven a place of salvation and life eternal, even if they have not been baptized. Nor do you regard what is written, aWhosoever believeth and is baptized shall be saved; but he who believeth not shall be condemned.â[2620]2619 For which reason, in the Church of the Saviour, infants believe by means of other people, even as they have derived those sins which are remitted them in baptism from other people. Nor do you think thus, that they cannot have life who have been without the body and blood of Christ, although He said Himself, âUnless ye eat my flesh and drink my blood, ye shall have no life in you.a[2621]2620 Or if you are forced by the words of the gospel to confess that infants departing from the body cannot have either life or salvation unless they have been baptized, ask why those who are not baptized are compelled to undergo the judgment of the second death, by the judgment of Him who condemns nobody undeservingly, and you will find what you do not want, âoriginal sin!

Chapter 41 [XXIII.]âThe Seventh Objection, of the Effect of Baptism.

âWe condemn,â says he, âthose who affirm that baptism does not do away all sins, because we know that full cleansing is conferred by these mysteries.â We also say this; but you do not say that infants are also by those same mysteries freed from the bonds of their first birth and of their hateful descent. On which account it behoves you, like other heretics also, to be separated from the Church of Christ, which holds

## this of old time.

Chapter 42 [XXIV.]âHe Rebuts the Conclusion of Julianâs Letter.

But now the manner in which he concludes the letter by saving, aLet no one therefore seduce you, nor let the wicked deny that they think these things. But if they speak the truth, either let a hearing be given, or let those very bishops who now disagree with me condemn what I have above said that they hold with the Manicheans, as we condemn those things which they declare concerning us, and a full agreement shall be made; but if they will not, know ye that they are Manicheans, and abstain from their company; ââthis is rather to be despised than rebuked. For which of us hesitates to pronounce an anathema against the Manicheans, who say that from the good God neither proceed men, nor was ordained marriage, nor was given the law, which was ministered to the Hebrew people by Moses! But against the Pelagians also, not without reason, we pronounce an anathema, for that they are so hostile to Godâs grace, which comes through Jesus Christ our Lord, as to say that it is given not freely, but according to our merits, and thus grace is no more grace; [2622]2621 and place so much in free will by which man is plunged into the abyss, as to say that by making good use of it man deserves grace, âalthough no man can make good use of it except by grace, which is not repaid according to debt, but is given freely by Godâs mercy. And they so contend that infants are already saved, that they dare deny that they are to be saved by the Saviour. And holding and disseminating these execrable dogmas, they still over and above constantly demand a hearing, when, as condemned, they ought to repent.

## Book II.

He undertakes to examine the second letter of the Pelagians, filled, like the first, with calumnies against the Catholicsâa letter that was sent by them to Thessalonica in the name of eighteen bishops; and, first of all, he shows, by the comparison of the heretical writings with one another, that the Catholics are by no means falling into the errors of the Manicheans in detesting the dogmas of the Pelagians. He repels the calumny of prevarication incurred by the Roman clergy in the latter condemnation of Pelagius and CÅlestius by Zosimus, showing that the Pelagian dogmas were never approved at Rome, although for some time, by the clemency of Zosimus, CÅlestius was mercifully dealt with, with a view to leading him to the correction of his errors. He shows that, under the name of grace, Catholics neither assert a doctrine of fate, nor attribute respect of persons to God; although they truly say that Godâs grace is not given according to human merits, and that the first desire of good is inspired by God; so that a man does not at all make a beginning of a change from bad to good, unless the unbought and gratuitous mercy of God effects that beginning in him.

Chapter 1.âIntroduction; The Pelagians Impeach Catholics as Manicheans.

Let me now consider a second letter, not of Julianâs alone, but common

to him with several bishops, which they sent to Thessalonica; and let me answer it, with Godâs help, as I best can. And lest this work of mine become longer than the necessity of the subject itself requires, what need is there to refute those things which do not contain the insidious poison of their doctrine, but seem only to plead for the acquiescence of the Eastern bishops for their assistance, or, on behalf of the catholic faith, against the profanity, as they say, of the Manicheans; with no other view except, a horrible heresy being presented to them, whose adversaries they profess themselves to be, to lie hid as the enemies of grace in praise of nature? For who at any time has stirred any question of these matters against them? or what catholic is displeased because they condemn those whom the apostle foretold as departing from the faith, having their conscience seared, forbidding to marry, abstaining from meats that they think unclean, not thinking that all things were created by God?[2623]2622 Who at any time constrained them to deny that every creature of God is good, and there is no substance which the supreme God has not made, except God Himself, who was not made by any? It is not such things as these, which it is plain are catholic truths, that are rebuked and condemned in them; because not alone the catholic faith holds in detestation the Manichean impiety as exceedingly foolish and mischievous, but also all heretics who are not Manicheans. Whence even these Pelagians do well to utter an anathema against the Manicheans, and to speak against their errors. But they do two evil things, for which they themselves must also be anathematizedâone, that they impeach catholics under the name of Manicheans, the other, that they themselves also are introducing the heresy of a new error. For they are not therefore sound in the faith because they are not labouring under the disease of the Manicheans. The kind of pestilence is not always one and the sameâas in the bodies, so also in the minds. As, therefore, the physician of the body would not have pronounced a man free from peril of death whom he might have declared free from dropsy, if he had seen him to be sick of some other mortal disease; so truth is not acknowledged in their case because they are not Manicheans, if they are raving in some other kind of perversity. Wherefore what we anathematize with them is one thing, what we anathematize in them is another. For we hold in abhorrence with them what is rightly offensive to them also; just as, nevertheless, we hold in abhorrence in them that for which they themselves are rightly offensive.

Chapter 2 [II.]âThe Heresies of the Manicheans and Pelagians are Mutually Opposed, and are Alike Reprobated by the Catholic Church.

The Manicheans say that the good God is not the Creator of all natures; the Pelagians that God is not the Purifier, the Saviour, the Deliverer of all ages among men. The catholic Church condemns both; as well maintaining Godâs creation against the Manicheans, that no nature may be denied to be framed by Him, as maintaining against the Pelagians that in all ages human nature must be sought after as ruined. The Manicheans rebuke the concupiscence of the flesh, not as if it were an accidental vice, but as if it were a nature bad from eternity; the Pelagians approve it as if it were no vice, but even a natural good. The catholic faith condemns both, saying to the Manicheans, âlt is not nature, but it is vice;â saying to the

Pelagians, alt is not of the Father, but it is of the world; a in order that both may allow it as an evil sickness to be curedathe former by ceasing to believe it, as it were, incurable, the latter by ceasing to proclaim it as laudable. The Manicheans deny that to a good man the beginning of evil came from free will: the Pelagians say that even a bad man has free will sufficiently to perform the good commandment. The catholic Church condemns both, saying to the former, aGod made man upright, a[2624]2623 and saying to the latter, alf the Son shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed.a[2625]2624 The Manicheans say that the soul, as a particle of God, has sin by the commixture of an evil nature; the Pelagians say that the soul is upright, not indeed a particle, but a creature of God, and has not even in this corruptible life any sin. The catholic Church condemns both, saving to the Manicheans, âEither make the tree good and its fruit good, or make the tree evil and its fruit evil, a[2626]2625 which would not be said to man who cannot make his own nature, unless because sin is not nature, but vice; and saying to the Pelagians, alf we say that we have no sin we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[2627]2626 In these diseases, opposed as they are to one another, the Manicheans and the Pelagians are at issue, with dissimilar will but with similar vanity, separated by different opinions, but close together by a perverse mind.

Chapter 3.âHow Far the Manicheans and Pelagians are Joined in Error; How Far They are Separated.

Still, indeed, they alike oppose the grace of Christ, they alike make His baptism of no account, they alike dishonour His flesh; but, moreover, they do these things in different ways and for different reasons. For the Manicheans assert that divine assistance is given to the merits of a good nature, but the Pelagians, to the merits of a good will. The former say, God owes this to the labours of His members; the latter say, God owes this to the virtues of His servants. In both cases, therefore, the reward is not imputed according to grace, but according to debt. The Manicheans contend, with a profane heart, that the washing of regenerationathat is, the water itselfais superfluous, and is of no advantage. But the Pelagians assert that what is said in holy baptism for the putting away of sins is of no avail to infants, as they have no sin; and thus in the baptism of infants, as far as pertains to the remission of sins, the Manicheans destroy the visible element, but the Pelagians destroy even the invisible sacrament. The Manicheans dishonour Christâs flesh by blaspheming the birth from the Virgin; but the Pelagians by making the flesh of those to be redeemed equal to the flesh of the Redeemer. Since Christ was born, not of course in sinful flesh, but in the likeness of sinful flesh, while the flesh of the rest of mankind is born sinful. The Manicheans, therefore, who absolutely abominate all flesh, take away the manifest truth from the flesh of Christ; but the Pelagians, who maintain that no flesh is born sinful, take away from Christâs flesh its special and proper dignity.

Chapter 4.âThe Two Contrary Errors.

Let the Pelagians, then, cease to object to the catholics that which

they are not, but let them rather hasten to amend what they themselves are; and let them not wish to be considered deserving of approval because they are opposed to the hateful error of the Manicheans, but let them acknowledge themselves to be deservedly hateful because they do not put away their own error. For two errors may be opposed to one another, although both are to be reprobated because both are alike opposed to the truth. For if the Pelagians are to be loved because they hate the Manicheans, the Manicheans should also be loved because they hate the Pelagians. But be it far from our catholic mother to choose some to love on the ground that they hate others, when by the warning and help of the Lord she ought to avoid both, and should desire to heal both.

Chapter 5 [III.]âThe Calumny of the Pelagians Against the Clergy of the Roman Church.

Moreover, they accuse the Roman clergy, writing, aThat, driven by the fear of a command, they have not blushed to be guilty of the crime of prevarication; so that, contrary to their previous judgment, wherein by their proceedings they had assented to the catholic dogma, they subsequently pronounced that the nature of men is evil.â Nay, but the Pelagians had conceived, with a false hope, that the new and execrable dogma of Pelagius or CAlestius could be made acceptable to the catholic intelligences of certain Romans, when those crafty spiritsâhowever perverted by a wicked error, yet not contemptible, since they appeared rather to be deserving of considerate correction than of easy condemnationawere treated with somewhat more of lenity than the stricter discipline of the Church required. For while so many and such important ecclesiastical documents were passing and repassing between the Apostolical See and the African bishops,[2628]2627âand,moreover, when the proceedings in this matter in that see were completed, with CAlestius present and making answer, awhat sort of a letter, what decree, is found of Pope Zosimus, of venerable memory, wherein he prescribed that it must be believed that man is born without any taint of original sin? Absolutely he never said thisânever wrote it at all. But since CÅlestius had written this in his pamphlet, among those matters, merely, on which he confessed that he was still in doubt and desired to be instructed, the desire of amendment in a man of so acute an intellect, who, if he could be put right, would assuredly be of advantage to many, and not the falsehood of the doctrine, was approved. And therefore his pamphlet was called catholic, because this also is the part of a catholic disposition, aif by chance in any matters a man thinks differently from what the truth demands, not with the greatest accuracy to define those matters, but, if detected and demonstrated, to reject them. For it was not to heretics, but to catholics, that the apostle was speaking when he said, âLet us, therefore, as many as are perfect, be thus minded; and if in anything ye be otherwise minded, God shall reveal even this unto you.a[2629]2628 This was thought to have been the case in him when he replied that he consented to the letters of Pope Innocent of blessed memory, in which all doubt about this matter was removed. And in order that this might be made fuller and more manifest in him, matters were delayed until letters should come from Africa, in which province his craftiness had in some sort

become more evidently known. And afterwards these letters came to Rome containing this, that it was not sufficient for men of more sluggish and anxious minds that he confessed his general consent to the letters of Bishop Innocent, but that he ought openly to anathematize the mischievous statements which he had made in his pamphlet: lest if he did not do so, many people of better intelligence should rather believe that in his pamphlet those poisons of the faith had been approved by the catholic see, because it had been affirmed by that see that that pamphlet was catholic, than that they had been amended because of his answer that he consented to the letters of Pope Innocent. Then, therefore, when his presence was demanded, in order that by certain and clear answers either the craft of the man or his correction might plainly appear and remain doubtful to no one, he withdrew himself and refused the examination. Neither would the delay which had already been made for the advantage of others have taken place, if it could not be of advantage to the pertinacity and madness of those who were excessively perverse. But if, which be far from the case, it had so been judged in the Roman Church concerning CAlestius or Pelagius, that those dogmas of theirs, which in themselves and with themselves Pope Innocent had condemned, should be pronounced worthy of approval and maintenance, the mark of prevarication would rather have to be branded on the Roman clergy for this. But now, when the first letters of the most blessed Pope Innocent, in reply to the letters of the African bishops. [2630] 2629 would have equally condemned this error which these men are endeavouring to commend to us; and his successor, the holy Pope Zosimus, would never have said, never have written, that this dogma which these men think concerning infants is to be held; nay, would even have bound CÅlestius by a repeated sentence, when he endeavoured to clear himself, to a consent to the above-mentioned letters of the Apostolic See; assuredly, whatever in the meanwhile was done more leniently concerning CÅlestius, provided the stability of the most ancient and robust faith were maintained, was the most merciful persuasion of correction, not the most pernicious approval of wickedness; and that afterwards, by the same priesthood, CAlestius and Pelagius were condemned by repeated authority, was the proof of a severity, for a little while intermitted, at length of necessity to be carried out, not a denial of a previously-known truth or a new acknowledgment of truth.

Chapter 6 [IV.] âWhat Was Done in the Case of CÅlestius and Zosimus.

But what need is there for us to delay longer in speaking of this matter, when there are extant here and there proceedings and writings drawn up, where all those things just as they were transacted may be either learnt or recalled? For who does not see in what degree CÅlestius was bound by the interrogations of your holy predecessor and by the answers of CÅlestius, whereby he professed that he consented to the letters of Pope Innocent, and fastened by a most wholesome chain, so as not to dare any further to maintain that the original sin of infants is not put away in baptism? Because these are the words of the venerable Bishop Innocent concerning this matter to the Carthaginian Council: âFor once,â he said, âhe bore free will; but, using his advantage inconsiderately, and falling into the depths of apostasy, he was overwhelmed, and found no way whereby he could rise from thence; and, deceived for ever by his liberty, he would have lain under the oppression of this ruin, if the advent of Christ had not subsequently for his grace delivered him, and, by the purification of a new regeneration, purged all past sin by the washing of His baptism.â[2631]2630 What could be more clear or more manifest than that judgment of the Apostolical See? To this CAlestius professed that he assented, when it was said to him by your holy predecessor, aDo you condemn all those things that are bandied about under your name?â and he himself replied, al condemn them in accordance with the judgment of your predecessor Innocent, of blessed memory.â But among other things which had been uttered under his name, the deacon Paulinus had objected to CÅlestius that he said athat the sin of Adam was prejudicial to himself alone, and not to the human race, and that infants newly born were in the same condition in which Adam was before his sin.â[2632]2631 Accordingly, if he would condemn the views objected to by Paulinus with a truthful heart and tongue, according to the judgment of the blessed Pope Innocent, what could remain to him afterwards whence he could contend that there was no sin in infants resulting from the past transgression of the first man, which would be purged in holy baptism by the purification of the new regeneration? But he showed that he had answered deceitfully by the final event, when he withdrew himself from the examination, lest he should be compelled, according to the African rescripts, absolutely to mention and anathematize the very words themselves concerning this question which he wrote in his tractate.

Chapter 7.âHe Suggests a Dilemma to CÅlestius.

What was that which the same pope replied to the bishops of Numidia concerning this very cause, because he had received letters from both Councils, as well from the Council of Carthage as from the Council of Mileveâdoes he not speak most plainly concerning infants? For these are his words: [2633]2632 aFor what your Fraternity [2634]2633 asserts that they preach, that infants can be endowed with the rewards of eternal life even without the grace of baptism, is excessively silly; for unless they shall eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink His blood, they shall not have life in themselves. [2635]2634 And they who maintain this as being theirs without regeneration, appear to me to wish to destroy baptism itself, since they proclaim that these have that which we believe is not to be conferred on them without baptism.â What does the ungrateful man say to this, when the Apostolic See had already spared him on his profession, as if he were corrected by its most benignant lenity? What does he say to this? Will infants after the end of their life, even if while they live they are not baptized in Christ, be in eternal life, or will they not? If he should say, aThey will, a how then did he answer that he had condemned what had been uttered under his name according to the judgment of Innocent, of blessed memorya? Lo. Pope Innocent, of blessed memory, says that infants have not life without Christâs baptism, and without partaking of Christâs body and blood. If he should say, âThey will not, â how then, if they do not receive eternal life, are they certainly by consequence condemned in eternal death if they derive no original sin?

Chapter 8.âThe Catholic Faith Concerning Infants.

What do they say to these things who dare also to write their mischievous impieties, and dare to send them to the Eastern bishops? CÅlestius is held to have given consent to the letters of the venerable Innocent: the letters themselves of the prelate mentioned are read, and he writes that infants who are not baptized cannot have life. And who will deny that, as a consequence, they have death, if they have not life? Whence, then, in infants, is so wretched a penalty as that, if there is no original fault? How, then, are the Roman clergy charged with prevarication by those forsakers of the faith and opponents of grace under Bishop Zosimus, as if they had had any other view in the subsequent condemnation of CÅlestius and Pelagius than that which they had in a former one under Innocent? Because, certainly, since by the letters of the venerable Innocent concerning the abode of infants in eternal death unless they were baptized in Christ, the antiquity of the catholic faith shone forth, assuredly he would rather be a prevaricator from the Roman Church who should deviate from that judgment; and since with Godâs blessing this did not happen, but that judgment itself was constantly maintained in the repeated condemnation of CÅlestius and Pelagius, let them understand that they themselves are in the position wherein they accuse others of being, and let them hereafter be healed of their prevarication from the faith. Because the catholic faith does not say that the nature of man is bad in as far as he was made man at first by the Creator; nor now is what God creates in that nature when He makes men from men, his evil; but what he derives from that sin of the first man.

Chapter 9 [V.]âHe Replies to the Calumnies of the Pelagians.

And now we must look to those things which they objected to us in their letters, and briefly mentioned. And to these this is my answer. We do not say that by the sin of Adam free will perished out of the nature of men; but that it avails for sinning in men subjected to the devil; while it is not of avail for good and pious living, unless the will itself of man should be made free by Godâs grace, and assisted to every good movement of action, of speech, of thought. We say that no one but the Lord God is the maker of those who are born, and that marriage was ordained not by the devil, but by God Himself; yet that all are born under sin on account of the fault of propagation, and that, therefore, all are under the devil until they are born again in Christ. Nor are we maintaining fate under the name of grace, because we say that the grace of God is preceded by no merits of man. If, however, it is agreeable to any to call the will of the Almighty God by the name of fate, while we indeed shun profane novelties of words, we have no use for contending about words.

Chapter 10.âWhy the Pelagians Falsely Accuse Catholics of Maintaining Fate Under the Name of Grace.

But, as I was somewhat more attentively considering for what reason they should think it well to object this to us, that we assert fate under the name of grace, I first of all looked into those words of theirs which follow. For thus they have thought that this was to be objected to us: âUnder the name,â say they, âof grace, they so assert fate as to say that unless God inspired unwilling and resisting man with the desire of good, and that good imperfect, he would neither be able to decline from evil nor to lay hold of good.â Then a little after, where they mention what they maintain, I gave heed to what was said by them about this matter. aWe confess, a say they, that baptism is necessary for all ages, and that grace, moreover, assists the good purpose of everybody; but yet that it does not infuse the love of virtue into a reluctant one, because there is no acceptance of persons with God. a[2636]2635 From these words of theirs. I perceived that for this reason they either think, or wish it to be thought, that we assert fate under the name of grace, because we say that Godâs grace is not given in respect of our merits, but according to His own most merciful will, in that He said, al will be gracious to whom I will be gracious, and will show mercy on whom I will show mercy. a[2637]2636 Where, by way of consequence, it is added, a Therefore it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.â[2638]2637 Here any one might be equally foolish in thinking or saying that the apostle is an assertor of fate. But here these people sufficiently lay themselves open; for when they malign us by saying that we maintain fate under the name of grace, because we say that Godâs grace is not given on account of our merits, beyond a doubt they confess that they themselves say that it is given on account of our merits; thus their blindness could not conceal and dissimulate that they believe and think thus, although, when this view was objected to him, Pelagius, in the episcopal judgment of Palestine, with crafty fear condemned it. For it was objected to him from the words of his own disciple CAlestius, indeed, that he himself also was in the habit of saying that Godâs grace is given on account of our merits. And he in abhorrence, or in pretended abhorrence, of this, did not delay, with his lips at least, to anathematize it;[2639]2638 but, as his later writings indicate, and the assertion of those followers of his makes evident, he kept it in his deceitful heart, until afterwards his boldness might put forth in letters[2640]2639 what the cunning of a denier had then hidden for fear. And still the Pelagian bishops do not dread, and at least are not ashamed, to send their letters to the catholic Eastern bishops, in which they charge us with being assertors of fate because we do not say that even grace is given according to our merits; although Pelagius, fearing the Eastern bishops, did not dare to say this, and so was compelled to condemn it.

Chapter 11 [VI.]âThe Accusation of Fate is Thrown Back Upon the Adversaries.

But is it true, O children of pride, enemies of Godâs grace, new Pelagian heretics, that whoever says that all manâs good deservings are preceded by Godâs grace, and that Godâs grace is not given to merits, lest it should not be grace if it is not given freely but be repaid as due to those who deserve it, seems to you to assert fate? Do not you yourselves also say, whatever be your purpose, that baptism is necessary for all ages? Have you not written in this very letter of yours that opinion concerning baptism, and that concerning grace, side by side? Why did not baptism, which is given to infants, by that very juxtaposition admonish you what you ought to think concerning grace? For these are your words: âWe confess that baptism is necessary for all ages, and that grace, moreover, assists the good purpose of everybody; but yet that it does not infuse the love of virtue into a reluctant one, because there is no acceptance of persons with God.â In all these words of yours, I for the meanwhile say nothing of what you have said concerning grace. But give a reason concerning baptism, why you should say that it is necessary for all ages; say why it is necessary for infants. Assuredly because it confers some good upon them; and that same something is neither small nor moderate, but of great account. For although you deny that they contract the original sin which is remitted in baptism, yet you do not deny that in that laver of regeneration they are adopted from the sons of men unto the sons of God; nay, you even preach this. Tell us, then, how the infants, whoever they are, that are baptized in Christ and have departed from the body, received so lofty a gift as this, and with what preceding merits. If you should say that they have deserved this by the piety of their parents, it will be replied to you, Why is this benefit sometimes denied to the children of pious people and given to the children of the wicked? For sometimes the offspring born from religious people, in tender age, and thus fresh from the womb, is forestalled by death before it can be washed in the laver of regeneration, and the infant born of Christâs foes is baptized in Christ by the mercy of Christians, athe baptized mother bewails her own little one not baptized, and the chaste virgin gathers in to be baptized a foreign offspring, exposed by an unchaste mother. Here, certainly, the merits of parents are wanting, and even by your own confession the merits of the infants themselves are wanting also. For we know that you do not believe this of the human soul, that it has lived somewhere before it inhabited this earthly body, and has done something either of good or of evil for which it might deserve such difference in the flesh. What cause, then, has procured baptism for this infant, and has denied it to that? Do they have fate because they do not have merit? or is there in these things acceptance of persons with God? For you have said both, afterwards acceptance of persons, athat, since both must be refuted, there may remain the merit which you wish to introduce against grace. Answer, then, concerning the merits of infants, why some should depart from their bodies baptized, others not baptized, and by the merits of their parents neither possess nor fail of so excellent a gift that they should become sons of God from sons of men, by no deserving of their parents. by no deservings of their own. You are silent, forsooth, and you find yourselves rather in the same position which you object to us. For if when there is no merit you say that consequently there is fate, and on this account wish the merit of man to be understood in the grace of God, lest you should be compelled to confess fate; see, you rather assert a fate in the baptism of infants, since you avow that in them there is no merit. But if, in the case of infants to be baptized, you deny that any merit at all precedes, and yet do not concede that there is a fate, why do you cry out, awhen we say that the grace of God is therefore given freely, lest it should not be grace, and is not repaid as if it were due to preceding merits, athat we are assertors of fate?ânot perceiving that in the justification of the wicked, as there are no merits because it is Godâs grace, so that it is not fate because it is Godâs grace, and so that it is not acceptance of persons because it is Godâs grace.

Chapter 12.âWhat is Meant Under the Name of Fate.

Because they who affirm fate contend that not only actions and events, but, moreover, our very wills themselves depend on the position of the stars at the time in which one is conceived or born; which positions they call aconstellations a But the grace of God stands above not only all stars and all heavens, but, moreover, all angels. In a word, the assertors of fate attribute both menâs good and evil doings and fortunes to fate; but God in the ill fortunes of men follows up their merits with due retribution, while good fortunes He bestows by undeserved grace with a merciful will; doing both the one and the other not according to a temporal conjunction of stars, but according to the eternal and high counsel of His severity and goodness. We see, then, that neither belongs to fate. Here, if you answer that this very benevolence of God, by which He follows not merits, but bestows undeserved benefits with gratuitous bounty, should rather be called âfate,â when the apostle calls this âgrace,â saying, âBy grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves, but it is the gift of God; not of works, lest perchance any one should be lifted up,â[2641]2640âdo you not consider, do you not perceive that it is not by us that fate is asserted under the name of grace, but it is rather by you that divine grace is called by the name of fate?

Chapter 13 [VII.]âHe Repels the Calumny Concerning the Acceptance of Persons.

And, moreover, we rightly call it acceptance of personsa where he who judges, neglecting the merit of the cause concerning which he is judging, favours the one against the other, because he finds something in his person which is worthy of honour or of pity. But if any one have two debtors, and he choose to remit the debt to the one, to require it of the other, he gives to whom he will and defrauds nobody; nor is this to be called aacceptance of persons, a since there is no injustice. The acceptance of persons may seem otherwise to those who are of small understanding, where the lord of the vineyard gave to those labourers who had done work therein for one hour as much as to those who had borne the burden and heat of the day, making them equal in wages in the labour of whom there had been such a difference. But what did he reply to those who murmured against the goodman of the house concerning this, as it were, acceptance of persons? aFriend, a said he, all do thee no wrong. Hast not thou agreed with me for a denarius? Take what thine is, and go; but I choose to give to this last as to thee. Is it not lawful to me to do what I will? Is thine eye evil because I am good?a[2642]2641 Here, forsooth, is the entire justice: âl choose this. To thee, â he says, âl have repaid; on him l have bestowed; nor have I taken anything away from thee to bestow it on him; nor have I either diminished or denied what I owed to you.â âMay I not do what I will? Is thine eye evil because I am good?â As, therefore, here there is no acceptance of persons, because one is honoured freely in such wise as that another is not defrauded of what is due to him: so also when, according to the purpose of God, one is called, another is not called, a gratuitous benefit is bestowed on the one that is called, of which benefit the calling itself is the

beginning, âan evil is repaid to him that is not called, because all are guilty, from the fact that by one man sin entered into the world. And in that parable of the labourers, indeed, where they received one denarius who laboured for one hour, as well as those who laboured twelve times as long. athough assuredly these latter, according to human reasonings, however vain, ought in proportion to the amount of their labour to have received twelve denarii, aboth were put on an equality in respect of benefit, not some delivered and others condemned; because even those who laboured more had it from the goodman of the house himself, both that they were so called as to come, and that they were so fed as to have no want. But where it is said, âTherefore, on whom He will He has mercy, and whom He will He hardeneth.a[2643]2642 who amaketh one vessel to honour and another to dishonoura[2644]2643 it is given indeed without deserving, and freely, because he is of the same mass to whom it is not given; but evil is deservedly and of debt repaid, since in the mass of perdition evil is not repaid to the evil unjustly. And to him to whom it is repaid it is evil, because it is his punishment; while to Him by whom it is repaid it is good, because it is His right to do it. Nor is there any acceptance of persons in the case of two debtors equally guilty, if to the one is remitted and from the other is claimed that which is equally owed by both.

Chapter 14.âHe Illustrates His Argument by an Example.

But that what I am saying may be made clear by the exhibition of an example, let us suppose certain twins, born of a certain harlot, and exposed that they might be taken up by others. One of them has expired without baptism; the other is baptized. What can we say was in this case the afatea or the afortune, a which are here absolutely nothing? What aacceptance of persons, a when with God there is none, even if there could be any such thing in these cases, seeing that they certainly had nothing for which the one could be preferred to the other, and no merits of their own, awhether good, for which the one might deserve to be baptized; or evil, for which the other might deserve to die without baptism? Were there any merits in their parents, when the father was a fornicator, the mother a harlot? But of whatever kind those merits were, there were certainly not any that were different in those who died in such different conditions, but all were common to both. If, then, neither fate, since no stars made them to differ; nor fortune, since no fortuitous accidents produce these things; nor the diversity of persons nor of merits have done this; what remains, so far as it refers to the baptized child, save the grace of God, which is given freely to vessels made unto honour; but, as it refers to the unbaptized child, the wrath of God, which is repaid to the vessels made for dishonour in respect of the deservings of the lump itself? But in that one which is baptized we constrain you to confess the grace of God, and convince you that no merit of its own preceded; but as to that one which died without baptism, why that sacrament should have been wanting to it, which even you confess to be needful for all ages, and what in that manner may have been punished in him, it is for you to see who will not have it that there is any original sin.

Chapter 15.âThe Apostle Meets the Question by Leaving It Unsolved.

Since in the case of those two twins we have without a doubt one and the same case, the difficulty of the question why the one died in one way, and the other in another, is solved by the apostle as it were by not solving it; for, when he had proposed something of the same kind about two twins, seeing that it was said (not of works, since they had not as yet done anything either of good or of evil, but of Him that calleth), aThe older shall serve the younger, a[2645]2644 and, aJacob have I loved, and Esau have I hated; a[2646]2645 and he had prolonged the horror of this deep thing even to the point of saying, âTherefore hath He mercy on whom He will, and whom He will He hardeneth: a[2647]2646 he perceived at once what the trouble was, and opposed to himself the words of a gainsayer which he was to check by apostolical authority. For he says, âYou say, then, unto me, âWhy doth He yet find fault? For who has resisted His will?â And to him who says this he answered, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God? Doth the thing formed say to him that formed it. Why hast thou made me thus? Hath not the potter power of the clay of the same lump to make one vessel unto honour and another unto dishonour?a[2648]2647 Then, following on, he opened up this great and hidden secret as far as he judged it fit that it should be disclosed to men, saying, aBut if God, willing to show His wrath and to demonstrate His power, endured in much patience the vessels of wrath fitted for destruction, even that He might make known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy which He has prepared for glory.a[2649]2648 This is not only the assistance, but, moreover, the proof of Godâs graceâthe assistance, namely, in the vessels of mercy, but the proof in the vessels of wrath; for in these He shows His anger and makes known His power, because His goodness is so mighty that He even uses the evil well; and in those He makes known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy, because what the justice of a punisher requires from the vessels of wrath, the grace of the Deliverer remits to the vessels of mercy. Nor would the kindness which is bestowed on some freely appear, unless to other equally guilty and from the same mass God showed what was really due to both, and condemned them with a righteous judgment. âFor who maketh thee to differ?â[2650]2649 says the same apostle to a man as it were boasting concerning himself and his own benefits. aFor who maketh thee to differa from the vessels of wrath: of course, from the mass of perdition which has sent all by one into damnation? aWho maketh thee to differ?â And as if he had answered, âMy faith maketh me to differ, âmy purpose, my merit, ââhe says, âFor what hast thou which thou hast not received? But if thou hast received it, why dost thou boast as if thou receivedst it not?ââthat is, as if that by which thou art made to differ were of thine own. Therefore He maketh thee to differ who bestows that whence thou art made to differ, by removing the penalty that is due, by conferring the grace which is not due. He maketh to differ, who, when the darkness was upon the face of the abyss, said, âLet there be light; and there was light, and dividedââthat is, made to differââbetween the light and the darkness.â[2651]2650 For when there was only darkness, He did not find what He should make to differ; but by making the light, He made to differ; so that it may be said to the justified wicked, aFor ye were sometime darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord. a[2652]2651 And

thus he who glories must glory not in himself, but in the Lord. He makes to differ whoaof those who are not yet born, and who have not yet done any good or evil, that His purpose, according to the election, might stand not of works, but of Himself that callethâsaid, The older shall serve the younger, and commending that very purpose afterwards by the mouth of the prophet, said, aJacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated.â[2653]2652 Because he said âthe election,â and in this God does not find made by another what He may choose, but Himself makes what He may find; just as it is written of the remnant of Israel: aThere is made a remnant by the election of grace; but if by grace, then it is no more of works, otherwise grace is no more grace.â[2654]2653 On which account you are certainly foolish who, when the Truth declares, aNot of works, but of Him that calleth, it was said.â say that Jacob was loved on account of future works which God foreknew that he would do, and thus contradict the apostle when he says, aNot of works; as if he could not have said, aNot of present, but of future works.â But he says, âNot of works,â that He might commend grace; abut if of grace, now is it no more of works, otherwise grace is no more grace. â For grace, not due, but free, precedes, that by it good works may be done; but if good works should precede, grace should be repaid, as it were, to works, and thus grace should be no more grace.

Chapter 16.âThe Pelagians are Refuted by the Case of the Twin Infants Dying, the One After, and the Other Without, the Grace of Baptism.

But that every lurking-place of your darkness may be taken away from you, I have proposed to you the case of such twins as were not assisted by the merits of their parents, and both died in the very beginning of infancy, the one baptized, the other without baptism; lest you should say that God foreknew their future works, as you say of Jacob and Esau, in opposition to the apostle. For how did He foreknow that those things should be, which, in those infants who were to die in infancy, He rather foreknew as not to be, since His foreknowledge cannot be deceived? Or what does it profit those who are taken away from this life that wickedness may not change their understanding, nor deceit beguile their soul, if even the sin which has not been done, said, or thought, is thus punished as if it had been committed? Because, if it is most absurd, silly, and senseless. that certain men should have to be condemned for those sins, the guilt of which they could neither derive from their parents, as you say, nor could incur themselves, either by committing them, or even by conceiving of them, there comes back to you that unbaptized twin brother of the baptized one, and silently asks you for what reason he was made to differ from his brother in respect of happiness, awhy he was punished with that infelicity, so that, while his brother was adopted into a child of God, he himself should not receive that sacrament which, as you confess, is necessary for every age, if, even as there is not a fortune or a fate, or an acceptance of persons with God, so there is no gift of grace without merits, and no original sin. To this dumb child you absolutely submit your tongue and voice; to this witness who says nothing, ayou have nothing at all to say!

Chapter 17 [VIII.]âEven the Desire of an Imperfect Good is a Gift of

Grace, Otherwise Grace Would Be Given According to Merits.

Let us now see, as we can, the nature of this thing which they will have to precede in man, in order that he may be regarded as worthy of the assistance of grace, and to the merit of which in him grace is not given as if unearned, but is rendered as due; and thus grace is no more grace. Let us see, however, what this is. aUnder the name, a say they, a f grace, they so assert fate as to say that unless God should have inspired the desire for good, and that, imperfect good, into unwilling and resisting man, he would neither be able to decline from evil nor to grasp after good â I have already shown what empty things they speak about fate and grace. Now the question which I ought to consider is this, whether God inspires the desire of good into unwilling and resisting man, that he may be no longer unwilling, no longer resisting, but consenting to the good and willing the good. For those men will have it that the desire of good in man begins from man himself; that the merit of this beginning is, moreover, attended with the grace of completionaif, at least, they will allow so much as even this. For Pelagius says that what is good is âmore easily a fulfilled if grace assists. [2655]2654 By which additionathat is, by adding amore easilyââhe certainly signifies that he is of the opinion that, even if the aid of grace should be wanting, yet good might be accomplished, although with greater difficulty, by free will. But let me prescribe to my present opponents what they should think in this matter, without speaking of the author of this heresy himself. Let us allow them, with their free will, to be free even from Pelagius himself, and rather give heed to their words which they have written in this letter to which I am replying.

Chapter 18.âThe Desire of Good is Godâs Gift.

For they have thought that it was to be objected to us that we say athat God inspires into unwilling and resisting man the desire, a not of any very great good, but aeven of imperfect good a Possibly, then, they themselves are keeping open, in some sense at least, a place for grace, as thinking that man may have the desire of good without grace, but only of imperfect good; while of perfect, he could not easily have the desire with grace, but except with it they could not have it at all. Truly, even in this way, too, they are saying that Godâs grace is given according to our merits, which Pelagius, in the ecclesiastical meeting in the East, condemned, in the fear of being condemned. For if without Godas grace the desire of good begins with ourselves, merit itself will have begunato which, as if of debt, comes the assistance of grace; and thus Godâs grace will not be bestowed freely, but will be given according to our merit. But that he might furnish a reply to the future Pelagius, the Lord does not say, aWithout me it is with difficulty that you can do anything, a but He says, a Without me ye can do nothing.â[2656]2655 And, that He might also furnish an answer to these future heretics, in that very same evangelical saying He does not say, aWithout me you can perfect nothing, a but adoa nothing. For if He had said aperfect, a they might say that Godas aid is necessary not for beginning good, which is of ourselves, but for perfecting it. But let them hear also the apostle. For when the Lord says, âWithout me ve can do nothing, a in this one word He comprehends both the

beginning and the ending. The apostle, indeed, as if he were an expounder of the Lordâs saying, distinguished both very clearly when he says, âBecause He who hath begun a good work in you will perfect it even to the day of Christ Jesus. a[2657]2656 But in the Holy Scriptures, in the writings of the same apostle, we find more about that of which we are speaking. For we are now speaking of the desire of good, and if they will have this to begin of ourselves and to be perfected by God, let them see what they can answer to the apostle when he says, aNot that we are sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.â[2658]2657 âTo think anything, a he says, a he certainly means, ato think anything good; a but is it less to think than to desire. Because we think all that we desire, but we do not desire all that we think; because sometimes also we think what we do not desire. Since, then, it is a smaller thing to think than to desire, afor a man may think good which he does not yet desire, and by advancing may afterwards desire what before without desire he thought of, ahow are we not sufficient as of ourselves to that which is less, that is, to the thinking of something good, but our sufficiency is of God; while to that which is greater, athat is, to the desire of some good thingawithout the divine help, we are sufficient of free will? For what the apostle says here is not, aNot that we are sufficient as of ourselves to think that which is perfect; a but he says, ato think anything, a to which anothing a is the contrary. And this is the meaning of what the Lord says, aWithout me ye can do nothing.â

Chapter 19 [IX.]âHe Interprets the Scriptures Which the Pelagians Make III Use of.

But assuredly, as to what is written, a The preparation of the heart is manâs part, and the answer of the tongue is from the Lord, a[2659]2658 they are misled by an imperfect understanding, so as to think that to prepare the heartâthat is, to begin goodâpertains to man without the aid of Godâs grace. Be it far from the children of promise thus to understand it! As if, when they heard the Lord saying, aWithout me ye can do nothing, a[2660]2659 they would convict Him by saying, aBehold without Thee we can prepare the heart; a or when they heard from Paul the apostle, aNot that we are sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.â[2661]2660 as if they would also convict him, saying, âBehold, we are sufficient of ourselves to prepare our heart, and thus also to think some good thing; for who can without good thought prepare his heart for good?â Be it far from any thus to understand the passage, except the proud maintainers of free will and forsakers of the catholic faith! Therefore, since it is written, alt is manas part to prepare the heart, and the answer of the tongue is from the Lord, a it is that man prepares his heart, not, however, without the aid of God, who so touches the heart that man prepares the heart. But in the answer of the tongueâthat is, in that which the divine tongue answers to the prepared heartâman has no part; but the whole is from the Lord God.

Chapter 20. aGodas Agency is Needful Even in Manas Doings.

For as it is said, alt is manas part to prepare his heart, and the

answer of the tongue is from the Lord; a so also is it said, aOpen thy mouth, and I will fill it.a[2662]2661 For although, save by His assistance without whom we can do nothing, we cannot open our mouth, yet we open it by His aid and by our own agency, while the Lord fills it without our agency. For what is to prepare the heart and to open the mouth, but to prepare the will? And yet in the same scriptures is read, aThe will is prepared by the Lord, a[2663]2662 and, aThou shalt open my lips, and my mouth shall show forth Thy praise.â[2664]2663 So God admonishes us to prepare our will in what we read. a It is manas part to prepare his heart; and vet, that man may do this, God helps him, because the will is prepared by the Lord. And, a Open thy mouth.a This He so says by way of command, as that nobody can do this unless it is done by His aid, to whom it is said, aThou shalt open my lips.a Are any of these men so foolish as to contend that the mouth is one thing, the lips another; and to say with marvellous triviality that man opens his own mouth, and God opens manâs lips? And yet God restrains them from even that absurdity where He says to Moses His servant, al will open thy mouth, and I will instruct thee what thou oughtest to speak. a[2665]2664 In that clause, therefore, where He says, aOpen thy mouth and I will fill it, a it seems, as it were, that one of them pertains to man, the other to God. But in this, where it is said, al will open thy mouth and will instruct thee, a both belong to God. Why is this, except that in one of these cases He co-operates with man as the agent, in the other He does it alone?

Chapter 21.âMan Does No Good Thing Which God Does Not Cause Him to Do.

Wherefore God does many good things in man which man does not do; but man does none which God does not cause man to do. Accordingly, there would be no desire of good in man from the Lord if it were not a good; but if it is a good, we have it not save from Him who is supremely and incommunicably good. For what is the desire for good but love, of which John the apostle speaks without any ambiguity, and says, aLove is of Goda?[2666]2665 Nor is its beginning of ourselves, and its perfection of God; but if love is of God, we have the whole of it from God. May God by all means turn away this folly of making ourselves first in His gifts, Himself last, abecause aHis mercy shall prevent me.â[2667]2666 And it is He to whom is faithfully and truthfully sung, aFor Thou hast prevented him with the blessings of sweetness.â[2668]2667 And what is here more fitly understood than that very desire of good of which we are speaking? For good begins then to be longed for when it has begun to grow sweet. But when good is done by the fear of penalty, not by the love of righteousness, good is not vet well done. Nor is that done in the heart which seems to be done in the act when a man would rather not do it if he could evade it with impunity. Therefore the ablessing of sweetnessa is Godas grace, by which is caused in us that what He prescribes to us delights us, and we desire it, athat is, we love it; in which if God does not precede us, not only is it not perfected, but it is not even begun, from us. For, if without Him we are able to do nothing actually, we are able neither to begin nor to perfect, âbecause to begin, it is said âHis mercy shall prevent me; a[2669]2668 to finish, it is said, aHis mercy shall follow me.â[2670]2669

Chapter 22 [X.]âAccording to Whose Purpose the Elect are Called.

Why, then, is it that, in what follows, where they mention what they themselves think, they say they confess a That grace also assists the good purpose of every one, but that yet it does not infuse the desire of virtue into a reluctant hearta? Because they so say this as if man of himself, without Godâs assistance, has a good purpose and a desire of virtue; and this precedent merit is worthy of being assisted by the subsequent grace of God. For they think, perchance, that the apostle thus said, aFor we know that He worketh all things for good to them that love God, to them who are called according to the purpose, a[2671]2670 so as to wish the purpose of man to be understood, which purpose, as a good merit, the mercy of the God that calleth might follow; being ignorant that it is said, aWho are called according to the purpose, a so that there may be understood the purpose of God, not man, whereby those whom He foreknew and predestinated as conformed to the image of His Son, He elected before the foundation of the world. For not all the called are called according to purpose, since amany are called, few are chosen.a[2672]2671 They, therefore, are called according to the purpose, who were elected before the foundation of the world. Of this purpose of God, that also was said which I have already mentioned concerning the twins Esau and Jacob, aThat according to the election the purpose of God might remain, not of works, but of Him that calleth; it was said, that the elder shall serve the younger.â[2673]2672 This purpose of God is also mentioned in that place where, writing to Timothy, he says, aLabour with the gospel according to the power of God, who saves us and calls us with this holy calling; not according to our works, but according to His purpose and grace, which was given to us in Christ Jesus before the eternal ages, but is now made manifest by the coming of our Saviour Jesus Christ.â[2674]2673 This, then, is the purpose of God, whereof it is said, aHe worketh together all things for good for those who are called according to the purpose. â But subsequent grace indeed assists manâs good purpose, but the purpose would not itself exist if grace did not precede. The desire of man, also, which is called good, although in beginning to exist it is aided by grace, yet does not begin without grace, but is inspired by Him of whom the apostle says, âBut thanks be to God, who has given the same desire for you in the heart of Titus.â[2675]2674 If God gives desire that every one may have it for others, who else will give it that a man may have it for himself?

Chapter 23. aNothing is Commanded to Man Which is Not Given by God.

Since these things are so, I see that nothing is commanded to man by the Lord in the Holy Scriptures, for the sake of trying his free will, which is not found either to begin by His goodness, or to be asked in order to demonstrate the aid of grace; nor does man at all begin to be changed by the beginning of faith from evil to good, unless the unbought and gratuitous mercy of God effects this in him. Of which one recalling his thought, as we read in the Psalms, says, âShall God forget to be gracious? or will He restrain His mercies in His anger? And I said, Now have I begun; this change is of the right hand of the Most High.â[2676]2675 When, therefore, he had said, âNow have I begun,â he does not say, âThis change is of my will,â but âof the right hand of the Most High.â So, therefore, let Godâs grace be thought of, that from the beginning of his good changing, even to the end of his completion, he who glorieth may glory in the Lord; because, as no one can perfect good without the Lord, so no one can begin it without the Lord. But let this be the end of this book, that the attention of the reader may be refreshed and strengthened for what follows.

## Book III.

Augustin goes on to refute other matters which are calumniously objected by the Pelagians in the same letter sent to Thessalonica; and expounds, in opposition to their heresy, what those who are truly Catholic say concerning the utility of the law; what they teach of the effect and virtue of baptism; what of the discrepancy between the two Testaments, the Old and the New; what concerning the righteousness and perfection of the prophets and apostles; what of the appellation of sin in Christ, when He is said in the likeness of sinful flesh concerning sin to have condemned sin, or to have become sin; and finally, what they profess concerning the fulfilment of the commandments in the future life.

Chapter 1 [I.]âStatement.

There still follow things which they calumniously object to us; they do not yet begin to work out those things which they themselves think. But lest the prolixity of these writings should be an offence, I have divided those matters which they object into two Books, athe former of which being completed, which is the Second Book of this entire work, I am here commencing the other, and joining it as the Third to the First and Second.

Chapter 2 [II.]âThe Misrepresentation of the Pelagians Concerning the Use of the Old Law.

They declare athat we say that the law of the Old Testament was given not for the end that it might justify the obedient, but rather that it might become the cause of greater sin.â Certainly, they do not understand what we say concerning the law; because we say what the apostle says, whom they do not understand. For who can say that they are not justified who are obedient to the law, when, unless they were justified, they could not be obedient? But we say, that by the law is effected that what God wills to be done is heard, but that by grace is effected that the law is obeyed. aFor not the hearers of the law.a says the apostle, âare just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified.a[2677]2676 Therefore the law makes hearers of righteousness, grace makes doers. aFor what was impossible to the law, a says the same apostle, ain that it was weak through the flesh, God sent His Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin condemned sin in the flesh: that the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us who walk not according to the flesh, but according to the Spirit.â[2678]2677 This is what we say;âlet them pray that they may one day understand it, and not dispute so as never to understand

it. For it is impossible that the law should be fulfilled by the flesh, that is, by carnal presumption, in which the proud, who are ignorant of the righteousness of God, athat is, which is of God to man, that he may be righteous, and desirous of establishing their own righteousness, as if by their own will, unassisted from above, the law could be fulfilled, are not subjected to the righteousness of God [2679]2678 Therefore the righteousness of the law is fulfilled in them who walk not according to the fleshathat is, according to man, ignorant of the righteousness of God and desirous of establishing his ownâbut walk according to the Spirit. But who walks according to the Spirit, except whosoever is led by the Spirit of God? aFor as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are the sons of God. a[2680]2679 Therefore athe letter killeth, but the Spirit maketh alive.a[2681]2680 And the letter is not evil because it killeth; but it convicts the wicked of transgression. aFor the law is holy, and the commandment holy and just and good. Was, then, a says he, athat which is good made death unto me? By no means; but sin, that it might appear sin, worked death in me by that which is good, that it might become above measure a sinner or a sin by the commandment.a[2682]2681 This is what is the meaning of athe letter killeth.a aFor the sting of death is sin, but the strength of sin is the law; a[2683]2682 because by the prohibition it increases the desires of sin, and thence slays a man unless grace by coming to his assistance makes him alive. [2684]2683

Chapter 3.aScriptural Confirmation of the Catholic Doctrine.

This is what we say; this is that about which they object to us that we say athat the law was so given as to be a cause of greater sin a They do not hear the apostle saying, aFor the law worketh wrath; for where no law is, there is no transgression; a[2685]2684 and, aThe law was added for the sake of transgression until the seed should come to whom the promise was made; a[2686]2685 and, alf there had been a law given which could have given life, righteousness should altogether have been by the law; but the Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe.â[2687]2686 Hence it is that the Old Testament, from the Mount Sinai, where the law was given, gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. aNow we, a says he, a are not children of the bondmaid but of the freewoman.âl268812687 Therefore they are not children of the freewoman who have accepted the law of the letter, whereby they can be shown to be not only sinners, but moreover transgressors; but they who have received the Spirit of grace, whereby the law itself, holy and just and good, may be fulfilled. This is what we say: let them attend and not contend; let them seek enlightenment and not bring false accusations.

Chapter 4 [III.] â Misrepresentation Concerning the Effect of Baptism.

âThey assert,â say they, âthat baptism, moreover, does not make men newâthat is, does not give complete remission of sins; but they contend that they are partly made children of God and partly remain children of the world, that is, of the devil.â They deceive; they lay traps; they shuffle; we do not say this. For we say that all men who are children of the devil are also children of the world; but not that all children of the world are also children of the devil. Far be it from us to say that the holy fathers Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and others of this kind, were children of the devil when they were begetting in marriage, and those believers who until now and still hereafter continue to beget. And yet we cannot contradict the Lord when He says, aThe children of this world marry and give in marriage.â[2689]2688 Some, therefore, are children of this world, and yet are not children of the devil. For although the devil is the author and source of all sins, yet it is not every sin that makes children of the devil; for the children of God also sin, since if they say they have no sins they deceive themselves, and the truth is not in them.[2690]2689 But they sin in virtue of that condition by which they are still children of this world; but by that grace wherewith they are the children of God they certainly sin not, because every one that is born of God sinneth not.[2691]2690 But unbelief makes children of the devil; and unbelief is specially called sin, as if it were the only one, if it is not expressed what is the nature of the sin. As when the âapostleâ is spoken of, if it be not expressed what apostle, none is understood but Paul; because he is better known by his many epistles, and he laboured more than they all. For which reason, in what the Lord said of the Holy Spirit, âHe shall convict the world of sin.â[2692]2691 He meant unbelief to be understood; for He said this when He was explaining, aOf sin because they believed not on me, a[2693]2692 and when He says, alf I had not come and spoken to them, they should not have sin.a[2694]2693 For He meant not that before they had no sin, but He wished to indicate that very want of faith by which they did not believe Him even when He was present to them and speaking to them; since they belonged to him of whom the apostle says, âAccording to the prince of the power of the air, who now worketh in the children of unbelief.a[2695]2694 Therefore they in whom there is not faith are the children of the devil, because they have not in the inner man any reason why there should be forgiven them whatever is committed either by human infirmity, or by ignorance, or by any evil will whatever. But those are the children of God who certainly, if they should asay that they have no sin, deceive themselves, and the truth is not in them, but immediatelya (as it continues) awhen they confess their sinsa (which the children of the devil do not do, or do not do according to the faith which is peculiar to the children of God), aHe is faithful and just to forgive them their sins, and to cleanse them from all unrighteousness. a[2696]2695 And in order that what we say may be more fully understood, let Jesus Himself be heard, who certainly was speaking to the children of God when He said: âAnd if ye, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask Him.a[2697]2696 For if these were not the children of God, He would not say to them, aYour Father which is in heaven.â And yet He says that they are evil, and that they know how to give good gifts to their children. Are they, then, evil in that they are the children of God? Away with the thought! But they are thence evil because they are still the children of this world, although now made children of God by the pledge of the Holy Spirit.

Chapter 5.âBaptism Puts Away All Sins, But It Does Not at Once Heal All Infirmities.

Baptism, therefore, washes away indeed all sinsâabsolutely all sins, whether of deeds or words or thoughts, whether original or added, whether such as are committed in ignorance or allowed in knowledge; but it does not take away the weakness which the regenerate man resists when he fights the good fight, but to which he consents when as man he is overtaken in any fault; on account of the former, rejoicing with thanksgiving, but on account of the latter, groaning in the utterance of prayers. On account of the former, saying, aWhat shall I render to the Lord for all that He has given me?a[2698]2697 On account of the latter, saying, aForgive us our debts.a[2699]2698 On account of the former, saying, al will love Thee, O Lord, my strength.â[2700]2699 On account of the latter, saying, âHave mercy on me, O Lord; for I am weak.â[2701]2700 On account of the former, saying, âMine eves are ever towards the Lord; for He shall pluck my feet out of the net.a[2702]2701 On account of the latter, saying, âMine eye is troubled with wrath.â[2703]2702 And there are innumerable passages with which the divine writings are filled, which alternately, either in exultation over Godâs benefits or in lamentation over our own evils, are uttered by children of God by faith as long as they are still children of this world in respect of the weakness of this life; whom, nevertheless, God distinguishes from the children of the devil, not only by the laver of regeneration, but moreover by the righteousness of that faith which worketh by love, because the just lives by faith. But this weakness with which we contend, with alternating failure and progress, even to the death of the body, and which is of great importance as to what it can overcome in us, shall be consumed by another regeneration, of which the Lord says, all the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit on the throne of His glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, a[2704]2703 etc. Certainly in this passage He without doubt calls the last resurrection the regeneration, which Paul the Apostle also calls both the adoption and the redemption, where he says, aBut even we ourselves, which have the first-fruits of the Spirit, ourselves also groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, the redemption, of our body.a[2705]2704 Have we not been regenerated, adopted, and redeemed by the holy washing? And yet there remains a regeneration, an adoption, a redemption, which we ought now patiently to be waiting for as to come in the end, that we may then be in no degree any longer children of this world. Whosoever, then, takes away from baptism that which we only receive by its means, corrupts the faith; but whosoever attributes to it now that which we shall receive by its means indeed, but yet hereafter, cuts off hope. For if any one should ask of me whether we have been saved by baptism, I shall not be able to deny it, since the apostle says, âHe saved us by the washing of regeneration and renewing of the Holy Ghost.â[2706]2705 But if he should ask whether by the same washing He has already absolutely in every way saved us, I shall answer: It is not so. Because the same apostle also says, aFor we are saved by hope; but hope that is seen is not hope: for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, we with patience wait for it.a[2707]2706 Therefore the salvation of man is effected in baptism, because whatever sin he has derived from his parents is remitted, or whatever, moreover, he himself has sinned on his own account before baptism; but his salvation will hereafter be such that

he cannot sin at all.

Chapter 6 [IV.]âThe Calumny Concerning the Old Testament and the Righteous Men of Old.

Now if these things are so, out of these things are rebutted those which they subsequently object to us. For what catholic would say that which they charge us with saying, athat the Holy Spirit was not the assister of virtue in the old testament.â unless when we so understand athe old testamenta in the manner in which the apostle spoke of it as âgendering from Mount Sinai into bondageâ? But because in it was prefigured the new testament, the men of God who at that time understood this according to the ordering of the times, were indeed the stewards and bearers of the old testament, but are shown to be the heirs of the new. Shall we deny that he belongs to the new testament who says, aCreate in me a clean heart, O God; and renew a right spirit within mea?[2708]2707 or he who says, aHe hath set my feet upon a rock, and directed my goings; and he hath put a new song in my mouth, even a hymn to our Goda?[2709]2708 or that father of the faithful before the old testament which is from Mount Sinai, of whom the apostle says, aBrethren, I speak after the manner of men; yet even a manâs testament, when it is confirmed, no man disannulleth or addeth thereto. To Abraham and to his seed were the promises made. He saith not. And to seeds, as of many, but as of one; and to thy seed, which is Christ. And this I say, a said he, a that the testament confirmed by God, the law which was made four hundred and thirty years after, does not weaken, so as to make the promise of none effect. For if the inheritance be of the law, it is no more of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise.â[2710]2709

Chapter 7.âThe New Testament is More Ancient Than the Old; But It Was Subsequently Revealed.

Here, certainly, if we ask whether this testament, which, he says, being confirmed by God was not weakened by the law, which was made four hundred and thirty years after, is to be understood as the new or the old one, who can hesitate to answer athe new, but hidden in the prophetic shadows until the time should come wherein it should be revealed in Christâ? For if we should say the old, what will that be which genders from Mount Sinai to bondage? For there was made the law four hundred and thirty years after, by which law he asserts that this testament of the promise of Abraham could not be weakened; and he will have this which was made by Abraham to pertain rather to us, whom he will have to be children of the freewoman, not of the bondwoman, heirs by the promise, not by the law, when he says, aFor if the inheritance be by the law, it is no more of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise.â[2711]2710 So that, because the law was made four hundred and thirty years after, it might enter that the offence might abound;[2712]2711 since by sin the pride of man presuming on his own righteousness is convinced of transgression, and where sin abounded grace much more abounded [2713]2712 by the faith of the now humble man failing in the law and taking refuge in Godâs mercy. Therefore, when he had said, aFor if the inheritance be of the law, it is no longer of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise, a[2714]2713 as if it

might be said to him, aWhy then was the law made afterwards? ahe added and said, aWhat then is the law?a[2715]2714 To which interrogation he immediately replied, alt was added because of transgression, until the seed should come to which the promise was made.â[2716]2715 This he says again, thus: aFor if they who are of the law be heirs, faith is made void, and the promise is made of none effect: because the law worketh wrath: for where there is no law, there is no transgression.â[2717]2716 What he says in the former testimony: âFor if the inheritance be of the law, it is no more of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise, a this he says in the latter: aFor if they who are of the law be heirs, faith is made void; and the promise is made of none effect; a sufficiently showing that to our faith (which certainly is of the new testament) belongs what God gave to Abraham by promise. And what he says in the former testimony, aWhat then is the law?â and answered. âlt was added for the sake of transgression,â this he instantly added in the latter testimony, aFor the law worketh wrath: for where there is no law, there is no transgression.â

Chapter 8.âAll Righteous Men Before and After Abraham are Children of the Promise and of Grace.

Whether, then, Abraham, or righteous men before him or after him, even to Moses himself, by whom was given the testament gendering to bondage from Mount Sinai, or the rest of the prophets after him, and the holy men of God till John the Baptist, they are all children of the promise and of grace according to Isaac the son of the freewoman. anot of the law, but of the promise, heirs of God and joint-heirs with Christ. Far be it from us to deny that righteous Noah and the righteous men of the earlier times, and whoever from that time till the time of Abraham could be righteous, either manifestly or hiddenly, belong to the Jerusalem which is above, who is our mother, although they are found to be earlier in time than Sarah, who bore the prophecy and figure of the free mother herself. How much more evidently, then, after Abraham, to whom that promise was declared, that he should be called the father of many nations, must all, whoever have pleased God, be esteemed the children of the promise! For from Abraham, and the righteous men who followed him, the generation is not found more true, but the prophecy more plain.

Chapter 9.âWho are the Children of the Old Covenant.

But those belong to the old testament, âwhich gendereth from Mount Sinai to bondage,â which is Agar, who, when they have received a law which is holy and just and good, think that the letter can suffice them for life; and do not seek the divine mercy, so as they may become doers of the law, but, being ignorant of the righteousness of God, and wishing to establish their own righteousness, are not subject to the righteousness of God. Of this kind was that multitude which murmured against God in the wilderness, and made an idol; and that multitude which even in the very land of promise committed fornication after strange gods. But this multitude, even in the old testament itself, was strongly rebuked. They, moreover, whoever they were at that time who followed after those earthly promises alone which God promises there, and who were ignorant of that which those promises signify under the new testament, and who kept Godâs commandments with the desire of gaining and with the fear of losing those promises, acertainly did not observe them, but only seemed to themselves to observe. For there was no faith in them that worked by love, but earthly cupidity and carnal fear. But he who thus fulfils the commandments beyond a doubt fulfils them unwillingly, and then does not do them in his heart; for he would rather not do them at all, if in respect of those things which he desires and fears he might be allowed to neglect them with impunity. And thus, in the will itself within him, he is guilty; and it is here that God, who gives the command, looks. Such were the children of the earthly Jerusalem, concerning which the apostle says, aFor she is in bondage with her children, a[2718]2717 and belongs to the old testament awhich gendereth to bondage from Mount Sinai, which is Agar. a Of that same kind were they who crucified the Lord, and continued in the same unbelief. Thence there are still their children in the great multitude of the Jews, although now the new testament as it was prophesied is made plain and confirmed by the blood of Christ; and the gospel is made known from the river where He was baptized and began His teachings, even to the ends of the earth. And these Jews, according to the prophecies which they read, are dispersed everywhere over all the earth, that even from their writings may not be wanting a testimony to Christian truth.

Chapter 10.aThe Old Law Also Given by God.

And it is for this reason that God made the old testament, because it pleased God to veil the heavenly promises in earthly promises, as if established in reward, until the fulness of time; and to give to a people which longed for earthly blessings, and therefore had a hard heart, a law, which, although spiritual, was yet written on tables of stone. Because, with the exception of the sacraments of the old books, which were only enjoined for the sake of their significance (although in them also, since they are to be spiritually understood, the law is rightly called spiritual), the other matters certainly which pertain to piety and to good living must not be referred by any interpretation to some significancy, [2719]2718 but are to be done absolutely as they are spoken. Assuredly no one will doubt that that law of God was necessary not alone for that people at that time, but also is now necessary for us for the right ordering of our life. For if Christ took away from us that very heavy yoke of many observances, so that we are not circumcised according to the flesh, we do not immolate victims of the cattle, we do not rest even from necessary works on the Sabbath, retaining the seventh in the revolution of the days, and other things of this kind; but keep them as spiritually understood, and, the symbolizing shadows being removed, are watchful in the light of those things which are signified by them; shall we therefore say, that when it is written that whoever finds another manas property of any kind that has been lost, should return it to him who has lost it,[2720]2719 it does not pertain to us? and many other like things whereby people learn to live piously and uprightly? and especially the Decalogue itself, which is contained in those two tables of stone. apart from the carnal observance of the Sabbath, which signifies spiritual sanctification and rest? For who can say that Christians

ought not to be observant to serve the one God with religious obedience, not to worship an idol, not to take the name of the Lord in vain, to honour oneâs parents, not to commit adulteries, murders, thefts, false witness, not to covet another manâs wife, or anything at all that belongs to another man? Who is so impious as to say that he does not keep those precepts of the law because he is a Christian, and is established not under the law, but under grace?

Chapter 11.âDistinction Between the Children of the Old and of the New Testaments.

But there is plainly this great difference, that they who are established under the law, whom the letter killeth, do these things either with the desire of gaining, or with the fear of losing earthly happiness; and that thus they do not truly do them, since fleshly desire, by which sin is rather bartered or increased, is not healed by desire of another kind. These pertain to the old testament, which genders to bondage; because carnal fear and desire make them servants, gospel faith and hope and love do not make them children. But they who are placed under grace, whom the Spirit guickens, do these things of faith which worketh by love in the hope of good things, not carnal but spiritual, not earthly but heavenly, not temporal but eternal; especially believing on the Mediator, by whom they do not doubt but that a Spirit of grace is ministered to them, so that they may do these things well, and that they may be pardoned when they sin. These pertain to the new testament, are the children of promise, and are regenerated by God the Father and a free mother. Of this kind were all the righteous men of old, and Moses himself, the minister of the old testament, the heir of the new, abecause of the faith whereby we live, of one and the same they lived, believing the incarnation, passion, and resurrection of Christ as future, which we believe as already accomplished, aeven until John the Baptist himself, as it were a certain limit of the old dispensation, who, signifying that the Mediator Himself would come, not with any shadow of the future or allegorical intimation, or with any prophetical announcement, but pointing Him out with his finger, said: âBehold the Lamb of God; behold Him who taketh away the sin of the world.a[2721]2720 As if saying, Whom many righteous men have desired to see, on whom, as about to come, they have believed from the beginning of the human race itself, concerning whom the promises were spoken to Abraham, of whom Moses wrote, of whom the law and the prophets are witnesses: âBehold the Lamb of God, who taketh away the sin of the world.â From this John and afterwards, all those things concerning Christ began to become past or present, which by all the righteous men of the previous time were believed, hoped for, desired, as future. Therefore the faith is the same as well in those who, although not yet in name, were in fact previously Christians, as in those who not only are so but are also called so; and in both there is the same grace by the Holy Spirit. Whence says the apostle: aWe having the same Spirit of faith, according as it is written, I believed, therefore have I spoken; we also believe, and therefore speak.â[2722]2721

Chapter 12.âThe Old Testament is Properly One ThingâThe Old Instrument Another.

Therefore, by a custom of speech already prevailing, in one way the law and all the prophets who prophesied until John are called the âOld Testament; a although this is more definitely called the aOld Instrumenta rather than the aOld Testament: a but this name is used in another way by the apostolical authority, whether expressly or impliedly. For the apostle is express when he says, aUntil this day, as long as Moses is read, remaineth the same veil in the reading of the old testament; because it is not revealed, because it is made of no effect in Christ.a[2723]2722 For thus certainly the old testament referred to the ministry of Moses. Moreover, he says, a That we should serve in the newness of the Spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter.â[2724]2723 signifying that same testament under the name of the letter. In another place also, âWho also hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the Spirit: for the letter killeth, but the Spirit maketh alive.â[2725]2724 And here, by the mention of the new, he certainly meant the former to be understood as the old. But much more evidently, although he did not say either old or new, he distinguished the two testaments and the two sons of Abraham, the one of the bondwoman, the other of the free, as I have above mentioned. For what can be more express than his saying, âTell me, ye that desire to be under the law, have ye not heard the law? For it is written, that Abraham had two sons, the one by a bondmaid, the other by a freewoman. But he who was of the bondwoman was born after the flesh; but he of the freewoman was by promise. Which things are in allegory; for these are the two testaments; the one in the Mount Sinai, gendering to bondage, which is Agar. For Sinai is a mountain in Arabia, which is associated with Jerusalem which now is, for it is in bondage with her children. But Jerusalem that is above is free, which is our mother?a[2726]2725 What is more clear, what more certain, what more remote from all obscurity and ambiguity to the children of the promise? And a little after, aNow we, brethren, as Isaac was, are the children of promise.â[2727]2726 Also a little after, aBut we, brethren, are not children of the bondwoman, but of the free, a[2728]2727 with the liberty with which Christ has made us free. Let us, therefore, choose whether to call the righteous men of old the children of the bondwoman or of the free. Be it far from us to say, of the bondwoman; therefore if of the free, they pertain to the new testament in the Holy Spirit, whom, as making alive, the apostle opposes to the killing letter. For on what ground do they not belong to the grace of the new testament, from whose words and looks we convict and rebut such most frantic and ungrateful enemies of the same grace as these?

Chapter 13.âWhy One of the Covenants is Called Old, the Other New.

But some one will say, âln what way is that called the old which was given by Moses four hundred and thirty years after; and that called the new which was given so many years before to Abraham?â Let him who on this subject is disturbed, not litigiously but earnestly, first understand that when from its earlier time one is called âold,â and from its posterior time the other ânew,â it is the revelation of them that is considered in their names, not their institution. Because the old testament was revealed through Moses, by whom the holy and just and good law was given, whereby should be brought about not the doing away but the knowledge of sin, aby which the proud might be convicted who were desirous of establishing their own righteousness, as if they had no need of divine help, and being made guilty of the letter, might flee to the Spirit of grace, not to be justified by their own righteousness, but by that of Godâthat is, by the righteousness which was given to them of God. For as the same apostle says, âBy the law is the knowledge of sin. But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and by the prophets.â[2729]2728 Because the law, by the very fact that in it no man is justified, affords a witness to the righteousness of God. For that in the law no man is justified before God is manifest, because âthe just by faith lives. a[2730]2729 Thus, therefore, although the law does not justify the wicked when he is convicted of transgression, it sends to the God who justifieth, and thus affords a testimony to the righteousness of God. Moreover, the prophets offer testimony to Godâs righteousness by fore-announcing Christ, âwho is made unto us wisdom from God, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption: that, as it is written, he that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.a[2731]2730 But that law was kept hidden from the beginning, when nature itself convicted wicked men, who did to others what they would not have done to themselves. But the revelation of the new testament in Christ was made when He was manifested in the flesh, wherein appeared the righteousness of Godâthat is, the righteousness which is to men from God. For hence he says, âBut now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested. a[2732]2731 This is the reason why the former is called the old testament, because it was revealed in the earlier time; and the latter the new, because it was revealed in the later time. In a word, it is because the old testament pertains to the old man, from which it is necessary that a man should make a beginning; but the new to the new man, by which a man ought to pass from his old state. Thus, in the former are earthly promises, in the latter heavenly promises; because this pertained to Godâs mercy, that no one should think that even earthly felicity of any kind whatever could be conferred on anybody, save from the Lord, who is the Creator of all things. But if God is worshipped for the sake of that earthly happiness, the worship is that of a slave, belonging to the children of the bondmaid; but if for the sake of God Himself, so that in the life eternal God may be all things in all, it is a free service belonging to the children of the freewoman, who is our mother eternal in the heavensawho first seemed, as it were, barren, when she had not any children manifest; but now we see what was prophesied concerning her: âRejoice, thou barren, that bearest not; break forth and cry, thou that travailest not: for there are many children of the desolate more than of her who has an husband, a[2733]2732 athat is, more than of that Jerusalem, who in a certain manner is married in the bond of the law, and is in bondage with her children. In the time, then, of the old testament, we say that the Holy Spirit, in those who even then were the children of promise according to Isaac, was not only an assistant, which these men think is sufficient for their opinion, but also a bestower of virtue; and this they deny, attributing it rather to their free will, in contradiction to those fathers who knew how to cry unto God with truthful piety, âl will love Thee, O Lord, my strength.â[2734]2733

Chapter 14 [V.]âCalumny Concerning the Righteousness of the Prophets and Apostles.

They say, moreover, athat all the apostles or prophets are not defined as entirely holy by us, but that we say that they were less wicked in comparison with those that were worse; and that this is the righteousness to which God affords His testimony, so that, as the prophet says that Sodom was justified in comparison with the Jews, so also we say that the saints exercised some goodness in comparison with criminal men.â Be it far from us to say such things; but either they are not able to understand, or they are unwilling to observe, or, for the sake of misrepresentation, they pretend that they do not know what we say. Let them hear, therefore, either themselves, or rather those whom, as inexperienced and unlearned persons, they are striving to deceive. Our faithâthat is, the catholic faithâdistinguishes the righteous from the unrighteous not by the law of works, but by that of faith, because the just by faith lives. By which distinction it results that the man who leads his life without murder, without theft, without false-witness, without coveting other menas goods, giving due honour to his parents, chaste even to continence from all carnal intercourse whatever, even conjugal, most liberal in alms-giving, most patient of injuries; who not only does not deprive another of his goods, but does not even ask again for what has been taken away from himself; or who has even sold all his own property and appropriated it to the poor, and possesses nothing which belongs to him as his own; a with such a character as this, laudable as it seems to be, if he has not a true and catholic faith in God, must yet depart from this life to condemnation. But another, who has good works from a right faith which worketh by love, maintains his continency in the honesty of wedlock, although he does not, like the other, well refrain altogether, but pays and repays the debt of carnal connection, and has intercourse not only for the sake of offspring, but also for the sake of pleasure, although only with his wife, which the apostle allows to those that are married as pardonable; adoes not receive injuries with so much patience, but is raised into anger with the desire of vengeance, although, in order that he may say, âAs we also forgive our debtors, a forgives when he is asked; apossesses personal property, giving thence indeed some alms, but not as the former so liberally; adoes not take away what belongs to another, but, although by ecclesiastical, not by civil judgment, yet contends for his own: certainly this man, who seems so inferior in morals to the former, on account of the right faith which he has in God, by which he lives, and according to which in all his wrong-doings he accuses himself, and in all his good works praises God, giving to himself the shame, to God the glory, and receiving from Him both forgiveness of sins and love of right deeds, ashall be delivered for this life, and depart to be received into the company of those who shall reign with Christ. Wherefore, if not on account of faith? Which, although without works it saves no man (for it is not a reprobate faith, since it worketh by love), yet by it even sins are loosed, because the just by faith liveth; but without it, even those things which seem good works are turned into sins: aFor everything which is not of faith is sin.â[2735]2734 And it is brought about, on account of this great

difference, that although with no possibility of doubt a persevering integrity of virginity is preferable to conjugal chastity, yet a woman even twice married, if she be a catholic, is preferred to a professed virgin that is a heretic; nor is she in such wise preferred because this one is better in Godâs kingdom, but because the other is not there at all. Now the former, indeed, whom we have described as being of better morals, if a true faith be his, surpasses the second one, although both will be in heaven; yet if the faith be wanting to him, he is so surpassed by him that he himself is not there at all.

Chapter 15.âThe Perfection of Apostles and Prophets.

Since, then, all righteous men, both the more ancient and the apostles, lived from a right faith which is in Christ Jesus our Lord; and had with their faith morals so holy, that although they might not be of such perfect virtue in this life as that which should be after this life, yet whatever of sin might creep in from human infirmity might be constantly done away by the piety of their faith itself: it results from this that, in comparison with the wicked whom God will condemn, it must be said that these were arighteous, a since by their pious faith they were so far removed into the opposite of those wicked men that the apostle cries out, aWhat part hath he that believeth with an infidel?a[2736]2735 But it is plain that the Pelagians, these modern heretics, seem to themselves to be religious lovers and praisers of the saints, since they do not dare to say that they were of an imperfect virtue; although that elected vessel confesses this, who, considering in what state he still was, and that the body which is corrupted drags down the soul, says, aNot that I have already attained or am yet perfect; brethren, I count not myself to have apprehended.a[2737]2736 And yet a little after, he who had denied himself to be perfect says, âLet us therefore, as many as be perfect, be thus minded, a[2738]2737 in order that he might show that, according to the measure of this life, there is a certain perfection, and that to that perfection this also is to be attributed, even although any one may know that he is not yet perfect. For what is more perfect, or what was more excellent, than the holy priests among the ancient people? And yet God prescribed to them to offer sacrifice first of all for their own sins. And what is more holy among the new people than the apostles? And yet the Lord prescribed to them to say in their prayer, âForgive us our debts.â For all the pious, therefore, who lie under this burden of a corruptible flesh, and groan in the infirmity of this life of theirs, there is one hope: a We have an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous: and He is the propitiation for our sins.â[2739]2738

Chapter 16 [VI.]âMisrepresentation Concerning Sin in Christ.

They have not a righteous advocate, who are (even if that were the only difference) distinguished absolutely and widely from the righteous. Be it far from us to say, as they themselves slanderously affirm, that this just Advocate âspoke falsely by the necessity of the flesh;â but we say that He, in the likeness of sinful flesh, in respect of sin, condemned sin. And they, perchance not understanding this, and being blinded by the desire of misrepresentation, and ignorant of the number of ways in which the name of sin is accustomed to be used in the Holy Scriptures, declare that we affirm sin of Christ. Therefore we assert that Christ both had no sin, aneither in soul nor in the body; and that, by taking upon Him flesh in the likeness of sinful flesh, in respect of sin He condemned sin. And this assertion, somewhat obscurely made by the apostle, is explained in two ways, aeither that the likenesses of things are accustomed to be called by the names of those things to which they are like, so that the apostle may be understood to have intended to call this likeness of sinful flesh by the name of asin: a or else that the sacrifices for sins were under the law called asins, a all which things were figures of the flesh of Christ, which is the true and only sacrifice for sins, anot only for those which are all washed away in baptism, but also for those which afterwards creep in from the weakness of this life, on account of which the universal Church daily cries in prayer to God, aForgive us our debts, and they are forgiven us by means of that singular sacrifice for sins which the apostle, speaking according to the law, did not hesitate to call asin. Whence, moreover, is that much plainer passage of his, which is not uncertain by any twofold ambiguity, aWe beseech you in Christas stead to be reconciled to God. He made Him to be sin for us, who had not known sin; that we might be the righteousness of God in Him. a[2740]2739 For the passage which I have above mentioned, all respect of sin, He condemned sin, a because it was not said, all respect of his sin, a may be understood by any one, as if He said that He condemned sin in respect of the sin of the Jews: because in respect of their sin who crucified Him, it happened that He shed His blood for the remission of sins. But this passage, where God is said to have made Christ Himself asin, a who had not known sin, does not seem to me to be more fittingly understood than that Christ was made a sacrifice for sins, and on this account was called âsin.â

Chapter 17 [VII.]âTheir Calumny About the Fulfilment of Precepts in the Life to Come.

But who can bear their objecting to us, athat we say that after the resurrection such is to be our progress, that there men can begin to fulfil the commands of God, which they would not here; a since we say that there there will be no sin at all, no struggle with any desire of sin; as if they themselves would dare to deny this? That wisdom also and the knowledge of God, is then perfected in us, and that in the Lord there is such rejoicing that it is a full and a true security, who will deny, unless he is so averse from the truth that on this very account he cannot attain unto it? But these things will not be in precepts, but in reward of those precepts which should here be observed; the neglect of which precepts, indeed, does not lead thither to the reward. But here the grace of God gives the desire of keeping His commandments; and if anything in these commandments is less perfectly observed. He forgives it on account of what we say in prayer, as well âThy will be done, â as âForgive us our debts. â Here, then, it is prescribed that we sin not; there, the reward is that we cannot sin. Here, the precept is that we obey not the desires of sin; there, the reward that we have no desires of sin. Here, the precept is, âUnderstand, ye senseless among the people; and ye fools, be at

some time wise; a[2741]2740 there, the reward is full wisdom and perfect knowledge. aFor we see now through a glass in an enigma, a says the apostle, abut then face to face: now I know in part; but then I shall know even as also I am known.â[2742]2741 Here, the precept is, âExult unto the Lord, our helper, a[2743]2742 and, aRejoice, ye righteous, in the Lord; a[2744]2743 there, the reward is to rejoice with a perfect and unspeakable joy. Lastly, in the precept it is written, âBlessed are they which hunger and thirst after righteousness; a but in the reward, aBecause they shall be filled.â[2745]2744 Whence, I ask, shall they be filled, except with what they hunger and thirst after? Who, then, is so abhorrent, not only from the divine perception, but also from the human perception, as to say that in man there can be such righteousness while he is hungering and thirsting for it, as there will be when he shall be filled with it? But when we are hungering and thirsting after righteousness, if the faith of Christ is watchful in us, what is it to be believed that we are hungering and thirsting for, save Christ? aFor He is made unto us wisdom from God, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption; that, as it is written. He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.â[2746]2745 And because we only believe on Him not seeing Him, therefore we thirst and hunger after righteousness. For as long as we are in the body, we wander from the Lord; for we walk by faith, not by appearance. But when we shall see Him, and attain certainly to the appearance, we shall rejoice with joy unspeakable; and then we shall be filled with righteousness, since now we say to Him with pious longing, all shall be satisfied when Thy glory shall be manifested. a[2747]2746

Chapter 18.âPerfection of Righteousness and Full Security Was Not Even in Paul in This Life.

But how impudent I do not say, but how insane, is the pride which, not yet being equal to the angels of God, thinks itself already able to have a righteousness equal to the angels of God; and does not consider so great and holy a man, who assuredly hungered and thirsted after that very perfection of righteousness, when he was unwilling to be lifted up by the greatness of his revelations; and yet that he might not be lifted up, he was not left to his own choice and will, but received athe thorn in the flesh, a messenger of Satan, to buffet him: on which account he besought the Lord thrice that it might depart from him, and the Lord said unto him, My grace is sufficient for thee, for strength is made perfect in weakness a[2748]2747 What strength, save that to which it belongs not to be lifted up? And who doubts that this belongs to righteousness? The angels of God, then, are endowed with this perfection of righteousness, since they always behold the face of the Father, and thus of the entire Trinity, because they see through the Son, in the Holy Spirit. But nothing is more sublime than that revelation, nor yet does any of the angels in that contemplation of rejoicing ones find a messenger of Satan needful that he may be buffeted by him, lest so great a magnitude of revelation should lift him up. The apostle Paul certainly had not yet that perfection of virtue, nor yet was he equal to the angels of God; but there was in him the weakness of lifting himself up, which also had to be checked by the angel of Satan, lest he should be lifted up by the magnitude of

his revelations. Although, then, the first lifting up cast down Satan,[2749]2748 yet that greatest Physician, who well knew how to make use of even evil things, applied from the angel of Satan, against the mischief of elation, a wholesome, although a painful, medicament, just as an antidote used to be made even of serpents against the poisons of serpents. What, then, is the meaning of âMy grace is sufficient for thee,â except that you may not by giving way succumb to the buffet of the messenger of Satan? And what is âStrength is made perfect in weakness,â except that in that place of weakness hitherto, there may be the perfection of virtue, so that in the very presence of infirmity, lifting-up may be repressed? Which infirmity assuredly shall be healed by future immortality. For how is that soundness to be called perfect where medicine is still needful, even from the buffet of an angel of Satan?

Chapter 19.âln What Sense the Righteousness of Man in This Life is Said to Be Perfect.

From this it results that the virtue which is now in the righteous man is named perfect up to this point, that to its perfection belong both the true knowledge and humble confession of even imperfection itself. For, in respect to this infirmity, that little righteousness of manas is perfect according to its measure, when it understands even what it lacks. And therefore the apostle calls himself both perfect and imperfect, [2750]2749âimperfect, to wit, in the thought of how much is wanting to him for the righteousness for the fulness of which he is still hungering and thirsting; but perfect in that he does not blush to confess his own imperfection, and goes forward in good that he may attain. As we can say that the wayfarer is perfect whose approach is well forwarded, although his intention is not carried out unless his arrival be actually effected. Therefore, when he had said, aAccording to the righteousness which is in the law, I am one who has been without blame, a he immediately added, aWhat things were gain to me, those I counted but loss for Christâs sake. Yea, doubtless, and I count all things to be loss for the sake of the eminent knowledge of Christ Jesus our Lord: for whose sake I have believed all things not only to be losses, but I have thought them to be even as dung, that I might gain Christ and be found in Him, not having my own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is by the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God in faith.a[2751]2750 See! the apostle does not, of course, say falsely, that according to the righteousness which is of the law he was without blame; and yet those things which were gain to him, he casts away for Christâs sake, and thinks them losses, injuries, dung. And not only these things, but all other things which he mentioned previously; not on account of any kind of knowledge, but, as he himself says, athe eminent knowledge of Christ Jesus our Lord, a which, beyond a doubt, he had as yet in faith, but not yet in sight. For then the knowledge of Christ will be eminent, when He shall be so revealed that what is believed is seen. Whence, in another place, he thus says, aFor ye have died, and your life is hidden with Christ in God. When Christ, your life, shall appear, then shall ye also appear with Him in glory.a[2752]2751 Hence, also, the Lord Himself says, âHe who loveth me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself to

him.â[2753]2752 Hence John the Evangelist says, âBeloved, now are we the sons of God, and it has not yet appeared what we shall be: but we know, that when He shall appear, we shall be like Him; for we shall see Him as He is.â[2754]2753 Then shall the knowledge of Christ be eminent. For now it is, as it were, hidden away in faith; but it does not yet appear eminent in sight.

Chapter 20.âWhy the Righteousness Which is of the Law is Valued Slightly by Paul.

Therefore the blessed Paul casts away those past attainments of his righteousness, as alosses and adung, a that ahe may win Christ and be found in Him, not having his own righteousness, which is of the law.â Wherefore his own, if it is of the law? For that law is the law of God. Who has denied this, save Marcion and Manicheus, and such like pests? Since, then, that is the law of God, he says it is ahis owna righteousness awhich is of the law; and this righteousness of his own he would not have, but cast it forth as adung a Why so, except because it is this which I have above demonstrated, [2755]2754 that those are under the law who, being ignorant of the righteousness of God, and going about to establish their own, are not subject to the righteousness of God?[2756]2755 For they think that, by the strength of their own will, they will fulfil the commands of the law; and wrapped up in their pride, they are not converted to assisting grace. Thus the letter killeth [2757]2756 them either openly, as being guilty to themselves, by not doing what the law commands; or by thinking that they do it, although they do it not with spiritual love, which is of God. Thus they remain either plainly wicked or deceitfully righteous, âmanifestly cut off in open unrighteousness, or foolishly elated in fallacious righteousness. And by this meansâmarvellous indeed, but yet trueâthe righteousness of the law is not fulfilled by the righteousness which is in the law, or by the law, but by that which is in the Spirit of grace. Because the righteousness of the law is fulfilled in those, as it is written, who walk not according to the flesh, but according to the Spirit. But, according to the righteousness which is in the law, the apostle says that he was blameless in the flesh, not in the Spirit; and he says that the righteousness which is of the law was his, not Godâs. It must be understood, therefore, that the righteousness of the law is not fulfilled according to the righteousness which is in the law or of the law, that is, according to the righteousness of man, but according to the righteousness which is in the Spirit of grace, therefore according to the righteousness of God, that is, which man has from God. Which may be thus more clearly and briefly stated: That the righteousness of the law is not fulfilled when the law commands, and man as it were of his own strength obeys; but when the Spirit aids, and manas free will, but freed by the grace of God, performs. Therefore the righteousness of the law is to command what is pleasing to God, to forbid what is displeasing; but the righteousness in the law is to obey the letter, and beyond it to seek for no assistance of God for holy living. For when he had said, aNot having my own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is by the faith of Christ, a he added, aWhich is from God.â That, therefore, is itself the righteousness of God, being ignorant of which the proud go about to establish their own; for it is

not called the righteousness of God because by it God is righteous, but because man has it from God.

Chapter 21.âThat Righteousness is Never Perfected in This Life.

Now, according to this righteousness of God, that is, which we have from God, faith now worketh by love. But it worketh that, in what way man can attain to Him on whom now, not seeing, he believes; and when he shall see Him, then that which was in faith through a glass enigmatically, shall at length be in sight face to face; and then shall be perfected even love itself. Because it is said with excessive folly, that God is loved as much before He is seen, as He will be loved when He is seen. Further, if in this life, as no religious person doubts, the more we love God, so much the more righteous we certainly are, who can doubt that pious and true righteousness will then be perfected when the love of God shall be perfect? Then the law, therefore, shall be fulfilled; so that nothing at all is wanting to it, of which law, according to the apostle, the fulfilling is Love. And thus, when he had said, aNot having my own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is by the faith of Jesus Christ, which is the righteousness from God in faith, a he then added, a That I may know Him, and the power of His resurrection, and the fellowship of His sufferings.â[2758]2757 All these things were not yet full and perfect in the apostle; but, as if he were placed on the way, he was running towards their fulness and perfection. For how had he already perfectly known Christ, who says in another place, aNow I know in part; but then I shall know even as I am knownâ?[2759]2758 And how had he already perfectly known the power of His resurrection, to whom it remained to know it yet more fully by experience at the time of the resurrection of the flesh? And how had he perfectly known already the fellowship of His suffering, if he had not yet experienced for him the suffering of death? Finally, he adds and says, alf in any manner I may attain unto the resurrection of the dead.â[2760]2759 And then he says, âNot that I have already received or am already perfected. a What, then, does he confess that he has not yet received, and in what is he not yet perfected, except that righteousness which is of God, which he desired, not willing to have his own righteousness, which is of the law? For hence he was speaking, and such was the reason for his saying these things in resistance to the enemies of the grace of God, for the bestowal of which Christ was crucified; and of the race of whom are also these.

Chapter 22. aNature of Human Righteousness and Perfection.

For from the place in which he undertook to say these things, he thus began, âBeware of dogs, beware of evil workers, beware of the concision. For we are the circumcision, who serve God in the Spirit,ââor, as some codices have it, âwho serve God the Spirit,â or âthe Spirit of God,âââand glory in Christ Jesus, and have no confidence in the flesh.â[2761]2760 Here it is manifest that he is speaking against the Jews, who, observing the law carnally, and going about to establish their own righteousness, were slain by the letter, and not made alive by the Spirit, and gloried in themselves while the apostles and all the children of the promise were glorying in Christ.

Then he added, aAlthough I may have confidence in the flesh. If any one else thinks that he has confidence in the flesh, I more.â[2762]2761 And enumerating all things which have glory according to the flesh, he ended at that point where he says, aAccording to the righteousness which is in the law. blameless.â And when he had said that he regarded all these things as altogether loss and disadvantage and dung that he might gain Christ, he added the passage which I am treating, âAnd be found in Him, not having my own righteousness, but that which is by the faith of Christ, which is from God.â He confessed that he had not vet received the perfection of this righteousness. which will not be except in that excellent knowledge of Christ, on account of which he said that all things were loss to him; and he confessed, therefore, that he was not vet perfect. aBut I follow on a said he, aif I may apprehend that in which I also am apprehended of Christ Jesus.â[2763]2762 âl may apprehend that in which I also am apprehended, a is much the same as, al may know, even as I also am known.â âBrethren,â says he, âl count not myself to have apprehended: but one thing, forgetting those things which are behind, and reaching forward to those which are before. I follow on according to the purpose for the reward of the supreme calling of God in Christ Jesus.â[2764]2763 The order of the words is, âBut one thing I follow.â Of which one thing the Lord also is well understood to have admonished Martha, where he says, âMartha, Martha, thou art careful and troubled about many things: but one thing is needful.a[2765]2764 The apostle, wishing to apprehend this as if set in the way, said that he followed on to the reward of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus. For who can delay when he would apprehend that which he declares that he is following, that he shall then have a righteousness equal to the righteousness of the holy angels, none of whom, of course, does any messenger of Satan buffet lest he should be lifted up with the greatness of his revelations? Then, admonishing those who might think themselves already perfect with the fulness of that righteousness, he says, aLet as many of us, therefore, as are perfect, be thus minded.a[2766]2765 As if he should say, If, according to the capacity of mortal man for the little measure of this life, we are perfect, let us understand that it also belongs to that perfection that we perceive that we are not yet perfected in that angelical righteousness which we shall have in the manifestation of Christ. aAnd if in anything, a he said, ave be otherwise minded. God shall also reveal even this unto you.a[2767]2766 How, save to those that are walking and advancing in the way of the faith, until that wandering be finished and they come to the actual vision? Whence following on, he added, aNevertheless, whereunto we have already attained, let us walk therein.a[2768]2767 Then he concludes that they should be bewared of, concerning whom this passage treated at its beginning. aBrethren, be imitators of me, and mark them which so walk as ye have our example. For many walk, of whom I have spoken often, and now tell you even weeping, whose end is destruction, a[2769]2768 and the rest. These are the very ones of whom, in the beginning, he had said, aBeware of dogs, beware of evil workers, â and what follows. Therefore all are enemies of the cross of Christ who, going about to establish their own righteousness, which is of the law, athat is, where only the letter commands, and the Spirit does not fulfil, âare not subject to the law of God. For if they who are of the law be heirs, faith is made an empty thing. alf

righteousness is by the law, then Christ has died in vain: then is the offence of the cross done away.â And thus those are enemies of the cross of Christ who say that righteousness is by the law, to which it belongs to command, not to assist. But the grace of God through Jesus Christ the Lord in the Holy Spirit helpeth our infirmity.

Chapter 23.âThere is No True Righteousness Without the Faith of the Grace of Christ.

Wherefore he who lives according to the righteousness which is in the law, without the faith of the grace of Christ, as the apostle declares that he lived blameless, must be accounted to have no true righteousness; not because the law is not true and holy, but because to wish to obey the letter which commands, without the Spirit of God which guickens, as if of the strength of free will, is not true righteousness. But the righteousness according to which the righteous man lives by faith, since man has it from God by the Spirit of grace, is true righteousness. And although this is not undeservedly said to be perfect in some righteous men, according to the capacity of this life, yet it is but little to that great righteousness which the equality of the angels receives. And he who had not yet possessed this, on the one hand, in respect of that which was already in him, said that he was perfect; and in respect of that which was still wanting to him, said that he was imperfect. But manifestly that lower degree of righteousness makes merit, that higher kind becomes reward. Whence he who does not strive after the former does not attain unto the latter. Wherefore, after the resurrection of man, to deny that there will be a fulness of righteousness, and to think that the righteousness in the body of that life will be such as it can be in the body of this death, is singular folly. But it is most true that men do not there begin to fulfil those commands of God which here they have been unwilling to obey. For there will be the fulness of the most perfect righteousness, yet not of men striving after what is commanded, and making gradual endeavours after that fulness; but in the twinkling of an eye, even as shall be that resurrection of the dead itself, because that greatness of perfect righteousness will be given as a reward to those who here have obeyed the commandments, and will not itself be commanded to them as a thing to be accomplished. But I should in such wise say they have done the commandments, that we might remember that to these very commandments belongs the prayer in which the holy children of promise daily say with truth, aThy will be done, a[2770]2769 and aForgive us our debts. a[2771]2770

Chapter 24 [VIII.]âThere are Three Principal Heads in the Pelagian Heresy.

When, then, the Pelagians are pressed with these and such like testimonies and words of truth, not to deny original sin; not to say that the grace of God whereby we are justified is not given freely, but according to our merits; nor to say that in mortal man, however holy and well doing, there is so great righteousness that even after the washing of regeneration, until he finishes this life of his, forgiveness of sins is not necessary to him, atherefore when they are pressed not to make these three assertions, and by their means alienate men who believe them from the grace of the Saviour, and persuade the lifted-up unto pride to go headlong unto the judgment of the devil: they introduce the clouds of other questions in which their impletyâin the sight of men more simpleminded, whether that they are more slow or less instructed in the sacred writingsamay be concealed. These are the misty questions of the praise of the creature, of the praise of marriage, of the praise of the law, of the praise of free will, of the praise of the saints; as if any one of our people were in the habit of disparaging those things, and not rather of announcing all things with due praises to the honour of the Creator and Saviour. But even the creature does not desire in such wise to be praised as to be unwilling to be healed. And the more marriage is to be praised, the less is to be attributed to it the shameful concupiscence of the flesh, which is not of the Father, but of the world; and which assuredly marriage found and did not make in men; because, moreover, it is actually in very many without marriage, and if nobody had sinned marriage itself might be without it. And the law, holy and just and good, is neither grace itself, nor is anything rightly done by it without grace; because the law is not given that it may give life, but it was added because of transgression, that it might conclude all persons convicted under sin, and that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe. [2772]2771 And the free will taken captive does not avail, except for sin; but for righteousness, unless divinely set free and aided, it does not avail. And thus, also, all the saints, whether from that ancient Abel to John the Baptist, or from the apostles themselves up to this time, and henceforth even to the end of the world, are to be praised in the Lord, not in themselves. Because the voice, even of those earlier ones, is, âln the Lord shall my soul be praised.â[2773]2772 And the voice of the later ones is, âBy the grace of God I am what I am.â[2774]2773 And to all belongs, âThat he that glorieth may glory in the Lord.â And it is the common confession of all, âlf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.â[2775]2774

Chapter 25 [IX.]âHe Shows that the Opinion of the Catholics is the Mean Between that of the Manicheans and Pelagians, and Refutes Both.

But since, in these five particulars which I have set forth, in which they seek lurking-places, and from which they weave misrepresentations, they are forsaken and convicted by the divine writings, they have thought to deter those whom they could by the hateful name of Manicheans, lest in opposition to their most perverse teachings their ears should be conformed to the truth; because doubtless the Manicheans blasphemously condemn the three former of those five dogmas, saying that neither the human creature, nor marriage, nor the law was ordained by the supreme and true God. But they do not receive what the truth says, that sin took its origin from free will, and that all evil, whether of angel or man, comes from it; because they prefer to believe, in their turning aside from God, that the nature of evil was always evil, and co-eternal with God. They, moreover, attack the holy patriarchs and prophets with as many execrations as they can. This is the way in which the modern heretics think, that by objecting the name of Manicheans, they evade the force

of truth. But they do not evade it; because it follows them up, and overturns at once Manicheans and Pelagians. For in that when a man is born there is something good, so far as he is a man, he condemns the Manichean, and praises the Creator; but in so far as he derives original sin, he condemns the Pelagian, and holds a Saviour necessary. For even because that nature is said to be healable, it repels both teachings; because it would not, on the one hand, have need of medicine if it were sound, which is opposed to the Pelagian, nor could it be healed at all if the evil in it were eternal and immutable. which is opposed to the Manichean. Moreover, in that to marriage, which we praise as ordained of God, we do not say that the concupiscence of the flesh is to be attributed, this is both contrary to the Pelagians, who make this concupiscence itself a matter of praise, and contrary to the Manicheans, who attribute it to a foreign and evil nature, when it really is an evil accidental to our nature, not to be separated by the disjunction from God, but to be healed by the mercy of God. Moreover, in that we say that the law, holy and just and good, was given not for the justification of the wicked, but for the conviction of the proud, for the sake of transgressions, athis is, on the one hand, opposed to the Manicheans, in that according to the apostle the law is praised; and on the other opposed to the Pelagians, in that, in accordance with the apostle, no one is justified by the law; and therefore, for the sake of making alive those whom the letter has killed, that is, whom the law, enjoining good, makes guilty by transgressions, the Spirit of grace freely brings aid. Also in that we say that the will is free in evil, but for doing good it must be made free by Godâs grace, this is opposed to the Pelagians; but in that we say it originated from that which previously was not evil, this is opposed to the Manicheans. Again, that we honour the holy patriarchs and prophets with praises due to them in God, is in opposition to the Manicheans; but that we say that even to them, however righteous and pleasing to God they might have been, the propitiation of the Lord was necessary, this is in opposition to the Pelagians. The catholic faith, therefore, finds them both, as it does also other heretics, in opposition to it, and convicts both by the authority of the divine testimonies and by the light of truth.

Chapter 26 [X.]âThe Pelagians Still Strive After a Hiding-Place, by Introducing the Needless Question of the Origin of the Soul.

The Pelagians, indeed, add to the clouds which envelop their lurking-places the unnecessary question concerning the origin of the soul, for the purpose of erecting a hiding-place by disturbing manifest things by the obscurity of other matters. For they say âthat we guard the continuous propagation of souls with the continuous propagation of sin.â And where and when they have read this, either in the addresses or in the writings of those who maintain the catholic faith against this, I do not know; because, although I find something written by catholics on the subject, yet the defence of the truth had not yet been undertaken against those men, neither was there any anxiety to answer them. But this I say, that according to the Holy Scriptures original sin is so manifest, and that this is put away in infants by the laver of regeneration is confirmed by such antiquity and authority of the catholic faith, notorious by such a clear concurrent testimony of the Church, that what is argued by the inquiry or affirmation of anybody concerning the origin of the soul, if it is contrary to this, cannot be true. Wherefore, whoever builds up, either concerning the soul or any other obscure matter, any edifice whence he may destroy this, which is true, best founded, and best known, whether he is a son or an enemy of the Church, must either be corrected or avoided. But let this be the end of this Book, that the things which follow may have another beginning.

## Book IV.

After having set aside in the former books the calumnies hurled against the Catholics. Augustin here proceeds to open up the snares which lie hidden in the remaining part of the second epistle of the Pelagians, in the five heads of their doctrineâin the praise, to wit, of the creature, the praise of marriage, the praise of the law, the praise of free will, and the praise of the saints; in connection with which heads the Pelagians malignantly boast that they are at issue not more with the Manicheans than with the Catholics. Hence these five points may bring us back to this, that they put forward their threefold erroranamely, the two first, the denial of original sin; the two following, the assertion that grace is given according to merits; the fifth, their statement that the saints had not sinned in this life. Augustin shows that both heresies, that of the Manicheans and that of the Pelagians, are opposed and equally odious to the Catholic faith, whereby we profess, first, that the nature created by a good God was good, but that, nevertheless, it is in need of a Saviour because of original sin, which passed into all men from the transgression of the first man: then secondly, that marriage is good, truly instituted by God, but that that concupiscence is evil which was associated with marriage by sin: also thirdly that the law of God is good, but in such wise as only to manifest sin, not to take it away: that fourthly free will is assuredly inherent in the nature of man, but that now, however, it is so enslaved that it does not avail to the doing of righteousness, unless when it shall have been made free by grace: but that fifthly the saints, whether of the Old or New Testament, were indeed endued with a righteousness, which was true but not perfect, nor so full that they should be free from all sin. In conclusion, he brings forward the testimonies of Cyprian and Ambrose on behalf of the Catholic faith, some concerning original sin, others about the assistance of grace, and the last concerning the imperfection of present righteousness.

Chapter 1 [I.] a The Subterfuges of the Pelagians are Five.

After the matters which I have considered, and to which I have answered, they repeat the same things as those contained in the letter which I have refuted, but in a different manner. For before, they put them forward as objecting to us things which we think as it were falsely; but afterwards, as explaining what they themselves think, they have presented the same things from the opposite side, adding two certain points which they had not mentionedâthat is, âthat they say that baptism is necessary for all ages,â and âthat by Adam death passed upon us, not sins,â which things must also themselves be considered in their own place. Hence, because in the former Book which I have just finished I said that they alleged hindrances of five matters in which lurk their dogmas hostile to Godâs grace and to the catholic faith,âthe praise, to wit, of the creature, the praise of marriage, the praise of the law, the praise of free will, the praise of the saints,âl think it is more convenient to make a general discrimination of all that they maintain, the contrary of which they object to us, and to show which of those things pertain to any of those five, that so my answer may be by that very distinction clearer and briefer.

Chapter 2 [II.]âThe Praise of the Creature.

They accomplish the praise of the creature, inasmuch as it pertains to the human race of which the question now is, in these statements: aThat God is the Maker of all those that are born, and that the sons of men are Godâs work; and that all sin descends not from nature, but from the will.â With this praise of the creature they connect, athat they say that baptism is necessary for every age, so that, a namely, âthe creature itself may be adopted among the children of God; not because it derives anything from its parents which must be purified in the laver of regeneration. â To this praise they add also, âthat they say that Christ the Lord was sprinkled with no stain of sin as far as pertains to His infancy; a because they assert that His flesh was most pure from all contagion of sin, not by His own excellence and singular grace, but by His fellowship with the nature which is shared by all infants. It also belongs to this that they introduce the question and the origin of the soul, a thus endeavouring to make all the souls of infants equal to the soul of Christ, maintaining that they likewise are sprinkled with no stain of sin. On this account, also, they say, âthat nothing of evil passed from Adam upon the rest of humanity except death, which, a they say, a is not always an evil, since to the martyrs, for instance, it is for the sake of rewards; and it is not the dissolution of the bodies, which in every kind of men shall be raised up, that can make death to be called either good or evil, but the diversity of merits which arises from human liberty.â These things they write in this letter concerning the praise of the creature.

They praise marriage truly according to the Scriptures, âbecause the Lord saith in the gospel, He who made men from the beginning made them male and female, and said, Increase and multiply, and replenish the earth.â Although this is not written in that passage of the gospel, yet it is written in the law. They add, moreover, âWhat therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.â[2776]2775 And these we acknowledge to be gospel words.

In the praise of the law they say, âthat the old law was, according to the apostle, holy and just and good; that on those who keep its commandments, and live righteously by faith, such as the prophets and patriarchs, and all the saints, life eternal could be conferred.â

In the praise of free will they say, âthat free will has not perished, since the Lord says by the prophets, âlf ye be willing and will hear me, ye shall eat the good things of the land: if ye are unwilling, and will not hear, the sword shall devour you.â[2777]2776 And thus, also, it is that grace assists the good purpose of any person, but yet does not infuse a desire of virtue into the reluctant heart, because there is no acceptance of persons with God.â

In the praise of the saints they conceal themselves, saying âthat baptism perfectly renews men, inasmuch as the apostle is a witness who testifies that, by the washing of water, the Church is made out of the heathen holy and spotless;[2778]2777 that the Holy Spirit also assisted pious souls in ancient times, even as the prophet says to God, âThy good Spirit shall lead me into the right way;â[2779]2778 that all the prophets, moreover, and apostles or saints, as well of the New as of the Old Testament, to whom God gives witness, were righteous, not in comparison with the wicked, but by the rule of virtue; and that in future time there is a reward as well of good works as of evil. But that no one can then perform the commandment which here he may have contemned, because the apostle said, âWe must be manifested before the judgment-seat of Christ, that every one may receive the things belonging to the body, according to what he has done, whether good or evil.ââ[2780]2779

In all these points, whatever they say of the praise of the creature and of marriage, they endeavour to bring us back to this,âthat there is no original sin; whatever of the praise of law and of free will, to this, that grace does not assist without merit, and that thus grace is no more grace; whatever of the praise of the saints, to this, that mortal life in the saints appears not to have sin, and that it is not necessary for them to pray God for the remitting of their debts.

Chapter 3 [III.]âThe Catholics Praise Nature, Marriage, Law, Free Will, and the Saints, in Such Wise as to Condemn as Well Pelagians as Manicheans.

Let every one who, with a catholic mind, shudders at these impious and damnable doctrines, in this tripartite division, shun the lurking-places and snares of this fivefold error, and be so careful between one and another as in such wise to decline from the Manicheans as not to incline to the Pelagians; and again, so to separate himself from the Pelagians as not to associate himself with the Manicheans: or, if he should already be taken hold of in one or the other bondage, that he should not so pluck himself out of the hands of either as to rush into those of the other. Because they seem to be contrary to one another; since the Manicheans manifest themselves by vituperating these five points, and the Pelagians conceal themselves by praising them. Wherefore he condemns and shuns both, whoever he may be, who according to the rule of the catholic faith so glorifies the Creator in men, that are born of the good creature of flesh and soul (for this the Manichean will not have), as that he yet confesses that on account of the corruption which has passed over into them by the sin of the first man, even infants need a Saviour (for this the Pelagian will not have). He who so distinguishes the evil of shameful concupiscence from the blessing of marriage, as neither, like the Manicheans, to reproach the source of our birth, nor, like the Pelagians, to praise the source of our disorder. He who so maintains the law to have been given holy

and just and good through Moses by a holy and just and good God (which Manicheus, in opposition to the apostle, denies), as to say that it both shows forth sin and yet does not take it away, and commands righteousness which yet it does not give (which, again, in opposition to the apostle. Pelagius denies). He who so asserts free will as to say that the evil of both angel and man began, not from I know not what nature always evil, which is no nature, but from the will itself, which overturns Manichean heresy, and nevertheless that even thus the captive will cannot breathe into a wholesome liberty save by Godâs grace, which overturns the Pelagian heresy. He who so praises in God the holy men of God, not only after Christ manifested in the flesh and subsequently, but even those of the former times, whom the Manicheans dare to blaspheme, as yet to believe their own confessions concerning themselves, more than the lies of the Pelagians. For the word of the saints is, alf we should say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[2781]2780

Chapter 4 [IV.]âPelagians and Manicheans on the Praise of the Creature.

These things being so, what advantage is it to new heretics, enemies of the cross of Christ and opposers of divine grace, that they seem sound from the error of the Manicheans, if they are dying by another pestilence of their own? What advantage is it to them, that in the praise of the creature they say athat the good God is the maker of those that are born, by whom all things were made, and that the children of men are His work, a whom the Manicheans say are the work of the prince of darkness; when between them both, or among them both, Godâs creation, which is in infants, is perishing? For both of them refuse to have it delivered by Christâs flesh and blood, âthe one, because they destroy that very flesh and blood, as if He did not take upon Him these at all in man or of man; and the other, because they assert that there is no evil in infants from which they should be delivered by the sacrament of this flesh and blood. Between them lies the human creature in infants, with a good origination, with a corrupted propagation, confessing for its goods a most excellent Creator, seeking for its evils a most merciful Redeemer, having the Manicheans as disparagers of its benefits, having the Pelagians as deniers of its evils, and both as persecutors. And although in infancy there is no power to speak, yet with its silent look and its hidden weakness it addresses the impious vanity of both, saying to the one, aBelieve that I am created by Him who creates good things; and saying to the other, aSuffer me to be healed by Him who created me.a The Manicheans say, a There is nothing of this infant save the good soul to be delivered; the rest, a which belongs not to the good God, but to the prince of darkness, âis to be rejected.â The Pelagians say, âCertainly there is nothing of this infant to be delivered, because we have shown the whole to be safe.â Both lie: but now the accuser of the flesh alone is more bearable than the praiser, who is convicted of cruelty against the whole. But neither does the Manichean help the human soul by blaspheming God, the Author of the entire man; nor does the Pelagian permit the divine grace to come to the help of human infancy by denying original sin. Therefore it is by the catholic faith that God has mercy, seeing that by condemning both mischievous doctrines it

comes to the help of the infant for salvation. It says to the Manicheans, âHear the apostle crying, âKnow ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Ghost in you?â[2782]2781 and believe that the good God is the Creator of bodies, because the temple of the Holy Ghost cannot be the work of the prince of darkness.â It says to the Pelagians, âThe infant that you look upon âwas conceived in iniquity, and in sin its mother nourished it in the womb.â[2783]2782 Why, as if in defending it as free from all mischief, do you not permit it to be delivered by mercy? No one is pure from uncleanness, not even the infant whose life is of one day upon the earth.[2784]2783 Allow the wretched creatures to receive remission of sins, through Him who alone neither as small nor great could have any sin.â

Chapter 5.aWhat is the Special Advantage in the Pelagian Opinions?

What advantage, then, is it to them that they say athat all sin descends not from nature, but from the will, and resist by the truth of this judgment the Manicheans, who say that evil nature is the cause of sin; when by being unwilling to admit original sin although itself also descends from the will of the first man, they make infants to depart in guilt from the body? What advantage is it to them athat they confess that baptism is necessary for all ages, a while the Manicheans say that it is superfluous for every age, while they say that in infants it is false so far as it pertains to the forgiveness of sins? What advantage is it to them that they maintain athe flesh of Christa (which the Manicheans contend was either no flesh at all, or a feigned flesh) to have been not only the true flesh, but also athat the soul itself was stained by no spot of sin, a when other infants are by them so put on the same level with His infancy, with not unequal purity, as that both that flesh does not appear to keep its own holiness in comparison with these, and these obtain no salvation from that?

Chapter 6.aNot Death Alone, But Sin Also Has Passed into Us by Means of Adam.

In that particular, indeed, wherein they say athat death passed to us by Adam, not sins, a they have not the Manicheans as their adversaries: since they, too, deny that original sin from the first man, at first of pure and upright body and spirit, and afterwards depraved by free will, subsequently passed and passes as sin into all with death; but they say that the flesh was evil from the beginning, and was created by an evil spirit and along with an evil spirit; but that a good soulaa portion, to wit, of Godafor the deserts of its defilement by food and drink, in which it was before bound up, came into man, and thus by means of copulation was bound in the chain of the flesh. And thus the Manicheans agree with the Pelagians that it was not the guilt of the first man that passed into the human raceâneither by the flesh, which they say was never good; nor by the soul, which they assert comes into the flesh of man with the merits of its own defilements with which it was polluted before the flesh. But how do the Pelagians say athat only death passed upon us by Adamas meansa? For if we die because he died, but he died because be sinned, they say that the punishment passed without the guilt, and that innocent infants are punished with an unjust penalty by deriving death without the deserts

of death. This, the catholic faith has known of the one and only mediator between God and man, the man Christ Jesus, who condescended to undergo deathâthat is, the penalty of sinâwithout sin, for us. As He alone became the Son of man, in order that we might become through Him sons of God, so He alone, on our behalf, undertook punishment without ill deservings, that we through Him might obtain grace without good deservings. Because as to us nothing good was due so to Him nothing bad was due. Therefore, commending His love to them to whom He was about to give undeserved life. He was willing to suffer for them an undeserved death. This special prerogative of the Mediator the Pelagians endeavour to make void, so that this should no longer be special in the Lord, if Adam in such wise suffered a death due to him on account of his guilt, as that infants, drawing from him no guilt, should suffer undeserved death. For although very much good is conferred on the good by means of death, whence some have fitly argued even aof the benefit of death; a yet from this what can be declared except the mercy of God, since the punishment of sin is converted into beneficent uses?

Chapter 7.âWhat is the Meaning of âln Whom All Have Sinnedâ?

But these speak thus who wish to wrest men from the apostleas words into their own thought. For where the apostle says, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so passed upon all men, a[2785]2784 they will have it there understood not that asina passed over, but adeath.a What, then, is the meaning of what follows, aWhereto all have sinneda? For either the apostle says that in that âone manâ all have sinned of whom he had said, âBy one man sin entered into the world, â or else in that âsin, â or certainly in âdeath. â For it need not disturb us that he said not ain whicha [using the feminine form of the pronoun], but ain whoma [using the masculine] all have sinned; since adeatha in the Greek language is of the masculine gender. Let them, then, choose which they will, afor either in that âmanâ all have sinned, and it is so said because when he sinned all were in him: or in that asina all have sinned, because that was the doing of all in general which all those who were born would have to derive; or it remains for them to say that in that adeath all sinned. But in what way this can be understood, I do not clearly see. For all die in the sin: they do not sin in the death: for when sin precedes. death followsânot when death precedes, sin follows. Because sin is the sting of deathathat is, the sting by whose stroke death occurs, not the sting with which death strikes. [2786]2785 Just as poison, if it is drunk, is called the cup of death, because by that cup death is caused, not because the cup is caused by the death, or is given by death. But if asina cannot be understood by those words of the apostle as being that awherein all have sinned, a because in Greek, from which the Epistle is translated, asina is expressed in the feminine gender, it remains that all men are understood to have sinned in that first âman,â because all men were in him when he sinned; and from him sin is derived by birth, and is not remitted save by being born again. For thus also the sainted Hilary understood what is written, awherein all have sinned; a for he says, awherein, a that is, in Adam, all have sinned.â[2787]2786 Then he adds, âlt is manifest that all have sinned in Adam, as it were in the mass; for he himself was corrupted by sin,

and all whom he begot were born under sin.â When he wrote this, Hilary, without any ambiguity, indicated how we should understand the words, âwherein all have sinned.â

Chapter 8. âDeath Passed Upon All by Sin.

But on account of what does the same apostle say, that we are reconciled to God by Christ, except on account of what we had become enemies? And what is this but sin? Whence also the prophet says, aYour sins separate between you and God. a[2788]2787 On account of this separation, therefore, the Mediator was sent, that He might take away the sin of the world, by which we were separated as enemies, and that we, being reconciled, might be made from enemies children. About this, certainly, the apostle was speaking; hence it happened that he interposed what he says, a That sin entered by one man. a For these are his former words. He says, âBut God commendeth His love towards us in that, while we were yet sinners, Christ died for us. Much more, then, being now justified in His blood, we shall be saved from wrath through Him. For if, when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of His Son, much more, being reconciled, we shall be saved in His life. And not only so, but glorying also in God through Jesus Christ our Lord, by whom also we have now received reconciliation.â Then he subjoins, a Therefore, as by one man sin entered into this world, and death by sin, and so passed upon all men, for in him all have sinned.â[2789]2788 Why do the Pelagians evade this matter? If reconciliation through Christ is necessary to all men, on all men has passed sin by which we have become enemies, in order that we should have need of reconciliation. This reconciliation is in the laver of regeneration and in the flesh and blood of Christ, without which not even infants can have life in themselves. For as there was one man for death on account of sin, so there is one man for life on account of righteousness; because âas in Adam all die, so also in Christ shall all be made alive; a[2790]2789 and aas by the sin of one upon all men to condemnation, so also by the righteousness of one upon all men unto justification of life.a[2791]2790 Who is there that has turned a deaf ear to these apostolical words with such hardiness of wicked impiety, as, having heard them, to contend that death passed upon us through Adam without sin, unless, indeed, they are opposers of the grace of God and enemies of the cross of Christ?awhose end is destruction if they continue in this obstinacy. But let it suffice to have said thus much for the sake of that serpentine subtlety of theirs, by which they wish to corrupt simple minds, and to turn them away from the simplicity of the faith, as if by the praise of the creature.

Chapter 9 [V.]âOf the Praise of Marriage.

But further, concerning the praise of marriage,[2792]2791 what advantage is it to them that, in opposition to the Manicheans, who assign marriage not to the true and good God, but to the prince of darkness, these men resist the words of true piety, and say, âThat the Lord speaks in the gospel, saying, Who from the beginning made them male and female, and said, Increase and multiply and replenish the earth. What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunderâ?[2793]2792 What does this profit them, by means of the truth to seduce to a falsehood? For they say this in order that infants may be thought to be born free from all fault, and thus that there is no need of their being reconciled to God through Christ, since they have no original sin, on account of which reconciliation is necessary to all by means of one who came into the world without sin, just as the enmities of all were caused by means of one through whom sin entered into the world. And this is believed by catholics for the sake of the salvation of the nature of men, without detracting from the praise of marriage; because the praise of marriage is a righteous intercourse of the sexes, not a wicked defence of vices. And thus, when, by their praise of marriage, these persons wish to draw over men from the Manicheans to themselves, they desire merely to change their disease, not to heal it.

Chapter 10.âOf the Praise of the Law.

Once more, in the praise of the law, what advantage is it to them that, in opposition to the Manicheans, they say the truth when they wish to bring men from that view to this which they hold falsely against the catholics? For they say, aWe confess that even the old law, according to the apostle, is holy and just and good, and that this could confer eternal life on those that kept its commandments, and lived righteously by faith, like the prophets and patriarchs, and all the saints. By which words, very craftily expressed, they praise the law in opposition to grace; for certainly that law, although just and holy and good, could not confer eternal life on all those men of God, but the faith which is in Christ. For this faith worketh by love, not according to the letter which killeth, but according to the Spirit which maketh alive, to which grace of God the law, as it were a schoolmaster, leads by deterring from transgression, that so that might be conferred upon man which it could not itself confer. For to those words of theirs in which they say athat the law was able to confer eternal life on the prophets and patriarchs, and all saints who kept its commandments, a the apostle replies, all righteousness be by the law, then has Christ died in vain.â[2794]2793 âlf the inheritance be by the law, then is it no more of promise. a[2795]2794 alf they which are of the law be heirs, faith is made void, and the promise is made of none effect. a[2796]2795 aBut that no man is justified by the law in the sight of God, is evident: for. The just by faith liveth.â[2797]2796 âBut the law is not of faith: but The man that doeth them shall live in them.a[2798]2797 Which testimony, quoted by the apostle from the law, is understood in respect of temporal life, in respect of the fear of losing which, men were in the habit of doing the works of the law, not of faith; because the transgressors of the law were commanded by the same law to be put to death by the people. Or, if it must be understood more highly, that alle who doeth these things shall live in themâ was written in reference to eternal life; the power of the law is so expressed that the weakness of man in himself, itself failing to do what the law commands, might seek help from the grace of God rather of faith, seeing that by His mercy even faith itself is bestowed. Because faith is thus possessed, according as God has given to every one the measure of faith.[2799]2798 For if men have it not of themselves, but men receive the Spirit of power and of love and of continence, whence that very same teacher of the

Gentiles says, âFor we have not received the spirit of fear, but of power, and of love, and of continence,â[2800]2799âassuredly also the Spirit of faith is received, of which he says, âHaving also the same Spirit of faith.â[2801]2800 Truly, then, says the law, âHe who doeth these things shall live in them.â But in order to do these things, and live in them, there is necessary not law which ordains this, but faith which obtains this. Which faith, however, that it may deserve to receive these things, is itself given freely.

Chapter 11.âThe Pelagians Understand that the Law Itself is Godâs Grace.

But those enemies of grace never endeavour to lay more secret snares for more vehement opposition to that same grace than when they praise the law, which, without doubt, is worthy to be praised. [2802]2801 Because, by their different modes of speaking, and by variety of words in all their arguments, they wish the law to be understood as âgraceââthat, to wit, we may have from the Lord God the help of knowledge, whereby we may know those things which have to be done, anot the inspiration of love, that, when known, we may do them with a holy love, which is properly grace. For the knowledge of the law without love puffeth up, does not edify, according to the same apostle, who most openly says, aKnowledge puffeth up, but love edifieth.a[2803]2802 Which saying is like to that in which it is said, a The letter killeth, the spirit maketh alive. a[2804]2803 For aKnowledge puffeth up, a corresponds to aThe letter killeth: and, aLove edifieth, a to aThe spirit maketh alive; a because athe love of God is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Spirit who is given unto us a[2805]2804 Therefore the knowledge of the law makes a proud transgressor; but, by the gift of charity, he delights to be a doer of the law. We do not then make void the law through faith, but we establish the law, [2806]2805 which by terrifying leads to faith. Thus certainly the law worketh wrath, that the mercy of God may bestow grace on the sinner, frightened and turned to the fulfilment of the righteousness of the law through Jesus Christ our Lord, who is that wisdom of God of which it is written, aShe carries law and mercy on her tongue.a[2807]2806alaw whereby she frightens, mercy by which she may help, alaw by His servant, mercy by Himself, athe law, as it were, in the staff which Elisha [2808] 2807 sent to raise up the son of the widow, and it failed to raise him up, aFor if a law had been given which could have given life, righteousness would altogether have been by the law, a[2809]2808 but mercy, as it were, in Elisha himself, who, wearing the figure of Christ, by giving life to the dead was joined in the signification of the great sacrament, as it were, of the New Testament.

Chapter 12 [VI.]âOf the Praise of Free Will.

Moreover, that, in opposition to the Manicheans, they praise free will, making use of the prophetic testimony, âlf ye shall be willing and will hear me, ye shall eat what is good in the land; but if ye shall be unwilling and will not hear me, the sword shall consume you:â[2810]2809 what advantage is this to them, when, indeed, it is not so much against the Manicheans that they are maintaining, as against the catholics that they are extolling, free will? For they

wish what is said, âlf ye be willing and will hear me,â to be so understood, as if in the preceding will itself were the merit of the grace that follows; and thus grace were no more grace, seeing that it is not free when it is rendered as a debt. But if they should so understand what is written, âlf ye be willing,â as to confess that He prepares even that good will itself of whom it is written, âThe will is prepared by the Lord,â[2811]2810 they would use this testimony as catholics, and not only would overcome the ancient heresy of the Manicheans, but would not found the new one of the Pelagians.

Chapter 13.âGodâs Purposes are Effects of Grace.

What does it profit them, that in the praise of that same free will âthey say that grace assists the good purpose of every onea?[2812]2811 This would be received without scruple as being said in a catholic spirit, if they did not attribute merit to the good purpose, to which merit now a wage is paid of debt, not according to grace, but would understand and confess that even that very good purpose, which the grace which follows assists could not have been in the man if grace had not preceded it. For how is there a good purpose in a man without the mercy of God first, since it is that very good will which is prepared by the Lord? [2813] 2812 But when they had said this, athat grace also assists every oneas good purpose, and presently added, âyet does not infuse the love of virtue into a resisting heart.â it might be fitly understood, if it were not said by those whose meaning is known. For, for the resisting heart a hearing for the divine call is first procured by the grace of God itself, and then in that heart, now no more resisting, the desire of virtue is kindled. Nevertheless, in all things which any one does according to God, His mercy precedes him. And this they will not have, because they choose to be not catholics, but Pelagians. For it much delights a proud impiety, that even that which a man is forced to confess to be given by the Lord should seem to be not bestowed on himself, but repaid; so that, to wit, the children of perdition, not of the promise, may be thought themselves to have made themselves good, and God to have repaid to those who are now good, having been made so by themselves, the reward due for that their work.

Chapter 14.âThe Testimonies of Scripture in Favour of Grace.

For that very pride has so stopped the ears of their heart that they do not hear, âFor what hast thou that thou hast not received?â[2814]2813 They do not hear, âWithout me ye can do nothing;â[2815]2814 they do not hear, âLove is of God;â[2816]2815 they do not hear, âGod hath dealt the measure of faith;â[2817]2816 they do not hear, âThe Spirit breatheth where it will,â[2818]2817 and, âThey who are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God;â[2819]2818 they do not hear, âNo one can come unto me, unless it were given him of my Father;â[2820]2819 they do not hear what Esdras writes, âBlessed is the Lord of our fathers, who hath put into the heart of the king to glorify His house which is in Jerusalem;â[2821]2820 they do not hear what the Lord says by Jeremiah, âAnd I will put my fear into their heart, that they depart not from me; and I will visit them to make them good;â[2822]2821 and specially that word by Ezekiel the prophet, where God fully shows that He is induced by no good deservings of men to make them good, that is, obedient to His commands, but rather that He repays to them good for evil, by doing this for His own sake, and not for theirs. For He says, a These things saith the Lord God: I do not this for your sakes. O house of Israel, but for mine own holy nameas sake, which has been profaned among the nations, whither ye have gone in there; and I will sanctify my great name, which has been profaned among the nations, and which ye have profaned in the midst of them; and the nations shall know that I am the Lord, saith Adonai the Lord, when I shall be sanctified among you before their eyes. And I will take you from among the nations, and gather you together out of all lands, and will bring you into your own land. And I will sprinkle upon you clean water, and ye shall be cleansed from all your filthiness, and I will cleanse you. And I will give unto you a new heart, and a new spirit will I put within you: and the stony heart shall be taken away out of your flesh, and I will give you a heart of flesh. And I will put my Spirit within you, and will cause you to walk in my righteousness, and to observe my judgments, and do them.â[2823]2822 And after a few words, by the same prophet He says, aNot for your sakes do I do this, saith the Lord God; it shall be known unto you: be ye confounded and blush for your ways, O house of Israel. These things saith the Lord God: In the day in which I shall cleanse you from all your iniquities, and shall ordain cities, and the wilderness shall be built, and the desolated land shall be tilled, whereas it was desolated before the eyes of every passer by. And they shall say. This land that was desolated has become as a garden of pleasure; and the wasted and desolated and ruined cities have settled down fortified. And whatever nations have been left round about you shall know that I the Lord have built the ruined places. I have planted the desolated places: I the Lord have spoken, and have done it. Thus saith the Lord: I will yet for this inquire of the house of Israel, that I may do it for them; I will multiply them men like sheep, as holy sheep, as the sheep of Jerusalem in the days of her feast; so shall be those desolated cities full of men as sheep: and they shall know that I am the Lord. a[2824]2823

Chapter 15.åFrom Such Scriptures Grace is Proved to Be Gratuitous and Effectual.

What remained to the carrion skin whence it might be puffed up, and could disdain when it glories to glory in the Lord?[2825]2824 What remained to it, when whatsoever it shall have said that it has done in such a way that after that preceding merit of man had originated from man, God should subsequently do that of which the man is deserving,âit shall be answered, it shall be exclaimed against, it shall be contradicted, âl do it; but for my own holy nameâs sake; not for your sakes, do I do it, saith the Lord Godâ?[2826]2825 Nothing so overturns the Pelagians when they say that the grace of God is given in respect of our merits. Which, indeed, Pelagius himself condemned,[2827]2826 and if not by correcting it, yet by being afraid of the Eastern judges. Nothing so overturns the presumption of men who say, âWe do it, that we may deserve those things with which God may do it.â It is not Pelagius that answers you, but the Lord Himself, âl do it and not for your sakes, but for my own holy nameâs sake.â[2828]2827 For what

good can ye do out of a heart which is not good? But that you may have a good heart, He says, al will give you a new heart, and I will put a new Spirit within you.â Can you say, We will first walk in His righteousness, and will observe His judgment, and will do so that we may be worthy, such as He should give His grace to? But what good would ye evil men do, and how should you do those good things, unless you were yourselves good? But who causes that men should be good save Him who said, âAnd I will visit them to make them goodâ? and who said al will put my Spirit within you, and will cause you to walk in my righteousness, and to observe my judgments, and do themâ? Are ye thus not yet awake? Do ye not yet hear, al will cause you to walk, I will make you to observe, a lastly, a will make you to doa? What! are you still puffing yourselves up? We indeed walk, it is true; we observe; we do; but He makes us to walk, to observe, to do. This is the grace of God making us good; this is His mercy preventing us. What do waste and desolated and dug-up places deserve, which yet shall be built and tilled and fortified? Are these things for the merits of their wasteness, their desolation, their uprooting? Far from it. For such things as these are evil deservings, while those gifts are good. Therefore good things are given for evil onesagratuitous, therefore; not of debt, and therefore grace. âl,â saith the Lord: âl, the Lord.â Does not such a word as that restrain you, O human pride, when you say, I do such things as to deserve from the Lord to be built and planted? Do you not hear, al do it not on your account; I the Lord have built up the destroyed cities, and I have planted the desolated lands; I the Lord have spoken, and I have done it, yet not for your sakes, but for my own holy nameas sakea? Who multiplies men as sheep, as holy sheep, as the sheep of Jerusalem? Who causes those desolated cities to be full of men as sheep, save He who goes on, and says, aAnd they shall know that I am the Lordâ? But with what men as sheep does He fill the cities as He promised? those which He finds, or those which He makes? Let us interrogate the Psalm; lo, it answers; let us hear: aO come, let us worship and fall down before Him: and let us weep before the Lord who made us; because He is our God, and we are the people of His pasture, and the sheep of His hand.a[2829]2828 He therefore makes the sheep, with which He may fill the desolated cities. What wonder, when, indeed, to that single sheep, that is, the Church whose members are all the human sheep, it is said, aBecause I am the Lord who make theea? What do you pretend to me of free will. which will not be free to do righteousness, unless you should be a sheep? He then who makes men His sheep, He frees the wills of men for the obedience of piety.

Chapter 16.âWhy God Makes of Some Sheep, Others Not.

But wherefore does God make these men sheep, and those not, since with Him there is no acceptance of persons? This is the very question which the blessed apostle thus answers to those who propose it with more curiosity than propriety,  $\hat{a}O$  man, who art thou that repliest against God? Does the thing formed say to him that formed it, Wherefore hast thou made me thus? $\hat{a}[2830]2829$  This is the very question which belongs to that depth desiring to look into which the same apostle was in a certain measure terrified, and exclaimed,  $\hat{a}Oh$  the depth of the riches of the wisdom and the knowledge of God! how unsearchable are His judgments, and His ways past finding out! For who has known the mind of the Lord? or who has been His counsellor? Or who has first given to Him, that it should be recompensed to Him again? Because of Him, and through Him, and in Him, are all things: to Him be glory for ages of ages.â[2831]2830 Let them not, then, dare to prv into that unsearchable guestion who defend merit before grace, and therefore even against grace, and wish first to give unto God, that it may be given to them again, afirst, of course, to give something of free will, that grace may be given them again as a reward; and let them wisely understand or faithfully believe that even what they think that they have first given, they have received from Him, from whom are all things, by whom are all things, in whom are all things. But why this man should receive, and that should not receive, when neither of them deserves to receive, and whichever of them receives, receives undeservingly, alet them measure their own strength, and not search into things too strong for them. Let it suffice them to know that there is no unrighteousness with God. For when the apostle could find no merits for which Jacob should take precedence of his twin-brother with God, he said, aWhat, then, shall we say? Is there unrighteousness with God? Away with the thought! For He says to Moses, I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will show compassion on whom I will show compassion. Therefore it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy. a[2832]2831 Let, therefore. His free compassion be grateful to us, even although this profound question be still unsolved; which, nevertheless, is so far solved as the same apostle solves it, saying, âBut if God, willing to show His wrath, and to demonstrate His power, endured in much patience the vessels of wrath which are fitted to destruction; and that He might make known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy. which He has prepared for glory.a[2833]2832 Certainly wrath is not repaid unless it is due, lest there be unrighteousness with God; but mercy, even when it is bestowed, and not due, is not unrighteousness with God. And hence, let the vessels of mercy understand how freely mercy is afforded to them, because to the vessels of wrath with whom they have common cause and measure of perdition, is repaid wrath, righteous and due. This is now enough in opposition to those who, by freedom of will, desire to destroy the liberality of grace.

Chapter 17 [VII.]âOf the Praise of the Saints.

In that, indeed, in the praise of the saints, they will not drive us with the zeal of that publican[2834]2833 to hunger and thirst after righteousness, but with the vanity of the Pharisees, as it were, to overflow with sufficiency and fulness; what does it profit them thatâin opposition to the Manicheans, who do away with baptismâthey say âthat men are perfectly renewed by baptism,â and apply the apostleâs testimony for this,ââwho testifies that, by the washing of water, the Church is made holy and spotless from the Gentiles,â[2835]2834âwhen, with a proud and perverse meaning, they put forth their arguments in opposition to the prayers of the Church itself. For they say this in order that the Church may be believed after holy baptismâin which is accomplished the forgiveness of all sinsâto have no further sin; when, in opposition to them, from the rising of the sun even to its setting, in all its members it cries to God, âForgive us our debts.â[2836]2835 But if they are interrogated regarding themselves in this matter, they find not what to answer. For if they should say that they have no sin, John answers them, that they deceive themselves, and the truth is not in them.[2837]2836 But if they confess their sins, since they wish themselves to be members of Christâs body, how will that body, that is, the Church, be even in this time perfectly, as they think, without spot or wrinkle, if its members without falsehood confess themselves to have sins? Wherefore in baptism all sins are forgiven, and, by that very washing of water in the word, the Church is set forth in Christ without spot or wrinkle;[2838]2837 and unless it were baptized, it would fruitlessly say, âForgive us our debts,â until it be brought to glory, when there is in it absolutely no spot or wrinkle.[2839]2838

Chapter 18.âThe Opinion of the Saints Themselves About Themselves.

It is to be confessed that athe Holy Spirit, even in the old times, a not only aaided good dispositions, a which even they allow, but that it even made them good, which they will not have. aThat all, also, of the prophets and apostles or saints, both evangelical and ancient, to whom God gives His witness, were righteous, not in comparison with the wicked, but by the rule of virtue, a is not doubtful. And this is opposed to the Manicheans, who blaspheme the patriarchs and prophets; but what is opposed to the Pelagians is, that all of these, when interrogated concerning themselves while they lived in the body, with one most accordant voice would answer, alf we should say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[2840]2839 aBut in the future time, a it is not to be denied athat there will be a reward as well of good works as of evil, and that no one will be commanded to do the commandments there which here he has contemned, â but that a sufficiency of perfect righteousness where sin cannot be, a righteousness which is here hungered and thirsted after by the saints, is here hoped for in precept, is there received as a reward, on the entreaty of alms and prayers; so that what here may have been wanting in fulfilment of the commandments may become unpunished for the forgiveness of sin.[2841]2840

Chapter 19.âThe Craft of the Pelagians.

And if these things be so, let the Pelagians cease by their most insidious praises of these five thingsâthat is, the praise of the creature, the praise of marriage, the praise of the law, the praise of free will, the praise of the saintsâfrom feigning that they desire to pluck men, as it were, from the little snares of the Manicheans, in order that they may entangle them in their own netsâthat is, that they may deny original sin; may begrudge to infants the aid of Christ the physician; may say that the grace of God is given according to our merits, and thus that grace is no more grace; and may say that the saints in this life had not sin, and thus make the prayer of none effect which He gave to the saints who had no sin, and by which all sin is pardoned to the saints that pray unto Him. To these three evil doctrines, they by their deceitful praise of these five good things seduce careless and unlearned men. Concerning all which things, I think I have sufficiently censured their most cruel and wicked and proud vanity.

Chapter 20 [VIII.]âThe Testimonies of the Ancients Against the Pelagians.

But since they say athat their enemies have taken up our words for hatred of the truth, and complained that athroughout nearly the whole of the West a dogma not less foolish than impious is taken up, and from simple bishops sitting in their places without a Synodal congregation a subscription is extorted to confirm this dogma, ââalthough the Church of Christ, both Western and Eastern shuddered at the profane novelties of their wordsal think it belongs to my care not only to avail myself of the sacred canonical Scriptures as witnesses against them, which I have already sufficiently done, but, moreover, to bring forward some proofs from the writings of the holy men who before us have treated upon those Scriptures with the most widespread reputation and great glory. Not that I would put the authority of any controversialist on a level with the canonical books, as if there were nothing which is better or more truly thought by one catholic than by another who likewise is a catholic; but that those may be admonished who think that these men say anything as it used to be said, before their empty talk on these subjects, by catholic teachers following the divine oracles, and may know that the true and anciently established catholic faith is by us defended against the receding presumption and mischief of the Pelagian heretics.

Chapter 21.âPelagius, in Imitation of Cyprian, Wrote a Book of Testimonies.

Even that heresiarch of these men, Pelagius himself, mentions with the honour that is certainly due to him, the most blessed Cyprian, most glorious with even the crown of martyrdom, not only in the African and the Western, but also in the Eastern Churches, well known by the report of fame, and by the diffusion far and wide of his writings, âwhen, writing a book of testimonies, [2842]2841 he asserts that he is imitating him, saying that ahe was doing to Romanus what Cypria had done to Quirinus.â Let us, then, see what Cyprian thought concerning original sin, which entered by one man into the world. In the epistle on aWorks and Almsa[2843]2842 he thus speaks: aWhen the Lord at His advent had cured these wounds which Adam had introduced. and had healed the old poisons of the serpent, He gave a law to the sound man, and bade him sin no more, lest a worse thing should happen to him if he sinned. We had been limited and shut up into a narrow space by the commandment of innocence; nor would the infirmity and weakness of human frailty have any resource unless the divine mercy coming once more in aid should open some way of securing salvation by pointing out works of justice and mercy, so that by alms-giving we may wash away whatever foulness we subsequently contract.â By this testimony this witness refutes two falsehoods of theirs, athe one, wherein they say that the human race draws no sin from Adam which needs cure and healing through Christ; the other, in which they say that the saints have no sin after baptism. Again, in the same epistle[2844]2843 he says, aLet each one place before his eyes the devil with his servants, athat is, with the people of perdition and

death, as springing forth into the midst and provoking the people of Christ, âHimself being present and judging, awith the trial of comparison in these words: al, on behalf of those whom thou seest with me, neither received buffets, nor bore scourgings, nor endured the cross, nor shed my blood, nor redeemed my family at the price of my suffering and blood; but neither do I promise them a celestial kingdom, nor do I recall them to Paradise, having again restored to them immortality ââ Let the Pelagians answer and say when we could have been in the immortality of Paradise, and how we could have been expelled thence so as to be recalled thither by the grace of Christ. And, although they may be unable to find what they can answer in this case on behalf of their own perversity, let them observe in what manner Cyprian understood what the apostle says, all whom all have sinned.â And let not the Pelagian heretics, freed from the old Manichean heretics, dare to suggest any calumny against a catholic, lest they should be convicted of doing so wicked a wrong even to the ancient martyr Cyprian.

Chapter 22. âFurther References to Cyprian.

For he says also this in the epistle whose title is inscribed, aOn the Mortality: â[2845]2844 âThe kingdom of God, beloved brethren, is beginning to be at hand; the reward of life, and the rejoicing of eternal salvation and perpetual gladness, and the possession formerly lost of Paradise, are now coming with the passing away of the world.â This again, in the same epistle, he says: aLet us greet the day which assigns each of us to his own home, which snatches us hence and sets us free from the snares of the world, and restores us to Paradise and the kingdom a Moreover, he says in the epistle concerning Patience: âLet the judgment of God be pondered, which, even in the beginning of the world and of the human race, Adam, forgetful of the commandment and a transgressor of the law that had been given, received. Then we shall know how patient in this life we ought to be, who are born in such a state that we labour here with afflictions and contests. Because, says He, athou hast hearkened to the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree of which alone I had charged thee that thou shouldest not eat, cursed shall be the ground in all thy works: in sorrow and in groaning shalt thou eat of it all the days of thy life. Thorns and thistles shall it give forth to thee, and thou shall eat the food of the field. In the sweat of thy face thou shalt eat thy bread, till thou return unto the ground from which thou wast taken: for earth thou art, and unto earth shalt thou go.â We are all tied and bound with the chain of this sentence until, death being destroyed, we depart from this world. a[2846]2845 And, moreover, in the same epistle he says: aFor, since in that first transgression of the commandment strength of body departed with immortality, and weakness came on with death, and strength cannot be received unless when immortality also has been received, it behoves us in this bodily frailty and weakness always to struggle and fight; and this struggle and encounter cannot be sustained but by the strength of patience.a[2847]2846

Chapter 23.aFurther References to Cyprian.

And in the epistle which he wrote with sixty-six of his joint-bishops

to Bishop Fidus, when he was consulted by him in respect of the law of circumcision, whether an infant might be baptized before the eighth day, this matter is treated in such a way as if by a divine forethought the catholic Church would already confute the Pelagian heretics who would appear so long afterwards. For he who had consulted had no doubt on the subject whether children on birth inherited original sin, which they might wash away by being born again. For be it far from the Christian faith to have at any time doubted on this matter. But he was in doubt whether the washing of regeneration, by which he made no question but that original sin was put away, ought to be given before the eighth day. To which consultation the most blessed Cyprian in reply said: aBut as regards the case of infants, which you say ought not to be baptized within the second or third day after their birth, and that the law of the ancient circumcision should be regarded, so that you think that one who is born should not be baptized and sanctified within the eighth day, we all thought very differently in our council. For to the course which you thought was to be taken no one agreed, but we all rather judged that the grace of a merciful God was not to be denied to any one born of men; for, as the Lord says in His gospel, athe Son of man is not come to destroy menas lives, but to save them.a[2848]2847 As far as we can, we must strive that, if possible, no soul be lost.a[2849]2848 And a little afterwards he says: aNor ought any of us to shudder at what God hath condescended to make. For although the infant is still fresh from its birth, yet it is not such that any one should shudder at kissing it in giving grace and in making peace, since in the kiss of an infant every one of us ought for his very religionas sake to consider the still recent hands of God themselves, which in some sort we are kissing in the man just formed and newly born, when we are embracing that which God has made.â[2850]2849 A little after, also, he says: âBut if anything could hinder men from obtaining grace, their more heinous sins might rather hinder those who are mature and grown up and older. But again, if even to the greatest sinners, and to those who have before sinned much against God, when they have subsequently believed, remission of sins is granted, and nobody is hindered from baptism and from grace; how much rather ought we to shrink from hindering an infant, who, being lately born, has not sinned, except that, being born after the flesh according to Adam, he has contracted the contagion of the ancient death at his earliest birth: who approaches more easily on this very account to the reception of the forgiveness of sins, in that to him are remitted not his own sins, but the sins of another!a[2851]2850

## Chapter 24.âThe Dilemma Proposed to the Pelagians.

What will be said to such things as these, by those who are not only the forsakers, but also the persecutors of Godâs grace? What will they say to such things as these? On what ground is the âpossession of Paradiseâ restored to us? How are we restored to Paradise if we have never been there? Or how have we been there, except because we were there in Adam? And how do we belong to that âjudgmentâ which was spoken against the transgressor, if we do not inherit injury from the transgressor? Finally, he thinks that infants are to be baptized, even before the eighth day; lest âby the contagion of the ancient death, contracted in the first birth,â the souls of the infants should

perish. How do they perish if they who are born even of believing men are not held by the devil until they are born again in Christ, and plucked out from the power of darkness, and transferred into His kingdom? And who says that the souls of those who are born will perish unless they are born again? No other than he who so praises the Creator and the creature, the workman and the work, as to restrain and correct the horror of human feeling with which men refuse to kiss infants fresh from the womb, by interposing the veneration of the Creator Himself, saving that in the kiss of infants of that age the recent hands of God were to be considered! Did he, then, in confessing original sin, condemn either nature or marriage? Did he, because he applied to the infant born guilty from Adam, the cleansing of regeneration, therefore deny God as the Creator of those that were born? Because, in his dread that souls of any age whatever should perish, he, with his council of colleagues, decided that even before the eighth day they were to be delivered by the sacrament of baptism, did he therefore accuse marriage, when, indeed, in the case of an infant, awhether born of marriage or of adultery, yet because it was born a man, and declared that the recent hands of God were worthy even of the kiss of peace? If, then, the holy bishop and most glorious martyr Cyprian could think that original sin in infants must be healed by the medicine of Christ, without denying the praise of the creature, without denying the praise of marriage, why does a novel pestilence, although it does not dare to call such an one as him a Manichean, think that another personas fault is to be objected against catholics who maintain these things, in order to conceal its own? So the most lauded commentator on the divine declarations, before even the slightest taint of the Manichean plague had touched our lands, without any reproach of the divine work and of marriage, confesses original sin, anot saying that Christ was stained with any spot of sin, nor yet comparing with Him the flesh of sin in others that were born, to whom by means of the likeness of sinful flesh He might afford the aid of cleansing; neither is he deterred by the obscure question of the origin of souls, from confessing that those who are made free by the grace of Christ return into Paradise. Does he say that the condition of death passed upon men from Adam without the contagion of sin? For it is not on account of avoiding the death of the body, but on account of the sin which entered by one man into the world, [2852]2851 that he says that help is to be afforded by baptism to infants, however fresh they may be from the womb.

Chapter 25 [IX.] aCyprianas Testimonies Concerning Godas Grace.

But now it plainly appears in what way Cyprian proclaims the grace of God against such as these, when he is arguing about the Lordâs Prayer. For he says: âWe say, âMay Thy name be made holy,â[2853]2852 not that we wish for God that He may be made holy by our prayers, but that we beseech of Him that His name may be made holy in us. But by whom is God made holy, since He Himself makes holy? But, because He says, âBe ye holy, because I also am holy,â we ask and entreat this, that we who were made holy in baptism may continue in that which we have begun to be.â[2854]2853 And in another place in the same epistle he says: âWe add also, and say, âThy will be done in heaven, and in earth,â not in order that God may do what He wills, but that we may be able to do

what God wills. For who resists God that He may not do what He wills? But, since we are hindered by the devil from obeying God with our thought and deed in all things, we pray and ask that Godâs will may be done in us. And that it may be done in us, we have need of Godâs will, that is, of His help and protection; since no one is strong in his own strength, but he is safe by the indulgence and mercy of God.â[2855]2854 In another place also: âMoreover, we ask that the will of God may be done both in heaven and in earth, each of which things pertains to the fulfilment of our safety and salvation. For since we possess the body from the earth, and the spirit from heaven, we are ourselves earth and heaven; and in both, that is, both in body and in spirit, we pray that Godâs will be done. For between the flesh and the spirit there is a struggle, and there is a daily strife as they disagree one with the other; so that we cannot do the very things that we would, in that the spirit seeks heavenly and divine things, while the flesh lusts after earthly and temporal things. And, therefore, we ask that, by the help and assistance of God, agreement may be made between these two natures; so that while the will of God is done both in the spirit and in the flesh, the soul which is newborn by Him may be preserved. And this the Apostle Paul openly and manifestly declares by his words. aThe flesh, a says he, alusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh; for these are contrary the one to the other, so that ye cannot do the things that ye would âa[2856]2855 And a little after he says: âAnd it may be thus understood, most beloved brethren, that since the Lord commands and teaches us even to love our enemies, and to pray even for those who persecute us, we should ask even for those who are still earth, and have not vet begun to be heavenly, that even in respect of these Godâs will may be done, which Christ accomplished in preserving and renewing humanity. a[2857]2856 And again, in another place he says: âBut we ask that this bread should be given to us daily, that we who are in Christ, and daily receive the Eucharist for the food of salvation, may not, by the interposition of some more heinous sin, aby being prevented, as those abstaining and not communicating, from partaking of the heavenly bread, abe separated from Christas body. a[2858]2857 And a little afterwards, in the same treatise he says: âBut when we ask that we may not come into temptation, we are reminded of our infirmity and weakness, while we so ask as that no one should insolently vaunt himself: that none should proudly and arrogantly assume anything to himself; that none should take to himself the glory either of confession or of suffering as his own, when the Lord Himself teaching humility said, aWatch and pray, that ye come not into temptation: the spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak; a[2859]2858 so that while a humble and submissive confession comes first, and all is attributed to God, whatever is sought for suppliantly, with fear and honour of God, may be granted by His own loving-kindness. a[2860]2859 Moreover, in his treatise addressed to Quirinus, in respect to which work Pelagius wishes himself to appear as his imitator, he says in the Third Book athat we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.â[2861]2860 And subjoining the divine testimonies to this proposition, he added among others that apostolic word with which especially the mouths of such as these must be closed: aFor what hast thou, which thou hast not received? But if thou hast received it, why boastest thou as if thou hadst not received it?â Also in the epistle

concerning Patience he says: âFor we have this virtue in common with God. From Him patience begins; from Him its glory and its dignity take their rise. The origin and greatness of patience proceed from God as its Author.â[2862]2861

Chapter 26.aFurther Appeals to Cyprianas Teaching.

Does that holy and so memorable instructor of the Churches in the word of truth, deny that there is free will in men, because he attributes to God the whole of your righteous living? Does he reproach Godâs law. because he intimates that man is not justified by it, seeing that he declares that what that law commands must be obtained from the Lord God by prayers? Does he assert fate under the name of grace, by saying that we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own? Does he, like these, believe that the Holy Spirit is in such wise the aider of virtue, as if that very virtue which it assists springs from ourselves, when, asserting that nothing is our own, he mentions in this respect that the apostle said, aFor what hast thou that thou hast not received?â and says that the most excellent virtue, that is, patience, does not begin from us, and afterwards receive aid by the Spirit of God, but from Him Himself takes its source, from Him takes its origin? Finally, he confesses that neither good purpose, nor desire of virtue, nor good dispositions, begin to be in men without Godâs grace, when he says that awe must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.a What is so established in free will as what the law says, that we must not worship an idol, must not commit adultery, must do no murder? Nay, these crimes, and such like, are of such a kind that, if any one should commit them, he is removed from the communion of the body of Christ. And yet, if the blessed Cyprian thought that our own will was sufficient for not committing these crimes, he would not in such wise understand what we say in the Lordâs Prayer, âGive us this day our daily bread, â as that he should assert that we ask athat we may not by the interposition of some heinous sinâby being prevented as abstaining, and not communicating, from partaking of the heavenly breadâbe separated from Christâs body.â Let these new heretics answer of a surety what good merit precedes, in men who are enemies of the name of Christ? For not only have they no good merit, but they have, moreover, the very worst merit. And yet, Cyprian even thus understands what we say in the prayer, a Thy will be done in heaven, and in earth: a that we pray also for those very persons who in this respect are called earth. We pray, therefore, not only for the unwilling, but also for the objecting and resisting. What, then, do we ask, but that from unwilling they may be made willing; from objecting, consenting; from resisting, loving? And by whom, but by Him of whom it is written, aThe will is prepared by Goda?[2863]2862 Let them, then, who disdain, if they do not do any evil and if they do any good, to glory, not in themselves, but in the Lord, learn to be catholics.

Chapter 27 [X.]âCyprianâs Testimonies Concerning the Imperfection of Our Own Righteousness.

Let us, then, see that third point, which in these men is not less shocking to every member of Christ and to His whole body,âthat they contend that there are in this life, or that there have been, righteous men having absolutely no sin.[2864]2863 In which presumption they most manifestly contradict the Lordas Prayer, wherein, with truthful heart and with daily words, all the members of Christ cry aloud, âForgive us our debts.â Let us see, then, what Cyprian, most glorious in the Lord, thought of this, awhat he not only said for the instruction of the Churches, not, of course, of the Manicheans, but of the catholics, but also committed to letters and to memory. In the epistle on âWorks and Alms,â he says: âLet us then acknowledge, beloved brethren, the wholesome gift of the divine mercy, and let us who cannot be without some wound of conscience heal our wounds by the spiritual remedies for the cleansing and purging of our sins. Nor let any one so flatter himself with the notion of a pure and immaculate heart, as, in dependence on his own innocence, to think that the medicine needs not to be applied to his wounds; since it is written, aWho shall boast that he hath a clean heart, or who shall boast that he is pure from sins?â[2865]2864 And again, in his epistle, John lays it down and says, alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.a[2866]2865 But if no one can be without sin, and whoever should say that he is without fault is either proud or foolish, how needful, how kind is the divine mercy, which, knowing that there are still found some wounds in those that have been healed, has given even after their healing wholesome remedies for the curing and healing of their wounds anew!a[2867]2866 Again, in the same treatise he says: âAnd since there cannot fail daily to be sins committed in the sight of God, there failed not daily sacrifices wherewith the sins might be cleansed away. a[2868]2867 Also, in the treatise on the Mortality, he says: aOur warfare is with avarice, with immodesty, with anger, with ambition; our trying and toilsome wrestling with carnal vices, with the enticements of the world. The mind of man besieged, and on every hand invested with the onsets of the devil, scarcely meets the repeated attacks, scarcely resists them. If avarice is prostrated, lust springs up. If lust is overcome, ambition takes its place. If ambition is despised, anger exasperates, pride puffs up, wine-bibbing entices; envy breaks concord; jealousy cuts friendship; you are constrained to curse, which the divine law forbids; you are compelled to swear, which is not lawful. So many persecutions the soul suffers daily, with so many risks is the heart wearied; and yet it delights to abide here long among the devilas weapons, although it should rather be our craving and wish to hasten to Christ by the aid of a quicker death.a[2869]2868 Again, in the same treatise he says: aThe blessed Apostle Paul in his epistle lays it down, saying, at the to live is Christ, and to die is gain;a[2870]2869 counting it the greatest gain no longer to be held by the snares of this world, no longer to be liable to the sins and vices of the flesh.â[2871]2870 Moreover, on the Lordâs Prayer, explaining what it is we ask when we say, âHallowed be thy name, â he says, among other matters: aFor we have need of daily sanctification, that we, who daily fall away, may wash out our sins by continual sanctification. a[2872]2871 Again, in the same treatise, when he would explain our saying, aForgive us our debts, a he says: aAnd how necessarily, how providently and salutarily, are we admonished that we are sinners, since we are compelled to entreat for our sins; and while pardon is asked for from God, the soul recalls its own consciousness of guilt. Lest any one should flatter himself as being innocent, and

by exalting himself should more deeply perish, he is instructed and taught that he sins daily, in that he is bidden to entreat daily for his sins. Thus, moreover, John also in his epistle warns us, and says: alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us. But if we confess our sins. He is faithful and just to forgive us our sins.ââ[2873]2872 Rightly, also, he proposed in his letter to Quirinus his own most absolute judgment on this subject, to which he subjoined the divine testimonies, a That no one is without filth and without sin.â[2874]2873 There also he set down those testimonies by which original sin is confirmed, which these men endeavour to twist into I know not what new and evil meanings, whether what the holy Job says, aNo one is pure from filth, not one even if his life be of one day upon the earth, a[2875]2874 or what is read in the Psalm, âBehold, I was conceived in iniquity; and in sins hath my mother nourished me in the womb.a[2876]2875 To which testimonies, on account of those also who are already holy in mature age, since even they are not without filth and sin, he added also that word of the most blessed John, which he often mentions in many other places besides, alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves; a[2877]2876 and other passages of the same sentiment, which are asserted by all catholics, by way of opposing those awho deceive themselves, and the truth is not in them.â

Chapter 28. âCyprian âs Orthodoxy Undoubted.

Let the Pelagians say, if they dare, that this man of God was perverted by the error of the Manicheans, in so praising the saints as yet to confess that no one in this life had attained to such a perfection of righteousness as to have no sin at all, confirming his judgment by the clear truth and divine authority of the canonical testimonies. For does he deny that in baptism all sins are forgiven, because he confesses that there remain frailty and infirmity, whence he says that we sin after baptism and even to the end of this life, having unceasing conflict with the vices of the flesh? Or did he not remember what the apostle said about the Church without spot, that he prescribed that no one ought so to flatter himself in respect of a pure and spotless heart as to trust in his own innocence, and think that no medicine needed to be applied to his wounds? I think that these new heretics may concede to this catholic man that he knew athat the Holy Spirit even in the old times aided good dispositions; a nay, even, what they themselves will not allow, that they could not have possessed good dispositions except through the Holy Spirit. I think that Cyprian knew that all the prophets and apostles or saints of any kind soever who pleased the Lord at any time were righteousâânot in comparison with the wicked, as they falsely assert that we say, abut by the rule of virtue, as they boast that they say; although Cyprian says, nevertheless, no one can be without sin, and whoever should assert that he is blameless is either proud or a fool. Nor is it with reference to anything else that he understands the Scripture, âWho shall boast that he has a pure heart? or who shall boast that he is pure from sins?â[2878]2877 I think that Cyprian would not have needed to be taught by such as these, what he very well knew, athat, in the time to come, there would be a reward of good works and a punishment of evil works, but that no one could then perform the commands which

here he might have despised; and yet he does not understand and assert the Apostle Paul, who was assuredly not a contemner of the divine commands, to have said, aTo me to live is Christ, and to die is gain, a[2879]2878 on any other account, except that he reckoned it the areatest gain after this life no longer to be held in worldly entanglements, no longer to be obnoxious to the sins and vices of the flesh. Therefore the most blessed Cyprian felt, and in the truth of the divine Scriptures saw, that even the life of the apostles themselves, however good, holy, and righteous, suffered some involvements of worldly entanglements, was obnoxious to some sins and vices of the flesh; and that they desired death that they might be free from those evils, and that they might attain to that perfect righteousness which would not suffer such things, and which would no more have to be achieved in the way of command merely, but to be received in the way of reward. For not even when that shall have come for which we pray when we say, a Thy kingdom come, a will there be in that kingdom of God no righteousness; since the apostle says, aThe kingdom of God is not meat and drink, but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost. a[2880]2879 Certainly these three things are commanded among other divine precepts. Here righteousness is prescribed to us when it is said, aDo righteousness;a[2881]2880 peace is prescribed when it is said, a Have peace among yourselves; a[2882]2881 joy is prescribed when it is said, a Rejoice in the Lord always a[2883]2882 Let, then, the Pelagians deny that these things shall be in the kingdom of God, where we shall live without end; or let them be so mad, if it appears good, as to contend that righteousness, peace, and joy, will be such there as they are here to the righteous. But if they both shall be, and yet shall not be the same, assuredly here, in respect of the commandment of them, the doing is to be cared for, athere the perfection is to be hoped for in the way of reward; when, not being withheld by any earthly entanglements, and being liable to no sins and vices of the flesh (on account of which the apostle, as Cyprian received this testimony, said that to die would be to him gain), we may perfectly love God, the contemplation of whom will be face to face; we may also perfectly love our neighbour, since, when the thoughts of the heart are made manifest, no suspicion of any evil can disturb any one concerning any one.

Chapter 29 [XI.]âThe Testimonies of Ambrose Against the Pelagians and First of All Concerning Original Sin.

But now also to the most glorious martyr Cyprian, let me add, for the sake of more amply confuting these men, the most blessed Ambrose; because even Pelagius praised him so much as to say that in his writings could be found nothing to be blamed even by his enemies.[2884]2883 Since, then, the Pelagians say that there is no original sin with which infants are born, and object to the catholics the guilt of the Manichean heresy, who withstand them on behalf of the most ancient faith of the Church, let this catholic man of God, Ambrose, praised even by Pelagius himself in the truth of the faith, answer them concerning this matter. When he was expounding the prophet Isaiah, he says: âChrist was, therefore, without spot, because He was not stained even in the usual condition itself of birth.â[2885]2884 And in another place in the same work, speaking of the Apostle Peter,

he says: âHe offered himself, which he thought before to be sin, asking for himself that not only his feet but his head also should be washed, because he had directly understood that by the washing of the feet, for those who fell in the first man, the filth of the obnoxious succession was abolished. a[2886]2885 Also in the same work he says: alt was preserved, therefore, that of a man and woman, that is, by that mingling of bodies, no one could be seen to be free from sin; but He who is free from sin is free also from this kind of conception.â Also writing against the Novatians he says: âAll of us men are born under sin. And our very origin is in corruption, as you have it read in the words of David, [2887]2886 aFor lo, I was conceived in iniquities; and in sins hath my mother brought me forth.âa[2888]2887 Also in the apology of the prophet David, he says: âBefore we are born we are spotted with contagion, and before the use of light we receive the mischief of that origin. We are conceived in iniquity.a[2889]2888 Also speaking of the Lord, he says: alt was certainly fitting that He who was not to have the sin of a bodily fall, should feel no natural contagion of generation. Rightly, therefore, David with weeping deplored in himself these defilements of nature, and the fact that the stain had begun in man before his life.â[2890]2889 Again, in the Ark of Noah he says: a Therefore by one Lord Jesus the coming salvation is declared to the nations; for He only could be righteous, although every generation should go astray, nor for any other reason than that, being born of a virgin. He was not at all bound by the ordinance of a guilty generation. aBehold, a he says, al was conceived in iniquities; and in sins has my mother brought me forth; a[2891]2890 he who was esteemed righteous beyond others so speaks. Whom, then, should I now call righteous unless Him who is free from those chains, whom the bonds of our common nature do not hold fast?â[2892]2891 Behold, this holy man, most approved, even by the witness of Pelagius, in the catholic faith, condemned the Pelagians who deny original sin with such evidence as this; and yet he does not with the Manicheans deny either God to be the Creator of those who are born, or condemn marriage, which God ordained and blessed.

Chapter 30.âThe Testimonies of Ambrose Concerning Godâs Grace.

The Pelagians say that merit begins from man by free will, to which God repays the subsequent aid of grace. Let the venerable Ambrose here also refute them, when he says, in his exposition of the prophet Isaiah, âthat human care without divine help is powerless for healing, and needs a divine helper.â Also, in the treatise which is inscribed, aOn the Avoidance of the World, a[2893]2892 he says: aOur discourse is frequent on the avoidance of this world; and I wish that our disposition were as cautious and careful as our discourse is easy. But what is worse, the enticement of earthly lusts frequently creeps in, and the flowing forth of vanities takes hold of the mind, so that the very thing that you desire to avoid you think upon, and turn over in your mind; and this it is difficult for a man to beware of, but to get rid of it is impossible. Finally, that that is rather a matter to be wished than to be accomplished the prophet testifies when he says, âlncline my heart unto thy testimonies, and not to avarice.â[2894]2893 For our heart and our thoughts are not in our power, seeing that they are suddenly forced forth and confuse the mind and the soul and draw

them in other directions from those which you have proposed for them; athey recall to things of time, they suggest worldly things, they obtrude voluptuous thoughts, they inweave seducing thoughts, and, in the very season in which we are proposing to lift up our mind, vain thoughts are intruded upon us, and we are cast down for the most part to things of earth; and who is so happy as always to rise upwards in his heart? And how can this be done without the divine help? Absolutely in no manner. Finally, of old Scripture says the same thing, aBlessed is the man whose help is of Thee, O Lord; in his heart is going up.ââ[2895]2894 What can be said more openly and more sufficiently? But lest the Pelagians perchance should answer that, in that very point in which divine help is asked for, manas merit precedes, saying that that very thing is merit, that by his prayer he is desiring that divine grace should come to his assistance, let them give heed to what the same holy man says in his exposition of Isaiah. He says: âAnd to pray God is a spiritual grace; for no man says that Jesus is the Lord, except in the Holy Spirit.â[2896]2895 Whence also, expounding the Gospel according to Luke, [2897]2896 he says: aYou see certainly that everywhere the power of the Lord cooperates with human desires, so that no man can build without the Lord, no man can undertake anything without the Lord.â Because such a man as Ambrose says this, and commends Godâs grace, as it is fitting for a son of promise to do, with grateful piety, does he therefore destroy free will? Or does he mean grace to be understood as the Pelagians in their different discourses will have to appear nothing but lawaso that, for instance. God may be believed to help us not to do what we may know. but to know what we may do? If they think that such a man of God as this is of this mind, let them hear what he has said about the law itself. In the book aOn the Avoidance of the World, a he says: aThe law could stop the mouth of all men; it could not convert their mind.â[2898]2897 In another place also, in the same treatise, he says: aThe law condemns the deed; it does not take away its wickedness.â[2899]2898 Let them see that this faithful and catholic man agrees with the apostle who says, aNow we know that what things soever the law says, it says to those who are under the law: that every mouth may be stopped, and all the world may become guilty before God. Because by the law no flesh shall be justified in His sight.â[2900]2899 For from that apostolic opinion Ambrose took and wrote these things.

Chapter 31.âThe Testimonies of Ambrose on the Imperfection of Present Righteousness.

But now, since the Pelagians say that there either are or have been righteous men in this life who have lived without any sin, to such an extent that the future life which is to be hoped for as a reward cannot be more advanced or more perfect, let Ambrose here also answer them and refute them. For, expounding Isaiah the Prophet in reference to what is written, âl have begotten and brought up children, and they have despised me,â[2901]2900 he undertook to dispute concerning the generations which are of God, and in that argument he quoted the testimony of John when he says, âHe that is born of God sinneth not.â[2902]2901 And, treating the same very difficult question, he says: âSince in this world there is none who is free from sin; since

John himself says, alf we say that we have not sinned, we make Him a liar.â[2903]2902 But if âthey that are born of God sin not,â and if these words refer to those of them who are in the world, it is necessary that we should regard them as those numberless people who have obtained Godâs grace by the regeneration of the laver. But yet, when the prophet says, âAll things are waiting upon Thee, that Thou mayest give them meat in season. That Thou givest them they gather for themselves; when Thou openest Thine hand, all things shall be filled with goodness. But when Thou turnest away Thy face, they shall be troubled: Thou shall take away their breath, and they shall fail, and shall be turned into their dust. Thou shall send forth Thy Spirit, and they shall be created: and Thou shalt renew the face of the earth.â[2904]2903 such things as these cannot seem to have been said of any time whatever but of that future time, in which there shall be a new earth and a new heaven. Therefore they shall be disturbed that they may take their beginning. âAnd when Thou openest Thy hand all things shall be filled with goodness, â which is not easily characteristic of this age. For concerning this age what does Scripture say? a There is none that doeth good, no, not one.a [2905]2904 If, therefore, there are different generations, and here the very entrance into this life is the receiver of sins to such an extent that even he who begot should be despised; while another generation does not receive sins; alet us consider whether by any means there may not be a regeneration for us after the course of this life, a f which regeneration it is said, all the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit in the throne of His glory. a[2906]2905 For as that is called the regeneration of washing whereby we are renewed from the filth of sins washed away, so that seems to be called a regeneration by which we are purified from every stain of bodily materiality, and are regenerated in the pure sense of the soul to life eternal; so that every quality of regeneration may be purer than of that washing, so that no suspicion of sins can fall either on a manâs doings, or even on his very thoughts themselves. â Moreover, in another place in the same work he says: aWe see it to be impossible that any person created in a body can be absolutely spotless, since even Paul says that he is imperfect. For thus he has it: aNot that I have already received, or am already perfect; a[2907]2906 and yet after a little he says, aAs many of us, therefore, as are perfect. a [2908] 2907 Unless, perchance, there is one perfection in this world, another after this is completed, of which he says to the Corinthians, aWhen that which is perfect is come; a[2909]2908 and elsewhere, aTill we all come into the unity of the faith, and the knowledge of the Son of God, into the perfect man to the measure of the age of the fulness of Christ.â[2910]2909 As, then, the apostle says that many are placed in this world who are perfect along with him, but who, if you have regard to true perfection, could not be perfect, since he says, âWe see now through a mirror, enigmatically; but then face to face: now I know in part; but then I shall know even as also I am known:a[2911]2910 so also there both are those who are aspotlessa in this world, and will be those who are aspotlessa in the kingdom of God, although certainly, if you consider it accurately, no person can be spotless, because no person is without sin.â Also in the same he says: âWe see that, while we live in this life, we ought to purify ourselves and to seek God; and to begin from the purification of our soul, and as it were to

establish the foundations of virtue, so that we may deserve to attain the perfection of our purgation after this life.â And again, in the same he says: âBut laden and groaning, who does not say, âO wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death?â[2912]2911 So with the same teacher we give all varieties of interpretation. For if he is unhappy who recognises himself as involved in the evils of the body, certainly everybody is unhappy; for I should not call that man happy who, being confused with any darkness of his mind, does not know his own condition. That, moreover, has not absurdly come to be understood; for if a man who knows himself is unhappy, assuredly all are wretched, because every one either recognises his weakness by wisdom, or by folly is ignorant of it.a Moreover, in the treatise aOn the Benefit of Death, a he says: [2913]2912 aLet death work in us, in order that that may work life also, a good life after death, athat is, a good life after victory, a good life after the contest is finished; so that now no longer the law of the flesh may know how to resist the law of the mind, that no longer we may have any contention with the body of death.â Again, in the same treatise he says: âTherefore, because the righteous have this reward, that they see the face of God, and that light which lightens every man, let us henceforth put on the desire of this kind of reward, that our soul may draw near to God, our prayer may draw near to Him, our desire may cleave to Him, that we be not separated from Him. And placed here as we are, let us by meditating, by reading, by seeking, be united with God. Let us know Him as we can. For we know Him in part here; because here all things are imperfect, there all are perfect; here we are infants, there we shall be strong men. âWe see,â says he, ânow through a mirror in an enigma, but then face to face.â Then, His face being revealed, we shall be allowed to look upon the glory of God, which now our souls, involved in the compacted dregs of this body, and shadowed by some stains and filth of this flesh, cannot clearly see. aFor who, a He says, ashall see my face and live?â and rightly. For if our eyes cannot bear the rays of the sun, and if any one should gaze too long on the region of the sun he is said to be blinded, âif a creature cannot look upon a creature without deceit and offence, how can he without his own peril look upon the glittering face of the eternal Creator, covered as he is with the clothing of this body? For who is justified in Godas sight, when even the infant of one day cannot be pure from sin, and no one can boast of his integrity and pureness of heart?â

Chapter 32 [XII.]âThe Pelagianâs Heresy Arose Long After Ambrose.

It would be too long if I were to seek to mention everything which the holy Ambrose said and wrote against this heresy of the Pelagians, which was to arise so long afterwards; not indeed with a view to answer them, but with a view to declare the catholic faith, and to build up men in it. Moreover, I neither could nor ought to mention all those things which Cyprian, most glorious in the Lord, wrote in his letters, whereby it is shown how this which we hold is the true and truly Christian and catholic faith, as it was delivered of old by the Holy Scriptures, and so retained and kept by our fathers and even to this time, in which these heretics have attempted to destroy it, and as it will hereafter by Godâs good will be retained and kept. For that these things and things of this kind were thus delivered to Cyprian, and by Cyprian, is testified by the testimonies produced from his letters; and that thus they were maintained up to our times is shown by these things which Ambrose wrote about these matters before these heretics had begun to rage, and catholic ears had shuddered at their profane novelties which are everywhere; and that thus, moreover, they shall be maintained hereafter, was declared with sufficient vigour partly by the condemnation of such opinions as these, partly by their correction. For whatever they may dare to mutter against the sound faith of Cyprian and Ambrose, I do not think that they will break out into such a madness as to dare to call those noted and memorable men of God, Manicheans.

Chapter 33. âOpposition of the Manichean and Catholic Dogmas.

What is it, then, which in their raging blindness of mind they are now spreading about, [2914]2913 âthat almost throughout the entire West a dogma not less foolish than impious is taken up;â when by the mercy of God and by His merciful governance of His Church, the catholic faith has been so watchful that the dogma, anot less foolish than wicked, a as of the Manicheans, so also of these heretics, should not be taken up? So holy and learned catholic men, such as are attested to be so by the report of the whole Church, praise both Godâs creation, and marriage as ordained by Him, and the law given by Him by means of the holy Moses, and the free will implanted into manas nature, and the holy patriarchs and prophets, with due and fitting proclamation; all which five things the Manicheans condemn, partly by denying, and partly also by abominating. Whence it appears that these catholic doctors were far removed from the notions of the Manicheans, and yet they assert original sin; they assert Godâs grace above free will, as antecedent to all merit, so as truly to afford a gratuitous divine assistance; they assert that the saints lived righteously in this flesh, in such wise that the help of prayer was necessary to them, by which their daily sins might be forgiven; and that a perfected righteousness which could not have sin would be in another life the reward of those who should live righteously here.

Chapter 34.âThe Calling Together of a Synod Not Always Necessary to the Condemnation of Heresies.

What is it, then, that they say, that âsubscription was extorted from simple bishops sitting in their places without any Synodal congregationâ? Was subscription extorted against such heretics as these from the most blessed and excellent men in the faith, Cyprian and Ambrose, before such heretics as these were in existence?âseeing that they overthrow their impious dogmas with such clearness that we can scarcely find anything more manifest to say against them. Or, indeed, was there any need of the congregation of a Synod to condemn this open pest, as if no heresy could at any time be condemned except by a Synodal congregation?âwhen, on the contrary, very few heresies can be found for the sake of condemning which any such necessity has arisen; and those have been many and incomparably more which have deserved to be accused and condemned in the place where they arose, and thence could be known and avoided over the rest of the lands. But

the pride of such as these, which lifts itself up so much against God as not to be willing to glory in Him but rather in free will, is understood as grasping also at this glory, that a Synod of the East and West should be gathered together on their account. In fact, they endeavour, forsooth, to disturb the catholic world, because, the Lord being against them, they are unable to pervert it; when rather they ought to have been trodden out wherever those wolves might have appeared, by watchfulness and pastoral diligence, after a competent and sufficient judgment made concerning them; whether with a view of their being healed and changed, or with a view of their being shunned by the safety and soundness of others, by the help of the Shepherd of the sheep, who seeks the lost sheep also among the little ones, who makes the sheep holy and righteous freely; who both providently instructs them, although sanctified and justified, yet in their frailty and infirmity to pray for a daily remission for their daily sins, without which no one lives in this world, even although he may live well; and mercifully listens to their prayers.

a treatise on grace and free will.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 66,

On the Following Treatise,

âde gratia et libero arbitrio.â

## ââââââââââââ

There are some persons who suppose that the freedom of the will is denied whenever Godâs grace is maintained, and who on their side defend their liberty of will so peremptorily as to deny the grace of God. This grace, as they assert, is bestowed according to our own merits. It is in consequence of their opinions that I wrote the book entitled On Grace and Free Will. This work I addressed to the monks of Adrumetum,[2915]2914 in whose monastry first arose the controversy on that subject, and that in such a manner that some of them were obliged to consult me thereon. The work begins with these words: âWith reference to those persons who so preach the liberty of the human will.â

two letters written by augustin to valentinus and the monks of adrumetum,

and forwarded[2916]2915 with the Following Treatise.

âââââââââââââ

Letter I.

[The 214th of Augustinâs Epistles.]

To my very dear lord and most honoured brother among the members of

Christ, Valentinus, and to the brethren that are with you, Augustin sends greeting in the Lord.

1. Two young men, Cresconius and Felix, have found their way to us, and, introducing themselves as belonging to your brotherhood, have told us that your monastery was disturbed with no small commotion, because certain amongst you preach grace in such a manner as to deny that the will of man is free; and maintainâa more serious matterâthat in the day of judgment God will not render to every man according to his works.[2917]2916 At the same time, they have pointed out to us, that many of you do not entertain this opinion, but allow that free will is assisted by the grace of God, so as that we may think and do aright; so that, when the Lord shall come to render unto every man according to his works,[2918]2917 He shall find those works of ours good which God has prepared in order that we may walk in them.[2919]2918 They who think this think rightly.

2. al beseech you therefore, brethren, a even as the apostle besought the Corinthians, aby the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you.â For, in the first place, the Lord Jesus, as it is written in the Gospel of the Apostle John, acame not to condemn the world, but that the world by Himself might be saved a[2920]2919 Then, afterwards, as the Apostle Paul writes, aGod shall judge the world[2921]2920 when He shall come.a as the whole Church confesses in the Creed, ato judge the quick and the dead.â Now, I would ask, if there is no grace of God, how does He save the world? and if there is no free will, how does He judge the world? That book of mine, therefore, or epistle, which the above-mentioned brethren have brought with them to you, I wish you to understand in accordance with this faith, so that you may neither deny Godâs grace, nor uphold free will in such wise as to separate the latter from the grace of God, as if without this we could by any means either think or do anything according to God, awhich is guite beyond our power. On this account, indeed, it is, that the Lord when speaking of the fruits of righteousness said, aWithout me ye can do nothing.â[2922]2921

3. From this you may understand why I wrote the letter which has been referred to.[2923]2922 to Sixtus, presbyter of the Church at Rome. against the new Pelagian heretics, who say that the grace of God is bestowed according to our own merits, so that he who glories has to glory not in the Lord, but in himself, athat is to say, in man, not in the Lord. This, however, the apostle forbids in these words: âLet no man glory in man; a[2924]2923 while in another passage he says, aHe that glorieth let him glory in the Lord a[2925]2924 But these heretics, under the idea that they are justified by their own selves, just as if God did not bestow on them this gift, but they themselves obtained it by themselves, glory of course in themselves, and not in the Lord. Now, the apostle says to such, aWho maketh thee to differ from another?a[2926]2925 and this he does on the ground that out of the mass of perdition which arose from Adam, none but God distinguishes a man to make him a vessel to honour, and not to dishonour.[2927]2926 Lest, however, the carnal man in his foolish pride should, on hearing the guestion, aWho maketh thee to differ from

another?â either in thought or in word answer and say: My faith, or my prayer, or my righteousness makes me to differ from other men, the apostle at once adds these words to the question, and so meets all such notions, saying, âWhat hast thou that thou didst not receive? now, if thou didst receive it, why dost thou glory, as if thou didst not receive their gifts by grace, who think that they are justified of their own selves, and who, on this account, glory in themselves, and not in the Lord.

4. Therefore I have in this letter, which has reached you, shown by passages of Holy Scripture, which you can examine for yourselves, that our good works and pious prayers and right faith could not possibly have been in us unless we had received them all from Him, concerning whom the Apostle James says, aEvery good gift and every perfect gift is from above, and cometh down from the Father of lights. a[2929]2928 And so no man can say that it is by the merit of his own works, or by the merit of his own prayers, or by the merit of his own faith, that Godâs grace has been conferred upon him; nor suppose that the doctrine is true which those heretics hold, that the grace of God is given us in proportion to our own merit. This is altogether a most erroneous opinion; not, indeed, because there is no desert, good in pious persons, or evil in impious ones (for how else shall God judge the world?).[2930]2929 but because a man is converted by that mercy and grace of God, of which the Psalmist says, âAs for my God, His mercy shall prevent me: a[2931]2930 so that the unrighteous man is justified. that is, becomes just instead of impious, and begins to possess that good desert which God will crown when the world shall be judged.

5. There were many things which I wanted to send you, by the perusal whereof you would have been able to gain a more exact and full knowledge of all that has been done by the bishops in their councils against these Pelagian heretics. But the brethren were in haste who came to us from your company. By them we have sent you this letter; which is, however, not an answer to any communication, because, in truth, they brought us no epistle from your beloved selves. Yet we had no hesitation in receiving them; for their simple manners proved to us clearly enough that there could have been nothing unreal or deceptive in their visit to us. They were, however, in much haste, as wishing to spend Easter at home with you; and my earnest prayer is, that so sacred a day may, by the Lordâs help, bring peace to you, and not dissension.

6. You will, indeed, take the better course (as I earnestly request you), if you will not refuse to send to me the very person by whom they say they have been disturbed. For either he does not understand my book, or else, perhaps, he is himself misunderstood, when he endeavours to solve and explain a question which is a very difficult one, and intelligible to few. For it is none other than the question of Godâs grace which has caused persons of no understanding to think that the Apostle Paul prescribes it to us as a rule, âLet us do evil that good may come.â[2932]2931 It is in reference to these that the Apostle Peter writes in his second Epistle; âWherefore, beloved, seeing that ye look for such things, be diligent, that ye may be found of Him in peace, without spot and blameless and account that the long-suffering of our Lord is salvation; even as our beloved brother Paul also, according to the wisdom given unto him, hath written unto you; as also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things: in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as they do also the other Scriptures, unto their own destruction.â[2933]2932

7. Take good heed, then, to these fearful words of the great apostle; and when you feel that you do not understand, put your faith in the meanwhile in the inspired word of God, and believe both that manas will is free, and that there is also Godâs grace, without whose help manâs free will can neither be turned towards God, nor make any progress in God. And what you piously believe, that pray that you may have a wise understanding of. And, indeed, it is for this very purpose, athat is, that we may have a wise understanding, that there is a free will. For unless we understood and were wise with a free will, it would not be enjoined to us in the words of Scripture, aUnderstand now, ye simple among the people; and ye fools, at length be wise.â[2934]2933 The very precept and injunction which calls on us to be intelligent and wise, requires also our obedience; and we could exercise no obedience without free will. But if it were in our power to obey this precept to be understanding and wise by free will, without the help of Godâs grace, it would be unnecessary to say to God, âGive me understanding, that I may learn Thy commandments; a[2935]2934 nor would it have been written in the gospel. aThen opened He their understanding, that they might understand the Scriptures; a[2936]2935 nor should the Apostle James address us in such words as, alf any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, who giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not; and it shall be given him.â[2937]2936 But the Lord is able to grant, both to you and to us, that we may rejoice over very speedy tidings of your peace and pious unanimity. I send you greeting, not in my own name only, but of the brethren also who are with me; and I ask you to pray for us with one accord and with all earnestness. The Lord be with you.

Letter II.

[The 215th of Augustinâs Epistles.]

To my very dear lord and most honoured brother among the members of Christ, Valentinus, and to the brethren that are with you, Augustin sends greeting in the Lord.

1. That Cresconius and Felix, and another Felix, the servants of God, who came to us from your brotherhood, have spent Easter with us is known to your Love.[2938]2937 We have detained them somewhile longer in order that they might return to you better instructed against the new Pelagian heretics, into whose error every one falls who supposes that it is according to any human merits that the grace of God is given to us, which alone delivers a man through Jesus Christ our Lord. But he, too, is no less in error who thinks that, when the Lord shall come to judgment, a man is not judged according to his works who has been able to use throughout his life free choice of will. For only infants, who have not yet done any works of their own, either good or bad, will be condemned on account of original sin alone, when they have not been delivered by the Saviourâs grace in the laver of regeneration. As for all others who, in the use of their free will, have added to original sin, sins of their own commission, but who have not been delivered by Godâs grace from the power of darkness and removed into the kingdom of Christ, they will receive judgment according to the deserts not of their original sin only, but also of the acts of their own will. The good, indeed, shall receive their reward according to the merits of their own good-will, but then they received this very good-will through the grace of God; and thus is accomplished that sentence of Scripture, âlndignation and wrath, tribulation and anguish, upon every soul of man that doeth evil, of the Jew first, and also of the Gentile: but glory, honour, and peace to every man that worketh good; to the Jew first, and also to the Gentile.â[2939]2938

2. Touching the very difficult question of will and grace, I have felt no need of treating it further in this letter, having given them another letter also when they were about to return in greater haste. I have written a book likewise for you, [2940]2939 and if you, by the Lordâs help, read it, and have a lively understanding of it, I think that no further dissension on this subject will arise among you. They take with them other documents besides, which, as we supposed, ought to be sent to you, in order that from these you may ascertain what means the catholic Church has adopted for repelling, in Godâs mercy, the poison of the Pelagian heresy. For the letters to Pope Innocent, Bishop of Rome, from the Council of the province of Carthage, and from the Council of Numidia, and one written with exceeding care by five bishops, and what he wrote back to these three; our letter also to Pope Zosimus about the African Council, and his answer addressed to all bishops throughout the world; and a brief constitution, which we drew up against the error itself at a later plenary Council of all Africa; and the above-mentioned book of mine, which I have just written for you, âall these we have both read over with them, while they were with us, and have now despatched by their hands to you.[2941]2940

3. Furthermore, we have read to them the work of the most blessed martyr Cyprian on the Lordâs Prayer, and have pointed out to them how He taught that all things pertaining to our morals, which constitute right living, must be sought from our Father which is in heaven, lest, by presuming on free will, we fall from divine grace. From the same treatise we have also shown them how the same glorious martyr has taught us that it behoves us to pray even for our enemies who have not yet believed in Christ, that they may believe; which would of course be all in vain unless the Church believed that even the evil and unbelieving wills of men might, by the grace of God, be converted to good. This book of St. Cyprian, however, we have not sent you, because they told us that you possessed it among yourselves already. My letter, also, which had been sent to Sixtus, presbyter of the Church at Rome[2942]2941 and which they brought with them to us, we read over with them, and pointed out how that it had been written in opposition to those who say that Godâs grace is bestowed according to our

merits, âthat is to say, in opposition to the same Pelagians.

4. As far, then, as lay in our power, we have used our influence with them, as both your brethren and our own, with a view to their persevering in the soundness of the catholic faith, which neither denies free will whether for an evil or a good life, nor attributes to it so much power that it can avail anything without Godâs grace, whether that it may be changed from evil to good, or that it may persevere in the pursuit of good, or that it may attain to eternal good when there is no further fear of failure. To yourselves, too, my most dearly beloved, I also, in this letter, give the same exhortation which the apostle addresses to us all, ânot to think of yourselves more highly than you ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.â[2943]2942

5. Mark well the counsel which the Holy Ghost gives us by Solomon: âMake straight paths for thy feet, and order thy ways aright. Turn not aside to the right hand nor to the left, but turn away thy foot from the evil way; for the Lord knoweth the ways on the right hand, but those on the left are perverse. He will make thy ways straight, and will direct thy steps in peace.â[2944]2943 Now consider, my brethren, that in these words of Holy Scripture, if there were no free will, it would not be said, âMake straight paths for thy feet, and order thy ways; turn not aside to the right hand, nor to the left.â Nor yet, were this possible for us to achieve without the grace of God, would it be afterwards added, âHe will make thy ways straight, and will direct thy steps in peace.â

6. Decline, therefore, neither to the right hand nor to the left, although the paths on the right hand are praised, and those on the left hand are blamed. This is why he added, aTurn away thy foot from the evil way, ââthat is, from the left-hand path. This he makes manifest in the following words, saying, aFor the Lord knoweth the ways on the right hand; but those on the left are perverse.â In those ways we ought surely to walk which the Lord knows; and it is of these that we read in the Psalm, aThe Lord knoweth the way of the righteous, but the way of the ungodly shall perish; a [2945] 2944 for this way, which is on the left hand, the Lord does not know. As He will also say at last to such as are placed on His left hand at the day of judgment: âl know you not.â[2946]2945 Now what is that which He knows not, who knows all things, both good and evil, in man? But what is the meaning of the words, al know you not, a unless it be that you are now such as I never made you? Precisely as that passage runs, which is spoken of the Lord Jesus Christ, that âHe knew no sin.â[2947]2946 How knew it not, except that He had never made it? And, therefore, how is to be understood the passage, a The ways which are on the right hand the Lord knoweth, a except in the sense that He made those ways Himself, a even âthe paths of the righteous, â which no doubt are âthose good works that God, as the apostle tells us, ahath before ordained that we should walk in thema?[2948]2947 Whereas the left-hand waysathose perverse paths of the unrighteousâHe truly knows nothing of, because He never made them for man, but man made them for himself. Wherefore He says, aThe perverse ways of the wicked I utterly abhor; they are on the left hand.â

7. But the reply is made: Why did He say, aTurn not aside to the right hand, nor to the left, a when he clearly ought rather to have said, Keep to the right hand, and turn not off to the left, if the right-hand paths are good? Why, do we think, except this, that the paths on the right hand are so good that it is not good to turn off from them, even to the right? For that man, indeed, is to be understood as declining to the right who chooses to attribute to himself, and not to God, even those good works which appertain to right-hand ways. Hence it was that after saying, aFor the Lord knoweth the ways on the right hand, but those on the left hand are perverse, â as if the objection were raised to Him, Wherefore, then, do you not wish us to turn aside to the right? He immediately added as follows: âHe will Himself make thy paths straight, and will direct thy ways in peace.â Understand, therefore, the precept, âMake straight paths for thy feet, and order thy ways aright, a in such a sense as to know that whenever you do all this, it is the Lord God who enables you to do it. Then you will not turn off to the right, although you are walking in right-hand paths, not trusting in your own strength; and He will Himself be your strength, who will make straight paths for your feet, and will direct your ways in peace.

8. Wherefore, most dearly beloved, whosoever says, My will suffices for me to perform good works, declines to the right. But, on the other hand, they who think that a good way of life should be forsaken, when they hear Godâs grace so preached as to lead to the supposition and belief that it of itself makes menâs wills from evil to good, and it even of itself keeps them what it has made them; and who, as the result of this opinion, go on to say, âLet us do evil that good may come, a[2949]2948 at hese persons decline to the left. This is the reason why he said to you, aTurn not aside to the right hand, nor to the left; a in other words, do not uphold free will in such wise as to attribute good works to it without the grace of God, nor so defend and maintain grace as if, by reason of it, you may love evil works in security and safety, awhich may Godas grace itself avert from you! Now it was the words of such as these which the apostle had in view when he said, aWhat shall we say, then? Shall we continue in sin that grace may abound?a[2950]2949 And to this cavil of erring men, who know nothing about the grace of God, he returned such an answer as he ought in these words: aGod forbid. How shall we, that are dead to sin, live any longer therein? a Nothing could have been said more succinctly, and yet to the point. For what more useful gift does the grace of God confer upon us, in this present evil world, than our dying unto sin? Hence he shows himself ungrateful to grace itself who chooses to live in sin by reason of that whereby we die unto sin. May God, however, who is rich in mercy, grant you both to think soundly and wisely, and to continue perseveringly and progressively to the end in every good determination and purpose. For yourselves, for us, for all who love you, and for those who hate you, pray that this gift may be attained, âpray earnestly and vigilantly in brotherly peace. Live unto God. If I deserve any favour at your hands, let brother Florus come to me.

A Treatise on grace and free will,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

addressed to valentinus and the monks of adrumetum, and completed in one book.

written in a.d. 426 or a.d. 427.

## âââââââââââââ

In this treatise Augustin teaches us to beware of maintaining grace by denying free will, or free will by denying grace; for that it is evident from the testimony of Scripture that there is in man a free choice of will; and there are also in the same Scriptures inspired proofs given of that very grace of God without which we can do nothing good. Afterwards, in opposition to the Pelagians, he proves that grace is not bestowed according to our merits. He explains how eternal life, which is rendered to good works, is really of grace. He then goes on to show that the grace which is given to us through our Lord Jesus Christ is neither the knowledge of the law, nor nature, nor simply remission of sins; but that it is grace that makes us fulfil the law, and causes nature to be liberated from the dominion of sin. He demolishes that vain subterfuge of the Pelagians, to the effect that âgrace, although it is not bestowed according to the merits of good works, is yet given according to the merits of the antecedent good-will of the man who believes and prays.â He incidentally touches the question, why God commands what He means Himself to give, and whether He imposes on us any commands which we are unable to perform. He clearly shows that the love which is indispensable for fulfilling the commandments is only within us from God Himself. He points out that God works in menâs hearts to incline their wills whithersoever He willeth, either to good works according to His mercy, or to evil ones in return for their deserving; His judgment, indeed, being sometimes manifest, sometimes hidden, but always righteous. Lastly, he teaches us that a clear example of the gratuitousness of grace, not given in return for our deserts, is supplied to us in the case of those infants which are saved, while others perish though their case is identical with that of the rest.

Chapter 1 [I.]âThe Occasion and Argument of This Work.

With reference to those persons who so preach and defend manâs free will, as boldly to deny, and endeavour to do away with, the grace of God which calls us to Him, and delivers us from our evil deserts, and by which we obtain the good deserts which lead to everlasting life: we have already said a good deal in discussion, and committed it to writing, so far as the Lord has vouchsafed to enable us. But since there are some persons who so defend Godâs grace as to deny manâs free will, or who suppose that free will is denied when grace is defended, I have determined to write somewhat on this point to your Love,[2951]2950 my brother Valentinus, and the rest of you, who are serving God together under the impulse of a mutual love. For it has been told me concerning you, brethren, by some members of your brotherhood who have visited us, and are the bearers of this communication of ours to you, that there are dissensions among you on this subject. This, then, being the case, dearly beloved, that you be not disturbed by the obscurity of this question, I counsel you first to thank God for such things as you understand; but as for all which is beyond the reach of your mind, pray for understanding from the Lord, observing, at the same time peace and love among yourselves; and until He Himself lead you to perceive what at present is beyond your comprehension, walk firmly on the ground of which you are sure. This is the advice of the Apostle Paul, who, after saying that he was not vet perfect. [2952]2951 a little later adds, âLet us, therefore, as many as are perfect, be thus minded, a[2953]2952ameaning perfect to a certain extent, but not having attained to a perfection sufficient for us; and then immediately adds, âAnd if, in any thing, ye be otherwise minded, God shall reveal even this unto you. Nevertheless, whereunto we have already attained. let us walk by the same rule.â[2954]2953 For by walking in what we have attained, we shall be able to advance to what we have not yet attained, aGod revealing it to us if in anything we are otherwise minded, aprovided we do not give up what He has already revealed.

Chapter 2 [II.]âHe Proves the Existence of Free Will in Man from the Precepts Addressed to Him by God.

Now He has revealed to us, through His Holy Scriptures, that there is in a man a free choice of will. But how He has revealed this I do not recount in human language, but in divine. There is, to begin with, the fact that Godâs precepts themselves would be of no use to a man unless he had free choice of will, so that by performing them he might obtain the promised rewards. For they are given that no one might be able to plead the excuse of ignorance, as the Lord says concerning the Jews in the gospel: alf I had not come and spoken unto them, they would not have sin; but now they have no excuse for their sin.a[2955]2954 Of what sin does He speak but of that great one which He foreknew, while speaking thus, that they would make their ownathat is, the death they were going to inflict upon Him? For they did not have ano sina before Christ came to them in the flesh. The apostle also says: a The wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men who hold back the truth in unrighteousness; because that which may be known of God is manifest in them: for God hath showed it unto them. For the invisible things of Him are from the creation of the world clearly seenabeing understood by the things that are madeâeven His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are inexcusable.â[2956]2955 In what sense does he pronounce them to be âinexcusable.â except with reference to such excuse as human pride is apt to allege in such words as, alf I had only known, I would have done it; did I not fail to do it because I was ignorant of it?â or, âl would do it if I knew how; but I do not know, therefore I do not do itâ? All such excuse is removed from them when the precept is given them, or the knowledge is made manifest to them how to avoid sin.

Chapter 3.âSinners are Convicted When Attempting to Excuse Themselves by Blaming God, Because They Have Free Will.

There are, however, persons who attempt to find excuse for themselves

even from God. The Apostle James says to such: aLet no man say when he is tempted, I am tempted of God; for God cannot be tempted with evil, neither tempteth He any man. But every man is tempted when he is drawn away of his own lust, and enticed. Then, when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth sin: and sin, when it is finished, bringeth forth death.â[2957]2956 Solomon, too, in his book of Proverbs, has this answer for such as wish to find an excuse for themselves from God Himself: aThe folly of a man spoils his ways; but he blames God in his heart.â[2958]2957 And in the book of Ecclesiasticus we read: âSay not thou, It is through the Lord that I fell away; for thou oughtest not to do the things that He hateth: nor do thou say, He hath caused me to err; for He hath no need of the sinful man. The Lord hateth all abomination, and they that fear God love it not. He Himself made man from the beginning, and left him in the hand of His counsel. If thou be willing, thou shalt keep His commandments, and perform true fidelity. He hath set fire and water before thee: stretch forth thine hand unto whether thou wilt. Before man is life and death, and whichsoever pleaseth him shall be given to him.â[2959]2958 Observe how very plainly is set before our view the free choice of the human will.

Chapter 4.âThe Divine Commands Which are Most Suited to the Will Itself Illustrate Its Freedom.

What is the import of the fact that in so many passages God requires all His commandments to be kept and fulfilled? How does He make this requisition, if there is no free will? What means athe happy man, a of whom the Psalmist says that ahis will has been the law of the Lordâ?[2960]2959 Does he not clearly enough show that a man by his own will takes his stand in the law of God? Then again, there are so many commandments which in some way are expressly adapted to the human will; for instance, there is, aBe not overcome of evil, a[2961]2960 and others of similar import, such as, âBe not like a horse or a mule, which have no understanding; a[2962]2961 and, aReject not the counsels of thy mother; a[2963]2962 and, aBe not wise in thine own conceit; a[2964]2963 and, aDespise not the chastening of the Lord; â[2965]2964 and, âForget not my law; â[2966]2965 and, âForbear not to do good to the poor; a[2967]2966 and, aDevise not evil against thy friend; a[2968]2967 and, aGive no heed to a worthless woman; a[2969]2968 and, after is not inclined to understand how to do good:a[2970]2969 and. âThey refused to attend to my counsel; â[2971]2970 with numberless other passages of the inspired Scriptures of the Old Testament. And what do they all show us but the free choice of the human will? So, again, in the evangelical and apostolic books of the New Testament what other lesson is taught us? As when it is said, aLay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth; a[2972]2971 and, aFear not them which kill the body; a[2973]2972 and, alf any man will come after me, let him deny himself; a[2974]2973 and again, aPeace on earth to men of good will.â[2975]2974 So also that the Apostle Paul says: âLet him do what he willeth; he sinneth not if he marry. Nevertheless, he that standeth stedfast in his heart, having no necessity, but hath power over his own will, and hath so decreed in his heart that he will keep his virgin, doeth well. a[2976]2975 And so again, alf I do this willingly, I have a reward; a[2977]2976 while in another passage he says, aBe ye sober and righteous, and sin not; a[2978]2977 and again, aAs ye have a

readiness to will, so also let there be a prompt performance; a[2979]2978 then he remarks to Timothy about the younger widows, aWhen they have begun to wax wanton against Christ, they choose to marry.â So in another passage, âAll that will to live godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer persecution: al 298012979 while to Timothy himself he says, aNeglect not the gift that is in thee.a[2981]2980 Then to Philemon he addresses this explanation: a That thy benefit should not be as it were of necessity, but of thine own will.â[2982]2981 Servants also he advises to obey their masters awith a good will.â[2983]2982 In strict accordance with this, James says: âDo not err, my beloved brethren . . . and have not the faith of our Lord Jesus Christ with respect to persons; a[2984]2983 and, aDo not speak evil one of another.â[2985]2984 So also John in his Epistle writes, aDo not love the world, a[2986]2985 and other things of the same import. Now wherever it is said, and and anot do this, and anot do that, â and wherever there is any requirement in the divine admonitions for the work of the will to do anything, or to refrain from doing anything, there is at once a sufficient proof of free will. No man, therefore, when he sins, can in his heart blame God for it, but every man must impute the fault to himself. Nor does it detract at all from a manâs own will when he performs any act in accordance with God. Indeed, a work is then to be pronounced a good one when a person does it willingly; then, too, may the reward of a good work be hoped for from Him concerning whom it is written, aHe shall reward every man according to his works.â[2987]2986

Chapter 5.âHe Shows that Ignorance Affords No Such Excuse as Shall Free the Offender from Punishment; But that to Sin with Knowledge is a Graver Thing Than to Sin in Ignorance.

The excuse such as men are in the habit of alleging from ignorance is taken away from those persons who know Godâs commandments. But neither will those be without punishment who know not the law of God. aFor as many as have sinned without law shall also perish without law; and as many as have sinned in the law shall be judged by the law.a[2988]2987 Now the apostle does not appear to me to have said this as if he meant that they would have to suffer something worse who in their sins are ignorant of the law than they who know it. [III.] It is seemingly worse, no doubt, âto perishâ than âto be judged:â but inasmuch as he was speaking of the Gentiles and of the Jews when he used these words, because the former were without the law, but the latter had received the law, who can venture to say that the Jews who sin in the law will not perish, since they refused to believe in Christ, when it was of them that the apostle said, a They shall be judged by the lawa? For without faith in Christ no man can be delivered; and therefore they will be so judged that they perish. If, indeed, the condition of those who are ignorant of the law of God is worse than the condition of those who know it, how can that be true which the Lord says in the gospel: aThe servant who knows not his lordas will, and commits things worthy of stripes, shall be beaten with few stripes; whereas the servant who knows his lordas will, and commits things worthy of stripes, shall be beaten with many stripesa?[2989]2988 Observe how clearly He here shows that it is a graver matter for a man to sin with knowledge than in ignorance. And yet we must not on this account

betake ourselves for refuge to the shades of ignorance, with the view of finding our excuse therein. It is one thing to be ignorant, and another thing to be unwilling to know. For the will is at fault in the case of the man of whom it is said, aHe is not inclined to understand, so as to do good. a[2990]2989 But even the ignorance, which is not theirs who refuse to know, but theirs who are, as it were, simply ignorant, does not so far excuse any one as to exempt him from the punishment of eternal fire, though his failure to believe has been the result of his not having at all heard what he should believe; but probably only so far as to mitigate his punishment. For it was not said without reason: aPour out Thy wrath upon the heathen that have not known Thee; a[2991]2990 nor again according to what the apostle says: aWhen He shall come from heaven in a flame of fire to take vengeance on them that know not God.â[2992]2991 But yet in order that we may have that knowledge that will prevent our saying, each one of us, âl did not know,â âl did not hear,â âl did not understand;â the human will is summoned, in such words as these: âWish not to be as the horse or as the mule, which have no understanding; a[2993]2992 although it may show itself even worse, of which it is written, a stubborn servant will not be reproved by words; for even if he understand, yet he will not obey. a[2994]2993 But when a man says, al cannot do what I am commanded, because I am mastered by my concupiscence, â he has no longer any excuse to plead from ignorance, nor reason to blame God in his heart, but he recognises and laments his own evil in himself; and still to such an one the apostle says: aBe not overcome by evil, but overcome evil with good; a[2995]2994 and of course the very fact that the injunction, aConsent not to be overcome, a is addressed to him, undoubtedly summons the determination of his will. For to consent and to refuse are functions proper to will.

Chapter 6 [IV.]âGodâs Grace to Be Maintained Against the Pelagians; The Pelagian Heresy Not an Old One.

It is, however, to be feared lest all these and similar testimonies of Holy Scripture (and undoubtedly there are a great many of them), in the maintenance of free will, be understood in such a way as to leave no room for Godâs assistance and grace in leading a godly life and a good conversation, to which the eternal reward is due; and lest poor wretched man, when he leads a good life and performs good works (or rather thinks that he leads a good life and performs good works), should dare to glory in himself and not in the Lord, and to put his hope of righteous living in himself alone; so as to be followed by the prophet Jeremiahâs malediction when he says, âCursed is the man who has hope in man, and maketh strong the flesh of his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. a[2996]2995 Understand, my brethren, I pray you, this passage of the prophet. Because the prophet did not say, âCursed is the man who has hope in his own self,â it might seem to some that the passage, aCursed is the man who has hope in man, a was spoken to prevent man having hope in any other man but himself. In order, therefore, to show that his admonition to man was not to have hope in himself, after saying, aCursed is the man who has hope in man, a he immediately added, aAnd maketh strong the flesh of his arm.a He used the word aarma to designate power in operation. By the term âflesh,â however, must be understood human frailty. And therefore he

makes strong the flesh of his arm who supposes that a power which is frail and weak (that is, human) is sufficient for him to perform good works, and therefore puts not his hope in God for help. This is the reason why he subjoined the further clause, âAnd whose heart departeth from the Lord.â Of this character is the Pelagian heresy, which is not an ancient one, but has only lately come into existence. Against this system of error there was first a good deal of discussion; then, as the ultimate resource, it was referred to sundry episcopal councils, the proceedings of which, not, indeed, in every instance, but in some, I have despatched to you for your perusal. In order, then, to our performance of good works, let us not have hope in man, making strong the flesh of our arm; nor let our heart ever depart from the Lord, but let it say to him, âBe Thou my helper; forsake me not, nor despise me, O God of my salvation.â[2997]2996

Chapter 7.âGrace is Necessary Along with Free Will to Lead a Good Life.

Therefore, my dearly beloved, as we have now proved by our former testimonies from Holy Scripture that there is in man a free determination of will for living rightly and acting rightly; so now let us see what are the divine testimonies concerning the grace of God, without which we are not able to do any good thing. And first of all, I will say something about the very profession which you make in your brotherhood. Now your society, in which you are leading lives of continence, could not hold together unless you despised conjugal pleasure. Well, the Lord was one day conversing on this very topic, when His disciples remarked to Him, alf such be the case of a man with his wife, it is not good to marry.â He then answered them, âAll men cannot receive this saying, save they to whom it is given a[2998]2997 And was it not to Timothyas free will that the apostle appealed, when he exhorted him in these words: aKeep thyself continenta?[2999]2998 He also explained the power of the will in this matter when He said, âHaving no necessity, but possessing power over his own will, to keep his virgin.â[3000]2999 And yet âall men do not receive this saying, except those to whom the power is given. a Now they to whom this is not given either are unwilling or do not fulfil what they will; whereas they to whom it is given so will as to accomplish what they will. In order, therefore, that this saving, which is not received by all men. may yet be received by some, there are both the gift of God and free will.

Chapter 8.aConjugal Chastity is Itself the Gift of God.

It is concerning conjugal chastity itself that the apostle treats, when he says, âLet him do what he will, he sinneth not if he marry;â[3001]3000 and yet this too is Godâs gift, for the Scripture says, âlt is by the Lord that the woman is joined to her husband.â Accordingly the teacher of the Gentiles, in one of his discourses, commends both conjugal chastity, whereby adulteries are prevented, and the still more perfect continence which foregoes all cohabitation, and shows how both one and the other are severally the gift of God. Writing to the Corinthians, he admonished married persons not to defraud each other; and then, after his admonition to these, he added: âBut I could wish that all men were even as I am myself, a[3002]3001 ameaning, of course, that he abstained from all cohabitation; and then proceeded to say: âBut every man hath his own gift of God, one after this manner, and another after that.â[3003]3002 Now, do the many precepts which are written in the law of God, forbidding all fornication and adultery, indicate anything else than free will? Surely such precepts would not be given unless a man had a will of his own, wherewith to obey the divine commandments. And yet it is Godâs gift which is indispensable for the observance of the precepts of chastity. Accordingly, it is said in the Book of Wisdom: âWhen I knew that no one could be continent, except God gives it, then this became a point of wisdom to know whose gift it was. a[3004]3003 aEvery man.a however, ais tempted when he is drawn away of his own lust, and enticeda[3005]3004 not to observe and keep these holy precepts of chastity. If he should say in respect of these commandments, all wish to keep them, but am mastered by my concupiscence, a then the Scripture responds to his free will, as I have already said: âBe not overcome of evil, but overcome evil with good.â[3006]3005 In order, however, that this victory may be gained, grace renders its help; and were not this help given, then the law would be nothing but the strength of sin. For concupiscence is increased and receives greater energies from the prohibition of the law, unless the spirit of grace helps. This explains the statement of the great Teacher of the Gentiles, when he says, a The sting of death is sin, and the strength of sin is the law.â[3007]3006 See, then, I pray you, whence originates this confession of weakness, when a man says, all desire to keep what the law commands, but am overcome by the strength of my concupiscence. a And when his will is addressed, and it is said, âBe not overcome of evil, a of what avail is anything but the succour of Godas grace to the accomplishment of the precept? This the apostle himself afterwards stated; for after saying aThe strength of sin is the law, a he immediately subjoined, aBut thanks be to God, who giveth us the victory, through our Lord Jesus Christ. a[3008]3007 It follows, then, that the victory in which sin is vanguished is nothing else than the gift of God, who in this contest helps free will.

Chapter 9.âEntering into Temptation. Prayer is a Proof of Grace.

Wherefore, our Heavenly Master also says: aWatch and pray, that ye enter not into temptation. a[3009]3008 Let every man, therefore, when fighting against his own concupiscence, pray that he enter not into temptation; that is, that he be not drawn aside and enticed by it. But he does not enter into temptation if he conquers his evil concupiscence by good will. And yet the determination of the human will is insufficient, unless the Lord grant it victory in answer to prayer that it enter not into temptation. What, indeed, affords clearer evidence of the grace of God than the acceptance of prayer in any petition? If our Saviour had only said, aWatch that ye enter not into temptation, a He would appear to have done nothing further than admonish manâs will; but since He added the words, âand pray,â He showed that God helps us not to enter into temptation. It is to the free will of man that the words are addressed: âMy son, remove not thyself from the chastening of the Lord.â[3010]3009 And the Lord said: âl have prayed for thee, Peter, that thy faith fail not.â[3011]3010 So

that a man is assisted by grace, in order that his will may not be uselessly commanded.

Chapter 10 [V.]âFree Will and Godâs Grace are Simultaneously Commended.

When God says, aTurn ye unto me, and I will turn unto you, a[3012]3011 one of these clauses at hat which invites our return to Godaevidently belongs to our will; while the other, which promises His return to us, belongs to His grace. Here, possibly, the Pelagians think they have a justification for their opinion which they so prominently advance, that Godâs grace is given according to our merits. In the East, indeed, that is to say, in the province of Palestine, in which is the city of Jerusalem, Pelagius, when examined in person by the bishop,[3013]3012 did not venture to affirm this. For it happened that among the objections which were brought up against him, this in particular was objected, that he maintained that the grace of God was given according to our merits, an opinion which was so diverse from catholic doctrine, and so hostile to the grace of Christ, that unless he had anathematized it, as laid to his charge, he himself must have been anathematized on its account. He pronounced, indeed, the required anathema upon the dogma, but how insincerely his later books plainly show; for in them he maintains absolutely no other opinion than that the grace of God is given according to our merits. Such passages do they collect out of the Scriptures, âlike the one which I just now quoted, aTurn ve unto me, and I will turn unto you, aaas if it were owing to the merit of our turning to God that His grace were given us, wherein He Himself even turns unto us. Now the persons who hold this opinion fail to observe that, unless our turning to God were itself Godâs gift, it would not be said to Him in prayer, âTurn us again, O God of hosts; a[3014]3013 and, aThou, O God, wilt turn and guicken us;â[3015]3014 and again, âTurn us, O God of our salvation, a[3016]3015 a with other passages of similar import, too numerous to mention here. For, with respect to our coming unto Christ, what else does it mean than our being turned to Him by believing? And yet He says: aNo man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.â[3017]3016

Chapter 11.âOther Passages of Scripture Which the Pelagians Abuse.

Then, again, there is the Scripture contained in the second book of the Chronicles: âThe Lord is with you when ye are with Him: and if ye shall seek Him ye shall find Him; but if ye forsake Him, He also will forsake you.â[3018]3017 This passage, no doubt, clearly manifests the choice of the will. But they who maintain that Godâs grace is given according to our merits, receive these testimonies of Scripture in such a manner as to believe that our merit lies in the circumstance of our âbeing with God,â while His grace is given according to this merit, so that He too may be with us. In like manner, that our merit lies in the fact of âour seeking God,â and then His grace is given according to this merit, in order that we may find Him.â Again, there is a passage in the first book of the same Chronicles which declares the choice of the will: âAnd thou, Solomon, my son, know thou the God of thy father, and serve Him with a perfect heart and with a willing mind, for the Lord searcheth all hearts, and understandeth all the imaginations of the thoughts; if thou seek Him, He will be found of thee; but if thou forsake Him, He will cast thee off for ever.â[3019]3018 But these people find some room for human merit in the clause, âlf thou seek Him,â and then the grace is thought to be given according to this merit in what is said in the ensuing words, âHe will be found of thee.â And so they labour with all their might to show that Godâs grace is given according to our merits,âin other words, that grace is not grace. For, as the apostle most expressly says, to them who receive reward according to merit âthe recompense is not reckoned of grace but of debt.â[3020]3019

Chapter 12.âHe Proves Out of St. Paul that Grace is Not Given According to Menâs Merits.

Now there was, no doubt, a decided merit in the Apostle Paul, but it was an evil one, while he persecuted the Church, and he says of it: âl am not meet to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the Church of God.â[3021]3020 And it was while he had this evil merit that a good one was rendered to him instead of the evil; and, therefore, he went on at once to say, aBut by the grace of God I am what I am.a[3022]3021 Then, in order to exhibit also his free will, he added in the next clause, âAnd His grace within me was not in vain, but I have laboured more abundantly than they all.â This free will of man he appeals to in the case of others also, as when he says to them, aWe beseech you that ve receive not the grace of God in vain.â[3023]3022 Now, how could he so enjoin them, if they received Godâs grace in such a manner as to lose their own will? Nevertheless, lest the will itself should be deemed capable of doing any good thing without the grace of God, after saying, âHis grace within me was not in vain, but I have laboured more abundantly than they all, a he immediately added the gualifying clause, âYet not I, but the grace of God which was with me.â[3024]3023 In other words, Not I alone, but the grace of God with me. And thus, neither was it the grace of God alone, nor was it he himself alone, but it was the grace of God with him. For his call, however, from heaven and his conversion by that great and most effectual call, Godâs grace was alone, because his merits, though great, were yet evil. Then, to quote one passage more, he says to Timothy: aBut be thou a co-labourer with the gospel, according to the power of God, who saveth us and calleth us with His holy calling, anot according to our works but according to His own purpose and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus.â[3025]3024 Then, elsewhere, he enumerates his merits, and gives us this description of their evil character: aFor we ourselves also were formerly foolish, unbelieving, deceived, serving divers lusts and pleasures, living in malice and envy, hateful, and hating one another.â[3026]3025 Nothing, to be sure, but punishment was due to such a course of evil desert! God, however, who returns good for evil by His grace, which is not given according to our merits, enabled the apostle to conclude his statement and say: aBut when the kindness and love of our Saviour God shone upon us, anot of works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He saved us, by the laver of regeneration and renewal of the Holy Ghost, whom He shed upon us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour; that, being justified by His grace, we should be made heirs according to the

hope of eternal life.â[3027]3026

Chapter 13 [VI.]âThe Grace of God is Not Given According to Merit, But Itself Makes All Good Desert.

From these and similar passages of Scripture, we gather the proof that Godâs grace is not given according to our merits. The truth is, we see that it is given not only where there are no good, but even where there are many evil merits preceding: and we see it so given daily. But it is plain that when it has been given, also our good merits begin to be, âyet only by means of it; for, were that only to withdraw itself, man falls, not raised up, but precipitated by free will. Wherefore no man ought, even when he begins to possess good merits, to attribute them to himself, but to God, who is thus addressed by the Psalmist: âBe Thou my helper, forsake me not.â[3028]3027 By saving, âForsake me not, â he shows that if he were to be forsaken, he is unable of himself to do any good thing. Wherefore also he says: âl said in my abundance, I shall never be moved, a[3029]3028 for he thought that he had such an abundance of good to call his own that he would not be moved. But in order that he might be taught whose that was, of which he had begun to boast as if it were his own, he was admonished by the gradual desertion of Godâs grace, and says: aO Lord, in Thy good pleasure Thou didst add strength to my beauty. Thou didst, however, turn away Thy face, and then I was troubled and distressed.a[3030]3029 Thus, it is necessary for a man that he should be not only justified when unrighteous by the grace of God. athat is. be changed from unholiness to righteousness, awhen he is requited with good for his evil; but that, even after he has become justified by faith, grace should accompany him on his way, and he should lean upon it, lest he fall. On this account it is written concerning the Church herself in the book of Canticles: aWho is this that cometh up in white raiment, leaning upon her kinsman?â[3031]3030 Made white is she who by herself alone could not be white. And by whom has she been made white except by Him who says by the prophet, a Though your sins be as purple, I will make them white as snowa?[3032]3031 At the time, then, that she was made white, she deserved nothing good; but now that she is made white, she walketh well; abut it is only by her continuing ever to lean upon Him by whom she was made white. Wherefore, Jesus Himself, on whom she leans that was made white, said to His disciples, âWithout me ye can do nothing.â[3033]3032

Chapter 14. aPaul First Received Grace that He Might Win the Crown.

Let us return now to the Apostle Paul, who, as we have found, obtained Godâs grace, who recompenses good for evil, without any good merits of his own, but rather with many evil merits. Let us see what he says when his final sufferings were approaching, writing to Timothy: âl am now ready to be offered, and the time of my departure is at hand. I have fought a good fight; I have finished my course; I have kept the faith.â[3034]3033 He enumerates these as, of course, now his good merits; so that, as after his evil merits he obtained grace, so now, after his good merits, he might receive the crown. Observe, therefore, what follows: âThere is henceforth laid up for me,â he says, âa crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous Judge, shall give me

at that day.â[3035]3034 Now, to whom should the righteous Judge award the crown, except to him on whom the merciful Father had bestowed grace? And how could the crown be one âof righteousness,â unless the grace had preceded which âjustifieth the ungodlyâ? How, moreover, could these things now be awarded as of debt, unless the other had been before given as a free gift?

Chapter 15.âThe Pelagians Profess that the Only Grace Which is Not Given According to Our Merits is that of the Forgiveness of Sins.

When, however, the Pelagians say that the only grace which is not given according to our merits is that whereby his sins are forgiven to man, but that that which is given in the end, that is, eternal life, is rendered to our preceding merits: they must not be allowed to go without an answer. If, indeed, they so understand our merits as to acknowledge them, too, to be the gifts of God, then their opinion would not deserve reprobation. But inasmuch as they so preach human merits as to declare that a man has them of his own self, then most rightly the apostle replies: aWho maketh thee to differ from another? And what hast thou, that thou didst not receive? Now, if thou didst receive it, why dost thou glory as if thou hadst not received it?â[3036]3035 To a man who holds such views, it is perfect truth to say: It is His own gifts that God crowns, not your merits, âif, at least, your merits are of your own self, not of Him. If, indeed, they are such, they are evil; and God does not crown them; but if they are good, they are Godâs gifts, because, as the Apostle James says, âEvery good gift and every perfect gift is from above, and cometh down from the Father of lights.â[3037]3036 In accordance with which John also, the Lordâs forerunner, declares: âA man can receive nothing except it be given him from heavena[3038]3037afrom heaven, of course, because from thence came also the Holy Ghost, when Jesus ascended up on high, led captivity captive, and gave gifts to men.[3039]3038 lf, then, your good merits are Godâs gifts, God does not crown your merits as your merits, but as His own gifts.

Chapter 16 [VII.]âPaul Fought, But God Gave the Victory: He Ran, But God Showed Mercy.

Let us, therefore, consider those very merits of the Apostle Paul which he said the Righteous Judge would recompense with the crown of righteousness; and let us see whether these merits of his were really his ownal mean, whether they were obtained by him of himself, or were the gifts of God. al have fought, a says he, athe good fight; I have finished my course; I have kept the faith.af3040]3039 Now, in the first place, these good works were nothing, unless they had been preceded by good thoughts. Observe, therefore, what he says concerning these very thoughts. His words, when writing to the Corinthians, are: aNot that we are sufficient of ourselves to think anything as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of God.â[3041]3040 Then let us look at each several merit. al have fought the good fight. a Well, now, I want to know by what power he fought. Was it by a power which he possessed of himself, or by strength given to him from above? It is impossible to suppose that so great a teacher as the apostle was ignorant of the law of God, which proclaims the following in

Deuteronomy: aSay not in thine heart, My own strength and energy of hand hath wrought for me this great power; but thou shalt remember the Lord thy God, how it is He that give the strength to acquire such power.a[3042]3041 And what avails athe good fight, a unless followed by victory? And who gives the victory but He of whom the apostle says himself, aThanks be to God, who giveth us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christâ?[3043]3042 Then, in another passage, having quoted from the Psalm these words: aBecause for Thy sake we are killed all the day long: we are accounted as sheep for slaughter, a[3044]3043 he went on to declare: aNay, in all these things we are more than conquerors, through Him that loved us.a[3045]3044 Not by ourselves, therefore, is the victory accomplished, but by Him who hath loved us. In the second clause he says, al have finished my course. a Now, who is it that says this, but he who declares in another passage, as then it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy.â[3046]3045 And this sentence can by no means be transposed, so that it could be said: It is not of God, who showeth mercy, but of the man who willeth and runneth. If any person be bold enough to express the matter thus, he shows himself most plainly to be at issue with the apostle.

Chapter 17.âThe Faith that He Kept Was the Free Gift of God.

His last clause runs thus: all have kept the faith. But he who says this is the same who declares in another passage, al have obtained mercy that I might be faithful. a[3047]3046 He does not say, al obtained mercy because I was faithful, a but ain order that I might be faithful, a thus showing that even faith itself cannot be had without Godâs mercy, and that it is the gift of God. This he very expressly teaches us when he says, aFor by grace are ye saved through faith, and that not of yourselves; it is the gift of God.â[3048]3047 They might possibly say, aWe received grace because we believed; as if they would attribute the faith to themselves, and the grace to God. Therefore, the apostle having said, âYe are saved through faith, â added, â And that not of yourselves, but it is the gift of God. â And again, lest they should say they deserved so great a gift by their works, he immediately added, aNot of works, lest any man should boast.â[3049]3048 Not that he denied good works, or emptied them of their value, when he says that God renders to every man according to his works;[3050]3049 but because works proceed from faith, and not faith from works. Therefore it is from Him that we have works of righteousness, from whom comes also faith itself, concerning which it is written, aThe just shall live by faith.a[3051]3050

Chapter 18.aFaith Without Good Works is Not Sufficient for Salvation.

Unintelligent persons, however, with regard to the apostleâs statement: âWe conclude that a man is justified by faith without the works of the law,â[3052]3051 have thought him to mean that faith suffices to a man, even if he lead a bad life, and has no good works. Impossible is it that such a character should be deemed âa vessel of electionâ by the apostle, who, after declaring that âin Christ Jesus neither circumcision availeth anything, nor uncircumcision,â[3053]3052 adds at once, âbut faith which worketh by love.â It is such faith which severs Godâs faithful from unclean demons,âfor even these âbelieve and tremble,â[3054]3053 as the Apostle James says; but they do not do well. Therefore they possess not the faith by which the just man lives,âthe faith which works by love in such wise, that God recompenses it according to its works with eternal life. But inasmuch as we have even our good works from God, from whom likewise comes our faith and our love, therefore the selfsame great teacher of the Gentiles has designated âeternal lifeâ itself as His gracious âgift.â[3055]3054

Chapter 19 [VIII.]âHow is Eternal Life Both a Reward for Service and a Free Gift of Grace?

And hence there arises no small question, which must be solved by the Lordâs gift. If eternal life is rendered to good works, as the Scripture most openly declares: aThen He shall reward every man according to his works: a[3056]3055 how can eternal life be a matter of grace, seeing that grace is not rendered to works, but is given gratuitously, as the apostle himself tells us: aTo him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt; a[3057]3056 and again: aThere is a remnant saved according to the election of grace; a with these words immediately subjoined: âAnd if of grace, then is it no more of works; otherwise grace is no more gracea?[3058]3057 How, then, is eternal life by grace, when it is received from works? Does the apostle perchance not say that eternal life is a grace? Nay, he has so called it, with a clearness which none can possibly gainsay. It requires no acute intellect, but only an attentive reader, to discover this. For after saying, a The wages of sin is death, a he at once added, aThe grace of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[3059]3058

Chapter 20.âThe Question Answered. Justification is Grace Simply and Entirely, Eternal Life is Reward and Grace.

This question, then, seems to me to be by no means capable of solution, unless we understand that even those good works of ours, which are recompensed with eternal life, belong to the grace of God, because of what is said by the Lord Jesus: âWithout me ye can do nothing.â[3060]3059 And the apostle himself, after saving, âBy grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves, it is the gift of God: not of works, lest any man should boast; a[3061]3060 saw, of course, the possibility that men would think from this statement that good works are not necessary to those who believe, but that faith alone suffices for them; and again, the possibility of menâs boasting of their good works, as if they were of themselves capable of performing them. To meet, therefore, these opinions on both sides, he immediately added, aFor we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.â[3062]3061 What is the purport of his saying, âNot of works, lest any man should boast, a while commending the grace of God? And then why does he afterwards, when giving a reason for using such words, say, aFor we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good worksâ? Why, therefore, does it run, âNot of works, lest any man should boastâ? Now, hear and understand. aNot of worksa is spoken of

the works which you suppose have their origin in yourself alone; but you have to think of works for which God has moulded (that is, has formed and created) you. For of these he says, âWe are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works. a Now he does not here speak of that creation which made us human beings, but of that in reference to which one said who was already in full manhood, aCreate in me a clean heart, O God; a[3063]3062 concerning which also the apostle says, aTherefore, if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature: old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new. And all things are of God. al 3064 3063 We are framed, therefore, that is, formed and created, ain the good works which a we have not ourselves prepared, but aGod hath before ordained that we should walk in them.â It follows, then, dearly beloved, beyond all doubt, that as your good life is nothing else than Godâs grace, so also the eternal life which is the recompense of a good life is the grace of God; moreover it is given gratuitously, even as that is given gratuitously to which it is given. But that to which it is given is solely and simply grace; this therefore is also that which is given to it, because it is its reward; âgrace is for grace, as if remuneration for righteousness; in order that it may be true, because it is true, that God ashall reward every man according to his works.a[3065]3064

Chapter 21 [IX.]âEternal Life is âGrace for Grace.â

Perhaps you ask whether we ever read in the Sacred Scriptures of âgrace for grace.â Well you possess the Gospel according to John. which is perfectly clear in its very great light. Here John the Baptist says of Christ: aOf His fulness have we all received, even grace for grace a [3066]3065 So that out of His fulness we have received, according to our humble measure, our particles of ability as it were for leading good livesââaccording as God hath dealt to every man his measure of faith; a[3067]3066 because aevery man hath his proper gift of God; one after this manner, and another after that.â[3068]3067 And this is grace. But, over and above this, we shall also receive âgrace for grace, â when we shall have awarded to us eternal life, of which the apostle said: a The grace of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord, a [3069] 3068 having just said that âthe wages of sin is death.â Deservedly did he call it âwages,â because everlasting death is awarded as its proper due to diabolical service. Now, when it was in his power to say, and rightly to say: âBut the wages of righteousness is eternal life, â he yet preferred to say: aThe grace of God is eternal life; a in order that we may hence understand that God does not, for any merits of our own, but from His own divine compassion, prolong our existence to everlasting life. Even as the Psalmist says to his soul, aWho crowneth thee with mercy and compassion.â[3070]3069 Well, now, is not a crown given as the reward of good deeds? It is, however, only because He works good works in good men, of whom it is said, alt is God which worketh in you both to will and to do of His good pleasure, a[3071]3070 that the Psalm has it, as just now quoted: aHe crowneth thee with mercy and compassion, a since it is through His mercy that we perform the good deeds to which the crown is awarded. It is not, however, to be for a moment supposed, because he said, alt is God that worketh in you both to will and to do of his own good pleasure, a that free will is taken away. If this,

indeed, had been his meaning, he would not have said just before, âWork out your own salvation with fear and trembling.â[3072]3071 For when the command is given âto work,â their free will is addressed; and when it is added, âwith fear and trembling,â they are warned against boasting of their good deeds as if they were their own, by attributing to themselves the performance of anything good. It is pretty much as if the apostle had this question put to him: âWhy did you use the phrase, âwith fear and tremblingâ?â And as if he answered the inquiry of his examiners by telling them, âFor it is God which worketh in you.â Because if you fear and tremble, you do not boast of your good worksâas if they were your own, since it is God who works within you.

Chapter 22 [X.]âWho is the Transgressor of the Law? The Oldness of Its Letter. The Newness of Its Spirit.

Therefore, brethren, you ought by free will not do evil but do good; this, indeed, is the lesson taught us in the law of God, in the Holy Scripturesâboth Old and New. Let us, however, read, and by the Lordâs help understand, what the apostle tells us: âBecause by the deeds of the law there shall no flesh be justified in His sight; for by the law is the knowledge of sin.â[3073]3072 Observe, he says âthe knowledge,â not athe destruction, a of sin. But when a man knows sin, and grace does not help him to avoid what he knows, undoubtedly the law works wrath. And this the apostle explicitly says in another passage. His words are: âThe law worketh wrath.â[3074]3073 The reason of this statement lies in the fact that Godâs wrath is greater in the case of the transgressor who by the law knows sin, and yet commits it; such a man is thus a transgressor of the law, even as the apostle says in another sentence, aFor where no law is, there is no transgression.â[3075]3074 It is in accordance with this principle that he elsewhere says, a That we may serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter; a[3076]3075 wishing the law to be here understood by athe oldness of the letter, and what else by anewness of spirita than grace? Then, that it might not be thought that he had brought any accusation, or suggested any blame, against the law, he immediately takes himself to task with this inquiry: aWhat shall we say, then? Is the law sin? God forbid.â He then adds the statement: aNay, I had not known sin but by the law;a[3077]3076 which is of the same import as the passage above quoted: âBy the law is the knowledge of sin.â[3078]3077 Then: âFor I had not known lust,â he says, âexcept the law had said, aThou shalt not covet.a[3079]3078 But sin, taking occasion by the commandment, wrought in me all manner of concupiscence. For without the law sin was dead. For I was alive without the law once; but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died. And the commandment, which was ordained to life, I found to be unto death. For sin, taking occasion by the commandment, deceived me, and by it slew me. Wherefore the law is holy; and the commandment holy, just, and good. Was, then, that which is good made death unto me? God forbid. But sin, that it might appear sin, worked death in me by that which is good, ain order that the sinner, or [3080]3079 the sin, might by the commandment become beyond measure. a[3081]3080 And to the Galatians he writes: aKnowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, except through faith in Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of

Christ, and not by the works of the law; for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified.â[3082]3081

Chapter 23 [XI.]âThe Pelagians Maintain that the Law is the Grace of God Which Helps Us Not to Sin.

Why, therefore, do those very vain and perverse Pelagians say that the law is the grace of God by which we are helped not to sin? Do they not, by making such an allegation, unhappily and beyond all doubt contradict the great apostle? He, indeed, says, that by the law sin received strength against man; and that man, by the commandment, although it be holy, and just, and good, nevertheless dies, and that death works in him through that which is good, from which death there is no deliverance unless the Spirit quickens him, whom the letter had killed, âas he says in another passage, âThe letter killeth, but the Spirit giveth life.â[3083]3082 And yet these obstinate persons, blind to Godâs light, and deaf to His voice, maintain that the letter which kills gives life, and thus gainsay the guickening Spirit. a Therefore, brethrenâ (that I may warn you with better effect in the words of the apostle himself), awe are debtors not to the flesh, to live after the flesh; for if ye live after the flesh ye shall die; but if ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live.â[3084]3083 I have said this to deter your free will from evil, and to exhort it to good by apostolic words; but yet you must not therefore glory in man, athat is to say, in your own selves, and not in the Lord, when you live not after the flesh, but through the Spirit mortify the deeds of the flesh. For in order that they to whom the apostle addressed this language might not exalt themselves, thinking that they were themselves able of their own spirit to do such good works as these, and not by the Spirit of God, after saying to them, alf ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the flesh, ye shall live, a he at once added, aFor as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God.â[3085]3084 When, therefore, you by the Spirit mortify the deeds of the flesh, that you may have life, glorify Him, praise Him, give thanks to Him by whose Spirit you are so led as to be able to do such things as show you to be the children of God; afor as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God.â

Chapter 24 [XII.]âWho May Be Said to Wish to Establish Their Own Righteousness. âGodâs Righteousness,â So Called, Which Man Has from God.

As many, therefore, as are led by their own spirit, trusting in their own virtue, with the addition merely of the lawâs assistance, without the help of grace, are not the sons of God. Such are they of whom the same apostle speaks as âbeing ignorant of Godâs righteousness, and wishing to establish their own righteousness, who have not submitted themselves to the righteousness of God.â[3086]3085 He said this of the Jews, who in their self-assumption rejected grace, and therefore did not believe in Christ. Their own righteousness, indeed, he says, they wish to establish; and this righteousness is of the law,ânot that the law was established by themselves, but that they had constituted their righteousness in the law which is of God, when they supposed themselves able to fulfil that law by their own strength, ignorant of

Godâs righteousness, anot indeed that by which God is Himself righteous, but that which man has from God. And that you may know that he designated as theirs the righteousness which is of the law, and as Godâs that which man receives from God, hear what he says in another passage, when speaking of Christ: aFor whose sake I counted all things not only as loss, but I deemed them to be dung, that I might win Christ, and be found in Himânot having my own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, which is of God. a[3087]3086 Now what does he mean by anot having my own righteousness, which is of the law, a when the law is really not his at all, but Godâs,âexcept this, that he called it his own righteousness, although it was of the law, because he thought he could fulfil the law by his own will, without the aid of grace which is through faith in Christ? Wherefore, after saying, aNot having my own righteousness, which is of the law, â he immediately subjoined, âBut that which is through the faith of Christ, which is of God.â This is what they were ignorant of, of whom he says, aBeing ignorant of Godas righteousness, ââthat is, the righteousness which is of God (for it is given not by the letter, which kills, but by the life-giving Spirit), aand wishing to establish their own righteousness, a which he expressly described as the righteousness of the law, when he said, aNot having my own righteousness, which is of the law; a they were not subject to the righteousness of God, ain other words, they submitted not themselves to the grace of God. For they were under the law, not under grace, and therefore sin had dominion over them, from which a man is not freed by the law, but by grace. On which account he elsewhere says, âFor sin shall not have dominion over you; because ye are not under the law, but under grace. a[3088]3087 Not that the law is evil; but because they are under its power, whom it makes guilty by imposing commandments, not by aiding. It is by grace that any one is a doer of the law; and without this grace, he who is placed under the law will be only a hearer of the law. To such persons he addresses these words: âYe who are justified by the law are fallen from grace.â[3089]3088

Chapter 25 [XIII.]âAs The Law is Not, So Neither is Our Nature Itself that Grace by Which We are Christians.

Now who can be so insensible to the words of the apostle, who so foolishly, nay, so insanely ignorant of the purport of his statement. as to venture to affirm that the law is grace, when he who knew very well what he was saying emphatically declares, âYe who are justified by the law are fallen from gracea? Well, but if the law is not grace, seeing that in order that the law itself may be kept, it is not the law, but only grace which can give help, will not nature at any rate be grace? For this, too, the Pelagians have been bold enough to aver, that grace is the nature in which we were created, so as to possess a rational mind, by which we are enabled to understand, a formed as we are in the image of God, so as to have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that creepeth upon the earth. This, however, is not the grace which the apostle commends to us through the faith of Jesus Christ. For it is certain that we possess this nature in common with ungodly men and unbelievers; whereas the grace which comes through the faith of Jesus Christ belongs only to them to whom the faith itself appertains. aFor

all men have not faith.â[3090]3089 Now, as the apostle, with perfect truth, says to those who by wishing to be justified by the law have fallen from grace, âlf righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain;â[3091]3090 so likewise, to those who think that the grace which he commends and faith in Christ receives, is nature, the same language is with the same degree of truth applicable: if righteousness come from nature, then Christ is dead in vain. But the law was in existence up to that time, and it did not justify; and nature existed too, but it did not justify. It was not, then, in vain that Christ died, in order that the law might be fulfilled through Him who said, âl am come not to destroy the law, but to fulfil it;â[3092]3091 and that our nature, which was lost through Adam, might through Him be recovered, who said that âHe was come to seek and to save that which was lost;â[3093]3092 in whose coming the old fathers likewise who loved God believed.

Chapter 26.âThe Pelagians Contend that the Grace, Which is Neither the Law Nor Nature, Avails Only to the Remission of Past Sins, But Not to the Avoidance of Future Ones.

They also maintain that Godâs grace, which is given through the faith of Jesus Christ, and which is neither the law nor nature, avails only for the remission of sins that have been committed, and not for the shunning of future ones, or the subjugation of those which are now assailing us. Now if all this were true, surely after offering the petition of the Lordâs Prayer, âForgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors, â we could hardly go on and say, âAnd lead us not into temptation.â[3094]3093 The former petition we present that our sins may be forgiven; the latter, that they may be avoided or subdued, a favour which we should by no means beg of our Father who is in heaven if we were able to accomplish it by the virtue of our human will. Now I strongly advise and earnestly require your Love[3095]3094 to read attentively the book of the blessed Cyprian which he wrote On the Lordâs Prayer. As far as the Lord shall assist you, understand it, and commit it to memory. In this work you will see how he so appeals to the free will of those whom he edifies in his treatise, as to show them, that whatever they have to fulfil in the law, they must ask for in the prayer. But this, of course, would be utterly empty if the human will were sufficient for the performance without the help of God.

Chapter 27 [XIV.]âGrace Effects the Fulfilment of the Law, the Deliverance of Nature, and the Suppression of Sinâs Dominion.

It has, however, been shown to demonstration that instead of really maintaining free will, they have only inflated a theory of it, which, having no stability, has fallen to the ground. Neither the knowledge of Godâs law, nor nature, nor the mere remission of sins is that grace which is given to us through our Lord Jesus Christ; but it is this very grace which accomplishes the fulfilment of the law, and the liberation of nature, and the removal of the dominion of sin. Being, therefore, convicted on these points, they resort to another expedient, and endeavour to show in some way or other that the grace of God is given us according to our merits. For they say: âGranted that it is not given to us according to the merits of good works, inasmuch as it is through it that we do any good thing, still it is given to us according to the merits of a good will; for,â say they, âthe good will of him who prays precedes his prayer, even as the will of the believer preceded his faith, so that according to these merits the grace of God who hears, follows.â

Chapter 28. aFaith is the Gift of God.

I have already discussed[3096]3095 the point concerning faith, that is, concerning the will of him who believes, even so far as to show that it appertains to grace, as that the apostle did not tell us, all have obtained mercy because I was faithful; a but he said, al have obtained mercy in order to be faithful.â[3097]3096 And there are many other passages of similar import. aamong them that in which he bids us athink soberly, according as God hath dealt out to every man the proportion of faith; a[3098]3097 and that which I have already quoted: âBy grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves; it is the gift of God; a[3099]3098 and again another in the same Epistle to the Ephesians: aPeace be to the brethren, and love with faith, from God the Father, and the Lord Jesus Christ; *â*[3100]3099 and to the same effect that passage in which he says, aFor unto you it is given in the behalf of Christ not only to believe on Him, but also to suffer for His sake.â[3101]3100 Both alike are therefore due to the grace of God, athe faith of those who believe, and the patience of those who suffer, because the apostle spoke of both as given. Then, again, there is the passage, especially noticeable, in which he says, aWe, having the same spirit of faith, a[3102]3101 for his phrase is not athe knowledge of faith, a but athe spirit of faith; and he expressed himself thus in order that we might understand how that faith is given to us, even when it is not sought, so that other blessings may be granted to it at its request. For ahow, a says he, a shall they call upon Him in whom they have not believed?a[3103]3102 The spirit of grace, therefore, causes us to have faith, in order that through faith we may, on praying for it, obtain the ability to do what we are commanded. On this account the apostle himself constantly puts faith before the law; since we are not able to do what the law commands unless we obtain the strength to do it by the prayer of faith.

Chapter 29.âGod is Able to Convert Opposing Wills, and to Take Away from the Heart Its Hardness.

Now if faith is simply of free will, and is not given by God, why do we pray for those who will not believe, that they may believe? This it would be absolutely useless to do, unless we believe, with perfect propriety, that Almighty God is able to turn to belief wills that are perverse and opposed to faith. Manâs free will is addressed when it is said, âToday, if ye will hear His voice, harden not your hearts.â[3104]3103 But if God were not able to remove from the human heart even its obstinacy and hardness, He would not say, through the prophet, âl will take from them their heart of stone, and will give them a heart of flesh.â[3105]3104 That all this was foretold in reference to the New Testament is shown clearly enough by the apostle when he says, âYe are our epistle, . . . written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshly tables of the heart.â[3106]3105 We must not, of course, suppose that such a phrase as this is used as if those might live in a fleshly[3107]3106 way who ought to live spiritually; but inasmuch as a stone has no feeling, with which manas hard heart is compared, what was there left Him to compare manas intelligent heart with but the flesh, which possesses feeling? For this is what is said by the prophet Ezekiel: al will give them another heart, and I will put a new spirit within you; and I will take the stony heart out of their flesh. and will give them a heart of flesh; that they may walk in my statutes, and keep mine ordinances, and do them: and they shall be my people, and I will be their God, saith the Lord.â[3108]3107 Now can we possibly, without extreme absurdity, maintain that there previously existed in any man the good merit of a good will, to entitle him to the removal of his stony heart, when all the while this very heart of stone signifies nothing else than a will of the hardest kind and such as is absolutely inflexible against God? For where a good will precedes, there is, of course, no longer a heart of stone.

Chapter 30.âThe Grace by Which the Stony Heart is Removed is Not Preceded by Good Deserts, But by Evil Ones.

In another passage, also, by the same prophet, God, in the clearest language, shows us that it is not owing to any good merits on the part of men, but for His own nameas sake, that He does these things. This is His language: âThis I do, O house of Israel,[3109]3108 but for mine holy nameas sake, which ye have profaned among the heathen, whither ye went. And I will sanctify my great name, which was profaned among the heathen, which ye have profaned in the midst of them; and the heathen shall know that I am the Lord, saith the Lord God, when I shall be sanctified in you before their eyes. For I will take you from among the heathen, and gather you out of all countries, and will bring you into your own land. Then will I sprinkle you with clean water, and ye shall be clean: from all your own filthiness, and from all your idols will I cleanse you. A new heart also will I give you, and a new spirit will I put within you; and the stony heart shall be taken away out of your flesh, and I will give you a heart of flesh. And I will put my Spirit within you, and will cause you to walk in my statutes, and ye shall keep my judgments, and do them. ali311013109 Now who is so blind as not to see, and who so stone-like as not to feel, that this grace is not given according to the merits of a good will, when the Lord declares and testifies, alt is I, O house of Israel, who do this, but for my holy nameas sakea? Now why did He say alt is I that do it, but for my holy nameas sake a were it not that they should not think that it was owing to their own good merits that these things were happening, as the Pelagians hesitate not unblushingly to say? But there were not only no good merits of theirs, but the Lord shows that evil ones actually preceded; for He says, aBut for my holy nameas sake, which ye have profaned among the heathen.â Who can fail to observe how dreadful is the evil of profaning the Lordâs own holy name? And yet, for the sake of this very name of mine, says He, which ye have profaned, I, even I, will make you good, but not for your own sakes; and, as He adds, al will sanctify my great name, which was profaned among the heathen, which ye have profaned in the midst of

them.â He says that He sanctifies His name, which He had already declared to be holy. Therefore, this is just what we pray for in the Lordâs PrayerââHallowed be Thy name.â[3111]3110 We ask for the hallowing among men of that which is in itself undoubtedly always holy. Then it follows, âAnd the heathen shall know that I am the Lord, saith the Lord God, when I shall be sanctified in you.â Although, then, He is Himself always holy, He is, nevertheless, sanctified in those on whom He bestows His grace, by taking from them that stony heart by which they profaned the name of the Lord.

Chapter 31 [XV.]âFree Will Has Its Function in the Heartâs Conversion; But Grace Too Has Its.

Lest, however, it should be thought that men themselves in this matter do nothing by free will, it is said in the Psalm, âHarden not your hearts; a[3112]3111 and in Ezekiel himself, aCast away from you all your transgressions, which ye have impiously committed against me; and make you a new heart and a new spirit; and keep all my commandments. For why will ye die, O house of Israel, saith the Lord? for I have no pleasure in the death of him that dieth, saith the Lord God: and turn ye, and live.â[3113]3112 We should remember that it is He who says, âTurn ye and live, â to whom it is said in prayer, âTurn us again, O God.â[3114]3113 We should remember that He says, âCast away from you all your transgressions, a when it is even He who justifies the ungodly. We should remember that He says, âMake you a new heart and a new spirit, a who also promises, al will give you a new heart, and a new spirit will I put within you.â[3115]3114 How is it, then, that He who says, âMake you,â also says, âl will give youâ? Why does He command, if He is to give? Why does He give if man is to make, except it be that He gives what He commands when He helps him to obey whom He commands? There is, however, always within us a free will, abut it is not always good; for it is either free from righteousness when it serves sin, âand then it is evil, âor else it is free from sin when it serves righteousness, â and then it is good. But the grace of God is always good; and by it it comes to pass that a man is of a good will, though he was before of an evil one. By it also it comes to pass that the very good will, which has now begun to be, is enlarged, and made so great that it is able to fulfil the divine commandments which it shall wish, when it shall once firmly and perfectly wish. This is the purport of what the Scripture says: alf thou wilt, thou shalt keep the commandments; a[3116]3115 so that the man who wills but is not able knows that he does not yet fully will, and prays that he may have so great a will that it may suffice for keeping the commandments. And thus, indeed, he receives assistance to perform what he is commanded. Then is the will of use when we have ability; just as ability is also then of use when we have the will. For what does it profit us if we will what we are unable to do, or else do not will what we are able to do?

Chapter 32 [XVI.]âln What Sense It is Rightly Said That, If We Like, We May Keep Godâs Commandments.

The Pelagians think that they know something great when they assert that aGod would not command what He knew could not be done by man.a Who can be ignorant of this? But God commands some things which we cannot do, in order that we may know what we ought to ask of Him. For this is faith itself, which obtains by prayer what the law commands. He, indeed, who said, alf thou wilt, thou shalt keep the commandments.â did in the same book of Ecclesiasticus afterwards say. aWho shall give a watch before my mouth, and a seal of wisdom upon my lips, that I fall not suddenly thereby, and that my tongue destroy me not.â[3117]3116 Now he had certainly heard and received these commandments: aKeep thy tongue from evil, and thy lips from speaking quile.â[3118]3117 Forasmuch, then, as what he said is true: âlf thou wilt, thou shalt keep the commandments, a why does he want a watch to be given before his mouth, like him who says in the Psalm, aSet a watch, O Lord, before my moutha?[3119]3118 Why is he not satisfied with Godas commandment and his own will; since, if he has the will, he shall keep the commandments? How many of Godas commandments are directed against pride! He is quite aware of them; if he will, he may keep them. Why, therefore, does he shortly afterwards say, aO God, Father and God of my life, give me not a proud looka?[3120]3119 The law had long ago said to him, aThou shalt not covet;a[3121]3120 let him then only will, and do what he is bidden, because, if he has the will, he shall keep the commandments. Why, therefore, does he afterwards say, aTurn away from me concupiscencea?[3122]3121 Against luxury, too, how many commandments has God enjoined! Let a man observe them; because, if he will, he may keep the commandments. But what means that cry to God, aLet not the greediness of the belly nor lust of the flesh take hold on me!â?[3123]3122 Now, if we were to put this question to him personally, he would very rightly answer us and say, From that prayer of mine, in which I offer this particular petition to God, you may understand in what sense I said, alf thou wilt, thou mayest keep the commandments.â For it is certain that we keep the commandments if we will; but because the will is prepared by the Lord, we must ask of Him for such a force of will as suffices to make us act by the willing. It is certain that it is we that will when we will, but it is He who makes us will what is good, of whom it is said (as he has just now expressed it), aThe will is prepared by the Lord.â[3124]3123 Of the same Lord it is said, âThe steps of a man are ordered by the Lord, and his way doth He will.â[3125]3124 Of the same Lord again it is said, alt is God who worketh in you, even to will!â[3126]3125 It is certain that it is we that act when we act: but it is He who makes us act, by applying efficacious powers to our will, who has said, al will make you to walk in my statutes, and to observe my judgments, and to do them.a[3127]3126 When he says, al will make you . . . to do them, a what else does He say in fact than, al will take away from you your heart of stone, a[3128]3127 from which used to arise your inability to act, âand I will give you a heart of flesh,â[3129]3128 in order that you may act? And what does this promise amount to but this: I will remove your hard heart, out of which you did not act, and I will give you an obedient heart, out of which you shall act? It is He who causes us to act, to whom the human suppliant says, aSet a watch, O Lord, before my mouth.a[3130]3129 That is to say: Make or enable me, O Lord, to set a watch before my mouth, a benefit which he had already obtained from God who thus described its influence: al set a watch upon my mouth.a[3131]3130

Chapter 33 [XVII.]âA Good Will May Be Small and Weak; An Ample Will, Great Love. Operating and Co-operating Grace.

He, therefore, who wishes to do Godâs commandment, but is unable, already possesses a good will, but as yet a small and weak one; he will, however, become able when he shall have acquired a great and robust will. When the martyrs did the great commandments which they obeyed, they acted by a great will, athat is, with great love. Of this love the Lord Himself thus speaks: âGreater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends. a[3132]3131 In accordance with this, the apostle also says, âHe that loveth his neighbour hath fulfilled the law. For this: Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not covet; and if there be any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.[3133]3132 Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love is the fulfilling of the law.â[3134]3133 This love the Apostle Peter did not yet possess, when he for fear thrice denied the Lord.[3135]3134 aThere is no fear in love, a says the Evangelist John in his first Epistle, abut perfect love casteth out fear.a[3136]3135 But yet, however small and imperfect his love was, it was not wholly wanting when he said to the Lord, al will lay down my life for Thy sake; a[3137]3136 for he supposed himself able to effect what he felt himself willing to do. And who was it that had begun to give him his love, however small, but He who prepares the will, and perfects by His co-operation what He initiates by His operation? Forasmuch as in beginning He works in us that we may have the will, and in perfecting works with us when we have the will.[3138]3137 On which account the apostle says, al am confident of this very thing, that He which hath begun a good work in you will perform it until the day of Jesus Christ.â[3139]3138 He operates, therefore, without us, in order that we may will; but when we will, and so will that we may act, He co-operates with us. We can, however, ourselves do nothing to effect good works of piety without Him either working that we may will, or co-working when we will. Now, concerning His working that we may will, it is said: âlt is God which worketh in you, even to will.â[3140]3139 While of His co-working with us, when we will and act by willing, the apostle says, aWe know that in all things there is co-working for good to them that love God. al314113140 What does this phrase, all things. a mean, but the terrible and cruel sufferings which affect our condition? That burden, indeed, of Christ, which is heavy for our infirmity, becomes light to love. For to such did the Lord say that His burden was light,[3142]3141 as Peter was when he suffered for Christ, not as he was when he denied Him.

Chapter 34.âThe Apostleâs Eulogy of Love. Correction to Be Administered with Love.

This charity, that is, this will glowing with intensest love, the apostle eulogizes with these words: aWho shall separate us from the love of Christ? shall tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or the sword? (As it is written, For Thy sake we are killed all the day long; we are accounted as sheep for the slaughter.) Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors, through Him that loved us. For I am persuaded, that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.â[3143]3142 And in another passage he says, âAnd yet I show unto you a more excellent way. Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not love, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal. And though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and though I have all faith, so that I could remove mountains, and have not love, I am nothing. And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not love, it profiteth me nothing. Love suffereth long, and is kind; love envieth not; love vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up, doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no evil; rejoiceth not in iniquity, but rejoiceth in the truth; beareth all things, believeth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things. Love never faileth.a[3144]3143 And a little afterwards he says, aAnd now abideth faith, hope, love, these three; but the greatest of these is love. Follow after love. a[3145]3144 He also says to the Galatians, aFor, brethren, ye have been called unto liberty; only use not liberty for an occasion to the flesh, but by love serve one another. For all the law is fulfilled in one word, even in this, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself a[3146]3145 This is the same in effect as what he writes to the Romans: âHe that loveth another hath fulfilled the law.â[3147]3146 In like manner he says to the Colossians, âAnd above all these things, put on love, which is the bond of perfectness. a[3148]3147 And to Timothy he writes, aNow the end of the commandment is love; and he goes on to describe the quality of this grace, saying, aOut of a pure heart, and of a good conscience, and of faith unfeigned. a[3149]3148 Moreover, when he says to the Corinthians, âLet all your things be done with love, â[3150]3149 he shows plainly enough that even those chastisements which are deemed sharp and bitter by those who are corrected thereby, are to be administered with love. Accordingly, in another passage, after saying, aWarn them that are unruly, comfort the feeble-minded, support the weak, be patient toward all men, a he immediately added, a See that none render evil for evil unto any man. a[3151]3150 Therefore, even when the unruly are corrected, it is not rendering evil for evil, but contrariwise, good. However, what but love worketh all these things?

Chapter 35. âCommendations of Love.

The Apostle Peter, likewise, says, âAnd, above all things, have fervent love among yourselves: for love shall cover the multitude of sins.â[3152]3151 The Apostle James also says, âlf ye fulfil the royal law, according to the Scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well.â[3153]3152 So also the Apostle John says, âHe that loveth his brother abideth in the right;â[3154]3153 again, in another passage, âWhosoever doeth not righteousness is not of God, neither he that loveth not his brother; for this is the message which we have heard from the beginning, that we should love one another.â[3155]3154 Then he says again, âThis is His commandment, that we should believe on the name of His Son Jesus Christ, and love one another.â[3156]3155 Once more: âAnd this commandment have we from Him that he who loveth God love his brother also.â[3157]3156 Then shortly afterwards he adds, âBy this we know that we love the children of God, when we love God, and keep His commandments; for this is the love of God, that we keep His commandments: and His commandments are not grievous.â[3158]3157 While, in his second Epistle, it is written, âNot as though I wrote a new commandment unto thee, but that which we had from the beginning, that we love one another.â[3159]3158

Chapter 36.aLove Commended by Our Lord Himself.

Moreover, the Lord Jesus Himself teaches us that the whole law and the prophets hang upon the two precepts of love to God and love to our neighbour. Concerning these two commandments the following is written in the Gospel according to St. Mark: âAnd one of the scribes came, and having heard them reasoning together, and perceiving that He had answered them well, asked Him: Which is the first commandment of all? And Jesus answered him: The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel! the Lord our God is one Lord; and thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength.[3160]3159 This is the first commandment. And the second is like unto it: Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.[3161]3160 There is none other commandment greater than these a[3162]3161 Also, in the Gospel according to St. John, He says, âA new commandment I give unto you, that ye love one another; as I have loved you, that ye also love one another. By this shall all men know that ye are my disciples, if ye have love to one another.â[3163]3162

Chapter 37 [XVIII.]âThe Love Which Fulfils the Commandments is Not of Ourselves, But of God.

All these commandments, however, respecting love or charity[3164]3163 (which are so great, and such that whatever action a man may think he does well is by no means well done if done without love) would be given to men in vain if they had not free choice of will. But forasmuch as these precepts are given in the law, both old and new (although in the new came the grace which was promised in the old, but the law without grace is the letter which killeth, but in grace the Spirit which giveth life), from what source is there in men the love of God and of oneas neighbour but from God Himself? For indeed, if it be not of God but of men, the Pelagians have gained the victory; but if it come from God, then we have vanguished the Pelagians. Let, then, the Apostle John sit in judgment between us; and let him say to us, âBeloved, let us love one another.â[3165]3164 Now, when they begin to extol themselves on these words of John, and to ask why this precept is addressed to us at all if we have not of our own selves to love one another, the same apostle proceeds at once, to their confusion, to add, aFor love is of God.a[3166]3165 It is not of ourselves, therefore, but it is of God. Wherefore, then, is it said, âLet us love one another, for love is of God, a unless it be as a precept to our free will, admonishing it to seek the gift of God? Now, this would be indeed a thoroughly fruitless admonition if the will did not previously receive some donation of love, which might seek to be

enlarged so as to fulfil whatever command was laid upon it. When it is said, âLet us love one another,â it is law; when it is said, âFor love is of God,â it is grace. For Godâs âwisdom carries law and mercy upon her tongue.â[3167]3166 Accordingly, it is written in the Psalm, âFor He who gave the law will give blessings.â[3168]3167

Chapter 38.âWe Would Not Love God Unless He First Loved Us. The Apostles Chose Christ Because They Were Chosen; They Were Not Chosen Because They Chose Christ.

Let no one, then, deceive you, my brethren, for we should not love God unless He first loved us. John again gives us the plainest proof of this when he says, aWe love Him because He first loved us.a[3169]3168 Grace makes us lovers of the law; but the law itself, without grace, makes us nothing but breakers of the law. And nothing else than this is shown us by the words of our Lord when He says to His disciples, Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you.â[3170]3169 For if we first loved Him, in order that by this merit He might love us, then we first chose Him that we might deserve to be chosen by Him. He, however, who is the Truth says otherwise, and flatly contradicts this vain conceit of men. aYou have not chosen me, a He says. If, therefore, you have not chosen me, undoubtedly you have not loved me (for how could they choose one whom they did not love?). âBut I,â says He, âhave chosen you.â And then could they possibly help choosing Him afterwards, and preferring Him to all the blessings of this world? But it was because they had been chosen, that they chose Him; not because they chose Him that they were chosen. There could be no merit in menas choice of Christ, if it were not that Godâs grace was prevenient in His choosing them. Whence the Apostle Paul pronounces in the Thessalonians this benediction: aThe Lord make you to increase and abound in love one toward another, and toward all men.â[3171]3170 This benediction to love one another He gave us, who had also given us a law that we should love each other. Then, in another passage addressed to the same church, seeing that there now existed in some of its members the disposition which he had wished them to cultivate, he says, aWe are bound to thank God always for you, brethren, as it is meet, because that your faith groweth exceedingly, and the charity of every one of you all toward each other aboundeth.â[3172]3171 This he said lest they should make a boast of the great good which they were enjoying from God, as if they had it of their own mere selves. Because, then, your faith has so great a growth (this is the purport of his words), and the love of every one of you all toward each other so greatly abounds, we ought to thank God concerning you, but not to praise you, as if you possessed these gifts of yourselves.

Chapter 39.âThe Spirit of Fear a Great Gift of God.

The apostle also says to Timothy, âFor God hath not given to us the spirit of fear, but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind.â[3173]3172 Now in respect of this passage of the apostle, we must be on our guard against supposing that we have not received the spirit of the fear of God, which is undoubtedly a great gift of God, and concerning which the prophet Isaiah says, âThe Spirit of the Lord shall rest upon thee, the spirit of wisdom and understanding, the

spirit of counsel and might, the spirit of knowledge and piety, the spirit of the fear of the Lord.â[3174]3173 It is not the fear with which Peter denied Christ that we have received the spirit of, but that fear concerning which Christ Himself says, aFear Him who hath power to destroy both soul and body in hell; yea, I say unto you, Fear Him.â[3175]3174 This, indeed, He said, lest we should deny Him from the same fear which shook Peter; for such cowardice he plainly wished to be removed from us when He, in the preceding passage, said, aBe not afraid of them that kill the body, and after that have no more that they can do.â[3176]3175 It is not of this fear that we have received the spirit, but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind. And of this spirit the same Apostle Paul discourses to the Romans: âWe glory in tribulations, knowing that tribulation worketh patience; and patience, experience; and experience, hope; and hope maketh not ashamed: because the love of God is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us.â[3177]3176 Not by ourselves, therefore, but by the Holy Ghost which is given to us, does it come to pass that, through that very love, which he shows us to be the gift of God, tribulation does not do away with patience, but rather produces it. Again, he says to the Ephesians, âPeace be to the brethren, and love with faith.â[3178]3177 Great blessings these! Let him tell us, however, whence they come. aFrom God the Father, a says he immediately afterwards, aand the Lord Jesus Christ a[3179]3178 These great blessings, therefore, are nothing else than Godâs gifts to us.

Chapter 40 [XIX.]âThe Ignorance of the Pelagians in Maintaining that the Knowledge of the Law Comes from God, But that Love Comes from Ourselves.

It is no wonder that light shineth in darkness, and the darkness comprehendeth it not.[3180]3179 In Johnas Epistle the Light declares, âBehold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God. a[3181]3180 And in the Pelagian writings the darkness says, aLove comes to us of our own selves. Now, if they only possessed the true, that is, Christian love, they would also know whence they obtained possession of it; even as the apostle knew when he said, aBut we have received not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God, that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God. al 318213181 John says. a God is love.â[3183]3182 And thus the Pelagians affirm that they actually have God Himself, not from God, but from their own selves! and although they allow that we have the knowledge of the law from God, they will yet have it that love is from our very selves. Nor do they listen to the apostle when he says, aKnowledge puffeth up, but love edifieth.al318413183 Now what can be more absurd, nay, what more insane and more alien from the very sacredness of love itself, than to maintain that from God proceeds the knowledge which, apart from love, puffs us up, while the love which prevents the possibility of this inflation of knowledge springs from ourselves? And again, when the apostle speaks of athe love of Christ as surpassing knowledge, a[3185]3184 what can be more insane than to suppose that the knowledge which must be subordinated to love comes from God, while the love which surpasses knowledge comes from man? The true faith, however, and sound doctrine declare that both graces are from God; the

Scripture says, âFrom His face cometh knowledge and understanding;â[3186]3185 and another Scripture says, âLove is of God.â[3187]3186 We read of âthe Spirit of wisdom and understanding.â[3188]3187 Also of âthe Spirit of power, and of love, and of a sound mind.â[3189]3188 But love is a greater gift than knowledge; for whenever a man has the gift of knowledge, love is necessary by the side of it, that he be not puffed up. For âlove envieth not, vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up.â[3190]3189

Chapter 41 [XX.]âThe Wills of Men are So Much in the Power of God, that He Can Turn Them Whithersoever It Pleases Him.

I think I have now discussed the point fully enough in opposition to those who vehemently oppose the grace of God, by which, however, the human will is not taken away, but changed from bad to good, and assisted when it is good. I think, too, that I have so discussed the subject, that it is not so much I myself as the inspired Scripture which has spoken to you, in the clearest testimonies of truth; and if this divine record be looked into carefully, it shows us that not only menâs good wills, which God Himself converts from bad ones, and, when converted by Him, directs to good actions and to eternal life, but also those which follow the world are so entirely at the disposal of God, that He turns them whithersoever He wills, and whensoever He wills, ato bestow kindness on some, and to heap punishment on others. as He Himself judges right by a counsel most secret to Himself, indeed, but beyond all doubt most righteous. For we find that some sins are even the punishment of other sins, as are those avessels of wrathâ which the apostle describes as âfitted to destruction; a[3191]3190 as is also that hardening of Pharaoh, the purpose of which is said to be to set forth in him the power of God;[3192]3191 as, again, is the flight of the Israelites from the face of the enemy before the city of Ai, for fear arose in their heart so that they fled, and this was done that their sin might be punished in the way it was right that it should be; by reason of which the Lord said to Joshua the son of Nun, aThe children of Israel shall not be able to stand before the face of their enemies. a[3193]3192 What is the meaning of, a They shall not be able to standa? Now, why did they not stand by free will, but, with a will perplexed by fear, took to flight, were it not that God has the lordship even over menas wills. and when He is angry turns to fear whomsoever He pleases? Was it not of their own will that the enemies of the children of Israel fought against the people of God, as led by Joshua, the son of Nun? And yet the Scripture says, alt was of the Lord to harden their hearts, that they should come against Israel in battle, that they might be exterminated. al 3194] 3193 And was it not likewise of his own will that the wicked son of Gera cursed King David? And yet what says David, full of true, and deep, and pious wisdom? What did he say to him who wanted to smite the reviler? aWhat, a said he, ahave I to do with you, ye sons of Zeruiah? Let him alone and let him curse, because the Lord hath said unto him, Curse David. Who, then, shall say, Wherefore hast thou done so?â[3195]3194 And then the inspired Scripture, as if it would confirm the kingas profound utterance by repeating it once more, tells us: âAnd David said to Abishai, and to all his servants, Behold, my son, which came forth from my bowels, seeketh my life: how much

more may this Benjamite do it! Let him alone, and let him curse; for the Lord hath bidden him. It may be that the Lord will look on my humiliation, and will requite me good for his cursing this day.â[3196]3195 Now what prudent reader will fail to understand in what way the Lord bade this profane man to curse David? It was not by a command that He bade him, in which case his obedience would be praiseworthy; but He inclined the manas will, which had become debased by his own perverseness, to commit this sin, by His own just and secret judgment. Therefore it is said, aThe Lord said unto him.a Now if this person had obeyed a command of God, he would have deserved to be praised rather than punished, as we know he was afterwards punished for this sin. Nor is the reason an obscure one why the Lord told him after this manner to curse David. alt may be a said the humbled king. athat the Lord will look on my humiliation, and will requite me good for his cursing this day.â See, then, what proof we have here that God uses the hearts of even wicked men for the praise and assistance of the good. Thus did He make use of Judas when betraying Christ; thus did He make use of the Jews when they crucified Christ. And how vast the blessings which from these instances He has bestowed upon the nations that should believe in Him! He also uses our worst enemy, the devil himself, but in the best way, to exercise and try the faith and piety of good men, anot for Himself indeed, who knows all things before they come to pass, but for our sakes, for whom it was necessary that such a discipline should be gone through with us. Did not Absalom choose by his own will the counsel which was detrimental to him? And vet the reason of his doing so was that the Lord had heard his fatheras prayer that it might be so. Wherefore the Scripture says that âthe Lord appointed to defeat the good counsel of Ahithophel, to the intent that the Lord might bring all evils upon Absalom. a[3197]3196 It called Ahithophelâs counsel âgood,â because it was for the moment of advantage to his purpose. It was in favour of the son against his father, against whom he had rebelled; and it might have crushed him, had not the Lord defeated the counsel which Ahithophel had given, by acting on the heart of Absalom so that he rejected this counsel, and chose another which was not expedient for him.

Chapter 42 [XXI]âGod Does Whatsoever He Wills in the Hearts of Even Wicked Men.

Who can help trembling at those judgments of God by which He does in the hearts of even wicked men whatsoever He wills, at the same time rendering to them according to their deeds? Rehoboam, the son of Solomon, rejected the salutary counsel of the old men, not to deal harshly with the people, and preferred listening to the words of the young men of his own age, by returning a rough answer to those to whom he should have spoken gently. Now whence arose such conduct, except from his own will? Upon this, however, the ten tribes of Israel revolted from him, and chose for themselves another king, even Jeroboam, that the will of God in His anger might be accomplished which He had predicted would come to pass.[3198]3197 For what says the Scripture? âThe king hearkened not unto the people; for the turning was from the Lord, that He might perform His saying, which the Lord spake to Ahijah the Shilonite concerning Jeroboam the son of Nebat.â[3199]3198 All this, indeed, was done by the will of man, although the turning was from the Lord. Read the books of the Chronicles, and you will find the following passage in the second book: aMoreover, the Lord stirred up against Jehoram the spirit of the Philistines, and of the Arabians, that were neighbours to the Ethiopians: and they came up to the land of Judah, and rayaged it, and carried away all the substance which was found in the kingas house.â[3200]3199 Here it is shown that God stirs up enemies to devastate the countries which He adjudges deserving of such chastisement. Still, did these Philistines and Arabians invade the land of Judah to waste it with no will of their own? Or were their movements so directed by their own will that the Scripture lies which tells us that athe Lord stirred up their spirita to do all this? Both statements to be sure are true, because they both came by their own will, and yet the Lord stirred up their spirit; and this may also with equal truth be stated the other way: The Lord both stirred up their spirit, and yet they came of their own will. For the Almighty sets in motion even in the innermost hearts of men the movement of their will, so that He does through their agency whatsoever He wishes to perform through them, aeven He who knows not how to will anything in unrighteousness. What, again, is the purport of that which the man of God said to King Amaziah: âLet not the army of Israel go with thee; for the Lord is not with Israel, even with all the children of Ephraim: for if thou shalt think to obtain with these, the Lord shall put thee to flight before thine enemies: for God hath power either to strengthen or to put to flighta?[3201]3200 Now, how does the power of God help some in war by giving them confidence, and put others to flight by injecting fear into them, except it be that He who has made all things according to His own will, in heaven and on earth,[3202]3201 also works in the hearts of men? We read also what Joash, king of Israel, said when he sent a message to Amaziah, king of Judah, who wanted to fight with him. After certain other words, he added, aNow tarry at home; why dost thou challenge me to thine hurt, that thou shouldest fall, even thou, and Judah with thee?a[3203]3202 Then the Scripture has added this sequel: aBut Amaziah would not hear; for it came of God, that he might be delivered into their hands, because they sought after the gods of Edom. a[3204]3203 Behold, now, how God, wishing to punish the sin of idolatry, wrought this in this manâs heart, with whom He was indeed justly angry, not to listen to sound advice, but to despise it, and go to the battle, in which he with his army was routed. God says by the prophet Ezekiel, alf the prophet be deceived when he hath spoken a thing, I the Lord have deceived that prophet: I will stretch out my hand upon him, and will destroy him from the midst of my people Israel.â[3205]3204 Then there is the book of Esther, who was a woman of the people of Israel, and in the land of their captivity became the wife of the foreign King Ahasuerus. In this book it is written, that, being driven by necessity to interpose in behalf of her people, whom the king had ordered to be slain in every part of his dominions, she prayed to the Lord. So strongly was she urged by the necessity of the case, that she even ventured into the royal presence without the kingas command, and contrary to her own custom. Now observe what the Scripture says: âHe looked at her like a bull in the vehemence of his indignation; and the queen was afraid, and her colour changed as she fainted; and she bowed herself upon the head of her delicate maiden which went before her.

But God turned the king, and transformed his indignation into gentleness.â[3206]3205 The Scripture says in the Proverbs of Solomon, âEven as the rush of water, so is the heart of a king in Godâs hand; He will turn it in whatever way He shall choose.â[3207]3206 Again, in the 104th Psalm, in reference to the Egyptians, one reads what God did to them: âAnd He turned their heart to hate His people, to deal subtilly with His servants. a[3208]3207 Observe, likewise, what is written in the letters of the apostles. In the Epistle of Paul, the Apostle, to the Romans occur these words: aWherefore God gave them up to uncleanness, through the lusts of their own hearts; al 3209 3208 and a little afterwards: aFor this cause God gave them up unto vile affections; a[3210]3209 again, in the next passage: aAnd even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient.â[3211]3210 So also in his second Epistle to the Thessalonians, the apostle says of sundry persons, all as they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved; therefore also God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie; that they all might be judged who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness. a[3212]3211

Chapter 43.âGod Operates on Menâs Hearts to Incline Their Wills Whithersoever He Pleases.

From these statements of the inspired word, and from similar passages which it would take too long to quote in full, it is, I think, sufficiently clear that God works in the hearts of men to incline their wills whithersoever He wills, whether to good deeds according to His mercy, or to evil after their own deserts; His own judgment being sometimes manifest, sometimes secret, but always righteous. This ought to be the fixed and immoveable conviction of your heart, that there is no unrighteousness with God. Therefore, whenever you read in the Scriptures of Truth, that men are led aside, or that their hearts are blunted and hardened by God, never doubt that some ill deserts of their own have first occurred, so that they justly suffer these things. Thus you will not run counter to that proverb of Solomon: aThe foolishness of a man perverteth his ways, yet he blameth God in his heart.â[3213]3212 Grace, however, is not bestowed according to menâs deserts: otherwise grace would be no longer grace.[3214]3213 For grace is so designated because it is given gratuitously [3215]3214 Now if God is able, either through the agency of angels (whether good ones or evil), or in any other way whatever, to operate in the hearts even of the wicked, in return for their deserts, âwhose wickedness was not made by Him, but was either derived originally from Adam, or increased by their own will, awhat is there to wonder at if, through the Holy Spirit, He works good in the hearts of the elect, who has wrought it that their hearts become good instead of evil?

Chapter 44 [XXII.] âGratuitous Grace Exemplified in Infants.

Men, however, may suppose that there are certain good deserts which they think are precedent to justification through Godâs grace; all the while failing to see, when they express such an opinion, that they do nothing else than deny grace. But, as I have already remarked, let them suppose what they like respecting the case of adults, in the case of infants, at any rate, the Pelagians find no means of answering the difficulty. For these in receiving grace have no will; from the influence of which they can pretend to any precedent merit. We see, moreover, how they cry and struggle when they are baptized, and feel the divine sacraments. Such conduct would, of course, be charged against them as a great impiety, if they already had free will in use; and notwithstanding this, grace cleaves to them even in their resisting struggles. But most certainly there is no prevenient merit. otherwise the grace would be no longer grace. Sometimes, too, this grace is bestowed upon the children of unbelievers, when they happen by some means or other to fall, by reason of Godâs secret providence, into the hands of pious persons; but, on the other hand, the children of believers fail to obtain grace, some hindrance occurring to prevent the approach of help to rescue them in their danger. These things, no doubt, happen through the secret providence of God, whose judgments are unsearchable, and His ways past finding out. These are the words of the apostle; and you should observe what he had previously said, to lead him to add such a remark. He was discoursing about the Jews and Gentiles, when he wrote to the Romansathemselves Gentilesato this effect: aFor as ye, in times past, have not believed God, yet have now obtained mercy through their unbelief; even so have these also now not believed, that through your mercy they also may obtain mercy; for God hath concluded them all in unbelief, that He might have mercy upon all a[3216]3215 Now, after he had thought upon what he said, full of wonder at the certain truth of his own assertion, indeed, but astonished at its great depth, how God concluded all in unbelief that He might have mercy upon all, as if doing evil that good might come, and said, and said, and the depth of the riches both of the wisdom and knowledge of God! how unsearchable are His judgments, and His ways past finding out!a[3217]3216 Perverse men, who do not reflect upon these unsearchable judgments and untraceable ways, indeed, but are ever prone to censure, being unable to understand, have supposed the apostle to say, and censoriously gloried over him for saying, âLet us do evil, that good may come!â God forbid that the apostle should say so! But men, without understanding, have thought that this was in fact said, when they heard these words of the apostle: âMoreover, the law entered, that the offence might abound; but where sin abounded, grace did much more abound.â[3218]3217 But grace, indeed, effects this purposeathat good works should now be wrought by those who previously did evil; not that they should persevere in evil courses and suppose that they are recompensed with good. Their language, therefore, ought not to be: âLet us do evil, that good may come; â but: âWe have done evil, and good has come; let us henceforth do good, that in the future world we may receive good for good, who in the present life are receiving good for evil.â Wherefore it is written in the Psalm, al will sing of mercy and judgment unto Thee, O Lord. a[3219]3218 When the Son of man, therefore, first came into the world, it was not to judge the world, but that the world through Him might be saved.[3220]3219 And this dispensation was for mercy; by and by, however, He will come for judgmentato judge the guick and the dead. And yet even in this present time salvation itself does not eventuate without judgmentâalthough it be a hidden one; therefore He says, aFor judgment I am come into this world, that they

which see not may see, and that they which see may be made blind.â[3221]3220

Chapter 45 [XXIII]âThe Reason Why One Person is Assisted by Grace, and Another is Not Helped, Must Be Referred to the Secret Judgments of God.

You must refer the matter, then, to the hidden determinations of God, when you see, in one and the same condition, such as all infants unquestionably have awho derive their hereditary evil from Adam athat one is assisted so as to be baptized, and another is not assisted, so that he dies in his very bondage; and again, that one baptized person is left and forsaken in his present life, who God foreknew would be ungodly, while another baptized person is taken away from this life, âlest that wickedness should alter his understanding; a[3222]3221 and be sure that you do not in such cases ascribe unrighteousness or unwisdom to God, in whom is the very fountain of righteousness and wisdom, but, as I have exhorted you from the commencement of this treatise, âwhereto you have already attained, walk therein, a[3223]3222 and aeven this shall God reveal unto you, a[3224]3223 aif not in this life, yet certainly in the next, afor there is nothing covered that shall not be revealed.â[3225]3224 When, therefore, you hear the Lord say, all the Lord have deceived that prophet, a[3226]3225 and likewise what the apostle says: all hath mercy on whom He will have mercy, and whom He will He hardeneth, a[3227]3226 believe that, in the case of him whom He permits to be deceived and hardened, his evil deeds have deserved the judgment; whilst in the case of him to whom He shows mercy, you should loyally and unhesitatingly recognise the grace of the God who arendereth not evil for evil; but contrariwise blessing.â[3228]3227 Nor should you take away from Pharaoh free will, because in several passages God says, âl have hardened Pharaoh;â or,â I have hardened or I will harden Pharaohâs heart; a[3229]3228 for it does not by any means follow that Pharaoh did not, on this account, harden his own heart. For this, too, is said of him, after the removal of the fly-plague from the Egyptians, in these words of the Scripture: âAnd Pharaoh hardened his heart at this time also; neither would he let the people go.â[3230]3229 Thus it was that both God hardened him by His just judgment, and Pharaoh by his own free will. Be ye then well assured that your labour will never be in vain. if, setting before you a good purpose, you persevere in it to the last. For God, who fails to render, according to their deeds, only to those whom He liberates, will then arecompense every man according to his works.â[3231]3230 God will, therefore, certainly recompense both evil for evil, because He is just; and good for evil, because He is good; and good for good, because He is good and just; only, evil for good He will never recompense, because He is not unjust. He will, therefore, recompense evil for evilapunishment for unrighteousness; and He will recompense good for evilagrace for unrighteousness; and He will recompense good for goodâgrace for grace.

Chapter 46 [XXIV.] âUnderstanding and Wisdom Must Be Sought from God.

Peruse attentively this treatise, and if you understand it, give God the praise; but where you fail to understand it, pray for

understanding, for God will give you understanding. Remember what the Scriptures say: alf any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, who giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not; and it shall be given to him.â[3232]3231 Wisdom itself cometh down from above, as the Apostle James himself tells us.[3233]3232 There is, however, another wisdom, which you must repel from you, and pray against its remaining in you; this the same apostle expressed his detestation of when he said, âBut if ye have bitter envying and strife in your hearts, .... this is not the wisdom which descendeth from above, but is earthly, sensual, devilish. For wherever there is envying and strife, there is also confusion, and every evil work. But the wisdom which is from above is first pure, then peaceable, gentle, and easy to be entreated, full of mercy and good works, without partiality, and without hypocrisy.â[3234]3233 What blessing, then, will that man not have who has prayed for this wisdom and obtained it of the Lord? And from this you may understand what grace is; because if this wisdom were of ourselves, it would not be from above; nor would it be an object to be asked for of the God who created us. Brethren, pray ye for us also, that we may live asoberly, righteously, and godly in this present world; looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, a[3235]3234 to whom belong the honour, and the glory, and the kingdom, with the Father and the Holy Ghost, for ever and ever. Amen.

a treatise on rebuke and grace.

Extract from Augustinâs âRetractations,â

Book II. Chap. 67,

On the Following Treatise,

âde correptione et gratia.â

## âââââââââââââ

I Wrote again to the same persons[3236]3235 another treatise, which I entitled On Rebuke and Grace, because I had been told that some one there had said that no man ought to be rebuked for not doing Godâs commandments, but that prayer only should be made on his behalf, that he may do them. This book begins on this wise, âl have read your letters, dearly beloved brother Valentine.â

A Treatise on rebuke and grace,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

In One Book,

addressed to valentine, and with him to the monks of adrumetum.

a.d. 426 or 427.

âââââââââââââ

In the beginning the writer sets forth what is the Catholic faith concerning law, concerning free will, and concerning grace. He teaches that the grace of God by Jesus Christ is that by which alone men are delivered from evil, and without which they do absolutely no good; and this not only by the fact that it points out what is to be done, but that it also supplies the means of doing it with love, since God bestows on men the inspiration of a good will and deed. He teaches that the rebuke of evil men who have not received this grace is neither unjustasince they are evil by their own willanor useless. although it must be confessed that it is only by Godas agency that it can avail. That perseverance in good is truly a great gift of God, but that still the rebuke of one who has not persevered must not on that account be neglected; and that if a man who has not received this gift should relapse of his own will into sin, he is not only deserving of rebuke, but if he should continue in evil until his death, he is moreover worthy of eternal damnation. That it is inscrutable why one should receive this gift and another should not receive it. That of those who are predestinated none can perish. And that the perseverance, which all do not receive who are here called children of God, is constantly given to all those who are truly children by Godâs foreknowledge and predestination. He answers the question which suggests itself concerning Adamâin what way he sinned by not persevering, since he did not receive perseverance. He shows that such assistance was at the first given to him, as that without it he could not continue if he would, not as that with it it must result that he would. But that now through Christ is given us not only such help as that without it we cannot continue even if we will, but moreover such and so great as that by it we will. He proves that the number of the predestinated, to whom a gift of this kind is appropriated, is certain, and can neither be increased nor diminished. And since it is unknown who belongs to that number, and who does not, that medicinal rebuke must be applied to all who sin, lest they should either themselves perish, or be the ruin of others. Finally, he concludes that neither is rebuke prohibited by grace, nor is grace denied by rebuke.

## Chapter 1 [I.]âIntroductory.

I Have read your letterâValentine, my dearly beloved brother, and you who are associated with him in the service of Godâwhich your Love sent by brother Florus and those who came to us with him; and I gave God thanks that I have known your peace in the Lord and agreement in the truth and ardour in love, by your discourse delivered to us. But that an enemy has striven among you to the subversion of some, has, by the mercy of God and His marvellous goodness in turning his arts to the advantage[3237]3236 of His servants, rather availed to this result, that while none of you were cast down for the worse, some were built up for the better. There is therefore no need to reconsider again and again all that I have already transmitted to you, sufficiently argued out in a lengthy treatise;[3238]3237 for your replies indicate how you have received this. Nevertheless, do not in any wise suppose that, when once read, it can have become sufficiently well known to you. Therefore if you desire to have it exceedingly productive, do not

count it a grievance by re-perusal to make it thoroughly familiar; so that you may most accurately[3239]3238 know what and what kind of questions they are, for the solution and satisfaction of which there arises an authority not human but divine, from which we ought not to depart if we desire to attain to the point whither we are tending.

Chapter 2.aThe Catholic Faith Concerning Law, Grace, and Free Will.

Now the Lord Himself not only shows us what evil we should shun, and what good we should do, which is all that the letter of the law is able to effect; but He moreover helps us that we may shun evil and do good, [3240]3239 which none can do without the Spirit of grace; and if this be wanting, the law comes in merely to make us guilty and to slay us. It is on this account that the apostle says, âThe letter killeth, but the Spirit giveth life.a[3241]3240 He, then, who lawfully uses the law learns therein evil and good, and, not trusting in his own strength, flees to grace, by the help of which he may shun evil and do good. But who is there who flees to grace except when athe steps of a man are ordered by the Lord, and He shall determine his waya?[3242]3241 And thus also to desire the help of grace is the beginning of grace; of which, says he, âAnd I said, Now I have begun; this is the change of the right hand of the Most High a[3243]3242 It is to be confessed, therefore, that we have free choice to do both evil and good; but in doing evil every one is free from righteousness and a servant of sin, while in doing good no one can be free, unless he have been made free by Him who said, alf the Son shall make you free, then you shall be free indeed.a[3244]3243 Neither is it thus, that when any one has been made free from the dominion of sin, he no longer needs the help of his Deliverer; but rather thus, that hearing from Him, aWithout me ye can do nothing, a[3245]3244 he himself also says to Him, âBe thou my helper! Forsake me not.â[3246]3245 I rejoice that I have found in our brother Florus also this faith, which without doubt is the true and prophetical and apostolical and catholic faith; whence those are the rather to be correctedawhom indeed I now think to have been corrected by the favour of Godâwho did not understand him.

Chapter 3 [II.] aWhat the Grace of God Through Jesus Christ is.

For the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord must be apprehended, as that by which alone men are delivered from evil, and without which they do absolutely no good thing, whether in thought, or will and affection, or in action; not only in order that they may know, by the manifestation of that grace, what should be done, but moreover in order that, by its enabling, they may do with love what they know. Certainly the apostle asked for this inspiration of good will and work on behalf of those to whom he said, aNow we pray to God that ye do no evil, not that we should appear approved, but that ye should do that which is good. a[3247]3246 Who can hear this and not awake and confess that we have it from the Lord God that we turn aside from evil and do good? as ince the apostle indeed says not. We admonish, we teach, we exhort, we rebuke; but he says, aWe pray to God that ye do no evil, but that ye should do that which is good. a[3248]3247 And yet he was also in the habit of speaking to them, and doing all those things which I have mentioned, and admonished, he taught, he exhorted,

he rebuked. But he knew that all these things which he was doing in the way of planting and watering openly[3249]3248 were of no avail unless He who giveth the increase in secret should give heed to his prayer on their behalf. Because, as the same teacher of the Gentiles says, âNeither is he that planteth anything, neither he that watereth, but God that giveth the increase.â[3250]3249

Chapter 4aThe Children of God are Led by the Spirit of God.

Let those, therefore, not deceive themselves who ask, aWherefore is it preached and prescribed to us that we should turn away from evil and do good, if it is not we that do this, but aGod who worketh in us to will and to do itâ?â[3251]3250 But let them rather understand that if they are the children of God, they are led by the Spirit of God[3252]3251 to do that which should be done; and when they have done it, let them give thanks to Him by whom they act. For they are acted upon that they may act, not that they may themselves do nothing; and in addition to this, it is shown them what they ought to do, so that when they have done it as it ought to be doneathat is, with the love and the delight of righteousness at hey may rejoice in having received âthe sweetness which the Lord has given, that their [3253] 3252 land should yield her increase.â[3254]3253 But when they do not act, whether by not doing at all or by not doing from love, let them pray that what as yet they have not, they may receive. For what shall they have which they shall not receive? or what have they which they have not received?[3255]3254

Chapter 5 [III.]âRebuke Must Not Be Neglected.

âThen,â say they, âlet those who are over us only prescribe to us what we ought to do, and pray for us that we may do it; but let them not rebuke and censure us if we should not do it.â Certainly let all be done, since the teachers of the churches, the apostles, were in the habit of doing all, as well prescribing what things should be done, as rebuking if they were not done, and praying that they might be done. The apostle prescribes, saying, âLet all your things be done with love.â[3256]3255 He rebukes, saying, âNow therefore there is utterly a fault among you, because ye have judgments among yourselves. For why do ye not rather suffer wrong? Why are ye not rather defrauded? Nay, ye do wrong and defraud; and that, your brethren. Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not possess the kingdom of God?a[3257]3256 Let us hear him also praying: âAnd the Lord,â says he, âmultiply you, and make you to abound in love one towards another and towards all men.â[3258]3257 He prescribes, that love should be maintained; he rebukes, because love is not maintained; he prays, that love may abound. O man! learn by his precept what you ought to have; learn by his rebuke that it is by your own fault that you have it not; learn by his prayer whence you may receive what you desire to have.

Chapter 6 [IV.] aObjections to the Use of Rebuke.

âHow,â says he,[3259]3258 âis it my fault that I have not what I have not received from Him, when unless it is given by Him, there is no other at all whence such and so great a gift can be had?â Suffer me a little, my brethren, not as against you whose heart is right with God, but as against those who mind earthly things, or as against those human modes of thinking themselves, to contend for the truth, of the heavenly and divine grace. For they who say this are such as in their wicked works are unwilling to be rebuked by those who proclaim this grace. aPrescribe to me what I shall do, and if I should do it, give thanks to God for me who has given me to do it; but if I do it not, I must not be rebuked, but He must be besought to give what He has not given; that is, that very believing love of God and of my neighbour by which His precepts are[3260]3259 observed. Pray, then, for me that I may receive this, and may by its means do freely and with good will that which He commands. But I should be justly rebuked if by my own fault I had it not; that is, if I myself could give it to myself, or could receive it, and did not do so, or if He should give it and I should be unwilling to receive it. But since even the will itself is prepared[3261]3260 by the Lord, why dust thou rebuke me because thou seeest me unwilling to do His precepts, and dust not rather ask Him Himself to work in me the will also?â

Chapter 7 [V.] aThe Necessity and Advantage of Rebuke.

To this we answer: Whoever you are that do not the commandments of God that are already known to you, and do not wish to be rebuked, you must be rebuked even for that very reason that you do not wish to be rebuked. For you do not wish that your faults should be pointed out to you; you do not wish that they should be touched, and that such a useful pain should be caused you that you may seek the Physician; you do not desire to be shown to yourself, that, when you see yourself to be deformed, you may wish for the Reformer, and may supplicate Him that you may not continue in that repulsiveness. For it is your fault that you are evil; and it is a greater fault to be unwilling to be rebuked because you are evil, as if faults should either be praised, or regarded with indifference so as neither to be praised nor blamed, or as if, indeed, the dread, or the shame, or the mortification of the rebuked man were of no avail, or were of any other avail in healthfully stimulating, except to cause that He who is good may be besought, and so out of evil men who are rebuked may make good men who may be praised. For what he who will not be rebuked desires to be done for him, when he says, aPray for me rather, aahe must be rebuked for that very reason that he may himself also do for himself; because that mortification with which he is dissatisfied with himself when he feels the sting of rebuke, stirs him up to a desire for more earnest prayer,[3262]3261 that, by Godâs mercy, he may be aided by the increase of love, and cease to do things which are shameful and mortifying, and do things praiseworthy and gladdening. This is the benefit of rebuke that is wholesomely applied, sometimes with greater, sometimes with less severity, in accordance with the diversity of sins; and it is then wholesome when the supreme Physician looks. For it is of no profit unless when it makes a man repent of his sin. And who gives this but He who looked upon the Apostle Peter when he denied, [3263] 3262 and made him weep? Whence also the Apostle Paul, after he said that they were to be rebuked with moderation who thought otherwise, immediately added, aLest perchance God give them repentance, to the acknowledging of the truth, and they recover

themselves out of the snares of the devil.â[3264]3263

Chapter 8.aFurther Replies to Those Who Object to Rebuke.

But wherefore do they, who are unwilling be rebuked, say, aOnly prescribe to me, and pray for me that I may do what you prescribe?â Why do they not rather, in accordance with their own evil inclination, reject these things also, and say, all wish you neither to prescribe to me, nor to pray for mea? For what man is shown to have prayed for Peter, that God should give him the repentance wherewith he bewailed the denial of his Lord? What man instructed Paul in the divine precepts which pertain to the Christian faith? When, therefore, he was heard preaching the gospel, and saying, aFor I certify you, brethren, that the gospel which was preached of me is not after man. For I neither received it from man, nor did I learn it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ, a[3265]3264 awould it be replied to him: âWhy are you troubling us to receive and to learn from you that which you have not received nor learnt from man? He who gave to you is able also to give to us in like manner as to you. a Moreover, if they dare not say this, but suffer the gospel to be preached to them by man, although it cannot be given to man by man, let them concede also that they ought to be rebuked by those who are set over them, by whom Christian grace is preached; although it is not denied that God is able, even when no man rebukes, to correct whom He will, and to lead him on to the wholesome mortification of repentance by the most hidden and mighty power of His medicine. And as we are not to cease from prayer on behalf of those whom we desire to be corrected, aeven although without any manâs prayer on behalf of Peter, the Lord looked upon him and caused him to bewail his sin, aso we must not neglect rebuke, although God can make those whom He will to be corrected, even when not rebuked. But a man then profits by rebuke when He pities and aids who makes those whom He will to profit even without rebuke. But wherefore these are called to be reformed in one way, those in another way, and others in still another way, after different and innumerable manners, be it far from us to assert that it is the business of the clay to judge, but of the potter.

Chapter 9 [VI]âWhy They May Justly Be Rebuked Who Do Not Obey God, Although They Have Not Yet Received the Grace of Obedience.

âThe apostle says,â say they, ââFor who maketh thee to differ? And what hast thou that thou hast not received? Now also if thou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou hadst not received it?â[3266]3265 Why, then, are we rebuked, censured, reproved, accused? What do we do, we who have not received?â They who say this wish to appear without blame in respect of their not obeying God, because assuredly obedience itself is His gift; and that gift must of necessity be in him in whom dwells love, which without doubt is of God,[3267]3266 and the Father gives it to His children. âThis,â say they, âwe have not received. Why, then, are we rebuked, as if we were able to give it to ourselves, and of our own choice would not give it?â And they do not observe that, if they are not yet regenerated, the first reason why, when they are reproached because they are disobedient to God, they ought to be dissatisfied with themselves is, that God made man upright from the beginning of the human creation,[3268]3267 and there is no unrighteousness with God.[3269]3268 And thus the first depravity, whereby God is not obeyed, is of man, because, falling by his own evil will from the rectitude in which God at first made him, he became depraved. Is, then, that depravity not to be rebuked in a man because it is not peculiar to him who is rebuked, but is common to all? Nay, let that also be rebuked in individuals, which is common to all. For the circumstance that none is altogether free from it is no reason why it should not attach to each man. Those original sins, indeed, are said to be the sins of others, because individuals derived them from their parents; but they are not unreasonably said to be our own also, because in that one, as the apostle says, all have sinned.[3270]3269 Let, then, the damnable source be rebuked, that from the mortification of rebuke may spring the will of regeneration, âif, indeed, he who is rebuked is a child of promise, ain order that, by the noise of the rebuke sounding and lashing from without, God may by His hidden inspiration work in him from within to will also. If, however, being already regenerate and justified, he relapses of his own will into an evil life, assuredly he cannot say, al have not received, a because of his own free choice to evil he has lost the grace of God, that he had received. And if, stung with compunction by rebuke, he wholesomely bewails, and returns to similar good works, or even better, certainly here most manifestly appears the advantage of rebuke. But yet for rebuke by the agency of man to avail, whether it be of love or not, depends only upon God.

Chapter 10âAll Perseverance is Godâs Gift.

Is such an one as is unwilling to be rebuked still able to say, âWhat have I done, al who have not received? a when it appears plainly that he has received, and by his own fault has lost that which he has received? âl am able,â says he, âl am altogether able,âwhen you reprove me for having of my own will relapsed from a good life into a bad one, astill to say, What have I done, al who have not received? For I have received faith, which worketh by love, but I have not received perseverance therein to the end. Will any one dare to say that this perseverance is not the gift of God, and that so great a possession as this is ours in such wise that if any one have it the apostle could not say to him, aFor what hast thou which thou hast not received?â[3271]3270 since he has this in such a manner as that he has not received it?â To this, indeed, we are not able to deny, that perseverance in good, progressing even to the end, is also a great gift of God; and that it exists not save it come from Him of whom it is written, aEvery best gift and every perfect gift is from above, coming down from the Father of lights.â[3272]3271 But the rebuke of him who has not persevered must not on that account be neglected, âlest God perchance give unto him repentance, and he recover from the snares of the devil; a[3273]3272 since to the usefulness of rebuke the apostle has subjoined this decision, saying, as I have above mentioned, a Rebuking with moderation those that think differently, lest at any time God give them repentance.â[3274]3273 For if we should say that such a perseverance, so laudable and so blessed, is manas in such wise as that he has it not from God, we first of all make void

that which the Lord says to Peter: all have prayed for thee that thy faith fail not.â[3275]3274 For what did He ask for him, but perseverance to the end? And assuredly, if a man could have this from man, it should not have been asked from God. Then when the apostle says, aNow we pray to God that ye do no evil.a[3276]3275 bevond a doubt he prays to God on their behalf for perseverance. For certainly he does not ado no evila who forsakes good, and, not persevering in good, turns to the evil, from which he ought to turn aside.[3277]3276 In that place, moreover, where he says, al thank my God in every remembrance of you, always in every prayer of mine for you all making quest with joy for your fellowship[3278]3277 in the gospel from the first day until now, being confident of this very thing, that He who has begun a good work in you will perform it until the day of Jesus Christ, a[3279]3278awhat else does he promise to them from the mercy of God than perseverance in good to the end? And again where he says, aEpaphras saluteth you, who is one of you, a servant of Christ Jesus, always striving for you in prayer, that you may stand perfect and fulfilled in all the will of God, a[3280]3279awhat is athat you may standâ but âthat you may persevereâ? Whence it was said of the devil, âHe stood not in the truth;â[3281]3280 because he was there, but he did not continue. For assuredly those were already standing in the faith. And when we pray that he who stands may stand, we do not pray for anything else than that he may persevere. Jude the apostle, again, when he says, aNow unto Him that is able to keep you without offence, and to establish you before the presence of His glory, immaculate in joy. a[3282]3281 does he not most manifestly show that perseverance in good unto the end is Godâs gift? For what but a good perseverance does He give who preserves without offence that He may place before the presence of His glory immaculate in joy? What is it, moreover, that we read in the Acts of the Apostles: âAnd when the Gentiles heard, they rejoiced and received the word of the Lord; and as many as were ordained to eternal life believeda?[3283]3282 Who could be ordained to eternal life save by the gift of perseverance? And when we read, aHe that shall persevere unto the end shall be saved; a[3284]3283 with what salvation but eternal? And when, in the Lordâs Prayer, we say to God the Father, âHallowed be Thy name, â[3285]3284 what do we ask but that His name may be hallowed in us? And as this is already accomplished by means of the laver of regeneration, why is it daily asked by believers, except that we may persevere in that which is already done in us? For the blessed Cyprian also understands this in this manner, inasmuch as, in his exposition of the same prayer, he says: aWe say, âHallowed be Thy name, a not that we wish for God that He may be hallowed by our prayers, but that we ask of God that His name may be hallowed in us. But by whom is God hallowed; since He Himself hallows? Well, because He said, aBe ye holy, since I also am holy;a[3286]3285 we ask and entreat that we who have been hallowed in baptism may persevere in that which we have begun to be a [3287]3286 Behold the most glorious martyr is of this opinion, that what in these words Christâs faithful people are daily asking is, that they may persevere in that which they have begun to be. And no one need doubt, but that whosoever prays from the Lord that he may persevere in good, confesses thereby that such perseverance is His gift.

Chapter 11 [VII.]âThey Who Have Not Received the Gift of Perseverance,

and Have Relapsed into Mortal Sin and Have Died Therein, Must Righteously Be Condemned.

If, then, these things be so, we still rebuke those, and reasonably rebuke them, who, although they were living well, have not persevered therein; because they have of their own will been changed from a good to an evil life, and on that account are worthy of rebuke; and if rebuke should be of no avail to them, and they should persevere in their ruined life until death, they are also worthy of divine condemnation for ever. Neither shall they excuse themselves, saying, âas now they say, aWherefore are we rebuked? â aso then, aWherefore are we condemned, since indeed, that we might return from good to evil, we did not receive that perseverance by which we should abide in good?â They shall by no means deliver themselves by this excuse from righteous condemnation. For if, according to the word of truth, no one is delivered from the condemnation which was incurred through Adam except through the faith of Jesus Christ, and yet from this condemnation they shall not deliver themselves who shall be able to say that they have not heard the gospel of Christ, on the ground that afaith cometh by hearing, a[3288]3287 how much less shall they deliver themselves who shall say, aWe have not received perseverance!a For the excuse of those who say, a We have not received hearing, a seems more equitable than that of those who say, aWe have not received perseverance; a since it may be said. O man, in that which thou hadst heard and kept, in that thou mightest persevere if thou wouldest; but in no wise can it be said. That which thou hadst not heard thou mightest believe if thou wouldest.

Chapter 12.âThey Who Have Not Received Perseverance are Not Distinguished from the Mass of Those that are Lost.

And, consequently, both those who have not heard the gospel, and those who, having heard it and been changed by it for the better, have not received perseverance, and those who, having heard the gospel, have refused to come to Christ, that is, to believe on Him, since He Himself says, aNo man cometh unto me, except it were given him of my Father, a[3289]3288 and those who by their tender age were unable to believe, but might be absolved from original sin by the sole laver of regeneration, and yet have not received this layer, and have perished in death: are not made to differ from that lump which it is plain is condemned, as all go from one into condemnation. Some are made to differ, however, not by their own merits, but by the grace of the Mediator; that is to say, they are justified freely in the blood of the second Adam. Therefore, when we hear, aFor who maketh thee to differ? and what hast thou that thou hast not received? Now, if thou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou hadst not received it?â[3290]3289 we ought to understand that from that mass of perdition which originated through the first Adam, no one can be made to differ except he who has this gift, which whosoever has, has received by the grace of the Saviour. And this apostolical testimony is so great, that the blessed Cyprian writing to Quirinus put it in the place of a title, when he says, aThat we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.â[3291]3290

Chapter 13.âElection is of Grace, Not of Merit.

Whosoever, then, are made to differ from that original condemnation by such bounty of divine grace, there is no doubt but that for such it is provided that they should hear the gospel, and when they hear they believe, and in the faith which worketh by love they persevere unto the end; and if, perchance, they deviate from the way, when they are rebuked they are amended and some of them, although they may not be rebuked by men, return into the path which they had left; and some who have received grace in any age whatever are withdrawn from the perils of this life by swiftness of death. For He worketh all these things in them who made them vessels of mercy, who also elected them in His Son before the foundation of the world by the election of grace: âAnd if by grace, then is it no more of works, otherwise grace is no more grace.â[3292]3291 For they were not so called as not to be elected, in respect of which it is said, aFor many are called but few are elected; a[3293]3292 but because they were called according to the purpose, they are of a certainty also elected by the election, as it is said, of grace, not of any precedent merits of theirs, because to them grace is all merit.

Chapter 14.aNone of the Elect and Predestinated Can Perish.

Of such says the apostle, aWe know that to those that love God He worketh together all things for good, to them who are called according to His purpose; because those whom He before foreknew. He also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the first-born among many brethren. Moreover, whom He did predestinate, them He also called; and whom He called, them He also justified; and whom He justified, them He also glorified. a[3294]3293 Of these no one perishes, because all are elected. And they are elected because they were called according to the purpose at he purpose. however, not their own, but Godâs; of which He elsewhere says, âThat the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of Him that calleth, it was said unto her that the elder shall serve the younger.â[3295]3294 And in another place he says, âNot according to our works, but according to His own purpose and grace.â[3296]3295 When, therefore, we hear, âMoreover, whom He did predestinate, them He also called a [3297]3296 we ought to acknowledge that they were called according to His purpose; since He thence began, saying, âHe worketh together all things for good to those who are called according to His purpose, and then added, aBecause those whom He before foreknew, He also did predestinate, to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the first-born among many brethren.â And to these promises He added, âMoreover, whom He did predestinate, them He also called â He wishes these, therefore, to be understood whom He called according to His purpose, lest any among them should be thought to be called and not elected, on account of that sentence of the Lordâs: âMany the called but few are elected *â*[3298]3297 For whoever are elected are without doubt also called; but not whosoever are called are as a consequence elected. Those, then, are elected, as has often been said, who are called according to the purpose, who also are predestinated and foreknown. If any one of these perishes, God is mistaken; but none of them perishes,

because God is not mistaken. If any one of these perish, God is overcome by human sin; but none of them perishes, because God is overcome by nothing. Moreover, they are elected to reign with Christ, not as Judas was elected, to a work for which he was fitted. Because he was chosen by Him who well knew how to make use even of wicked men, so that even by his damnable deed that venerable work, for the sake of which He Himself had come, might be accomplished. When, therefore, we hear, âHave not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil?â[3299]3298 we ought to understand that the rest were elected by mercy, but he by judgment; those to obtain His kingdom, he to shed His blood!

Chapter 15.âPerseverance is Given to the End.

Rightly follows the word to the kingdom of the elect: alf God be for us, who can be against us? He that spared not His own Son, but delivered Him up for us all, how has He not also with Him given us all things? Who shall lay anything to the charge of Godâs elect? God who justifieth? Who condemneth? Christ who died? yea, rather who rose again also, who is at the right hand of God, who also soliciteth on our behalf?â[3300]3299 And of how stedfast a perseverance even to the end they have received the gift, let them follow on to say: aWho shall separate us from the love of Christ? shall tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? As it is written, Because for thy sake we are killed all the day long, we are accounted as sheep for the slaughter. But in all these things we are more than conquerors, through Him that hath loved us. For I am certain, that neither death, nor life, nor angel, nor principality, nor things present, nor things to come, nor power, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.â[3301]3300

Chapter 16.âWhosoever Do Not Persevere are Not Distinguished from the Mass of Perdition by Predestination.

Such as these were they who were signified to Timothy, where, when it had been said that HymenÄ us and Philetus had subverted the faith of some, it is presently added, aNevertheless the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal. The Lord has known them that are His.â[3302]3301 The faith of these, which worketh by love, either actually does not fail at all, or, if there are any whose faith fails, it is restored before their life is ended, and the iniquity which had intervened is done away, and perseverance even to the end is allotted to them. But they who are not to persevere, and who shall so fall away from Christian faith and conduct that the end of this life shall find them in that case, beyond all doubt are not to be reckoned in the number of these, even in that season wherein they are living well and piously. For they are not made to differ from that mass of perdition by the foreknowledge and predestination of God, and therefore are not called according to Godâs purpose, and thus are not elected; but are called among those of whom it was said, âMany are called,â not among those of whom it was said, aBut few are elected.a And yet who can deny that they are elect, since they believe and are baptized, and live according to God? Manifestly, they are called elect by those who are

ignorant of what they shall be, but not by Him who knew that they would not have the perseverance which leads the elect forward into the blessed life, and knows that they so stand, as that He has foreknown that they will fall.

Chapter 17 [VIII.]âWhy Perseverance Should Be Given to One and Not Another is Inscrutable.

Here, if I am asked why God should not have given them perseverance to whom He gave that love by which they might live Christianly. I answer that I do not know. For I do not speak arrogantly, but with acknowledgment of my small measure, when I hear the apostle saying, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God?â[3303]3302 and, âO the depth of the riches of the wisdom and knowledge of God! how unsearchable are His judgments, and His ways untraceable!a[3304]3303 So far, therefore, as He condescends to manifest His judgments to us, let us give thanks; but so far as He thinks fit to conceal them, let us not murmur against His counsel, but believe that this also is the most wholesome for us. But whoever you are that are hostile to His grace, and thus ask, what do you yourself say? it is well that you do not deny yourself to be a Christian and boast of being a catholic. If, therefore, you confess that to persevere to the end in good is Godâs gift, I think that equally with me you are ignorant why one man should receive this gift and another should not receive it; and in this case we are both unable to penetrate the unsearchable judgments of God. Or if you say that it pertains to manas free willawhich you defend, not in accordance with Godâs grace, but in opposition to itâthat any one should persevere in good, or should not persevere, and it is not by the gift of God if he persevere, but by the performance of human will, why will you strive against the words of Him who says, al have prayed for thee, Peter, that thy faith fail nota?[3305]3304 Will you dare to say that even when Christ prayed that Peterâs faith might not fail, it would still have failed if Peter had willed it to fail; that is, if he had been unwilling that it should continue even to the end? As if Peter could in any measure will otherwise than Christ had asked for him that he might will. For who does not know that Peterâs faith would then have perished if that will by which he was faithful should fail, and that it would have continued if that same will should abide? But because athe will is prepared by the Lord.al 330613305 therefore Christâs petition on his behalf could not be a vain petition. When, then, He prayed that his faith should not fail, what was it that he asked for, but that in his faith he should have a most free, strong, invincible, persevering will! Behold to what an extent the freedom of the will is defended in accordance with the grace of God, not in opposition to it; because the human will does not attain grace by freedom, but rather attains freedom by grace, and a delightful constancy, and an insuperable fortitude that it may persevere.

Chapter 18. âSome Instances of Godâs Amazing Judgments.

It is, indeed, to be wondered at, and greatly to be wondered at, that to some of His own childrenâwhom He has regenerated in Christâto whom He has given faith, hope, and love, God does not give perseverance also, when to children of another He forgives such wickedness, and, by the bestowal of His grace, makes them His own children. Who would not wonder at this? Who would not be exceedingly astonished at this? But, moreover, it is not less marvellous, and still true, and so manifest that not even the enemies of Godâs grace can find any means of denying it, that some children of His friends, that is, of regenerated and good believers, departing this life as infants without baptism, although He certainly might provide the grace of this laver if He willed, since in His power are all things, âHe alienates from His kingdom into which He introduces their parents; and some children of His enemies He causes to come into the hands of Christians, and by means of this laver introduces into the kingdom, from which their parents are aliens; although, as well to the former infants there is no evil deserving, as to the latter there is no good, of their own proper will. Certainly, in this case the judgments of God, because they are righteous and deep, may neither be blamed nor penetrated. Among these also is that concerning perseverance, of which we are now discoursing. Of both, therefore, we may exclaim, aO the depth of the riches of the wisdom and knowledge of God! how unsearchable are His judgments!â[3307]3306

Chapter 19. aGodas Ways Past Finding Out.

Nor let us wonder that we cannot trace His unsearchable ways. For, to say nothing of innumerable other things which are given by the Lord God to some men, and to others are not given, since with Him is no respect of persons; such things as are not conferred on the merits of will, as bodily swiftness, strength, good health, and beauty of body, marvellous intellects and mental natures capable of many arts, or such as fall to manâs lot from without, such as are wealth, nobility, honours, and other things of this kind, which it is in the power of God alone that a man should have; not to dwell even on the baptism of infants (which none of those objectors can say does not pertain, as might be said of those other matters, to the kingdom of God), why it is given to this infant and not given to that, since both of them are equally in Godâs power, and without that sacrament none can enter into the kingdom of God; ato be silent, then, on these matters, or to leave them on one side, let men consider those very special cases of which we are treating. For we are discoursing of such as have not perseverance in goodness, but die in the decline of their good will from good to evil. Let the objectors answer, if they can, why, when these were living faithfully and piously, God did not then snatch them from the perils of this life, alest wickedness should change their understanding, and lest deceit should beguile their soulsa?[3308]3307 Had He not this in His power, or was He ignorant of their future sinfulness? Assuredly, nothing of this kind is said, except most perversely and insanely. Why, then, did He not do this? Let them reply who mock at us when in such matters we exclaim, âHow inscrutable are His judgments, and His ways past finding out!a[3309]3308 For either God giveth this to whom He will, or certainly that Scripture is wrong which says concerning the immature death of the righteous man, âHe was taken away lest wickedness should change his understanding, or lest deceit should beguile his soul. a[3310]3309 Why, then, does God give this so great benefit to some, and not give it to others, seeing that in Him is no unrighteousness[3311]3310 nor acceptance of

persons,[3312]3311 and that it is in His power how long every one may remain in this life, which is called a trial upon earth?[3313]3312 As, then, they are constrained to confess that it is Godâs gift for a man to end this life of his before it can be changed from good to evil, but they do not know why it is given to some and not given to others, so let them confess with us that perseverance in good is Godâs gift, according to the Scriptures, from which I have already set down many testimonies; and let them condescend with us to be ignorant, without a murmur against God, why it is given to some and not given to others.

Chapter 20 [IX.] aSome are Children of God According to Grace Temporally Received, Some According to Godas Eternal Foreknowledge.

Nor let it disturb us that to some of His children God does not give this perseverance. Be this far from being so, however, if these were of those who are predestinated and called according to His purpose, awho are truly the children of the promise. For the former, while they live piously, are called children of God; but because they will live wickedly, and die in that impiety, the foreknowledge of God does not call them Godâs children. For they are children of God whom as yet we have not, and God has already, of whom the Evangelist John says, athat Jesus should die for that nation, and not for that nation only, but that also He should gather together in one the children of God which were scattered abroad; a[3314]3313 and this certainly they were to become by believing, through the preaching of the gospel. And vet before this had happened they had already been enrolled as sons of God with unchangeable stedfastness in the memorial of their Father. And, again, there are some who are called by us children of God on account of grace received even in temporal things, yet are not so called by God; of whom the same John says, aThey went out from us, but they were not of us, because if they had been of us they would, no doubt, have continued with us.a[3315]3314 He does not say, aThey went out from us, but because they did not abide with us they are no longer now of us; a but he says, a They went out from us, but they were not of us, ââthat is to say, even when they appeared among us, they were not of us. And as if it were said to him, Whence do you prove this? he says, âBecause if they had been of us, they would assuredly have continued with us.a[3316]3315 It is the word of Godas children; John is the speaker, who was ordained to a chief place among the children of God. When, therefore, Godâs children say of those who had not perseverance, a They went out from us, but they were not of us, and add, âBecause if they had been of us, they would assuredly have continued with us, a what else do they say than that they were not children, even when they were in the profession and name of children? Not because they simulated righteousness, but because they did not continue in it. For he does not say, âFor if they had been of us, they would assuredly have maintained a real and not a feigned righteousness with us; a but he says, alf they had been of us, they would assuredly have continued with us.â Beyond a doubt, he wished them to continue in goodness. Therefore they were in goodness; but because they did not abide in it, athat is, they did not persevere unto the end, ahe says, They were not of us, even when they were with us, athat is, they were not of the number of children, even when they were in the faith of children; because they who are truly children are foreknown and

predestinated as conformed to the image of His Son, and are called according to His purpose, so as to be elected. For the son of promise does not perish, but the son of perdition.[3317]3316

Chapter 21.aWho May Be Understood as Given to Christ.

Those, then, were of the multitude of the called, but they were not of the fewness of the elected. It is not, therefore, to His predestinated children that God has not given perseverance for they would have it if they were in that number of children; and what would they have which they had not received, according to the apostolical and true judgment?[3318]3317 And thus such children would be given to Christ the Son just as He Himself says to the Father, a That all that Thou hast given me may not perish, but have eternal life.â[3319]3318 Those. therefore, are understood to be given to Christ who are ordained to eternal life. These are they who are predestinated and called according to the purpose, of whom not one perishes. And therefore none of them ends this life when he has changed from good to evil, because he is so ordained, and for that purpose given to Christ, that he may not perish, but may have eternal life. And again, those whom we call His enemies, or the infant children of His enemies, whomever of them He will so regenerate that they may end this life in that faith which worketh by love, are already, and before this is done, in that predestination His children, and are given to Christ His Son, that they may not perish, but have everlasting life.

Chapter 22.âTrue Children of God are True Disciples of Christ.

Finally, the Saviour Himself says, alf ye continue in my word, ye are indeed my disciples. a[3320]3319 Is Judas, then, to be reckoned among them, since he did not continue in His word? Are they to be reckoned among them of whom the gospel speaks in such wise, where, when the Lord had commanded His flesh to be eaten and His blood to be drunk, the Evangelist says, a These things said He in the synagogue as He taught in Capernaum. Many, therefore, of His disciples, when they had heard this, said, This is a hard saying; who can hear it? But Jesus, knowing in Himself that His disciples were murmuring at it, said to them, Doth this offend you? What and if ye shall see the Son of man ascending where He was before? It is the Spirit that guickeneth, but the flesh profiteth nothing. The words that I have spoken unto you are spirit and life. But there are some of you who believe not. For Jesus knew from the beginning who were the believing ones, and who should betray Him; and He said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man cometh unto me except it were given of my Father. From this time many of His disciples went away back from Him, and no longer walked with Him.â[3321]3320 Are not these even in the words of the gospel called disciples? And yet they were not truly disciples, because they did not continue in His word, according to what He says: all ye continue in my word, then are ye indeed my disciples.â[3322]3321 Because, therefore, they possessed not perseverance, as not being truly disciples of Christ, so they were not truly children of God even when they appeared to be so, and were so called. We, then, call men elected, and Christâs disciples, and Godâs children, because they are to be so called whom, being regenerated, we see to live piously; but they are then truly

what they are called if they shall abide in that on account of which they are so called. But if they have not perseverance, athat is, if they continue not in that which they have begun to be, athey are not truly called what they are called and are not; for they are not this in the sight of Him to whom it is known what they are going to be, athat is to say, from good men, bad men.

Chapter 23.âThose Who are Called According to the Purpose Alone are Predestinated.

For this reason the apostle, when he had said, âWe know that to those who love God He worketh all things together for good, ââknowing that some love God, and do not continue in that good way unto the end, âimmediately added, âto them who are the called according to His purpose.â[3323]3322 For these in their love for God continue even to the end; and they who for a season wander from the way return, that they may continue unto the end what they had begun to be in good. Showing, however, what it is to be called according to His purpose, he presently added what I have already quoted above, aBecause whom He did before foreknow, He also predestinated to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the first-born among many brethren. Moreover, whom He did predestinate, them He also called, a to wit, according to His purpose; âand whom He called, them He also justified; and whom He justified, them He also glorified. a[3324]3323 All those things are already done: He foreknew, He predestinated, He called, He justified; because both all are already foreknown and predestinated, and many are already called and justified; but that which he placed at the end, athem He also glorifieda (if, indeed, that glory is here to be understood of which the same apostle says, aWhen Christ your life shall appear, then shall ye also appear with Him in glorya[3325]3324), this is not yet accomplished. Although, also, those two thingsathat is, He called, and He justified a have not been effected in all of whom they are said, afor still, even until the end of the world, there remain many to be called and justified, an evertheless. He used verbs of the past tense, even concerning things future, as if God had already arranged from eternity that they should come to pass. For this reason, also, the prophet Isaiah says concerning Him, âWho has made the things that shall be.â[3326]3325 Whosoever, therefore, in Godâs most providential ordering, are foreknown, predestinated, called, justified, glorified, al say not, even although not yet born again, but even although not yet born at all, are already children of God, and absolutely cannot perish. These truly come to Christ, because they come in such wise as He Himself says, âAll that the Father giveth me shall come to me, and him that cometh to me I will not cast out; a[3327]3326 and a little after He says, a This is the will of the Father who hath sent me, that of all that He hath given me I shall lose nothing.â[3328]3327 From Him, therefore, is given also perseverance in good even to the end; for it is not given save to those who shall not perish, since they who do not persevere shall perish.

Chapter 24.âEven the Sins of the Elect are Turned by God to Their Advantage.

To such as love Him, God co-worketh with all things for good; so absolutely all things, that even if any of them go astray, and break out of the way, even this itself He makes to avail them for good, so that they return more lowly and more instructed. For they learn that in the right wav[3329]3328 itself they ought to rejoice with trembling; not with arrogation to themselves of confidence of abiding as if by their own strength; not with saying, in their abundance, aWe shall not be moved for ever.â[3330]3329 For which reason it is said to them, aServe the Lord in fear, and rejoice unto Him with trembling, lest at any time the Lord should be angry, and ye perish from the right way.â[3331]3330 For He does not say, âAnd ye come not into the right way; a but He says, aLest ye perish from the right way. a And what does this show, but that those who are already walking in the right way are reminded to serve God in fear; that is, anot to be high-minded, but to fearâ?[3332]3331 which signifies, that they should not be haughty, but humble. Whence also He says in another place, anot minding high things, but consenting with the lowly; a[3333]3332 let them rejoice in God, but with trembling; glorying in none, since nothing is ours, so that he who glorieth may glory in the Lord, lest they perish from the right way in which they have already begun to walk, while they are ascribing to themselves their very presence in it. These words also the apostle made use of when he says, aWork out your own salvation with fear and trembling a[3334]3333 And setting forth why with fear and trembling, he says, aFor it is God that worketh in you, both to will and to do for His good pleasure.â[3335]3334 For he had not this fear and trembling who said in his abundance, al shall not be moved for ever.a[3336]3335 But because he was a child of the promise, not of perdition, he experienced in Godâs desertion for a little while what he himself was: aLord, a said he, ain Thy favour Thou gavest strength to my honour; Thou turnedst away Thy face from me, and I became troubled.â[3337]3336 Behold how much better instructed, and for this reason also more humble, he held on his way, at length seeing and confessing that by His will God had endowed his honour with strength; and this he had attributed to himself and presumed to be from himself, in such abundance as God had afforded it, and not from Him who had given it, and so had said, al shall not be moved for ever!a Therefore he became troubled so that he found himself, and being lowly minded learnt not only of eternal life, but, moreover, of a pious conversation and perseverance in this life, as that in which hope should be maintained. This might moreover be the word of the Apostle Peter, because he also had said in his abundance, al will lay down my life for Thy sake;â[3338]3337 attributing to himself, in his eagerness, what was afterwards to be bestowed on him by his Lord. But the Lord turned away His face from him, and he became troubled, so that in his fear of dying for Him he thrice denied Him. But the Lord again turned His face to him, and washed away his sin with his tears. For what else is, aHe turned and looked upon him, a[3339]3338 but, He restored to him the face which, for a little while, He had turned away from him? Therefore he had become troubled; but because he learned not to be confident concerning himself, even this was of excellent profit to him, by His agency who co-works for good with all things to those who love Him; because he had been called according to the purpose, so that no one could pluck him out of the hand of Christ, to whom he had been given.

Chapter 25.âTherefore Rebuke is to Be Used.

Let no one therefore say that a man must not be rebuked when he deviates from the right way, but that his return and perseverance must only be asked for from the Lord for him. Let no considerate and believing man say this. For if such an one is called according to the purpose, beyond all doubt God is co-working for good to him even in the fact of his being rebuked. But since he who rebukes is ignorant whether he is so called, let him do with love what he knows ought to be done; for he knows that such an one ought to be rebuked. God will show either mercy or judgment; mercy, indeed, if he who is rebuked is âmade to differâ by the bestowal of grace from the mass of perdition, and is not found among the vessels of wrath which are completed for destruction, but among the vessels of mercy which God has prepared for glory;[3340]3339 but judgment, if among the former he is condemned, and is not predestinated among the latter.

Chapter 26 [X.] aWhether Adam Received the Gift of Perseverance.

Here arises another question, not reasonably to be slighted, but to be approached and solved in the help of the Lord in whose hand are both we and our discourses.[3341]3340 For I am asked, in respect of this gift of God which is to persevere in good to the end, what I think of the first man himself, who assuredly was made upright without any fault. And I do not say: If he had not perseverance, how was he without fault, seeing that he was in want of so needful a gift of God? For to this interrogatory the answer is easy, that he had not perseverance, because he did not persevere in that goodness in which he was without sin; for he began to have sin from the point at which he fell; and if he began, certainly he was without sin before he had begun. For it is one thing not to have sin, and it is another not to abide in that goodness in which there is no sin. Because in that very fact, that he is not said never to have been without sin, but he is said not to have continued without sin, beyond all doubt it is demonstrated that he was without sin, seeing that he is blamed for not having continued in that goodness. But it should rather be asked and discussed with greater pains in what way we can answer those who say, alf in that uprightness in which he was made without sin he had perseverance, beyond all doubt he persevered in it; and if he persevered, he certainly did not sin, and did not forsake that his uprightness. But that he did sin, and was a forsaker of goodness, the Truth declares. Therefore he had not perseverance in that goodness; and if he had it not, he certainly received it not. For how should he have both received perseverance, and not have persevered? Further, if he had it not because he did not receive it, what sin did he commit by not persevering, if he did not receive perseverance? For it cannot be said that he did not receive it. for the reason that he was not separated by the bestowal of grace from the mass of perdition. Because that mass of perdition did not as yet exist in the human race before he had sinned from whom the corrupted source was derived.â

Chapter 27.âThe Answer.

Wherefore we most wholesomely confess what we most correctly believe, that the God and Lord of all things, who in His strength created all things good, and foreknew that evil things would arise out of good, and knew that it pertained to His most omnipotent goodness even to do good out of evil things rather than not to allow evil things to be at all, so ordained the life of angels and men that in it He might first of all show what their free will was capable of, and then what the kindness of His grace and the judgment of His righteousness was capable of. Finally, certain angels, of whom the chief is he who is called the devil, became by free will outcasts from the Lord God. Yet although they fled from His goodness, wherein they had been blessed, they could not flee from His judgment, by which they were made most wretched. Others, however, by the same free will stood fast in the truth, and merited the knowledge of that most certain truth that they should never fall.[3342]3341 For if from the Holy Scriptures we have been able to attain the knowledge that none of the holy angels shall fall evermore, how much more have they themselves attained this knowledge by the truth more sublimely revealed to them! Because to us is promised a blessed life without end, and equality with the angels,[3343]3342 from which promise we are certified that when after judgment we shall have come to that life, we shall not fall from it; but if the angels are ignorant of this truth concerning themselves, we shall not be their equals, but more blessed than they. But the Truth has promised us equality with them. It is certain, then, that they have known this by sight, which we have known by faith, to wit, that there shall be now no more any fall of any holy angel. But the devil and his angels, although they were blessed before they fell, and did not know that they should fall unto misery, athere was still something which might be added to their blessedness, if by free will they had stood in the truth, until they should receive that fulness of the highest blessing as the reward of that continuance; that is, that by the great abundance of the love of God, given by the Holy Spirit, they should absolutely not be able to fall any more, and that they should know this with complete certainty concerning themselves. They had not this plenitude of blessedness; but since they were ignorant of their future misery, they enjoyed a blessedness which was less, indeed, but still without any defect. For if they had known their future fall and eternal punishment, they certainly could not have been blessed; since the fear of so great an evil as this would compel them even then to be miserable.

Chapter 28.âThe First Man Himself Also Might Have Stood by His Free Will.

Thus also He made man with free will; and although ignorant of his future fall, yet therefore happy, because he thought it was in his own power both not to die and not to become miserable. And if he had willed by his own free will to continue in this state of uprightness and freedom from sin, assuredly without any experience of death and of unhappiness he would have received by the merit of that continuance the fulness of blessing with which the holy angels also are blessed; that is, the impossibility of falling any more, and the knowledge of this with absolute certainty. For even he himself could not be blessed although in Paradise, nay, he would not be there, where it would not become him to be miserable, if the foreknowledge of his fall had made him wretched with the dread of such a disaster. But because he forsook God of his free will, he experienced the just judgment of God, that with his whole race, which being as yet all placed in him had sinned with him, he should be condemned. For as mary of this race as are delivered by Godâs grace are certainly delivered from the condemnation in which they are already held bound. Whence, even if none should be delivered, no one could justly blame the judgment of God. That, therefore, in comparison of those that perish few, but in their absolute number many, are delivered, is effected by grace,[3344]3343 is effected freely:[3345]3344 thanks must be given, because it is effected, so that no one may be lifted up as of his own deservings, but that every mouth may be stopped,[3346]3345 and he that glorieth may glory in the Lord.[3347]3346

Chapter 29 [XI.] aDistinction Between the Grace Given Before and After the Fall.

What then? Did not Adam have the grace of God? Yes, truly, he had it largely, but of a different kind. He was placed in the midst of benefits which he had received from the goodness of his Creator; for he had not procured those benefits by his own deservings; in which benefits he suffered absolutely no evil. But saints in this life, to whom pertains this grace of deliverance, are in the midst of evils out of which they cry to God, aDeliver us from evil.a[3348]3347 He in those benefits needed not the death of Christ: these, the blood of that Lamb absolves from guilt, as well inherited as their own. He had no need of that assistance which they implore when they say, al see another law in my members warring against the law of my mind, and making me captive in the law of sin which is in my members. O wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord. a[3349]3348 Because in them the flesh lusteth against the spirit, and the spirit against the flesh, and as they labour and are imperilled in such a contest, they ask that by the grace of Christ the strength to fight and to conquer may be given them. He, however, tempted and disturbed in no such conflict concerning himself against himself, in that position of blessedness enjoyed his peace with himself.

Chapter 30.âThe Incarnation of the Word.

Hence, although these do not now require a grace more joyous for the present, they nevertheless need a more powerful grace; and what grace is more powerful than the only-begotten Son of God, equal to the Father and co-eternal, made man for them, and, without any sin of His own, either original or actual, crucified by men who were sinners? And although He rose again on the third day, never to die any more, He yet bore death for men and gave life to the dead, so that redeemed by His blood, having received so great and such a pledge, they could say, âlf God be for us, who is against us? He who spared not His own Son, but delivered Him up for us all, how has He not with Him also given to us all things?â[3350]3349 God therefore took upon Him our natureâthat is, the rational soul and flesh of the man Christâby an undertaking singularly marvellous, or marvellously singular; so that with no

preceding merits of His own righteousness He might in such wise be the Son of God from the beginning, in which He had begun to be man, that He, and the Word which is without beginning, might be one person. For there is no one blinded by such ignorance of this matter and the Faith as to dare to say that, although born of the Holy Spirit and the Virgin Mary the Son of man, yet of His own free will by righteous living and by doing good works, without sin, He deserved to be the Son of God; in opposition to the gospel, which says, a The Word was made flesh.â[3351]3350 For where was this made flesh except in the Virginal womb, whence was the beginning of the man Christ? And, moreover, when the Virgin asked how that should come to pass which was told her by the angel, the angel answered, a The Holy Ghost shall come over on to thee and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee, therefore that holy thing that shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God. a[3352]3351 aTherefore. a he said: not because of works of which certainly of a yet unborn infant there are none; but âtherefore, â because athe Holy Ghost shall come over on to thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee, that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God.â That nativity, absolutely gratuitous, conjoined, in the unity of the person, man to God, flesh to the Word! Good works followed that nativity; good works did not merit it. For it was in no wise to be feared that the human nature taken up by God the Word in that ineffable manner into a unity of person, would sin by free choice of will, since that taking up itself was such that the nature of man so taken up by God would admit into itself no movement of an evil will. Through this Mediator God makes known that He makes those whom He redeemed by His blood from evil. everlastingly good; and Him He in such wise assumed that He never would be evil, and, not being made out of evil, would always be good.[3353]3352

Chapter 31.âThe First Man Had Received the Grace Necessary for His Perseverance, But Its Exercise Was Left in His Free Choice.

The first man had not that grace by which he should never will to be evil; but assuredly he had that in which if he willed to abide he would never be evil, and without which, moreover, he could not by free will be good, but which, nevertheless, by free will he could forsake. God, therefore, did not will even him to be without His grace, which He left in his free will; because free will is sufficient for evil, but is too little[3354]3353 for good, unless it is aided by Omnipotent Good. And if that man had not forsaken that assistance of his free will, he would always have been good; but he forsook it, and he was forsaken. Because such was the nature of the aid, that he could forsake it when he would, and that he could continue in it if he would; but not such that it could be brought about that he would. This first is the grace which was given to the first Adam; but more powerful than this is that in the second Adam. For the first is that whereby it is affected that a man may have righteousness if he will; the second, therefore, can do more than this, since by it it is even effected that he will, and will so much, and love with such ardour, that by the will of the Spirit he overcomes the will of the flesh, that lusteth in opposition to it.[3355]3354 Nor was that, indeed, a small grace by which was demonstrated even the power of free will,

because man was so assisted that without this assistance he could not continue in good, but could forsake this assistance if he would. But this latter grace is by so much the greater, that it is too little for a man by its means to regain his lost freedom; it is too little, finally, not to be able without it either to apprehend the good or to continue in good if he will, unless he is also made to will.

Chapter 32.aThe Gifts of Grace Conferred on Adam in Creation.

At that time, therefore, God had given to man a good will.[3356]3355 because in that will He had made him, since He had made him upright. He had given help without which he could not continue therein if he would; but that he should will. He left in his free will. He could therefore continue if he would, because the help was not wanting whereby he could, and without which he could not, perseveringly hold fast the good which he would. But that he willed not to continue is absolutely the fault of him whose merit it would have been if he had willed to continue; as the holy angels did, who, while others fell by free will, themselves by the same free will stood, and deserved to receive the due reward of this continuanceâto wit, such a fulness of blessing that by it they might have the fullest certainty of always abiding in it. If, however, this help had been wanting, either to angel or to man when they were first made, since their nature was not made such that without the divine help it could abide if it would, they certainly would not have fallen by their own fault, because the help would have been wanting without which they could not continue. At the present time, however, to those to whom such assistance is wanting, it is the penalty of sin; but to those to whom it is given, it is given of grace, not of debt; and by so much the more is given through Jesus Christ our Lord to those to whom it has pleased God to give it, that not only we have that help without which we cannot continue even if we will, but, moreover, we have so great and such a help as to will. Because by this grace of God there is caused in us, in the reception of good and in the persevering hold of it, not only to be able to do what we will, but even to will to do what we are able. But this was not the case in the first man; for the one of these things was in him, but the other was not. For he did not need grace to receive good, because he had not yet lost it; but he needed the aid of grace to continue in it. and without this aid he could not do this at all; and he had received the ability if he would, but he had not the will for what he could; for if he had possessed it, he would have persevered. For he could persevere if he would; but that he would not was the result of free will, which at that time was in such wise free that he was capable of willing well and ill. For what shall be more free than free will, when it shall not be able to serve sin? and this should be to man also as it was made to the holy angels, the reward of deserving. But now that good deserving has been lost by sin, in those who are delivered that has become the gift of grace which would have been the reward of deserving.

Chapter 33 [XII.]âWhat is the Difference Between the Ability Not to Sin, to Die, and Forsake Good, and the Inability to Sin, to Die, and to Forsake Good?

On which account we must consider with diligence and attention in what respect those pairs differ from one another, ato be able not to sin, and not to be able to sin; to be able not to die, and not to be able to die; to be able not to forsake good, and not to be able to forsake good. For the first man was able not to sin, was able not to die, was able not to forsake good. Are we to say that he who had such a free will could not sin? Or that he to whom it was said, alf thou shalt sin thou shalt die by death, a could not die? Or that he could not forsake good, when he would forsake this by sinning, and so die? Therefore the first liberty of the will was to be able not to sin, the last will be much greater, not to be able to sin; the first immortality was to be able not to die, the last will be much greater, not to be able to die; the first was the power of perseverance, to be able not to forsake goodâthe last will be the felicity of perseverance, not to be able to forsake good. But because the last blessings will be preferable and better, were those first ones, therefore, either no blessings at all, or trifling ones?

Chapter 34.âThe Aid Without Which a Thing Does Not Come to Pass, and the Aid with Which a Thing Comes to Pass.

Moreover, the aids themselves are to be distinguished. The aid without which a thing does not come to pass is one thing, and the aid by which a thing comes to pass is another. For without food we cannot live; and yet although food should be at hand, it would not cause a man to live who should will to die. Therefore the aid of food is that without which it does not come to pass that we live, not that by which it comes to pass that we live. But, indeed, when the blessedness which a man has not is given him, he becomes at once blessed. For the aid is not only that without which that does not happen, but also with which that does happen for the sake of which it is given. Wherefore this is an assistance both by which it comes to pass, and without which it does not come to pass; because, on the one hand, if blessedness should be given to a man, he becomes at once blessed; and, on the other, if it should never be given he will never be so. But food does not of necessity cause a man to live, and yet without it he cannot live. Therefore to the first man, who, in that good in which he had been made upright, had received the ability not to sin, the ability not to die, the ability not to forsake that good itself, was given the aid of perseverance, anot that by which it should be brought about that he should persevere, but that without which he could not of free will persevere. But now to the saints predestinated to the kingdom of God by Godâs grace, the aid of perseverance that is given is not such as the former, but such that to them perseverance itself is bestowed; not only so that without that gift they cannot persevere, but, moreover, so that by means of this gift they cannot help persevering. For not only did He say, aWithout me ye can do nothing,a[3357]3356 but He also said, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, and ordained you that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and that your fruit should remain.â[3358]3357 By which words He showed that He had given them not only righteousness, but perseverance therein. For when Christ thus ordained them that they should go and bring forth fruit, and that their fruit should remain, who would dare to say, It shall not remain? Who would dare to say, Perchance it will not remain? aFor the gifts

and calling of God are without repentance;â[3359]3358 but the calling is of those who are called according to the purpose. When Christ intercedes, therefore, on behalf of these, that their faith should not fail, doubtless it will not fail unto the end. And thus it shall persevere even unto the end; nor shall the end of this life find it anything but continuing.

Chapter 35.âThere is a Greater Freedom Now in the Saints Than There Was Before in Adam.

Certainly a greater liberty is necessary in the face of so many and so great temptations, which had no existence in Paradise, a liberty fortified and confirmed by the gift of perseverance, so that this world, with all its loves, its fears, its errors, may be overcome: the martyrdoms of the saints have taught this. In fine, he [Adam], not only with nobody to make him afraid, but, moreover, in spite of the authority of Godâs fear, using free will, did not stand in such a state of happiness, in such a facility[3360]3359 of [not] sinning. But these [the saints], I say, not under the fear of the world, but in spite of the rage of the world lest they should stand, stood firm in the faith; while he could see the good things present which he was going to forsake, they could not see the good things future which they were going to receive. Whence is this, save by the gift of Him from whom they obtained mercy to be faithful; from whom they received the spirit, not of fear, whereby they would yield to the persecutors, but of power, and of love, and of continence, in which they could overcome all threatenings, all seductions, all torments? To him, therefore, without any sin, was given the free will with which he was created; and he made it to serve sin. But although the will of these had been the servant of sin, it was delivered by Him who said, alf the Son shall make you free, then shall ye be free indeed.a[3361]3360 And by that grace they receive so great a freedom, that although as long as they live here they are fighting against sinful lusts, and some sins creep upon them unawares, on account of which they daily say, aForgive us our debts, a[3362]3361 yet they do not any more obey the sin which is unto death, of which the Apostle John says, aThere is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall pray for it.â[3363]3362 Concerning which sin (since it is not expressed) many and different notions may be entertained. I. however, say, that sin is to forsake even unto death the faith which worketh by love. This sin they no longer serve who are not in the first condition, as Adam, free; but are freed by the grace of God through the second Adam, and by that deliverance have that free will which enables them to serve God, not that by which they may be made captive by the devil. From being made free from sin they have become the servants of righteousness.[3364]3363 in which they will stand till the end, by the gift to them of perseverance from Him who foreknew them, and predestinated them, and called them according to His purpose, and justified them, and glorified them, since He has even already formed those things that are to come which He promised concerning them. And when He promised, âAbraham believed Him, and it was counted unto him for righteousness.â[3365]3364 For âhe gave glory to God, most fully believing, as it is written, athat what He has promised He is able also to perform.â[3366]3365

Chapter 36.âGod Not Only Foreknows that Men Will Be Good, But Himself Makes Them So.

It is He Himself, therefore, that makes those men good, to do good works. For He did not promise them to Abraham because He foreknew that of themselves they would be good. For if this were the case, what He promised was not His, but theirs. But it was not thus that Abraham believed, but ahe was not weak in faith, giving glory to God; and âmost fully believing that what He has promised He is able also to perform.â[3367]3366 He does not say, âWhat He foreknew, He is able to promise; a nor aWhat He foretold, He is able to manifest; a nor aWhat He promised, He is able to foreknow: a but aWhat He promised, He is able also to do.â It is He, therefore, who makes them to persevere in good, who makes them good. But they who fall and perish have never been in the number of the predestinated. Although, then, the apostle might be speaking of all persons regenerated and living piously when he said, aWho art thou that judgest another manas servant? To his own master he standeth or falleth; a yet he at once had regard to the predestinated, and said, aBut he shall stand; and that they might not arrogate this to themselves, he says, aFor God is able to make him stand.a[3368]3367 It is He Himself, therefore, that gives perseverance, who is able to establish those who stand, so that they may stand fast with the greatest perseverance; or to restore those who have fallen, for athe Lord setteth up those who are broken down.â[3369]3368

Chapter 37.âTo a Sound Will is Committed the Power of Persevering or of Not Persevering.

As, therefore, the first man did not receive this gift of God, athat is, perseverance in good, but it was left in his choice to persevere or not to persevere, his will had such strength, âin as much as it had been created without any sin, and there was nothing in the way of concupiscence of himself that withstood it, athat the choice of persevering could worthily be entrusted to such goodness and to such facility in living well. But God at the same time foreknew what he would do in unrighteousness; foreknew, however, but did not compel him to this; but at the same time He knew what He Himself would do in righteousness concerning him. But now, since that great freedom has been lost by the desert of sin. our weakness has remained to be aided by still greater gifts. For it pleased God, in order most effectually to quench the pride of human presumption, athat no flesh should glory in His presenceââthat is, âno man.â[3370]3369 But whence should flesh not glory in His presence, save concerning its merits? Which, indeed, it might have had, but lost; and lost by that very means whereby it might have had them, that is, by its free will; on account of which there remains nothing to those who are to be delivered, save the grace of the Deliverer. Thus, therefore, no flesh glories in His presence. For the unrighteous do not glory, since they have no ground of glory; nor the righteous, because they have a ground from Him, and have no glory of theirs, but Himself, to whom they say, âMy glory, and the lifter up of my head.a[3371]3370 And thus it is that what is written pertains to every man, athat no flesh should glory in His presence.a To the righteous, however, pertains that Scripture: âHe that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.â[3372]3371 For this the apostle most

manifestly showed, when, after saying âthat no flesh should glory in His presence,â lest the saints should suppose that they had been left without any glory, he presently added, âBut of Him are ye in Christ Jesus, who of God is made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption: that, according as it is written, He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.â[3373]3372 Hence it is that in this abode of miseries, where trial is the life of man upon the earth, âstrength is made perfect in weakness.â[3374]3373 What strength, save âthat he that glorieth should glory in the Lordâ?

Chapter 38.âWhat is the Nature of the Gift of Perseverance that is Now Given to the Saints.

And thus God willed that His saints should not aeven concerning perseverance in goodness itselfaglory in their own strength, but in Himself, who not only gives them aid such as He gave to the first man, without which they cannot persevere if they will, but causes in them also the will; that since they will not persevere unless they both can and will, both the capability and the will to persevere should be bestowed on them by the liberality of divine grace. Because by the Holy Spirit their will is so much enkindled that they therefore can, because they so will; and they therefore so will because God works in them to will. For if in so much weakness of this life (in which weakness, however, for the sake of checking pride, strength behoved to be perfected) their own will should be left to themselves, that they might, if they willed, continue in the help of God, without which they could not persevere, and God should not work in them to will, in the midst of so many and so great weaknesses their will itself would give way, and they would not be able to persevere, for the reason that failing from infirmity they would not will, or in the weakness of will they would not so will that they would be able. Therefore aid is brought to the infirmity of human will, so that it might be unchangeably and invincibly [3375] 3374 influenced by divine grace; and thus, although weak, it still might not fail, nor be overcome by any adversity. Thus it happens that manas will, weak and incapable, in good as yet small, may persevere by Godâs strength; while the will of the first man, strong and healthful, having the power of free choice, did not persevere in a greater good; because although Godâs help was not wanting, without which it could not persevere if it would, yet it was not such a help as that by which God would work in man to will. Certainly to the strongest He yielded and permitted to do what He willed; to those that were weak He has reserved that by His own gift they should most invincibly will what is good, and most invincibly refuse to forsake this. Therefore when Christ says, all have prayed for thee that thy faith fail not, a[3376]3375 we may understand that it was said to him who is built upon the rock. And thus the man of God, not only because he has obtained mercy to be faithful, but also because faith itself does not fail, if he glories, must glory in the Lord.

Chapter 39 [XIII.]âThe Number of the Predestinated is Certain and Defined.

I speak thus of those who are predestinated to the kingdom of God, whose number is so certain that one can neither be added to them nor

taken from them; not of those who, when He had announced and spoken, were multiplied beyond number. For they may be said to be called but not chosen, because they are not called according to the purpose. But that the number of the elect is certain, and neither to be increased nor diminished,âalthough it is signified by John the Baptist when he says, âBring forth, therefore, fruits meet for repentance: and think not to say within yourselves, We have Abraham to our father: for God is able of these stones to raise up children to Abraham,â[3377]3376 to show that they were in such wise to be cut off if they did not produce fruit, that the number which was promised to Abraham would not be wanting,âis yet more plainly declared in the Apocalypse: âHold fast that which thou hast, lest another take thy crown.â[3378]3377 For if another would not receive unless one should have lost, the number is fixed.

Chapter 40.aNo One is Certain and Secure of His Own Predestination and Salvation.

But, moreover, that such things as these are so spoken to saints who will persevere, as if it were reckoned uncertain whether they will persevere, is a reason that they ought not otherwise to hear these things, since it is well for them anot to be high-minded, but to fear.â[3379]3378 For who of the multitude of believers can presume, so long as he is living in this mortal state, that he is in the number of the predestinated? Because it is necessary that in this condition that should be kept hidden; since here we have to beware so much of pride. that even so great an apostle was buffetted by a messenger of Satan, lest he should be lifted up.[3380]3379 Hence it was said to the apostles, alf ye abide in me;a[3381]3380 and this He said who knew for a certainty that they would abide; and through the prophet, alf ye shall be willing, and will hear me, a[3382]3381 although He knew in whom He would work to will also. And many similar things are said. For on account of the usefulness of this secrecy, lest, perchance, any one should be lifted up, but that all, even although they are running well, should fear, in that it is not known who may attain, aon account of the usefulness of this secrecy, it must be believed that some of the children of perdition, who have not received the gift of perseverance to the end, begin to live in the faith which worketh by love, and live for some time faithfully and righteously, and afterwards fall away, and are not taken away from this life before this happens to them. If this had happened to none of these, men would have that very wholesome fear, by which the sin of presumption is kept down, only so long as until they should attain to the grace of Christ by which to live piously, and afterwards would for time to come be secure that they would never fall away from Him. And such presumption in this condition of trials is not fitting, where there is so great weakness, that security may engender pride. Finally, this also shall be the case; but it shall be at that time, in men also as it already is in the angels, when there cannot be any pride. Therefore the number of the saints, by Godâs grace predestinated to Godâs kingdom, with the gift of perseverance to the end bestowed on them, shall be guided thither in its completeness, and there shall be at length without end preserved in its fullest completeness, most blessed, the mercy of their Saviour still cleaving to them, whether in their conversion, in

## their conflict, or in their crown!

Chapter 41.âEven in Judgment Godâs Mercy Will Be Necessary to Us.

For the Holv Scripture testifies that Godâs mercy is then also necessary for them, when the Saint says to his soul concerning the Lord its God, aWho crowneth thee in mercy and compassion.a[3383]3382 The Apostle James also says: âHe shall have judgment without mercy who hath showed no mercy: a[3384]3383 where he sets forth that even in that judgment in which the righteous are crowned and the unrighteous are condemned, some will be judged with mercy, others without mercy. On which account also the mother of the Maccabees says to her son, aThat in that mercy I may receive thee with thy brethren. a[3385]3384 aFor when a righteous king, as it is written, ashall sit on the throne, no evil thing shall oppose itself to him. Who will boast that he has a pure heart? or who will boast that he is pure from sin?â[3386]3385 And thus Godâs mercy is even then necessary, by which he is made âblessed to whom the Lord has not imputed sin.a[3387]3386 But at that time even mercy itself shall be allotted in righteous judgment in accordance with the merits of good works. For when it is said, aludgment without mercy to him that hath showed no mercy, a it is plainly shown that in those in whom are found the good works of mercy, judgment shall be executed with mercy; and thus even that mercy itself shall be returned to the merits of good works. It is not so now; when not only no good works, but many bad works precede, His mercy anticipates a man so that he is delivered from evils, as well from evils which he has done, as from those which he would have done if he were not controlled by the grace of God; and from those, too, which he would have suffered for ever if he were not plucked from the power of darkness, and transferred into the kingdom of the Son of Godâs love.[3388]3387 Nevertheless, since even that life eternal itself, which, it is certain, is given as due to good works, is called by so great an apostle the grace of God, although grace is not rendered to works, but is given freely, it must be confessed without any doubt, that eternal life is called grace for the reason that it is rendered to those merits which grace has conferred upon man. Because that saying is rightly understood which in the gospel is read, âgrace for grace, a[3389]3388 athat is, for those merits which grace has conferred.

Chapter 42.âThe Reprobate are to Be Punished for Merits of a Different Kind.

But those who do not belong to this number of the predestinated, whomâwhether that they have not yet any free choice of their will, or with a choice of will truly free, because freed by grace itselfâthe grace of God brings to His kingdom,âthose, then, who do not belong to that most certain and blessed number, are most righteously judged according to their deservings. For either they lie under the sin which they have inherited by original generation, and depart hence with that inherited debt which is not put away by regeneration, or by their free will have added other sins besides; their will, I say, free, but not freed,âfree from righteousness, but enslaved to sin, by which they are tossed about by divers mischievous lusts, some more evil, some less, but all evil; and they must be adjudged to diverse punishments, according to that very diversity. Or they receive the grace of God, but they are only for a season, and do not persevere; they forsake and are forsaken. For by their free will, as they have not received the gift of perseverance, they are sent away by the righteous and hidden judgment of God.

Chapter 43 [XIV.]âRebuke and Grace Do Not Set Aside One Another.

Let men then suffer themselves to be rebuked when they sin, and not conclude against grace from the rebuke itself, nor from grace against rebuke; because both the righteous penalty of sin is due, and righteous rebuke belongs to it, if it is medicinally applied, even although the salvation of the ailing man is uncertain; so that if he who is rebuked belongs to the number of the predestinated, rebuke may be to him a wholesome medicine; and if he does not belong to that number, rebuke may be to him a penal infliction. Under that very uncertainty, therefore, it must of love be applied, although its result is unknown; and prayer must be made on his behalf to whom it is applied, that he may be healed. But when men either come or return into the way of righteousness by means of rebuke, who is it that worketh salvation in their hearts but that God who giveth the increase, whoever plants and waters, and whoever labours on the fields or shrubs, athat God whom no manas will resists when He wills to give salvation? For so to will or not to will is in the power of Him who willeth or willeth not, as not to hinder the divine will nor overcome the divine power. For even concerning those who do what He wills not, He Himself does what He will.

Chapter 44.aln What Way God Wills All Men to Be Saved.

And what is written, that âHe wills all men to be saved,â[3390]3389 while yet all men are not saved, may be understood in many ways, some of which I have mentioned in other writings[3391]3390 of mine; but here I will say one thing: âHe wills all men to be saved,â is so said that all the predestinated may be understood by it, because every kind of men is among them. Just as it was said to the Pharisees, âYe tithe every herb;â[3392]3391 where the expression is only to be understood of every herb that they had, for they did not tithe every herb which was found throughout the whole earth. According to the same manner of speaking, it was said, âEven as I also please all men in all things.â[3393]3392 For did he who said this please also the multitude of his persecutors? But he pleased every kind of men that assembled in the Church of Christ, whether they were already established therein, or were to be introduced into it.

Chapter 45.âScriptural Instances Wherein It is Proved that God Has Menâs Wills More in His Power Than They Themselves Have.

It is not, then, to be doubted that menâs wills cannot, so as to prevent His doing what he wills, withstand the will of God, âwho hath done all things whatsoever He pleased in heaven and in earth,â[3394]3393 and who also âhas done those things that are to come;â[3395]3394 since He does even concerning the wills themselves of men what He will, when He will. Unless, perchance (to mention some things among many), when God willed to give the kingdom to Saul, it was so in the power of the Israelites, as it certainly was placed in their will, either to subject themselves or not to the man in guestion, that they could even prevail to withstand God. God, however, did not do this, save by the will of the men themselves, because he beyond doubt had the most omnipotent power of inclining menâs hearts whither it pleased Him. For thus it is written: aAnd Samuel sent the people away, and every one went away unto his own place. And Saul went away to his house in Gibeah: and there went away with Saul mighty men. whose hearts the Lord touched. And pestilent children said, Who shall save us? This man? And they despised him, and brought him no presents.â[3396]3395 Will any one say that any of those whose hearts the Lord touched to go with Saul would not have gone with him, or that any of those pestilent fellows, whose hearts He did not touch to do this, would have gone? Of David also, whom the Lord ordained to the kingdom in a more prosperous succession, we read thus: âAnd David continued to increase, and was magnified, and the Lord was with him.â[3397]3396 This having been premised, it is said a little afterwards, aAnd the Spirit clothed Amasai, chief of the thirty, and he said, We are thine, O David, and we will be with thee, O son of Jesse: Peace, peace be unto thee, and peace be to thy helpers; because the Lord has helped thee.â[3398]3397 Could he withstand the will of God, and not rather do the will of Him who wrought in his heart by His Spirit, with which he was clothed, to will, speak, and do thus? Moreover, a little afterwards the same Scripture says, âAll these warlike men, setting the battle in array, came with a peaceful heart to Hebron to establish David over all Israel.â[3399]3398 By their own will, certainly, they appointed David king. Who cannot see this? Who can deny it? For they did not do it under constraint or without good-will, since they did it with a peaceful heart. And yet He wrought this in them who worketh what He will in the hearts of men. For which reason the Scripture premised, aAnd David continued to increase, and was magnified, and the Lord Omnipotent was with him.â And thus the Lord Omnipotent, who was with him, induced these men to appoint him king. And how did He induce them? Did He constrain thereto by any bodily fetters? He wrought within; He held their hearts; He stirred their hearts, and drew them by their own wills, which He Himself wrought in them. If, then, when God wills to set up kings in the earth. He has the wills of men more in His power than they themselves have, who else causes rebuke to be wholesome and correction to result in the heart of him that is rebuked, that he may be established in the kingdom of heaven?

Chapter 46 [XV.]âRebuke Must Be Varied According to the Variety of Faults. There is No Punishment in the Church Greater Than Excommunication.

Therefore, let brethren who are subject be rebuked by those who are set over them, with rebukes that spring from love, varied according to the diversity of faults, whether smaller or greater. Because that very penalty that is called condemnation,[3400]3399 which episcopal judgment inflicts, than which there is no greater punishment in the Church, may, if God will, result and be of advantage for most wholesome rebuke. For we know not what may happen on the coming day; nor must any one be despaired of before the end of this life; nor can God be contradicted, that He may not look down and give repentance, and receive the sacrifice of a troubled spirit and a contrite heart, and absolve from the guilt of condemnation, however just, and so Himself not condemn the condemned person. Yet the necessity of the pastoral office requires, in order that the terrible contagion may not creep through the many, that the diseased sheep should be separated from the sound ones; perchance, by that very separation, to be healed by Him to whom nothing is impossible. For as we know not who belongs to the number of the predestinated, we ought in such wise to be influenced by the affection of love as to will all men to be saved. For this is the case when we endeavour to lead every individual to that point where they may meet with those agencies by which we may prevail, to the accomplishment of the result, that being justified by faith they may have peace with God, [3401]3400âwhich peace, moreover, the apostle announced when he said, âTherefore, we discharge an embassage for Christ, as though God were exhorting by us, we pray you in Christâs stead to be reconciled to God.â[3402]3401 For what is âto be reconciled at to Him but to have peace with Him? For the sake of which peace, moreover, the Lord Jesus Christ Himself said to His disciples, âlnto whatsoever house ye enter first, say, Peace be to this house; and if the son of peace be there, your peace shall rest upon it; but if not, it shall return to you again.â[3403]3402 When they preach the gospel of this peace of whom it is predicted, âHow beautiful are the feet of those that publish peace, that announce good things!a[3404]3403 to us, indeed, every one then begins to be a son of peace who obeys and believes this gospel, and who, being justified by faith, has begun to have peace towards God; but, according to Godâs predestination, he was already a son of peace. For it was not said, Upon whomsoever your peace shall rest, he shall become a son of peace; but Christ says, alf the son of peace be there, your peace shall rest upon that house.â Already, therefore, and before the announcement of that peace to him, the son of peace was there, as he had been known and foreknown, byanot the evangelist, butaGod. For we need not fear lest we should lose it, if in our ignorance he to whom we preach is not a son of peace, for it will return to us againathat is, that preaching will profit us, and not him; but if the peace proclaimed shall rest upon him, it will profit both us and him.

Chapter 47.âAnother Interpretation of the Apostolic Passage, âWho Will Have All Men to Be Saved.â

That, therefore, in our ignorance of who shall be saved, God commands us to will that all to whom we preach this peace may be saved, and Himself works this in us by diffusing that love in our hearts by the Holy Spirit who is given to us,âmay also thus be understood, that God wills all men to be saved, because He makes us to will this; just as âHe sent the Spirit of His Son, crying, Abba, Father;â[3405]3404 that is, making us to cry, Abba, Father. Because, concerning that same Spirit, He says in another place, âWe have received the Spirit of adoption, in whom we cry, Abba, Father!â[3406]3405 We therefore cry, but He is said to cry who makes us to cry. If, then, Scripture rightly said that the Spirit was crying by whom we are made to cry, it rightly also says that God wills, when by Him we are made to will. And thus, because by rebuke we ought to do nothing save to avoid departure from that peace which is towards God, or to induce return to it of him who had departed, let us do in hope what we do. If he whom we rebuke is a son of peace, our peace shall rest upon him; but if not, it shall return to us again.

Chapter 48.âThe Purpose of Rebuke.

Although, therefore, even while the faith of some is subverted, the foundation of God standeth sure, since the Lord knoweth them that are His, still, we ought not on that account to be indolent and negligent in rebuking those who should be rebuked. For not for nothing was it said, âEvil communications corrupt good manners; â[3407]3406 and, âThe weak brother shall perish in thy knowledge, on account of whom Christ died.â[3408]3407 Let us not, in opposition to these precepts, and to a wholesome fear, pretend to argue, saying, aWell, let evil communications corrupt good manners, and let the weak brother perish. What is that to us? The foundation of God standeth sure, and no one perishes but the son of perdition.â [XVI.] Be it far from us to babble in this wise, and think that we ought to be secure in this negligence. For it is true that no one perishes except the son of perdition, but God says by the mouth of the prophet Ezekiel: [3409]3408 âHe shall surely die in his sin, but his blood will I require at the hand of the watchman.â

## Chapter 49. âConclusion.

Hence, as far as concerns us, who are not able to distinguish those who are predestinated from those who are not, we ought on this very account to will all men to be saved. Severe rebuke should be medicinally applied to all by us that they perish not themselves, or that they may not be the means of destroying others. It belongs to God, however, to make that rebuke useful to them whom He Himself has foreknown and predestinated to be conformed to the image of His Son. For, if at any time we abstain from rebuking, for fear lest by rebuke a man should perish, why do we not also rebuke, for fear lest a man should rather perish by our withholding it? For we have no greater bowels of love than the blessed apostle who says, a Rebuke those that are unruly; comfort the feeble-minded; support the weak; be patient towards all men. See that none render to any man evil for evil.â[3410]3409 Where it is to be understood that evil is then rather rendered for evil when one who ought to be rebuked is not rebuked, but by a wicked dissimulation is neglected. He says, moreover, a Them that sin rebuke before all, that others also may fear; also hav fear; also which must be received concerning those sins which are not concealed, lest he be thought to have spoken in opposition to the word of the Lord. For He says, alf thy brother shall sin against thee, rebuke him between thee and him.â[3412]3411 Notwithstanding, He Himself carries out the severity of rebuke to the extent of saying, alf he will not hear the Church, let him be unto thee as a heathen man and a publican.â[3413]3412 And who has more loved the weak than He who became weak for us all, and of that very weakness was crucified for us all? And since these things are so, grace neither restrains rebuke, nor does rebuke restrain grace; and on this account righteousness is

so to be prescribed that we may ask in faithful prayer, that, by Godâs grace, what is prescribed may be done; and both of these things are in such wise to be done that righteous rebuke may not be neglected. But let all these things be done with love, since love both does not sin, and does cover the multitude of sins.

a treatise on the predestination of the saints.

A Treatise on the predestination of the saints,

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

The First Book,[3414]3413

addressed to prosper and hilary. [3415][3415]

a.d. 428 or 429.

## âââââââââââââ

Wherein the truth of predestination and grace is defended against the semi-Pelagians, at hose people to wit, who by no means withdraw altogether from the Pelagian heresy, in that they contend that the beginning of salvation and of faith is of ourselves; so that in virtue, as it were, of this precedent merit, the other good gifts of God are attained. Augustin shows that not only the increase, but the very beginning also of faith is in Godâs gift. On this matter he does not disavow that he once thought differently, and that in some small works, written before his episcopate, he was in error, as in that exposition, which they object to him, of propositions from the epistle to the Romans. But he points out that he was subsequently convinced chiefly by this testimony, abut what hast thou that thou hast not received?â which he proves is to be taken as a testimony concerning faith itself also. He says that faith is to be counted among other works, which the apostle denies to anticipate Godâs grace when He says, anot of works. a He declares that the hardness of the heart is taken away by grace, and that all come to Christ who are taught to come by the Father; but that those whom He teaches, He teaches in mercy, while those whom He teaches not, in judgment He teaches not. That the passage from his hundred and second epistle, Question 2, aconcerning the time of the Christian religiona which is alleged by the semi-Pelagians, may rightly be explained without detriment to the doctrine of grace and predestination. He teaches what is the difference between grace and predestination. Further, he says that God in his predestination foreknew what he had purposed to do. He marvels greatly that the adversaries of predestination, who are said to be unwilling to be dependent on the uncertainty of Godâs will, prefer rather to trust themselves to their own weakness than to the strength of Godâs promise. He clearly points out that they abuse this authority, alf thou believest, thou shalt be saved. a That the truth of grace and perseverance shines forth in the case of infants that are saved, who are distinguished by no merits of their own from others who perish. For that there is no difference between them arising from the foreknowledge of merits which they would have had if they had lived

longer. That testimony is wrongfully rejected by the adversaries as being uncanonical, which he adduced for the purpose of this discussion, âhe was taken away lest wickedness,â etc. That the most illustrious instance of predestination and grace is the Saviour Himself, in whom a man obtained the privilege of being the Saviour and the Only-begotten Son of God, through being assumed into oneness of person by the Word co-eternal with the Father, on account of no precedent merits, either of works or of faith. That the predestinated are called by some certain calling peculiar to the elect, and that they have been elected before the foundation of the world; not because they were foreknown as men who would believe and would be holy, but in order that by means of that very election of grace they might be such, etc.

Chapter 1 [I.]âIntroduction.

We know that in the Epistle to the Philippians the apostle said, aTo write the same things to you to me indeed is not grievous but for you it is safe; a[3416]3414 yet the same apostle writing to the Galatians when he saw that he had done enough among them of what he regarded as being needful for them, by the ministry of his preaching, said, aFor the rest let no man cause me labour, a[3417]3415 or as it is read in many codices, âLet no one be troublesome to me.â But although I confess that it causes me trouble that the divine word in which the grace of God is preached (which is absolutely no grace if it is given according to our merits), great and manifest as it is, is not yielded to, nevertheless my dearest sons, Prosper and Hilary, your zeal and brotherly affectionawhich makes you so reluctant to see any of the brethren in error, as to wish that, after so many books and letters of mine on this subject, I should write again from hereal love more than I can tell, although I do not dare to say that I love it as much as I ought. Wherefore, behold, I write to you again. And although not with you, yet through you I am still doing what I thought I had done sufficiently.

Chapter 2.âTo What Extent the Massilians[3418]3416 Withdraw from the Pelagians.

For on consideration of your letters. I seem to see that those brethren on whose behalf you exhibit a pious care that they may not hold the poetical opinion in which it is affirmed, a Every one is a hope for himself, a[3419]3417 and so fall under that condemnation which is, not poetically, but prophetically, declared, aCursed is every man that hath hope in man.a[3420]3418 must be treated in that way wherein the apostle dealt with those to whom he said, aAnd if in anything ve be otherwise minded, God shall reveal even this unto you.â[3421]3419 For as yet they are in darkness on the question concerning the predestination of the saints, but they have that whence, aif in anything they are otherwise minded, God will reveal even this unto them, a if they are walking in that to which they have attained. For which reason the apostle, when he had said, alf ye are in anything otherwise minded, God shall reveal even this unto you, â says, âNevertheless whereunto we have attained, let us walk in the same.â[3422]3420 And those brethren of ours, on whose behalf your

pious love is solicitous, have attained with Christâs Church to the belief that the human race is born obnoxious to the sin of the first man, and that none can be delivered from that evil save by the righteousness of the Second Man. Moreover, they have attained to the confession that menâs wills are anticipated by Godâs grace; and to the agreement that no one can suffice to himself either for beginning or for completing any good work. These things, therefore, unto which they have attained, being held fast, abundantly distinguish them from the error of the Pelagians. Further, if they walk in them, and beseech Him who give th understanding, if in anything concerning predestination they are otherwise minded, He will reveal even this unto them. Yet let us also spend upon them the influence of our love, and the ministry of our discourse, according to His gift, whom we have asked that in these letters we might say what should be suitable[3423]3421 and profitable to them. For whence do we know whether by this our service, wherein we are serving them in the free love of Christ, our God may not perchance will to effect that purpose?

Chapter 3 [II.] aEven the Beginning of Faith is of Godas Gift.

Therefore I ought first to show that the faith by which we are Christians is the gift of God, if I can do that more thoroughly than I have already done in so many and so large volumes. But I see that I must now reply to those who say that the divine testimonies which I have adduced concerning this matter are of avail for this purpose, to assure us that we have faith itself of ourselves, but that its increase is of God; as if faith were not given to us by Him, but were only increased in us by Him, on the ground of the merit of its having begun from us. Thus there is here no departure from that opinion which Pelagius himself was constrained to condemn in the judgment of the bishops of Palestine, as is testified in the same Proceedings, a That the grace of God is given according to our merits, a[3424]3422 if it is not of Godâs grace that we begin to believe, but rather that on account of this beginning an addition is made to us of a more full and perfect belief; and so we first give the beginning of our faith to God, that His supplement may also be given to us again, and whatever else we faithfully ask.

Chapter 4.aContinuation of the Preceding.

But why do we not in opposition to this, rather hear the words, âWho hath first given to Him and it shall be recompensed to him again? since of Him, and through Him, and in Him, are all things.â[3425]3423 And from whom, then, is that very beginning of our faith if not from Him? For this is not excepted when other things are spoken of as of Him; but âof Him, and through Him, and in Him, are all things.â But who can say that he who has already begun to believe deserves nothing from Him in whom he has believed? Whence it results that, to him who already deserves, other things are said to be added by a divine retribution, and thus that Godâs grace is given according to our merits. And this assertion when put before him, Pelagius himself condemned, that he might not be condemned. Whoever, then, wishes on every side to avoid this condemnable opinion, let him understand that what the apostle says is said with entire truthfulness, âUnto you it

is given in the behalf of Christ not only to believe on Him, but also to suffer for His sake.â[3426]3424 He shows that both are the gifts of God, because he said that both were given. And he does not say, ato believe on Him more fully and perfectly, a but, ato believe on Him.a Neither does he say that he himself had obtained mercy to be more faithful, but ato be faithful, a[3427]3425 because he knew that he had not first given the beginning of his faith to God, and had its increase given back to him again by Him; but that he had been made faithful by God, who also had made him an apostle. For the beginnings of his faith are recorded, and they are very well known by being read in the church on an occasion calculated to distinguish them: [3428]3426 how, being turned away from the faith which he was destroying, and being vehemently opposed to it, he was suddenly by a more powerful grace converted to it, by the conversion of Him, to whom as One who would do this very thing it was said by the prophet, a Thou wilt turn and quicken us; a[3429]3427 so that not only from one who refused to believe he was made a willing believer, but, moreover, from being a persecutor, he suffered persecution in defence of that faith which he persecuted. Because it was given him by Christ anot only to believe on Him, but also to suffer for His sake.â

Chapter 5.âTo Believe is to Think with Assent.

And, therefore, commending that grace which is not given according to any merits, but is the cause of all good merits, he says, aNot that we are sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.â[3430]3428 Let them give attention to this, and well weigh these words, who think that the beginning of faith is of ourselves, and the supplement of faith is of God. For who cannot see that thinking is prior to believing? For no one believes anything unless he has first thought that it is to be believed. For however suddenly, however rapidly, some thoughts fly before the will to believe, and this presently follows in such wise as to attend them, as it were, in closest conjunction, it is yet necessary that everything which is believed should be believed after thought has preceded; although even belief itself is nothing else than to think with assent. For it is not every one who thinks that believes, since many think in order that they may not believe; but everybody who believes, thinks, aboth thinks in believing and believes in thinking. Therefore in what pertains to religion and piety (of which the apostle was speaking), if we are not capable of thinking anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God, we are certainly not capable of believing anything as of ourselves, since we cannot do this without thinking; but our sufficiency, by which we begin to believe, is of God. Wherefore, as no one is sufficient for himself, for the beginning or the completion of any good work whatever, and this those brethren of yours, as what you have written intimates, already agree to be true, whence, as well in the beginning as in the carrying out of every good work, our sufficiency is of God, aso no one is sufficient for himself, either to begin or to perfect faith; but our sufficiency is of God. Because if faith is not a matter of thought, it is of no account; and we are not sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.

Chapter 6.âPresumption and Arrogance to Be Avoided.

Care must be taken, brethren, beloved of God, that a man do not lift himself up in opposition to God, when he says that he does what God has promised. Was not the faith of the nations promised to Abraham. âand he, giving glory to God, most fully believed that what He promised He is able also to performa?[3431]3429 He therefore makes the faith of the nations, who is able to do what He has promised. Further, if God works our faith, acting in a wonderful manner in our hearts so that we believe, is there any reason to fear that He cannot do the whole; and does man on that account arrogate to himself its first elements, that he may merit to receive its last from God? Consider if in such a way any other result be gained than that the grace of God is given in some way or other, according to our merit, and so grace is no more grace. For on this principle it is rendered as debt, it is not given gratuitously; for it is due to the believer that his faith itself should be increased by the Lord, and that the increased faith should be the wages of the faith begun; nor is it observed when this is said, that this wage is assigned to believers, not of grace, but of debt. And I do not at all see why the whole should not be attributed to man, as he who could originate for himself what he had not previously, can himself increase what he had originated, aexcept that it is impossible to withstand the most manifest divine testimony by which faith, whence piety takes its beginning, is shown also to be the gift of God: such as is that testimony that aGod hath dealt to every man the measure of faith; a[3432]3430 and that one, aPeace be to the brethren, and love with faith, from God the Father, and the Lord Jesus Christ, a[3433]3431 and other similar passages. Man, therefore, unwilling to resist such clear testimonies as these, and yet desiring himself to have the merit of believing, compounds as it were with God to claim a portion of faith for himself, and to leave a portion for Him; and, what is still more arrogant, he takes the first portion for himself and gives the subsequent to Him; and so in that which he says belongs to both, he makes himself the first, and God the second!

Chapter 7 [III.]âAugustin Confesses that He Had Formerly Been in Error Concerning the Grace of God.

It was not thus that that pious and humble teacher thoughtal speak of the most blessed Cyprianâwhen he said âthat we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.a[3434]3432 And in order to show this, he appealed to the apostle as a witness, where he said, aFor what hast thou that thou hast not received? And if thou hast received it, why boastest thou as if thou hadst not received it?a[3435]3433 And it was chiefly by this testimony that I myself also was convinced when I was in a similar error, thinking that faith whereby we believe on God is not Godâs gift, but that it is in us from ourselves, and that by it we obtain the gifts of God, whereby we may live temperately and righteously and piously in this world. For I did not think that faith was preceded by Godâs grace, so that by its means would be given to us what we might profitably ask, except that we could not believe if the proclamation of the truth did not precede; but that we should consent when the gospel was preached to us I thought was our own doing, and came to us from ourselves. And this my error is sufficiently indicated

in some small works of mine written before my episcopate. Among these is that which you have mentioned in your letters[3436]3434 wherein is an exposition of certain propositions from the Epistle to the Romans. Eventually, when I was retracting all my small works, and was committing that retractation to writing, of which task I had already completed two books before I had taken up your more lengthy letters, âwhen in the first volume I had reached the retractation of this book, I then spoke thus: ââAlso discussing, I say, âwhat God could have chosen in him who was as yet unborn, whom He said that the elder should serve; and what in the same elder, equally as yet unborn. He could have rejected; concerning whom, on this account, the prophetic testimony is recorded, although declared long subsequently, aJacob have I loved, and Esau have I hated, aa[3437]3435 I carried out my reasoning to the point of saying: aGod did not therefore choose the works of any one in foreknowledge of what He Himself would give them. but he chose the faith, in the foreknowledge that He would choose that very person whom He foreknew would believe on Him, ato whom He would give the Holy Spirit, so that by doing good works he might obtain eternal life also.â I had not vet very carefully sought, nor had I as yet found, what is the nature of the election of grace, of which the apostle says, âA remnant are saved according to the election of grace a[3438]3436 Which assuredly is not grace if any merits precede it; lest what is now given, not according to grace, but according to debt, be rather paid to merits than freely given. And what I next subjoined: aFor the same apostle says, aThe same God which worketh all in all:â[3439]3437 but it was never said. God believeth all in all:â and then added, aTherefore what we believe is our own, but what good thing we do is of Him who giveth the Holy Spirit to them that believe: a I certainly could not have said, had I already known that faith itself also is found among those gifts of God which are given by the same Spirit. Both, therefore, are ours on account of the choice of the will, and yet both are given by the spirit of faith and love. For faith is not alone but as it is written, aLove with faith, from God the Father, and our Lord Jesus Christ.â[3440]3438 And what I said a little after, aFor it is ours to believe and to will, but it is His to give to those who believe and will, the power of doing good works through the Holy Spirit, by whom love is shed abroad in our hearts, ââis true indeed; but by the same rule both are also Godâs, because God prepares the will: and both are ours too, because they are only brought about with our good wills. And thus what I subsequently said also: âBecause we are not able to will unless we are called; and when, after our calling, we would will, our willing is not sufficiently nor our running, unless God gives strength to us that run, and leads us whither He calls us; and thereupon added: alt is plain, therefore, that it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy, that we do good worksââthis is absolutely most true. But I discovered little concerning the calling itself. which is according to Godâs purpose; for not such is the calling of all that are called, but only of the elect. Therefore what I said a little afterwards: aFor as in those whom God elects it is not works but faith that begins the merit so as to do good works by the gift of God, so in those whom He condemns, unbelief and impiety begin the merit of punishment, so that even by way of punishment itself they do evil worksââl spoke most truly. But that even the merit itself of

faith was Godâs gift, I neither thought of inquiring into, nor did I say. And in another place I say: aFor whom He has mercy upon, He makes to do good works, and whom He hardeneth He leaves to do evil works; but that mercy is bestowed upon the preceding merit of faith, and that hardening is applied to preceding iniquity.â And this indeed is true; but it should further have been asked, whether even the merit of faith does not come from Godâs mercy, âthat is, whether that mercy is manifested in man only because he is a believer, or whether it is also manifested that he may be a believer? For we read in the apostleas words: all obtained mercy to be a believer. alg 344113439 He does not say. âBecause I was a believer.â Therefore although it is given to the believer, yet it has been given also that he may be a believer. Therefore also, in another place in the same book I most truly said: âBecause, if it is of Godâs mercy, and not of works, that we are even called that we may believe and it is granted to us who believe to do good works, that mercy must not be grudged to the heathen; aalthough I there discoursed less carefully about that calling which is given according to Godâs purpose.â[3442]3440

Chapter 8 [IV.]âWhat Augustin Wrote to Simplicianus, the Successor of Ambrose, Bishop of Milan.

You see plainly what was at that time my opinion concerning faith and works, although I was labouring in commending Godas grace; and in this opinion I see that those brethren of ours now are, because they have not been as careful to make progress with me in my writings as they were in reading them. For if they had been so careful, they would have found that guestion solved in accordance with the truth of the divine Scriptures in the first book of the two which I wrote in the very beginning of my episcopate to Simplicianus, of blessed memory, Bishop of the Church of Milan, and successor to St. Ambrose. Unless, perchance, they may not have known these books; in which case, take care that they do know them. Of this first of those two books, I first spoke in the second book of the Retractations; and what I said is as follows: aOf the books, I say, on which, as a bishop, I have laboured, the first two are addressed to Simplicianus, president of the Church of Milan, who succeeded the most blessed Ambrose, concerning divers questions, two of which I gathered into the first book from the Epistle of Paul the Apostle to the Romans. The former of them is about what is written: aWhat shall we say, then? Is the law sin? By no means; a[3443]3441 as far as the passage where he says, aWho shall deliver me from the body of this death? The grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord.â[3444]3442 And therein I have expounded those words of the apostle: aThe law is spiritual; but I am carnal, a[3445]3443 and others in which the flesh is declared to be in conflict against the Spirit in such a way as if a man were there described as still under law, and not yet established under grace. For, long afterwards, I perceived that those words might even be (and probably were) the utterance of a spiritual man. The latter question in this book is gathered from that passage where the apostle says, âAnd not only this, but when Rebecca also had conceived by one act of intercourse, even by our father Isaac, a[3446]3444 as far as that place where he says, âExcept the Lord of Sabaoth had left us a seed, we should be as Sodoma, and should have been like unto Gomorrah.a[3447]3445 In the

solution of this guestion I laboured indeed on behalf of the free choice of the human will, but Godâs grace overcame, and I could only reach that point where the apostle is perceived to have said with the most evident truth, aFor who maketh thee to differ? and what hast thou that thou hast not received? Now, if thou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou receivedst it not?â[3448]3446 And this the martyr Cyprian was also desirous of setting forth when he compressed the whole of it in that title: aThat we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.âa[3449]3447 This is why I previously said that it was chiefly by this apostolic testimony that I myself had been convinced, when I thought otherwise concerning this matter; and this God revealed to me as I sought to solve this question when I was writing, as I said, to the Bishop Simplicianus. This testimony, therefore, of the apostle, when for the sake of repressing manas conceit he said, aFor what hast thou which thou hast not received?a[3450]3448 does not allow any believer to say, I have faith which I received not. All the arrogance of this answer is absolutely repressed by these apostolic words. Moreover, it cannot even be said, âAlthough I have not a perfected faith, yet I have its beginning, whereby I first of all believed in Christ.â Because here also is answered: âBut what hast thou that thou hast not received? Now, if thou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou receivedst it not?â

Chapter 9 [V.]âThe Purpose of the Apostle in These Words.

The notion, however, which they entertain, athat these words, aWhat hast thou that thou hast not received?â cannot be said of this faith. because it has remained in the same nature, although corrupted, which at first was endowed with health and perfection, a[3451]3449 is perceived to have no force for the purpose that they desire if it be considered why the apostle said these words. For he was concerned that no one should glory in man, because dissensions had sprung up among the Corinthian Christians, so that every one was saying, al, indeed, am of Paul, and another, I am of Apollos, and another, I am of Cephas; a[3452]3450 and thence he went on to say: aGod hath chosen the foolish things of the world to confound the wise; and God hath chosen the weak things of the world to confound the strong things; and God hath chosen the ignoble things of the world, and contemptible things. and those things which are not, to make of no account things which are; that no flesh should glory before God. a[3453]3451 Here the intention of the apostle is of a certainty sufficiently plain against the pride of man, that no one should glory in man; and thus, no one should glory in himself. Finally, when he had said athat no flesh should glory before God.â in order to show in what man ought to glory. he immediately added, âBut it is of Him that ye are in Christ Jesus, who is made unto us wisdom from God, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption: that according as it is written, He that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord a[3454]3452 Thence that intention of his progressed, till afterwards rebuking them he says, aFor ye are yet carnal; for whereas there are among you envying and contention, are ye not carnal, and walk according to man? For while one saith I am of Paul, and another, I am of Apollos, are ye not men? What, then, is Apollos, and what Paul? Ministers by whom you believed;

and to every one as the Lord has given. I have planted, and Apollos watered; but God gave the increase. Therefore, neither is he that planteth anything, nor he that watereth, but God that giveth the increase.â[3455]3453 Do you not see that the sole purpose of the apostle is that man may be humbled, and God alone exalted? Since in all those things, indeed, which are planted and watered, he says that not even are the planter and the waterer anything, but God who giveth the increase: and the very fact, also, that one plants and another waters he attributes not to themselves, but to God, when he says, aTo every one as the Lord hath given; I have planted, Apollos watered.â Hence, therefore, persisting in the same intention he comes to the point of saying, aTherefore let no man glory in man, a[3456]3454 for he had already said, alle that glorieth, let him glory in the Lord.a After these and some other matters which are associated therewith, that same intention of his is carried on in the words: âAnd these things, brethren, I have in a figure transferred to myself and to Apollos for your sakes, that ye might learn in us that no one of you should be puffed up for one against another above that which is written. For who maketh thee to differ? And what hast thou which thou hast not received? Now, if thou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou receivedst it not?â[3457]3455

Chapter 10.âlt is Godâs Grace Which Specially Distinguishes One Man from Another.

In this the apostleas most evident intention, in which he speaks against human pride, so that none should glory in man but in God, it is too absurd, as I think, to suppose Godâs natural gifts, whether manâs entire and perfected nature itself as it was bestowed on him in his first state, or the remains, whatever they may be, of his degraded nature. For is it by such gifts as these, which are common to all men, that men are distinguished from men? But here he first said, aFor who maketh thee to differ?â and then added, âAnd what hast thou that thou hast not received?â Because a man, puffed up against another, might say, âMy faith makes me to differ,â or âMy righteousness,â or anything else of the kind. In reply to such notions, the good teacher says, âBut what hast thou that thou hast not received?â And from whom but from Him who maketh thee to differ from another, on whom He bestowed not what He bestowed on thee? aNow if a save he. athou hast received it, why dost thou glory as if thou receivedst it not? a ls he concerned, I ask, about anything else save that he who glorieth should glory in the Lord? But nothing is so opposed to this feeling as for any one to glory concerning his own merits in such a way as if he himself had made them for himself, and not the grace of God.âa grace. however, which makes the good to differ from the wicked, and is not common to the good and the wicked. Let the grace, therefore, whereby we are living and reasonable creatures, and are distinguished from cattle, be attributed to nature; let that grace also by which, among men themselves, the handsome are made to differ from the ill-formed, or the intelligent from the stupid, or anything of that kind, be ascribed to nature. But he whom the apostle was rebuking did not puff himself up as contrasted with cattle, nor as contrasted with any other man, in respect of any natural endowment which might be found even in the worst of men. But he ascribed to himself, and not to God, some

good gift which pertained to a holy life, and was puffed up therewith when he deserved to hear the rebuke, aWho hath made thee to differ? and what hast thou that thou receivedst not? a For though the capacity to have faith is of nature, is it also of nature to have it? aFor all men have not faith.â[3458]3456 although all men have the capacity to have faith. But the apostle does not say, âAnd what hast thou capacity to have, the capacity to have which thou receivedst not? a but he says, aAnd what hast thou which thou receivedst not?a Accordingly, the capacity to have faith.[3459]3457 as the capacity to have love. belongs to menâs nature; but to have faith, even as to have love, belongs to the grace of believers. That nature, therefore, in which is given to us the capacity of having faith, does not distinguish man from man, but faith itself makes the believer to differ from the unbeliever. And thus, when it is said, aFor who maketh thee to differ? and what hast thou that thou receivedst not? a if any one dare to say, al have faith of myself, I did not, therefore, receive it, a he directly contradicts this most manifest truth, anot because it is not in the choice of manas will to believe or not to believe, but because in the elect the will is prepared by the Lord. Thus, moreover, the passage, aFor who maketh thee to differ? and what hast thou that thou receivedst not?â refers to that very faith which is in the will of man.

Chapter 11 [VI.]âThat Some Men are Elected is of Godâs Mercy.

âMany hear the word of truth; but some believe, while others contradict. Therefore, the former will to believe; the latter do not will.â Who does not know this? Who can deny this? But since in some the will is prepared by the Lord, in others it is not prepared, we must assuredly be able to distinguish what comes from Godâs mercy, and what from His judgment. aWhat Israel sought for, a says the apostle, ahe hath not obtained, but the election hath obtained it; and the rest were blinded, as it is written, God gave to them the spirit of compunction, aeyes that they should not see, and ears that they should not hear, even to this day. And David said, Let their table be made a snare, a retribution, and a stumblingblock to them; let their eyes be darkened, that they may not see; and bow down their back always.â[3460]3458 Here is mercy and judgment,âmercy towards the election which has obtained the righteousness of God, but judgment to the rest which have been blinded. And yet the former, because they willed, [3461]3459 believed; the latter, because they did not will believed not. Therefore mercy and judgment were manifested in the very wills themselves. Certainly such an election is of grace, not at all of merits. For he had before said, aSo, therefore, even at this present time, the remnant has been saved by the election of grace. And if by grace, now it is no more of works; otherwise grace is no more grace.â[3462]3460 Therefore the election obtained what it obtained gratuitously; there preceded none of those things which they might first give, and it should be given to them again. He saved them for nothing. But to the rest who were blinded, as is there plainly declared, it was done in recompense. âAll the paths of the Lord are mercy and truth.â[3463]3461 But His ways are unsearchable. Therefore the mercy by which He freely delivers, and the truth by which He righteously judges, are equally unsearchable.

Chapter 12 [VII.]âWhy the Apostle Said that We are Justified by Faith and Not by Works.

But perhaps it may be said: aThe apostle distinguishes faith from works; he says, indeed, that grace is not of works, but he does not say that it is not of faith.â This, indeed, is true. But Jesus says that faith itself also is the work of God, and commands us to work it. For the Jews said to Him. aWhat shall we do that we may work the work of God? Jesus answered, and said unto them. This is the work of God. that ye believe on Him whom He hath sent.â[3464]3462 The apostle, therefore, distinguishes faith from works, just as Judah is distinguished from Israel in the two kingdoms of the Hebrews, although Judah is Israel itself. And he says that a man is justified by faith and not by works, because faith itself is first given, from which may be obtained other things which are specially characterized as works, in which a man may live righteously. For he himself also says, âBy grace ye are saved through faith; and this not of yourselves; but it is the gift of God, a[3465]3463 athat is to say, a And in saying a through faith, â even faith itself is not of yourselves, but is Godâs gift.â aNot of works, a he says, alest any man should be lifted up. a For it is often said, âHe deserved to believe, because he was a good man even before he believed. a Which may be said of Cornelius [3466] 3464 since his alms were accepted and his prayers heard before he had believed on Christ; and yet without some faith he neither gave alms nor prayed. For how did he call on him on whom he had not believed? But if he could have been saved without the faith of Christ the Apostle Peter would not have been sent as an architect to build him up; although, aExcept the Lord build the house, they labour in vain who build it.a[3467]3465 And we are told, Faith is of ourselves; other things which pertain to works of righteousness are of the Lord; as if faith did not belong to the building, as if, I say, the foundation did not belong to the building. But if this primarily and especially belongs to it, he labours in vain who seeks to build up the faith by preaching, unless the Lord in His mercy builds it up from within. Whatever, therefore, of good works Cornelius performed, as well before he believed in Christ as when he believed and after he had believed, are all to be ascribed to God, lest, perchance any man be lifted up.

Chapter 13 [VIII.]âThe Effect of Divine Grace.

Accordingly, our only Master and Lord Himself, when He had said what I have above mentioned,ââThis is the work of God, that ye believe on Him whom He hath sent,ââsays a little afterwards in that same discourse of His, âl said unto you that ye also have seen me and have not believed. All that the Father giveth me shall come to me.â[3468]3466 What is the meaning of âshall come to me,â but, âshall believe in meâ? But it is the Fatherâs gift that this may be the case. Moreover, a little after He says, âMurmur not among yourselves. No one can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him; and I will raise him up at the last day. It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all teachable[3469]3467 of God. Every man that hath heard of the Father, and hath learned, cometh unto me.â[3470]3468 What is the meaning of, âEvery man that hath heard from the Father, and hath learned, cometh

unto me,â except that there is none who hears from the Father, and learns, who cometh not to me? For if every one who has heard from the Father, and has learned, comes, certainly every one who does not come has not heard from the Father; for if he had heard and learned, he would come. For no one has heard and learned, and has not come: but every one, as the Truth declares, who has heard from the Father, and has learned, comes. Far removed from the senses of the flesh is this teaching in which the Father is heard, and teaches to come to the Son. Engaged herein is also the Son Himself, because He is His Word by which He thus teaches; and He does not do this through the ear of the flesh, but of the heart. Herein engaged, also, at the same time, is the Spirit of the Father and of the Son; and He, too, teaches, and does not teach separately, since we have learned that the workings of the Trinity are inseparable. And that is certainly the same Holy Spirit of whom the apostle says, aWe, however, having the same Spirit of faith.â[3471]3469 But this is especially attributed to the Father, for the reason that of Him is begotten the Only Begotten, and from Him proceeds the Holy Spirit, of which it would be tedious to argue more elaborately; and I think that my work in fifteen books on the Trinity which God is, has already reached you. Very far removed, I say, from the senses of the flesh is this instruction wherein God is heard and teaches. We see that many come to the Son because we see that many believe on Christ, but when and how they have heard this from the Father, and have learned, we see not. It is true that that grace is exceedingly secret, but who doubts that it is grace? This grace, therefore, which is hiddenly bestowed in human hearts by the Divine gift, is rejected by no hard heart, because it is given for the sake of first taking away the hardness of the heart. When, therefore, the Father is heard within, and teaches, so that a man comes to the Son, He takes away the heart of stone and gives a heart of flesh, as in the declaration of the prophet He has promised. Because He thus makes them children and vessels of mercy which He has prepared for glory.

Chapter 14.âWhy the Father Does Not Teach All that They May Come to Christ.

Why, then, does He not teach all that they may come to Christ, except because all whom He teaches, He teaches in mercy, while those whom He teaches not, in judgment He teaches not? Since, âOn whom He will He has mercy, and whom He will He hardeneth.â[3472]3470 But He has mercy when He gives good things. He hardens when He recompenses what is deserved. Or if, as some would prefer to distinguish them, those words also are his to whom the apostle says, aThou sayest then unto me, a so that he may be regarded as having said, a Therefore hath He mercy on whom He will, and whom He will He hardeneth, as well as those which follow, ato wit, a What is it that is still complained of? for who resists His will?â does the apostle answer, âO man, what thou hast said is false? a No; but he says, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God? Doth the thing formed say to him that formed it, Why hast thou made me thus? Hath not the potter power over the clay of the same lump?â[3473]3471 and what follows, which you very well know. And yet in a certain sense the Father teaches all men to come to His Son. For it was not in vain that it was written in the prophets, aAnd they shall all be teachable of God.â[3474]3472 And when He too had premised

this testimony, He added, aEvery man, therefore, who has heard of the Father, and has learned, cometh to me.â As, therefore, we speak justly when we say concerning any teacher of literature who is alone in a city, He teaches literature here to everybody, anot that all men learn, but that there is none who learns literature there who does not learn from him, aso we justly say, God teaches all men to come to Christ, not because all come, but because none comes in any other way. And why He does not teach all men the apostle explained, as far as he judged that it was to be explained, because, awilling to show His wrath, and to exhibit His power. He endured with much patience the vessels of wrath which were perfected for destruction; and that He might make known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy which He has prepared for glory.â[3475]3473 Hence it is that the aword of the cross is foolishness to them that perish; but unto them that are saved it is the power of God. a[3476]3474 God teaches all such to come to Christ, for He wills all such to be saved, and to come to the knowledge of the truth. And if He had willed to teach even those to whom the word of the cross is foolishness to come to Christ, beyond all doubt these also would have come. For He neither deceives nor is deceived when He says, a Everyone that hath heard of the Father, and hath learned, cometh to me.â Away, then, with the thought that any one cometh not, who has heard of the Father and has learned.

Chapter 15.âlt is Believers that are Taught of God.

âWhy,â say they, âdoes He not teach all men?â If we should say that they whom He does not teach are unwilling to learn, we shall be met with the answer: And what becomes of what is said to Him, aO God, Thou wilt turn us again, and quicken usa?[3477]3475 Or if God does not make men willing who were not willing, on what principle does the Church pray, according to the Lordâs commandment, for her persecutors? For thus also the blessed Cyprian [3478]3476 would have it to be understood that we say, a Thy will be done, as in heaven so in earth, a athat is, as in those who have already believed, and who are, as it were, heaven, so also in those who do not believe, and on this account are still the earth. What, then, do we pray for on behalf of those who are unwilling to believe, except that God would work in them to will also? Certainly the apostle says, aBrethren, my heartas good will, indeed, and my praver to God for them, is for their salvation.â[3479]3477 He pravs for those who do not believe, afor what, except that they may believe? For in no other way do they obtain salvation. If, then, the faith of the petitioners precede the grace of God, does the faith of them on whose behalf prayer is made that they may believe precede the grace of God?âsince this is the very thing that is besought for them, that on them that believe notathat is, who have not faithafaith itself may be bestowed? When, therefore, the gospel is preached, some believe, some believe not; but they who believe at the voice of the preacher from without, hear of the Father from within, and learn; while they who do not believe, hear outwardly, but inwardly do not hear nor learn; athat is to say, to the former it is given to believe; to the latter it is not given. Because ano man, a says He, acometh to me, except the Father which sent me draw him.â[3480]3478 And this is more plainly said afterwards. For after a little time, when He was speaking of eating his flesh and drinking His blood, and some even of His disciples said,

âThis is a hard saying, who can hear it? Jesus, knowing in Himself that His disciples murmured at this, said unto them, Doth this offend you?â[3481]3479 And a little after He said, âThe words that I have spoken unto you are spirit and life; but there are some among you which believe not.â[3482]3480 And immediately the evangelist says, âFor Jesus knew from the beginning who were the believers, and who should betray Him; and He said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me except it were given him of my Father.â Therefore, to be drawn to Christ by the Father, and to hear and learn of the Father in order to come to Christ, is nothing else than to receive from the Father the gift by which to believe in Christ. For it was not the hearers of the gospel that were distinguished from those who did not hear, but the believers from those who did not believe, by Him who said, âNo man cometh to me except it were given him of my Father.â

Chapter 16.âWhy the Gift of Faith is Not Given to All.

Faith, then, as well in its beginning as in its completion, is Godâs gift; and let no one have any doubt whatever, unless he desires to resist the plainest sacred writings, that this gift is given to some, while to some it is not given. But why it is not given to all ought not to disturb the believer, who believes that from one all have gone into a condemnation, which undoubtedly is most righteous; so that even if none were delivered therefrom, there would be no just cause for finding fault with God. Whence it is plain that it is a great grace for many to be delivered, and to acknowledge in those that are not delivered what would be due to themselves; so that he that glorieth may glory not in his own merits, which he sees to be equalled in those that are condemned, but in the Lord. But why He delivers one rather than another,ââHis judgments are unsearchable, and His ways past finding out.a[3483]3481 For it is better in this case for us to hear or to say, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God?a[3484]3482 than to dare to speak as if we could know what He has chosen to be kept secret. Since, moreover, He could not will anything unrighteous.

Chapter 17 [IX.]âHis Argument in His Letter Against Porphyry, as to Why the Gospel Came So Late into the World.

But that which you remember my saving in a certain small treatise of mine against Porphyry, under the title of The Time of the Christian Religion, I so said for the sake of escaping this more careful and elaborate argument about grace; although its meaning, which could be unfolded elsewhere or by others, was not wholly omitted, although I had been unwilling in that place to explain it. For, among other matters, I spoke thus in answer to the question proposed, why it was after so long a time that Christ came: aAccordingly, I say, since they do not object to Christ that all do not follow His teaching (for even they themselves feel that this could not be objected at all with any justice, either to the wisdom of the philosophers or even to the deity of their own gods), what will they reply, if a leaving out of the question that depth of Godâs wisdom and knowledge where perchance some other divine plan is far more secretly hidden, without prejudging also other causes, which cannot be traced out by the wiseawe say to them only this, for the sake of brevity in the arguing of this question,

that Christ willed to appear to men, and that His doctrine should be preached among them, at that time when He knew, and at that place where He knew, that there were some who would believe on Him. For at those times, and in those places, at which His gospel was not preached. He foreknew that all would be in His preaching such as, not indeed all, but many were in His bodily presence, who would not believe on Him, even when the dead were raised by Him; such as we see many now, who, although the declarations of the prophets concerning Him are fulfilled by such manifestations, are still unwilling to believe, and prefer to resist by human astuteness, rather than yield to divine authority so clear and perspicuous, and so lofty, and sublimely made known, so long as the human understanding is small and weak in its approach to divine truth. What wonder is it, then, if Christ knew the world in former ages to be so full of unbelievers. that He should reasonably refuse to appear, or to be preached to them. who, as He foreknew, would believe neither His words nor His miracles? For it is not incredible that all at that time were such as from His coming even to the present time we marvel that so many have been and are. And yet from the beginning of the human race, sometimes more hiddenly, sometimes more evidently, even as to Divine Providence the times seemed to be fitting, there has neither been a failure of prophecy, nor were there wanting those who believed on Him; as well from Adam to Moses, as in the people of Israel itself which by a certain special mystery was a prophetic people; and in other nations before He had come in the flesh. For as some are mentioned in the sacred Hebrew books, as early as the time of Abraham, aneither of his fleshly race nor of the people of Israel nor of the foreign society among the people of Israel, awho were, nevertheless, sharers in their sacrament, why may we not believe that there were others elsewhere among other people, here and there, although we do not read any mention of them in the same authorities? Thus the salvation of this religion, by which only true one true salvation is truly promised, never failed him who was worthy of it; and whoever it failed was not worthy of it. And from the very beginning of the propagation of man, even to the end, the gospel is preached, to some for a reward, to some for judgment; and thus also those to whom the faith was not announced at all were foreknown as those who would not believe; and those to whom it was announced, although they were not such as would believe, are set forth as an example for the former; while those to whom it is announced who should believe, are prepared for the kingdom of heaven, and the company of the holy angels a[3485]3483

Chapter 18.aThe Preceding Argument Applied to the Present Time.

Do you not see that my desire was, without any prejudgment of the hidden counsel of God, and of other reasons, to say what might seem sufficient about Christâs foreknowledge, to convince the unbelief of the pagans who had brought forward this question? For what is more true than that Christ foreknew who should believe on Him, and at what times and places they should believe? But whether by the preaching of Christ to themselves by themselves they were to have faith, or whether they would receive it by Godâs gift,âthat is, whether God only foreknew them, or also predestinated them, I did not at that time think it necessary to inquire or to discuss. Therefore what I said, âthat Christ willed to appear to men at that time, and that His doctrine should be preached among them when He knew, and where He knew, that there were those who would believe on Him,â may also thus be said, âThat Christ willed to appear to men at that time, and that His gospel should be preached among those, whom He knew, and where He knew, that there were those who had been elected in Himself before the foundation of the world.â But since, if it were so said, it would make the reader desirous of asking about those things which now by the warning of Pelagian errors must of necessity be discussed with greater copiousness and care, it seemed to me that what at that time was sufficient should be briefly said, leaving to one side, as I said, the depth of the wisdom and knowledge of God, and without prejudging other reasons, concerning which I thought that we might more fittingly argue, not then, but at some other time.

Chapter 19 [X]âln What Respects Predestination and Grace Differ.

Moreover, that which I said, aThat the salvation of this religion has never been lacking to him who was worthy of it, and that he to whom it was lacking was not worthy, ââif it be discussed and it be asked whence any man can be worthy, there are not wanting those who sayaby human will. But we say, by divine grace or predestination. Further, between grace and predestination there is only this difference, that predestination is the preparation for grace, while grace is the donation itself. When, therefore the apostle says, aNot of works, lest any man should boast. For we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus in good works, a[3486]3484 it is grace; but what follows a which God hath prepared that we should walk in themââis predestination, which cannot exist without foreknowledge, although foreknowledge may exist without predestination; because God foreknew by predestination those things which He was about to do, whence it was said, âHe made those things that shall be.a[3487]3485 Moreover, He is able to foreknow even those things which He does not Himself do, aas all sins whatever. Because, although there are some which are in such wise sins as that they are also the penalties of sins, whence it is said, aGod gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient, a[3488]3486 it is not in such a case the sin that is Godas, but the judgment. Therefore Godâs predestination of good is, as I have said, the preparation of grace; which grace is the effect of that predestination. Therefore when God promised to Abraham in his seed the faith of the nations, saying, al have established thee a father of many nations, a[3489]3487 whence the apostle says, a Therefore it is of faith, that the promise, according to grace, might be established to all the seed. a[3490]3488 He promised not from the power of our will but from His own predestination. For He promised what He Himself would do, not what men would do. Because, although men do those good things which pertain to Godâs worship, He Himself makes them to do what He has commanded; it is not they that cause Him to do what He has promised. Otherwise the fulfilment of Godâs promises would not be in the power of God, but in that of men; and thus what was promised by God to Abraham would be given to Abraham by men themselves. Abraham, however, did not believe thus, but ahe believed, giving glory to God, that what He promised He is able also to do.a[3491]3489 He does not say, âto foretellââhe does not say, âto foreknow;â for He can foretell

and foreknow the doings of strangers also; but he says, âHe is able also to do;â and thus he is speaking not of the doings of others, but of His own.

Chapter 20.âDid God Promise the Good Works of the Nations and Not Their Faith, to Abraham?

Did God, perchance, promise to Abraham in his seed the good works of the nations, so as to promise that which He Himself does, but did not promise the faith of the Gentiles, which men do for themselves; but so as to promise what He Himself does, did He foreknow that men would effect that faith? The apostle, indeed, does not speak thus, because God promised children to Abraham, who should follow the footsteps of his faith, as he very plainly says. But if He promised the works, and not the faith of the Gentiles certainly since they are not good works unless they are of faith (for athe righteous lives of faith, a[3492]3490 and, aWhatsoever is not of faith is sin, a[3493]3491 and, âWithout faith it is impossible to pleasea[3494]3492), it is nevertheless in manas power that God should fulfil what He has promised. For unless man should do what without the gift of God pertains to man, he will not cause God to give, athat is, unless man have faith of himself. God does not fulfil what He has promised, that works of righteousness should be given by God. And thus that God should be able to fulfil His promises is not in Godas power, but manâs. And if truth and piety do not forbid our believing this, let us believe with Abraham, that what He has promised He is able also to perform. But He promised children to Abraham; and this men cannot be unless they have faith, therefore He gives faith also.

Chapter 21.âlt is to Be Wondered at that Men Should Rather Trust to Their Own Weakness Than to Godâs Strength.

Certainly, when the apostle says, âTherefore it is of faith that the promise may be sure according to grace,â[3495]3493 I marvel that men would rather entrust themselves to their own weakness, than to the strength of Godâs promise. But sayest thou, Godâs will concerning myself is to me uncertain? What then? Is thine own will concerning thyself certain to thee? and dost thou not fear,ââLet him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest he fallâ?[3496]3494 Since, then, both are uncertain, why does not man commit his faith, hope, and love to the stronger will rather than to the weaker?

## Chapter 22. aGodas Promise is Sure.

âBut,â say they, âwhen it is said, âlf thou believest, thou shalt be saved,â one of these things is required; the other is offered. What is required is in manâs power; what is offered is in Godâs.â[3497]3495 Why are not both in Godâs, as well what He commands as what He offers? For He is asked to give what He commands. Believers ask that their faith may be increased; they ask on behalf of those who do not believe, that faith may be given to them; therefore both in its increase and in its beginnings, faith is the gift of God. But it is said thus: âlf thou believest, thou shalt be saved,â in the same way that it is said, âlf by the Spirit ye shall mortify the deeds of the flesh, ye shall live.â[3498]3496 For in this case also, of these two things one is required, the other is offered. It is said, all by the Spirit ye shall mortify the deeds of the flesh, ye shall live.â Therefore, that we mortify the deeds of the flesh is required, but that we may live is offered. Is it, then, fitting for us to say, that to mortify the deeds of the flesh is not a gift of God, and not to confess it to be a gift of God, because we hear it required of us, with the offer of life as a reward if we shall do it? Away with this being approved by the partakers and champions of grace! This is the condemnable error of the Pelagians, whose mouths the apostle immediately stopped when he added, aFor as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God; a[3499]3497 lest we should believe that we mortify the deeds of the flesh, not by Godâs Spirit, but by our own. And of this Spirit of God, moreover, he was speaking in that place where he says, aBut all these worketh that one and the self-same Spirit, dividing unto every man what is his own, as He will;â[3500]3498 and among all these things, as you know, he also named faith. As, therefore, although it is the gift of God to mortify the deeds of the flesh, yet it is required of us, and life is set before us as a reward; so also faith is the gift of God, although when it is said, alf thou believest, thou shalt be saved, a faith is required of us, and salvation is proposed to us as a reward. For these things are both commanded us, and are shown to be Godâs gifts, in order that we may understand both that we do them, and that God makes us to do them, as He most plainly says by the prophet Ezekiel. For what is plainer than when He says, al will cause you to doa?[3501]3499 Give heed to that passage of Scripture, and you will see that God promises that He will make them to do those things which He commands to be done. He truly is not silent as to the merits but as to the evil deeds, of those to whom He shows that He is returning good for evil, by the very fact that He causeth them thenceforth to have good works, in causing them to do the divine commands.

Chapter 23 [XII.]âRemarkable Illustrations of Grace and Predestination in Infants, and in Christ.

But all this reasoning, whereby we maintain that the grace of God through Jesus Christ our Lord is truly grace, that is, is not given according to our merits, although it is most manifestly asserted by the witness of the divine declarations, yet, among those who think that they are withheld from all zeal for piety unless they can attribute to themselves something, which they first give that it may be recompensed to them again, involves somewhat of a difficulty in respect of the condition of grown-up people, who are already exercising the choice of will. But when we come to the case of infants, and to the Mediator between God and man Himself, the man Christ Jesus, there is wanting all assertion of human merits that precede the grace of God, because the former are not distinguished from others by any preceding good merits that they should belong to the Deliverer of men; any more than He Himself being Himself a man, was made the Deliverer of men by virtue of any precedent human merits.

Chapter 24.âThat No One is Judged According to What He Would Have Done If He Had Lived Longer.

For who can hear that infants, baptized in the condition of mere infancy, are said to depart from this life by reason of their future merits, and that others not baptized are said to die in the same age because their future merits are foreknown.âbut as evil: so that God rewards or condemns in them not their good or evil life, but no life at all?[3502]3500 The apostle, indeed, fixed a limit which manas incautious suspicion, to speak gently, ought not to transgress, for he says, aWe shall all stand before the judgment-seat of Christ; that every one may receive according to the things which he has done by means of the body, whether it be good or evil.a[3503]3501 aHas done,a he said; and he did not add, âor would have done.â But I know not whence this thought should have entered the minds of such men, that infantsâ future merits (which shall not be) should be punished or honoured. But why is it said that a man is to be judged according to those things which he has done by means of the body, when many things are done by the mind alone, and not by the body, nor by any member of the body; and for the most part things of such importance, that a most righteous punishment would be due to such thought, such as, ato say nothing of others, athat a The fool hath said in his heart there is no Goda?[3504]3502 What, then, is the meaning of, aAccording to those things that he hath done by means of the body, a except according to those things which he has done during that time in which he was in the body, so that we may understand aby means of the bodya as meaning âthroughout the season of bodily lifeâ? But after the body, no one will be in the body except at the last resurrection. anot for the purpose of establishing any claims of merit, but for the sake of receiving recompenses for good merits, and enduring punishments for evil merits. But in this intermediate period between the putting off and the taking again of the body, the souls are either tormented or they are in repose, according to those things which they have done during the period of the bodily life. And to this period of the bodily life moreover pertains, what the Pelagians deny, but Christâs Church confesses, original sin; and according to whether this is by Godâs grace loosed, or by Godâs judgment not loosed, when infants die, they pass, on the one hand, by the merit of regeneration from evil to good, or on the other, by the merit of their origin from evil to evil. The catholic faith acknowledges this, and even some heretics, without any contradiction, agree to this. But in the height of wonder and astonishment I am unable to discover whence men, whose intelligence your letters show to be by no means contemptible, could entertain the opinion that any one should be judged not according to the merits that he had as long as he was in the body, but according to the merits which he would have had if he had lived longer in the body; and I should not dare to believe that there were such men, if I could venture to disbelieve you. But I hope that God will interpose, so that when they are admonished they may at once perceive, that if those sins which, as is said, would have been, can rightly be punished by Godâs judgment in those who are not baptized, they may alo be rightly remitted by Godâs grace in those who are baptized. For whoever says that future sins can only be punished by Godâs judgment, but cannot be pardoned by Godâs mercy, ought to consider how great a wrong he is doing to God and His grace; as if future sin could be foreknown, and could not be foregone.[3505]3503 And if this is absurd, it is the

greater reason that help should be afforded to those who would be sinners if they lived longer, when they die in early life, by means of that laver wherein sins are washed away.

Chapter 25 [XIII.]âPossibly the Baptized Infants Would Have Repented If They Had Lived, and the Unbaptized Not.

But if, perchance, they say that sins are re-remitted to penitents, and that those who die in infancy are not baptized because they are foreknown as not such as would repent if they should live, while God has foreknown that those who are baptized and die in infancy would have repented if they had lived, let them observe and see that if it be so it is not in this case original sins which are punished in infants that die without baptism, but what would have been the sins of each one had he lived; and also in baptized infants, that it is not original sins that are washed away, but their own future sins if they should live, since they could not sin except in more mature age; but that some were foreseen as such as would repent, and others as such as would not repent, therefore some were baptized, and others departed from this life without baptism. If the Pelagians should dare to say this, by their denial of original sin they would thus be relieved of the necessity of seeking, on behalf of infants outside of the kingdom of God, for some place of I know not what happiness of their own; especially since they are convinced that they cannot have eternal life because they have not eaten the flesh nor drank the blood of Christ; and because in them who have no sin at all, baptism, which is given for the remission of sins, is falsified. For they would go on to say that there is no original sin, but that those who as infants are released are either baptized or not baptized according to their future merits if they should live, and that according to their future merits they either receive or do not receive the body and blood of Christ, without which they absolutely cannot have life; and are baptized for the true remission of sins although they derived no sins from Adam, because the sins are remitted unto them concerning which God foreknew that they would repent. Thus with the greatest ease they would plead and would win their cause, in which they deny that there is any original sin, and contend that the grace of God is only given according to our merits. But that the future merits of men, which merits will never come into existence are beyond all doubt no merits at all, it is certainly most easy to see: for this reason even the Pelagians were not able to say this; and much rather these ought not to say it. For it cannot be said with what pain I find that they who with us on catholic authority condemn the error of those heretics, have not seen this, which the Pelagians themselves have seen to be most false and absurd.

Chapter 26 [XIV] a Reference to Cyprian as Treatise a On the Mortality.a

Cyprian wrote a work On the Mortality,[3506]3504 known with approval to many and almost all who love ecclesiastical literature, wherein he says that death is not only not disadvantageous to believers, but that it is even found to be advantageous, because it withdraws men from the risks of sinning, and establishes them in a security of not sinning. But wherein is the advantage of this, if even future sins which have

not been committed are punished? Yet he argues most copiously and well that the risks of sinning are not wanting in this life, and that they do not continue after this life is done; where also he adduces that testimony from the book of Wisdom: âHe was taken away, lest wickedness should alter his understanding. a[3507]3505 And this was also adduced by me, though you said that those brethren of yours had rejected it on the ground of its not having been brought forward from a canonical book; as if, even setting aside the attestation of this book, the thing itself were not clear which I wished to be taught therefrom. For what Christian would dare to deny that the righteous man, if he should be prematurely laid hold of by death, will be in repose? Let who will, say this, and what man of sound faith will think that he can withstand it? Moreover, if he should say that the righteous man, if he should depart from his righteousness in which he has long lived, and should die in that impiety after having lived in it. I say not a year, but one day, will go hence into the punishment due to the wicked, his righteousness having no power in the future to avail him, awill any believer contradict this evident truth? Further, if we are asked whether, if he had died then at the time that he was righteous, he would have incurred punishment or repose, shall we hesitate to answer, repose? This is the whole reason why it is said, awhoever says it, a a He was taken away lest wickedness should alter his understanding â For it was said in reference to the risks of this life, not with reference to the foreknowledge of God, who foreknew that which was to be, not that which was not to beathat is, that He would bestow on him an untimely death in order that he might be withdrawn from the uncertainty of temptations; not that he would sin, since he was not to remain in temptation. Because, concerning this life, we read in the book of Job, âls not the life of man upon earth a temptation?â[3508]3506 But why it should be granted to some to be taken away from the perils of this life while they are righteous, while others who are righteous until they fall from righteousness are kept in the same risks in a more lengthened life, awho has known the mind of the Lord? And yet it is permitted to be understood from this, that even those righteous people who maintain good and pious characters, even to the maturity of old age and to the last day of this life, must not glory in their own merits, but in the Lord, since He who took away the righteous man from the shortness of life, lest wickedness should alter his understanding, Himself quards the righteous man in any length of life, that wickedness may not alter his understanding. But why He should have kept the righteous man here to fall, when He might have withdrawn him before, âHis judgments, although absolutely righteous, are yet unsearchable.

Chapter 27.âThe Book of Wisdom Obtains in the Church the Authority of Canonical Scripture.

And since these things are so, the judgment of the book of Wisdom ought not to be repudiated, since for so long a course of years that book has deserved to be read in the Church of Christ from the station of the readers of the Church of Christ, and to be heard by all Christians, from bishops downwards, even to the lowest lay believers, penitents, and catechumens, with the veneration paid to divine authority. For assuredly, if, from those who have been before me in commenting on the divine Scriptures, I should bring forward a defence of this judgment, which we are now called upon to defend more carefully and copiously than usual against the new error of the Pelagians, athat is, that Godas grace is not given according to our merits, and that it is given freely to whom it is given, because it is neither of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy; but that by righteous judgment it is not given to whom it is not given, because there is no unrighteousness with God; aif, therefore, I should put forth a defence of this opinion from catholic commentators on the divine oracles who have preceded us, assuredly these brethren for whose sake I am now discoursing would acquiesce, for this you have intimated in your letters. What need is there, then, for us to look into the writings of those who, before this heresy sprang up, had no necessity to be conversant in a question so difficult of solution as this, which beyond a doubt they would have done if they had been compelled to answer such things? Whence it arose that they touched upon what they thought of Godâs grace briefly in some passages of their writings, and cursorily; but on those matters which they argued against the enemies of the Church, and in exhortations to every virtue by which to serve the living and true God for the purpose of attaining eternal life and true happiness, they dwelt at length. But the grace of God, what it could do, shows itself artlessly by its frequent mention in prayers; for what God commands to be done would not be asked for from God, unless it could be given by Him that it should be done.

## Chapter 28. âCyprianâs Treatise âOn the Mortality. â

But if any wish to be instructed in the opinions of those who have handled the subject, it behoves them to prefer to all commentators the book of Wisdom, where it is read, aHe was taken away, that wickedness should not alter his understanding; a because illustrious commentators, even in the times nearest to the apostles, preferred it to themselves, seeing that when they made use of it for a testimony they believed that they were making use of nothing but a divine testimony; and certainly it appears that the most blessed Cyprian, in order to commend the advantage of an earlier death, contended that those who end this life, wherein sin is possible, are taken away from the risks of sins. In the same treatise, among other things, he says, aWhy, when you are about to be with Christ, and are secure of the divine promise, do you not embrace being called to Christ, and rejoice that you are free from the devil?a[3509]3507 And in another place he says, aBoys escape the peril of their unstable age a[3510]3508 And again, in another place, he says, aWhy do we not hasten and run, that we may see our country, that we may hail our relatives? A great number of those who are dear to us are expecting us there, âa dense and abundant crowd of parents, brethren, sons, are longing for us; already secure of their own safety, but still anxious about our salvation.a[3511]3509 By these and such like sentiments, that teacher sufficiently and plainly testifies, in the clearest light of the catholic faith, that perils of sin and trials are to be feared even until the putting off of this body. but that afterwards no one shall suffer any such things. And even if he did not testify thus, when could any manner of Christian be in doubt on this matter? How, then, should it not have been of

advantage to a man who has lapsed, and who finishes his life wretchedly in that same state of lapse, and passes into the punishment due to such as he,âhow, I say, should it not have been of the greatest and highest advantage to such an one to be snatched by death from this sphere of temptations before his fall?

Chapter 29.âGodâs Dealing Does Not Depend Upon Any Contingent Merits of Men.

And thus, unless we indulge in reckless disputation, the entire question is concluded concerning him who is taken away lest wickedness should alter his understanding. And the book of Wisdom, which for such a series of years has deserved to be read in Christâs Church, and in which this is read, ought not to suffer injustice because it withstands those who are mistaken on behalf of menâs merit, so as to come in opposition to the most manifest grace of God: and this grace chiefly appears in infants, and while some of these baptized, and some not baptized, come to the end of this life, they sufficiently point to Godâs mercy and His judgment, âHis mercy, indeed, gratuitous, His judgment, of debt. For if men should be judged according to the merits of their life, which merits they have been prevented by death from actually having, but would have had if they had lived, it would be of no advantage to him who is taken away lest wickedness should alter his understanding; it would be of no advantage to those who die in a state of lapse if they should die before. And this no Christian will venture to say. Wherefore our brethren, who with us on behalf of the catholic faith assail the pest of the Pelagian error, ought not to such an extent to favour the Pelagian opinion, wherein they conceive that Godâs grace is given according to our merits, as to endeavour (which they cannot dare) to invalidate a true sentiment, plainly and from ancient times Christian, ââHe was taken away, lest wickedness should alter his understanding; and to build up that which we should think, I do not say, no one would believe, but no one would dream, ato wit, that any deceased person would be judged according to those things which he would have done if he had lived for a more lengthened period. Surely thus what we say manifests itself clearly to be incontestable, athat the grace of God is not given according to our merits; so that ingenious men who contradict this truth are constrained to say things which must be rejected from the ears and from the thoughts of all men.

Chapter 30 [XV.]âThe Most Illustrious Instance of Predestination is Christ Jesus.

Moreover, the most illustrious Light of predestination and grace is the Saviour Himself, athe Mediator Himself between God and men, the man Christ Jesus. And, pray, by what preceding merits of its own, whether of works or of faith, did the human nature which is in Him procure for itself that it should be this? Let this have an answer, I beg. That man, whence did He deserve this ato be assumed by the Word co-eternal with the Father into unity of person, and be the only-begotten Son of God? Was it because any kind of goodness in Him preceded? What did He do before? What did He believe? What did He ask, that He should attain to this unspeakable excellence? Was it not by the act and the assumption of the Word that that man, from the time He began to be, began to be the only Son of God? Did not that woman, full of grace, conceive the only Son of God? Was He not born the only Son of God, of the Holy Spirit and the Virgin Mary, anot of the lust of the flesh, but by Godâs peculiar gift? Was it to be feared that as age matured this man, He would sin of free will? Or was the will in Him not free on that account? and was it not so much the more free in proportion to the greater impossibility of His becoming the servant of sin? Certainly, in Him human natureâthat is to say, our natureâspecially received all those specially admirable gifts, and any others that may most truly be said to be peculiar to Him, by virtue of no preceding merits of its own. Let a man here answer to God if he dare, and say, Why was it not I also? And if he should hear, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God?a[3512]3510 let him not at this point restrain himself, but increase his impudence and say, allow is it that I hear, Who art thou, O man? since I am what I hear, athat is, a man, and He of whom I speak is but the same? Why should not I also be what He is? For it is by grace that He is such and so great; why is grace different when nature is common? Assuredly, there is no respect of persons with God.â I say, not what Christian man, but what madman will say this?

Chapter 31. aChrist Predestinated to Be the Son of God.

Therefore in Him who is our Head let there appear to be the very fountain of grace, whence, according to the measure of every man, He diffuses Himself through all His members. It is by that grace that every man from the beginning of his faith becomes a Christian, by which grace that one man from His beginning became Christ. Of the same Spirit also the former is born again of which the latter was born. By the same Spirit is effected in us the remission of sins, by which Spirit it was effected that He should have no sin. God certainly foreknew that He would do these things. This, therefore, is that same predestination of the saints which most especially shone forth in the Saint of saints; and who is there of those who rightly understand the declarations of the truth that can deny this predestination? For we have learned that the Lord of glory Himself was predestinated in so far as the man was made the Son of God. The teacher of the Gentiles exclaims, in the beginning of his epistles, aPaul, a servant of Jesus Christ, called to be an apostle, separated unto the gospel of God (which He had promised afore by His prophets in the Holy Scriptures) concerning His Son, which was made of the seed of David according to the flesh, who was predestinated the Son of God in power, according to the Spirit of sanctification by the resurrection of the dead.â[3513]3511 Therefore Jesus was predestinated, so that He who was to be the Son of David according to the flesh should yet be in power the Son of God, according to the Spirit of sanctification, because He was born of the Holy Spirit and of the Virgin Mary. This is that ineffably accomplished sole taking up of man by God the Word, so that He might truly and properly be called at the same time the Son of God and the Son of man, a Son of man on account of the man taken up, and the Son of God on account of the God only-begotten who took Him up, so that a Trinity and not a Quaternity might be believed in. Such a transporting of human nature was predestinated, so great, so lofty,

and so sublime that there was no exalting it more highly, ajust as on our behalf that divinity had no possibility of more humbly putting itself off, than by the assumption of manas nature with the weakness of the flesh, even to the death of the cross. As, therefore, that one man was predestinated to be our Head, so we being many are predestinated to be His members. Here let human merits which have perished through Adam keep silence, and let that grace of God reign which reigns through Jesus Christ our Lord, the only Son of God, the one Lord. Let whoever can find in our Head the merits which preceded that peculiar generation, seek in us His members for those merits which preceded our manifold regeneration. For that generation was not recompensed to Christ, but given; that He should be born, namely, of the Spirit and the Virgin, separate from all entanglement of sin. Thus also our being born again of water and the Spirit is not recompensed to us for any merit, but freely given; and if faith has brought us to the laver of regeneration, we ought not therefore to suppose that we have first given anything, so that the regeneration of salvation should be recompensed to us again; because He made us to believe in Christ, who made for us a Christ on whom we believe. He makes in men the beginning and the completion of the faith in Jesus who made the man Jesus the beginner and finisher of faith;[3514]3512 for thus, as you know, He is called in the epistle which is addressed to the Hebrews.

Chapter 32 [XVI.]âThe Twofold Calling.

God indeed calls many predestinated children of His, to make them members of His only predestinated Son, anot with that calling with which they were called who would not come to the marriage, since with that calling were called also the Jews, to whom Christ crucified is an offence, and the Gentiles, to whom Christ crucified is foolishness; but with that calling He calls the predestinated which the apostle distinguished when he said that he preached Christ, the wisdom of God and the power of God, to them that were called, Jews as well as Greeks. For thus he says aBut unto them which are called, a[3515]3513 in order to show that there were some who were not called; knowing that there is a certain sure calling of those who are called according to Godâs purpose, whom He has foreknown and predestinated before to be conformed to the image of His Son. And it was this calling he meant when he said, aNot of works, but of Him that calleth; it was said unto her, That the elder shall serve the younger. a[3516]3514 Did he say, aNot of works, but of him that believetha? Rather, he actually took this away from man, that he might give the whole to God. Therefore he said, aBut of Him that calleth, aanot with any sort of calling whatever, but with that calling wherewith a man is made a believer.

Chapter 33.âlt is in the Power of Evil Men to Sin; But to Do This or That by Means of that Wickedness is in Godâs Power Alone.

Moreover, it was this that he had in view when he said, âThe gifts and calling of God are without repentance.â[3517]3515 And in that saying also consider for a little what was its purport. For when he had said, âFor I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, that ye may not be wise in yourselves, that blindness in part

is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in, and so all Israel should be saved; as it is written. There shall come out of Sion one who shall deliver, and turn away impiety from Jacob: and this is the covenant to them from me, when I shall take away their sins: align=1351813516 he immediately added, what is to be very carefully understood, âAs concerning the gospel, indeed, they are enemies for your sakes: but as concerning the election, they are beloved for their fathersâ sakes.â[3519]3517 What is the meaning of, âas concerning the gospel, indeed, they are enemies for your sake, â but that their enmity wherewith they put Christ to death was, without doubt, as we see, an advantage to the gospel? And he shows that this came about by Godâs ordering, who knew how to make a good use even of evil things; not that the vessels of wrath might be of advantage to Him, but that by His own good use of them they might be of advantage to the vessels of mercy. For what could be said more plainly than what is actually said, âAs concerning the gospel, indeed, they are enemies for your sakesâ? It is, therefore, in the power of the wicked to sin; but that in sinning they should do this or that by that wickedness is not in their power, but in Godâs, who divides the darkness and regulates it; so that hence even what they do contrary to Godâs will is not fulfilled except it be Godâs will. We read in the Acts of the Apostles that when the apostles had been sent away by the Jews, and had come to their own friends, and shown them what great things the priests and elders said to them, they all with one consent lifted up their voices to the Lord and said, aLord, thou art God, which hast made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and all things that are therein; who, by the mouth of our father David, thy holy servant, hast said, Why did the heathen rage, and the peoples imagine vain things? The kings of the earth stood up, and the princes were gathered together against the Lord, and against His Christ. For in truth, there have assembled together in this city against Thy holy child Jesus, whom Thou hast anointed, Herod and Pilate, and the people of Israel, to do whatever Thy hand and counsel predestinated to be done. a[3520]3518 See what is said: aAs concerning the gospel, indeed, they are enemies for your sakes. a Because Godas hand and counsel predestinated such things to be done by the hostile Jews as were necessary for the gospel, for our sakes. But what is it that follows? âBut as concerning the election, they are beloved for their fathersâ sakes.â For are those enemies who perished in their enmity and those of the same people who still perish in their opposition to Christ, are those chosen and beloved? Away with the thought! Who is so utterly foolish as to say this? But both expressions, although contrary to one anotherathat is, aenemiesa and abelovedaare appropriate, though not to the same men, yet to the same Jewish people, and to the same carnal seed of Israel, of whom some belonged to the falling away, and some to the blessing of Israel himself. For the apostle previously explained this meaning more clearly when he said, a That which Israel wrought for, he hath not obtained: but the election hath obtained it, and the rest were blinded?â[3521]3519 Yet in both cases it was the very same Israel. Where, therefore, we hear, alsrael hath not obtained, a or, a The rest were blinded, a there are to be understood the enemies for our sakes; but where we hear, athat the election hath obtained it, a there are to be understood the beloved for their fatheras sakes, to which fathers those things were assuredly promised; because athe promises were made to Abraham and his seed, a[3522]3520 whence also in that olive-tree is grafted the wild olive-tree of the Gentiles. Now subsequently we certainly ought to fall in with the election, of which he says that it is according to grace, not according to debt, because athere was made a remnant by the election of gracea[3523]3521 This election obtained it, the rest being blinded. As concerning this election, the Israelites were beloved for the sake of their fathers. For they were not called with that calling of which it is said, âMany are called, â but with that whereby the chosen are called. Whence also after he had said, âBut as concerning the election, they are beloved for the fathersâ sakes,â he went on to add those words whence this discussion arose: aFor the gifts and calling of God are without repentance, aathat is, they are firmly established without change. Those who belong to this calling are all teachable by God; nor can any of them say, all believed in order to being thus called, a because the mercy of God anticipated him, because he was so called in order that he might believe. For all who are teachable of God come to the Son because they have heard and learned from the Father through the Son, who most clearly says, a Every one who has heard of the Father, and has learned, cometh unto me.â[3524]3522 But of such as these none perishes, because âof all that the Father hath given Him, He will lose none.â[3525]3523 Whoever, therefore, is of these does not perish at all; nor was any who perishes ever of these. For which reason it is said, a They went out from among us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would certainly have continued with us.a[3526]3524

Chapter 34 [XVII.]âThe Special Calling of the Elect is Not Because They Have Believed, But in Order that They May Believe.

Let us, then, understand the calling whereby they become elected, anot those who are elected because they have believed, but who are elected that they may believe. For the Lord Himself also sufficiently explains this calling when He says, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you.â[3527]3525 For if they had been elected because they had believed, they themselves would certainly have first chosen Him by believing in Him, so that they should deserve to be elected. But He takes away this supposition altogether when He says, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you.â And yet they themselves, beyond a doubt, chose Him when they believed on Him. Whence it is not for any other reason that He says, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, a than because they did not choose Him that He should choose them, but He chose them that they might choose Him; because His mercy preceded them according to grace, not according to debt. Therefore He chose them out of the world while He was wearing flesh, but as those who were already chosen in Himself before the foundation of the world. This is the changeless truth concerning predestination and grace. For what is it that the apostle says, âAs He hath chosen us in Himself before the foundation of the worlda?[3528]3526 And assuredly, if this were said because God foreknew that they would believe, not because He Himself would make them believers, the Son is speaking against such a foreknowledge as that when He says, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you; a when God should rather have foreknown this very thing, that they themselves would have chosen Him, so that they might deserve to be chosen by Him. Therefore they were elected before the foundation

of the world with that predestination in which God foreknew what He Himself would do; but they were elected out of the world with that calling whereby God fulfilled that which He predestinated. For whom He predestinated, them He also called, with that calling, to wit, which is according to the purpose. Not others, therefore, but those whom He predestinated, them He also called; nor others, but those whom He so called, them He also justified; nor others, but those whom He predestinated, called, and justified, them He also glorified; assuredly to that end which has no end. Therefore God elected believers; but He chose them that they might be so, not because they were already so. The Apostle James says: âHas not God chosen the poor in this world, rich in faith, and heirs of the kingdom which God hath promised to them that love Him?a[3529]3527 By choosing them, therefore; He makes them rich in faith, as He makes them heirs of the kingdom; because He is rightly said to choose that in them, in order to make which in them He chose them. I ask, who can hear the Lord saying, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you,â and can dare to say that men believe in order to be elected, when they are rather elected to believe; lest against the judgment of truth they be found to have first chosen Christ to whom Christ says, âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen youâ?[3530]3528

Chapter 35 [XVIII.] a Election is for the Purpose of Holiness.

Who can hear the apostle saying, âBlessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath blessed us in all spiritual blessing in the heavens in Christ: as He has chosen us in Him before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and without spot in His sight; in love predestinating us to the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to Himself according to the good pleasure of His will, wherein He hath shown us favour in His beloved Son; in whom we have redemption through His blood, the remission of sins according to the riches of His grace, which hath abounded to us in all wisdom and prudence; that He might show to us the mystery of His will according to His good pleasure, which He hath purposed in Himself, in the dispensation of the fulness of times, to restore all things in Christ, which are in heaven, and in the earth, in Him: in whom also we have obtained a share, being predestinated according to the purpose; who worketh all things according to the counsel of His will, that we should be to the praise of his glory; a[3531]3529awho, I say, can hear these words with attention and intelligence, and can venture to have any doubt concerning a truth so clear as this which we are defending? God chose Christâs members in Him before the foundation of the world; and how should He choose those who as yet did not exist, except by predestinating them? Therefore He chose us by predestinating us. Would he choose the unholy and the unclean? Now if the question be proposed, whether He would choose such, or rather the holy and unstained, who can ask which of these he may answer, and not give his opinion at once in favour of the holy and pure?

Chapter 36.âGod Chose the Righteous; Not Those Whom He Foresaw as Being of Themselves, But Those Whom He Predestinated for the Purpose of Making So. âTherefore, â says the Pelagian, âHe foreknew who would be holy and immaculate by the choice of free will, and on that account elected them before the foundation of the world in that same foreknowledge of His in which He foreknew that they would be such. Therefore He elected them, a says he, abefore they existed, predestinating them to be children whom He foreknew to be holy and immaculate. Certainly He did not make them so; nor did He foresee that He would make them so, but that they would be so.â Let us, then, look into the words of the apostle and see whether He chose us before the foundation of the world because we were going to be holy and immaculate, or in order that we might be so. aBlessed, a says he, abe the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath blessed us in all spiritual blessing in the heavens in Christ: even as He hath chosen us in Himself before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and unspotted.â[3532]3530 Not, then, because we were to be so, but that we might be so. Assuredly it is certain, assuredly it is manifest. Certainly we were to be such for the reason that He has chosen us, predestinating us to be such by His grace. Therefore âHe blessed us with spiritual blessing in the heavens in Christ Jesus, even as He chose us in Him before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and immaculate in His sight, in order that we might not in so great a benefit of grace glory concerning the good pleasure of our will. âln which, â says he, âHe hath shown us favour in His beloved Son,ââin which, certainly, His own will, He hath shown us favour. Thus, it is said, He hath shown us grace by grace, even as it is said, He has made us righteous by righteousness. âln whom, â he says, âwe have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins, according to the riches of His grace, which has abounded to us in all wisdom and prudence; that he might show to us the mystery of His will, according to His good pleasure â In this mystery of His will, He placed the riches of His grace, according to His good pleasure, not according to ours, which could not possibly be good unless He Himself, according to His own good pleasure, should aid it to become so. But when he had said, âAccording to His good pleasure,â he added, âwhich He purposed in Him, a that is, in His beloved Son, ain the dispensation of the fulness of times to restore all things in Christ, which are in heaven, and which are in earth, in Him: in whom also we too have obtained a lot, being predestinated according to His purpose who worketh all things according to the counsel of His will; that we should be to the praise of His glory.â

Chapter 37.âWe Were Elected and Predestinated, Not Because We Were Going to Be Holy, But in Order that We Might Be So.

It would be too tedious to argue about the several points. But you see without doubt, you see with what evidence of apostolic declaration this grace is defended, in opposition to which human merits are set up, as if man should first give something for it to be recompensed to him again. Therefore God chose us in Christ before the foundation of the world, predestinating us to the adoption of children, not because we were going to be of ourselves holy and immaculate, but He chose and predestinated us that we might be so. Moreover, He did this according to the good pleasure of His will, so that nobody might glory concerning his own will, but about Godâs will towards himself. He did this according to the riches of His grace, according to His good-will, which He purposed in His beloved Son; in whom we have obtained a share, being predestinated according to the purpose, not ours, but His, who worketh all things to such an extent as that He worketh in us to will also. Moreover, He worketh according to the counsel of His will, that we may be to the praise of His glory.[3533]3531 For this reason it is that we cry that no one should glory in man, and, thus, not in himself; but whoever glorieth let him glory in the Lord, that he may be for the praise of His glory. Because He Himself worketh according to His purpose that we may be to the praise of His glory. and, of course, holy and immaculate, for which purpose He called us, predestinating us before the foundation of the world. Out of this, His purpose, is that special calling of the elect for whom He co-worketh with all things for good, because they are called according to His purpose, and athe gifts and calling of God are without repentance.â[3534]3532

Chapter 38 [XIX.]âWhat is the View of the Pelagians, and What of the Semi-Pelagians, Concerning Predestination.

But these brethren of ours, about whom and on whose behalf we are now discoursing, say, perhaps, that the Pelagians are refuted by this apostolical testimony in which it is said that we are chosen in Christ and predestinated before the foundation of the world, in order that we should be holy and immaculate in His sight in love. For they think that ahaving received Godas commands we are of ourselves by the choice of our free will made holy and immaculate in His sight in love; and since God foresaw that this would be the case, a they say, aHe therefore chose and predestinated us in Christ before the foundation of the world.â Although the apostle says that it was not because He foreknew that we should be such, but in order that we might be such by the same election of His grace, by which He showed us favour in His beloved Son. When, therefore, He predestinated us, He foreknew His own work by which He makes us holy and immaculate. Whence the Pelagian error is rightly refuted by this testimony. aBut we say, a say they, âthat God did not foreknow anything as ours except that faith by which we begin to believe, and that He chose and predestinated us before the foundation of the world, in order that we might be holy and immaculate by His grace and by His work. a But let them also hear in this testimony the words where he says, aWe have obtained a lot, being predestinated according to His purpose who worketh all things.â[3535]3533 He, therefore, worketh the beginning of our belief who worketh all things; because faith itself does not precede that calling of which it is said: aFor the gifts and calling of God are without repentance: a[3536]3534 and of which it is said: aNot of works. but of Him that calletha[3537]3535 (although He might have said, aof Him that believetha); and the election which the Lord signified when He said: âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you.â[3538]3536 For He chose us, not because we believed, but that we might believe, lest we should be said first to have chosen Him, and so His word be false (which be it far from us to think possible), âYe have not chosen me, but I have chosen you.â Neither are we called because we believed, but that we may believe; and by that calling which is without repentance it is effected and carried through that we should believe. But all the

many things which we have said concerning this matter need not to be repeated.

Chapter 39aThe Beginning of Faith is Godas Gift.

Finally, also, in what follows this testimony, the apostle gives thanks to God on behalf of those who have believed; anot, certainly, because the gospel has been declared to them, but because they have believed. For he says, aln whom also after ve had heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation; in whom also, after that ye believed, ye were sealed with the Holy Spirit of promise, which is the pledge of our inheritance, to the redemption of the purchased possession unto the praise of His glory. Wherefore I also, after I had heard of your faith in Christ Jesus and with reference to all the saints, cease not to give thanks for you.â[3539]3537 Their faith was new and recent on the preaching of the gospel to them, which faith when he hears of, the apostle gives thanks to God on their behalf. If he were to give thanks to man for that which he might either think or know that man had not given, it would be called a flattery or a mockery, rather than a giving of thanks. and not err, for God is not mocked; a[3540]3538 for His gift is also the beginning of faith, unless the apostolic giving of thanks be rightly judged to be either mistaken or fallacious. What then? Does that not appear as the beginning of the faith of the Thessalonians, for which, nevertheless, the same apostle gives thanks to God when he says, aFor this cause also we thank God without ceasing, because when ye had received from us the word of the hearing of God, ye received it not as the word of men, but as it is in truth the word of God, which effectually worketh in you and which ye believeda?[3541]3539 What is that for which he here gives thanks to God? Assuredly it is a vain and idle thing if He to whom he gives thanks did not Himself do the thing. But, since this is not a vain and idle thing, certainly God, to whom he gave thanks concerning this work, Himself did it; that when they had received the word of the hearing of God, they received it not as the word of men, but as it is in truth the word of God. God. therefore, worketh in the hearts of men with that calling according to His purpose, of which we have spoken a great deal, that they should not hear the gospel in vain, but when they heard it, should be converted and believe, receiving it not as the word of men, but as it is in truth the word of God.

Chapter 40 [XX.]âApostolic Testimony to the Beginning of Faith Being Godâs Gift.

Moreover, we are admonished that the beginning of menâs faith is Godâs gift, since the apostle signifies this when, in the Epistle to the Colossians, he says, âContinue in prayer, and watch in the same in giving of thanks. Withal praying also for us that God would open unto us the door of His word, to speak the mystery of Christ, for which also I am in bonds, that I may so make it manifest as I ought to speak.â[3542]3540 How is the door of His word opened, except when the sense of the hearer is opened so that he may believe, and, having made a beginning of faith, may admit those things which are declared and reasoned, for the purpose of building up wholesome doctrine, lest, by a heart closed through unbelief, he reject and repel those things

which are spoken? Whence, also, he says to the Corinthians: âBut I will tarry at Ephesus until Pentecost. For a great and evident door is opened unto me, and there are many adversaries.â[3543]3541 What else can be understood here, save that, when the gospel had been first of all preached there by him, many had believed, and there had appeared many adversaries of the same faith, in accordance with that saying of the Lord, âNo one cometh unto me, unless it were given him of my Father;â[3544]3542 and, âTo you it is given to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not givenâ?[3545]3543 Therefore, there is an open door in those to whom it is given, but there are many adversaries among those to whom it is not given.

Chapter 41.<sup>a</sup>Further Apostolic Testimonies.

And again, the same apostle says to the same people, in his second Epistle: aWhen I had come to Troas for the gospel of Christ, and a door had been opened unto me in the Lord, I had no rest in my spirit, because I found not Titus, my brother: but, making my farewell to them, I went away into Macedonia.a[3546]3544 To whom did he bid farewell but to those who had believed, ato wit, in whose hearts the door was opened for his preaching of the gospel? But attend to what he adds, saying, aNow thanks be unto God, who always causes us to triumph in Christ, and maketh manifest the savour of His knowledge by us in every place: because we are unto God a sweet savour of Christ in them who are saved, and in them who perish: to some, indeed, we are the savour of death unto death, but to some the savour of life unto life.â[3547]3545 See concerning what this most zealous soldier and invincible defender of grace gives thanks. See concerning what he gives thanks, athat the apostles are a sweet savour of Christ unto God, both in those who are saved by His grace, and in those who perish by His judgment. But in order that those who little understand these things may be less enraged, he himself gives a warning when he adds the words: aAnd who is sufficient for these things?a[3548]3546 But let us return to the opening of the door by which the apostle signified the beginning of faith in his hearers. For what is the meaning of, aWithal praying also for us that God would open unto us a door of the word, a[3549]3547 unless it is a most manifest demonstration that even the very beginning of faith is the gift of God? For it would not be sought for from Him in praver, unless it were believed to be given by Him. This gift of heavenly grace had descended to that seller of purple[3550]3548 for whom, as Scripture says in the Acts of the Apostles, a The Lord opened her heart, and she gave heed unto the things which were said by Paul; a for she was so called that she might believe. Because God does what He will in the hearts of men, either by assistance or by judgment; so that, even through their means, may be fulfilled what His hand and counsel have predestinated to be done.

Chapter 42. âOld Testament Testimonies.

Therefore also it is in vain that objectors have alleged, that what we have proved by Scripture testimony from the books of Kings and Chronicles is not pertinent to the subject of which we are discoursing:[3551]3549 such, for instance, as that when God wills that to be done which ought only to be done by the willing men, their

hearts are inclined to will this, âinclined, that is to say, by His power, who, in a marvellous and ineffable manner, worketh in us also to will. What else is this than to say nothing, and yet to contradict? Unless perchance, they have given some reason to you for the view that they have taken, which reason you have preferred to say nothing about in your letters. But what that reason can be I do not know. Whether, possibly, since we have shown that God has so acted on the hearts of men, and has induced the wills of those whom He pleased to this point, that Saul or David should be established as king. ado they not think that these instances are appropriate to this subject, because to reign in this world temporally is not the same thing as to reign eternally with God? And so do they suppose that God inclines the wills of those whom He pleases to the attainment of earthly kingdoms, but does not incline them to the attainment of a heavenly kingdom? But I think that it was in reference to the kingdom of heaven, and not to an earthly kingdom, that it was said, alncline my heart unto Thy testimonies; a[3552]3550 or, aThe steps of a man are ordered by the Lord, and He will will His way; a[3553]3551 or, a The will is prepared by the Lord; a[3554]3552 or, aLet our Lord be with us as with our fathers; let Him not forsake us, nor turn Himself away from us; let Him incline our hearts unto Him, that we may walk in all His ways; a[3555]3553 or, al will give them a heart to know me, and ears that hear; a[3556]3554 or, al will give them another heart, and a new spirit will I give them.â[3557]3555 Let them also hear this, âl will give my Spirit within you, and I will cause you to walk in my righteousness; and ve shall observe my judgments, and do them.â[3558]3556 Let them hear, âManâs goings are directed by the Lord, and how can a man understand His ways?â[3559]3557 Let them hear, aEvery man seemeth right to himself, but the Lord directeth the hearts.â[3560]3558 Let them hear, âAs many as were ordained to eternal life believed.â[3561]3559 Let them hear these passages, and whatever others of the kind I have not mentioned in which God is declared to prepare and to convert menâs wills, even for the kingdom of heaven and for eternal life. And consider what sort of a thing it is to believe that God worketh menâs wills for the foundation of earthly kingdoms, but that men work their own wills for the attainment of the kingdom of heaven.

Chapter 43 [XXI.] âConclusion.

I have said a great deal, and, perchance, I could long ago have persuaded you what I wished, and am still speaking this to such intelligent minds as if they were obtuse, to whom even what is too much is not enough. But let them pardon me, for a new question has compelled me to this. Because, although in my former little treatises I had proved by sufficiently appropriate proofs that faith also was the gift of God, there was found this ground of contradiction, viz., that those testimonies were good for this purpose, to show that the increase of faith was Godâs gift, but that the beginning of faith, whereby a man first of all believes in Christ, is of the man himself, and is not the gift of God, âbut that God requires this, so that when it has preceded, other gifts may follow, as it were on the ground of this merit, and these are the gifts of God; and that none of them is given freely, although in them Godâs grace is declared, which is not grace except as being gratuitous. And you see how absurd all this is. Wherefore I determined, as far as I could, to set forth that this very beginning also is Godâs gift. And if I have done this at a greater length than perhaps those on whose account I did it might wish, I am prepared to be reproached for it by them, so long as they nevertheless confess that, although at greater length than they wished, although with the disgust and weariness of those that understand, I have done what I have done: that is, I have taught that even the beginning of faith, as continence, patience, righteousness, piety, and the rest, concerning which there is no dispute with them, is Godâs gift. Let this, therefore, be the end of this treatise, lest too great length in this one may give offence.

a treatise on the gift of perseverance.

A Treatise on the gift of perseverance,[3562]3560

by aurelius augustin, bishop of hippo;

Being the Second Book,

Of the Treatise aOn the Predestination of the Saints.a

addressed to prosper and hilary.

a.d. 428 or 429.

## âââââââââââââ

In the first part of the book he proves that the perseverance by which a man perseveres in Christ to the end is Godâs gift; for that it is a mockery to ask of God that which is not believed to be given by God. Moreover, that in the Lordâs prayer scarcely anything is asked for but perseverance, according to the exposition of the martyr Cyprian, by which exposition the enemies to this grace were convicted before they were born. He teaches that the grace of perseverance is not given according to the merits of the receivers, but to some it is given by Godâs mercy; to others it is not given, by His righteous judgment. That it is inscrutable why, of adults, one rather than another should be called; just as, moreover, of two infants it is inscrutable why the one should be taken, the other left. But that it is still more inscrutable why, of two pious persons, to one it should be given to persevere, to the other it should not be given; but that this is most certain, that the former is of the predestinated, the latter is not. He observes that the mystery of predestination is set forth in our Lordâs words concerning the people of Tyre and Sidon, who would have repented if the same miracles had been done among them which had been done in Chorazin. He shows that the case of infants is of force to confirm the truth of predestination and grace in older people; and he answers the passage of his third book on free will, unsoundly alleged on this point by his adversaries. Subsequently, in the second part of this work, he rebuts what they say, ato wit, that the definition of predestination is opposed to the usefulness of exhortation and rebuke. He asserts, on the other hand, that it is advantageous to preach

predestination, so that man may not glory in himself, but in the Lord. As to the objections, however, which they make against predestination, he shows that the same objections may be twisted in no unlike manner either against Godâs foreknowledge or against that grace which they all agree to be necessary for other good things (with the exception of the beginning of faith and the completion of perseverance). For that the predestination of the saints is nothing else than Godâs foreknowledge and preparation for His benefits, by which whoever are delivered are most certainly delivered. But he bids that predestination should be preached in a harmonious manner, and not in such a way as to seem to an unskilful multitude as if it were disproved by its very preaching. Lastly, he commends to us Jesus Christ, as placed before our eyes, as the most eminent instance of predestination.

Chapter 1 [I.] âOf the Nature of the Perseverance Here Discoursed of.

I Have now to consider the subject of perseverance with greater care; for in the former book also I said some things on this subject when I was discussing the beginning of faith. I assert, therefore, that the perseverance by which we persevere in Christ even to the end is the gift of God; and I call that the end by which is finished that life wherein alone there is peril of falling. Therefore it is uncertain whether any one has received this gift so long as he is still alive. For if he fall before he dies, he is, of course, said not to have persevered; and most truly is it said. How, then, should he be said to have received or to have had perseverance who has not persevered? For if any one have continence, and fall away from that virtue and become incontinent, aor, in like manner, if he have righteousness, if patience, if even faith, and fall away, he is rightly said to have had these virtues and to have them no longer; for he was continent, or he was righteous, or he was patient, or he was believing, as long as he was so; but when he ceased to be so, he no longer is what he was. But how should he who has not persevered have ever been persevering, since it is only by persevering that any one shows himself persevering, â and this he has not done? But lest any one should object to this, and say, If from the time at which any one became a believer he has livedafor the sake of argumentaten years, and in the midst of them has fallen from the faith, has he not persevered for five years? I am not contending about words. If it be thought that this also should be called perseverance, as it were for so long as it lasts, assuredly he is not to be said to have had in any degree that perseverance of which we are now discoursing, by which one perseveres in Christ even to the end. And the believer of one year, or of a period as much shorter as may be conceived of, if he has lived faithfully until he died, has rather had this perseverance than the believer of many yearsâ standing, if a little time before his death he has fallen away from the stedfastness of his faith.

Chapter 2 [II.]âFaith is the Beginning of a Christian Man. Martyrdom for Christâs Sake is His Best Ending.

This matter being settled, let us see whether this perseverance, of which it was said, âHe that persevereth unto the end, the same shall

be saved, a[3563]3561 is a gift of God. And if it be not, how is that saying of the apostle true: âUnto you it is given in the behalf of Christ, not only to believe on Him, but also to suffer for His sakea?[3564]3562 Of these things, certainly, one has respect to the beginning, the other to the end. Yet each is the gift of God, because both are said to be given; as, also, I have already said above. For what is more truly the beginning for a Christian than to believe in Christ? What end is better than to suffer for Christ? But so far as pertains to believing in Christ, whatever kind of contradiction has been discovered, that not the beginning but the increase of faith should be called Godâs gift, ato this opinion, by Godâs gift, I have answered enough, and more than enough. But what reason can be given why perseverance to the end should not be given in Christ to him to whom it is given to suffer for Christ, or, to speak more distinctly, to whom it is given to die for Christ? For the Apostle Peter, showing that this is the gift of God, says, alt is better, if the will of God be so, to suffer for well-doing than for evil-doing.â[3565]3563 When he says, alf the will of God be so, a he shows that this is divinely given, and yet not to all saints, to suffer for Christâs sake. For certainly those whom the will of God does not will to attain to the experience and the glory of suffering, do not fail to attain to the kingdom of God if they persevere in Christ to the end. But who can say that this perseverance is not given to those who die in Christ from any weakness of body, or by any kind of accident, although a far more difficult perseverance is given to those by whom even death itself is undergone for Christâs sake? Because perseverance is much more difficult when the persecutor is engaged in preventing a manâs perseverance; and therefore he is sustained in his perseverance unto death. Hence it is more difficult to have the former perseverance, aeasier to have the latter; but to Him to whom nothing is difficult it is easy to give both. For God has promised this, saying, al will put my fear in their hearts, that they may not depart from me.a[3566]3564 And what else is this than, aSuch and so great shall be my fear that I will put into their hearts that they will perseveringly cleave to meâ?

Chapter 3.âGod is Besought for It, Because It is His Gift.

But why is that perseverance asked for from God if it is not given by God? Is that, too, a mocking petition, when that is asked from Him which it is known that He does not give, but, though He gives it not, is in manas power; just as that giving of thanks is a mockery, if thanks are given to God for that which He did not give nor do? But what I have said there,[3567]3565 I say also here again: âBe not deceived, a says the apostle, aGod is not mocked.a[3568]3566 O man, God is a witness not only of your words, but also of your thoughts. If you ask anything in truth and faith of one who is so rich, believe that you receive from Him from whom you ask, what you ask. Abstain from honouring Him with your lips and extolling yourself over Him in your heart, by believing that you have from yourself what you are pretending to beseech from Him. Is not this perseverance, perchance, asked for from Him? He who says this is not to be rebuked by any arguments, but must be overwhelmed[3569]3567 with the prayers of the saints. Is there any of these who does not ask for himself from God

that he may persevere in Him, when in that very prayer which is called the Lordâsâbecause the Lord taught itâwhen it is prayed by the saints, scarcely anything else is understood to be prayed for but perseverance?

Chapter 4.âThree Leading Points of the Pelagian Doctrine.

Read with a little more attention its exposition in the treatise of the blessed martyr Cyprian, which he wrote concerning this matter, the title of which is, On the Lordâs Prayer; and see how many years ago, and what sort of an antidote was prepared against those poisons which the Pelagians were one day to use. For there are three points, as you know, which the catholic Church chiefly maintains against them. One of these is, that the grace of God is not given according to our merits; because even every one of the merits of the righteous is Godâs gift. and is conferred by Godâs grace. The second is, that no one lives in this corruptible body, however righteous he may be, without sins of some kind. The third is, that man is born obnoxious to the first manas sin, and bound by the chain of condemnation, unless the guilt which is contracted by generation be loosed by regeneration. Of these three points, that which I have placed last is the only one that is not treated of in the above-named book of the glorious martyr; but of the two others the discourse there is of such perspicuity, that the above-named heretics, modern enemies of the grace of Christ, are found to have been convicted long before they were born. Among these merits of the saints, then, which are no merits unless they are the gifts of God, he says that perseverance also is Godâs gift, in these words: âWe say, âHallowed be Thy name; a not that we ask for God that He may be hallowed by our prayers, but that we beseech of Him that His name may be hallowed in us. But by whom is God sanctified, since He Himself sanctifies? Well, because He says, Be ye holy because I also am holy, we ask and entreat that we, who were sanctified in baptism, may persevere in that which we have begun to be.a[3570]3568 And a little after, still arguing about that self-same matter, and teaching that we entreat perseverance from the Lord, which we could in no wise rightly and truly do unless it were His gift, he says: aWe pray that this sanctification may abide in us; and because our Lord and Judge warns the man that was healed and quickened by Him to sin no more, lest a worse thing happen unto him, we make this supplication in our constant prayers; we ask this, day and night, that the sanctification and quickening which is received from the grace of God may be preserved by His protection.â[3571]3569 That teacher, therefore, understands that we are asking from Him for perseverance in sanctification, that is, that we should persevere in sanctification, when we who are sanctified say, âHallowed be Thy name.â For what else is it to ask for what we have already received, than that it be given to us also not to cease from its possession? As, therefore, the saint, when he asks God that he may be holy, is certainly asking that he may continue to be holy, so certainly the chaste person also, when he asks that he may be chaste, the continent that he may be continent, the righteous that he may be righteous, the pious that he may be pious, and the like, awhich things, against the Pelagians, we maintain to be Godas gifts, are asking, without doubt, that they may persevere in those good things which they have acknowledged that they have received. And if they

receive this, assuredly they also receive perseverance itself, the great gift of God, whereby His other gifts are preserved.

Chapter 5.âThe Second Petition in the Lordâs Prayer.

What, when we say, âThy kingdom come,â do we ask else, but that that should also come to us which we do not doubt will come to all saints? And therefore here also, what do they who are already holy pray for, save that they may persevere in that holiness which has been given them? For no otherwise will the kingdom of God come to them; which it is certain will come not to others, but to those who persevere to the end.

Chapter 6 [III.]âThe Third Petition. How Heaven and Earth are Understood in the Lordâs Prayer.

The third petition is, a Thy will be done in heaven and in earth; a or, as it is read in many codices, and is more frequently made use of by petitioners, âAs in heaven, so also in earth,â which many people understand, âAs the holy angels, so also may we do thy will.â That teacher and martyr will have heaven and earth, however, to be understood as spirit and flesh, and says that we pray that we may do the will of God with the full concord of both. He saw in these words also another meaning, congruous to the soundest faith, of which meaning I have already spoken above, ato wit, that for unbelievers, who are as yet earth, bearing in their first birth only the earthly man, believers are understood to pray, who, being clothed with the heavenly man, are not unreasonably called by the name of heaven; where he plainly shows that the beginning of faith also is Godâs gift, since the holy Church prays not only for believers, that faith may be increased or may continue in them, but, moreover, for unbelievers, that they may begin to have what they have not had at all, and against which, besides, they were indulging hostile feelings. Now, however, I am arguing not concerning the beginning of faith, of which I have already spoken much in the former book, but of that perseverance which must be had even to the end, awhich assuredly even the saints, who do the will of God, seek when they say in prayer, a Thy will be done.a For, since it is already done in them, why do they still ask that it may be done, except that they may persevere in that which they have begun to be? Nevertheless, it may here be said that the saints do not ask that the will of God may be done in heaven, but that it may be done in earth as in heaven, athat is to say, that earth may imitate heaven, that is, that man may imitate the angel, or that an unbeliever may imitate a believer; and thus that the saints are asking that that may be which is not yet, not that that which is may continue. For, by whatever holiness men may be distinguished, they are not yet equal to the angels of God; not yet, therefore, is the will of God done in them as it is in heaven. And if this be so, in that portion indeed in which we ask that men from unbelievers may become believers, it is not perseverance, but beginning, that seems to be asked for; but in that in which we ask that men may be made equal to the angels of God in doing Godâs will, awhere the saints pray for this, they are found to be praying for perseverance; since no one attains to that highest blessedness which is in the kingdom, unless he shall persevere unto

the end in that holiness which he has received on earth.

Chapter 7 [IV.]âThe Fourth Petition.

The fourth petition is, âGive us this day our daily bread,â[3572]3570 where the blessed Cyprian shows how here also perseverance is understood to be asked for. Because he says, among other things, âAnd we ask that this bread should be given to us daily, that we who are in Christ, and daily receive the Eucharist for the food of salvation, may not by the interposition of some heinous sin be separated from Christâs body by being withheld from communicating and prevented from partaking of the heavenly bread.â[3573]3571 These words of the holy man of God indicate that the saints ask for perseverance directly from God, when with this intention they say, âGive us this day our daily bread,â that they may not be separated from Christâs body, but may continue in that holiness in which they allow no crime by which they may deserve to be separated from it.

Chapter 8 [V.]âThe Fifth Petition. It is an Error of the Pelagians that the Righteous are Free from Sin.

In the fifth sentence of the prayer we say, aForgive us our debts, as we also forgive our debtors, a[3574]3572 in which petition alone perseverance is not found to be asked for. For the sins which we ask to be forgiven us are past, but perseverance, which saves us for eternity, is indeed necessary for the time of this life; but not for the time which is past, but for that which remains even to its end. Yet it is worth the labour to consider for a little, how even already in this petition the heretics who were to arise long after were transfixed by the tongue of Cyprian, as if by the most invincible dart of truth. For the Pelagians dare to say even this: that the righteous man in this life has no sin at all, and that in such men there is even at the present time a Church not having spot or wrinkle or any such thing,[3575]3573 which is the one and only bride of Christ; as if she were not His bride who throughout the whole earth says what she has learnt from Him, aForgive us our debts.a But observe how the most glorious Cyprian destroys these. For when he was expounding that very clause of the Lordas Prayer, he says among other things: aAnd how necessarily, how providently, and salutarily are we admonished that we are sinners, since we are compelled to entreat for our sins; and while pardon is asked for from God, the soul recalls its own consciousness. Lest any one should flatter himself that he is innocent, and by exalting himself should more deeply perish, he is instructed and taught that he sins daily, in that he is bidden daily to entreat for his sins. Thus, moreover, John also in his Epistle warns[3576]3574 us, and says,[3577]3575 alf we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.ââ[3578]3576 And the rest, which it would be long to insert in this place.

Chapter 9.âWhen Perseverance is Granted to a Person, He Cannot But Persevere.

Now, moreover, when the saints say, âLead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil,â[3579]3577 what do they pray for but that they

may persevere in holiness? For, assuredly, when that gift of God is granted to them,âwhich is sufficiently plainly shown to be Godâs gift, since it is asked of Him,âthat gift of God, then, being granted to them that they may not be led into temptation, none of the saints fails to keep his perseverance in holiness even to the end. For there is not any one who ceases to persevere in the Christian purpose unless he is first of all led into temptation. If, therefore, it be granted to him according to his prayer that he may not be led, certainly by the gift of God he persists in that sanctification which by the gift of God he has received.

Chapter 10 [VI.]âThe Gift of Perseverance Can Be Obtained by Prayer.

But you write that athese brethren will not have this perseverance so preached as that it cannot be obtained by prayer or lost by obstinacy.â[3580]3578 In this they are little careful in considering what they say. For we are speaking of that perseverance whereby one perseveres unto the end, and if this is given, one does persevere unto the end; but if one does not persevere unto the end, it is not given, which I have already sufficiently discussed above. Let not men say, then, that perseverance is given to any one to the end, except when the end itself has come, and he to whom it has been given has been found to have persevered unto the end. Certainly, we say that one whom we have known to be chaste is chaste, whether he should continue or not in the same chastity; and if he should have any other divine endowment which may be kept and lost, we say that he has it as long as he has it; and if he should lose it, we say that he had it. But since no one has perseverance to the end except he who does persevere to the end, many people may have it, but none can lose it. For it is not to be feared that perchance when a man has persevered unto the end, some evil will may arise in him, so that he does not persevere unto the end. This gift of God, therefore, may be obtained by prayer, but when it has been given, it cannot be lost by contumacy. For when any one has persevered unto the end, he neither can lose this gift, nor others which he could lose before the end. How, then, can that be lost, whereby it is brought about that even that which could be lost is not lost?

Chapter 11.âEffect of Prayer for Perseverance.

But, lest perchance it be said that perseverance even to the end is not indeed lost when it has once been given, âthat is, when a man has persevered unto the end, âbut that it is lost, in some sense, when a man by contumacy so acts that he is not able to attain to it; just as we say that a man who has not persevered unto the end has lost eternal life or the kingdom of God, not because he had already received and actually had it, but because he would have received and had it if he had persevered; âlet us lay aside controversies of words, and say that some things even which are not possessed, but are hoped to be possessed, may be lost. Let any one who dares, tell me whether God cannot give what He has commanded to be asked from Him. Certainly he who affirms this, I say not is a fool, but he is mad. But God commanded that His saints should say to Him in prayer, âLead us not into temptation.â Whoever, therefore, is heard when he asks this, is not led into the temptation of contumacy, whereby he could or would be worthy to lose perseverance in holiness.

Chapter 12.âOf His Own Will a Man Forsakes God, So that He is Deservedly Forsaken of Him.

But, on the other hand, and his own will a man forsakes God, so as to be deservedly forsaken by God.â Who would deny this? But it is for that reason we ask not to be led into temptation, so that this may not happen. And if we are heard, certainly it does not happen, because God does not allow it to happen. For nothing comes to pass except what either He Himself does, or Himself allows to be done. Therefore He is powerful both to turn wills from evil to good, and to convert those that are inclined to fall, or to direct them into a way pleasing to Himself. For to Him it is not said in vain, âO God, Thou shalt turn again and quicken us; a[3581]3579 it is not vainly said, aGive not my foot to be moved; a[3582]3580 it is not vainly said, aGive me not over, O Lord, from my desire to the sinner; a[3583]3581 finally, not to mention many passages, since probably more may occur to you, it is not vainly said, âLead us not into temptation.â[3584]3582 For whoever is not led into temptation, certainly is not led into the temptation of his own evil will; and he who is not led into the temptation of his own evil will, is absolutely led into no temptation. For aevery one is tempted, as it is written, awhen he is drawn away of his own lust. and enticed; a[3585]3583 abut God tempteth no man, a[3586]3584 athat is to say, with a hurtful temptation. For temptation is moreover beneficial by which we are not deceived or overwhelmed, but proved, according to that which is said, aProve me, O Lord, and try me.â[3587]3585 Therefore, with that hurtful temptation which the apostle signifies when he says, aLest by some means the tempter have tempted you, and our labour be in vain, a[3588]3586 aGod tempteth no man, â as I have said, âthat is, He brings or leads no one into temptation. For to be tempted and not to be led into temptation is not evil, anay, it is even good; for this it is to be proved. When, therefore, we say to God, aLead us not into temptation, a what do we say but, aPermit us not to be leda? Whence some pray in this manner, and it is read in many codices, and the most blessed Cyprian thus uses it: aDo not suffer us to be led into temptation.a In the Greek gospel, however. I have never found it otherwise than, aLead us not into temptation.â We live, therefore, more securely if we give up the whole to God, and do not entrust ourselves partly to Him and partly to ourselves, as that venerable martyr saw. For when he would expound the same clause of the prayer, he says among other things, âBut when we ask that we may not come into temptation, we are reminded of our infirmity and weakness while we thus ask, lest any should insolently vaunt himself, alest any should proudly and arrogantly assume anything to himself, alest any should take to himself the glory either of confession or suffering as his own; since the Lord Himself, teaching humility, said, aWatch and pray, that ye enter not into temptation; the Spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak. a So that when a humble and submissive confession comes first and all is attributed to God, whatever is sought for suppliantly, with the fear of God, may be granted by His own loving-kindness.â[3589]3587

Chapter 13 [VII.]âTemptation the Condition of Man.

If, then, there were no other proofs, this Lordâs Prayer alone would be sufficient for us on behalf of the grace which I am defending; because it leaves us nothing wherein we may, as it were, glory as in our own, since it shows that our not departing from God is not given except by God, when it shows that it must be asked for from God. For he who is not led into temptation does not depart from God. This is absolutely not in the strength of free will, such as it now is; but it had been in man before he fell. And yet how much this freedom of will availed in the excellence of that primal state appeared in the angels; who, when the devil and his angels fell, stood in the truth, and deserved to attain to that perpetual security of not falling, in which we are most certain that they are now established. But, after the fall of man, God willed it to pertain only to His grace that man should approach to Him; nor did He will it to pertain to aught but His grace that man should not depart from Him.

Chapter 14.âlt is Godâs Grace Both that Man Comes to Him, and that Man Does Not Depart from Him.

This grace He placed ain Him in whom we have obtained a lot, being predestinated according to the purpose of Him who worketh all things.â[3590]3588 And thus as He worketh that we come to Him, so He worketh that we do not depart. Wherefore it was said to Him by the mouth of the prophet, aLet Thy hand be upon the man of Thy right hand, and upon the Son of man whom Thou madest strong for Thyself, and we will not depart from Thee.a[3591]3589 This certainly is not the first Adam, in whom we departed from Him, but the second Adam, upon whom His hand is placed, so that we do not depart from Him. For Christ altogether with His members isafor the Churchas sake, which is His bodyathe fulness of Him. When, therefore, Godas hand is upon Him, that we depart not from God, assuredly Godâs work reaches to us (for this is Godâs hand); by which work of God we are caused to be abiding in Christ with Godânot, as in Adam, departing from God. For âin Christ we have obtained a lot, being predestinated according to His purpose who worketh all things.â This, therefore, is Godâs hand, not ours, that we depart not from God. That, I say, is His hand who said, al will put my fear in their hearts, that they depart not from me.a[3592]3590

Chapter 15.âWhy God Willed that He Should Be Asked for that Which He Might Give Without Prayer.

Wherefore, also He willed that He should be asked that we may not be led into temptation, because if we are not led, we by no means depart from Him. And this might have been given to us even without our praying for it, but by our prayer He willed us to be admonished from whom we receive these benefits. For from whom do we receive but from Him from whom it is right for us to ask? Truly in this matter let not the Church look for laborious disputations, but consider its own daily prayers. It prays that the unbelieving may believe; therefore God converts to the faith. It prays that believers may persevere; therefore God gives perseverance to the end. God foreknew that He would do this. This is the very predestination of the saints, âwhom He has chosen in Christ before the foundation of the world, that they should be holy and unspotted before Him in love; predestinating them unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to Himself, according to the good pleasure of His will, to the praise of the glory of His grace, in which He hath shown them favour in His beloved Son, in whom they have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins according to the riches of His grace, which has abounded towards them in all wisdom and prudence; that He might show them the mystery of His will according to His good pleasure which He hath purposed in Him, in the dispensation of the fulness of times to restore all things in Christ which are in heaven and which are in earth; in Him, in whom also we have obtained a lot, being predestinated according to His purpose who worketh all things.â[3593]3591 Against a trumpet of truth so clear as this, what man of sober and watchful faith can receive any human arguments?

Chapter 16 [VIII.] aWhy is Not Grace Given According to Merit?

But âwhy,â says one, âis not the grace of God given according to menâs merits?â I answer, Because God is merciful. âWhy, then,â it is asked, âis it not given to all?â And here I reply, Because God is a Judge.[3594]3592 And thus grace is given by Him freely; and by His righteous judgment it is shown in some what grace confers on those to whom it is given. Let us not then be ungrateful, that according to the good pleasure of His will a merciful God delivers so many to the praise of the glory of His grace from such deserved perdition; as, if He should deliver no one therefrom, He would not be unrighteous. Let him, therefore, who is delivered love His grace. Let him who is not delivered acknowledge his due. If, in remitting a debt, goodness is perceived, in requiring it, justiceâunrighteousness is never found to be with God.

Chapter 17.âThe Difficulty of the Distinction Made in the Choice of One and the Rejection of Another.

âBut why, â it is said, âin one and the same case, not only of infants, but even of twin children, is the judgment so diverse? a ls it not a similar question, aWhy in a different case is the judgment the same?a Let us recall, then, those labourers in the vinevard who worked the whole day, and those who toiled one hour. Certainly the case was different as to the labour expended, and yet there was the same judgment in paying the wages. Did the murmurers in this case hear anything from the householder except, Such is my will? Certainly such was his liberality towards some, that there could be no injustice towards others. And both these classes, indeed, are among the good. Nevertheless, so far as it concerns justice and grace, it may be truly said to the guilty who is condemned, also concerning the guilty who is delivered, aTake what thine is, and go thy way;a[3595]3593 al will give unto this one that which is not due; â âls it not lawful for me to do what I will? is thine eye evil because I am good?â And how if he should say, aWhy not to me also? a He will hear, and with reason, aWho art thou, O man, that repliest against God?a[3596]3594 And although assuredly in the one case you see a most benignant benefactor, and in your own case a most righteous exactor, in neither case do you behold

an unjust God. For although He would be righteous even if He were to punish both, he who is delivered has good ground for thankfulness, he who is condemned has no ground for finding fault.

Chapter 18. aBut Why Should One Be Punished More Than Another?

âBut if,â it is said, âit was necessary that, although all were not condemned, He should still show what was due to all, and so He should commend His grace more freely to the vessels of mercy; why in the same case will He punish me more than another, or deliver him more than me?â I say not this. If you ask wherefore; because I confess that I can find no answer to make. And if you further ask why is this, it is because in this matter, even as His anger is righteous and as His mercy is great, so His judgments are unsearchable.

Chapter 19.âWhy Does God Mingle Those Who Will Persevere with Those Who Will Not?

Let the inquirer still go on, and say, âWhy is it that to some who have in good faith worshipped Him He has not given to persevere to the end?â Why except because he does not speak falsely who says, âThey went out from us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, doubtless they would have continued with us.a[3597]3595 Are there, then, two natures of men? By no means. If there were two natures there would not be any grace, for there would be given a gratuitous deliverance to none if it were paid as a debt to nature. But it seems to men that all who appear good believers ought to receive perseverance to the end. But God has judged it to be better to mingle some who would not persevere with a certain number of His saints, so that those for whom security from temptation in this life is not desirable may not be secure. For that which the apostle says, checks many from mischievous elation: aWherefore let him who seems to stand take heed lest he fall. a[3598]3596 But he who falls, falls by his own will, and he who stands, stands by Godâs will. aFor God is able to make him stand; a[3599]3597 therefore he is not able to make himself stand, but God. Nevertheless, it is good not to be high-minded, but to fear. Moreover, it is in his own thought that every one either falls or stands. Now, as the apostle says, and as I have mentioned in my former treatise. aWe are not sufficient to think anything of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.â[3600]3598 Following whom also the blessed Ambrose ventures to say, âFor our heart is not in our own power, nor are our thoughts a And this everybody who is humbly and truly pious feels to be most true.

Chapter 20.âAmbrose on Godâs Control Over Menâs Thoughts.

And when Ambrose said this, he was speaking in that treatise which he wrote concerning Flight from the World, wherein he taught that this world was to be fled not by the body, but by the heart, which he argued could not be done except by Godâs help. For he says: âWe hear frequent discourse concerning fleeing from this world, and I would that the mind was as careful and solicitous as the discourse is easy; but what is worse, the enticement of earthly lusts constantly creeps in, and the pouring out of vanities takes possession of the mind; so

that what you desire to avoid, this you think of and consider in your mind. And this is difficult for a man to beware of, but impossible to get rid of. Finally, the prophet bears witness that it is a matter of wish rather than of accomplishment, when he says, âlncline my heart to Thy testimonies, and not to covetousness. al 360113599 For our heart and our thoughts are not in our own power, and these, poured forth unexpectedly, confuse our mind and soul, and draw them in a different direction from that which you have proposed to yourself; they recall you to worldly things, they interpose things of time, they suggest voluptuous things, they inweave enticing things, and in the very moment when we are seeking to elevate our mind, we are for the most part filled with vain thoughts and cast down to earthly things.â[3602]3600 Therefore it is not in the power of men, but in that of God, that men have power to become sons of God.[3603]3601 Because they receive it from Him who gives pious thoughts to the human heart, by which it has faith, which worketh by love; [3604]3602 for the receiving and keeping of which benefit, and for carrying it on perseveringly unto the end, we are not sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God,[3605]3603 in whose power is our heart and our thoughts.

Chapter 21 [IX.] âlnstances of the Unsearchable Judgments of God.

Therefore, of two infants, equally bound by original sin, why the one is taken and the other left; and of two wicked men of already mature years, why this one should be so called as to follow Him that calleth, while that one is either not called at all, or is not called in such a manner, athe judgments of God are unsearchable. But of two pious men. why to the one should be given perseverance unto the end, and to the other it should not be given, Godâs judgments are even more unsearchable. Yet to believers it ought to be a most certain fact that the former is of the predestinated, the latter is not. aFor if they had been of us, a says one of the predestinated, who had drunk this secret from the breast of the Lord, acertainly they would have continued with us.a[3606]3604 What, I ask, is the meaning of, aThey were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would certainly have continued with usa? Were not both created by Godaboth born of Adamâboth made from the earth, and given from Him who said, âl have created all breath. alignment of the same nature? Lastly, had not both been called, and followed Him that called them? and had not both become, from wicked men, justified men, and both been renewed by the laver of regeneration? But if he were to hear this who beyond all doubt knew what he was saying, he might answer and say: These things are true. In respect of all these things, they were of us. Nevertheless, in respect of a certain other distinction, they were not of us, for if they had been of us, they certainly would have continued with us. What then is this distinction? Godas books lie open, let us not turn away our view; the divine Scripture cries aloud, let us give it a hearing. They were not of them, because they had not been acalled according to the purpose; a they had not been chosen in Christ before the foundation of the world; they had not gained a lot in Him; they had not been predestinated according to His purpose who worketh all things. For if they had been this, they would have been of them, and without doubt they would have continued with them.

Chapter 22.âlt is an Absurdity to Say that the Dead Will Be Judged for Sins Which They Would Have Committed If They Had Lived.

For not to say how possible it may be for God to convert the wills of men averse and opposed to His faith, and to operate on their hearts so that they yield to no adversities, and are overcome by no temptation so as to depart from Him, asince He also can do what the apostle says, namely, not allow them to be tempted above that which they are able: anot, then, to say this, God foreknowing that they would fall, was certainly able to take them away from this life before that fall should occur. Are we to return to that point of still arguing how absurdly it is said that dead men are judged even for those sins which God foreknew that they would have committed if they had lived? which is so abhorrent to the feelings of Christians, or even of human beings, that one is even ashamed to rebut it. Why should it not be said that even the gospel itself has been preached, with so much labour and sufferings of the saints, in vain, or is even still preached in vain, if men could be judged, even without hearing the gospel, according to the contumacy or obedience which God foreknew that they would have had if they had heard it? Tyre and Sidon would not have been condemned, although more slightly than those cities in which, although they did not believe, wonderful works were done by Christ the Lord; because if they had been done in them, they would have repented in dust and ashes, as the utterances of the Truth declare, in which words of His the Lord Jesus shows to us the loftier mystery of predestination.

Chapter 23.âWhy for the People of Tyre and Sidon, Who Would Have Believed, the Miracles Were Not Done Which Were Done in Other Places Which Did Not Believe.

For if we are asked why such miracles were done among those who, when they saw them, would not believe them, and were not done among those who would have believed them if they had seen them, what shall we answer? Shall we say what I have said in that book[3608]3606 wherein I answered some six questions of the Pagans, yet without prejudice of other matters which the wise can inquire into? This indeed I said, as you know, when it was asked why Christ came after so long a time: athat at those times and in those places in which His gospel was not preached, He foreknew that all men would, in regard of His preaching, be such as many were in His bodily presence, apeople, namely, who would not believe on Him, even though the dead were raised by Him.â Moreover, a little after in the same book, and on the same question, I say, aWhat wonder, if Christ knew in former ages that the world was so filled with unbelievers, that He was, with reason, unwilling for His gospel to be preached to them whom He foreknew to be such as would not believe either His words or His miraclesâ? Certainly we cannot say this of Tyre and Sidon; and in their case we recognise that those divine judgments had reference to those causes of predestination, without prejudice to which hidden causes I said that I was then answering such questions as those. Certainly it is easy to accuse the unbelief of the Jews, arising as it did from their free will, since they refused to believe in such great wonders done among themselves.

And this the Lord, reproaching them, declares when He says, aWe unto thee, Chorazin and Bethsaida, because if the mighty works had been done in Tyre and Sidon which have been done in you, they would long ago have repented in dust and ashes.a[3609]3607 But can we say that even the Tyrians and Sidonians would have refused to believe such mighty works done among them, or would not have believed them if they had been done, when the Lord Himself bears witness to them that they would have repented with great humility if those signs of divine power had been done among them? And yet in the day of judgment they will be punished; although with a less punishment than those cities which would not believe the mighty works done in them. For the Lord goes on to say, âNevertheless, I say unto you, it shall be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon in the day of judgment than for you.â[3610]3608 Therefore the former shall be punished with greater severity, the latter with less; but yet they shall be punished. Again, if the dead are judged even in respect of deeds which they would have done if they had lived, assuredly since these would have been believers if the gospel had been preached to them with so great miracles, they certainly ought not to be punished; but they will be punished. It is therefore false that the dead are judged in respect also of those things which they would have done if the gospel had reached them when they were alive. And if this is false, there is no ground for saying, concerning infants who perish because they die without baptism, that this happens in their case deservedly, because God foreknew that if they should live and the gospel should be preached to them, they would hear it with unbelief. It remains, therefore, that they are kept bound by original sin alone, and for this alone they go into condemnation; and we see that in others in the same case this is not remitted. except by the gratuitous grace of God in regeneration; and that, by His secret yet righteous judgmentabecause there is no unrighteousness with Godâthat some, who even after baptism will perish by evil living, are yet kept in this life until they perish, who would not have perished if bodily death had forestalled their lapse into sin, and so come to their help. Because no dead man is judged by the good or evil things which he would have done if he had not died, otherwise the Tyrians and Sidonians would not have suffered the penalties according to what they did; but rather according to those things that they would have done, if those evangelical mighty works had been done in them, they would have obtained salvation by great repentance, and by the faith of Christ.

Chapter 24 [X.]âlt May Be Objected that The People of Tyre and Sidon Might, If They Had Heard, Have Believed, and Have Subsequently Lapsed from Their Faith.

A certain catholic disputant of no mean reputation so expounded this passage of the gospel as to say, that the Lord foreknew that the Tyrians and Sidonians would have afterwards departed from the faith, although they had believed the miracles done among them; and that in mercy He did not work those miracles there, because they would have been liable to severer punishment if they had forsaken the faith which they had once held, than if they had at no time held it. In which opinion of a learned and exceedingly acute man, why am I now concerned to say what is still reasonably to be asked, when even this opinion serves me for the purpose at which I aim? For if the Lord in His mercy did not do mighty works among them, since by these works they might possibly become believers, so that they might not be more severely punished when they should subsequently become unbelievers, as He foreknew that they would, âit is sufficiently and plainly shown that no dead person is judged for those sins which He foreknew that he would have done, if in some manner he were not helped not to do them; just as Christ is said to have come to the aid of the Tyrians and Sidonians, if that opinion be true, who He would rather should not come to the faith at all, than that by a much greater wickedness they should depart from the faith, as, if they had come to it, He foresaw they would have done. Although if it be said, aWhy was it not provided that they should rather believe, and this gift should be bestowed on them, that before they forsook the faith they should depart from this lifeâ? I am ignorant what reply can be made. For he who says that to those who would forsake their faith it would have been granted, as a kindness, that they should not begin to have what, by a more serious implety, they would subsequently forsake, sufficiently indicates that a man is not judged by that which it is foreknown he would have done ill, if by any act of kindness he may be prevented from doing it. Therefore it is an advantage also to him who is taken away, lest wickedness should alter his understanding. But why this advantage should not have been given to the Tyrians and Sidonians, that they might believe and be taken away. lest wickedness should alter their understanding, he perhaps might answer who was pleased in such a way to solve the above question; but, as far as concerns what I am discussing, I see it to be enough that, even according to that very opinion, men are shown not to be judged in respect of those things which they have not done, even although they may have been foreseen as certain to have done them. However, as I have said, let us think shame even to refute this opinion, whereby sins are supposed to be punished in people who die or have died because they have been foreknown as certain to do them if they had lived; lest we also may seem to have thought it to be of some importance, although we would rather repress it by argument than pass it over in silence.

Chapter 25 [XI.] âGodâs Ways, Both in Mercy and Judgment, Past Finding Out.

Accordingly, as says the apostle, âlt is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy,â[3611]3609 who both comes to the help of such infants as He will, although they neither will nor run, since He chose them in Christ before the foundation of the world as those to whom He intended to give His grace freely,âthat is, with no merits of theirs, either of faith or of works, preceding; and does not come to the help of those who are more mature, although He foresaw that they would believe His miracles if they should be done among them, because He wills not to come to their help, since in His predestination He, secretly indeed, but yet righteously, has otherwise determined concerning them. For âthere is no unrighteousness with God;â[3612]3610 but âHis judgments are unsearchable, and His ways are past finding out; all the ways of the Lord are mercy and truth.â[3613]3611 Therefore the mercy is past finding out by which He has mercy on whom He will, no merits of his own preceding; and the truth is unsearchable by which He hardeneth whom He will, even although his merits may have preceded, but merits for the most part common to him with the man on whom He has mercy. As of two twins, of which one is taken and the other left, the end is unequal, while the deserts are common, yet in these the one is in such wise delivered by Godâs great goodness, that the other is condemned by no injustice of Godas. For is there unrighteousness with God? Away with the thought! but His ways are past finding out. Therefore let us believe in His mercy in the case of those who are delivered, and in His truth in the case of those who are punished, without any hesitation; and let us not endeavour to look into that which is inscrutable, nor to trace that which cannot be found out. Because out of the mouth of babes and sucklings He perfects His praise.[3614]3612 so that what we see in those whose deliverance is preceded by no good deservings of theirs, and in those whose condemnation is only preceded by original sin, common alike to both, athis we by no means shrink from as occurring in the case of grown-up people, that is, because we do not think either that grace is given to any one according to his own merits, or that any one is punished except for his own merits, whether they are alike who are delivered and who are punished, or have unequal degrees of evil; so that he who thinketh he standeth may take heed lest he fall, and he who glorieth may glory not in himself, but in the Lord.

Chapter 26.âThe Manicheans Do Not Receive All the Books of the Old Testament, and of the New Only Those that They Choose.

But wherefore is âthe case of infants not allowed, â as you write, âto be alleged as an example for their elders, a by men who do not hesitate to affirm against the Pelagians that there is original sin, which entered by one man into the world, and that from one all have gone into condemnation?[3615]3613 This, the Manicheans, too, do not receive, who not only reject all the Scriptures of the Old Testament as of authority, but even receive those which belong to the New Testament in such a manner as that each man, by his own prerogative as it were, or rather by his own sacrilege, takes what he likes, and rejects what he does not like, ain opposition to whom I treated in my writings on Free Will, whence they think that they have a ground of objection against me. I have been unwilling to deal plainly with the very laborious questions that occurred, lest my work should become too long, in a case which, as opposed to such perverse men, I could not have the assistance of the authority of the sacred Scriptures. And I was able, as I actually did, whether anything of the divine testimonies might be true or not, seeing that I did not definitely introduce them into the argument, an evertheless, by certain reasoning, to conclude that God in all things is to be praised, without any necessity of believing, as they would have us, that there are two co-eternal, confounded substances of good and evil.

Chapter 27.âReference to the âRetractations.â

Finally, in the first book of the Retractations,[3616]3614which work of mine you have not yet read, when I had come to the reconsidering of those same books, that is, on the subject of Free Will, I thus spoke: âln these books, â I say, âmany things were so discussed that on the occurring of some guestions which either I was not able to elucidate, or which required a long discussion at once, they were so deferred as that from either side, or from all sides, of those questions in which what was most in harmony with the truth did not appear, yet my reasoning might be conclusive for this, namely, that whichever of them might be true, God might be believed, or even be shown, to be worthy of praise. Because that discussion was undertaken for the sake of those who deny that the origin of evil is derived from the free choice of the will, and contend that God, âif He be so, âas the Creator of all natures, is worthy of blame; desiring in that manner, according to the error of their impiety (for they are Manicheans), to introduce a certain immutable nature of evil co-eternal with God.â Also, after a little time, in another place I say: aThen it was said. From this misery, most righteously inflicted on sinners, Godâs grace delivers, because man of his own accord, that is, by free will, could fall, but could not also rise. To this misery of just condemnation belong the ignorance and the difficulty which every man suffers from the beginning of his birth, and no one is delivered from that evil except by the grace of God. And this misery the Pelagians will not have to descend from a just condemnation, because they deny original sin; although even if the ignorance and difficulty were the natural beginnings of man, God would not even thus deserve to be reproached. but to be praised, as I have argued in the same third book. [3617]3615 Which argument must be regarded as against the Manicheans, who do not receive the holy Scriptures of the Old Testament, in which original sin is narrated; and whatever thence is read in the apostolic epistles, they contend was introduced with a detestable impudence by the corrupters of the Scriptures, assuming that it was not said by the apostles. But against the Pelagians that must be maintained which both Scriptures commend, as they profess to receive them.â These things I said in my first book of Retractations, when I was reconsidering the books on Free Will. Nor, indeed, were these things all that were said by me there about these books, but there were many others also, which I thought it would be tedious to insert in this work for you, and not necessary; and this I think you also will judge when you have read all. Although, therefore, in the third book on Free Will I have in such wise argued concerning infants, that even if what the Pelagians say were true. athat ignorance and difficulty, without which no man is born, are elements, not punishments, of our nature, astill the Manicheans would be overcome, who will have it that the two natures, to wit, of good and evil, are co-eternal. Is, therefore, the faith to be called in question or forsaken, which the catholic Church maintains against those very Pelagians, asserting as she does that it is original sin, the guilt of which, contracted by generation, must be remitted by regeneration? And if they confess this with us, so that we may at once, in this matter of the Pelagians, destroy error, why do they think that it must be doubted that God can deliver even infants, to whom He gives His grace by the sacrament of baptism, from the power of darkness, and translate them into the kingdom of the Son of His love?[3618]3616 In the fact, therefore, that He gives that grace to some, and does not give it to others, why will they not sing to the Lord His mercy and judgment?[3619]3617 Why, however, is it given to these, rather than to those, awho has known the mind of the Lord? who

is able to look into unsearchable things? who to trace out that which is past finding out?

Chapter 28 [XII.] âGodâs Goodness and Righteousness Shown in All.

It is therefore settled that Godâs grace is not given according to the deserts of the recipients, but according to the good pleasure of His will, to the praise and glory of His own grace; so that he who glorieth may by no means glory in himself, but in the Lord, who gives to those men to whom He will, because He is merciful, what if, however, He does not give, He is righteous: and He does not give to whom He will not, that He may make known the riches of His glory to the vessels of mercy.[3620]3618 For by giving to some what they do not deserve, He has certainly willed that His grace should be gratuitous, and thus genuine grace; by not giving to all, He has shown what all deserve. Good in His goodness to some, righteous in the punishment of others; both good in respect of all, because it is good when that which is due is rendered, and righteous in respect of all, since that which is not due is given without wrong to any one.

Chapter 29.âGodâs True Grace Could Be Defended Even If There Were No Original Sin, as Pelagius Maintains.

But Godâs grace, that is, true grace without merits, is maintained, even if infants, when baptized, according to the view of the Pelagians, are not plucked out of the power of darkness, because they are held guilty of no sin, as the Pelagians think, but are only transferred into the Lordâs kingdom: for even thus, without any good merits, the kingdom is given to those to whom it is given; and without any evil merits it is not given to them to whom it is not given. And this we are in the habit of saying in opposition to the same Pelagians, when they object to us that we attribute Godâs grace to fate, when we say that it is given not in respect to our merits. For they themselves rather attribute Godâs grace to fate in the case of infants, if they say that when there is no merit it is fate.[3621]3619 Certainly, even according to the Pelagians themselves, no merits can be found in infants to cause that some of them should be admitted into the kingdom, and others should be alienated from the kingdom. But now, iust as in order to show that Godâs grace is not given according to our merits, I preferred to maintain this truth in accordance with both opinions, aboth in accordance with our own, to wit, who say that infants are bound by original sin, and according to that of the Pelagians, who deny that there is original sin, and yet I cannot on that account doubt that infants have what He can pardon them who saves His people from their sins: so in the third book on Free Will, according to both views, I have withstood the Manicheans, whether ignorance and difficulty be punishments or elements of nature without which no man is born: and vet I hold one of these views. There, moreover, it is sufficiently evidently declared by me, that that is not the nature of man as he was ordained, but his punishment as condemned.

Chapter 30.âAugustin Claims the Right to Grow in Knowledge.

Therefore it is in vain that it is prescribed to me from that old book of mine, that I may not argue the case as I ought to argue it in respect of infants; and that thence I may not persuade my opponents by the light of a manifest truth, that Godâs grace is not given according to menâs merits. For if, when I began my books concerning Free Will as a layman, and finished them as a presbyter, I still doubted of the condemnation of infants not born again, and of the deliverance of infants that were born again, no one, as I think, would be so unfair and envious as to hinder my progress, and judge that I must continue in that uncertainty. But it can more correctly be understood that it ought to be believed that I did not doubt in that matter, for the reason that they against whom my purpose was directed seemed to me in such wise to be rebutted, as that whether there was a punishment of original sin in infants, according to the truth, or whether there was not, as some mistaken people think, yet in no degree should such a confusion of the two natures be believed in, to wit, of good and evil, as the error of the Manicheans introduces. Be it therefore far from us so to forsake the case of infants as to say to ourselves that it is uncertain whether, being regenerated in Christ, if they die in infancy they pass into eternal salvation; but that, not being regenerated, they pass into the second death. Because that which is written, aBy one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, a[3622]3620 cannot be rightly understood in any other manner; nor from that eternal death which is most righteously repaid to sin does any deliver any one, small or great, save He who, for the sake of remitting our sins, both original and personal, died without any sin of His own, either original or personal. But why some rather than others? Again and again we say, and do not shrink from it, aO man, who art thou that repliest against God?a[3623]3621 aHis judgments are unsearchable, and His ways past finding out.a[3624]3622 And let us add this, aSeek not out the things that are too high for thee, and search not the things that are above thy strength.â[3625]3623

Chapter 31.âlnfants are Not Judged According to that Which They are Foreknown as Likely to Do If They Should Live.

For you see, beloved, how absurd it is, and how foreign from soundness of faith and sincerity of truth. for us to say that infants, when they die, should be judged according to those things which they are foreknown to be going to do if they should live. For to this opinion, from which certainly every human feeling, on however little reason it may be founded, and especially every Christian feeling, revolts, they are compelled to advance who have chosen in such wise to be withdrawn from the error of the Pelagians as still to think that they must believe, and, moreover, must profess in argument, that the grace of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord, by which alone after the fall of the first man, in whom we all fell, help is afforded to us, is given according to our merits. And this belief Pelagius himself, before the Eastern bishops as judges, condemned in fear of his own condemnation. And if this be not said of the good or bad works of those who have died, which they would have done if they had lived, âand thus of no works, and works that would never exist, even in the foreknowledge of God, aif this, therefore, be not said, and you see under how great a

mistake it is said, what will remain but that we confess, when the darkness of contention is removed, that the grace of God is not given according to our merits, which position the catholic Church defends against the Pelagian heresy; and that we see this in more evident truth especially in infants? For God is not compelled by fate to come to the help of these infants, and not to come to the help of those, asince the case is alike to both. Or shall we think that human affairs in the case of infants are not managed by Divine Providence, but by fortuitous chances, when rational souls are either to be condemned or delivered, although, indeed, not a sparrow falls to the ground without the will of our Father which is in heaven?[3626]3624 Or must we so attribute it to the negligence of parents that infants die without baptism, as that heavenly judgments have nothing to do with it; as if they themselves who in this way die badly had of their own will chosen the negligent parents for themselves of whom they were born? What shall I say when an infant expires some time before he can possibly be advantaged by the ministry of baptism? For often when the parents are eager and the ministers prepared for giving baptism to the infants, it still is not given, because God does not choose; since He has not kept it in this life for a little while in order that baptism might be given it. What, moreover, when sometimes aid could be afforded by baptism to the children of unbelievers, that they should not go into perdition, and could not be afforded to the children of believers? In which case it is certainly shown that there is no acceptance of persons with God; otherwise He would rather deliver the children of His worshippers than the children of His enemies.

Chapter 32 [XIII.]âThe Inscrutability of Godâs Free Purposes.

But now, since we are now treating of the gift of perseverance, why is it that aid is afforded to the person about to die who is not baptized, while to the baptized person about to fall, aid is not afforded, so as to die before? Unless, perchance, we shall still listen to that absurdity by which it is said that it is of no advantage to any one to die before his fall, because he will be judged according to those actions which God foreknew that he would have done if he had lived. Who can hear with patience this perversity, so violently opposed to the soundness of the faith? Who can bear it? And vet they are driven to say this who do not confess that Godâs grace is not bestowed in respect of our deservings. They, however, who will not say that any one who has died is judged according to those things which God foreknew that he would have done if he had lived, considering with how manifest a falsehood and how great an absurdity this would be said, have no further reason to say, what the Church condemned in the Pelagians, and caused to be condemned by Pelagius himself, athat the grace of God, namely, is given according to our merits, awhen they see some infants not regenerated taken from this life to eternal death, and others regenerated, to eternal life; and those themselves that are regenerated, some going hence, persevering even to the end, and others kept in this life even until they fall, who certainly would not have fallen if they had departed hence before their lapse; and again some falling, but not departing from this life until they return, who certainly would have perished if they had departed before their return.

Chapter 33.âGod Gives Both Initiatory and Persevering Grace According to His Own Will.

From all which it is shown with sufficient clearness that the grace of God, which both begins a manâs faith and which enables it to persevere unto the end, is not given according to our merits, but is given according to His own most secret and at the same time most righteous, wise, and beneficent will; since those whom He predestinated, them He also called.[3627]3625 with that calling of which it is said, aThe gifts and calling of God are without repentance. a[3628]3626 To which calling there is no man that can be said by men with any certainty of affirmation to belong, until he has departed from this world; but in this life of man, which is a state of trial upon the earth, [3629]3627 he who seems to stand must take heed lest he fall.[3630]3628 Since (as I have already said before)[3631]3629 those who will not persevere are, by the most foreseeing will of God, mingled with those who will persevere, for the reason that we may learn not to mind high things, but to consent to the lowly, and may awork out our own salvation with fear and trembling; for it is God that worketh in us both to will and to do for His good pleasure.â[3632]3630 We therefore will, but God worketh in us to will also. We therefore work, but God worketh in us to work also for His good pleasure. This is profitable for us both to believe and to say, athis is pious, this is true, that our confession be lowly and submissive, and that all should be given to God. Thinking, we believe; thinking, we speak; thinking, we do whatever we do;[3633]3631 but, in respect of what concerns the way of piety and the true worship of God, we are not sufficient to think anything as of ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God.[3634]3632 For aour heart and our thoughts are not in our own power; a whence the same Ambrose who says this says also: aBut who is so blessed as in his heart always to rise upwards? And how can this be done without divine help? Assuredly, by no means. Finally, a he says, athe same Scripture affirms above, âBlessed is the man whose help is of Thee; O Lord, [3635]3633 ascent is in his heart.ââ[3636]3634 Assuredly, Ambrose was not only enabled to say this by reading in the holy writings, but as of such a man is to be without doubt believed, he felt it also in his own heart. Therefore, as is said in the sacraments of believers, that we should lift up our hearts to the Lord, is Godâs gift: for which gift they to whom this is said are admonished by the priest after this word to give thanks to our Lord God Himself; and they answer that it is âmeet and right so to do.a[3637]3635 For, since our heart is not in our own power, but is lifted up by the divine help, so that it ascends and takes cognizance of those things which are above.[3638]3636 where Christ is sitting at the right hand of God, and, not those things that are upon the earth, to whom are thanks to be given for so great a gift as this unless to our Lord God who doeth this, awho in so great kindness has chosen us by delivering us from the abyss of this world. and has predestinated us before the foundation of the world?

Chapter 34 [XIV.]âThe Doctrine of Predestination Not Opposed to the Advantage of Preaching.

But they say that the âdefinition of predestination is opposed to the

advantage of preaching, a[3639]3637 as if, indeed, it were opposed to the preaching of the apostle! Did not that teacher of the heathen so often, in faith and truth, both commend predestination, and not cease to preach the word of God? Because he said, alt is God that worketh in you both to will and to do for His good pleasure, a[3640]3638 did he not also exhort that we should both will and do what is pleasing to God? or because he said, alle who hath begun a good work in you shall carry it on even unto the day of Christ Jesus, a[3641]3639 did he on that account cease to persuade men to begin and to persevere unto the end? Doubtless, our Lord Himself commanded men to believe, and said, âBelieve in God, believe also in me:â[3642]3640 and yet His opinion is not therefore false, nor is His definition idle when He says, aNo man cometh unto meââthat is, no man believeth in meââexcept it has been given him of my Father. a[3643]3641 Nor, again, because this definition is true, is the former precept vain. Why, therefore, do we think the definition of predestination useless to preaching, to precept, to exhortation, to rebuke, âall which things the divine Scripture repeats frequently, aseeing that the same Scripture commends this doctrine?

## Chapter 35.âWhat Predestination is.

Will any man dare to say that God did not foreknow those to whom He would give to believe, or whom He would give to His Son, that of them He should lose none?[3644]3642 And certainly, if He foreknew these things, He as certainly foreknew His own kindnesses, wherewith He condescends to deliver us. This is the predestination of the saints, anothing else; to wit, the foreknowledge and the preparation of Godâs kindnesses, whereby they are most certainly delivered, whoever they are that are delivered. But where are the rest left by the righteous divine judgment except in the mass of ruin, where the Tyrians and the Sidonians were left? who, moreover, might have believed if they had seen Christâs wonderful miracles. But since it was not given to them to believe, the means of believing also were denied them. From which fact it appears that some have in their understanding itself a naturally divine gift of intelligence, by which they may be moved to the faith, if they either hear the words or behold the signs congruous to their minds; and yet if, in the higher judgment of God, they are not by the predestination of grace separated from the mass of perdition, neither those very divine words nor deeds are applied to them by which they might believe if they only heard or saw such things. Moreover, in the same mass of ruin the Jews were left, because they could not believe such great and eminent mighty works as were done in their sight. For the gospel has not been silent about the reason why they could not believe, since it says: aBut though He had done such great miracles before them, yet they believed not on Him; that the saying of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled which he spake, [3645]3643 Lord, who hath believed our report, and to whom hath the arm of the Lord been revealed? And, therefore, they could not believe, because that Isaiah said again,[3646]3644 He hath blinded their eyes and hardened their heart, that they should not see with their eyes, nor understand with their heart, and be converted, and I should heal them.â[3647]3645 Therefore the eyes of the Tyrians and Sidonians were not so blinded nor was their heart so hardened. since they would have believed if they had seen such mighty works, as

the Jews saw. But it did not profit them that they were able to believe, because they were not predestinated by Him whose judgments are inscrutable and His ways past finding out. Neither would inability to believe have been a hindrance to them, if they had been so predestinated as that God should illuminate those blind eves, and should will to take away the stony heart from those hardened ones. But what the Lord said of the Tyrians and Sidonians may perchance be understood in another way: that no one nevertheless comes to Christ unless it were given him, and that it is given to those who are chosen in Him before the foundation of the world, he confesses beyond a doubt who hears the divine utterance, not with the deaf ears of the flesh, but with the ears of the heart; and yet this predestination, which is plainly enough unfolded even by the words of the gospels, did not prevent the Lordâs saving as well in respect of the commencement, what I have a little before mentioned, âBelieve in God; believe also in me, as in respect of perseverance, aA man ought always to pray, and not to faint.â[3648]3646 For they hear these things and do them to whom it is given; but they do them not, whether they hear or do not hear, to whom it is not given. Because, aTo you, a said He, ait is given to know the mystery of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given.â[3649]3647 Of these, the one refers to the mercy, the other to the judgment of Him to whom our soul cries, al will sing of mercy and judgment unto Thee, O Lord. a[3650]3648

Chapter 36.âThe Preaching of the Gospel and the Preaching of Predestination the Two Parts of One Message.

Therefore, by the preaching of predestination, the preaching of a persevering and progressive faith is not to be hindered; and thus they may hear what is necessary to whom it is given that they should obey. For how shall they hear without a preacher? Neither, again, is the preaching of a progressive faith which continues even to the end to hinder the preaching of predestination, so that he who is living faithfully and obediently may not be lifted up by that very obedience, as if by a benefit of his own, not received; but that he that glorieth may glory in the Lord. For awe must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.â And this, Cyprian most faithfully saw and most fearlessly explained, and thus he pronounced predestination to be most assured.[3651]3649 For if we must boast in nothing, seeing that nothing is our own, certainly we must not boast of the most persevering obedience. Nor is it so to be called our own, as if it were not given to us from above. And, therefore, it is Godâs gift, which, by the confession of all Christians, God foreknew that He would give to His people, who were called by that calling whereof it was said, aThe gifts and calling of God are without repentance.a[3652]3650 This, then, is the predestination which we faithfully and humbly preach. Nor yet did the same teacher and doer, who both believed on Christ and most perseveringly lived in holy obedience, even to suffering for Christ, cease on that account to preach the gospel, to exhort to faith and to pious manners, and to that very perseverance to the end, because he said, aWe must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own; and here he declared without ambiguity the true grace of God, that is, that which is not given in respect of our merits; and since God foreknew that He would give it, predestination was announced beyond a doubt by these words of Cyprian; and if this did not prevent Cyprian from preaching obedience, it certainly ought not to prevent us.

Chapter 37.âEars to Hear are a Willingness to Obey.

Although, therefore, we say that obedience is the gift of God, we still exhort men to it. But to those who obediently hear the exhortation of truth is given the gift of God itselfâthat is, to hear obediently; while to those who do not thus hear it is not given. For it was not some one only, but Christ who said, aNo man cometh unto me, except it were given him of my Father; a[3653]3651 and, aTo you it is given to know the mystery of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given.â[3654]3652 And concerning continence He says, âNot all receive this saying, but they to whom it is given a[3655]3653 And when the apostle would exhort married people to conjugal chastity, he says, al would that all men were even as I myself; but every man hath his proper gift of God, one after this manner, another after that; a[3656]3654 where he plainly shows not only that continence is a gift of God, but even the chastity of those who are married. And although these things are true, we still exhort to them as much as is given to any one of us to be able to exhort, because this also is His gift in whose hand are both ourselves and our discourses. Whence also says the apostle, according to this grace of God which is given unto me, as a wise architect, I have laid the foundation.â[3657]3655 And in another place he says, aEven as the Lord hath given to every man: I have planted, Apollos has watered, but God has given the increase. Therefore neither is he that planteth anything, nor he that watereth, but God that giveth the increase a [3658]3656 And thus as only he preaches and exhorts rightly who has received this gift, so assuredly he who obediently hears him who rightly exhorts and preaches is he who has received this gift. Hence is what the Lord said, when, speaking to those who had their fleshly ears open. He nevertheless told them, âHe that hath ears to hear let him hear; a[3659]3657 which beyond a doubt he knew that not all had. And from whom they have, whosoever they be that have them, the Lord Himself shows when He says, al will give them a heart to know me, and ears to hear. a[3660]3658 Therefore, having ears is itself the gift of obeying, so that they who had that came to Him, to whom ano one comes unless it were given to him of His Father.a Therefore we exhort and preach, but they who have ears to hear obediently hear us, while in them who have them not, it comes to pass what is written, that hearing they do not hear, a hearing, to wit, with the bodily sense, they do not hear with the assent of the heart. But why these should have ears to hear, and those have them not, athat is, why to these it should be given by the Father to come to the Son, while to those it should not be given, awho has known the mind of the Lord, or who has been His counsellor? Or who art thou, O man, that repliest against God? Must that which is manifest be denied, because that which is hidden cannot be comprehended? Shall we, I say, declare that what we see to be so is not so, because we cannot find out why it is so?

Chapter 38 [XV.]âAgainst the Preaching of Predestination the Same Objections May Be Alleged as Against Predestination.

But they say, as you write: a That no one can be aroused by the incentives of rebuke if it be said in the assembly of the Church to the multitude of hearers: The definite meaning of Godâs will concerning predestination stands in such wise, that some of you will receive the will to obey and will come out of unbelief unto faith, or will receive perseverance and abide in the faith; but others who are lingering in the delight of sins have not yet arisen, for the reason that the aid of pitying grace has not yet indeed raised you up. But vet, if there are any whom by His grace He has predestinated to be chosen, who are not yet called, ye shall receive that grace by which you may will and be chosen; and if any obey, if ye are predestinated to be rejected, the strength to obey shall be withdrawn from you, so that you may cease to obey.â Although these things may be said, they ought not so to deter us from confessing the true grace of God. athat is, the grace which is not given to us in respect of our merits, â and from confessing the predestination of the saints in accordance therewith, even as we are not deterred from confessing Godâs foreknowledge, although one should thus speak to the people concerning it, and say: aWhether you are now living righteously or unrighteously, you shall be such by and by as the Lord has foreknown that you will be, aeither good, if He has foreknown you as good, or bad, if He has foreknown you as bad.â For if on the hearing of this some should be turned to torpor and slothfulness, and from striving should go headlong to lust after their own desires, is it therefore to be counted that what has been said about the foreknowledge of God is false? If God has foreknown that they will be good, will they not be good, whatever be the depth of evil in which they are now engaged? And if He has foreknown them evil, will they not be evil, whatever goodness may now be discerned in them? There was a man in our monastery, who, when the brethren rebuked him for doing some things that ought not to be done, and for not doing some things that ought to be done, replied, âWhatever I may now be, I shall be such as God has foreknown that I shall be â And this man certainly both said what was true, and was not profited by this truth for good, but so far made way in evil as to desert the society of the monastery, and become a dog returned to his vomit; and, nevertheless, it is uncertain what he is yet to become. For the sake of souls of this kind, then, is the truth which is spoken about Godâs foreknowledge either to be denied or to be kept back, aat such times, for instance, when, if it is not spoken, other errors are incurred?

## Chapter 39 [XVI] âPrayer and Exhortation.

There are some, moreover, who either do not pray at all, or pray coldly, because, from the Lordâs words, they have learnt that God knows what is necessary for us before we ask it of Him. Must the truth of this declaration be given up, or shall we think that it should be erased from the gospel because of such people? Nay, since it is manifest that God has prepared some things to be given even to those who do not pray for them, such as the beginning of faith, and other things not to be given except to those who pray for them, such as perseverance even unto the end, certainly he who thinks that he has this latter from himself does not pray to have it. Therefore we must take care lest, while we are afraid of exhortation growing lukewarm, prayer should be stifled and arrogance stimulated.

Chapter 40.âWhen the Truth Must Be Spoken, When Kept Back.

Therefore let the truth be spoken, especially when any question impels us to declare it; and let them receive it who are able, lest, perchance, while we are silent on account of those who cannot receive it, they be not only defrauded of the truth but be taken captive by falsehood, who are able to receive the truth whereby falsehood may be avoided. For it is easy, nay, and it is useful, that some truth should be kept back because of those who are incapable of apprehending it. For whence is that word of our Lord: all have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them nowa?[3661]3659 And that of the apostle: âl could not speak unto you as unto spiritual, but as unto carnal: as if unto babes in Christ I have given you to drink milk, and not meat, for hitherto ye were not able, neither yet indeed now are ye ablea?[3662]3660 Although, in a certain manner of speaking, it might happen that what is said should be both milk to infants and meat for grown-up persons. As ain the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God, a[3663]3661 what Christian can keep it back? Who can receive it? Or what in sound doctrine can be found more comprehensive? And yet this is not kept back either from infants or from grown-up people, nor is it hidden from infants by those who are mature. But the reason of keeping back the truth is one, the necessity of speaking the truth is another. It would be a tedious business to inquire into or to put down all the reasons for keeping back the truth; of which, nevertheless, there is this one, alest we should make those who do not understand worse, while wishing to make those who do understand more learned; although these latter do not become more learned when we withhold any such thing on the one hand, but also do not become worse. When, however, a truth is of such a nature that he who cannot receive it is made worse by our speaking it, and he who can receive it is made worse by our silence concerning it, what do we think is to be done? Must we not speak the truth, that he who can receive it may receive it, rather than keep silence, so that not only neither may receive it, but that even he who is more intelligent should himself be made worse? For if he should hear and receive it, by his means also many might learn. For in proportion as he is more capable of learning, he is the more fitted for teaching others. The enemy of grace presses on and urges in all ways to make us believe that grace is given according to our deservings, and thus grace is no more grace; and are we unwilling to say what we can say by the testimony of Scripture? Do we fear, forsooth, to offend by our speaking him who is not able to receive the truth? and are we not afraid lest by our silence he who can receive the truth may be involved in falsehood?

Chapter 41.âPredestination Defined as Only Godâs Disposing of Events in His Foreknowledge.

For either predestination must be preached, in the way and degree in which the Holy Scripture plainly declares it, so that in the predestinated the gifts and calling of God may be without repentance; or it must be avowed that Godâs grace is given according to our merits, âwhich is the opinion of the Pelagians; although that opinion of theirs, as I have often said already, may be read in the Proceedings of the Eastern bishops to have been condemned by the lips of Pelagius himself.[3664]3662 Further, those on whose account I am discoursing are only removed from the heretical perversity of the Pelagians, inasmuch as, although they will not confess that they who by Godâs grace are made obedient and so abide, are predestinated, they still confess, nevertheless, that this grace precedes their will to whom it is given; in such a way certainly as that grace may not be thought to be given freely, as the truth declares, but rather according to the merits of a preceding will, as the Pelagian error says, in contradiction to the truth. Therefore, also, grace precedes faith; otherwise, if faith precedes grace, beyond a doubt will also precedes it, because there cannot be faith without will. But if grace precedes faith because it precedes will, certainly it precedes all obedience; it also precedes love, by which alone God is truly and pleasantly obeyed. And all these things grace works in him to whom it is given, and in whom it precedes all these things. [XVII.] Among these benefits there remains perseverance unto the end, which is daily asked for in vain from the Lord, if the Lord by His grace does not effect it in him whose prayers He hears. See now how foreign it is from the truth to deny that perseverance even to the end of this life is the gift of God; since He Himself puts an end to this life when He wills, and if He puts an end before a fall that is threatening, He makes the man to persevere even unto the end. But more marvellous and more manifest to believers is the largess of Godâs goodness, that this grace is given even to infants, although there is no obedience at that age to which it may be given. To whomsoever, therefore, God gives His gifts, beyond a doubt He has foreknown that He will bestow them on them, and in His foreknowledge He has prepared them for them. Therefore, those whom He predestinated, them He also called with that calling which I am not reluctant often to make mention of, of which it is said, a The gifts and calling of God are without repentance.â[3665]3663 For the ordering of His future works in His foreknowledge, which cannot be deceived and changed, is absolute, and is nothing but, predestination. But, as he whom God has foreknown to be chaste, although he may regard it as uncertain, so acts as to be chaste, so he whom He has predestinated to be chaste, although he may regard that as uncertain, does not, therefore, fail to act so as to be chaste because he hears that he is to be what he will be by the gift of God. Nay, rather, his love rejoices, and he is not puffed up as if he had not received it. Not only, therefore, is he not hindered from this work by the preaching of predestination, but he is even assisted to it, so that although he glories he may glory in the Lord.

Chapter 42.âThe Adversaries Cannot Deny Predestination to Those Gifts of Grace Which They Themselves Acknowledge, and Their Exhortations are Not Hindered by This Predestination Nevertheless.

And what I said of chastity, can be said also of faith, of piety, of love, of perseverance, and, not to enumerate single virtues, it may be said with the utmost truthfulness of all the obedience with which God is obeyed. But those who place only the beginning of faith and perseverance to the end in such wise in our power as not to regard them as Godâs gifts, nor to think that God works on our thoughts and wills so as that we may have and retain them, grant, nevertheless, that He gives other things, asince they are obtained from Him by the faith of the believer. Why are they not afraid that exhortation to these other things, and the preaching of these other things, should be hindered by the definition of predestination? Or, perchance, do they say that such things are not predestinated? Then they are not given by God, or He has not known that He would give them. Because, if they are both given, and He foreknew that He would give them, certainly He predestinated them. As, therefore, they themselves also exhort to chastity, charity, piety, and other things which they confess to be Godâs gifts, and cannot deny that they are also foreknown by Him, and therefore predestinated; nor do they say that their exhortations are hindered by the preaching of Godâs predestination, that is, by the preaching of Godâs foreknowledge of those future gifts of His: so they may see that neither are their exhortations to faith or to perseverance hindered, even although those very things may be said, as is the truth, to be gifts of God, and that those things are foreknown, that is, predestinated to be given; but let them rather see that by this preaching of predestination only that most pernicious error is hindered and overthrown, whereby it is said that the grace of God is given according to our deservings, so that he who glories may glory not in the Lord, but in himself.

Chapter 43.aFurther Development of the Foregoing Argument.

And in order that I may more openly unfold this for the sake of those who are somewhat slow of apprehension, let those who are endowed with an intelligence that flies in advance bear with my delay. The Apostle James says, âlf any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, who giveth to all men liberally and upbraideth not, and it shall be given him.â[3666]3664 It is written also in the Proverbs of Solomon, âBecause theLord giveth wisdom. a[3667]3665 And of continency it is read in the book of Wisdom, whose authority has been used by great and learned men who have commented upon the divine utterances long before us; there, therefore, it is read, aWhen I knew that no one can be continent unless God gives it, and that this was of wisdom, to know whose gift this was.al366813666 Therefore these are Godas gifts.athat is, to say nothing of others, wisdom and continency. Let those also acquiesce: for they are not Pelagians, to contend against such a manifest truth as this with hard and heretical perversity. aBut, a say they, athat these things are given to us of God is obtained by faith, which has its beginning from us: and both to begin to have this faith, and to abide in it even to the end, they contend is our own doing, as if we received it not from the Lord. This, beyond a doubt, is in contradiction to the apostle when he says, aFor what hast thou that thou hast not received?a[3669]3667 It is in contradiction also to the saying of the martyr Cyprian, aThat we must boast in nothing, since nothing is our own.a[3670]3668 When we have said this, and many other things which it is wearisome to repeat, and have shown that both the commencement of faith and perseverance to the end are gifts of God; and that it is impossible that God should not foreknow any of His future gifts, as well what should be given as to whom they should be

given; and that thus those whom He delivers and crowns are predestinated by Him; they think it well to reply, athat the assertion of predestination is opposed to the advantage of preaching, for the reason that when this is heard no one can be stirred up by the incentives of rebuke. a When they say this, a they are unwilling that it should be declared to men, that coming to the faith and abiding in the faith are Godâs gifts, lest despair rather than encouragement should appear to be suggested, inasmuch as they who hear think that it is uncertain to human ignorance on whom God bestows, or on whom He does not bestow, these gifts.â Why, then, do they themselves also preach with us that wisdom and continency are Godâs gifts? But if, when these things are declared to be Godâs gifts, there is no hindrance of the exhortation with which we exhort men to be wise and continent: what is after all the reason for their thinking that the exhortation is hindered wherewith we exhort men to come to the faith, and to abide in it to the end, if these also are said to be Godâs gifts, as is proved by the Scriptures, which are His witnesses?

Chapter 44.âExhortation to Wisdom, Though Wisdom is Godâs Gift.

Now, to say nothing more of continency, and to argue in this place of wisdom alone, certainly the Apostle James above mentioned says, âBut the wisdom that is from above is first pure, then peaceable, modest, easy to be entreated, full of mercy and good fruits, inestimable, without simulation.â[3671]3669 Do you not see, I beseech you, how this wisdom descends from the Father of Lights, laden with many and great benefits? Because, as the same apostle says, a Every excellent gift and every perfect gift is from above, and comes down from the Father of Lights a[3672]3670 Why, then ato set as ide other matters ado we rebuke the impure and contentious, to whom we nevertheless preach that the gift of God is wisdom, pure and peaceable; and are not afraid that they should be influenced, by the uncertainty of the divine will, to find in this preaching more of despair than of exhortation; and that they should not be stirred up by the incentives of rebuke rather against us than against themselves, because we rebuke them for not having those things which we ourselves say are not produced by human will, but are given by the divine liberality? Finally, why did the preaching of this grace not deter the Apostle James from rebuking restless souls, and saying, alf ye have bitter envying, and contentions are in your hearts, glory not, and be not liars against the truth. This is not the wisdom that cometh down from above, but is earthly, animal, devilish; for where envying and contention are, there are inconstancy and every evil worka?[3673]3671 As, therefore, the restless are to be rebuked, both by the testimony of the divine declarations, and by those very impulses of ours which they have in common with ourselves; and is it no argument against this rebuke that we declare the peaceful wisdom, whereby the contentions are corrected and healed, to be the gift of God; unbelievers are in such wise to be rebuked, as those who do not abide in the faith, without any hindrance to that rebuke from the preaching of Godâs grace, although that preaching commends that very grace and the continuance in it as the gifts of God. Because, although wisdom is obtained from faith, even as James himself, when he had said, alf any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, who giveth to all liberally and upbraideth not, and it

shall be given,â[3674]3672 immediately added, âBut let him ask in faith, nothing wavering:â it is not, nevertheless, because faith is given before it is asked for by him to whom it is given, that it must therefore be said not to be the gift of God, but to be of ourselves, because it is given to us without our asking for it! For the apostle very plainly says, âPeace be to the brethren, and love with faith from God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.â[3675]3673 From whom, therefore, are peace and love, from Him also is faith; wherefore, from Him we ask not only that it may be increased to those that possess it, but also that it may be given to those that possess it not.

Chapter 45.âExhortation to Other Gifts of God in Like Manner.

Nor do those on whose account I am saving these things, who cry out that exhortation is checked by the preaching of predestination and grace, exhort to those gifts alone which they contend are not given by God, but are from ourselves, such as are the beginning of faith, and perseverance in it even to the end. This certainly they ought to do, in such a way as only to exhort unbelievers to believe, and believers to continue to believe. But those things which with us they do not deny to be Godâs gifts, so as that with us they demolish the error of the Pelagians, such as modesty, continence, patience, and other virtues that pertain to a holy life, and are obtained by faith from the Lord, they ought to show as needing to be prayed for, and to pray for only, either for themselves or others; but they ought not to exhort any one to strive after them and retain them. But when they exhort to these things, according to their ability, and confess that men ought to be exhorted, acertainly they show plainly enough that exhortations are not hindered by that preaching, whether they are exhortations to faith or to perseverance to the end, because we also preach that such things are Godâs gifts, and are not given by any man to himself, but are given by God.

Chapter 46.âA Man Who Does Not Persevere Fails by His Own Fault.

But it is said, âlt is by his own fault that any one deserts the faith, when he yields and consents to the temptation which is the cause of his desertion of the faith.â Who denies it? But because of this, perseverance in the faith is not to be said not to be a gift of God. For it is this that a man daily asks for when he says, âLead us not into temptation;â[3676]3674 and if he is heard, it is this that he receives. And thus as he daily asks for perseverance, he assuredly places the hope of his perseverance not in himself, but in God. I, however, am loth to exaggerate the case with my words, but I rather leave it to them to consider, and see what it is of which they have persuaded themselvesâto wit, âthat by the preaching of predestination, more of despair than of exhortation is impressed upon the hearers.â For this is to say that a man then despairs of his salvation when he has learned to place his hope not in himself, but in God, although the prophet cries, âCursed is he who has his hope in man.â[3677]3675

Chapter 47.âPredestination is Sometimes Signified Under the Name of Foreknowledge.

These gifts, therefore, of God, which are given to the elect who are called according to Godâs purpose, among which gifts is both the beginning of belief and perseverance in the faith to the termination of this life, as I have proved by such a concurrent testimony of reasons and authorities, âthese gifts of God, I say, if there is no such predestination as I am maintaining, are not foreknown by God. But they are foreknown. This, therefore, is the predestination which I maintain. [XVIII.] Consequently sometimes the same predestination is signified also under the name of foreknowledge; as says the apostle, aGod has not rejected His people whom He foreknew.a[3678]3676 Here. when he says, alle foreknew, a the sense is not rightly understood except as âHe predestinated, â as is shown by the context of the passage itself. For he was speaking of the remnant of the Jews which were saved, while the rest perished. For above he had said that the prophet had declared to Israel, âAll day long I have stretched forth my hands to an unbelieving and a gainsaying people. a[3679]3677 And as if it were answered, What, then, has become of the promises of God to Israel? he added in continuation, al say, then, has God cast away His people? God forbid! for I also am an Israelite, of the seed of Abraham, of the tribe of Benjamin.â Then he added the words which I am now treating: aGod hath not cast away His people whom He foreknew.a And in order to show that the remnant had been left by Godâs grace, not by any merits of their works, he went on to add, aKnow ye not what the Scripture saith in Elias, in what way he maketh intercession with God against Israel?a[3680]3678 and the rest. aBut what, a says he, âsaith the answer of God unto him? âl have reserved to myself seven thousand men, who have not bowed the knee before Baal.ââ[3681]3679 For He says not, aThere are left to me, a or aThey have reserved themselves to me, â but, âl have reserved to myself. â â Even so, then, at this present time also there is made a remnant by the election of grace. And if of grace, then it is no more by works; otherwise grace is no more grace.â And connecting this with what I have above quoted, âWhat then?â[3682]3680 and in answer to this inquiry, he says, âlsrael hath not obtained that which he was seeking for, but the election hath obtained it, and the rest were blinded.â Therefore, in the election, and in this remnant which were made so by the election of grace, he wished to be understood the people which God did not reject, because He foreknew them. This is that election by which He elected those, whom He willed, in Christ before the foundation of the world, that they should be holy and without spot in His sight, in love, predestinating them unto the adoption of sons. No one, therefore, who understands these things is permitted to doubt that, when the apostle says, aGod hath not cast away His people whom He foreknew, a He intended to signify predestination. For He foreknew the remnant which He should make so according to the election of grace. That is, therefore, He predestinated them; for without doubt He foreknew if He predestinated; but to have predestinated is to have foreknown that which He should do.

Chapter 48 [XIX.] aPractice of Cyprian and Ambrose.

What, then, hinders us, when we read of Godâs foreknowledge in some commentators on Godâs word, and they are treating of the calling of the elect, from understanding the same predestination? For they would

perchance have rather used in this matter this word which, moreover, is better understood, and which is not inconsistent with, nay, is in accordance with, the truth which is declared concerning the predestination of grace. This I know, that no one has been able to dispute, except erroneously, against that predestination which I am maintaining in accordance with the Holy Scriptures. Yet I think that they who ask for the opinions of commentators on this matter ought to be satisfied with men so holy and so laudably celebrated everywhere in the faith and Christian doctrine as Cyprian and Ambrose, of whom I have given such clear testimonies; and that for both doctrinesathat is, that they should both believe absolutely and preach everywhere that the grace of God is gratuitous, as we must believe and declare it to be; and that they should not think that preaching opposed to the preaching whereby we exhort the indolent or rebuke the evil; because these celebrated men also, although they were preaching Godâs grace in such a manner as that one of them said, aThat we must boast in nothing, because nothing is our own; a[3683]3681 and the other, aOur heart and our thoughts are not in our own power;a[3684]3682 yet ceased not to exhort and rebuke, in order that the divine commands might be obeyed. Neither were they afraid of its being said to them, aWhy do you exhort us, and why do you rebuke us, if no good thing that we have is from us, and if our hearts are not in our own power?â These holy men could by no means fear that such things should be said to them, since they were of the mind to understand that it is given to very few to receive the teaching of salvation through God Himself, or through the angels of heaven, without any human preaching to them; but that it is given to many to believe in God through human agency. Yet, in whatever manner the word of God is spoken to man, beyond a doubt for man to hear it in such a way as to obey it, is Godâs gift.

Chapter 49.âFurther References to Cyprian and Ambrose.

Wherefore, the above-mentioned most excellent commentators on the divine declarations both preached the true grace of God as it ought to be preached, athat is, as a grace preceded by no human deservings, and urgently exhorted to the doing of the divine commandments, that they who might have the gift of obedience should hear what commands they ought to obey. For if any merits of ours precede grace, certainly it is the merit of some deed, or word, or thought, wherein also is understood a good will itself. But he very briefly summed up the kinds of all deservings who said, aWe must glory in nothing, because nothing is our own.â And he who says, âOur heart and our thoughts are not in our own power, a did not pass over acts and words also, for there is no act or word of man which does not proceed from the heart and the thought. But what more could that most glorious martyr and most luminous doctor Cyprian say concerning this matter, than when he impressed upon us that it behoves us to pray, in the Lordas Prayer, even for the adversaries of the Christian faith, showing what he thought of the beginning of the faith, that it also is Godâs gift, and pointing out that the Church of Christ prays daily for perseverance unto the end, because none but God gives that perseverance to those who have persevered? Moreover, the blessed Ambrose, when he was expounding the passage where the Evangelist Luke says, alt seemed good to me also, a[3685]3683 says, aWhat he declares to have seemed good to

himself cannot have seemed good to him alone. For not alone by human will did it seem good, but as it pleased Him who speaks in me, Christ, who effects that that which is good may also seem good to us: for whom He has mercy on He also calls. And therefore he who follows Christ may answer, when he is asked why he wished to become a Christian, alt seemed good to me also.â And when he says this, he does not deny that it seemed good to God; for the will of men is prepared by God. For it is Godas grace that God should be honoured by the saint.a[3686]3684 Moreover, in the same work, athat is, in the exposition of the same Gospel, when he had come to that place where the Samaritans would not receive the Lord when His face was as going to Jerusalem, and says, âLearn at the same time that He would not be received by those who were not converted in simpleness of mind. For if He had been willing, He would have made them devout who were undevout. And why they would not receive Him, the evangelist himself mentioned, saving, aBecause His face was as of one going towards Jerusalem.â[3687]3685 But the disciples earnestly desired to be received into Samaria. But God calls those whom He makes worthy, and makes religious whom He will.â[3688]3686 What more evident, what more manifest do we ask from commentators on Godâs word, if we are pleased to hear from them what is clear in the Scriptures? But to these two, who ought to be enough, let us add also a third, the holy Gregory, who testifies that it is the gift of God both to believe in God and to confess what we believe, saying, all beg of you confess the Trinity of one godhead; but if ye wish otherwise, say that it is of one nature, and God will be besought that a voice shall be given to you by the Holy Spirit; a that is, God will be besought to allow a voice to be given to you by which you may confess what you believe. aFor He will give, I am certain. He who gave what is first, will give also what is second a[3689]3687 He who gave belief, will also give confession.

Chapter 50.âObedience Not Discouraged by Preaching Godâs Gifts.

Such doctors, and so great as these, when they say that there is nothing of which we may boast as if of our own which God has not given us, and that our very heart and our thoughts are not in our own power; and when they give the whole to God, and confess that from Him we receive that we are converted to Him in such wise as to continue, athat that which is good appears also to us to be good, and we wish for it, athat we honour God and receive Christ, athat from undevout people we are made devout and religious, athat we believe in the Trinity itself, and also confess with our voice what we believe: acertainly attribute all these things to Godâs grace, acknowledge them as Godâs gifts, and testify that they come to us from Him, and are not from ourselves. But will any one say that they in such wise confessed that grace of God as to venture to deny His foreknowledge, which not only learned but unlearned men also confess? Again, if they had so known that God gives these things that they were not ignorant that He foreknew that He would give them, and could not have been ignorant to whom He would give them: beyond a doubt they had known the predestination which, as preached by the apostles, we laboriously and diligently maintain against the modern heretics. Nor would it be with any manner of justice said, nevertheless, to them because they preach obedience, and fervently exhort, to the extent of the ability of each

one, to its practice, âlf you do not wish that the obedience to which you are stirring us up should grow cold in our heart, forbear to preach to us that grace of God by which you confess that God gives what you are exhorting us to do.â

Chapter 51 [XX.] âPredestination Must Be Preached.

Wherefore, if both the apostles and the teachers of the Church who succeeded them and imitated them did both these things, athat is, both truly preached the grace of God which is not given according to our merits, and inculcated by wholesome precepts a pious obedience, awhat is it which these people of our time think themselves rightly bound by the invincible force of truth to say, aEven if what is said of the predestination of Godâs benefits be true, yet it must not be preached to the peoplea?[3690]3688 It must absolutely be preached, so that he who has ears to hear, may hear. And who has them if he has not received them from Him who says, al will give them a heart to know me, and ears to hear?â[3691]3689 Assuredly, he who has not received may reject; while, yet, he who receives may take and drink, may drink and live. For as piety must be preached, that, by him who has ears to hear, God may be rightly worshipped; modesty must be preached, that, by him who has ears to hear, no illicit act may be perpetrated by his fleshly nature; charity must be preached, that, by him who has ears to hear, God and his neighbours may be loved; aso also must be preached such a predestination of Godâs benefits that he who has ears to hear may glory, not in himself, but in the Lord.

Chapter 52.âPrevious Writings Anticipatively Refuted the Pelagian Heresy.

But in respect of their saying athat it was not necessary that the hearts of so many people of little intelligence should be disquieted by the uncertainty of this kind of disputation, since the catholic faith has been defended for so many years, with no less advantage, without this definition of predestination, as well against others as especially against the Pelagians, in so many books that have gone before, as well of catholics and others as our own;a[3692]3690al much wonder that they should say this, and not observe ato say nothing of other writings in this placeathat those very treatises of mine were both composed and published before the Pelagians had begun to appear; and that they do not see in how many passages of those treatises I was unawares cutting down a future Pelagian heresy, by preaching the grace by which God delivers us from evil errors and from our habits, without any preceding merits of ours. adoing this according to His gratuitous mercy. And this I began more fully to apprehend in that disputation which I wrote to Simplicianus, the bishop of the Church of Milan, of blessed memory, in the beginning of my episcopate, when, moreover, I both perceived and asserted that the beginning of faith is Godâs gift.

Chapter 53.âAugustinâs âConfessions.â

And which of my smaller works has been able to be more generally and more agreeably known than the books of my Confessions? And although I published them before the Pelagian heresy had come into existence, certainly in them I said to my God, and said it frequently, aGive what Thou commandest, and command what Thou willest.a[3693]3691 Which words of mine, Pelagius at Rome, when they were mentioned in his presence by a certain brother and fellow bishop of mine, could not bear; and contradicting somewhat too excitedly, nearly came to a guarrel with him who had mentioned them. But what, indeed, does God primarily and chiefly command, but that we believe on Him? And this, therefore, He Himself gives, if it is well said to Him, aGive what Thou commandest.a And, moreover, in those same books, in respect of what I have related concerning my conversion, when God converted me to that faith which. with a most miserable and raging talkativeness, I was destroying, do you not remember that it was so narrated how I showed that I was granted to the faithful and daily tears of my mother, that I should not perish?[3694]3692 Where certainly I declared that God by His grace converted to the true faith the wills of men, which were not only averse to it, but even adverse to it. Further, in what manner I besought God concerning my growth in perseverance, you know, and you are able to review if you wish it. Therefore, that all the gifts of God which in that work I either asked for or praised, were foreknown by God that He would give, and that He could never be ignorant of the persons to whom He would give them, who can dare, I will not say to deny, but even to doubt? This is the manifest and assured predestination of the saints, which subsequently necessity compelled me more carefully and laboriously to defend when I was already disputing against the Pelagians. For I learnt that each special heresy introduced its own peculiar questions into the Churchâagainst which the sacred Scripture might be more carefully defended than if no such necessity compelled their defence. And what compelled those passages of Scripture in which predestination is commended to be defended more abundantly and clearly by that labour of mine, than the fact that the Pelagians say that Godâs grace is given according to our merits; for what else is this than an absolute denial of grace?

Chapter 54 [XXI.] Beginning and End of Faith is of God.

Therefore that this opinion, which is unpleasing to God, and hostile to those gratuitous benefits of God whereby we are delivered, may be destroyed, I maintain that both the beginning of faith and the perseverance therein, even to the end, are, according to the Scripturesaof which I have already quoted manyaGodas gifts. Because if we say that the beginning of faith is of ourselves, so that by it we deserve to receive other gifts of God, the Pelagians conclude that Godâs grace is given according to our merits. And this the catholic faith held in such dread, that Pelagius himself, in fear of condemnation, condemned it. And, moreover, if we say that our perseverance is of ourselves, not of God, they answer that we have the beginning of our faith of ourselves in such wise as the end, thus arguing that we have that beginning of ourselves much more, if of ourselves we have the continuance unto the end, since to perfect is much greater than to begin; and thus repeatedly they conclude that the grace of God is given according to our merits. But if both are Godâs gifts, and God foreknew that He would give these His gifts (and who can deny this?), predestination must be preached, athat Godas true grace, that is, the grace which is not given according to our merits,

may be maintained with insuperable defence.

Chapter 55.âTestimony of His Previous Writings and Letters.

And, indeed, in that treatise of which the title is. Of Rebuke and Grace,[3695]3693 which could not suffice for all my lovers, I think that I have so established that it is the gift of God also to persevere to the end, as I have either never before or almost never so expressly and evidently maintained this in writing, unless my memory deceives me. But I have now said this in a way in which no one before me has said it. Certainly the blessed Cyprian, in the Lordâs Prayer, as I have already shown, so explained our petitions as to say that in its very first petition we were asking for perseverance, asserting that we pray for it when we say, âHallowed be Thy name, â[3696]3694 although we have been already hallowed in baptism. aso that we may persevere in that which we have begun to be. Let those, however, to whom, in their love for me, I ought not to be ungrateful, who profess that they embrace, over and above that which comes into the argument, all my views, as you write, alet those, I say, see whether, in the latter portions of the first book of those two which I wrote in the beginning of my episcopate, before the appearance of the Pelagian heresy, to Simplicianus, the bishop of Milan, [3697]3695 there remained anything whereby it might be called in question that Godâs grace is not given according to our merits; and whether I have not there sufficiently argued that even the beginning of faith is Godâs gift; and whether from what is there said it does not by consequence result. although it is not expressed, that even perseverance to the end is not given, except by Him who has predestinated us to His kingdom and glory. Then, did not I many years ago publish that letter which I had already written to the holy Paulinus,[3698]3696 bishop of Nola, against the Pelagians, which they have lately begun to contradict? Let them also look into that letter which I sent to Sixtus, the presbyter of the Roman Church[3699]3697 when we contended in a very sharp conflict against the Pelagians, and they will find it such as is that one to Paulinus. Whence they may gather that the same sort of things were already said and written several years ago against the Pelagian heresy, and that it is to be wondered at that these should now displease them; although I should wish that no one would so embrace all my views as to follow me, except in those things in which he should see me not to have erred. For I am now writing treatises in which I have undertaken to retract my smaller works, for the purpose of demonstrating that even I myself have not in all things followed myself; but I think that, with Godâs mercy, I have written progressively, and not begun from perfection; since, indeed, I speak more arrogantly than truly, if even now I say that I have at length in this age of mine arrived at perfection, without any error in what I write. But the difference is in the extent and the subject of an error, and in the facility with which any one corrects it, or the pertinacity with which one endeavours to defend his error. Certainly there is good hope of that man whom the last day of this life shall find so progressing that whatever was wanting to his progress may be added to him, and that he should be adjudged rather to need perfecting than punishment.

Chapter 56.âGod Gives Means as Well as End.

Wherefore if I am unwilling to appear ungrateful to men who have loved me, because some advantage of my labour has attained to them before they loved me, how much rather am I unwilling to be ungrateful to God. whom we should not love unless He had first loved us and made us to love Him! since love is of Him,[3700]3698 as they have said whom He made not only His great lovers, but also His great preachers. And what is more ungrateful than to deny the grace of God itself, by saving that it is given to us according to our merits? And this the catholic faith shuddered at in the Pelagians, and this it objected to Pelagius himself as a capital crime; and this Pelagius himself condemned, not indeed from love of Godâs truth, but yet for fear of his own condemnation. But whoever as a faithful catholic is horrified to say that the grace of God is given according to our merits, let him not withdraw faith itself from Godâs grace, whereby he obtained mercy that he should be faithful; and thus let him attribute also perseverance to the end to Godâs grace, whereby he obtains the mercy which he daily asks for, not to be led into temptation. But between the beginning of faith and the perfection of perseverance there are those means whereby we live righteously, which they themselves are agreed in regarding as given by God to us at the prayer of faith. And all these thingsathe beginning of faith, to wit, and His other gifts even to the endaGod foreknew that He would bestow on His called. It is a matter therefore, of too excessive contentiousness to contradict predestination, or to doubt concerning predestination.

Chapter 57 [XXII.]âHow Predestination Must Be Preached So as Not to Give Offence.

And yet this doctrine must not be preached to congregations in such a way as to seem to an unskilled multitude, or a people of slower understanding, to be in some measure confuted by that very preaching of it. Just as even the foreknowledge of God, which certainly men cannot deny, seems to be refuted if it be said to them, âWhether you run or sleep, you shall be that which He who cannot be deceived has foreknown you to be.â And it is the part of a deceitful or an unskilled physician so to compound even a useful medicament, that it either does no good or does harm. But it must be said, âSo run that you may lay hold;[3701]3699 and thus by your very running you may know yourselves to be foreknown as those who should run lawfully:â and in whatever other manner the foreknowledge of God may be so preached, that the slothfulness of man may be repulsed.

Chapter 58.âThe Doctrine to Be Applied with Discrimination.

Now, therefore, the definite determination of Godâs will concerning predestination is of such a kind that some from unbelief receive the will to obey, and are converted to the faith or persevere in the faith, while others who abide in the delight of damnable sins, even if they have been predestinated, have not yet arisen, because the aid of pitying grace has not yet lifted them up. For if any are not yet called whom by His grace He has predestinated to be elected, they will receive that grace whereby they may will to be elected, and may be so; and if any obey, but have not been predestinated to His kingdom and glory, they are for a season, and will not abide in the same obedience to the end. Although, then, these things are true, yet they must not be so said to the multitude of hearers as that the address may be applied to themselves also, and those words of those people may be said to them which you have set down in your letter, and which I have above introduced: a The definite determination of Godas will concerning predestination is of such a kind that some of you from unbelief shall receive the will to obey, and come to the faith.â What need is there for saving, aSome of youa? For if we speak to Godas Church, if we speak to believers, why do we say that asome of thema had come to the faith, and seem to do a wrong to the rest, when we may more fittingly say the definite determination of the will of God concerning predestination is of such a kind that from unbelief you shall receive the will to obey, and come to the faith, and shall receive perseverance, and abide to the end?

Chapter 59.âOffence to Be Avoided.

Neither is what follows by any means to be said, athat is, aBut others of you who abide in the delight of sins have not yet arisen, because the aid of pitying grace has not yet lifted you up; a when it may be and ought to be well and conveniently said, aBut if any of you are still delaying in the delightfulness of damnable sins, lay hold of the most wholesome discipline; and yet when you have done this be not lifted up, as if by your own works, nor boast as if you had not received this. For it is God who worketh in you both to will and to do for His good will,[3702]3700 and your steps are directed by the Lord, so that you choose His way.[3703]3701 But of your own good and righteous course, learn carefully that it is attributable to the predestination of divine grace.a

Chapter 60.âThe Application to the Church in General.

Moreover, what follows where it is said, âBut yet if any of you are not yet called, whom by his grace He has predestinated to be called, you shall receive that grace whereby you shall will to be, and be, elected,â is said more hardly than it could be said if we consider that we are speaking not to men in general, but to the Church of Christ. For why is it not rather said thus: âAnd if any of you are not yet called, let us pray for them that they may be called. For perchance they are so predestinated as to be granted to our prayers, and to receive that grace whereby they may will, and be made electedâ? For God, who fulfilled all that He predestinated, has willed us also to pray for the enemies of the faith, that we might hence understand that He Himself also gives to the unbelievers the gift of faith, and makes willing men out of those that were unwilling.

Chapter 61.âUse of the Third Person Rather Than the Second.

But now I marvel if any weak brother among the Christian congregation can hear in any way with patience what is connected with these words, when it is said to them, âAnd if any of you obey, if you are predestinated to be rejected, the power of obeying will be withdrawn from you, that you may cease to obey.â For what does saying this seem, except to curse, or in a certain way to predict evils? But if, however, it is desirable or necessary to say anything concerning those who do not persevere, why is it not rather at least said in such a way as was a little while ago said by me, a first of all, so that this should be said, not of them who hear in the congregation, but about others to them; that is, that it should not be said, alf any of you obey, if you are predestinated to be rejected, a but, alf any obey, a and the rest, using the third person of the verb, not the second? For it is not to be said to be desirable, but abominable, and it is excessively harsh and hateful to fly as it were into the face of an audience with abuse, when he who speaks to them says, aAnd if there are any of you who obey, and are predestinated to be rejected, the power of obedience shall be withdrawn from you, that you may cease to obey.â For what is wanting to the doctrine if it is thus expressed: âBut if any obey, and are not predestinated to His kingdom and glory, they are only for a season, and shall not continue in that obedience unto the enda? Is not the same thing said both more truly and more fittingly, so that we may seem not as it were to be desiring so much for them, as to relate of others the evil which they hate, and think does not belong to them, by hoping and praying for better things? But in that manner in which they think that it must be said, the same judgment may be pronounced almost in the same words also of Godâs foreknowledge, which certainly they cannot deny, so as to say, âAnd if any of you obey, if you are foreknown to be rejected you shall cease to obey.â Doubtless this is very true, assuredly it is; but it is very monstrous, very inconsiderate, and very unsuitable, not by its false declaration, but by its declaration not wholesomely applied to the health of human infirmity.

Chapter 62. âPrayer to Be Inculcated, Nevertheless.

But I do not think that manner which I have said should be adopted in the preaching of predestination ought to be sufficient for him who speaks to the congregation, except he adds this, or something of this kind, saying, âYou, therefore, ought also to hope for that perseverance in obedience from the Father of Lights, from whom cometh down every excellent gift and every perfect gift, [3704]3702 and to ask for it in your daily prayers; and in doing this ought to trust that you are not aliens from the predestination of His people, because it is He Himself who bestows even the power of doing this. And far be it from you to despair of yourselves, because you are bidden to have your hope in Him, not in yourselves. For cursed is every one who has hope in man: [3705]3703 and it is good rather to trust in the Lord than to trust in man, because blessed are all they that put their trust in Him.[3706]3704 Holding this hope, serve the Lord in fear, and rejoice unto Him with trembling.[3707]3705 Because no one can be certain of the life eternal which God who does not lie has promised to the children of promise before the times of eternity, and one, unless that life of his, which is a state of trial upon the earth, is completed.[3708]3706 But He will make us to persevere in Himself unto the end of that life, since we daily say to Him, aLead us not into temptation.ââ[3709]3707 When these things and things of this kind are said, whether to few Christians or to the multitude of the Church, why

do we fear to preach the predestination of the saints and the true grace of God, âthat is, the grace which is not given according to our merits, âas the Holy Scripture declares it? Or, indeed, must it be feared that a man should then despair of himself when his hope is shown to be placed in God, and should not rather despair of himself if he should, in his excess of pride and unhappiness, place it in himself?

Chapter 63 [XXIII.]âThe Testimony of the Whole Church in Her Prayers.

And I wish that those who are slow and weak of heart, who cannot, or cannot as yet, understand the Scriptures or the explanations of them, would so hear or not hear our arguments in this question as to consider more carefully their prayers, which the Church has always used and will use, even from its beginnings until this age shall be completed. For of this matter, which I am now compelled not only to mention, but even to protect and defend against these new heretics, the Church has never been silent in its prayers, although in its discourses it has not thought that it need be put forth, as there was no adversary compelling it. For when was not prayer made in the Church for unbelievers and its opponents that they should believe? When has any believer had a friend, a neighbour, a wife, who did not believe, and has not asked on their behalf from the Lord for a mind obedient to the Christian faith? And who has there ever been who has not prayed for himself that he might abide in the Lord? And who has dared, not only with his voice, but even in thought, to blame the priest who invokes the Lord on behalf of believers, if at any time he has said, âGive to them, O Lord, perseverance in Thee to the end!â and has not rather responded, over such a benediction of his, as well with confessing lips as believing heart, âAmenâ? Since in the Lordâs Prayer itself the believers do not pray for anything else, especially when they say that petition, aLead us not into temptation, a save that they may persevere in holy obedience. As, therefore, the Church has both been born and grows and has grown in these prayers, so it has been born and grows and has grown in this faith, by which faith it is believed that Godâs grace is not given according to the merits of the receivers. For, certainly, the Church would not pray that faith should be given to unbelievers, unless it believed that God converts to Himself both the averse and adverse wills of men. Nor would the Church pray that it might persevere in the faith of Christ, not deceived nor overcome by the temptations of the world, unless it believed that the Lord has our heart in His power, in such wise as that the good which we do not hold save by our own will, we nevertheless do not hold except He worketh in us to will also. For if the Church indeed asks these things from Him, but thinks that the same things are given to itself by itself, it makes use of prayers which are not true, but perfunctory, awhich be far from us! For who truly groans, desiring to receive what he prays for from the Lord, if he thinks that he receives it from himself, and not from the Lord?

Chapter 64.âln What Sense the Holy Spirit Solicits for Us, Crying, Abba, Father.

And this especially since awe know not what to pray for as we ought, a

says the apostle, abut the Spirit Himself maketh intercession for us with groanings that cannot be uttered; and He that searcheth the hearts knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit, because He maketh intercession for the saints according to God.â[3710]3708 What is âthe Spirit Himself maketh intercession.â but. âcauses to make intercession, a awith groanings that cannot be uttered, a but âtruthful,â since the Spirit is truth? For He it is of whom the apostle says in another place, aGod hath sent the Spirit of His Son into our hearts, âcrying, Abba, Father!â[3711]3709 And here what is the meaning of acrying, a but a making to cry, a by that figure of speech whereby we call a day that makes people glad, a glad day? And this he makes plain elsewhere when he says, âFor you have not received the Spirit of bondage again in fear, but you have received the Spirit of the adoption of sons, in whom we cry, Abba, Father.â[3712]3710 He there said, âcrying,â but here, âin whom we cry;â opening up, that is to say, the meaning with which he said acrying, aathat is, as I have already explained, acausing to cry, a when we understand that this is also itself the gift of God, that with a true heart and spiritually we cry to God. Let them, therefore, observe how they are mistaken who think that our seeking, asking, knocking is of ourselves, and is not given to us; and say that this is the case because grace is preceded by our merits; that it follows them when we ask and receive, and seek and find, and it is opened to us when we knock. And they will not understand that this is also of the divine gift, that we pray; that is, that we ask, seek, and knock. For we have received the spirit of adoption of sons, in which we cry, Abba, Father. And this the blessed Ambrose also said.[3713]3711 For he says, âTo pray to God also is the work of spiritual grace, as it is written, No one says, Jesus is the Lord, but in the Holy Spirit.â

Chapter 65.aThe Churchas Prayers Imply the Churchas Faith.

These things, therefore, which the Church asks from the Lord, and always has asked from the time she began to exist, God so foreknew that He would give to His called, that He has already given them in predestination itself; as the apostle declares without any ambiguity. For, writing to Timothy, he says, aLabour along with the gospel according to the power of God, who saves us, and calls us with His holy calling, not according to our works, but according to His own purpose and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus before the times of eternity, but is now made manifest by the coming of our Saviour Jesus Christ.â[3714]3712 Let him, therefore, say that the Church at any time has not had in its belief the truth of this predestination and grace, which is now maintained with a more careful heed against the late heretics; let him say this who dares to say that at any time it has not prayed, or not truthfully prayed, as well that unbelievers might believe, as that believers might persevere. And if the Church has always prayed for these benefits, it has always believed them to be certainly Godâs gifts; nor was it ever right for it to deny that they were foreknown by Him. And thus Christâs Church has never failed to hold the faith of this predestination, which is now being defended with new solicitude against these modern heretics.

Chapter 66 [XXIV.] aRecapitulation and Exhortation.

But what more shall I say? I think that I have taught sufficiently, or rather more than sufficiently, that both the beginning of faith in the Lord, and continuance in the Lord unto the end, are Godâs gifts. And other good things which pertain to a good life, whereby God is rightly worshipped, even they themselves on whose behalf I am writing this treatise concede to be Godâs gifts. Further, they cannot deny that God has foreknown all His gifts, and the people on whom He was going to bestow them. As, therefore, other things must be preached so that he who preaches them may be heard with obedience, so predestination must be preached so that he who hears these things with obedience may glory not in man, and therefore not in himself, but in the Lord; for this also is Godâs precept, and to hear this precept with obedienceâto wit, that he who glories should glory in the Lord[3715]3713âin like manner as the rest, is Godâs gift. And he who has not this gift, al shrink not from saying it, awhatever others he has, has them in vain. That the Pelagians may have this we pray, and that our own brethren may have it more abundantly. Let us not, therefore, be prompt in arguments and indolent in prayers. Let us pray, dearly beloved, let us pray that the God of grace may give even to our enemies, and especially to our brethren and lovers, to understand and confess that after that great and unspeakable ruin wherein we have all fallen in one, no one is delivered save by Godâs grace, and that grace is not repaid according to the merits of the receivers as if it were due, but is given freely as true grace, with no merits preceding.

Chapter 67.âThe Most Eminent Instance of Predestination is Christ Jesus.

But there is no more illustrious instance of predestination than Jesus Himself, concerning which also I have already argued in the former treatise;[3716]3714 and in the end of this I have chosen to insist upon it. There is no more eminent instance, I say, of predestination than the Mediator Himself. If any believer wishes thoroughly to understand this doctrine. let him consider Him. and in Him he will find himself also. The believer, I say; who in Him believes and confesses the true human nature that is our own, however singularly elevated by assumption by God the Word into the only Son of God, so that He who assumed, and what He assumed, should be one person in Trinity. For it was not a Quaternity that resulted from the assumption of man, but it remained a Trinity, inasmuch as that assumption ineffably made the truth of one person in God and man. Because we say that Christ was not only God, as the Manichean heretics contend; nor only man, as the Photinian heretics assert; nor in such wise man as to have less of anything which of a certainty pertains to human nature, âwhether a soul, or in the soul itself a rational mind, or flesh not taken of the woman, but made from the Word converted and changed into flesh, âall which three false and empty notions have made the three various and diverse parties of the Apollinarian heretics; but we say that Christ was true God, born of God the Father without any beginning of time; and that He was also true or very man, born of human mother in the certain fulness of time; and that His humanity, whereby He is less than the Father, does not diminish aught from His divinity, whereby He is equal to the Father. For both of them are One

Christâwho, moreover, most truly said in respect of the God, al and the Father are one; a[3717]3715 and most truly said in respect of the man, âMy Father is greater than I.â[3718]3716 He, therefore, who made of the seed of David this righteous man, who never should be unrighteous, without any merit of His preceding will, is the same who also makes righteous men of unrighteous, without any merit of their will preceding; that He might be the head, and they His members. He, therefore, who made that man with no precedent merits of His, neither to deduce from His origin nor to commit by His will any sin which should be remitted to Him, the same makes believers on Him with no preceding merits of theirs, to whom He forgives all sin. He who made Him such that He never had or should have an evil will, the same makes in His members a good will out of an evil one. Therefore He predestinated both Him and us, because both in Him that He might be our head, and in us that we should be His body. He foreknew that our merits would not precede, but that His doings should.

## Chapter 68. âConclusion.

Let those who read this, if they understand, give God thanks, and let those who do not understand, pray that they may have the inward Teacher, from whose presence comes knowledge and understanding.[3719]3717 But let those who think that I am in error, consider again and again carefully what is here said, lest perchance they themselves may be mistaken. And when, by means of those who read my writings, I become not only wiser, but even more perfect, I acknowledge Godâs favour to me; and this I especially look for at the hands of the teachers of the Church, if what I write comes into their hands, and they condescend to acknowledge it.

Indexes

Index of Scripture References

Index of Pages of the Print Edition

[3720]1 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 6, 11, 12; Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iv. 32; Against Julian, i. 4; On Heresies, 88; and often elsewhere. Jerome found roots for the theory in Origen and Rufinus (Letter 133, 3), but this is a different matter. Compare On Original Sin, 25.

[3721]2 Preface to Book iv. of his work on Jeremiah.

[3722]3 Latin Christianity, i. 166, note 2.

[3723]4 Trois Prem. Siécles, ii. 375.

[3724]5 De Natura Deorum, iii. 36.

[3725]6 History of the Councils of the Church (E.T.), ii. 446, note 3.

[3726]7 Compare the excellent statement in Thomasiusâ

Dogmengeschichte, i. 483.

[3727]8 On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 46; On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 1; Epistle 186, etc.

[3728]9 On Nature and Grace, 1.

[3729]10 Epistle to Demetrias, 16.

[3730]11 Do. 2 and 19.

[3731]12 On the Gift of Perseverance, 53.

[3732]13 On Nature and Grace, 49.

[3733]14 On the Gift of Perseverance, 4; Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iii. 24; iv. 2 sq.

[3734]15 On the Spirit and the Letter, 4; On Nature and Grace, 53; On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 20, 22, 38; On the Grace of Christ, 2, 3, 8, 31, 42, 45; Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iv. 11; On Grace and Free Will, 23-26, and often.

[3735]16 On Original Sin, 14.

[3736]17 On Original Sin, 14.

[3737]18 On Original Sin, 14.

[3738]19 The Unfinished Work, iii. 82.

[3739]20 Do. i. 91; compare do. i. 48, 60; ii. 20. âThere is nothing of sin in man, if there is nothing of his own will.â âThere is no original sin in infants at all.â

[3740]21 On Original Sin, 30.

[3741]22 On the Grace of Christ, 43.

[3742]23 The Unfinished Work, i. 91; compare 69.

[3743]24 Dr. Matheson finely says (Expositor, i. ix. 21), âThere is the same difference between the Christian and Pagan idea of prayer as there is between the Christian and Pagan idea of sin. Paganism knows nothing of sin, it knows only sins: it has no conception of the principle of evil, it comprehends only a succession of sinful acts.â This is Pelagianism too.

[3744]25 Compare Schaff, Church History, iii. 804; and Thomasiusâ Dogmengeschichte, i. 487-8.

[3745]26 Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iii. 25, and iv. at the beginning.

[3746]27 This belongs to the earlier Pelagianism; Julian was ready to admit that death came from Adam, but not sin.

[3747]28 On Original Sin, 13.

[3748]29 Early in 412, or, less probably, according to the Ballerini and Hefele 411.

[3749]30 See On Original Sin, 2, 3, 12; On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 23. They are also given by Marius Mercator (Migne, xlviii. 69, 70), and the fifth item (on the salvation of unbaptized infants) omitted, athough apparently by an error.

[3750]31 Preserved by Augustin, On Original Sin, 3, 4.

[3751]32 An account of this synod is given by Orosius himself in his Apology for the Freedom of the Will.

[3752]33 A full account and criticism of the proceedings are given by Augustin in his On the Proceedings of Pelagius.

[3753]34 On Original Sin, 13, at the end.

[3754]35 Augustinâs Sermons (Migne, v. 1511).

[3755]36 Compare Canon Brightâs Introduction in his Select Anti-Pelagian Treatises, p. xli.

[3756]37 See above, p. xv., and the passages in Augustin cited in note 3.

[3757]38 Prosperâs phrase.

[3758]39 Augustin gives their teaching carefully in his On the Predestination of the Saints, 2.

[3759]40 Compare his work written this year, On Several Questions to Simplicianus. For the development of Augustinâs theology, see the admirable statement in Neanderâs Church History, E.T., ii. 625 sq.

[3760]41 On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 46.

[3761]42 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 12.

[3762]43 Epistle 157, 22.

[3763]44 On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 46.

[3764]45 Sermon 176, 2.

[3765]46 Sermon 174.

[3766]47 Do.

[3767]48 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 1.

[3768]49 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, i. 1. Compare Epistle 139.

[3769]50 On the prominence of infant baptism in the controversy, and why it was so, see Sermon 165, 7 sq. âWhat do you say? âJust this,â he says, âthat God creates every man immortal.â Why, then do infant children die? For if I say, âWhy do adult men die?â you would say to me, âThey have sinned.â Therefore I do not argue about the adults: I cite infancy as a witness against you,â and so on, eloquently developing the argument.

[3770]51 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 1.

[3771]52 Letter 139, 3.

[3772]53 Letter 140.

[3773]54 See chaps. 1 and 5.

[3774]55 Sermon 163 treats the text similarly.

[3775]56 See this prayer beautifully illustrated from Scripture in On the Merits and Remission of Sins, ii. 5.

[3776]57 See above, p. xv.

[3777]58 As quoted above, p. xx.

[3778]59 Epistle 146. See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 50, 51, 52.

[3779]60 Epistle 149. See especially 18 sq.

[3780]61 Epistle 121.

[3781]62 Sermon 293.

[3782]63 Sermon 176, 2.

[3783]64 The inscription says, âV Calendus Julii,â i.e., June 27; but it also says, âln natalis martyris Guddentis,â whose day appears to have been July 18. Some of the martyrologies assign 28th of June to Gaudentius (which some copies read here), but possibly none to Guddene.

[3784]65 Sermon 294.

[3785]66 The passage is quoted at length in On the Merits and Remission of Sins, iii. 10. Compare Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iv. 23.

[3786]67 Epistle 157, 22.

[3787]68 Epistle 156, among Augustinâs Letters.

[3788]69 Epistle, 157, 22.

[3789]70 Epistles 177, 6; and 179, 2.

[3790]71 Epistle 168. On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 48.

[3791]72 On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 47; and Epistle 186, 1.

[3792]73 Compare On Nature and Grace, 7; and Epistle 186, 1.

[3793]74 Epistle 169, 13.

[3794]75 On Nature and Grace, 1. Sallustâs Jugurtha, prologue.

[3795]76 For Augustinâs press of work just now, see Epistle 169, 1 and 13.

[3796]77 The argument occurs in Pelagiusâ Commentary on Paul, written before 410, and is already before Augustin in On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, etc., iii. 5.

[3797]78 Epistle 166.

[3798]79 An almost contemporary letter to Oceanus (Epistle 180, written in 416) adverts to the same subject and in the same spirit, showing how much it was in Augustinâs thoughts. Compare Epistle 180, 2 and 5.

[3799]80 Epistle 172.

[3800]81 See On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness, 1.

[3801]82 Migneâs Edition of Augustinâs Works, vol. v. pp. 1719-1723.

[3802]83 Compare the words of Cicero quoted above, p. xiv.

[3803]84 Compare the similar words in Epistle 177, 3, which was written, not only after what had occurred in Palestine was known, but also after the condemnatory decisions of the African synods.

[3804]85 Epistles 175 and 176 in Augustinâs Letters.

[3805]86 Epistle 177. The other bishops were Aurelius, Alypius, Evodius, and Possidius.

[3806]87 Epistle 178.

[3807]88 Epistle 179.

[3808]89 See vol. i. of this series, p. 459, and the references there given. Compare Canon Robertsonâs vivid account of them in his History of the Christian Church, ii. 18, 145.

[3809]90 Epistle 188.

[3810]91 Compare On the Grace of Christ, 40. In the succeeding sections, some of its statements are examined.

[3811]92 Epistles 181, 182, 183, among Augustinâs Letters.

[3812]93 Epistle 186, written conjointly with Alypius.

[3813]94 The book given him by Timasius and James, to which On Nature and Grace is a reply.

[3814]95 Compare also Innocentâs letter (Epistle 181) to the Carthaginian Council, chap. 4, which also Neander, History of the Christian Church, E.T., ii. 646, quotes in this connection, as showing that Innocent âperceived that this dispute was connected with a different way of regarding the relation of Godâs providence to creation.â As if Augustin did not see this too!

[3815]96 The book addressed to Dardanus, in which the Pelagians are confuted, but not named, belongs about at this time. Compare Retractations, ii. 49.

[3816]97 Sermon 131, preached at Carthage.

[3817]98 On the Grace of Christ, 2.

[3818]99 The so-called Confession of Faith sent to Innocent after the Synod of Diospolis, but which arrived after Innocentâs death.

[3819]100 On Original Sin, 1.

[3820]101 Do., 5.

[3821]102 On the Grace of Christ, 55.

[3822]103 On the Gift of Perseverance, 55.

[3823]104 Compare, below, pp. lv-lviii. Neander, in the second volume (E.T.) of his History of the Christian Church, discusses the matter in a very fair spirit.

[3824]105 English version, xcv., see verse 6.

[3825]106 Sermon 26.

[3826]107 Epistle 190.

[3827]108 See Epistle 194, 1.

[3828]109 See Epistle 191, 1.

[3829]110 Epistle 191.

[3830]111 Epistle 194.

[3831]112 It appears to have been first reported to Augustin, by Marius Mercator, in a letter received at Carthage. See Epistle 193, 3.

[3832]113 As, for example, in On the Merits and Remission of Sins, etc., i.

[3833]114 Epistle 193.

[3834]115 Compare On Dulcitiusâ Eight Questions, 3.

[3835]116 That is, On the Merits and Remission of Sins, etc., ii. 30 sq.

[3836]117 Epistle 196.

[3837]118 On Marriage and Concupiscence, i. 2.

[3838]119 Compare the Benedictine Preface to The Unfinished Work.

[3839]120 Epistle 202, bis. Compare Epistle 190.

[3840]121 Compare Epistle 195.

[3841]122 Julian afterwards repudiated this letter, perhaps because of some falsifications it had suffered; it seems to have been certainly his.

[3842]123 Compare Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iii. 24: and see above, p. xv.

[3843]124 To wit: Cyprianâs testimony on original sin (20-24), on gratuitous grace (25-26), on the imperfection of human righteousness (27-28), and Ambroseâs testimony on original sin (29), on gratuitous grace (30), and on the imperfection of human righteousness (31).

[3844]125 Compare Epistle 207, written probably in the latter half of 421.

[3845]126 That is, Chyrsostom.

[3846]127 Compare On Rebuke and Grace, 44, and the footnote there.

[3847]128 See vol. iii. of this series, pp. 227 sq.

[3848]129 Now a portion of Tunis.

[3849]130 Epistle 194.

[3850]131 Epistle 214.

[3851]132 Epistle 215, 2 sq.

[3852]133 Epistle 216.

[3853]134 On Rebuke and Grace, 1.

[3854]135 Retractions, ii. 67. Compare On Rebuke and Grace, 5 sq.

[3855]136 On the importance of this treatise for Augustinâs doctrine of predestination, see Wiggersâ Augustinianism and Pelagianism, E.T. p. 236, where a sketch of the history of this doctrine in Augustinâs writings may be found.

[3856]137 Epistle 217.

[3857]138 Epistle 224.

[3858]139 The account given of Pelagianism is as follows: a They are in such degree enemies of the grace of God, by which we have been predestined into the adoption of sons by Jesus Christ unto Himself (Eph. i. 5), and by which we are delivered from the power of darkness so as to believe in Him, and be translated into His kingdom (Col. i. 13) âwherefore He says, aNo man comes to Me, except it be given him of My Fatherâ (John vi. 66)âand by which love is shed abroad in our hearts (Rom. v. 5), so that faith may work by love: that they believe that man is able, without it, to keep all the Divine commandments, awhereas, if this were true, it would clearly be an empty thing that the Lord said, aWithout Me ye can do nothing (John xv. 5). When Pelagius was at length accused by the brethren, because he attributed nothing to the assistance of Godas grace towards the keeping of His commandments, he yielded to their rebuke, so far as not to place this grace above free will, but with faithless cunning to subordinate it, saying that it was given to men for this purpose; viz., that they might be able more easily to fulfil by grace, what they were commanded to do by free will. By saying, athat they might be able more easily, a he, of course, wished it to be believed that, although with more difficulty, nevertheless men were able without divine grace to perform the divine commands. But that grace of God, without which we can do nothing good, they say does not exist except in free will, which without any preceding merits our nature received from Him; and that He adds His aid only in that by His law and teaching we may learn what we ought to do, but not in that by the gift of His Spirit we may do what we have learned ought to be done. Accordingly, they confess that knowledge by which ignorance is banished is divinely given to us, but deny that love by which we may live a pious life is given; so that, forsooth, while knowledge, which, without love, puffeth up, is the gift of God, love itself, which edifieth so that knowledge may not puff up, is not the gift of God (1 Cor. viii. 11). They also destroy the prayers which the Church offers, whether for those that are unbelieving and resisting Godâs teaching, that they may be converted to God; or for the faithful, that faith may be increased in them, and they may persevere in it. For they contend that men do not receive these things from Him, but have them from ourselves, saying, that the grace of God, by which we are freed from impiety, is given according to our merits. Pelagius was compelled, no

doubt, to condemn this by his fear of being condemned by the episcopal judgment in Palestine; but he is found to teach it still in his later writings. They also advanced so far as to say that the life of the righteous in this world is without sin, and the Church of Christ is perfected by them in this mortality, to the point of being entirely without spot or wrinkle (Eph. v. 27); as if it were not the Church of Christ, that, in the whole world, cries to God, aForgive us our debts.â They also deny that children, who are carnally born after Adam, contract the contagion of ancient death from their first birth. For they assert that they are born so without any bond of original sin, that there is absolutely nothing that ought to be remitted to them in the second birth, yet they are to be baptized; but for this reason, that, adopted in regeneration, they may be admitted to the kingdom of God, and thus be translated from good into better, anot that they may be washed by that renovation from any evil of the old bond. For although they be not baptized, they promise to them, outside the kingdom of God indeed, but nevertheless, a certain eternal and blessed life of their own. They also say that Adam himself, even had he not sinned, would have died in the body, and that this death would not have come as a desert to a fault, but as a condition of nature. Certain other things also are objected to them, but these are the chief, and also either all, or nearly all, the others may be understood to depend on these.â

[3859]140 Compare Epistles 225, 1, and 156. It is, of course, not certain that this is the same Hilary that wrote to Augustin from Sicily, but it seems probable.

[3860]141 In Letters 225 and 226.

[3861]142 This is a necessary limitation, for there is another sideâa churchly sideâof Augustinâs theology, which was only laid alongside of, and artificially combined with, his theology of grace. This was the traditional element in his teaching, but was far from the determining or formative element. As Thomasius truly points out (Dogmengeschichte, i. 495), both his experience and the Scriptures stood with him above tradition.

[3862]143 It is only one of the strange assertions in Professor Allenâs Continuity of Christian Thought, that he makes âthe Augustinian theology rest upon the transcendence of Deity as its controlling principleâ (p. 3), which is identified with a tacit assumption of deismâ (p. 171), and explained to include a âlocalization of God as a physical essence in the infinite remoteness, a aseparated from the world by infinite reaches of space. a As a matter of mere fact, Augustinâs conception of God was that of an immanent Spirit, and his tendency was consequently distinctly towards a pantheistic rather than a deistic view of His relation to His creatures. Nor is this true only aat a certain stage of his careera (p. 6), which is but Professor Allenâs attempt to reconcile fact with his theory, but of his whole life and all his teaching. He, no doubt, did not so teach the Divine immanence as to make God the author of the form as well as the matter of all acts of His creatures, or to render it impossible for His creatures to turn from Him; this would be to

pass the limits that separate the conception of Christian immanence from pure pantheism, and to make God the author of sin, and all His creatures but manifestations of Himself.

[3863]144 On Rebuke and Grace, 27, 28.

[3864]145 On Rebuke and Grace, 29, 31 sq.

[3865]146 On Rebuke and Grace, 28.

[3866]147 On Rebuke and Grace, 28.

[3867]148 On the City of God, xiii. 2, 12, 14; On the Trinity, iv. 13.

[3868]149 On the Merits and Remission of Sins, i. 15, and often.

[3869]150 Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iv. 7; On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, iii. 14, 15.

[3870]151 On Marriage and Concupiscence, ii. 57; On the City of God, xiv. 1.

[3871]152 Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, iv. 7.

[3872]153 On Original Sin, 42.

[3873]154 Retractations, ii. 24.

[3874]155 Against Julian, iv. 3, 25, 26. Compare Thomasiusâ Dogmengeschichte, i. 501 and 507.

[3875]156 On the Spirit and the Letter, 58.

[3876]157 On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, ii. 30.

[3877]158 On Rebuke and Grace, 11.

[3878]159 On the Spirit and the Letter, 58.

[3879]160 On the Grace of Christ, 4 sq.

[3880]161 On the Predestination of the Saints, 10.

[3881]162 Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, i. 5. Epistle 215, 4 and often.

[3882]163 Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, i. 7. Compare i. 5, 6.

[3883]164 Sermon 26.

[3884]165 On Nature and Grace, 62. On the Grace of Christ, 13. On Rebuke and Grace, 2 sq.

[3885]166 On the Spirit and Letter, 52; On Grace and Free Will, 1 sq.

[3886]167 On the Spirit and Letter, 60, and often.

[3887]168 On Nature and Grace, 4, and often.

[3888]169 On the Grace of Christ, 27, and often.

[3889]170 On the Grace of Christ, 34, and often.

[3890]171 On Grace and Free Will, 21.

[3891]172 On Grace and Free Will, 30, and often.

[3892]173 On the Gift of Perseverance, 16; Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, ii. 15.

[3893]174 Epistle to Optatus, 190.

[3894]175 On the Predestination of the Saints, 17, 18.

[3895]176 On Grace and Free Will, 17; On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 34, and often.

[3896]177 Compare Thomasiusâ Dogmengeschichte, i. 510.

[3897]178 On Rebuke and Grace, 40, 45; On the Predestination of the Saints, 13.

[3898]179 On Rebuke and Grace, 40.

[3899]180 On the Gift of Perseverance, 56.

[3900]181 On the Predestination of the Saints, 36 sq.

[3901]182 On the Gift of Perseverance, 41 sq., 47.

[3902]183 On Rebuke and Grace, 39. Compare 14.

[3903]184 On the Gift of Perseverance, 29; Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, ii. 9 sq.

[3904]185 On Rebuke and Grace, 1.

[3905]186 On the Predestination of the Saints, 17, 18; if the gospel is not preached at any given place, it is proof that God has no elect there.

[3906]187 On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, etc., ii. 37.

[3907]188 On Rebuke and Grace, 23.

[3908]189 Do., 20.

[3909]190 On the Soul and its Origin, i. 11; ii. 17.

[3910]191 On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, etc., ii. 46.

[3911]192 On Augustinâs teaching as to baptism, see Rev. James Field Spaldingâs The Teaching and Influence of Augustin, pp. 39 sq.

[3912]193 On the Soul and its Origin, iv. 14.

[3913]194 On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, etc., ii. 59.

[3914]195 On the Merits and Forgiveness of Sins, i. 29.

[3915]196 Compare On the Spirit and the Letter, 63.

[3916]197 On the subject of this whole section, compare Reuterâs Augustinische Studien, which has come to hand only after the whole was already in type, but which in all essential mattersâsuch as the formative principle, the sources, and the main outlines of Augustinâs theologyâis in substantial agreement with what is here said.

[3917]198 It is satisfactory to observe how brief and scanty are his aretractations and the topics treated in the present volume.

[3918]199 Marius Mercator mentions a seventh opinion broached by CÅlestius, to the effect that âinfants, though they be unbaptized, have everlasting life.â

[3919]200 De Peccato originali, [xii.] 13. See below.

[3920]201 [i.e. On the Proceedings of Pelagius.]

[3921]202 See the Proceedings of Pelagius, c. 66.

[3922]203 For some time Augustin abstained from mentioning the name of Pelagius, to save him as much as he could from exposure, and to avoid the irritation which might urge him to heresy from obstinacy. Augustin recognised early enough the motive which influenced Pelagius at first. The latter dreaded the Antinomianism of the day, and concentrated his teaching in a doctrine which was meant as a protest against it. âWe would rather not do injustice to our friends,â says Augustin, as he praises their âstrong and active minds;â and he goes on to commend Pelagius anonymously for âthe zeal which he entertains against those who find a defence for their sins in the infirmity of human nature.â See the third treatise of this volume, On Nature and Grace, ch. 6, 7.

[3923]204 We make this qualification, because Pelagius himself seems to have recognised to some extent the power of habit and its effect upon the will, in his Letter to Demetrias, 8. See Dr. Philip Schaffâs History of the Christian Church, vol. iii. p. 804.

[3924]205 Aristotle, Ethic. Nicom. ii. 2, 3, 6; Butler, Analogy, i. 5.

[3925]206 [Revised edition. Charles Scribnerâs Sons, New York, and T. Clark, Edinburgh, 1884.]

[3926]207 See Matt. vi. 12.

[3927]208 See Book ii. ch. 50.

[3928]209 This is probably an allusion to the Donatists, who were then fiercely assailing the Catholics; [and over the conference between whom and the Catholics, Marcellinus had presided the previous year (411).âW.]

[3929]210 [Flavius Marcellinus, a âtribune and notary,â a Christian man of high character and devout mind, who was much interested in theological discussions. He was appointed by Honorius to preside over the commission of inquiry into the disputes between the Catholics and Donatists in 411, and held the famous conference between the parties, that met in Carthage on the 1st, 3d, and 8th of June, 411. He discharged this whole business with singular patience, moderation, and good judgment; which appears to have cemented the intimate friendship between him and Augustin. Augustinâs treatise on The Spirit and Letter is also addressed to him, and he undertook the City of God on his suggestion. See below, p. 80.âW.]

[3930]211 Gen. ii. 17.

[3931]212 Matt. viii. 22; Luke ix. 60.

[3932]213 Gen. iii. 19.

[3933]214 1 Cor. xv. 52, 53.

[3934]215 2 Cor. v. 2â4.

[3935]216 Deut. xxix. 5.

[3936]217 Gen. v. 24; 2 Kings ii. 11.

[3937]218 1 Kings xix. 8.

[3938]219 Gen. iii. 19.

[3939]220 Rom. viii. 10, 11.

[3940]221 Rom. viii. 10.

[3941]222 Rom. viii. 11.

[3942]223 1 Cor. xv. 44, 53, 55.

[3943]224 Rom. viii. 10.

[3944]225 Col. iii. 5.

[3945]226 Rom. viii. 10.

[3946]227 Rom. vii. 24.

[3947]228 Respiramus.

[3948]229 Rom. viii. 10.

[3949]230 Rom. viii. 11.

[3950]231 Rom. viii. 12, 13.

[3951]232 1 Cor. xv. 21.

[3952]233 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22.

[3953]234 Rom. v. 12.

[3954]235 Rom. v. 12.

[3955]236 1 John iii. 8.

[3956]237 Wisd. ii. 24.

[3957]238 Ver. 25.

[3958]239 1 Cor. xi. 1.

[3959]240 1 Cor. iii. 7.

[3960]241 Rom. v. 12.

[3961]242 See below, Book iii. c. vii.; also in the De Nuptiis, c. v.; also Epist. 186, and Serm. 165.

[3962]243 1 Cor. vi. 17.

[3963]244 Gal. iii. 8: comp. Gen. xii. 3, xviii. 18, xxii. 18.

[3964]245 Rom. v. 12.

[3965]246 This was the Pelagian term, expressive of their dogma that original sin stands in the following [or âimitationâ] of Adam, instead of being the fault and corruption of the nature of every man who is naturally engendered of Adamâs offspring; which doctrine is expressed by Augustinâs word, propagatio, âpropagation.â

[3966]247 Rom. v. 13.

[3967]248 Rom. v. 20.

[3968]249 Gal. iii. 21, 22.

[3969]250 Rom. v. 13.

[3970]251 Rom. ii. 12.

[3971]252 Rom. v. 14.

[3972]253 Reatus peccati.

[3973]254 Rom. v. 14.

[3974]255 Rom. v. 14.

[3975]256 Comp. Epist. 157, n. 19. [Some few Greek copies have come down to us (e.g. 67\*\*) which omit the ânot,â but no Latin copy (unless d\* be an exception), although other Latin writers (e.g. Ambrosiaster) testify to their former existence.âW.]

[3976]257 Rom. v. 15.

[3977]258 See note to last word of ch. 11.

[3978]259 Rom. v. 16.

[3979]260 Rom. v. 17.

[3980]261 See above, ch. 9.

[3981]262 Rom. v. 18.

[3982]263 1 Cor. iv. 16; xi. 1.

[3983]264 Rom. iv. 5.

[3984]265 Sanctus sanctorum.

[3985]266 John xiv. 1.

[3986]267 Rom. v. 18.

[3987]268 The word is âallâ in ver. 18.

[3988]269 See ver. 19.

[3989]270 Rom. v. 19.

[3990]271 Rom. v. 20.

[3991]272 Rom. v. 21.

[3992]273 Rom. v. 21.

[3993]274 Rom. v. 21.

[3994]275 See Augustinas Enchirid. c. 93, and Contra Julianum, v. 11.

[3995]276 Rom. v. 16.

[3996]277 Ver. 18.

[3997]278 Gen. iii. 10.

[3998]279 Gen. ii. 17.

[3999]280 Ecclus. xxv. 24.

[4000]281 Matt. xix. 5, 6.

[4001]282 See below, c. 26; also De Peccato orig. c. 19â24; also Serm. 294.

[4002]283 Lavacrum.

[4003]284 Tit. iii. 5.

[4004]285 Rom. viii. 24, 25.

[4005]286 Rom. v. 6.

[4006]287 Luke v. 31, 32.

[4007]288 Matt. xix. 14.

[4008]289 See below, c. 26 and 40; also Book iii. c. 2; also Epist. 98, and Serm. 294.

[4009]290 John iii. 3.

[4010]291 Ver. 5.

[4011]292 John vi. 53.

[4012]293 John vi. 51.

[4013]294 Generant et generantur; Luke xx. 34.

[4014]295 John iii. 35, 36.

[4015]296 Eph. ii. 3.

[4016]297 Rom. ix. 14.

[4017]298 Ps. xxxvi. 6.

[4018]299 Rom. xi. 32.

[4019]300 Rom. xi. 33â36.

[4020]301 Wisdom iv. 11.

[4021]302 Rom. ix. 14.

[4022]303 Jer. i. 5.

[4023]304 Rom. ix. 11, 12.

[4024]305 Rom. x. 14.

[4025]306 Luke xxiii. 43.

[4026]307 We here follow the reading cerriti; other readings are,âcurati (with studied folly), cirrati (with effeminate foppery), and citrati (decking themselves with citrus leaves).

[4027]308 That is, afools, a from the Greek mwrçv.

[4028]309 John iii. 8.

[4029]310 1 Cor. i. 31.

[4030]311 John i. 29.

[4031]312 Luke ii. 11.

[4032]313 Tit. iii. 5.

[4033]314 1 Pet. iii. 21.

[4034]315 John vi. 51.

[4035]316 John vi. 51.

[4036]317 John vi. 53.

[4037]318 Job xiv. 4.

[4038]319 Ps. li. 5.

[4039]320 Matt. xxvi. 28.

[4040]321 John xii. 46.

[4041]322 John i. 9.

[4042]323 1 Cor. xiv. 20.

[4043]324 John i. 9.

[4044]325 1 Cor. iii. 7.

[4045]326 John i. 9.

[4046]327 ªO [scil. tè fòv] fwt°zei pâ¬nta Ënqrwpon Ârcçmenon eÂ,v tèn kçsmon.

[4047]328 Wisd. viii. 19, 20.

[4048]329 John xii. 46.

[4049]330 Rom. x. 10.

[4050]331 Phil. ii. 8.

[4051]332 Luke v. 32.

[4052]333 Luke xix. 9, 10.

[4053]334 Luke xv. 4.

[4054]335 Luke xv. 8.

[4055]336 Luke xxiv. 46, 47.

[4056]337 Mark xvi. 15, 16.

[4057]338 John i. 29.

[4058]339 John x. 27, 28.

[4059]340 John xiv. 6.

[4060]341 1 Pet. i. 3â5.

[4061]342 1 Pet. i. 7â9.

[4062]343 1 Pet. ii. 9.

[4063]344 1 Pet. iii. 18.

[4064]345 1 Pet. iii. 21.

[4065]346 1 John i. 7.

[4066]347 1 John v. 9â12.

[4067]348 1 John iii. 8.

[4068]349 Rom. x. 3.

[4069]350 [This is the reading of the Vulgate, as well as of the Greek; but Augustin, following an Old Latin reading, actually has propositum, instead of remissionem.âW.]

[4070]351 Rom. iii. 22â26.

[4071]352 Rom. iv. 4â8.

[4072]353 Rom. iv. 23â25.

[4073]354 Rom. v. 6.

[4074]355 Rom. vii. 14â25.

[4075]356 Rom. viii. 3.

[4076]357 1 Cor. xv. 3.

[4077]358 2 Cor. v. 14â21.

[4078]359 2 Cor. vi. 1, 2.

[4079]360 Gal. i. 3, 4.

[4080]361 Gal. iii. 19â22.

[4081]362 Eph. ii. 1â5.

[4082]363 Eph. ii. 8â10.

[4083]364 Eph. ii. 12â18.

[4084]365 Eph. iv. 21â24.

[4085]366 Eph. iv. 30.

[4086]367 Col. i. 12â14.

[4087]368 Exuens se carnem.

[4088]369 Col. ii. 10â15.

[4089]370 Humanus sermo.

[4090]371 1 Tim. i. 15, 16.

[4091]372 1 Tim. ii. 5, 6.

[4092]373 2 Tim. i. 8â10.

[4093]374 Tit. ii. 13, 14.

[4094]375 Tit. iii. 3â7.

[4095]376 Amongst the Latins, as Jerome tells us in more than one passage (see his Commentaries, on Isa. vi., viii.; on Zech. viii.; on Matt. xxvi.; also, in his Catal. Script. Eccles., c. xvi. [ad Paulum], and Ixx. [ad Gaium], etc.). The Greeks, however, held that the epistle was the work of St. Paul. In his Epistle cxxix. [ad Dardanum] he thus writes: âWe must admit that the epistle written to the Hebrews is regarded as the Apostle Paulâs, not only by the churches of the East, but by all church writers who have from the beginning (retro) written in Greek.ââNote of the Benedictine Editor. [See Augustinâs City of God, xvi. 22 and Christian Doctrine, ii. (8), 13. The matter is fairly stated by Augustin, after whose day the Epistle was not doubted even in the West.âW.]

[4096]377 Heb. i. 1â3.

[4097]378 Heb. ii. 2, 3.

[4098]379 Heb. ii. 14, 15.

[4099]380 Heb. ii. 17.

[4100]381 Heb. iv. 14, 15.

[4101]382 Heb. vii. 24â27.

[4102]383 Heb. ix. 24â28.

[4103]384 Rev. v. 9.

[4104]385 Acts iii. 14, 15.

[4105]386 Acts iv. 11, 12.

[4106]387 Acts v. 30, 31.

[4107]388 Acts x. 43.

[4108]389 Acts xiii. 38, 39.

[4109]390 See Luke xxiv. 44â47.

[4110]391 Acts x. 43.

[4111]392 Ps. xvi. 3.

[4112]393 Ps. xvi. 4.

[4113]394 Ps. xvi. 4.

[4114]395 Ps. cxix. 176.

[4115]396 Isa. liii. 6.

[4116]397 Acts viii. 30â37.

[4117]398 Isa. liii. 3â12.

[4118]399 See Luke iv. 16â21.

[4119]400 Isa. lxi. 1.

[4120]401 There seems to be here some omission.âBenedictine Note.

[4121]402 1 Pet. i. 5.

[4122]403 1 Cor. xv. 22.

[4123]404 Rom. v. 18.

[4124]405 MalÃ" credentium.

[4125]406 Matt. xii. 30.

[4126]407 2 Thess. iii. 2.

[4127]408 Gen. iii. 6.

[4128]409 Luke i. 38.

[4129]410 [The editions, but apparently no Mss., add here the somewhat sententious words: âVoluntas ista, non voluptas illa, nuptialis est,ââwhich may, perhaps, be rendered: âWedded desire is willingness, not wantonness.ââW.]

[4130]411 1 Cor. vii. 38.

[4131]412 Matt. xviii. 4.

[4132]413 Luke i. 34, 38.

[4133]414 John iii. 3, 5.

[4134]415 John iii. 3.

[4135]416 John iii. 5.

[4136]417 Rom. vi. 6.

[4137]418 Num. xxi. 9.

[4138]419 John iii. 1â21.

[4139]420 John iii. 13.

[4140]421 Gen. ii. 24.

[4141]422 Mark x. 8.

[4142]423 John iii. 13.

[4143]424 This was the error which was subsequently condemned in the heresy of Nestorius.

[4144]425 John i. 1.

[4145]426 John 1. 14.

[4146]427 1 Cor. xii. 12.

- [4147]428 John iii. 14, 15.
- [4148]429 Numb. xxi. 6â9.
- [4149]430 John iii. 15.
- [4150]431 John iii. 16.
- [4151]432 John iii. 18.
- [4152]433 John iii. 19.
- [4153]434 John iii. 21.
- [4154]435 Phil. ii. 13.
- [4155]436 Carnali.
- [4156]437 See above, ch. 32.
- [4157]438 Ps. xxiv. 7.
- [4158]439 Gen. ii. 23.
- [4159]440 Gen. ii. 19.
- [4160]441 Rom. viii. 3.
- [4161]442 1 Cor. ix. 27.
- [4162]443 Ps. cxliii. 2.
- [4163]444 John xiv. 30.
- [4164]445 1 Tim. ii. 5, 6.
- [4165]446 Matt. vi. 13.
- [4166]447 Ps. cxliii. 2.
- [4167]448 Luke vi. 37, 38.
- [4168]449 Jas. ii. 10.
- [4169]450 Jas. ii. 12.
- [4170]451 See above, Book i. chap. 70 (xxxix.)
- [4171]452 Originaliter, i.e. owing to birth-sin.
- [4172]453 1 Cor. xv. 54.
- [4173]454 Matt. vi. 12, 13.

[4174]455 Rom. vii. 18.

[4175]456 Rom. vi. 12.

[4176]457 Matt. vi. 12.

[4177]458 Jas. i. 13.

[4178]459 2 Cor. v. 4.

[4179]460 Matt. vi. 13.

[4180]461 Zech. i. 3.

[4181]462 Ps. lxxxv. 4.

[4182]463 Ps. lxxx. 3, 4.

[4183]464 Da quod jubes; see the Confessions, Book x. chap. 26.

[4184]465 Ps. xciv. 8.

[4185]466 Ps. cxix. 73.

[4186]467 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[4187]468 Wisd. viii. 21.

[4188]469 Isa. lvi. 1.

[4189]470 Ps. cxix. 108.

[4190]471 Matt. v. 6.

[4191]472 Luke xviii. 11, 12.

[4192]473 Ps. xl. 17, lxx. 5.

[4193]474 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4194]475 Jer. x. 23.

[4195]476 Ps. cxix. 4.

[4196]477 Ps. cxix. 5, 6.

[4197]478 Ps. cxix. 133.

[4198]479 John i. 12.

[4199]480 John viii. 36.

[4200]481 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4201]482 Jas. ii. 13.

[4202]483 Jas. ii. 13.

[4203]484 Ps. xxxii. 5.

[4204]485 Ps. xxxii. 6.

[4205]486 1 John i. 8.

[4206]487 Rev. xiv. 3â5.

[4207]488 1 John i. 8.

[4208]489 1 John iii. 9.

[4209]490 See Col. iii. 10.

[4210]491 Donec etiam in re fiant.

[4211]492 Matt. xix. 28.

[4212]493 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[4213]494 Eph. iv. 24.

[4214]495 Tit. iii. 5.

[4215]496 Rom. viii. 23â25.

[4216]497 1 John iii. 9.

[4217]498 1 John i. 8.

[4218]499 1 John iii. 2.

[4219]500 1 John iii. 2.

[4220]501 Ps. xxxvi. 10.

[4221]502 [See below, c. 25; also De Nuptiis, i. 18; also contra Julianum, vi. 5.]

[4222]503 Luke xx. 34.

[4223]504 John iii. 6.

[4224]505 1 John iii. 9.

[4225]506 1 John i. 8.

[4226]507 Ezek. xiv. 14.

[4227]508 Gen. ix. 21.

[4228]509 Dan. ix. 20.

[4229]510 Ezek. xxviii. 3.

[4230]511 Job ix. 2, 3.

[4231]512 Job ix. 19, 20.

[4232]513 Job ix. 30.

[4233]514 Job xiii. 26, to xiv. 5.

[4234]515 Job xiv. 16, 17.

[4235]516 Jer. ii. 29.

[4236]517 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4237]518 Eph. ii. 3.

[4238]519 Rom. vii. 15.

[4239]520 Quid quod.

[4240]521 Job i. 22.

[4241]522 Job xxxix. 34.

[4242]523 Job xlii. 5, 6.

[4243]524 Ps. cxxxviii. 8.

[4244]525 Qua se noverat injustum. Several Mss. have justum [q. d. âhad discovered what his own righteousness was,ââi.e. nothing].

[4245]526 Phil. iii. 6â8.

[4246]527 See below, chap. 23.

[4247]528 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4248]529 Job i. 8.

[4249]530 Rom. vii. 22, 23.

[4250]531 Rom. vii. 19, 20.

[4251]532 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[4252]533 1 John i. 8.

[4253]534 Luke i. 6â9.

[4254]535 See above, Book i. c. 50.

[4255]536 Heb. vii. 26, 27.

[4256]537 Phil. iii. 6.

[4257]538 Luke i. 6. [See also his work, De Gratia Christi, 53.]

[4258]539 Phil. iii. 7â11.

[4259]540 Phil. iii. 12â14.

[4260]541 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[4261]542 [Augustin plays on the word aperfect.aaW.]

[4262]543 Phil. iii. 15, 16.

[4263]544 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4264]545 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4265]546 Matt. vi. 12; Luke xi. 4.

[4266]547 Matt. v. 48.

[4267]548 See above, chap. 7.

[4268]549 1 Cor. iii. 2.

[4269]550 Ut sufferatis his antithesis here to ut diligat.

[4270]551 Phil. iii. 15.

[4271]552 2 Tim. iv. 7.

[4272]553 2 Tim. iv. 6.

[4273]554 2 Cor. xii. 8, 9.

[4274]555 2 Cor. xii. 7.

[4275]556 Ps. xcix. 6.

[4276]557 Ps. xcix. 8.

[4277]558 Prov. iii. 12; Heb. xii. 6.

[4278]559 Matt. vi. 12, 14; Luke xi. 4.

[4279]560 Matt. xix. 12.

[4280]561 See above, chs. 7 and 8.

[4281]562 See above, chs. 7 and 8.

[4282]563 Jer. x. 23.

[4283]564 Jer. x. 24.

[4284]565 Ps. cxix. 175.

[4285]566 See below, in ch. 33: also De Naturâ et Gratiâ, 29â32; and De Corrept. et Gratia, 10.

[4286]567 [Augustin appears to say, in this obscure passage, that had there been two persons, instead of two natures only, in our blessed Lordâs person, then no doubt salvation would have been due partly to a human cause.âW.]

[4287]568 Ps. lxxxv. 12.

[4288]569 Rom. v. 5.

[4289]570 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4290]571 See De Grati $\tilde{A}$ ¢ Christi, 52; and De Grati $\tilde{A}$ ¢ et Libero Arbitrio.

[4291]572 Prov. viii. 35.

[4292]573 Ps. xxxvii. 23.

[4293]574 Phil. ii. 13.

[4294]575 Isa. xlv. 25; Jer. ix. 23, 24; 1 Cor. i. 31.

[4295]576 Ps. lxxxiv. 11.

[4296]577 Ps. lxxxv. 10.

[4297]578 Ps. xxv. 10.

[4298]579 John i. 14.

[4299]580 Ps. ci. 1.

[4300]581 Matt. xx. 1â16.

[4301]582 i.e., the soil of their hearts; see above, at the end of ch. 27.

[4302]583 Ps. lxxxv. 4.

[4303]584 Ps. ciii. 10.

[4304]585 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4305]586 See above, chs. 7, 8, 26.

[4306]587 See above, chs. 8, 9.

[4307]588 Gen. ii. 17.

[4308]589 Prov. iii. 18.

[4309]590 Gen. i. 31.

[4310]591 Gen. ii. 25.

[4311]592 i.e. âParts of shame.â

[4312]593 Rom. vii. 17, 23.

[4313]594 Gen. iii. 7.

[4314]595 Gen. xxi. 19.

[4315]596 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[4316]597 John i. 12, 13.

[4317]598 John i. 14.

[4318]599 John i. 1.

[4319]600 Medietatem.

[4320]601 De maternâ carne peccati, which is the reading of the best and oldest Mss. Another reading has, De naturâ carnis peccati (âof the nature of sinful fleshâ); and a third, De materiâ carnis peccati (âof the matter of sinful fleshâ). Compare Contr. Julianum, v. 9, and De Gen. ad. Lit. x. 18â20.

[4321]602 See above, c. 11.

[4322]603 The allusion is to Heb. vii. 9.

[4323]604 [See Gelasius, in his Treatise against the Pelagians.]

[4324]605 1 Cor. vii. 14.

[4325]606 See above, Book i. chs. 21â23.

[4326]607 See Rom. v. 18.

[4327]608 Catechumens received the sacramentum salisâsalt placed in the mouthâwith other rites, such as exorcism and the sign of the cross; the Lordâs Prayer and other invocations concluding the ceremony. See Canon 5 of the third Council of Carthage; also Augustinâs De Catechiz. Rud. 50; and his Confessions, i. 11, where (speaking of his own catechumenical course) he says: âl was now signed with the sign of His cross, and was seasoned with His salt.â

[4328]609 1 Tim. iv. 5.

[4329]610 Mark vii. 19.

[4330]611 John vi. 27.

[4331]612 See below, Book iii. ch. 21; and his Sermons, xxix. 4.

[4332]613 1 Cor. vii. 14.

[4333]614 John iii. 3.

[4334]615 Rom. vi. 12.

[4335]616 Rom. viii. 8, 9.

[4336]617 We follow the reading, lex [scil. peccati] concupiscentialiter, etc.

[4337]618 Compare Augustinâs Contra Julianum, vi. c. 22.

[4338]619 Luke ii. 11.

[4339]620 Rather to Joseph, Maryâs husband; Matt. i. 21.

[4340]621 John xiv. 30, 31.

[4341]622 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22.

[4342]623 Col. ii. 14. Chirographa, i.e. âhandwritings.â

[4343]624 Matt. xxvi. 28.

[4344]625 1 Thess. iv. 17. Compare Retrac. ii. 33 and Letter 193.

[4345]626 Augustin constantly quotes this text with the active participle sperantium, instead of sperandorum. The Greek Âlpizomâ¢nwn is not always construed passively in the passage; some regard it as of the middle voice.

[4346]627 Heb. xi. 1.

[4347]628 Heb. xi. 13.

[4348]629 Heb. xi. 39, 40.

[4349]630 Heb. ii. 14.

[4350]631 John xiv. 30, 31.

[4351]632 Hab. ii. 4.

[4352]633 John xvi. 7.

[4353]634 John xvi. 8â10.

[4354]635 Gen. iii. 18, 19.

[4355]636 Gen. iii. 16.

[4356]637 John xv. 13.

[4357]638 1 John iii. 16.

[4358]639 See also his treatise, De Naturâ et Gratiâ, ch. xxiii.

[4359]640 Prov. iv. 27.

[4360]641 Same verse [in the Latin and Septuagint; the clause does not occur in the Hebrew].

[4361]642 [See the last note.]

[4362]643 Num. xiv. 29, 31.

[4363]644 Josh. xxiii. 6, 8.

[4364]645 Rom. vii. 14.

[4365]646 Ps. xxv. 22.

[4366]647 Rom. viii. 3.

[4367]648 Ps. ciii. 2â4.

[4368]649 We follow the reading, per summam præscientiam.

[4369]650 Non mereantur.

[4370]651 He treats it in his Epistle, 166; in his work, De Anim $\tilde{A}$ ¢ et ejus Origine; and in his De Libero Arbitrio, 42.

[4371]652 [This commentary is also made known to us by Marius Mercatorâs Commonitoria, cap. 2, and has been preserved for us among the works of Jerome (Vallarsiusâ ed., tom. xi.), although probably not without alterations. It seems to have been composed before A.D. 410, at Rome.âW.]

[4372]653 Rom. v. 12.

[4373]654 John iii. 36.

[4374]655 John iii. 18.

[4375]656 Mark xvi. 16.

[4376]657 [Or, âbecause they lack my own faculty of understanding the subject.â].

[4377]658 Mark xvi. 16.

[4378]659 John iii. 5.

[4379]660 Matt. xxv. 34.

[4380]661 Matt. xxv. 46.

[4381]662 Pertinere ad.

[4382]663 Rom. v. 12.

[4383]664 John iii. 5.

[4384]665 Matt. i. 21.

[4385]666 Matt. ix. 12.

[4386]667 See John vi. 53.

[4387]668 âAdam formam futuri;â see Rom. v. 14.

[4388]669 Comp. above, Book i. c. 13; Epist. 157; De Nuptiis, ii. 44; and Contra Julianum, vi. 8.

[4389]670 See Cyprianâs Epistle, 64 (ad Fidum): also Augustin, Epist. 166; De Nuptis, ii. 49; Contra Julianum, ii. 5; Ad Bonifacium, iv. 3; Sermons, 294.

[4390]671 The word implies and ripe age; a i.e., for abaptism.a

[4391]672 Luke ix. 56.

[4392]673 St. Jerome, on Jon. iii.

[4393]674 Ver. 3.

[4394]675 Job xxv. 4.

[4395]676 Or âwho have treated of both languages of the divine Scriptures.â

[4396]677 Probably in the year 411, when a conference was held at Carthage with the Donatists. Augustin says that he then saw Pelagius; see his work, De Gestis Pelagii, c. 46.

[4397]678 [This âChristian Epicurus,â as he is called by the intemperate zeal of the asceticism of his day, was condemned as a heretic by councils at Rome and Milan in 390. According to Jerome, who wrote a book against him, he not only opposed asceticism, but also contended for the essential equality of all sins and of the

punishments and rewards of the next world, and for the sinlessness of those baptized by the Spirit.âW.]

[4398]679 See Jeromeâs work Against Jovinian, ii. near the beginning.

[4399]680 John ii. 6.

[4400]681 Isa. liii. 9.

[4401]682 Isa. liii. 7.

[4402]683 John xiv. 30.

[4403]684 2 Cor. v. 21.

[4404]685 Jas. iii. 2.

[4405]686 Job xiv. 5.

[4406]687 Ps. li. 5.

[4407]688 Rom. v. 12.

[4408]689 Aliena.

[4409]690 1 Cor. vi. 15.

[4410]691 Rom. ix. 11.

[4411]692 Rom. v. 12.

[4412]693 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22.

[4413]694 1 Cor. xv. 53â56.

[4414]695 [This is only one of many examples of the care with which Augustin, writing for the popular eye, illustrates his exegetical points. aOf deatha he thus shows is genitive of the object, not of the subject; giving to the phrase the meaning of athe sting which slays man.aaW.]

[4415]696 Rom. v. 20.

[4416]697 Gal. iii. 22.

[4417]698 1 Cor. xv. 57.

[4418]699 Rom. v. 20.

[4419]700 Gal. iii. 22.

[4420]701 Mark ii. 17.

[4421]702 1 Cor. vii. 14.

[4422]703 See Augustinâs work On the Sermon on the Mount, i. 16.

[4423]704 See the Commentaries on St. Paul in Jeromeâs works, vol. xi. (Vallarsius), the work of either Pelagius or one of his followers.

[4424]705 Ezek. xviii. 6.

[4425]706 Matt. vi. 12.

[4426]707 1 John i. 8.

[4427]708 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4428]709 The Tribune Marcellinus with whose name are connected many other treatises of Augustin. In this work the author informs us that the occasion of its composition was furnished by this person, who mooted an inquiry touching a statement in the preceding books Concerning the Merits and the Remission of Sins. Those books, as we have already indicated, were published A.D., 412. Now in the Retractations there is placed after these very books the present work Concerning the Spirit and the Letter,ânot indeed, immediately next, but in the fourth place after,âso that it was written, no doubt, about the end of the same year, A.D. 412, some time previous to the death of Marcellinus, who was killed in the month or September of the following year, 413. This present work is also mentioned in the book On Faith and Works, c. 14; and in that On Christian Doctrine, iii. 33. Compare the notes on p. 15 and p. 130.

[4429]710 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[4430]711 See chap. 36 [xxi.].

[4431]712 On the Merits of Sins, etc., ii. 6, 7, 20.

[4432]713 1 Cor. xv. 22.

[4433]714 Matt. xix. 24, 26.

[4434]715 Matt. xxvi. 53, but observe the âthousandâ inserted.

[4435]716 Deut. xxxi. 3.

[4436]717 Judg. ii. 3.

[4437]718 Phil. ii. 13.

[4438]719 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4439]720 2 Cor. v. 7.

[4440]721 Rom. v. 5.

[4441]722 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[4442]723 Rom. viii. 6.

- [4443]724 2 Cor. iii. 6.
- [4444]725 Rom. vii. 7.
- [4445]726 Rom. vii. 11.
- [4446]727 Rom. iv. 15.
- [4447]728 Rom. vii. 7.
- [4448]729 Rom. viii. 29, 30.
- [4449]730 Mark x. 27.
- [4450]731 2 Cor. iii. 6.
- [4451]732 Rom. v. 20, 21.
- [4452]733 Rom. vi. 1. 2.
- [4453]734 Rom. vi. 2.
- [4454]735 Rom. vi. 3â11.
- [4455]736 Ps. xxxvi. 7.
- [4456]737 Ps. xxxvi. 8â10.
- [4457]738 Rom. iv. 5.
- [4458]739 John v. 35.
- [4459]740 John i. 16.
- [4460]741 John i. 9.
- [4461]742 Ps. xxxvi. 10.
- [4462]743 Ps. xxxvi. 11, 12.
- [4463]744 Jas. i. 17.
- [4464]745 Acts. xiii. 9.
- [4465]746 See Augustinâs Confessions, viii. 4.
- [4466]747 1 Cor. xv. 9.
- [4467]748 See Rom. i. 7, 1 Cor. i. 3, and Gal. i. 3.
- [4468]749 Rom. ii. 17â29.

- [4469]750 Ps. cxlvii. 20.
- [4470]751 Rom. iv. 15.
- [4471]752 Ps. xxxiv. 2.
- [4472]753 Ps. xxii. 25
- [4473]754 Rom. iii. 20.
- [4474]755 Rom. iii. 20.
- [4475]756 Rom. vii. 7.
- [4476]757 Rom. iii. 20.
- [4477]758 Rom. iii. 21.
- [4478]759 Rom. x. 3.
- [4479]760 Rom. iii. 22.
- [4480]761 Prov. iii. 16.
- [4481]762 Rom. iii. 22, 23.
- [4482]763 1 Cor. iv. 7.
- [4483]764 Rom. iii. 24.
- [4484]765 1 Tim. i. 8.
- [4485]766 1 Tim. i. 9.
- [4486]767 1 Tim. i. 9.
- [4487]768 Gal. iii. 24.
- [4488]769 Rom. xi. 6.
- [4489]770 Matt. v. 17.
- [4490]771 John i. 14.
- [4491]772 Rom. iii. 23.
- [4492]773 Rom. iii. 24.
- [4493]774 Rom. iii. 27.
- [4494]775 Rom. iii. 27.
- [4495]776 [The allusion appears to be to the special workmen engaged

in producing hammered or beaten (repoussé) work. For other special classes of silver workers, see Guhl and Koner: The Life of the Greeks and Romans, p. 449.âW.]

[4496]777 Ps. lxviii. 30.

[4497]778 Ps. xii. 6.

[4498]779 Job xxviii. 28.

[4499]780 Cultus Dei is Augustinâs Latin expression for the synonym.

[4500]781 One of the suffrages of the Sursum Corda in the Communion Service [preserved also in the English service, which reads as follows: âPriest. Lift up your hearts. Answer. We lift them up to the Lord. Priest. Let us give thanks unto our Lord God. Answer. It is meet and right so to do.ââW.]

[4501]782 Rom. i. 14â17.

[4502]783 Ps. iii. 8.

[4503]784 Rom. i. 18â23.

[4504]785 Gal. vi. 3.

[4505]786 Ps. xxxvi. 11.

[4506]787 Ps. xxxvi. 9.

[4507]788 Rom. i. 21.

[4508]789 Job xxviii. 28.

[4509]790 Rom. i. 22.

[4510]791 Jas. iv. 6.

[4511]792 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[4512]793 Rom. i. 21.

[4513]794 Rom. x. 3.

[4514]795 Rom. iii. 20.

[4515]796 Rom. v. 20.

[4516]797 Rom. vii. 7, 8.

[4517]798 Rom. vii. 12, 13.

[4518]799 Rom. iii. 20â26.

[4519]800 Rom. iii. 27.

[4520]801 Rom. iii. 8.

[4521]802 Ex. xx. 17.

[4522]803 Wisdom viii. 21.

[4523]804 Jas. i. 17.

[4524]805 2 Cor. x. 17.

[4525]806 1 Cor. ii. 12.

[4526]807 Rom. i. 21.

[4527]808 Rom. x. 3.

[4528]809 Luke xviii. 11, 12.

[4529]810 See Rom. vii. 7â12.

[4530]811 2 Cor. iii. 3â9.

[4531]812 Rom. v. 20.

[4532]813 Rom. iv. 15.

[4533]814 Rom. v. 13.

[4534]815 Rom. iii. 20.

[4535]816 Rom. vii. 7.

[4536]817 Rom. v. 5.

[4537]818 Rom. vii. 6.

[4538]819 Rom. vii. 7â25.

[4539]820 Eccles. i. 18.

[4540]821 Gal. v. 6.

[4541]822 Rom. vii. 22.

[4542]823 Matt. xxvii. 51.

[4543]824 2 Cor. iii. 16.

[4544]825 2 Cor. iii. 17.

[4545]826 Luke xi. 20.

[4546]827 Ex. xii. 3.

[4547]828 Ex. xxxi. 18.

[4548]829 Isa. liii. 7.

[4549]830 Acts ii. 2.

[4550]831 Ex. xix. 12, 16.

[4551]832 Acts ii. 1â47.

[4552]833 Rom. xiii. 9, 10.

[4553]834 Rom. v. 5.

[4554]835 Rom. viii. 7.

[4555]836 2 Cor. iii. 3.

[4556]837 2 Cor. iii. 13.

[4557]838 2 Cor. iii. 4.

[4558]839 2 Cor. iii. 5, 6.

[4559]840 Gal. iii. 19.

[4560]841 2 Cor. iii. 7.

[4561]842 2 Cor. iii. 9.

[4562]843 2 Cor. iii. 8.

[4563]844 2 Cor. iii. 9.

[4564]845 2 Cor. iii. 17.

[4565]846 2 Cor. iv. 1, 2.

[4566]847 Ps. xxxii. 2.

[4567]848 2 Cor. iv. 5, 6.

[4568]849 2 Cor. iv. 7.

[4569]850 See 2 Cor. v. 1â4.

[4570]851 2 Cor. v. 5.

[4571]852 2 Cor. v. 21.

[4572]853 Jer. xxxi. 31â34.

[4573]854 Matt. v. 17.

[4574]855 Gal. iii. 21, 22.

[4575]856 Gal. iii. 23.

[4576]857 Rom. viii. 3, 4.

[4577]858 Jer. xxxi. 31.

[4578]859 Jer. xxxi. 32.

[4579]860 Ex. xx. 17.

[4580]861 Jer. xxxi. 32.

[4581]862 Jer. xxxi. 33.

[4582]863 2 Cor. iii. 3.

[4583]864 2 Cor. iii. 3.

[4584]865 Jer. xxxi. 33.

[4585]866 Rom. xiii. 10.

[4586]867 1 Tim. i. 5.

[4587]868 See Retractations, ii. 37, printed at the head of this treatise.

[4588]869 Ex. xx. 13, 14, 17.

[4589]870 Rom. xiii. 9.

[4590]871 Jer. xxxi. 33.

[4591]872 Jer. xxxi. 33.

[4592]873 Ps. Ixxiii. 28.

[4593]874 Ps. xxxvi. 9.

[4594]875 John xvii. 3.

[4595]876 John xiv. 21.

[4596]877 Isa. xxvi. 10.

[4597]878 Matt. xxv. 46.

[4598]879 John xvii. 3.

[4599]880 1 John iii. 2.

[4600]881 Col. iii. 10.

[4601]882 1 Cor. xiii. 11.

[4602]883 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4603]884 Jer. xxxi. 34.

[4604]885 1 Tim. ii. 7.

[4605]886 Rom. x. 14.

[4606]887 Jer. xxxi. 34.

[4607]888 Rom. ix. 7â12.

[4608]889 Rom. ix. 11.

[4609]890 1 Cor. xv. 9, 10.

[4610]891 Jer. xxxi. 34.

[4611]892 Rom. ix. 6.

[4612]893 See title of Ps. xxii. (xxi. Sept.) in the Sept. and Latin.

[4613]894 Ps. xxii. 23.

[4614]895 Rom. viii. 28.

[4615]896 Rom. viii. 30.

[4616]897 Rom. iv. 16, 17.

[4617]898 1 Cor. xiii. 8.

[4618]899 lb. ver. 11.

[4619]900 lb. ver. 12.

[4620]901 1 Cor. xiii. 10.

[4621]902 John xvii. 3.

[4622]903 1 John iii. 2.

[4623]904 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4624]905 Jer. xxxi. 34.

[4625]906 Heb. xi. 40.

[4626]907 Matt. xx. 8.

[4627]908 Jer. xxxi. 32, 33.

[4628]909 2 Cor. iii. 3.

[4629]910 Josh. xii.

[4630]911 Ps. Ixxiii. 28.

[4631]912 Jer. xxxi. 34.

[4632]913 Ex. xx. 17.

[4633]914 John xv. 5.

[4634]915 Phil. ii. 13.

[4635]916 1 Cor. iii. 7.

[4636]917 Rom. v. 5.

[4637]918 Rom. ii. 14, 15.

[4638]919 Rom. ii. 14.

[4639]920 Rom. i. 16, 17.

[4640]921 Rom. i. 21.

[4641]922 Rom. ii. 8â13.

[4642]923 Rom. ii. 14.

[4643]924 Rom. i. 16.

[4644]925 Rom. i. 16.

[4645]926 Rom. ii. 11.

[4646]927 Rom. ii. 13.

[4647]928 Rom. iii. 22â24.

[4648]929 Rom. ii. 13.

[4649]930 Rom. iii. 24, 28.

[4650]931 Rom. xi. 6.

[4651]932 Rom. ii. 13.

[4652]933 Luke x. 29.

[4653]934 Matt. vi. 9.

[4654]935 Rom. ii. 14, 15.

[4655]936 Jer. xxxii. 32.

[4656]937 Rom. xi. 24.

[4657]938 Gal. v. 6.

[4658]939 Gal. iii. 8; Gen. xxii. 18.

[4659]940 Gal. iii. 16.

[4660]941 Gen. xv. 6; Rom. iv. 2.

[4661]942 Rom. ii. 15.

[4662]943 2 Cor. iii. 3.

[4663]944 Rom. ii. 26.

[4664]945 See John i. 47.

[4665]946 Rom. v. 5.

[4666]947 Rom. xiii. 10.

[4667]948 Gen. i. 27.

[4668]949 Ps. xli. 4.

[4669]950 Rom. ii. 14.

[4670]951 Rom. v. 12.

[4671]952 Rom. iii. 22â24.

[4672]953 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[4673]954 Rom. ii. 14.

[4674]955 Ps. xix. 7.

[4675]956 Ps. iv. 6.

[4676]957 1 Tim. ii. 5, 6.

[4677]958 Rom. ii. 14.

[4678]959 Rom. ii. 15, 16.

[4679]960 1 Cor. xv. 41.

[4680]961 Luke x. 12.

[4681]962 Matt. xxiii. 15.

[4682]963 Rom. ii. 13.

[4683]964 Rom. ii. 14.

[4684]965 Rom. ii. 11.

[4685]966 Rom. iii. 29.

[4686]967 Jer. xxxi. 33, 34.

[4687]968 Rom. v. 5.

[4688]969 1 Tim. i. 5.

[4689]970 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4690]971 Ps. xxvii. 4.

[4691]972 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4692]973 Gal. ii. 21.

[4693]974 Ps. lxviii. 18; Eph. iv. 8.

[4694]975 Luke viii. 18; xix. 26.

[4695]976 Rom. iii. 30.

[4696]977 Gal. iii. 8.

[4697]978 Gal. ii. 15, 16. [The discussion turns on the difference in the Latin prepositions ex and per, representing the Greek Âk and dia.âW.]

[4698]979 Rom. ix. 30.

[4699]980 Rom. ix. 31, 32.

[4700]981 Phil. ii. 13.

[4701]982 Rom. ix. 32.

[4702]983 Rom. ix. 32.

[4703]984 Rom. x. 3, 4.

[4704]985 Mark vii. 9.

[4705]986 Rom. ix. 31.

[4706]987 Ex. xx. 17.

[4707]988 Rom. x. 4.

[4708]989 John xv. 5.

[4709]990 Rom. x. 6â9.

[4710]991 Rom. vi. 4.

[4711]992 Rom. x. 13; Joel ii. 32.

[4712]993 Ps. xxxi. 19.

[4713]994 Rom. iii. 31.

[4714]995 Ex. xx. 17.

[4715]996 Ps. xli. 4.

[4716]997 John v. 14.

[4717]998 Ps. xxx. 2.

[4718]999 Ps. liv. 6.

[4719]1000 Ps. cxix. 85.

[4720]1001 2 Cor. iii. 17.

[4721]1002 2 Pet. ii. 19.

[4722]1003 John xv. 5.

[4723]1004 John viii. 36.

[4724]1005 [That is, in the Latin, âvoluntasâ (choice, will, volition) comes from velle (to wish, desire, determine), and âpotestasâ (power, ability) from âposseâ (to be able).âW.]

[4725]1006 [That is, in Latin, faith (âfidesâ) is both active and passive, and means both trust and trustworthiness, both faith and faithfulness. This is also true in English, as Augustinâs own examples illustrateâW.]

[4726]1007 1 Cor. x. 13.

[4727]1008 Rom. iv. 3; comp. Gen. xv. 6.

[4728]1009 Rom. iv. 5.

[4729]1010 Rom. xiii. 1.

[4730]1011 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4731]1012 Rom. ix. 14.

[4732]1013 Rom. i. 24, 28.

[4733]1014 John xix. 11.

[4734]1015 1 Sam. xxiv. 7, and xxvi. 9.

[4735]1016 1 John iv. 1.

[4736]1017 1 Cor. xiii. 7.

[4737]1018 Rom. iv. 3.

[4738]1019 Rom. viii. 15.

[4739]1020 Rom. ii. 9.

[4740]1021 Rom. iii. 30.

[4741]1022 Matt. v. 6.

[4742]1023 John i. 12.

[4743]1024 See Matt. vii. 7.

[4744]1025 Matt. vii. 11.

[4745]1026 1 Cor. xv. 56.

[4746]1027 Rom. vii. 8.

[4747]1028 Wisd. viii. 21.

[4748]1029 Matt. vii. 7.

[4749]1030 Jas. i. 5, 6.

[4750]1031 Rom. i. 17.

[4751]1032 Rom. iv. 5.

[4752]1033 Rom. iii. 27.

[4753]1034 Gal. v. 5.

[4754]1035 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[4755]1036 Ps. ciii. 5.

[4756]1037 Eph. ii. 8â10.

[4757]1038 Gal. v. 6.

[4758]1039 Rom. v. 5.

[4759]1040 Rom. iii. 21.

[4760]1041 Ps. iii. 8.

[4761]1042 Gal. ii. 16.

[4762]1043 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4763]1044 Phil. ii. 13.

[4764]1045 1 Tim. ii. 4.

[4765]1046 [âMedia vis,â a âmidway power,â as Dr. Bright translates it; i.e., it is indifferent in itself, and neither good nor bad, but may be used for either.âW.]

[4766]1047 1 Tim. ii. 4.

[4767]1048 John i. 1.

[4768]1049 Ps. lxii. 11, 12.

[4769]1050 Ex quibus.

[4770]1051 Ps. ciii. 2â5.

[4771]1052 Ps. ciii. 5.

[4772]1053 Non tributiones, sed retributiones.

[4773]1054 Gal. v. 17.

[4774]1055 Rom. vii. 23.

[4775]1056 Rom. vii. 18.

[4776]1057 Gal. v. 6.

[4777]1058 Matt. xxv. 35.

[4778]1059 Jas. ii. 13.

[4779]1060 Matt. v. 7.

[4780]1061 Matt. xxv. 46.

[4781]1062 John xvii. 3.

[4782]1063 John xiv. 8, 9.

[4783]1064 Ps. ciii. 2.

[4784]1065 Rom. x. 3.

[4785]1066 Rom. iv. 5.

[4786]1067 Gal. v. 6.

[4787]1068 Rom. v. 5.

[4788]1069 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4789]1070 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4790]1071 Rom. xi. 33.

[4791]1072 Rom. ix. 14.

[4792]1073 Rom. xii. 1, 3.

[4793]1074 See his work preceding this, De Peccat. Meritis, ii. 7.

[4794]1075 Matt. xix. 24.

[4795]1076 Matt. xxvi. 53.

[4796]1077 Deut. xxxi. 3; comp. Judg. ii. 3.

[4797]1078 Wisdom xvi.

[4798]1079 Matt. xxi. 21.

[4799]1080 Augustin, it would then seem had not met with the statement of Eusebius, as translated by Rufinus (Hist. vi. 24), to the effect that Gregory, bishop of Neocæsarea, in Pontus, once performed the miracle of removing a mountain or rock from its place; which Bede also mentions, Comment. on Mark xi., Book iii.

[4800]1081 2 Cor. x. 17.

[4801]1082 Jas. i. 17.

[4802]1083 Compare Matt. xvii. 20, Mark xi. 23, Luke xvii. 6.

[4803]1084 Matt. xxii. 40.

[4804]1085 Matt. xxii. 37, 39.

[4805]1086 Jas. iii. 2.

[4806]1087 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4807]1088 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4808]1089 John xv. 13.

[4809]1090 2 Cor. v. 7.

[4810]1091 Rom. viii. 23.

[4811]1092 Eph. iii. 20.

[4812]1093 Matt. xxii. 37.

[4813]1094 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[4814]1095 Phil. iii. 13.

[4815]1096 Rom. i. 17.

[4816]1097 2 Cor. v. 7.

[4817]1098 Deut. vi. 5.

[4818]1099 Rom. vi. 12.

[4819]1100 Ex. xx. 17.

[4820]1101 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[4821]1102 The Benedictine editor is not satisfied with the place of the lines in the parenthesis. He would put them in an earlier position, perhaps before the clause beginning with, âOnly let us see to it,â etc.

[4822]1103 Matt. vi. 12.

[4823]1104 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[4824]1105 1 John i. 8.

[4825]1106 1 Kings viii. 46.

[4826]1107 Ecclus. vii. 21.

[4827]1108 Luke vi. 30, 38.

[4828]1109 Luke xi. 4.

[4829]1110 Matt. v. 6.

[4830]1111 John vi. 51.

[4831]1112 Ps. xxxvi. 9.

[4832]1113 Rom. x. 6.

[4833]1114 1 Cor. xv. 53.

[4834]1115 Rom. vii. 23.

[4835]1116 Luke i. 37.

[4836]1117 Rom. ix. 14.

[4837]1118 Jas. iv. 6.

[4838]1119 2 Cor. xii. 7â9.

[4839]1120 Rom. xi. 33â36.

[4840]1121 In chap. 77.

[4841]1122 See vol. i. p. 543.

[4842]1123 [i.e., the work of Augustin against Julianus, which was left incomplete at his death, and hence is called the Imperfect Work.âW.]

[4843]1124 See Sallustâs Prologue to his Jugurtha.

[4844]1125 Rom. x. 2, 3.

[4845]1126 Rom. x. 4.

[4846]1127 Gal. iii. 24.

[4847]1128 Gal. ii. 21.

[4848]1129 Rom. iv. 5.

[4849]1130 Rom. iii. 23, 24.

[4850]1131 Matt. ix. 12.

[4851]1132 Matt. ix. 13.

[4852]1133 1 Tim. iii. 16.

[4853]1134 Rom. x. 14.

[4854]1135 Rom. x. 17, 18.

[4855]1136 Gal. ii. 21.

[4856]1137 2 Cor. v. 17.

[4857]1138 Eph. ii. 3.

[4858]1139 Eph. ii. 4, 5.

[4859]1140 Rom. iii. 24.

[4860]1141 Rom. iii. 23.

[4861]1142 Rom. ix. 23.

[4862]1143 Rom. viii. 29, 30.

[4863]1144 1 Cor. i. 17.

[4864]1145 Jas. iii. 15.

[4865]1146 Peter Lombard refers to this passage of Augustin, to show that God can do many things which He will not do. See his 1Sent. Dist. 43, last chapter.

[4866]1147 John v. 21.

[4867]1148 Job xiv. 2; 1 Kings viii. 46; Eccles. vii. 21; Ps. xiv. 1.

[4868]1149 Rom. v. 12.

[4869]1150 1 Cor. i. 1.

[4870]1151 Gal. ii. 21.

[4871]1152 We have read discimus, not dicimus.

[4872]1153 Gal. iii. 24.

[4873]1154 Gal. iii. 23.

[4874]1155 Ps. cxix. 133.

[4875]1156 2 Cor. v. 21.

[4876]1157 Heb. iv. 15.

[4877]1158 1 John iii. 9.

[4878]1159 1 John i. 8.

[4879]1160 See the De Peccat. Meritis et Remissione, ii. 8â10.

[4880]1161 Jas. iii. 8.

[4881]1162 Jas. iii. 8.

[4882]1163 Wisd. i. 11.

[4883]1164 Matt. x. 20.

[4884]1165 Jas. iii. 10.

[4885]1166 Jas. iii. 13â17.

[4886]1167 Jas. i. 5, 6.

[4887]1168 Ut lex imperet et fides impetret.

[4888]1169 Jas. iii. 2.

[4889]1170 Jas. iii. 8.

[4890]1171 Rom. viii. 7, 8.

[4891]1172 Rom. xiii. 10.

[4892]1173 Rom. v. 5.

[4893]1174 Ps. cxix. 73.

[4894]1175 Jas. i. 5.

[4895]1176 1 Cor. i. 17.

[4896]1177 Ps. xli. 4.

[4897]1178 Matt. ix. 12, 13.

[4898]1179 Matt. i. 21.

[4899]1180 Ps. cii. 4.

[4900]1181 Matt. i. 21.

[4901]1182 Matt. ix. 12.

[4902]1183 1 Tim. i. 15.

[4903]1184 Rom. i. 21.

[4904]1185 Rom. i. 23.

[4905]1186 Rom. i. 24.

[4906]1187 Rom. i. 24.

[4907]1188 Rom. i. 25, 26.

[4908]1189 Rom. i. 26, 27.

[4909]1190 Rom. i. 27.

[4910]1191 Rom. i. 28â31.

[4911]1192 Eph. v. 14.

[4912]1193 Ps. lxxi. 5.

[4913]1194 The tribune Marcellinus had been put to death in the

September of 413, âhaving, though innocent, fallen a victim to the cruel hatred of the tyrant Heraclius,â as Jerome writes in his book iii. against the Pelagians. Honorius mentions him as a âman of conspicuous renown,â in a law enacted August 30, in the year 414, contained in the Cod Theod. xvi. 5 (de hæreticis), line 55. Compare the notes above, pp. 15 and 80.

[4914]1195 Heb. ii. 14.

[4915]1196 Col. i. 13.

[4916]1197 John xiv. 30.

[4917]1198 John xiv. 31.

[4918]1199 Ps. xxx. 7.

[4919]1200 Ps. xxx. 8.

[4920]1201 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[4921]1202 Ps. xxx. 7.

[4922]1203 See the treatise De Peccatorum Meritis, ii. 22.

[4923]1204 2 Cor. xii. 9.

[4924]1205 2 Cor. xii. 7, 8.

[4925]1206 Phil. ii. 12, 13.

[4926]1207 Ps. xxx. 6.

[4927]1208 1 Cor. vi. 19.

[4928]1209 1 Tim. i. 20.

[4929]1210 Ecclus. x. 13.

[4930]1211 Gen. iii. 5.

[4931]1212 Ecclus. x. 13.

[4932]1213 Ecclus. x. 12.

[4933]1214 Ps. xxxvii. 6.

[4934]1215 Ps. xxxvii. 5.

[4935]1216 John xv. 5.

[4936]1217 Ps. lix. 10.

[4937]1218 Ps. xxiii. 6.

[4938]1219 See Ps. xliv. 18.

[4939]1220 Rom. x. 2.

[4940]1221 Rom. x. 3.

[4941]1222 Rom. x. 4.

[4942]1223 John xiv. 6.

[4943]1224 Phil. ii. 12.

[4944]1225 Ps. ii. 11, 12.

[4945]1226 Ps. ii. 12.

[4946]1227 Ps. lxxxv. 7.

[4947]1228 Ps. lxxxv. 7.

[4948]1229 Ps. lxxxvi. 11.

[4949]1230 Ps. cxxxix. 10.

[4950]1231 Luke xii. 37.

[4951]1232 1 John i. 8.

[4952]1233 Matt. vi. 12.

[4953]1234 Matt. vi. 14.

[4954]1235 1 John iii. 5.

[4955]1236 1 John i. 8.

[4956]1237 Matt. xxi. 9.

[4957]1238 Gen. v. 4.

[4958]1239 Rom. vi. 12.

[4959]1240 Rom. vi. 13.

[4960]1241 1 Thess. v. 21.

[4961]1242 Rom. v. 12.

[4962]1243 Acts iv. 12.

[4963]1244 1 Cor. i. 17.

[4964]1245 1 Cor. i. 19.

[4965]1246 Gal. ii. 21.

[4966]1247 Gal. v. 11.

[4967]1248 Gal. v. 4.

[4968]1249 Rom. x. 3.

[4969]1250 Rom. x. 4.

[4970]1251 Rom. iii. 23.

[4971]1252 Rom. v. 12.

[4972]1253 Rom. v. 18.

[4973]1254 Compare De Peccatorum Meritis et Remissione, i. 55.

[4974]1255 Rom. v. 5.

[4975]1256 Luke x. 30. Rather, ârobbers;â latrones, ljsta°.

[4976]1257 Luke x. 34.

[4977]1258 1 Cor. ii. 2.

[4978]1259 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[4979]1260 Necesse est me semper loqui posse. This obscure sentence seems to point to Pelagiusâ former statement: Cujusque rei possibilitatem non tam in arbitrii humani potestate quà m in naturæ necessitate consistere.

[4980]1261 Rom. viii. 24, 25.

[4981]1262 Ecclus. x. 9.

[4982]1263 Ps. xii. 1.

[4983]1264 Ps. xli. 4.

[4984]1265 Gal. v. 17.

[4985]1266 Rom. vii. 15.

[4986]1267 Rom. vii. 18.

[4987]1268 1 Cor. i. 17. Another reading has crux Christi instead of âChristi gratia,â thus closely adopting the apostleâs words.

[4988]1269 Rom. vii. 18.

[4989]1270 Gal. v. 17.

[4990]1271 Rom. viii. 9.

[4991]1272 In the next chapter.

[4992]1273 Luke x. 34.

[4993]1274 Gal. v. 17.

[4994]1275 Gal. iii. 5.

[4995]1276 See the context of Gal. v. 17, in verses 19â21.

[4996]1277 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[4997]1278 Matt. vi. 13.

[4998]1279 Rom. vii. 23.

[4999]1280 See above, ch. 59, sub init.

[5000]1281 Gal. v. 17.

[5001]1282 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[5002]1283 Rom. vii. 24.

[5003]1284 Luke xvi. 23.

[5004]1285 Rom. vii. 24.

[5005]1286 Rom. vii. 22, 23.

[5006]1287 Rom. vii. 23.

[5007]1288 Rom. vii. 24.

[5008]1289 Mark x. 46â52.

[5009]1290 Rom. vii. 25.

[5010]1291 Gal. v. 17.

[5011]1292 Rom. vi. 12.

[5012]1293 Gal. v. 17.

[5013]1294 Gal. v. 18.

[5014]1295 Rom. v. 5.

[5015]1296 Jas. i. 25.

[5016]1297 1 John iv. 18.

[5017]1298 Ps. xvi. 11.

[5018]1299 Jas. iv. 17.

[5019]1300 Matt. vi. 13.

[5020]1301 Mark xiv. 38.

[5021]1302 See next treatiseâits preface, or Admonitio.

[5022]1303 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[5023]1304 Matt. vi. 12.

[5024]1305 Timasius and Jacobus, to whom the treatise is addressed. See ch. 1.

[5025]1306 Lactantius is the writer from whom Pelagius takes his first quotations here. See his Instit. Divin. iv. 24.

[5026]1307 Lactantius, Instit. Divin. iv. 25.

[5027]1308 See Matt. v. 8.

[5028]1309 Hilary in loco.

[5029]1310 Hilaryâs Fragments.

[5030]1311 Job xix. 25.

[5031]1312 Ex. xx. 17.

[5032]1313 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[5033]1314 Rom. vii. 20.

[5034]1315 Pelagius, the friend of Timasius and Jacobus.

[5035]1316 Job xl. 4, and xlii. 6.

[5036]1317 Ps. cxix. 21, or 118.

[5037]1318 1 John i. 8.

[5038]1319 John xv. 5.

[5039]1320 Luke i. 6. See Ambrose in loco (Exp. 61, s. 17).

[5040]1321 Ambroseâs Hymns, 3.

[5041]1322 Ambrose on Luke i. 3.

[5042]1323 Ambrose on Luke i. 6.

[5043]1324 Compare Chrysostomâs Homily on Eph. ii. 3.

[5044]1325 This passage, which Pelagius had quoted as from Xystus the Roman bishop and martyr, Augustin subsequently ascertained to have had for its author Sextus, a Pythagorean philosopher. See the passage of the Retractations, ii. 42, at the head of this treatise.

[5045]1326 Rom. v. 5.

[5046]1327 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5047]1328 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[5048]1329 John i. 12.

[5049]1330 Matt. v. 8.

[5050]1331 Jerome on Matt. v. 8 (Comm. Book i. c. 5).

[5051]1332 Jerome, Against Jovinianus, ii. 3.

[5052]1333 Rom. v. 5.

[5053]1334 Eph. iv. 8.

[5054]1335 Ps. xxv. 17.

[5055]1336 Augustin, De Libero Arbitrio, iii. 18 (50).

[5056]1337 Matt. vi. 13.

[5057]1338 Augustin gives a similar reply to the objection in his Retractations, i. 9.

[5058]1339 Matt. vi. 12.

[5059]1340 Rom. vii. 19.

[5060]1341 De Libero Arbitrio, iii. 19.

[5061]1342 Eph. ii. 3.

[5062]1343 This passage, and others in this and the following chapters, are marked as quotations, apparently cited from Pelagius by Augustin.

[5063]1344 For the âdifficulty,â which is one of the penal consequences of sin, see last chapter, about its middle.

[5064]1345 1 John i. 8.

[5065]1346 See 1 Tim. i. 8.

[5066]1347 Matt. xi. 30.

[5067]1348 1 John v. 3.

[5068]1349 Deut. xxx. 14, quoted Rom. x. 8.

[5069]1350 According to the Septuagint, which adds after Ân tà kard°a sou the words ka± Ân ta²v cers° sou. This was probably Pelagiusâ reading. Compare Quæstion. in Deuteron. Book v. 54.

[5070]1351 Rom. x. 8.

[5071]1352 Deut. xxx. 2.

[5072]1353 John xiii. 34.

[5073]1354 Rom. xiii. 8.

[5074]1355 Rom. xiii. 10.

[5075]1356 Prov. ii. 20.

[5076]1357 Ps. xvii. 4.

[5077]1358 1 Tim. i. 5.

[5078]1359 See note at beginning of ch. 82 for the meaning of this mark of quotation.

[5079]1360 Rom. v. 5.

[5080]1361 Rom. ix. 22.

[5081]1362 These breves definitiones, which Augustin also calls ratiocinationes, are short argumentative statements, which may be designated breviates.

[5082]1363 [Probably Spanish refugees; they had recently presented to Augustin a memorial against certain heresies. Oros. ad Aug. i.âW.]

[5083]1364 In his epistle (157) to Hilary, written a little while before this work, he mentions CÅlestius and the condemnation of his errors in a Council held at Carthage; he expresses also some apprehension of CÅlestius attempting to spread his opinions in Sicily: âWhether he be himself there,â says Augustin, âor only others who are partners in his errors, there are too many of them; and, unless they be checked, they lead astray others to join their sect; and so great is their increase, that I cannot tell whither they will force their way,â etc.

[5084]1365 2 Tim. iii. 13.

[5085]1366 Sociorum ejus. It has been proposed to read sectatorum ejus, ânot unsuitably (although not justified by ms. evidence), because

CÅlestius âhad,â to use Jeromeâs words, âby this time turned out a master with a following,âthe leader of a perfect army.ââJeromeâs Epistle to Ctesiphon, written in the year 413 or 414.

[5086]1367 Gal. v. 17.

[5087]1368 Ps. xxv. 17.

[5088]1369 [An accident âis a modification or quality which does not essentially belong to a thing, nor form one of its constituent or invariable attributes: as motion in relation to matter, or heat to iron.ââFleming: Vocabulary of Philosophy.âW.]

[5089]1370 Eph. ii. 3.

[5090]1371 [CÅlestius had in the previous breviate confined sin to either nature or accident: Augustin declares it to be a property. By this he apparently means that it is a non-essential attribute, without which man would remain man, but yet not what is called a âseparable accident.âaW.]

[5091]1372 Ex. xx. 17.

[5092]1373 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5093]1374 Matt. ix. 13.

[5094]1375 Matt. ix. 12.

[5095]1376 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5096]1377 Rom. viii. 24, 25.

[5097]1378 Rom. xiii. 10.

[5098]1379 1 John iii. 2.

[5099]1380 Ps. xxv. 17.

[5100]1381 John viii. 38.

[5101]1382 2 Pet. ii. 19.

[5102]1383 Matt. ix. 12.

[5103]1384 Ps. xxxi. 7.

[5104]1385 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5105]1386 An application of Rom. ix. 28.

[5106]1387 Matt. xxii. 40.

[5107]1388 Matt. xxii. 37.

[5108]1389 Matt. xxii. 39.

[5109]1390 Matt. xxii. 40.

[5110]1391 Ex. xx. 27.

[5111]1392 Deut. vi. 5.

[5112]1393 Rom. xii. 2.

[5113]1394 Rom. xii. 2.

[5114]1395 Wisd. viii. 21.

[5115]1396 Rom. v. 5.

[5116]1397 Phil. iii. 13.

[5117]1398 Gal. iii. 24.

[5118]1399 Gal. v. 17.

[5119]1400 Â<sup>a</sup>lna mj Æ â°n qeljte, taÃta poiÃte.

[5120]1401 Rom. vi. 12.

[5121]1402 Matt. vi. 13.

[5122]1403 Wisd. ix. 15.

[5123]1404 1 Cor. xv. 35, 36.

[5124]1405 Ps. xxxii. 2.

[5125]1406 Matt. vi. 12.

[5126]1407 Matt. vii. 2.

[5127]1408 See above, in his work De Spiritu et Litterâ, 64; also De Naturâ et Gratiâ, 45.

[5128]1409 Matt. vi. 12.

[5129]1410 Gal. v. 17.

[5130]1411 Matt. v. 6.

[5131]1412 2 Cor. v. 6.

[5132]1413 Hab. ii. 4.

[5133]1414 1 Cor. ix. 27.

[5134]1415 Rom. xii. 12.

[5135]1416 For this reading of dikaiosÃnjn instead of ÂlejmosÃnjn there is high ms. authority. It is admitted also by Griesbach, Lachmann, Tischendorf, Tregelles, Westcott and Hort, and Alford.

[5136]1417 Matt. vi. 1.

[5137]1418 2 Cor. ix. 7.

[5138]1419 Matt. vi. 12.

[5139]1420 Phil. iii. 12â15.

[5140]1421 1 Cor. xiii. 10.

[5141]1422 Mente. The Septuagint, however, like the Hebrew, has dunamewv. A.V. âthy might.â Comp Deut. vi. 5 with Matt. xxii. 37.

[5142]1423 Rom. vii. 23.

[5143]1424 Matt. xxii. 37.

[5144]1425 See above in Augustinâs De Spiritu et Littera, 64.

[5145]1426 1 Cor. ix. 23.

[5146]1427 1 Cor. ix. 24.

[5147]1428 Deut. xviii. 13.

[5148]1429 Augustinâs word is inconsummatus. The Septuagint term telisk§menov (which properly signifies complete, perfect) comes to mean one initiated into the mysteries of idolatrous worship.

[5149]1430 Deut. xxiii. 17.

[5150]1431 Matt. v. 48.

[5151]1432 2 Cor. xiii. 11.

[5152]1433 Col. i. 28.

[5153]1434 Phil. ii. 14, 15.

[5154]1435 Eph. i. 3, 4.

[5155]1436 Col. i. 21, 22.

[5156]1437 Eph. v. 26, 27.

[5157]1438 1 Cor. xv. 34.

[5158]1439 Lev. xix. 2.

[5159]1440 1 Pet. i. 13â16.

[5160]1441 Ps. xv. 1, 2.

[5161]1442 Ps. xviii. 23.

[5162]1443 Ps. cxix. 1.

[5163]1444 Prov. xi. 20.

[5164]1445 Matt. vi. 12.

[5165]1446 1 Tim. i. 8.

[5166]1447 Rom. xiii. 10.

[5167]1448 1 John iv. 18.

[5168]1449 Rom. v. 5.

[5169]1450 Ps. cxix. 80.

[5170]1451 Ps. cxix. 133.

[5171]1452 Matt. vi. 10.

[5172]1453 Matt. vi. 13.

[5173]1454 2 Cor. ix. 7.

[5174]1455 Deut. xxx. 9â14.

[5175]1456 Matt. xi. 28â30.

[5176]1457 1 John v. 3.

[5177]1458 Rom. x. 3.

[5178]1459 Rom. x. 10.

[5179]1460 Matt. ix. 12.

[5180]1461 1 John v. 3.

[5181]1462 Job xiv. 4, 5.

[5182]1463 Job xii. 4.

[5183]1464 Job xiii. 18.

[5184]1465 Matt. vi. 12.

[5185]1466 Luke xi. 41.

[5186]1467 Matt. xxv. 35.

[5187]1468 Job xvi. 18.

[5188]1469 Job ix. 17.

[5189]1470 Job vi. 2, 3.

[5190]1471 Job xxiii. 11, 12.

[5191]1472 Eph. vi. 14.

[5192]1473 Prov. xviii. 17.

[5193]1474 Job. xxix. 14.

[5194]1475 1 Cor. xv. 26.

[5195]1476 Job xxvii. 6.

[5196]1477 Rom. vii. 15.

[5197]1478 Hab. ii. 4.

[5198]1479 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[5199]1480 Rom. vi. 12.

[5200]1481 Rom. vi. 13.

[5201]1482 Rom. vii. 16.

[5202]1483 Ex. xx. 17.

[5203]1484 Job xiv. 16, 17.

[5204]1485 Job xiv. 4, 5.

[5205]1486 Ps. cxv. 2.

[5206]1487 If this refer to Num. xxiv. 3, 15 (as the editions mark it), the quotation is most inexact. The Septuagint words  $\tilde{A}^{"}$   $\hat{a}_{1}$ nqrwpov  $\tilde{A}^{"}$   $\hat{a}_{1}$ ljqinwv or $\tilde{A}^{2}$ n is not a proposition equal to  $\hat{a}$ homo verax, $\hat{a}$  as an antithesis to the proposition  $\hat{a}$ omnis homo mendax. $\hat{a}$ 

[5207]1488 Job i. 1.

[5208]1489 See above, ii.(4).

[5209]1490 Job xvii. 8.

[5210]1491 Ecclus. xv. 8.

[5211]1492 Rev. xiv. 5.

[5212]1493 Ps. cxv. 2.

[5213]1494 Eph. v. 8.

[5214]1495 1 Cor. i. 31.

[5215]1496 Rev. xiv. 5.

[5216]1497 1 John i. 8.

[5217]1498 Ps. xiv. 3.

[5218]1499 Ps. xxxvii. 3.

[5219]1500 Tobit iv. 21.

[5220]1501 Matt. vi. 13.

[5221]1502 Ps. xiv. 2.

[5222]1503 On this passage Fulgentius remarks (Ad Monimum, i. 5): âln no other sense do I suppose that passage of St. Augustin should be taken, in which he affirms that there are certain persons predestinated to destruction than in regard to their punishment, not their sin: not to the evil which they unrighteously commit, but to the punishment which they shall righteously suffer; not to the sin on account of which they either do not receive, or else lose, the benefit of the first resurrection, but to the retribution which their own personal iniquity evilly incurs, and the divine justice righteously inflicts.â

[5223]1504 Luke xviii. 19.

[5224]1505 Matt. xii. 35.

[5225]1506 Matt. v. 45.

[5226]1507 Ecclus. xxxix. 25.

[5227]1508 Prov. ii. 21.

[5228]1509 Ex. iii. 14.

[5229]1510 John i. 8.

[5230]1511 John v. 35: [âlucernam,â not âlux:â as also in the Dies Iræ it is said of John, ânon lux iste, sed lucernam,â in allusion to these passages.âW.]

[5231]1512 Matt. v. 14, 15.

[5232]1513 John i. 9.

[5233]1514 Matt. vii. 11.

[5234]1515 Matt. xix. 16.

[5235]1516 Luke x. 27, 28.

[5236]1517 See also his work Contra Julianum. ii. 8.

[5237]1518 Prov. xx. 9.

[5238]1519 Prov. xx. 8.

[5239]1520 Ps. xix. 12.

[5240]1521 Prov. xx. 8, 9.

[5241]1522 Matt. v. 8.

[5242]1523 Ps. xxiv. 3, 4.

[5243]1524 Ps. cxxv. 4.

[5244]1525 Ecclus. xiii. 24.

[5245]1526 Ecclus. xxxviii. 10.

[5246]1527 1 John iii. 21, 22.

[5247]1528 Matt. vi. 12, 13.

[5248]1529 Jas. ii. 13.

[5249]1530 Matt. xiii. 43.

[5250]1531 Eph. v. 27.

[5251]1532 Col. iii. 4.

[5252]1533 John vii. 39.

[5253]1534 Eph. v. 26.

[5254]1535 Rom. viii. 30.

[5255]1536 Luke xiii. 32.

[5256]1537 Phil. iii. 13.

[5257]1538 Ps. xxiv. 3, 4.

[5258]1539 Ecclus. xiii. 24.

[5259]1540 Ecclus. xxxviii. 10.

[5260]1541 1 John iii. 21, 22.

[5261]1542 Eccles. vii. 20.

[5262]1543 Job i. 8.

[5263]1544 See above, ch. xii. (29).

[5264]1545 Eccles. vii. 20.

[5265]1546 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[5266]1547 Luke i. 6.

[5267]1548 Heb. v. 1.

[5268]1549 See above, ch. xi. (23).

[5269]1550 Eph. i. 4.

[5270]1551 Tit. i. 6.

[5271]1552 Ps. cxliii. 2.

[5272]1553 Jas. ii. 13.

[5273]1554 1 John i. 8.

[5274]1555 1 John iii. 9.

[5275]1556 1 John v. 18.

[5276]1557 1 John iii. 5, 6.

[5277]1558 1 John iii. 2, 3.

[5278]1559 1 John i. 8.

[5279]1560 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5280]1561 Rom. v. 12.

[5281]1562 1 John iii. 6.

[5282]1563 Rom. ix. 16.

[5283]1564 1 Cor. vii. 36.

[5284]1565 Philem. 13, 14.

[5285]1566 Deut. xxx. 15, 19.

[5286]1567 Ecclus. xv. 14â17.

[5287]1568 Isa. i. 19, 20.

- [5288]1569 Matt. vi. 10.
- [5289]1570 Matt. vi. 13.
- [5290]1571 Luke xi. 9.
- [5291]1572 1 Cor. vii. 36.
- [5292]1573 1 Cor. vii. 36.
- [5293]1574 Phil. ii. 13.
- [5294]1575 Ps. xxx. 5.
- [5295]1576 Ecclus. xv. 15.
- [5296]1577 Ecclus. xv. 16.
- [5297]1578 Cant. iv. 8.
- [5298]1579 Rom. xii. 3.
- [5299]1580 John vi. 44.
- [5300]1581 John vi. 62â65.
- [5301]1582 Isa. i. 19, 20.
- [5302]1583 Gal. iii. 19.
- [5303]1584 Rom. v. 20.
- [5304]1585 Ps. xvi. 4.
- [5305]1586 1 Tim. ii. 5.
- [5306]1587 Ps. xxvii. 9.
- [5307]1588 Matt. vi. 13.
- [5308]1589 Rom. viii. 28.
- [5309]1590 Rom. viii. 29, 30.
- [5310]1591 Ps. lxxvii. 2.
- [5311]1592 Rom. v. 5.
- [5312]1593 Rom. viii. 26.
- [5313]1594 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[5314]1595 Rom. v. 12.

[5315]1596 Matt. ix. 12.

[5316]1597 Matt. ix. 13.

[5317]1598 1 John i. 8.

[5318]1599 Rom. vi. 12.

[5319]1600 Matt. vi. 12.

[5320]1601 Matt. vi. 13.

[5321]1602 Jas. iii. 2.

[5322]1603 Eph. i. 22, 23, and v. 23.

[5323]1604 Ps. xxxii. 2.

[5324]1605 See Augustinâs treatise, De Natura et Gratia, 74, 75.

[5325]1606 Their names were Heros and Lazarus.

[5326]1607 That is, Lydda.

[5327]1608 To be found in Migneâs Patrologia Latina, vol. vii., Appendix.

[5328]1609 lsa. viii. 20.

[5329]1610 Ecclus. xix. 16.

[5330]1611 Ps. xxviii. 9.

[5331]1612 Rom. viii. 14.

[5332]1613 Ps. lix. 10.

[5333]1614 Wisd. vii. 1.

[5334]1615 Wisd. vii. 6, 7.

[5335]1616 1 Cor. ii. 16.

[5336]1617 Ps. cix. 18.

[5337]1618 Ecclus. xv. 16, 17.

[5338]1619 Rom. viii. 29.

[5339]1620 Rom. ix. 22.

[5340]1621 Rom. ix. 23.

[5341]1622 Ps. lix. 10.

[5342]1623 Ps. lix. 10.

[5343]1624 Rom. i. 24.

[5344]1625 Ps. cxl. 8.

[5345]1626 Ecclus. xxiii. 5, 6.

[5346]1627 1 Cor. iii. 12.

[5347]1628 1 Cor. iii. 15.

[5348]1629 Matt. xxv. 46.

[5349]1630 The bishops Heros and Lazarus; see above, I [II.].

[5350]1631 1 Cor. iii. 12, 15.

[5351]1632 Jas. ii. 13.

[5352]1633 Luke xiii. 25â27.

[5353]1634 Luke xix. 27.

[5354]1635 Matt. xxv. 33.

[5355]1636 Luke xix. 20â24.

[5356]1637 Matt. xxii. 11â13.

[5357]1638 Matt. xxv. 1â10.

[5358]1639 Matt. xxii. 14.

[5359]1640 Prov. xx. 9.

[5360]1641 1 Cor. xiii. 5.

[5361]1642 Dan. vii. 18.

[5362]1643 Gal. iv. 21â26.

[5363]1644 Gal. iv. 30.

[5364]1645 Jer. xxxi. 31, 32.

[5365]1646 Dan. vii. 18.

[5366]1647 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[5367]1648 Rom. ix. 8.

[5368]1649 Gal. iv. 25, 26.

[5369]1650 It is told by Pliny, Hist. Nat. x. 3 (3), and Lucan, Pharsalia, ix. 902, etc.

[5370]1651 Creditum, however, is read in both clauses; we should expect non creditum in one, as one reading has it. [?âW.]

[5371]1652 See Ecclus. xix. 16.

[5372]1653 See Jas. iii. 2.

[5373]1654 See below, in chap. 57 [xxxi.].

[5374]1655 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[5375]1656 Matt. vi. 13.

[5376]1657 Gal. ii. 21.

[5377]1658 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[5378]1659 Rom. vii. 7, 8.

[5379]1660 Rom. vii. 12.

[5380]1661 Rom. vii. 13.

[5381]1662 Rom. vii. 14â16.

[5382]1663 Rom. vii. 23.

[5383]1664 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[5384]1665 Rom. vii. 25.

[5385]1666 Rom. vii. 18.

[5386]1667 Rom. vii. 18.

[5387]1668 Rom. vii. 7.

[5388]1669 Rom. vii. 15.

[5389]1670 Rom. vii. 18.

[5390]1671 Timasius and Jacobus, at whose instance Augustin wrote, and to whom he addressed his book De Naturâ et Gratiâ.

[5391]1672 The reader may consult the treatise De Naturâ et Gratiâ, chs. 53 and 54, on this opinion of Pelagius.

[5392]1673 See De Naturâ et Gratiâ, xxxvii. (44).

[5393]1674 See above, ch. 16 (vi).

[5394]1675 Hanc talem hæresim.

[5395]1676 Compare Augustinâs work De Peccato Originali, ch. xi. (12).

[5396]1677 See same treatise as before, and same chapter.

[5397]1678 See Augustinâs letter to Hilary, in Epist 157.

[5398]1679 âln the Basilica Majorum.â According to another reading, âthe church of Majorinus.â

[5399]1680 Augustin mentions their names in his work Contra Julianum, Book i. ch. v. (19).

[5400]1681 1 John i. 8.

[5401]1682 Matt. vi. 12.

[5402]1683 1 John i. 8.

[5403]1684 Matt. vi. 12.

[5404]1685 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[5405]1686 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[5406]1687 This âbetter expression,â ânon expedit ducere,â Augustin substitutes for the reading ânon expedit nubere,â as applied to a womanâs taking a husband. The original, gamÃsai [not game²sqai], justifies Augustinâs preference.

[5407]1688 Matt. xix. 10, 11.

[5408]1689 See above, (20).

[5409]1690 He refers to Pelagiusâ work which Augustin received from Jacobus and Timasius, aud against which he wrote his treatise De Naturâ et Gratiâ.

[5410]1691 See above, (2).

[5411]1692 Phil. i. 19.

[5412]1693 Matt. x. 19, 20.

[5413]1694 See above, (5).

[5414]1695 Ps. cxix. 133.

[5415]1696 1 Cor. xii. 28.

[5416]1697 1 Tim. iv. 1.

[5417]1698 1 Tim. ii. 7.

[5418]1699 Acts xxviii. 5.

[5419]1700 Acts xiv. 8, 9.

[5420]1701 1 Cor. xiv. 18.

[5421]1702 1 Cor. xii. 17.

[5422]1703 Another reading has Ecclesiarum, instead of gratiarum; q.d. âdifference in churches.â

[5423]1704 Rom. xi. 6.

[5424]1705 Rom. iv. 4.

[5425]1706 Gal. v. 6.

[5426]1707 Rom. xiv. 23.

[5427]1708 Cant. iv. 8.

[5428]1709 Ps. lix. 10.

[5429]1710 Ps. c. 3.

[5430]1711 Rom. xii. 3.

[5431]1712 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[5432]1713 2 Tim. iv. 7.

[5433]1714 2 Tim. iv. 7.

[5434]1715 1 Cor. xv. 57.

[5435]1716 Rom. ix. 16.

[5436]1717 2 Tim. i. 12. St. Paulâs phrase, tÂn paraqÂkjn mou, has been taken in two senses, as (1) what God had entrusted to him; and (2) what the apostle had entrusted to Godâs keeping. St. Augustin, it will be seen, here takes the latter sense.

[5437]1718 There seems to be a corruption in the text here: âQuid aliud apostolo Petro Dominus commendavit orando.â Another reading inserts de before the word apostolo. Our version is rather of the apparent sense than of the words of the passage.

[5438]1719 Luke xxii. 32.

[5439]1720 1 Cor. i. 31.

[5440]1721 1 Cor. xv. 9.

[5441]1722 This is a poor imitation of Augustinâs playful words: âMe potius onerabo quam illum honorabo.â

[5442]1723 1 Cor. xv. 9.

[5443]1724 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[5444]1725 2 Cor. vi. 1.

[5445]1726 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[5446]1727 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[5447]1728 In a conference held at Jerusalem at the end of July in the year 415, as described by Orosius in his Apology.

[5448]1729 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[5449]1730 Rom. ix. 16.

[5450]1731 Ps. cxxvii. 1.

[5451]1732 Rom. ix. 16.

[5452]1733 See the treatise De Peccatorum Meritis, iii. 1.

[5453]1734 Rom. ix. 16.

[5454]1735 Avitus, perhaps, Passerius, and Dominus ex duce, whose names do not occur in the Acts of the Synod of Diospolis, but are mentioned by Orosius Apol. 3.

[5455]1736 Augustin here refers to the Proceedings of the conference at Jerusalem before its bishop John, which sat previous to the Council of Diospolis. See above, 37 (xiv.).

[5456]1737 1 Cor. xv. 9, 10.

[5457]1738 See above, 30 (xiv.).

[5458]1739 See above, 32.

[5459]1740 We have preferred the reading gratis creatam to the obscure gratiam creaturam.

[5460]1741 Phil. iii. 12.

[5461]1742 Ps. xxv. 7.

[5462]1743 See Lev. iv.

[5463]1744 2 Pet. i. 4.

[5464]1745 Ps. cvii. 20.

[5465]1746 Supprimendam.

[5466]1747 1 Tim. ii. 4.

[5467]1748 See Augustinâs Epist. 168.

[5468]1749 Pelagius.

[5469]1750 This term corresponds somewhat to our Sir; but Augustin here refers to its more expressive meaning of Master, or Lord.

[5470]1751 Rom. ix. 16.

[5471]1752 Tuæ Benignitatis Epistola is more than âyour kind letter.â âBenignitasâ is a complimentary abstract title addressed to the correspondent.

[5472]1753 In 37 [XIV.]

[5473]1754 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[5474]1755 Ch. 16. At the synod of Diospolis. The proceedings before John, bishop of Jerusalem, were not duly registered. See above, 39.

[5475]1756 See above, 37.

[5476]1757 Matt. vi. 12.

[5477]1758 1 John iii. 2.

[5478]1759 Rom. vii. 23.

[5479]1760 1 Cor. xv. 55.

[5480]1761 This point, however, was definitely settled a year or two afterwards, at a council held in Carthage. (See its Canons 6â8.) See also above, the Preface to the treatise On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness.

[5481]1762 1 Cor. xi. 19.

[5482]1763 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22.

[5483]1764 This trial was held at Carthage, before the Bishop Aurelius (to whom Augustin dedicated the present treatise), at the beginning of the year 412, as appears from the letter to Innocentius among Augustinâs Epistles, 175, Nos. 1 and 6.

[5484]1765 This happened in the year 415, in the month of December, at

Diospolis.

[5485]1766 Isa. viii. 20. See above, 2.

[5486]1767 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[5487]1768 See above, 5.

[5488]1769 Matt. xxv. 46. See above, 9.

[5489]1770 1 Cor. iii. 15.

[5490]1771 Dan. vii. 18. See above, 13.

[5491]1772 See above, 16.

[5492]1773 See above, 26.

[5493]1774 See above, 27.

[5494]1775 See above, 29.

[5495]1776 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[5496]1777 See above, 32.

[5497]1778 1 Cor. xv. 9.

[5498]1779 See above, 16.

[5499]1780 See above, 24.

[5500]1781 See above, 30.

[5501]1782 2 Pet. i. 4.

[5502]1783 He here refers to a letter (32) of Pope Innocent to John, Bishop of Jerusalem. It thus commences: âPlunder, slaughter, incendiary fire, every atrocity of the maddest kind have been deplored by the noble and holy virgins Eustochium and Paula, as having been perpetrated, at the devilâs instigation, in several places of your diocese,â etc. An epistle by the same writer (33) addressed to Jerome, begins with these words: âThe apostle testifies that contention never did any good to the Church.â

[5503]1784 From this it follows that we must refer his books On the Grace of Christ and On Original Sin to the year 418; for it was in this year that the Pelagian heresy was condemned by the pope Zosimus. Somewhat earlier there was held a general council of the bishops of Africa at Carthage, to take measures against the heresy,âthe precise date of which council is May 1st of this year 418. Augustin, on account of this council, was detained at Carthage, and his stay in that city was longer than usual, as one may learn from the 94th canon of the council, or from the Codex Canonum of the Church of Africa,

canon 127, as well as from his epistle (193, sec. 1) to Mercator. And it was in this interval of time, before he started for Mauritania CĦsariensis, that he wrote these two books for Albina, Pinianus, and Melania; accordingly, in his Retractations, he places them just previous to the time of his proceedings with Emeritus, which were concluded at CA<sup>\*</sup> sarea on the 20th of September in this very year 418. Julianus, in his work addressed to Turbantius, calumniously attacked a passage in the book On the Grace of Christ; the passage is defended by Augustin in his work against Julianus, iv. 8. 47, where he mentions this first book, addressed to the holy Pinianus, as he calls him, and gives its title as aConcerning Grace, in opposition to Pelagius.a [Albina, with her son-in-law Pinianus, and her daughter Melania, by whose questions Augustin was led to write this work, constituted an interesting family of ascetics, which had formerly lived in Africa, but at this time were in Palestine; Pinianus at the head of a monastery, and his wife an inmate of a convent. aW.]

[5504]1785 [See note to the passage from the Retractations above; and for full accounts see Smith and Waceâs Dictionary of Christian Biography, under these names.âW.]

[5505]1786 1 Tim i. 15.

[5506]1787 See De Gestis Pelagii, c. 30.

[5507]1788 We have in these two clauses an explanation of the terms âlawâ and âteaching,â which Pelagius uses almost technically.

[5508]1789 [These three technical terms are, possibilitas, voluntas, actio.âW.]

[5509]1790 [The three terms here are, posse, velle, esse.âW.]

[5510]1791 Phil. ii. 12.

[5511]1792 Phil. ii. 13.

[5512]1793 Rom. iv. 15.

[5513]1794 Rom. vii. 7.

[5514]1795 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[5515]1796 Gal. iii. 21.

[5516]1797 Gal. iii. 22.

[5517]1798 Gal. iii. 24.

[5518]1799 Rom. iii. 19â21.

[5519]1800 1 Cor. i. 31.

[5520]1801 Rom. xiii. 10.

[5521]1802 Rom. v. 5.

[5522]1803 Phil. ii. 13.

[5523]1804 2 Thess. iii. 2.

[5524]1805 Matt. xi. 28.

[5525]1806 John vi. 44.

[5526]1807 John vi. 65.

[5527]1808 2 Cor. xii. 7â9.

[5528]1809 1 Cor. xiii. 4.

[5529]1810 2 Cor. iv. 6.

[5530]1811 2 Cor. xii. 9.

[5531]1812 1 Cor. ii. 14.

[5532]1813 Rom. viii. 28, 30.

[5533]1814 1 Thess. iv. 9.

[5534]1815 1 Thess. iv. 10.

[5535]1816 Isa. liv. 13; Jer. xxxi. 34; John vi. 45.

[5536]1817 Phil. iii. 9.

[5537]1818 Ps. cxix. 68.

[5538]1819 John vi. 45.

[5539]1820 See above, ch. 7 [vi.].

[5540]1821 The technical gradation is here neatly expressed by profectus, affectus, and effectus.

[5541]1822 See above, ch. 5 [iv.].

[5542]1823 Ps. cxix. 37.

[5543]1824 Matt. x. 20.

[5544]1825 See ch. 5.

[5545]1826 [The technical phrase is possibilitas utriusque partis.âW.]

[5546]1827 Matt. vii. 18.

[5547]1828 1 Tim. vi. 10.

[5548]1829 1 Cor. iii. 7.

[5549]1830 [Here the phraseology contrasts vitium naturæ, with vitium natura.âW.]

[5550]1831 1 John ii. 16.

[5551]1832 1 John iv. 7, 8.

[5552]1833 1 John iii. 9.

[5553]1834 Same verse.

[5554]1835 1 Cor. xiii. 5.

[5555]1836 1 John iii. 1.

[5556]1837 See above, ch. 4.

[5557]1838 Epistola ad Demetriadem, c. 25.

[5558]1839 Jas. iv. 7.

[5559]1840 See the De Gestis Pelagii, ch. 30 [xiv.].

[5560]1841 1 Cor. vi. 17.

[5561]1842 Gal. ii. 20.

[5562]1843 Prov. xxi. 1.

[5563]1844 Eph. ii. 8, 9.

[5564]1845 Rom. xi. 6.

[5565]1846 The reading âAssyriusâ is replaced in some editions by the more suitable word âAssuerus.â

[5566]1847 This âexsecrabatur cubileâ seems to refer to Estherâs words in her prayer, bdelussomai ko°tjn â;peritmjtwn, âl abhor the couch of the uncircumcisedâ (Esth. iv., Septuagint).

[5567]1848 Esth. v. 1.

[5568]1849 See above, ch. 4.

[5569]1850 Phil. ii. 13.

[5570]1851 See 2 Cor. xiii. 7.

[5571]1852 Rom. viii. 14.

[5572]1853 Matt. x. 20.

[5573]1854 Matt. x. 20.

[5574]1855 See ch. 15 at the end.

[5575]1856 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[5576]1857 1 Cor. viii. 1.

[5577]1858 1 John iv. 10.

[5578]1859 1 John iv. 19.

[5579]1860 Rom. xiv. 23.

[5580]1861 Gal. v. 6.

[5581]1862 Rom. v. 5.

[5582]1863 Quoted above, ch. 23 [xxii.], from the Epistola ad Demetriadem.

[5583]1864 John xv. 5.

[5584]1865 Rom. v. 5.

[5585]1866 Rom. ix. 8.

[5586]1867 Augustin again mentions a short treatise by CÅlestius produced by him at Rome in some proceedings of the church there, below, in ch. 36 (xxxiii.), and also in his work De Peccato Originali, chs. 2 and 5 (ii., v.), etc. Those acts of the Roman church were drawn up (as Augustin testifies in his Contra duas Epistolas Pelagianorum, ii. 3, âwhen CÅlestius was present to answer charges laid against himâ) in the time of Pope Zosimus, A.D. 417.

[5587]1868 [Ad peccandum et ad non peccandum integrum liberum arbitrium.âW.]

[5588]1869 Ps. i. 2.

[5589]1870 ln ch. 23 [xxii.].

[5590]1871 Conditionis bonum.

[5591]1872 Rom. iii. 24.

[5592]1873 Eph. i. 8.

[5593]1874 Eph. i. 8.

[5594]1875 Eph. i. 8.

[5595]1876 Rom. xii. 3.

[5596]1877 Phil. i. 29.

[5597]1878 See above, ch. 32 [xxx.]; compare De Pecc. Orig. chs. 5, 6.

[5598]1879 2 Tim. i. 7.

[5599]1880 See above, ch. 37 [xxxiv.].

[5600]1881 See above, ch. 23.

[5601]1882 Epistle to Demetrias, ch. 1.

[5602]1883 Epistle to Demetrias, ch. 3.

[5603]1884 Epistle to Demetrias, ch. 17.

[5604]1885 Epistle to Demetrias, ch. 8.

[5605]1886 Rom. vi. 14.

[5606]1887 Epistle to Demetrias, ch. 9.

[5607]1888 Rom. vii. 15.

[5608]1889 Rom. vii. 23.

[5609]1890 By his ecclesiastici viri he refers, of course, to ecclesiastical writers who had commented on St. Paulâs doctrine. See also Augustinâs Contra duas Epistt. Pelag. i. 14 [viii.]; Contra Julianum, ii. 5 [iii.], 8 [iv.], 13 [v.], 30 [viii.]; and De Predestinatione Sanctorum, 4 [iv.].

[5610]1891 Rom. vii. 25.

[5611]1892 The nun Demetrias. See above, chs. 23, 28.

[5612]1893 Rom. x. 3.

[5613]1894 Phil. iii. 9.

[5614]1895 See On Nature and Grace, above, ch. 74.

[5615]1896 Book ii. c. 84, on Luke iii. 22. Compare Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, below, iv. ch. 30.

[5616]1897 1 Cor. x. 31.

[5617]1898 Book vi. c. 25, on Luke vii. 41.

[5618]1899 âln the ninth book of the same work,â says St. Augustin. The reference, however, is to book x. of the editions, c. 89, on Luke xxii. 61.

[5619]1900 Matt. xxvi. 69, 71.

[5620]1901 Mark xiv. 66.

[5621]1902 Luke xxii. 55.

[5622]1903 John xviii. 16.

[5623]1904 Luke xxii. 61.

[5624]1905 Book x. c. 89.

[5625]1906 Ps. xxx. 7.

[5626]1907 It is impossible to preserve the paronomasia of the original, which plays on the meaning of the names Pelagius (pelago, sea) and Petrus (petra, rock).

[5627]1908 It is the seventh book in the editions, c. 27, on Luke ix. 53.

[5628]1909 Luke ix. 53.

[5629]1910 Ex. xxxiii. 19.

[5630]1911 Rom. ix. 16.

[5631]1912 1 Cor. vi. 17. These are the words of Pelagius, which have been already quoted above, in ch. 24.

[5632]1913 See above, ch. 4.

[5633]1914 Ambrose on St. Luke, Book i. c. 17.

[5634]1915 Luke i. 6; compare De Perfect. Just. ch. 38.

[5635]1916 Phil. iii. 6.

[5636]1917 Phil. iii. 8.

[5637]1918 Matt. v. 6.

[5638]1919 Rom. i. 17.

[5639]1920 This work of Ambrose is no longer extant. It is again quoted by Augustin in his work, De Peccato Originali, c. 47 [xli.]; in his De Nuptiis et Concupisc. i. 40 [xxxv.]; in his Contra Julianum, i. 11 [iv.], ii. 24 [viii.]; and in his Contra duas Epist. Pelagianorum, c. 30 [xi.]. Ambrose himself mentions this work of his in his Exposition of Luke, Book ii. c. 56, on Luke ii. 19.

[5640]1921 Phil. iii. 20.

[5641]1922 1 Cor. xiii. 13.

[5642]1923 See Augustin, above, De Naturâ et Gratiâ, c. 75 [lxiii.].

[5643]1924 Eph. ii. 3.

[5644]1925 Rom. viii. 28.

[5645]1926 1 John iv. 19.

[5646]1927 Rom. v. 12.

[5647]1928 For the persons addressed, see above, in Book i. c. 1, of On the Grace of Christ.

[5648]1929 See above, On the Grace of Christ, ch. 35.

[5649]1930 See Concerning the Proceedings of Pelagius, ch. 23.

[5650]1931 Pelagius, at Diospolis, condemned this position of CÅlestius. Hence the comparative restraint of Pelagius, and the greater freedom in holding the error which is here attributed to CÅlestius.

[5651]1932 De traduce peccati, the technical phrase to express the conveyance by birth of original sin.

[5652]1933 This Paulinus, according to Mercator (Commonit. super nomine CÅlestii), was the deacon of Ambrose, Bishop of Milan, and the author of his biography, which he wrote at the instance of Augustin. According to his own showing, he lived in Africa, and wrote the Life of Ambrose when John was pretorian prefect, i.e. either in the year 412, or 413, or 422. The trial mentioned in the text took place about the commencement of the year 412, according to Augustinâs letter to Pope Innocent (See Augustinâs letter, 175, 1. 6). See above, in the treatise On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 23.

[5653]1934 Mercator (Commonit. adv. Hæres. Pelagii) informs us that a certain Syrian called Rufinus introduced the discussion against original sin and its transmission into Rome in the pontificate of Anastasius. According to some, this was the Rufinus of Aquileia, whom Jerome (in Epist. ad Ctesiphont.) notices as the precursor of Pelagius in his error about the sinless nature of man; according, however, to others, it is the other Rufinus, mentioned by Jerome in his 66th Epistle, who is possibly the same as he who rejects the transmission of original sin in a treatise On Faith, which J. Sismondi published as the work of Rufinus, a presbyter of the province of Palestine. It is, at any rate, hardly possible to suppose that the Aquileian Rufinus either went to Rome, or lodged there with Pammachius, in the time of Pope Anastasius.

[5654]1935 See above, On the Grace of Christ, ch. 36.

[5655]1936 John iii. 5.

[5656]1937 Rom. i. 8.

[5657]1938 Albina, Pinianus, and Melania. Literally, they are here addressed as âyour Love.â

[5658]1939 Epistle 177, in the collection of Augustinâs letters.

[5659]1940 Innocentâs letter occurs amongst the epistles of Augustin, letter 183. 3, 4.

[5660]1941 Compare On the Proceedings of Pelagius, chs. 16, 23.

[5661]1942 See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, ch. 24.

[5662]1943 Possidius, in his Life of Augustin, ch. 18, says: âEven the most pious Emperor Honorius, upon hearing that the weighty sentence of the catholic Church of God had been pronounced against them, in pursuance of the same, determined that they should be regarded as heretics, under condemnation by his own laws.â These enactments are printed by the Benedictine editors in the second part of their Appendix.

[5663]1944 2 Tim. ii. 25, 26.

[5664]1945 Purgatione.

[5665]1946 John iii. 5.

[5666]1947 See above, in the preface to the treatise On the Perfection of a Righteous Man, towards the end.

[5667]1948 Dicebat, aut dicit. These two latter words are not superfluous, as some have thought; they intimate that Pelagius still clave to his error.

[5668]1949 See above, ch. 19.

[5669]1950 See above ch. 1, and On the Grace of Christ, ch. 35.

[5670]1951 See especially Book iii. chs. 2, 5, 6 [III.].

[5671]1952 ln ch. 14 [XIII.].

[5672]1953 1 Cor. xi. 19.

[5673]1954 This is far from a clear translation of the terse original: Non criminaliter, sed quasi civiliter errasse videantur.

[5674]1955 See above, ch. 3 [IV.]

[5675]1956 See above, ch. 6.

[5676]1957 2 Cor. xii. 2.

[5677]1958 Rom. vii. 14.

- [5678]1959 John iv. 34, v. 30.
- [5679]1960 1 Tim. ii. 5.
- [5680]1961 Acts iv. 12.
- [5681]1962 Acts xvii. 31.
- [5682]1963 Rom. v. 5.
- [5683]1964 John iii. 8.
- [5684]1965 Rom. v. 14.
- [5685]1966 Gal. iii. 21.
- [5686]1967 Rom. v. 20.
- [5687]1968 Rom. vii. 24, 25.
- [5688]1969 Ps. li. 5.
- [5689]1970 Ps. xxxviii. 3.
- [5690]1971 Ps. li. 10.
- [5691]1972 Ps. li. 12.
- [5692]1973 Ps. li. 11.
- [5693]1974 Ps. cxvi. 10.
- [5694]1975 2 Cor. iv. 13.
- [5695]1976 Isa. vii. 14.
- [5696]1977 Matt. i. 23.
- [5697]1978 Ps. xix. 5, 6.
- [5698]1979 Ps. xlv. 6, 7.
- [5699]1980 Acts xv. 10, 11.
- [5700]1981 Rom. iii. 20.
- [5701]1982 Rom. iii. 21.
- [5702]1983 Matt. xxvii. 51.
- [5703]1984 Ps. lxviii. 9.

[5704]1985 Judg. vi. 36â40.

[5705]1986 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22.

[5706]1987 1 Cor. xi. 3.

[5707]1988 John viii. 56.

[5708]1989 John v. 26.

[5709]1990 John xiv. 6.

[5710]1991 1 John v. 20.

[5711]1992 Gen. xxiv. 2, 3.

[5712]1993 The word âthigh,â K7r"yf, occurs in the phrase, âto come out from the thigh of any one,â in the sense of being begotten by any one, or descended from him, in several passages: see Gen. xlvi. 26; Ex. i. 5; Judg. viii. 30. In the last of these passages, the A.V. phrase, âof his body begotten,â is w\$kr"y: y)cyo@, the offspring of his thigh. Abraham was the first to use this form of adjuration; after him his grandson Jacob, Gen. xlvii. 29. The comment of Augustin in the text, which he repeats elsewhere (see his Sermon 75), occurs also in other Fathers, e.g. Jerome, Theodoret, Ambrose (De Abrahamo, i. cap. ult.), Prosper (Prædicat. i. 7), and Gregory the Great, who says: âHe orders him to put his hand under his thigh, since through that member would descend the flesh of Him who was Abrahamâs son according to the flesh, and his Lord owing to His divinity.â

[5713]1994 Gen. xiv. 18â20.

[5714]1995 Ps. cx. 4.

[5715]1996 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[5716]1997 Phil. ii. 7.

[5717]1998 See Gen. vii. and xix.

[5718]1999 Rom. v. 12.

[5719]2000 Sacramento.

[5720]2001 Rom. iv. 11.

[5721]2002 Gen. xvii. 10.

[5722]2003 Gen. xvii. 14.

[5723]2004 Gen. xxv. 17.

[5724]2005 1 Macc. ii. 69.

[5725]2006 Rom. ix. 11.

[5726]2007 Sacramenta.

[5727]2008 1 Cor. x. 4.

[5728]2009 Ex. iv. 25.

[5729]2010 Col. ii. 11, 13.

[5730]2011 Rom. vi. 6.

[5731]2012 Rom. viii. 3 and Gal. iii. 13.

[5732]2013 2 Cor. v. 20, 21.

[5733]2014 Job xiv. 4, 5.

[5734]2015 1 Thess. iv. 5.

[5735]2016 This translation is intended to preserve, however faintly, Augustinâs antithesis, factor est hominis and factus est homo.

[5736]2017 Fides.

[5737]2018 Connubii sacramentum.

[5738]2019 1 Tim. v. 14.

[5739]2020 1 Cor. vii. 4.

[5740]2021 Matt. xix. 6.

[5741]2022 De Bono Conjugali, 3 sqq.

[5742]2023 Heb. xiii. 4.

[5743]2024 Rom. vii. 23.

[5744]2025 Gen. iii. 7.

[5745]2026 Gen. i. 28.

[5746]2027 Gen. ii. 7, 22.

[5747]2028 Gen. iii. 7.

[5748]2029 Sacramentum; see above, ch. 39.

[5749]2030 1 Cor. vii. 5.

[5750]2031 The three phrases here marked with asterisks have a more clearly expressed relation in the original: obesset, inesset,

prodesset.

[5751]2032 The three phrases here marked with asterisks have a more clearly expressed relation in the original: obesset, inesset, prodesset.

[5752]2033 The three phrases here marked with asterisks have a more clearly expressed relation in the original: obesset, inesset, prodesset.

[5753]2034 That is, the Church, according to one readingâconcelebrat; but another reading, concelebrant, understands âthe Pelagiansâ to be the subject of the proposition.

[5754]2035 Matt. xii. 29.

[5755]2036 Col. i. 13.

[5756]2037 Matt. v. 45.

[5757]2038 Ps. xlix. 12.

[5758]2039 2 Cor. viii. 9.

[5759]2040 See above, De Gratiâ Christi, 49â51 (xlv., xlvi.).

[5760]2041 Ambroseâs De Exc. Sal. ii. 6.

[5761]2042 Ps. li. 5.

[5762]2043 Rom. vii. 24.

[5763]2044 Ambroseâs De PÅnitentia, i. 2, 3.

[5764]2045 Quoted from a work by St. Ambrose, On Isaiah, not now extant.

[5765]2046 See Book ii. 56. of this Commentary on St. Luke, ch. ii.

[5766]2047 See above, ch. 14 (xiii.).

[5767]2048 The three friends to whom these two books are addressed were pious members of the same family; Pinianus was the husband, Melania his wife, and Albina her mother.

[5768]2049 Rom. vii. 23.

[5769]2050 The Donatist bishop.

[5770]2051 [This work gives an account of the meeting of the catholic bishops at Cæsarea on Sept. 20, 418, at which Emeritus was present by invitation. Cf. Smith and Wace, Dict of Christ. Biog. ii. 107.âW.]

[5771]2052 Against Two Epistles of the Pelagians, ch. 9.

[5772]2053 Bishop of Eclanum in Italy. See below at beginning of Book ii.

[5773]2054 The great friend of Augustin.

[5774]2055 This is the 200th in the collection of Augustinâs Letters.

[5775]2056 Phil. i. 8.

[5776]2057 Prov. xxvii. 2.

[5777]2058 1 Tim. vi. 17.

[5778]2059 Written about the beginning of the year A.D. 419.

[5779]2060 In quo omnes peccaverunt, Rom. v. 5.

[5780]2061 Col. i. 15.

[5781]2062 Titus iii. 5.

[5782]2063 Rom. vii. 24.

[5783]2064 1 Pet. iii. 15. [The reading afaith and hopea stands in certain Latin Biblical mss. Also, e.g., Codices Harleianus and Toletanus. Traces of a similar reading are not unknown also in Greek (Origen, Basil) and Syriac (Peshitto) sources.aW.]

[5784]2065 Col. iv. 6.

[5785]2066 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[5786]2067 See Augustinâs work Against Julianus, iv. 3.

[5787]2068 Rom. xiv. 23.

[5788]2069 Gal. v. 17.

[5789]2070 Ps. Ixxiii. 27.

[5790]2071 Rom. xiv. 23.

[5791]2072 Heb. xi. 6.

[5792]2073 Gen. i. 28.

[5793]2074 Gen. iii. 6, 7.

[5794]2075 Gen. xxi. 17â19.

[5795]2076 Luke xxiv. 31.

[5796]2077 Gen. iii. 7.

[5797]2078 Gen. ii. 19.

[5798]2079 Gen. ii. 23.

[5799]2080 Gen. iii. 6.

[5800]2081 Gen. ii. 25.

[5801]2082 Gen. iii. 5.

[5802]2083 Gen. iii. 7.

[5803]2084 1 Thess. iv. 3â5.

[5804]2085 See Heb. xi. 4â6.

[5805]2086 1 Cor. xi. 3.

[5806]2087 Col. iii. 18.

[5807]2088 1 Pet. iii. 6.

[5808]2089 Quoddam sacramentum. See above, On Original Sin, ch. 39 [xxxiv].

[5809]2090 Eph. v. 25.

[5810]2091 Res sacramenti.

[5811]2092 Matt. v. 32.

[5812]2093 Ps. xlviii. 2.

[5813]2094 Matt. xix. 8.

[5814]2095 Matt. i. 20.

[5815]2096 Luke ii. 41.

[5816]2097 Luke ii. 33. So the Vulgate as well as the best Greek texts, instead of the âAnd Joseph and His mother marvelled,â etc., of the common text.

[5817]2098 Luke ii. 41.

[5818]2099 Luke ii. 48.

[5819]2100 Luke ii. 49.

[5820]2101 Luke ii. 50, 51.

[5821]2102 Phil. ii. 6.

[5822]2103 Phil. ii. 7.

[5823]2104 Matt. i. 16.

[5824]2105 Compare Luke iii. 23 with Matt. i. 16.

[5825]2106 Luke i. 27.

[5826]2107 Sacramentum.

[5827]2108 Sacramentum.

[5828]2109 Rom. viii. 3.

[5829]2110 Eccles. iii. 5.

[5830]2111 1 Cor. vii. 29â31.

[5831]2112 1 Cor. iii. 32, 33.

[5832]2113 1 Cor. vii. 6.

[5833]2114 1 Cor. vii. 5.

[5834]2115 So also the best mss. of the original.

[5835]2116 So again, after the best witnesses in the original.

[5836]2117 [The Latin word for âpermissionâ is venia, which also means âindulgence,â âforbearance,â âforgiveness;â and so the sins that may be forgiven are called âvenial sins,â i.e. âpardonable,â and in this sense âpermissible,â sins. Augustinâs argument here turns on this word.âW.]

[5837]2118 1 Cor. vii. 3â6.

[5838]2119 [The Latin word for âpermissionâ is venia, which also means âindulgence,â âforbearance,â âforgiveness;â and so the sins that may be forgiven are called âvenial sins,â i.e. âpardonable,â and in this sense âpermissible,â sins. Augustinâs argument here turns on this word.âW.]

[5839]2120 [The Latin word for âpermissionâ is venia, which also means âindulgence,â âforbearance,â âforgiveness;â and so the sins that may be forgiven are called âvenial sins,â i.e. âpardonable,â and in this sense âpermissible,â sins. Augustinâs argument here turns on this word.âW.]

[5840]2121 [The Latin word for âpermissionâ is venia, which also means âindulgence,â âforbearance,â âforgiveness;â and so the sins that may be forgiven are called âvenial sins,â i.e. âpardonable,â and in this sense âpermissible,â sins. Augustinâs argument here turns on this word.âW.]

[5841]2122 See above, ch. 14 [xiii.].

[5842]2123 Matt. xix. 12.

[5843]2124 1 Cor. vii. 28.

[5844]2125 1 Cor. vii. 9.

[5845]2126 1 Cor. vii. 1.

[5846]2127 Matt. xix. 9.

[5847]2128 1 Cor. vii. 2.

[5848]2129 1 Tim. v. 14.

[5849]2130 1 Tim. v. 14.

[5850]2131 See above, ch. 11, and On Original Sin, ch. 39.

[5851]2132 Luke xx. 34. Augustin quotes an interpolation current in the Latin Bibles of his day, and found also in certain Greek (D. Origen) and Syriac (Curetonian version) witnesses.

[5852]2133 See De Peccatorum Meritis et Remissione, ii. 11 [ix.].

[5853]2134 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5854]2135 1 Cor. vi. 19, 20. Note the odd interpolation âand carry,â which was a common Latin reading.

[5855]2136 Rom. viii. 23.

[5856]2137 Rom. viii. 24, 25.

[5857]2138 Col. iii. 19.

[5858]2139 1 John ii. 15â17. The last clause, though not in Jeromeâs Vulgate, was yet read by some of the Latin Fathersâby Cyprian and Lucifer, for instance, and something like it also by one of the Egyptian versions.

[5859]2140 Col. i. 13.

[5860]2141 John i. 12.

[5861]2142 See above, chs. 11, 19, and On Original Sin, ch. 39.

[5862]2143 Gen. i. 29.

[5863]2144 Gen. ii. 24.

[5864]2145 Eph v. 32. [In the original Greek, âa great mystery;â i.e., âa great revelation,ââW.]

[5865]2146 Rom. vii. 23.

[5866]2147 Cynici, i.e. Kuniko°, âdog-like.â

[5867]2148 Rom. vi. 12, 13.

[5868]2149 Ex. xx. 17; anon concupisces in the Latin; hence the play on the word.

[5869]2150 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[5870]2151 Heb. xiii. 4.

[5871]2152 1 Cor. xv. 53.

[5872]2153 Ps. ciii. 3.

[5873]2154 Ps. ciii. 4.

[5874]2155 Rom. vi. 12.

[5875]2156 Ecclus. xxi. 1.

[5876]2157 Ecclus. xxi. 1.

[5877]2158 Rom. vi. 12.

[5878]2159 Rom. vii. 15.

[5879]2160 aConcupiscoa in the Latin, and hence used in this discussion.

[5880]2161 Rom. vii. 16.

[5881]2162 aConcupiscoa in the Latin, and hence used in this discussion.

[5882]2163 aConcupiscoa in the Latin, and hence used in this discussion

[5883]2164 aConcupiscoa in the Latin, and hence used in this discussion.

[5884]2165 Rom. vii. 17.

[5885]2166 Rom. vi. 13.

[5886]2167 Rom. vii. 17.

[5887]2168 Rom. vii. 18.

[5888]2169 Ecclus. xviii. 30.

[5889]2170 Ex. xx. 7.

[5890]2171 Rom. vii. 19â21. The punctuation of the passage in Latin differs from that ordinarily used with us, and hence this sense results.

[5891]2172 Rom. vii. 22, 23.

[5892]2173 This sharing of joy with the law of God: âlsta condelectatio legi Dei.â

[5893]2174 2 Cor. iv. 16.

[5894]2175 John xx. 2.

[5895]2176 Rom. vii. 18.

[5896]2177 Rom. viii. 23.

[5897]2178 Rom. vii. 24.

[5898]2179 Gal. v. 17.

[5899]2180 Rom. vi. 12.

[5900]2181 Rom. vii. 25.

[5901]2182 Rom. viii. 1.

[5902]2183 Rom. viii. 2.

[5903]2184 Rom. i. 17.

[5904]2185 An allusion, of course, to the meaning of the word âChrist,â from Chrisma, and meaning âthe Anointed One.â

[5905]2186 Ps. xxxiii. 1, 2.

[5906]2187 Eph. v. 25.

[5907]2188 Matt. vi. 12.

[5908]2189 Heb. iv. 15.

[5909]2190 Pro libero arbitrio, lib. 3.

[5910]2191 In chartula.

[5911]2192 [This able and learned man was much the most formidable of the Pelagian writers. Besides this book, Augustin wrote three large works against him, the treatise Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, and the two treatises Against Julian the last of which is usually called The Unfinished Work from the circumstance that Augustin left it incomplete at his death. Julian was a son of a dear friend of Augustin, and was himself much loved by him. He became a âlectorâ in 404, and was ordained bishop by Innocent I. about 417. Under Zosimusâ vacillating policy he took strong ground on the Pelagian side, and, refusing to sign Zosimusâ Tractoria, was exiled with his seventeen fellow-recusants, and passed his long life in vain endeavours to obtain recognition for the Pelagian party. His writings included two letters to Zosimus, a Confession of Faith, the two letters answered in Against Two Letters of the Pelagians (though he seems to have repudiated the former of these), and two large books against Augustin, the first of which was his four books against the first book of the present treatise, against extracts from which the second book was written, whilst Augustinas Against Julian, in six books, traverses the whole work. To this second book Julian replied in a rejoinder addressed to Florus, and consisting of eight books. Augustinas Unfinished Work is a reply to this. Julianas character was as noble as his energy was great and his pen acute. He stands out among his fellow-Pelagians as the sufferer for conscienceâ sake. A full account of his works may be read in the Preface to Augustinas Unfinished Work, with which may be compared the article on him in Smith and Waceâs Dictionary of Christian BiographyâW.]

[5912]2193 Written A.D. 420.

[5913]2194 See Augustinâs Unfinished Work against Julian, i. 18.

[5914]2195 This Augustin afterwards did by the publication of six book against Julianus, on receiving his entire work. Augustin tells us (Unfinished Work, i. 19) that he had long endeavoured to procure a copy of Julianusâ books for the purpose of refuting them, and only succeeded in getting them after some difficulty and delay.

[5915]2196 See above, Book i. ch. 1 of this treatise.

[5916]2197 Rom. v. 12.

[5917]2198 Book i. of this treatise, ch. 1.

[5918]2199 See The Unfinished Work, i. 64.

[5919]2200 Col. i. 13.

[5920]2201 Rom. vii. 24.

[5921]2202 He calls Florus âmost holy fatherâ elsewhere (see The Unfinished Work, iv. 5). This man, to whom Julianus dedicated his work, is called a colleague or fellow-bishop of Julianus by Augustin (The Unfinished Work, iii. 187).

[5922]2203 Conditor nascentium, i.e. the Maker of all menâs births.

[5923]2204 For a description of this curious mode of capture, see Dr. Smithâs Greek and Roman Antiquities, s. v. Rete.

[5924]2205 See The Unfinished Work, i. 3.

[5925]2206 John viii. 36.

[5926]2207 2 Pet. ii. 19.

[5927]2208 Rom. v. 12.

[5928]2209 Rom. ix. 21.

[5929]2210 Ps. ci. 1.

[5930]2211 See The Unfinished Work, iii. 101.

[5931]2212 Col. i. 13.

[5932]2213 Matt. xix. 4â6.

[5933]2214 Luke xix. 10.

[5934]2215 Matt. ix. 12.

[5935]2216 The words âin bodyâ are added here in the text of the Benedictine edition, though it is found in almost none of the mss., because it is found in the passage as quoted in the Unfinished Work, iii. 138.

[5936]2217 This clause alludes to the Imperial edicts which Honorius issued, enacting penalties against the Pelagian heretics.

[5937]2218 Gen. ii. 22, 23.

[5938]2219 Gen. iii. 20, margin.

[5939]2220 Compare Eph. v. 32 with Gen. ii. 24.

[5940]2221 Gen. i. 28.

[5941]2222 Gen. i. 27.

[5942]2223 For once a difficulty occurs (for which, however, St. Augustin is not responsible) in the construction of the original. The obscure passage is here translated in accordance with a suggestion in some of the editions. It stands in the original thus:  $\hat{a}$ Quorum tamen efficienti $\tilde{A}_i^l$  potenti $\tilde{A}_{\phi}^{c}$  operationis intervenit omne quod est  $e\tilde{A}_{\phi}^{c}$ administrans virtute qu $\tilde{A}_{\phi}^{c}$  condidit. $\hat{a}$  Some editors suggest  $\hat{a}$ potentia $\hat{a}$ (nominative)  $\hat{a}$ Dei operationis intervenit; $\hat{a}$  but there is no ms. authority for the Dei.

[5943]2224 Gen. ii. 24.

[5944]2225 Gen. i. 28.

[5945]2226 1 John ii. 16.

[5946]2227 John xiv. 30.

[5947]2228 John xiv. 30.

[5948]2229 Gen. iii. 7.

[5949]2230 Rom. v. 12.

[5950]2231 Ambrose On Isaiah; see also his Epistle (81) to Siricius.

[5951]2232 This is the Benedictine reading; but another reading has âhe answers,â which seems to suit the context. See the following: âagain he answers.â

[5952]2233 1 John ii. 16.

[5953]2234 Gen. iii. 7.

[5954]2235 Gen. iv. 1.

[5955]2236 1 John ii. 16.

[5956]2237 1 Thess. iv. 5.

[5957]2238 Wisd. xii. 10, 11.

[5958]2239 Rom. v. 12.

[5959]2240 2 Pet. ii. 12.

[5960]2241 Eph. ii. 3â5.

[5961]2242 Gen. ix. 1.

[5962]2243 Matt. v. 45.

[5963]2244 Gal. v. 17.

[5964]2245 Wisd. vi. 21. The word in the Latin Bible in both cases is âconcupiscentia.â

[5965]2246 i.e., Isaacâs.

[5966]2247 Gen. xvii. 14.

[5967]2248 Rom. v. 12.

[5968]2249 Rom. iv. 25.

[5969]2250 Rom. iv. 10, 11.

[5970]2251 Gal. iv. 24.

[5971]2252 Gal. iii. 21.

[5972]2253 Rom. vii. 25.

[5973]2254 1 Cor. xv. 36.

[5974]2255 The translation adopts the conjecture of the Benedictine editors: in vitium, instead of in vitio or initio, as the mss. read.

[5975]2256 See Gen. xx. 2, 4, 5, 8, 14, 17.

[5976]2257 Gen. xx. 18.

[5977]2258 Gen. ii. 25.

[5978]2259 1 Cor. xv. 36.

[5979]2260 1 Cor. xv. 37.

[5980]2261 Above, ch. 26 [xiii.].

[5981]2262 Rom. ix. 23.

[5982]2263 Rom. ix. 20, 21.

[5983]2264 Matt. xxv. 33.

[5984]2265 Matt. v. 45.

[5985]2266 Wisd. xii. 11.

[5986]2267 Rom. ix. 33.

[5987]2268 2 Cor. x. 17.

[5988]2269 Col. i. 13.

[5989]2270 John xii. 31.

[5990]2271 There is a climax in infecerit and interfecerit.

[5991]2272 Rom. i. 27.

[5992]2273 Gen. xxi. 1, 2, and xix. 24.

[5993]2274 Ezek. xvi. 49.

[5994]2275 See first chapter of the first book of this treatise.

[5995]2276 Rom. i. 27.

[5996]2277 Rom. ix. 26.

[5997]2278 Gen. iii. 7.

[5998]2279 Rom. vii. 18.

- [5999]2280 Rom. v. 12.
- [6000]2281 1 Cor. xv. 38.
- [6001]2282 Matt. vii. 16.
- [6002]2283 Luke xix. 10.
- [6003]2284 Gen. i. 28.
- [6004]2285 Rom. v. 12.
- [6005]2286 Matt. vii. 18.
- [6006]2287 Rom. v. 12.
- [6007]2288 Wisd. ii. 24.
- [6008]2289 Rom. v. 13.
- [6009]2290 Rom. v. 13.
- [6010]2291 Rom. iii. 20.
- [6011]2292 Rom. v. 14.
- [6012]2293 Rom. v. 14.
- [6013]2294 1 Cor. xv. 22.
- [6014]2295 Rom. v. 15.
- [6015]2296 Rom. v. 15.
- [6016]2297 Rom. v. 17, 18.
- [6017]2298 Rom. v. 19.
- [6018]2299 Rom. v. 12â19.
- [6019]2300 Rom. v. 12.
- [6020]2301 Col. i. 13.
- [6021]2302 Col. i. 13.
- [6022]2303 Luke xix. 10.
- [6023]2304 Rom. v. 12.
- [6024]2305 Ex. xx. 5.

[6025]2306 Ps. li. 5.

- [6026]2307 Ps. cxliv. 4.
- [6027]2308 Ps. xxxix. 5.

[6028]2309 Rom. viii. 20.

- [6029]2310 Eccles. i. 2, 3.
- [6030]2311 Ecclus. xl. 1.
- [6031]2312 1 Cor. xv. 22.
- [6032]2313 Job xiv. 1â5.
- [6033]2314 Zech. iii. 4.
- [6034]2315 i.e., Scripture.
- [6035]2316 See Book i. of this treatise, last chapter.
- [6036]2317 Ambrose On Isaiah: cited in the same Book, i. ch. 35.
- [6037]2318 i.e., of martyrdom.
- [6038]2319 Wisd. vi. 21.
- [6039]2320 See above, Book i. ch. 1.
- [6040]2321 See On the City of God, Book xi. ch. 17.
- [6041]2322 Gen. ii. 24.
- [6042]2323 Gen. i. 27.
- [6043]2324 Gen. ii. 24.
- [6044]2325 Effundetur.
- [6045]2326 Matt. xxvi. 28.
- [6046]2327 Rom. viii. 32.
- [6047]2328 Rom. iv. 25.
- [6048]2329 Matt. ix. 12.
- [6049]2330 1 Tim. i. 15.
- [6050]2331 Matt. xxvi. 28.
- [6051]2332 Luke xix. 10.

[6052]2333 1 John iii. 8.

[6053]2334 John i. 3.

[6054]2335 Vexat. Another reading has vitiat, acorrupts.a

[6055]2336 John i. 3.

[6056]2337 Matt. i. 21.

[6057]2338 See below, Book iii. c. 2.

[6058]2339 See below, ii. 13, 15.

[6059]2340 See Augustinâs letter 190, ch. 1.

[6060]2341 See Book ii. 17.

[6061]2342 See Book i. 34.

[6062]2343 Written about the end of 419.

[6063]2344 [The Edinburgh translator conjectures minime dubitandam here: an which he seemed to himself to be holding no doubtful, but a perfectly well-known and certain opinion.âaW.]

[6064]2345 Rom. xi. 6.

[6065]2346 John iii. 5.

[6066]2347 Matt. x. 39.

[6067]2348 [Augustin here confesses the validity of the âbaptism of blood,â that is, martyrdom, which may take the place of baptism. See the next chapter, and also Book ii. 17.âW.]

[6068]2349 Cyprianâs Letter to Jubianus. See likewise Augustinâs work Against the Donatists, iv. 29; also On Leviticus, question 84; also his Retractations, ii. 18, 55.

[6069]2350 2 Macc. xii. 43.

[6070]2351 Rom. v. 16.

[6071]2352 Rom. ix. 11.

[6072]2353 Isa. xlii. 5.

[6073]2354 1 Cor. xv. 38.

[6074]2355 John xx. 22.

[6075]2356 Acts ii. 2.

[6076]2357 Acts iv. 31.

[6077]2358 Ps. ii. 4.

[6078]2359 Ps. ii. 8.

[6079]2360 [It is the parallelism of Hebrew poetry to which Augustin here appeals: and that soundly, although the interpretation of âspiritâ in the passage in hand, which is suggested in the chapter, is untenable.âW.]

[6080]2361 The passage stands in the LXX.: Ka± didoÃv pnoÃn tþ laþ tþ Âp@ aÃtÃv.

[6081]2362 The LXX. text of Gen. ii. 7 is, Ka± ÂnefÃsjsen eÂ,v tÃ<sup>¨</sup> prçsw pon aÃtoà pnoÃn zwÃv.

[6082]2363 Ps. cl. 6: Pâsa pnoà aÂ, nesâ¬tw tèn KÃrion.

[6083]2364 According to the LXX., Pnoà dÅj pantokrâ¬torçv Âstin à didâ¬skousa.

[6084]2365 Job xxxii. 7, 8.

[6085]2366 Job xxx. 3, 4, according to the LXX., of which the text is, SÃnesiv dÅ; ceilewn mou kaqara noÃsei. PneÃma qeÂ<sup>2</sup>on tÃ<sup>--</sup> poiÃsâ¬n me, pnoà dÅ; pantokrâ¬torçv Âstin à didâ¬skousa.

[6086]2367 The words here given in brackets are suggested by the Benedictine editor. [The Latin as it stands may be translated simply: âthat the prophet meant to signify in these words the soul or spirit whereby our nature lives?â and is not this better than the conjecture?âW.]

[6087]2368 1 Cor. xv. 46.

[6088]2369 Isa. Ivii. 16. In the Septuagint it is, PneÃma gÂr par@ Âmoà ÂxeleÃsetai, ka± pnoÃn pâsan Âgñ Âpo°sa.

[6089]2370 John xv. 26.

[6090]2371 Zech. xii. 1, which in the Septuagint is, KÃriov...plâ¬sswn pneÃma âjnqoðpou Ân aÃtþ.

[6091]2372 Actum; another reading is ortum, more in accordance with the Greek gâ¢nesin, the meaning of which would be: âSearches the origin of all things.â

[6092]2373 2 Macc. vii. 22, 23.

[6093]2374 Rom. xi. 36.

[6094]2375 Ps. xlviii. 12.

[6095]2376 Matt. vi. 30.

[6096]2377 2 Tim. i. 7.

[6097]2378 Acts xvii. 28.

[6098]2379 Rom. xi. 36.

[6099]2380 1 Cor. xi. 12.

[6100]2381 1 Cor. xi. 12.

[6101]2382 Acts xvii. 25.

[6102]2383 Gen. ii. 7.

[6103]2384 Rom. v. 12.

[6104]2385 Another reading has âhe asserts,â i.e. Augustinâs opponent, Victor.

[6105]2386 Ps. lxv. 2.

[6106]2387 âAnimata,â possessing the âanima,â or soul.

[6107]2388 Gen. ii. 23.

[6108]2389 âAnimata, â possessing the âanima, â or soul.

[6109]2390 Gen. ii. 23.

[6110]2391 1 Tim. ii. 9, 10.

[6111]2392 âAnimari,â or endued with the âanima,â or soul.

[6112]2393 âAnimari,â or endued with the âanima,â or soul.

[6113]2394 John i. 14.

[6114]2395 Luke iii. 6, and Isa. xl. 5.

[6115]2396 2 Tim. ii. 21.

[6116]2397 Job vii. 14. HApallâ¬xeiv â¡pè pneÃmatçv mou tÃn yucÃn mou, Sept.

[6117]2398 Gen. ii. 7.

[6118]2399 John xix. 30.

[6119]2400 Tobit iv. 5, 6; compare ii. 10.

[6120]2401 Ps. xiii. 3.

[6121]2402 Eph. i. 18.

[6122]2403 See below in ch. 14 [x.].

[6123]2404 Dilectio tua.

[6124]2405 See Luke xvi. 22, 23.

[6125]2406 Luke xvi. 24.

[6126]2407 See Tertullianâs treatise On the Soul in The Ante-Nicene Christian Fathers, vol. iii. p. 181 sq. See also Augustin, On Heresies, 86, and Epistles, No. 190.

[6127]2408 This play of words too inadequately represents Augustinâs Subrepsit tibi falsiloquium per suaviloquium.

[6128]2409 See below, Book iii. 9.

[6129]2410 See above, Book i. 8, and below, Book iii. 11.

[6130]2411 Wisd. iv. 11, 14, 13.

[6131]2412 Luke xxiii. 43.

[6132]2413 John iii. 5.

[6133]2414 John xiv. 2.

[6134]2415 See Book i. of the present treatise, chs. 11 [ix.] and 12 [x.].

[6135]2416 This is a loose reference to the narrative in 2 Macc. xii. 39â45.

[6136]2417 Sententia illa principalis, in which principalis may mean either âprincipal,â âchief,â or âbelonging to the Prince.â

[6137]2418 John iii. 5.

[6138]2419 Or perhaps, âas simply amplifying both the effect and the purpose of,â etc., etc.

[6139]2420 1 Sam. xv. 9.

[6140]2421 John iii. 5.

[6141]2422 Matt. x. 39.

[6142]2423 Rom. v. 18.

[6143]2424 Mark xvi. 16.

[6144]2425 See Augustinâs treatises, On Free Will, iii. 21; On the

Merits of Sins, ii. (last chapter); Letter (166) to Jerome, and (190) to Optatus.

[6145]2426 See above in Book i. 17 [xiv.] and following chapters.

[6146]2427 Isa. xlii. 5.

[6147]2428 Acts xvii. 26.

[6148]2429 Gen. ii. 23.

[6149]2430 Rom. v. 12.

[6150]2431 John i. 14.

[6151]2432 Compare on this chapter Book i. 29.

[6152]2433 [The editions give the manifestly false reading nobis for non, yielding the sense: âeven for ourselves who have been baptized.ââW.]

[6153]2434 See below in Book iii. 20 (xiv.).

[6154]2435 Ps. cxli. 5.

[6155]2436 Mark xvi. 18.

[6156]2437 2 Cor. xi. 14.

[6157]2438 Wisd. i. 5.

[6158]2439 See above, Book i. 4 and Book ii. 5.

[6159]2440 Halitus (breath).

[6160]2441 2 Kings iv. 34.

[6161]2442 In the original we have here another instance of Augustinâs frequent play on words, Non animando, sed amando: ânot by ensouling but by loving him,â or ânot by enlivening but by loving him.â

[6162]2443 2 Tim. iii. 7.

[6163]2444 Rom. ix. 11.

[6164]2445 John xix. 34.

[6165]2446 Acts ix. 18.

[6166]2447 John xiii. 10.

[6167]2448 Wisd. iv. 11.

[6168]2449 John xiv. 2.

[6169]2450 Matt. vi. 10.

[6170]2451 John iii. 3â6.

[6171]2452 2 Macc. xii. 43.

[6172]2453 John xiv. 6.

[6173]2454 John iii. 5.

[6174]2455 Et effectum et affectum.

[6175]2456 Ps. xlix. 12.

[6176]2457 Gen. ii. 7.

[6177]2458 Dilectioni tuæ.

[6178]2459 Ecclus. iii. 21, 22.

[6179]2460 Animentur =  $\hat{a}$  are furnished with their anim $\tilde{A}_{l}^{l}$ . $\hat{a}$ 

[6180]2461 Gen. vii. 8, 9.

[6181]2462 Wisd. xiii. 9.

[6182]2463 These vessels which carry the blood from the heart were formerly supposed, from being found empty after death, to contain only air; and hence, indeed, their name,âfor âthe arteryâ was originally the windpipe. Comp. Cicero (De Nat. Deor. ii. 55, 138): âSanguis per venas in omne corpus diffunditur, et spiritus per arteriasâ: i.e. Blood is diffused throughout the body by the veins, and air by the arteries.

[6183]2464 [The names of these various medical schools may be found explained in the article âMedicineâ in the ninth edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica, vol. xv. See especially p. 802.âW.]

[6184]2465 Ps. cxxxix. 6.

[6185]2466 2 Cor. xii. 4.

[6186]2467 Rom. viii. 26.

[6187]2468 Phil. iii. 13, 14.

[6188]2469 Rom. viii. 26.

[6189]2470 2 Cor. xii. 7, 8.

[6190]2471 Rom. viii. 26, 27.

[6191]2472 Gal. iv. 6.

[6192]2473 Rom. viii. 15.

[6193]2474 See above, Book i. 17 [xiv.], and following.

[6194]2475 This repetition of one word for rhetorical effect is characteristic of our author (as, before him, it was of the Apostle Paul): âIntellige quid non intelligas, ne totum non intelligasâ¦qui ut veraciter intelligat, quod non intelligit hoc se non intelligere intelligit.â

[6195]2476 Ps. xlix. 12, 13.

[6196]2477 See Rom. v. 18.

[6197]2478 [The author seems here to have such texts as 1 Thess. v. 23 in mind (see below, chs. 19 and 36), and to mean that sometimes the whole inner man is called aspirit, and sometimes aspirit is distinguished from asoul.aaW.]

[6198]2479 1 Cor. xv. 40.

[6199]2480 1 Thess. v. 23.

[6200]2481 Col. iii. 10.

[6201]2482 Caput et Navia, literally âhead and ship,â the piece of money having a head of Janus on one side, and a ship on the other. See the matter illustrated in Macrobius, Saturnalia, i. 7, Aur. Vict. Orig. 3.

[6202]2483 Rom. xii. 1, 2.

[6203]2484 Rom. viii. 6.

[6204]2485 Luke xvi. 19â31. Non noverat Abraham. But some mss. omit non; rightly, one would think. The meaning then is: âHe recognised Abraham.â

[6205]2486 Rom. vi. 17.

[6206]2487 Phil. ii. 6.

[6207]2488 Gal. v. 22, 23.

[6208]2489 Luke xvi. 24.

[6209]2490 Augustinâs reading of Ps. cxxxix. 9.

[6210]2491 In manibus linguæ= the Hebrew phrase Nw\$#\$If-dyab:@, Prov. xviii. 21.

[6211]2492 Ps. xxxviii. 3, yti)+f@xa yn@:mi.

[6212]2493 In Luke xvi. 24.

[6213]2494 Gen. xvii. 5.

[6214]2495 Matt. x. 28.

[6215]2496 That is (in opposition to the really âdead,â afterwards mentioned), such as are seen by living persons in visions.

[6216]2497 1 Thess. iv. 13.

[6217]2498 Virgil, Ãneid, vi. 279, âConsanguineus Lethi soporâ (Deathâs own brother, Sleep).

[6218]2499 Luke xvi. 24.

[6219]2500 1 Sam. xxviii. 14.

[6220]2501 Luke xvi. 23.

[6221]2502 Rev. vi. 9.

[6222]2503 Rev. v. 6.

[6223]2504 Rev. vi. and ix.

[6224]2505 Rev. vi. 13, 14.

[6225]2506 That is, as true apparitions indeed, but not as real bodies.

[6226]2507 1 Thess. v. 23.

[6227]2508 Job vii. 15.

[6228]2509 [Compare On the City of God, xiv. 2, 6, and On the Trinity, x. 11, 18. Augustin denied the trichotomy of the Greek Fathers before Appollinaris, and held that the soul and spirit constituted a single substantial unity, and this one spiritual essence was âsoulâ (anima) so far as it was the informing and vivifying principle of the body, and âspiritâ (spiritus) so far as it was the power of rational thought.âW.]

[6229]2510 Rom. vii. 25.

[6230]2511 Gal. v. 17.

[6231]2512 Eph. iv. 23.

[6232]2513 Col. ii. 11.

[6233]2514 1 Cor. xiv. 14.

[6234]2515 John xix. 30.

[6235]2516 Ps. xlix. 12.

[6236]2517 Ps. xxxii. 9.

[6237]2518 Gen. i. 20.

[6238]2519 Gen. i. 24.

[6239]2520 Eccles. iii. 21.

[6240]2521 Gen. vii. 21, 22.

[6241]2522 John iv. 24.

[6242]2523 He seems to refer to Ps. lv. 8.

[6243]2524 See above in Book ii. 22 [xvi.].

[6244]2525 See Book iii., next to last chapter.

[6245]2526 [When Augustinâs friend Alypius brought to Africa the extracts from Julianâs reply to Augustinâs first book On Marriage and Concupiscence, which were sent by Count Valerius, and which occasioned the writing of his second book on the same subject (see above, pp. 259 and 281), he also brought two letters sent by Pope Boniface; the one ascribed to Julian, and the other to eighteen bishops including Julian, which attacked the catholic faith, and Augustin personally. It was in answer to these that this treatise was written.âW.]

[6246]2527 The Latin words being amicitia (friendship) and amor (love).

[6247]2528 1 Cor. i. 31.

[6248]2529 John viii. 36.

[6249]2530 Rom. vi. 20.

[6250]2531 Rom. vi. 20.

[6251]2532 John viii. 36 ff.

[6252]2533 John i. 12.

[6253]2534 Phil. i. 28, 29.

[6254]2535 Eph. vi. 23.

[6255]2536 John vi. 44.

[6256]2537 John vi. 64.

[6257]2538 John vi. 64 ff.

[6258]2539 Rom. xiv. 23.

[6259]2540 Hab. ii. 4.

[6260]2541 Rom. i. 17.

[6261]2542 On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 30.

[6262]2543 On the Grace of Christ, 3, 34.

[6263]2544 On Marriage and Concupiscence, Book i.

[6264]2545 Gen. ii. 24.

[6265]2546 Prov. xix. 24.

[6266]2547 Matt. xix. 3, 6.

[6267]2548 Eph. v. 25.

[6268]2549 On Marriage and Concupiscence, i. 37.

[6269]2550 1 Cor. i. 13.

[6270]2551 Jer. xvii. 5.

[6271]2552 Ps. xlix. 6.

[6272]2553 Gal. iv. 24.

[6273]2554 Ex. xx. 7.

[6274]2555 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[6275]2556 Rom. vii. 18.

[6276]2557 Rom. iii. 20.

[6277]2558 Rom. iii. 27.

[6278]2559 Rom. iv. 13, etc.

[6279]2560 Rom. v. 20.

[6280]2561 Rom. vi. 14.

[6281]2562 Rom. vii. 1, 2.

[6282]2563 Rom. vii. 4 ff.

[6283]2564 On the Spirit and the Letter, 6.

[6284]2565 Rom. vii. 7.

[6285]2566 Rom. vii. 13.

[6286]2567 Rom. vii. 7.

[6287]2568 Rom. vii. 14.

[6288]2569 1 Cor. xv. 44. [The Latin word for ânaturalâ is animale, i.e., âanimated,â âliving,â derived from the word anima, âsoul,â or âanimated and animating principle.â Compare the note on ch. 36 of On the Soul and its Origin, above.âW.]

[6289]2570 Rom. vii. 14.

[6290]2571 Rom. viii. 23.

[6291]2572 Wisd. ix. 15.

[6292]2573 Rom. vii. 15.

[6293]2574 Rom. vii. 17.

[6294]2575 Rom. vii. 18.

[6295]2576 Rom. vii. 18.

[6296]2577 Rom. vii. 20.

[6297]2578 Rom. vii. 21.

[6298]2579 Rom. vii. 21, 22.

[6299]2580 Rom. vii. 24, 25.

[6300]2581 Rom. viii. 1.

[6301]2582 See Augustinâs Exposition of Certain Propositions in the Epistle to the Romans, 44, 45; also his Commentary on Galatians, v. 17; also his letter to Simplicianus, book i. 7, 9.

[6302]2583 Rom. vii. 24.

[6303]2584 Matt. vii. 23.

[6304]2585 2 Cor. v. 21.

[6305]2586 1 Cor. xv. 54.

[6306]2587 Rom. viii. 10, 11.

[6307]2588 Wisd. ix. 15.

[6308]2589 See Book iii. 16, below.

[6309]2590 Matt. vi. 12.

[6310]2591 Prov. xx. 9.

[6311]2592 Jas. i. 14.

[6312]2593 Luke vi. 37, 38.

[6313]2594 Tit. i. 6.

[6314]2595 1 Tim. iii. 10.

[6315]2596 Gen. iii. 7.

[6316]2597 Succinctoria.

[6317]2598 Campestria, which, as Augustin explains, is derived from âcampester,â and that from âcampus.â See On the City of God, xiv. 17.

[6318]2599 i.e. âcampestre-clad.â

[6319]2600 Gen. xxi. 19.

[6320]2601 Phil. ii. 13.

[6321]2602 Prov. viii. 35.

[6322]2603 Rom. xi. 6.

[6323]2604 Acts ix. 1.

[6324]2605 Tit. iii. 5.

[6325]2606 See above, ch. 6.

[6326]2607 John vi. 66.

[6327]2608 John vi. 44.

[6328]2609 2 Chron. xxx. 12.

[6329]2610 Ezek. xxxvi. 26, 27.

[6330]2611 Esther xiv. 13.

[6331]2612 Esther xv. 5 ff.

[6332]2613 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6333]2614 Ps. cxvi. 10.

[6334]2615 2 Cor. iv. 13.

[6335]2616 Acts xv. 10, 11.

[6336]2617 See above, On Original Sin, 30.

[6337]2618 1 Tim. ii. 5.

[6338]2619 Mark xvi. 16.

[6339]2620 John vi. 34.

[6340]2621 Rom. xi. 6.

[6341]2622 1 Tim. iv. ff.

[6342]2623 Eccles. vii. 30.

[6343]2624 John viii. 36.

[6344]2625 Matt. xii. 33.

[6345]2626 1 John i. 8.

[6346]2627 See On Original Sin, 9, 5, 8.

[6347]2628 Phil. iii. 15.

[6348]2629 See Augustinâs Letters, 181, 182, 183.

[6349]2630 Augustinâs Letters, 181, 7.

[6350]2631 See On Original Sin, 3.

[6351]2632 See Augustinâs Letters, 182, 5.

[6352]2633 An address like âyour Honour,â âyour Love,â etc.

[6353]2634 John vi. 54.

[6354]2635 Rom. ii. 11; Col. iii. 25.

[6355]2636 Ex. xxxiii. 19; Rom. ix. 15.

[6356]2637 Rom. ix. 16.

[6357]2638 See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 30.

[6358]2639 See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 34.

[6359]2640 Eph. ii. 8.

[6360]2641 Matt. xx. 9 ff.

[6361]2642 Rom. ix. 18.

[6362]2643 Rom. ix. 21.

[6363]2644 Rom. ix. 11.

- [6364]2645 Rom. ix. 11.
- [6365]2646 Rom. ix. 18.
- [6366]2647 Rom. ix. 19.
- [6367]2648 Rom. ix. 22, 23.
- [6368]2649 1 Cor. iv. 7.
- [6369]2650 Gen. i. 2.
- [6370]2651 Eph. v. 8.
- [6371]2652 Mal. i. 2.
- [6372]2653 Rom. xi. 5.
- [6373]2654 See above, On the Grace of Christ, ch. 8.

[6374]2655 John xv. 5.

- [6375]2656 Phil. i. 6.
- [6376]2657 2 Cor. iii. 5.
- [6377]2658 Prov. xvi. 1.
- [6378]2659 John xv. 5.
- [6379]2660 2 Cor. iii. 5.
- [6380]2661 Ps. lxxxi. 10.
- [6381]2662 Prov. viii.
- [6382]2663 Ps. li. 15.
- [6383]2664 Ex. iv. 12.
- [6384]2665 1 John iv. 7.
- [6385]2666 Ps. lix. 10.
- [6386]2667 Ps. xxi. 3.
- [6387]2668 Ps. lix. 10.
- [6388]2669 Ps. xxiii. 6.
- [6389]2670 Rom. viii. 28.

[6390]2671 Matt. xx. 16.

[6391]2672 Rom. ix. 11.

[6392]2673 2 Tim. i. 8.

[6393]2674 2 Cor. viii. 16.

[6394]2675 Ps. lxxvii. 9, 10.

[6395]2676 Rom ii. 13.

[6396]2677 Rom. viii. 3, 4.

[6397]2678 Rom. x. 3.

[6398]2679 Rom. viii. 14.

[6399]2680 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[6400]2681 Rom. vii. 12, 13.

[6401]2682 1 Cor. xv. 56.

[6402]2683 See On the Spirit and the Letter, 6.

[6403]2684 Rom. iv. 15.

[6404]2685 Gal. iii. 19.

[6405]2686 Gal. iii. 21, 23.

[6406]2687 Gal. iv. 24, 31.

[6407]2688 Luke xx. 34.

[6408]2689 1 John i. 8.

[6409]2690 1 John iii. 9.

[6410]2691 John xvi. 8.

[6411]2692 John xvi. 9.

[6412]2693 John xv. 22.

[6413]2694 Eph. ii. 2.

[6414]2695 1 John i. 8.

[6415]2696 Matt. vii. 11.

[6416]2697 Ps. cxvi. 12.

[6417]2698 Matt. vi. 12.

[6418]2699 Ps. cxviii. 1.

[6419]2700 Ps. vi. 2.

[6420]2701 Ps. xxv. 15.

[6421]2702 Ps. xxxi. 9.

[6422]2703 Matt. xix. 28.

[6423]2704 Rom. viii. 23.

[6424]2705 Tit. iii. 5.

[6425]2706 Rom. viii. 24, 25.

[6426]2707 Ps. li. 10.

[6427]2708 Ps. xl. 2, 3.

[6428]2709 Gal. iii. 15 ff.

[6429]2710 Gal. iii. 18.

[6430]2711 Rom. v. 20.

[6431]2712 Rom. v. 20.

[6432]2713 Gal. iii. 18.

[6433]2714 Gal. iii. 19.

[6434]2715 Gal. iii. 19.

[6435]2716 Rom. iv. 14.

[6436]2717 Gal. iv. 25.

[6437]2718 [i.e., they must not be treated allegorically, as if their literal sense was not important, and they were given only to teach something symbolically or typically.âW.]

[6438]2719 Lev. vi. 3.

[6439]2720 John i. 29.

[6440]2721 2 Cor. iv. 13.

[6441]2722 2 Cor. iii. 14.

[6442]2723 Rom. vii. 6.

[6443]2724 2 Cor. iii. 6.

- [6444]2725 Gal. iv. 21 ff.
- [6445]2726 Gal. iv. 28.
- [6446]2727 Gal. iv. 31.
- [6447]2728 Rom. iii. 20, 21.
- [6448]2729 Gal. iii. 11.
- [6449]2730 1 Cor. i. 30, 31.
- [6450]2731 Rom. iii. 21.
- [6451]2732 Isa. liv. 1.
- [6452]2733 Ps. xviii. 1.
- [6453]2734 Rom. xiv. 23.
- [6454]2735 2 Cor. vi. 14.
- [6455]2736 Phil. iii. 12, 13.
- [6456]2737 Phil. iii. 15.
- [6457]2738 1 John ii. 1.
- [6458]2739 2 Cor. v. 20, 21.
- [6459]2740 Ps. cxiv. 8.
- [6460]2741 1 Cor. xiii. 12.
- [6461]2742 Ps. lxxxi. 1.
- [6462]2743 Ps. xxxiii. 1.
- [6463]2744 Matt. v. 6.
- [6464]2745 1 Cor. i. 30, 31.
- [6465]2746 Ps. xvii. 15.
- [6466]2747 2 Cor. xii. 7.
- [6467]2748 [The reference is to the sin of pride, by which Satan himself fell from the estate of holiness in which he was created.âW.]
- [6468]2749 Phil. iii. 12, 15.

[6469]2750 Phil. iii. 6, etc.

- [6470]2751 Col. iii. 3, etc.
- [6471]2752 John xiv. 21.
- [6472]2753 1 John iii. 2.
- [6473]2754 See above, ch. 6.
- [6474]2755 Rom. x. 3.
- [6475]2756 2 Cor. iii. 6.
- [6476]2757 Phil. iii. 9, 10.
- [6477]2758 1 Cor. xiii. 12.
- [6478]2759 Phil. iii. 11, 12.
- [6479]2760 Phil. iii. 2, 3.
- [6480]2761 Phil. iii. 4.
- [6481]2762 Phil. iii. 12.
- [6482]2763 Phil. iii. 13, 14.
- [6483]2764 Luke x. 41.
- [6484]2765 Phil. iii. 15.
- [6485]2766 Phil. iii. 15.
- [6486]2767 Phil. iii. 15.
- [6487]2768 Phil. iii. 16.
- [6488]2769 Matt. vi. 10.
- [6489]2770 Matt. vi. 12.
- [6490]2771 Gal. iii. 22.
- [6491]2772 Ps. xxxiv. 2.
- [6492]2773 1 Cor. xv. 10.
- [6493]2774 1 John i. 8.
- [6494]2775 Matt. xix. 4.
- [6495]2776 Isa. i. 19.

[6496]2777 Eph. v. 26.

[6497]2778 Ps. cxliii. 10.

[6498]2779 2 Cor. v. 10.

[6499]2780 1 John i. 8.

[6500]2781 1 Cor. vi. 19.

[6501]2782 Ps. li. 5.

[6502]2783 Job xiv. 4, 5. See LXX.

[6503]2784 Rom. v. 12.

[6504]2785 [This is a distinction as to the kind of genitive involved in the phrase âsting of death.â Augustin says âof deathâ is genitive of the object, not of the author or subject.âW.]

[6505]2786 Commentaries by Hilary the Deacon, printed among the Works of Ambrose, vol. iv. (Patrol. Lat. xvii.)

[6506]2787 Isa. lix. 2.

[6507]2788 Rom. v. 8 ff.

[6508]2789 1 Cor. xv. 22.

[6509]2790 Rom. v. 18.

[6510]2791 See On Original Sin, ch. 38.

[6511]2792 Matt. xix. 4, etc.

[6512]2793 Gal. ii. 21.

[6513]2794 Gal. iii. 18.

[6514]2795 Rom. iv. 14.

[6515]2796 Gal. iii. 11.

[6516]2797 Gal. iii. 12.

[6517]2798 Rom. xii. 3.

[6518]2799 2 Tim. i. 7.

[6519]2800 2 Cor. iv. 13.

[6520]2801 See On the Grace of Christ, ch. 8, and following.

[6521]2802 1 Cor. viii. 1.

[6522]2803 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[6523]2804 Rom. v. 5.

[6524]2805 Rom. iii. 31.

[6525]2806 Prov. iii. 16.

[6526]2807 2 Kings iv. 29 sq.

[6527]2808 Gal. iii. 21.

[6528]2809 Isa. i. 19, 20.

[6529]2810 Prov. viii. 35.

[6530]2811 See above, Book ii. ch. 11.

[6531]2812 Prov. viii. 35.

[6532]2813 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6533]2814 John xv. 5.

[6534]2815 1 John iv. 7.

[6535]2816 Rom. xii. 3.

[6536]2817 John iii. 8.

[6537]2818 Rom. viii. 14.

[6538]2819 John vi. 65.

[6539]2820 1 Esdras viii. 25.

[6540]2821 Jer. xxxii. 40, 41.

[6541]2822 Ezek. xxxvi. 22 ff.

[6542]2823 Ezek. xxxvi. 32 ff.

[6543]2824 1 Cor. i. 31.

[6544]2825 Ezek. xxxvi. 22.

[6545]2826 On the Proceedings of Peliagius, 30.

[6546]2827 Ezek. xxxvi. 22.

[6547]2828 Ps. xcv. 6, 7.

[6548]2829 Rom. ix. 20.

[6549]2830 Rom. xi. 33 ff.

[6550]2831 Rom. ix. 14 ff.

[6551]2832 Rom. ix. 22, 23.

[6552]2833 Luke xviii. 10â14.

[6553]2834 Eph. v. 26.

[6554]2835 Matt. vi. 12.

[6555]2836 1 John i. 8.

[6556]2837 Eph. v. 27.

[6557]2838 See On the Perfection of Manâs Righteousness, 35, and On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 27.

[6558]2839 1 John i. 8.

[6559]2840 See above, Book iii. 17.

[6560]2841 That is, his Capitula. See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, 6.

[6561]2842 Work cited, ch. 1; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 476.

[6562]2843 Work cited, ch. 22; in The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 482.

[6563]2844 Chs. 2, 18; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. pp. 469, 473.

[6564]2845 Ch. 11; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 487.

[6565]2846 Ch. 9; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 486.

[6566]2847 Luke ix. 56.

[6567]2848 Cyprianâs Letters, No. 64, chs. 2, 4, 5: see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 353 (Ep. 58).

[6568]2849 Ibid. as cited.

[6569]2850 Cyprian, as cited.

[6570]2851 Rom. v. 12.

[6571]2852 i.e. âHallowed be Thy name.â

[6572]2853 Cyprian, On the Lord âs Prayer, ch. 9 (xii.), see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. p. 450.

[6573]2854 Ibid. ch. 13 (xvi.); see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 451.

[6574]2855 Cyprian, On the Lord âs Prayer, ch. 11 (xiv.); see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 451.

[6575]2856 lbid. ch. 15 (xvii.); vol. v. 452.

[6576]2857 Ibid. ch. 18 (xx.), p. 452.

[6577]2858 Matt. xxvi. 41, or Mark xiv. 38.

[6578]2859 Cyprian, work cited, ch. 19 (xxvi.); see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 454.

[6579]2860 Cyprianâs Testimonies, iii. 4; vol. v. p. 528.

[6580]2861 Cyprian, On Patience; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 484.

[6581]2862 Prov. viii. 36.

[6582]2863 This assertion of the Pelagians was condemned in an African Council in 418.

[6583]2864 Prov. xx. 9.

[6584]2865 1 John i. 8.

[6585]2866 Cyprian, work cited, ch. 2; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 476.

[6586]2867 lbid., p. 480.

[6587]2868 lbid. work cited, chs. 3, 4, p. 470.

[6588]2869 Phil. i. 21.

[6589]2870 Cyprian, ibid.

[6590]2871 Cyprian, work cited, ch. 9, p. 450.

[6591]2872 Cyprian, ibid. ch. 16 (xxii.), p. 453.

[6592]2873 Cyprian, Testimonies, iii. 54; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. p. 529.

[6593]2874 Job xiv. 4, 5.

[6594]2875 Ps. li. 5.

[6595]2876 1 John i. 8.

[6596]2877 Prov. xx. 9.

[6597]2878 Phil. ii. 21.

[6598]2879 Rom. xiv. 17.

[6599]2880 Isa. lvi. 1.

[6600]2881 Mark ix. 49.

[6601]2882 Phil. iv. 4.

[6602]2883 See On the Grace of Christ, ch. 47.

[6603]2884 This work is not extant.

[6604]2885 This work is not extant.

[6605]2886 Ps. li. 5.

[6606]2887 On Penitence, Book i. ch. 13.

[6607]2888 Apology of the Prophet David, ch. 56.

[6608]2889 lbid. ch. 57.

[6609]2890 Ps. li. 5.

[6610]2891 On Noah and the Ark, ch. 7 (?).

[6611]2892 Work cited, ch. 1.

[6612]2893 Ps. cxix. 36.

[6613]2894 Ps. lxxxiv. 5 [LXX.].

[6614]2895 1 Cor. xii. 13.

[6615]2896 Commentary on Luke, lib. ii. ch. 3, p. 84.

[6616]2897 Ch. 15.

[6617]2898 Ch. 39.

[6618]2899 Rom. iii. 19, 20.

[6619]2900 lsa. i. 2.

[6620]2901 1 John iii. 9.

[6621]2902 1 John i. 10.

[6622]2903 Ps. civ. 27, etc.

[6623]2904 Ps. xiv. 1.

[6624]2905 Matt. xix. 28.

[6625]2906 Phil. iii. 12.

[6626]2907 Phil. iii. 15.

[6627]2908 1 Cor. xiii. 10.

[6628]2909 Eph. iv. 13.

[6629]2910 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

[6630]2911 Rom. vii. 24.

[6631]2912 Work cited, chs. 9, 49

[6632]2913 See above, ch. 20.

[6633]2914 Adrumetum, a maritime city of Africa, was the metropolis of the Province of Byzacium, as Procopius informs us, De Aedificiis Justiniani VI. It was in a monastery here that the monks resided for whose instruction Augustin composed the two following treatises, a the former entitled De Grati $\tilde{A}\phi$  et Libero Arbitrio, and the latter De Correptione et Grati $\tilde{A}\phi$ , in the year of Christ 426 or 427. In our opinion, no later date can be well assigned to these writings, inasmuch as they are mentioned in The Retractations, which was published about the year 427; nor can they be placed earlier in date, because they are in that work mentioned the very last.

[6634]2915 See the Second Letter, ch. 2.

[6635]2916 See Matt. xvi. 17, and Rom. ii. 6.

[6636]2917 See Matt. xvi. 17, and Rom. ii. 6.

[6637]2918 Eph. ii. 10.

[6638]2919 John iii. 17.

[6639]2920 Rom. iii. 6.

[6640]2921 John xv. 5.

[6641]2922 Ep. 194.

[6642]2923 1 Cor. iii. 21.

[6643]2924 1 Cor. i. 31, and 2 Cor. x. 17.

[6644]2925 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6645]2926 Rom. ix. 21.

[6646]2927 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6647]2928 Jas. i. 17.

[6648]2929 Rom. iii. 6.

[6649]2930 Ps. lix. 10.

[6650]2931 Rom. iii. 8.

[6651]2932 2 Pet. iii. 14â16.

[6652]2933 Ps. xciv. 8.

[6653]2934 Ps. cxix. 73.

[6654]2935 Luke xxiv. 45.

[6655]2936 Jas. i. 5.

[6656]2937 The phrase of Christian salutation, vestra caritas which may be rendered âyour loving or beloved selves;â it is a parallel phrase with the more familiar one to modern ears, âYour Honour.â

[6657]2938 Rom. ii. 8, 9.

[6658]2939 The following treatise is here referred to, aOn Grace and Free Will.

[6659]2940 See Epp. 175â177, and 181â183.

[6660]2941 Ep. 194.

[6661]2942 Rom. xii. 3.

[6662]2943 Prov. iv. 26, 27.

[6663]2944 Ps. i. 6.

[6664]2945 Matt. vii. 23.

[6665]2946 2 Cor. v. 21.

[6666]2947 Eph. ii. 10.

[6667]2948 Rom. iii. 8.

[6668]2949 Rom. vi. 1, 2.

[6669]2950 A form of address, like âyour Honour.â

[6670]2951 Phil. iii. 12.

[6671]2952 Phil. iii. 15.

[6672]2953 Phil. iii. 16.

[6673]2954 John xv. 22.

[6674]2955 Rom. i. 18â20.

[6675]2956 Jas. i. 13â15.

[6676]2957 Prov. xix. 3.

[6677]2958 Ecclus. xv. 11â17.

[6678]2959 Ps. i. 2.

[6679]2960 Rom. xii. 1.

[6680]2961 Ps. xxxii. 9.

[6681]2962 Prov. i. 8.

[6682]2963 Prov. iii. 7.

[6683]2964 Prov. iii. 11.

[6684]2965 Prov. iii. 1.

[6685]2966 Prov. iii. 27.

[6686]2967 Prov. iii. 29.

[6687]2968 Prov. v. 2.

[6688]2969 Ps. xxxvi. 3.

[6689]2970 Prov. i. 30.

[6690]2971 Matt. vi. 19.

[6691]2972 Matt. x. 28.

[6692]2973 Matt. xvi. 24.

[6693]2974 Luke ii. 14.

[6694]2975 1 Cor. vii. 36, 37.

[6695]2976 1 Cor. ix. 17.

[6696]2977 1 Cor. xv. 34.

[6697]2978 2 Cor. viii. 11.

[6698]2979 2 Tim. iii. 12.

[6699]2980 1 Tim. iv. 14.

[6700]2981 Philemon 14.

[6701]2982 Eph. vi. 7.

[6702]2983 Jas. i. 16, and ii. 1.

[6703]2984 Jas. iv. 11.

[6704]2985 1 John ii. 15.

[6705]2986 Matt. xvi. 27.

[6706]2987 Rom. ii. 12.

- [6707]2988 Luke xii. 47, 48.
- [6708]2989 Ps. xxxvi. 3.

[6709]2990 Ps. lxix. 6.

[6710]2991 2 Thess. i. 7, 8.

[6711]2992 Ps. xxxii. 9.

[6712]2993 Prov. xxix. 19.

[6713]2994 Rom. xii. 21.

[6714]2995 Jer. xvii. 5.

[6715]2996 Ps. xxvii. 9.

[6716]2997 Matt. xix. 10.

[6717]2998 1 Tim. v. 22.

[6718]2999 1 Cor. vii. 37.

[6719]3000 1 Cor. vii. 36.

[6720]3001 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[6721]3002 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[6722]3003 Wisd. viii. 21.

[6723]3004 Jas. i. 14.

[6724]3005 Rom. xii. 21.

[6725]3006 1 Cor. xv. 56.

[6726]3007 1 Cor. xv. 57.

[6727]3008 Matt. xxvi. 41.

[6728]3009 Prov. iii. 11.

[6729]3010 Luke xxii. 32.

[6730]3011 Zech. i. 3.

[6731]3012 See On the Proceedings of Pelagius, above, ch. xiv. (30â37).

[6732]3013 Ps. lxxx. 7.

[6733]3014 Ps. lxxxv. 6.

[6734]3015 Ps. lxxxv. 4.

[6735]3016 John vi. 65.

[6736]3017 2 Chron. xv. 2.

[6737]3018 1 Chron. xxviii. 9.

[6738]3019 Rom. iv. 4.

[6739]3020 1 Cor. xv. 9.

[6740]3021 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[6741]3022 2 Cor. vi. 1.

[6742]3023 1 Cor. xv. 10.

[6743]3024 2 Tim. i. 8, 9.

[6744]3025 Titus iii. 3.

[6745]3026 Titus iii. 4â7.

[6746]3027 Ps. xxvii. 9.

[6747]3028 Ps. xxx. 6.

[6748]3029 Ps. xxx. 7.

[6749]3030 Cant. viii. 5.

[6750]3031 lsa. i. 18.

[6751]3032 John xv. 5.

[6752]3033 2 Tim. iv. 6, 7.

[6753]3034 2 Tim. iv. 8.

[6754]3035 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6755]3036 Jas. i. 17.

[6756]3037 John iii. 27.

[6757]3038 See Ps. Ixviii. 18, and Eph. iv. 8.

[6758]3039 2 Tim. iv. 7.

[6759]3040 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[6760]3041 Deut. viii. 17.

[6761]3042 1 Cor. xv. 57.

[6762]3043 Ps. xliv. 22.

[6763]3044 Rom. viii. 37.

[6764]3045 Rom. ix. 16.

[6765]3046 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[6766]3047 Eph. ii. 8.

[6767]3048 Eph. ii. 9.

[6768]3049 Rom. ii. 6.

[6769]3050 Habak. ii. 4.

[6770]3051 Rom. iii. 28.

[6771]3052 Gal. v. 6.

[6772]3053 Jas. ii. 19.

[6773]3054 Rom. vi. 23.

[6774]3055 Matt. xvi. 27.

[6775]3056 Rom. iv. 4.

[6776]3057 Rom. xi. 5, 6.

[6777]3058 Rom. vi. 23.

[6778]3059 John xv. 5.

[6779]3060 Eph. ii. 8, 9.

[6780]3061 Eph. ii. 10.

[6781]3062 Ps. li. 12.

[6782]3063 2 Cor. v. 17, 18.

[6783]3064 Matt. xvi. 27; Ps. lxii. 12; Rev. xxii. 12.

[6784]3065 John i. 16.

[6785]3066 Rom. xii. 3.

[6786]3067 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[6787]3068 Rom. vi. 23.

[6788]3069 Ps. ciii. 4.

[6789]3070 Phil. ii. 13.

[6790]3071 Phil. ii. 12.

[6791]3072 Rom. iii. 20.

[6792]3073 Rom. iv. 15.

[6793]3074 Rom. iv. 15.

[6794]3075 Rom. vii. 6.

[6795]3076 Rom. vii. 6, 7.

[6796]3077 Rom. iii. 20.

[6797]3078 Ex. xx. 17.

[6798]3079 Ut fiat supra modum peccator, aut peccatum, etc. [This odd reading probably arose from mistaking the Greek article à for the disjunctive particle Ã. It occurs frequently in Augustin.âW.]

[6799]3080 Rom. vii. 7â13.

[6800]3081 Gal. ii. 16.

[6801]3082 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[6802]3083 Rom. viii. 12â13.

[6803]3084 Rom. viii. 14.

[6804]3085 Rom. x. 3.

[6805]3086 Phil. iii. 8, 9.

[6806]3087 Rom. vi. 14.

[6807]3088 Gal. v. 4.

[6808]3089 2 Thess. iii. 2.

[6809]3090 Gal. ii. 21.

[6810]3091 Matt. v. 17.

[6811]3092 Matt. xviii. 11; Luke xix. 10.

[6812]3093 Matt. vi. 12, 13.

[6813]3094 Caritatem vestram, a phrase of the same sort as our common address, âyour Honour.â

[6814]3095 See above, ch. vii. (16, 17, 18).

[6815]3096 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[6816]3097 Rom. xii. 3.

[6817]3098 Eph. ii. 8.

[6818]3099 Eph. vi. 23.

[6819]3100 Phil. i. 29.

[6820]3101 2 Cor. iv. 13.

[6821]3102 Rom. x. 14.

[6822]3103 Ps. xcv. 7, 8.

[6823]3104 Ezek. xi. 19.

[6824]3105 2 Cor. iii. 2, 3.

[6825]3106 [That is, âcarnally,â the Latin phrase in 2 Cor. iii. 3 being capable alike of the literal and metaphorical sense of âfleshly.ââW.]

[6826]3107 Ezek. xi. 19, 20.

[6827]3108 In several editions and mss. there is inserted here the phrase anot for your sakes.a

[6828]3109 Ezek. xxxvi. 22â27.

[6829]3110 Matt. vi. 9. [The word-play is significant in the Latin: âHe says that he sanctifies (sanctificare) His name which He had already declared to be Holy (sanctum).â This is, therefore, what we pray for in the Lordâs Prayer when we say, âHallowed (sanctificatur) be thy name,â etc.âW.]

[6830]3111 Ps. xcv. 8.

[6831]3112 Ezek. xviii. 31, 32.

[6832]3113 Ps. lxxx. 3.

[6833]3114 Ezek. xxxvi. 26.

[6834]3115 Ecclus. xv. 15.

[6835]3116 Ecclus. xxii. 27.

[6836]3117 Ps. xxxiv. 13.

[6837]3118 Ps. cxli. 3.

[6838]3119 Ecclus. xxiii. 4.

[6839]3120 Ex. xx. 17.

[6840]3121 Ecclus. xxiii. 5.

[6841]3122 Ecclus. xxiii. 6.

[6842]3123 Prov. viii. 35.

[6843]3124 Ps. xxxvii. 23.

[6844]3125 Phil. ii. 13.

[6845]3126 Ezek. xxxvi. 27.

[6846]3127 Ezek. xi. 19, and xxxvi. 26.

[6847]3128 Ezek. xxxvi. 26.

[6848]3129 Ps. cxli. 3.

[6849]3130 Ps. xxxix. 1.

[6850]3131 John xv. 13.

[6851]3132 Lev. xix. 18.

[6852]3133 Rom. xiii. 8â10.

[6853]3134 Matt. xxvi. 69â75.

[6854]3135 1 John iv. 18.

[6855]3136 John xiii. 37.

[6856]3137 Compare Art. X. of the Church of England.

[6857]3138 Phil. i. 6.

[6858]3139 Phil. ii. 13.

[6859]3140 Rom. viii. 28. The Latin indefinite passive co-operatur invited this turn in the usage of the passage.

[6860]3141 Matt. xi. 30.

[6861]3142 Rom. viii. 35â39.

[6862]3143 1 Cor. xii. 31, xiii. 8.

[6863]3144 1 Cor. xiii. 13, and xiv. 1.

[6864]3145 Gal. v. 13, 14, and Lev. xix. 18.

[6865]3146 Rom. xiii. 8.

[6866]3147 Col. iii. 14.

[6867]3148 1 Tim. i. 5.

[6868]3149 1 Cor. xvi. 14.

[6869]3150 1 Thess. v. 14, 15.

[6870]3151 1 Pet. iv. 8.

[6871]3152 Jas. ii. 8.

[6872]3153 1 John ii. 10.

[6873]3154 1 John iii. 10, 11.

[6874]3155 1 John iii. 23.

[6875]3156 1 John iv. 21.

[6876]3157 1 John v. 2, 3.

[6877]3158 2 John ver. 5.

[6878]3159 Deut. vi. 4, 5.

[6879]3160 Lev. xix. 18.

[6880]3161 Mark xii. 28â31.

[6881]3162 John xiii. 34, 35.

[6882]3163 [âLove or charity,â the disjunctive being intended to identify, not distinguish, the two. The word amor is distinguishable from the pair (dilectio and charitas) here used, though even this must not be pressed too far. See Augustinâs City of God, xiv. 7.âW.]

[6883]3164 1 John iv. 7.

[6884]3165 1 John iv. 7.

[6885]3166 Prov. iii. 16.

[6886]3167 Ps. lxxxiv. 6.

[6887]3168 1 John iv. 19.

[6888]3169 John xv. 16.

[6889]3170 1 Thess. iii. 12.

[6890]3171 2 Thess. i. 3.

[6891]3172 2 Tim. i. 7.

[6892]3173 Isa. xi. 2.

[6893]3174 Luke xii. 5.

[6894]3175 Luke xii. 4.

[6895]3176 Rom. v. 3, 4, 5.

[6896]3177 Eph. vi. 23.

[6897]3178 John i. 5.

[6898]3179 John i. 5.

[6899]3180 1 John iii. 1.

[6900]3181 1 Cor. ii. 12.

[6901]3182 1 John iv. 16.

[6902]3183 1 Cor. viii. 1.

[6903]3184 Eph. iii. 19.

[6904]3185 Prov. ii. 6.

[6905]3186 1 John iv. 7.

[6906]3187 Isa. xi. 2.

[6907]3188 2 Tim. i. 7.

[6908]3189 1 Cor. xiii. 4.

[6909]3190 Rom. ix. 22.

[6910]3191 See Ex. vii. 3, and x. 1.

[6911]3192 See Josh. vii. 4, 12.

[6912]3193 Josh. xi. 20.

[6913]3194 2 Sam. xvi. 9, 10.

[6914]3195 2 Sam. xvi. 11, 12.

[6915]3196 2 Sam. xvii. 14.

[6916]3197 1 Kings xii. 8â14.

[6917]3198 1 Kings xii. 15.

[6918]3199 2 Chron. xxi. 16, 17.

[6919]3200 2 Chron. xxv. 7, 8.

[6920]3201 Ps. cxxxv. 6.

[6921]3202 2 Kings xiv. 10.

[6922]3203 2 Chron. xxv. 20.

[6923]3204 Ezek. xiv. 9.

[6924]3205 Esther v. (according to the Sept.).

[6925]3206 Prov. xxi. 1.

[6926]3207 Ps. cv. 25.

[6927]3208 Rom. i. 24.

[6928]3209 Rom. i. 26.

[6929]3210 Rom. i. 28.

[6930]3211 2 Thess. ii. 10â12.

[6931]3212 Prov. xix. 3.

[6932]3213 Rom. xi. 6.

[6933]3214 Latin, gratis.

[6934]3215 Rom. xi. 30â32.

[6935]3216 Rom. xi. 33.

[6936]3217 Rom. v. 20.

[6937]3218 Ps. ci. 1.

[6938]3219 John iii. 17.

[6939]3220 John ix. 39.

[6940]3221 Wisd. iv. 11.

[6941]3222 Phil iii. 16.

[6942]3223 Phil. iii. 15.

[6943]3224 Matt. x. 26.

[6944]3225 Ezek. xiv. 9.

[6945]3226 Rom. ix. 18.

[6946]3227 1 Pet. iii. 9.

[6947]3228 See Ex. iv. 21, vii. 3, xiv. 4.

[6948]3229 Ex. viii. 32.

[6949]3230 Matt. xvi. 27.

[6950]3231 Jas. i. 5.

[6951]3232 Jas. i. 17, and iii. 17.

[6952]3233 Jas. iii. 14â17.

[6953]3234 Titus ii. 12.

[6954]3235 Valentine, to wit, and the monks with him who inhabited the convent at Adrumetum. See above, at the beginning of the preceding treatise, On Grace and Free Will.

[6955]3236 Or according to some mss., aprogress.a

[6956]3237 Treatise on Grace and Free Will, see above.

[6957]3238 Or, âmost clearly.â

[6958]3239 Ps. xxxvii. 27.

[6959]3240 2 Cor. iii. 6.

[6960]3241 Ps. xxxvii. 23.

[6961]3242 Ps. lxxvi. 10.

[6962]3243 John viii. 36.

[6963]3244 John xv. 5.

[6964]3245 Ps. xxvii. 9.

[6965]3246 2 Cor. xiii. 7.

[6966]3247 2 Cor. xiii. 7.

[6967]3248 In aperto.

[6968]3249 1 Cor. iii. 7.

[6969]3250 Phil. ii. 13.

[6970]3251 Rom. viii. 14.

[6971]3252 Some mss. have âhis land.â

[6972]3253 Ps. lxxxv. 12.

[6973]3254 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6974]3255 1 Cor. xvi. 14.

[6975]3256 1 Cor. vi. 7 et seq.

[6976]3257 1 Thess. iii. 12.

[6977]3258 i.e. the objecting Pelagian.

[6978]3259 So the mss.; the older editors read fiant, that is, âmay be observed.â

[6979]3260 Prov. xvi. 1.

[6980]3261 Or, âmore earnest desire for prayer.â

[6981]3262 Luke xxii. 61.

[6982]3263 2 Tim. ii. 25.

[6983]3264 Gal. i. 11.

[6984]3265 2 Cor. iv. 7.

[6985]3266 1 John iv. 7.

[6986]3267 Eccles. vii. 30.

[6987]3268 Rom. ix. 14.

[6988]3269 Rom. iii. 23.

[6989]3270 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[6990]3271 Jas. i. 17.

[6991]3272 2 Tim. ii. 25.

[6992]3273 2 Tim. ii. 25.

[6993]3274 Luke xxii. 32.

[6994]3275 2 Cor. xiii. 7.

[6995]3276 [The editors have without reason inserted a ânotâ before âoughtâ in this sentence, yielding the sense: âwho forsakes good, even that from which he ought not to turn away;â Erasmus changes the place of âand,â reading: âwho forsakes good from which he ought not to turn aside, and is inclined to evil.â The ms. text is entirely satisfactory.âW.]

[6996]3277 Many mss. read acommunication.a

[6997]3278 Phil. i. 3, et seq.

[6998]3279 Col. iv. 12.

[6999]3280 John viii. 24.

[7000]3281 Jude 24.

[7001]3282 Acts xiii. 48.

[7002]3283 Matt. x. 22.

[7003]3284 Matt. vi. 9.

[7004]3285 Nearly all mss.: âeven as I am holy.â

[7005]3286 Cyprian, Treatise on the Lordâs Prayer, ch. 12; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 450.

[7006]3287 Rom. x. 17.

[7007]3288 John vi. 65.

[7008]3289 1 Cor iv. 7.

[7009]3290 Cyprian, Testimonies, Book iii. ch. 4; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. pp. 528 and 533.

[7010]3291 Rom. xi. 6.

- [7011]3292 Matt. xx. 16.
- [7012]3293 Rom. viii. 28 ff.
- [7013]3294 Rom. ix. 11.
- [7014]3295 2 Tim. i. 9.
- [7015]3296 Rom. viii. 29.
- [7016]3297 Matt. xx. 16.
- [7017]3298 John vi. 70.
- [7018]3299 Rom. viii. 31 ff.
- [7019]3300 Rom. viii. 35 ff.
- [7020]3301 2 Tim. ii. 19.
- [7021]3302 Rom. ix. 20.
- [7022]3303 Rom. xi. 33.
- [7023]3304 Luke xxii. 32.
- [7024]3305 Prov. viii. 35.
- [7025]3306 Rom. xi. 33.
- [7026]3307 Wisd. iv. 11.
- [7027]3308 Rom. xi. 33.
- [7028]3309 Wisd. iv. 11.
- [7029]3310 Rom. ix. 14.
- [7030]3311 Rom. ii. 11.
- [7031]3312 Job vii. 1.
- [7032]3313 John xi. 51, 52.
- [7033]3314 1 John ii. 19.
- [7034]3315 Rom. viii. 29.
- [7035]3316 John xvii. 12.
- [7036]3317 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[7037]3318 Matt. xx. 16.

[7038]3319 John viii. 31.

[7039]3320 John vi. 59 ff.

[7040]3321 John viii. 31.

[7041]3322 Rom. viii. 28.

[7042]3323 Rom. viii. 29.

[7043]3324 Col. iii. 4.

[7044]3325 lsa. xlv. 11.

[7045]3326 John vi. 37.

[7046]3327 John vi. 39.

[7047]3328 Or, âlife.â

[7048]3329 Ps. xxx. 6.

[7049]3330 Ps. ii. 11.

[7050]3331 Rom. xi. 20.

[7051]3332 Rom. xii. 16.

[7052]3333 Phil. ii. 12, 13.

[7053]3334 Phil. ii. 13.

[7054]3335 Ps. xxx. 6.

[7055]3336 Ps. xxx. 7.

[7056]3337 John xiii. 37.

[7057]3338 Luke xxii. 61.

[7058]3339 Rom. ix. 22, 23.

[7059]3340 Wisd. vii. 16.

[7060]3341 âEamque [scil. veritatem] de suo casu nunquam futuro certissimam scire.â

[7061]3342 Matt. xxii. 30.

[7062]3343 Gratiââgratis.

[7063]3344 Gratiââgratis.

[7064]3345 Rom. iii. 19.

[7065]3346 Jer. ix. 24.

[7066]3347 Matt. vi. 13.

[7067]3348 Rom. vii. 23.

[7068]3349 Rom viii. 31, 32.

[7069]3350 John i. 14.

[7070]3351 Luke i. 35.

[7071]3352 Some editions have, instead of âand not being made,â etc., âlest being made of evil he should not always be good.â

[7072]3353 Some mss. read, âof no avail.â

[7073]3354 There are other readings of this passage, but coming to the same substantial result.

[7074]3355 Some mss. read, âa free will.â

[7075]3356 John xv. 5.

[7076]3357 John xv. 16.

[7077]3358 Rom. xi. 29.

[7078]3359 The original is in tanti peccandi facilitate. Of course, non must be inserted, but the translator ventures to conjecture facultate instead of facilitate.

[7079]3360 John viii. 36.

[7080]3361 Matt. vi. 12.

[7081]3362 1 John v. 16.

[7082]3363 Rom. vi. 18.

[7083]3364 Rom. iv. 3, and 20, 21.

[7084]3365 Rom. iv. 3, and 20, 21.

[7085]3366 Rom. iv. 19.

[7086]3367 Rom. xiv. 4, etc.

[7087]3368 Ps. cxlv. 8.

[7088]3369 1 Cor. i. 29.

[7089]3370 Ps. iii. 3.

[7090]3371 1 Cor. i. 31.

[7091]3372 1 Cor. i. 30.

[7092]3373 2 Cor. xii. 9.

[7093]3374 âlnsuperabiliter,â the reading of the best mss. Some editions read âinseparabiliter,â in a dogmatic interest.

[7094]3375 Luke xxii. 32.

[7095]3376 Matt. iii. 8, 9.

[7096]3377 Rev. iii. 11.

[7097]3378 Rom. xi. 20.

[7098]3379 2 Cor. xii. 7.

[7099]3380 John xv. 7.

[7100]3381 lsa. i. 19.

[7101]3382 Ps. ciii. 4.

[7102]3383 Jas. ii. 13.

[7103]3384 2 Macc. vii. 29.

[7104]3385 Prov. xx. 8.

[7105]3386 Ps. xxxii. 2.

[7106]3387 Col. i. 13.

[7107]3388 John i. 16.

[7108]3389 1 Tim. ii. 4.

[7109]3390 Enchirid, c. 103; City of God, xxii. 1, 2. Against Julian, iv. 8.

[7110]3391 Luke xi. 42.

[7111]3392 1 Cor. x. 33.

[7112]3393 Ps. cxxxv. 6.

[7113]3394 Isa. xlv. 11.

[7114]3395 1 Sam. x. 25 ff.

[7115]3396 1 Chron. xi. 9.

[7116]3397 1 Chron. xii. 18.

[7117]3398 1 Chron. xii. 38.

[7118]3399 Query, Excommunication?

[7119]3400 Rom. v. 1.

[7120]3401 2 Cor. v. 20.

[7121]3402 Luke x. 5, 6.

[7122]3403 Isa. lii. 7.

[7123]3404 Gal. iv. 6.

[7124]3405 Rom. viii. 15.

[7125]3406 1 Cor. xv. 33.

[7126]3407 1 Cor. viii. 11.

[7127]3408 Ezek. iii. 18.

[7128]3409 1 Thess. v. 14.

[7129]3410 1 Tim. v. 20.

[7130]3411 Matt. xviii. 15.

[7131]3412 Matt. xviii. 17.

[7132]3413 This Treatise is the first portion of a work, of which the following, On the Gift of Perseverance, is the second.

[7133]3414 Phil. iii. 1.

[7134]3415 Gal. vi. 17.

[7135]3416 [The party which Augustin is here opposing had its chief centre in Marseilles, and hence is called âMassilians.â Prosper in his letter called them reliquiæ Pelagianorum, i.e., âthe remnants of the Pelagians.â They are now most commonly called âSemi-Pelagians.ââW.]

[7136]3417 Virg. Ãneid, xi. 309.

[7137]3418 Jer. xvii. 5.

[7138]3419 Phil. iii. 15.

[7139]3420 Phil. iii. 16.

[7140]3421 Some mss. read aperta, scil. âplain.â

[7141]3422 On the Proceedings of Peliagus, ch. 30.

[7142]3423 Rom. xi. 35.

[7143]3424 Phil. i. 29.

[7144]3425 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[7145]3426 The Acts of the Apostles were read during Easter.

[7146]3427 Ps. lxxxv. 6.

[7147]3428 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[7148]3429 Rom. iv. 20.

[7149]3430 Rom. xii. 3.

[7150]3431 Eph. vi. 23.

[7151]3432 Cyprian, Testimonies to Quirinus, Book iii. ch. 4; The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 528.

[7152]3433 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[7153]3434 Hilaryâs Letter, No. 226 in the collection of Augustinâs Letters.

[7154]3435 Mal. i. 2, 3. Cf. Rom. ix. 13.

[7155]3436 Rom. xi. 5.

[7156]3437 1 Cor. xii. 6.

[7157]3438 Eph. vi. 23.

[7158]3439 1 Cor. vii. 25.

[7159]3440 Retractations, Book i. ch. 23, Nos. 3, 4.

[7160]3441 Rom. vii. 7.

[7161]3442 Rom. vii. 24.

[7162]3443 Rom. vii. 14.

[7163]3444 Rom. ix. 10.

[7164]3445 Rom. ix. 29.

[7165]3446 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[7166]3447 Cypr. Test. Book iii. ch. 4; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, p 528. Augustinâs Retractations, II. i. 1.

[7167]3448 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[7168]3449 See Epistle of Hilary (Augustinâs Epistles, 226).

[7169]3450 1 Cor. i. 12.

[7170]3451 1 Cor. i. 27.

[7171]3452 1 Cor. i. 30.

[7172]3453 1 Cor. iii. 3 ff.

[7173]3454 1 Cor. iii. 21.

[7174]3455 1 Cor. iv. 6.

[7175]3456 2 Thess. iii. 2.

[7176]3457 Thence says Bernard, in his treatise On Grace and Free Will, ch. i.: âGod is the author of salvation. Free will is only capable of it.â Comp. On the Calling of the Gentiles, Book ii. ch. 2, and Fulgentius, On the Incarnation and Grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, chs. 22, 23, and 24.

[7177]3458 Rom. xi. 7.

[7178]3459 According to the Vatican mss. is read, âThe former who willed,â and below, âThe latter who willed not.â

[7179]3460 Rom. xi. 5.

[7180]3461 Ps. xxv. 10.

[7181]3462 John vi. 28.

[7182]3463 Eph. ii. 8.

[7183]3464 Acts x.

[7184]3465 Ps. cxxvii. 1.

[7185]3466 John vi. 36.

[7186]3467 Or, âdocile towards God.â

[7187]3468 John vi. 43 ff.

[7188]3469 2 Cor. iv. 13.

[7189]3470 Rom. ix. 18.

[7190]3471 Rom. ix. 18, ff.

[7191]3472 John vi. 45.

[7192]3473 Rom. ix. 22.

[7193]3474 1 Cor. i. 18.

[7194]3475 Ps. lxxx. 7.

[7195]3476 Cypr. Treatise on the Lordâs Prayer.

[7196]3477 Rom. x. 1.

[7197]3478 John vi. 44.

[7198]3479 John vi. 60 ff.

[7199]3480 John vi. 63 ff.

[7200]3481 Rom. xi. 33.

[7201]3482 Rom. ix. 20.

[7202]3483 Augustinâs Epistles, 102, chs. 14, 15.

[7203]3484 Eph. ii. 9, 10.

[7204]3485 lsa. xlv. 11.

[7205]3486 Rom. i. 28.

[7206]3487 Gen. xvii. 5.

[7207]3488 Rom. iv. 16.

[7208]3489 Rom. iv. 21.

[7209]3490 Hab. ii. 4.

[7210]3491 Rom. xiv. 23.

[7211]3492 Heb. xi. 6.

[7212]3493 Rom. iv. 16.

[7213]3494 1 Cor. x. 12.

[7214]3495 See Hilaryâs Letter in Augustinâs Letters, 226, ch. 2.

[7215]3496 Rom. viii. 13.

[7216]3497 Rom. viii. 14.

[7217]3498 1 Cor. xii. 11.

[7218]3499 Ezek. xxxvi. 27.

[7219]3500 See Prosperâs Letter in Augustinâs Letters, 225, ch. 5.

[7220]3501 2 Cor. v. 10.

[7221]3502 Ps. xiv. 1.

[7222]3503 Prænosci possit, nec possit ignosci.

[7223]3504 Cyprian, Works in The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 469.

[7224]3505 Wisd. iv. 11.

[7225]3506 Job vii. 1.

[7226]3507 Cyprian, On the Mortality, as above.

[7227]3508 Cyprian, On the Mortality, as above.

[7228]3509 Cyprian, On the Mortality, as above.

[7229]3510 Rom. ix. 10.

[7230]3511 Rom. i. 1 ff.

[7231]3512 Heb. xii. 2.

[7232]3513 1 Cor. i. 24.

[7233]3514 Rom. ix. 12.

[7234]3515 Rom. xi. 29.

[7235]3516 Rom. xi. 25 ff.

[7236]3517 Rom. xi. 28.

[7237]3518 Acts iv. 24 ff.

[7238]3519 Rom. xi. 7.

[7239]3520 Gal. iii. 16.

[7240]3521 Rom. xi. 5.

[7241]3522 John vi. 45.

[7242]3523 John vi. 39.

[7243]3524 John ii. 19.

[7244]3525 John xv. 16.

[7245]3526 Eph. i. 4.

[7246]3527 Jas. ii. 5.

[7247]3528 John xvi. 16.

[7248]3529 Eph. i. 3 ff.

[7249]3530 Eph. i. 3.

[7250]3531 Phil. ii. 13.

[7251]3532 Rom. xi. 29.

[7252]3533 Eph. i. 11.

[7253]3534 Rom. xi. 29.

[7254]3535 Rom. ix. 12.

[7255]3536 John xv. 16.

[7256]3537 Eph. i. 13 ff.

[7257]3538 Gal. vi. 7.

[7258]3539 1 Thess. ii. 13.

[7259]3540 Col. iv. 2 ff.

[7260]3541 1 Cor. xvi. 8.

[7261]3542 John vi. 66.

[7262]3543 Luke viii. 10.

[7263]3544 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13.

[7264]3545 2 Cor. ii. 14 ff.

[7265]3546 2 Cor. ii. 16.

[7266]3547 Col. iv. 3.

[7267]3548 Acts xvi. 14.

[7268]3549 Hilaryâs Letter in Augustinâs Letters, 226, sec. 7.

[7269]3550 Ps. cxix. 36.

[7270]3551 Ps. xxxvii. 23.

[7271]3552 Prov. viii. [see LXX.].

[7272]3553 1 Kings viii. 57.

[7273]3554 Baruch ii. 31.

[7274]3555 Ezek. xi. 19.

[7275]3556 Ezek. xxxvi. 27.

[7276]3557 Prov. xx. 24.

[7277]3558 Prov. xxi. 2.

[7278]3559 Acts xiii. 48.

[7279]3560 [In some editions and in many mss. the title is, On the Benefit of Perseverance, and the book is so cited by Remigius, Florus (or Bede), Hincmar, and others. Probably neither title is authentic. Prosper speaks of it to Hilary as if it simply bore the name of the second book of the Predestination of the Saints. âIn the books,â he writes, âof Bishop Augustin, of blessed memory, which bear the title, On the Predestination of the Saints.ââW.]

[7280]3561 Matt. x. 22.

[7281]3562 Phil. ii. 29.

[7282]3563 1 Pet. iii. 17.

[7283]3564 Jer. xxxii. 40.

[7284]3565 On the Predestination of the Saints, above, ch. 39.

[7285]3566 Gal. vi. 6.

[7286]3567 Some editions read ârecalled.â

[7287]3568 Cyprian, On the Lordâs Prayer; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, vol. v. p. 450.

[7288]3569 Cyprian, On the Lordâs Prayer, as above.

[7289]3570 Matt. vi. 11.

[7290]3571 Cyprian, On the Lord âs Prayer, as above.

[7291]3572 Matt. vi. 12.

[7292]3573 Eph. v. 27.

[7293]3574 âPotensâ or âponensâ are different readings.

[7294]3575 1 John i. 8.

[7295]3576 Cyprian, as above.

[7296]3577 Matt. vi. 13.

[7297]3578 Hilaryâs Letter in Augustinâs Letters, 226, ch. 3.

[7298]3579 Ps. lxxxiv. 6.

[7299]3580 Ps. lxvi. 9.

[7300]3581 Ps. cxl. 8.

[7301]3582 Matt. vi. 13.

[7302]3583 Jas. i. 14.

[7303]3584 Jas. i. 13.

[7304]3585 Ps. xxvi. 2.

[7305]3586 1 Thess. iii. 5.

[7306]3587 Cyprian, On the Lord âs Prayer, as above.

[7307]3588 Eph. i. 11.

[7308]3589 Ps. lxxx. 17, 18.

[7309]3590 Jer. xxxii. 40.

[7310]3591 Eph. i. 4â11.

[7311]3592 Rom. ix. 20.

[7312]3593 Matt. xx. 14, etc.

[7313]3594 Rom. ix. 20.

[7314]3595 1 John ii. 19.

[7315]3596 1 Cor. x. 12.

[7316]3597 Rom. xiv. 4.

[7317]3598 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[7318]3599 Ps. cxix. 36.

[7319]3600 Ambrose, On Flight from the World, ch. 1.

[7320]3601 John i. 12.

[7321]3602 Gal. v. 6.

[7322]3603 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[7323]3604 1 John ii. 19.

[7324]3605 Isa. lvii. 16 [see LXX.]

[7325]3606 Epistle 102, question 2; see the first volume of this series, p. 418.

[7326]3607 Luke x. 13.

[7327]3608 Matt. xi. 22.

[7328]3609 Rom. ix. 16.

[7329]3610 Rom. ix. 14.

[7330]3611 Ps. xxv. 10.

[7331]3612 Ps. viii. 2.

[7332]3613 See the Letter of Hilary in Augustinâs Letters, 226, ch. 8.

[7333]3614 Retractations, Book i. ch. 9.

[7334]3615 Retractations, Book i. ch. 20.

[7335]3616 Col. i. 13.

[7336]3617 Ps. c. 1.

[7337]3618 Rom. ix. 23.

[7338]3619 See above, Against Two Letters of the Pelagians, Book ii. chs. 11, 12.

[7339]3620 Rom. v. 12.

[7340]3621 Rom. ix. 20.

[7341]3622 Rom. xi. 33.

[7342]3623 Ecclus. iii. 21.

[7343]3624 Matt. x. 29.

[7344]3625 Rom viii. 30.

[7345]3626 Rom. xi. 29.

[7346]3627 Job vii. 1.

[7347]3628 1 Cor. x. 12.

[7348]3629 Above, ch. xiv.

[7349]3630 Phil. ii. 12, 13.

[7350]3631 2 Cor. iii. 5.

[7351]3632 Ambrose, On Flight from the World, ch. 1.

[7352]3633 Ps. lxxxiv. 5 [LXX.]

[7353]3634 LXX .: âln his heart he has purposed to go up.â

[7354]3635 [An allusion to the Sursum Corda in the âPrefaceâ of the Communion service. For its history see Smith and Cheethamâs Dictionary of Christian Antiquities, p. 1693. Cyprian in his treatise on the Lordâs Prayer already mentions it. It still has a place in the liturgies of the Church of England and the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States.âW.]

[7355]3636 Col. iii. 1.

[7356]3637 In the Letters of Hilary and Prosper.

[7357]3638 Phil. ii. 13.

[7358]3639 Phil. i. 6.

[7359]3640 John xiv. 1.

[7360]3641 John vi. 66.

[7361]3642 John xviii. 9.

[7362]3643 Isa. liii. 1.

[7363]3644 Isa. vi. 10.

[7364]3645 John xii. 37 ff.

[7365]3646 Luke xviii. 1.

[7366]3647 Matt. xiii. 11.

[7367]3648 Ps. ci. 1.

[7368]3649 Cyprian, Testimonies, iii. 4; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. p. 528.

[7369]3650 Rom. xi. 29.

[7370]3651 John vi. 66.

[7371]3652 Matt. xiii. 11.

[7372]3653 Matt. xix. 11.

[7373]3654 1 Cor. vii. 7.

[7374]3655 1 Cor. iii. 10.

[7375]3656 1 Cor. iii. 5.

[7376]3657 Luke viii. 8.

[7377]3658 Baruch ii. 31.

[7378]3659 John xvi. 12.

[7379]3660 1 Cor. iii. 1.

[7380]3661 John i. 1.

[7381]3662 See above, On the Proceedings of Pelagius, ch. 30.

[7382]3663 Rom. xi. 24.

[7383]3664 Jas. i. 5.

[7384]3665 Prov. ii. 6.

[7385]3666 Wisd. viii. 21.

[7386]3667 1 Cor. iv. 7.

[7387]3668 Cyprian, Testimonies, iii. 4; see The Ante-Nicene Fathers, v. 528.

[7388]3669 Jas. iii. 17.

[7389]3670 Jas. iii. 17.

[7390]3671 Jas. iii. 14.

[7391]3672 Jas. i. 5.

[7392]3673 Eph. vi. 23.

[7393]3674 Matt. vi. 13.

[7394]3675 Jer. xvii. 5.

[7395]3676 Rom. xi. 2.

[7396]3677 Rom. x. 21 et seq.

[7397]3678 Rom. xi. 4 et seq.

[7398]3679 Rom. xi. 5.

[7399]3680 Rom. xi. 7.

[7400]3681 Cyprian, Testimonies, iii. 4, as above.

[7401]3682 Ambrose, On Flight from the World, ch. 1.

[7402]3683 Luke i. 3.

[7403]3684 Ambrose On Luke, in the exposition of the prologue.

[7404]3685 Luke ix. 53.

[7405]3686 Ambrose, On Luke, Book 7, ch. 27.

[7406]3687 Greg. of Nazianz. Orat. 44 in Pentecosten.

[7407]3688 In the Letters of Prosper and Hilary, printed among Augustinâs Letters, Nos. 225 and 226.

[7408]3689 Baruch ii. 31.

[7409]3690 The Epistle of Hilary in Augustinâs Letters, 226, ch. 8.

[7410]3691 Confessions, Book x. chs. 19, 31, and 37.

[7411]3692 Confessions, Book iii. chs. 11 and 12, Book ix. ch. 8.

[7412]3693 On Rebuke and Grace, ch. 10.

[7413]3694 Matt. vi. 9.

[7414]3695 Two books to Simplicianus.

[7415]3696 Letter to Paulinus, 168.

[7416]3697 Letter to Sixtus, 194.

[7417]3698 1 John iv. 7.

[7418]3699 1 Cor. ix. 24.

[7419]3700 Phil. i. 13.

[7420]3701 Ps. xxxvii. 23.

[7421]3702 Jas. i. 17.

[7422]3703 Jas. xvii. 5.

[7423]3704 Ps. cxviii. 8.

[7424]3705 Ps. ii. 12.

[7425]3706 Job vii. 1.

[7426]3707 Matt. vi. 13.

[7427]3708 Rom. iii. 26.

[7428]3709 Gal. iv. 6.

[7429]3710 Rom. viii. 15.

[7430]3711 Ambrose, Commentary on Isaiah.

[7431]3712 2 Tim. i. 8, etc.

[7432]3713 1 Cor. i. 31.

[7433]3714 On the Predestination of the Saints, Book i. ch. 30.

[7434]3715 John x. 30.

[7435]3716 John xiv. 28.

[7436]3717 Prov. ii. 6.

## References

1. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1 2. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2 3. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3 4. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf4 5. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf5 6. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf6 7. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf7 8. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf8 9. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf9 10. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf10 11. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf11 12. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf12 13. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf13 14. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf14 15. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf15 16. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf16 17. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf17 18. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf18 19. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf19 20. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf20 21. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf21 22. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf22 23. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf23 24. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf24 25. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf25 26. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf26 27. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf27 28. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf28 29. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf29 30. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf30 31. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf31 32. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf32 33. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf33 file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf34 35. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf35 36. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf36 37. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf37 38. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf38 39. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf39 40. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf40 41. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf41 file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf42 43. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf43 44. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf44 45. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf45 46. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf46 47. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf47 48. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf48 49. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf49 50. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnf50 51. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf51 52. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf52 53. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf53 54. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf54 55. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf55 56. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf56 57. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf57 58. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf58 59. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf59 60. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf60 61. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf61 62. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf62 63. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf63 64. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf64 65. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf65 66. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf66 67. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf67 68. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf68 69. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf69 70. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf70 71. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf71 72. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf72 73. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf73 74. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf74 75. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf75 76. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf76 77. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf77 78. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf78 79. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf79 80. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf80

81. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf81 82. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf82 83. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf83 84. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf84 85. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf85 86. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf86 87. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf87 88. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf88 89. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf89 90. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf90 91. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf91 92. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf92 93. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf93 94. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf94 95. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf95 96. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf96 97. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf97 98. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf98 99. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf99 100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf100 101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf101 102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf102 103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf103 104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf104 105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf105 106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf106 107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf107 108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf108 109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf109 110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf110 111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf111 112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf112 113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf113 114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf114 115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf115 116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf116 117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf117 118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf118 119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf119 120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf120 121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf121 122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf122 123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf123 124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf124 125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf125 126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf126 127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf127 128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf128 129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf129 130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf130 131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf131 132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf132 133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf133 134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf134

135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf135 136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf136 137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf137 138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf138 139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf139 140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf140 141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf141 142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf142 143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf143 144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf144 145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf145 146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf146 147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf147 148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf148 149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf149 150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf150 151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf151 152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf152 153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf153 154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf154 155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf155 156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf156 157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf157 158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf158 159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf159 160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf160 161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf161 162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf162 163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf163 164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf164 165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf165 166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf166 167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf167 168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf168 169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf169 170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf170 171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf171 172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf172 173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf173 174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf174 175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf175 176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf176 177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf177 178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf178 179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf179 180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf180 181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf181 182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf182 183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf183 184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf184 185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf185 186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf186 187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf187 188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf188

189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf189 190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf190 191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf191 192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf192 193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf193 194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf194 195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf195 196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf196 197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf197 198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf198 199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf199 200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf200 201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf201 202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf202 203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf203 204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf204 205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf205 206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf206 207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf207 208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf208 209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf209 210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf210 211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf211 212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf212 213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf213 214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf214 215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf215 216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf216 217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf217 218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf218 219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf219 220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf220 221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf221 222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf222 223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf223 224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf224 225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf225 226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf226 227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf227 228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf228 229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf229 230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf230 231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf231 232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf232 233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf233 234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf234 235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf235 236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf236 237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf237 238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf238 239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf239 240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf240 241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf241 242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf242

243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf243 244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf244 245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf245 246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf246 247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf247 248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf248 249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf249 250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf250 251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf251 252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf252 253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf253 254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf254 255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf255 256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf256 257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf257 258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf258 259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf259 260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf260 261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf261 262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf262 263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf263 264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf264 265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf265 266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf266 267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf267 268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf268 269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf269 270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf270 271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf271 272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf272 273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf273 274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf274 275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf275 276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf276 277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf277 278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf278 279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf279 280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf280 281, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf281 282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf282 283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf283 284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf284 285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf285 286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf286 287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf287 288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf288 289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf289 290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf290 291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf291 292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf292 293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf293 294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf294 295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf295 296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf296 297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf297 298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf298 299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf299 300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf300 301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf301 302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf302 303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf303 304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf304 305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf305 306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf306 307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf307 308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf308 309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf309 310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf310 311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf311 312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf312 313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf313 314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf314 315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf315 316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf316 317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf317 318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf318 319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf319 320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf320 321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf321 322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf322 323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf323 324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf324 325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf325 326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf326 327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf327 328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf328 329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf329 330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf330 331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf331 332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf332 333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf333 334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf334 335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf335 336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf336 337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf337 338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf338 339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf339 340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf340 341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf341 342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf342 343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf343 344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf344 345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf345 346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf346 347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf347 348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf348 349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf349 350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf350

351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf351 352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf352 353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf353 354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf354 355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf355 356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf356 357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf357 358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf358 359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf359 360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf360 361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf361 362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf362 363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf363 364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf364 365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf365 366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf366 367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf367 368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf368 369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf369 370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf370 371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf371 372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf372 373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf373 374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf374 375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf375 376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf376 377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf377 378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf378 379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf379 380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf380 381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf381 382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf382 383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf383 384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf384 385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf385 386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf386 387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf387 388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf388 389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf389 390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf390 391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnf391 392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf392 393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf393 394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf394 395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf395 396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf396 397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf397 398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf398 399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf399 400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf400 401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf401 402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf402 403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf403 404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf404 405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf405 406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf406 407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf407 408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf408 409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf409 410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf410 411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf411 412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf412 413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf413 414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf414 415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf415 416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf416 417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf417 418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf418 419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf419 420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf420 421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf421 422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf422 423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf423 424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf424 425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf425 426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf426 427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf427 428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf428 429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf429 430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf430 431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf431 432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf432 433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf433 434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf434 435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf435 436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf436 437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf437 438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf438 439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf439 440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf440 441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf441 442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf442 443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf443 444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf444 445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf445 446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf446 447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf447 448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf448 449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf449 450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf450 451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf451 452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf452 453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf453 454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf454 455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf455 456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf456 457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf457 458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf458 459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf459 460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf460 461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf461 462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf462 463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf463 464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf464 465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf465 466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf466 467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf467 468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf468 469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf469 470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf470 471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf471 472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf472 473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf473 474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf474 475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf475 476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf476 477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf477 478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf478 479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf479 480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf480 481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf481 482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf482 483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf483 484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf484 485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf485 486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf486 487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf487 488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf488 489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf489 490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf490 491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf491 492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf492 493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf493 494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf494 495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf495 496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf496 497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf497 498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf498 499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnf499 500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf500 501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf501 502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf502 503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf503 504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf504 505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf505 506, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf506 507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf507 508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf508 509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf509 510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf510 511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf511 512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf512

513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf513 514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf514 515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf515 516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf516 517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf517 518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf518 519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf519 520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf520 521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf521 522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf522 523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf523 524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf524 525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf525 526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf526 527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf527 528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf528 529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf529 530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf530 531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf531 532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf532 533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf533 534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf534 535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf535 536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf536 537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf537 538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf538 539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf539 540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf540 541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf541 542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf542 543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf543 544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf544 545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf545 546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf546 547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf547 548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf548 549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf549 550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf550 551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf551 552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf552 553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf553 554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf554 555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf555 556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf556 557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf557 558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf558 559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf559 560, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf560 561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf561 562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf562 563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf563 564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf564 565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf565 566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf566

567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf567 568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf568 569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf569 570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf570 571, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf571 572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf572 573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf573 574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf574 575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf575 576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf576 577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf577 578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf578 579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf579 580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf580 581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf581 582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf582 583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf583 584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf584 585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf585 586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf586 587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf587 588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf588 589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf589 590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf590 591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf591 592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf592 593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf593 594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf594 595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf595 596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf596 597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf597 598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf598 599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf599 600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf600 601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf601 602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf602 603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf603 604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf604 605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf605 606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf606 607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf607 608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf608 609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf609 610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf610 611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf611 612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf612 613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf613 614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf614 615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf615 616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf616 617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf617 618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf618 619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf619 620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf620 621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf621 622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf622 623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf623 624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf624 625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf625 626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf626 627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf627 628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf628 629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf629 630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf630 631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf631 632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf632 633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf633 634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf634 635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf635 636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf636 637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf637 638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf638 639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf639 640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf640 641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf641 642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf642 643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf643 644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf644 645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf645 646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf646 647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf647 648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf648 649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf649 650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf650 651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf651 652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf652 653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf653 654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf654 655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf655 656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf656 657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf657 658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf658 659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf659 660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf660 661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnf661 662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf662 663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf663 664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf664 665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf665 666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf666 667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf667 668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf668 669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf669 670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf670 671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf671 672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf672 673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf673 674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf674 675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf675 676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf676 677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf677 678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf678 679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf679 680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf680 681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf681 682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf682 683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf683 684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf684 685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf685 686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf686 687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf687 688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf688 689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf689 690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf690 691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf691 692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf692 693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf693 694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf694 695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf695 696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf696 697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf697 698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf698 699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf699 700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf700 701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf701 702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf702 703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf703 704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf704 705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf705 706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf706 707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf707 708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf708 709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf709 710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf710 711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf711 712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf712 713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf713 714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf714 715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf715 716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf716 717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf717 718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf718 719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf719 720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf720 721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf721 722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf722 723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf723 724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf724 725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf725 726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf726 727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf727 728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf728 729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf729 730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf730 731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf731 732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf732 733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf733 734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf734 735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf735 736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf736 737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf737 738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf738 739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf739 740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf740 741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf741 742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf742 743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf743 744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf744 745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf745 746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf746 747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf747 748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf748 749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf749 750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf750 751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf751 752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf752 753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf753 754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf754 755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf755 756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf756 757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf757 758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf758 759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf759 760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf760 761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf761 762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf762 763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf763 764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf764 765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf765 766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf766 767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf767 768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf768 769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf769 770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf770 771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf771 772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf772 773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf773 774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf774 775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf775 776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf776 777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf777 778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf778 779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf779 780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf780 781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf781 782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf782 783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf783 784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf784 785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf785 786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf786 787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf787 788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf788 789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf789 790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf790 791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf791 792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf792 793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf793 794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf794 795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf795 796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf796 797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf797 798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf798 799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf799 800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf800 801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf801 802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf802 803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf803 804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf804 805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf805 806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf806 807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf807 808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf808 809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf809 810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf810 811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf811 812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf812 813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf813 814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf814 815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf815 816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf816 817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf817 818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf818 819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf819 820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf820 821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf821 822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf822 823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf823 824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf824 825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf825 826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf826 827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf827 828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf828 829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf829 830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf830 831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf831 832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf832 833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf833 834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf834 835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf835 836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf836 837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf837 838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf838 839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf839 840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf840 841, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf841 842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf842 843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf843 844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf844 845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf845 846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf846 847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf847 848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf848 849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf849 850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf850 851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf851 852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf852 853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf853 854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf854 855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf855 856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf856 857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf857 858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf858 859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf859 860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf860 861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf861 862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf862 863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf863 864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf864 865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf865 866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf866 867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf867 868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf868 869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf869 870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf870 871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf871 872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf872 873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf873 874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf874 875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf875 876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf876 877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf877 878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf878 879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf879 880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf880 881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf881 882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf882 883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf883 884, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf884 885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf885 886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf886 887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf887 888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf888 889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf889 890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf890 891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf891 892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf892 893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf893 894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf894 895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf895 896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf896 897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf897 898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf898 899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf899 900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf900 901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf901 902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf902 903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf903 904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf904 905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf905 906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf906 907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf907 908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf908 909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf909 910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf910 911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf911 912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf912 913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf913 914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf914 915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf915 916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf916 917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf917 918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf918 919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf919 920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf920 921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf921 922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf922 923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf923 924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf924 925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf925 926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf926 927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf927 928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf928 929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf929 930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf930 931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf931 932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf932 933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf933 934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf934 935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf935 936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf936 937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf937 938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf938 939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf939 940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf940 941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf941 942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf942 943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf943 944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf944 945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf945 946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf946 947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf947 948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf948 949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf949 950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf950 951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf951 952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf952 953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf953 954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf954 955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf955 956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf956 957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf957 958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf958 959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf959 960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf960 961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf961 962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf962 963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf963 964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf964 965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf965 966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf966 967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf967 968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf968 969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf969 970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf970 971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf971 972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf972 973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf973 974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf974 975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf975 976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf976 977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf977 978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf978 979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf979 980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf980 981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf981 982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf982 983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf983 984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf984 985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf985 986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf986 987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf987 988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf988 989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf989 990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf990 991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf991 992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf992 993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf993 994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf994 995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf995 996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf996 997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf997 998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf998

999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf999 1000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1000 1001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1001 1002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1002 1003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1003 1004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1004 1005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1005 1006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1006 1007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1007 1008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1008 1009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1009 1010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1010 1011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1011 1012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1012 1013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1013 1014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1014 1015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1015 1016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1016 1017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1017 1018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1018 1019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1019 1020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1020 1021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1021 1022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1022 1023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1023 1024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1024 1025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1025 1026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1026 1027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1027 1028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1028 1029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1029 1030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1030 1031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1031 1032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1032 1033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1033 1034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1034 1035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1035 1036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1036 1037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1037 1038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1038 1039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1039 1040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1040 1041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1041 1042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1042 1043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1043 1044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1044 1045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1045 1046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1046 1047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1047 1048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1048 1049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1049 1050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1050 1051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1051 1052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1052 1053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1053 1054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1054 1055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1055 1056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1056 1057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1057 1058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1058 1059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1059 1060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1060 1061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1061 1062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1062 1063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1063 1064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1064 1065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1065 1066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1066 1067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1067 1068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1068 1069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1069 1070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1070 1071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1071 1072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1072 1073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1073 1074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1074 1075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1075 1076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1076 1077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1077 1078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1078 1079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1079 1080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1080 1081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1081 1082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1082 1083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1083 1084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1084 1085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1085 1086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1086 1087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1087 1088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1088 1089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1089 1090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1090 1091, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1091 1092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1092 1093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1093 1094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1094 1095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1095 1096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1096 1097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1097 1098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1098 1099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1099 1100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1100 1101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1101 1102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1102 1103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1103 1104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1104 1105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1105 1106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1106

1107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1107 1108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1108 1109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1109 1110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1110 1111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1111 1112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1112 1113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1113 1114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1114 1115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1115 1116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1116 1117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1117 1118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1118 1119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1119 1120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1120 1121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1121 1122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1122 1123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1123 1124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1124 1125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1125 1126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1126 1127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1127 1128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1128 1129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1129 1130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1130 1131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1131 1132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1132 1133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1133 1134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1134 1135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1135 1136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1136 1137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1137 1138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1138 1139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1139 1140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1140 1141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1141 1142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1142 1143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1143 1144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1144 1145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1145 1146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1146 1147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1147 1148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1148 1149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1149 1150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1150 1151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1151 1152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1152 1153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1153 1154, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1154 1155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1155 1156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1156 1157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1157 1158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1158 1159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1159 1160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1160

1161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1161 1162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1162 1163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1163 1164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1164 1165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1165 1166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1166 1167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1167 1168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1168 1169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1169 1170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1170 1171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1171 1172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1172 1173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1173 1174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1174 1175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1175 1176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1176 1177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1177 1178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1178 1179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1179 1180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1180 1181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1181 1182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1182 1183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1183 1184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1184 1185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1185 1186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1186 1187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1187 1188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1188 1189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1189 1190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1190 1191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1191 1192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1192 1193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1193 1194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1194 1195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1195 1196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1196 1197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1197 1198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1198 1199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1199 1200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1200 1201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1201 1202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1202 1203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1203 1204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1204 1205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1205 1206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1206 1207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1207 1208, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1208 1209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1209 1210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1210 1211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1211 1212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1212 1213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1213 1214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1214

1215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1215 1216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1216 1217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1217 1218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1218 1219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1219 1220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1220 1221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1221 1222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1222 1223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1223 1224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1224 1225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1225 1226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1226 1227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1227 1228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1228 1229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1229 1230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1230 1231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1231 1232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1232 1233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1233 1234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1234 1235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1235 1236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1236 1237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1237 1238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1238 1239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1239 1240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1240 1241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1241 1242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1242 1243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1243 1244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1244 1245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1245 1246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1246 1247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1247 1248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1248 1249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1249 1250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1250 1251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1251 1252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1252 1253, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1253 1254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1254 1255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1255 1256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1256 1257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1257 1258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1258 1259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1259 1260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1260 1261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1261 1262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1262 1263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1263 1264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1264 1265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1265 1266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1266 1267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1267 1268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1268 1269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1269 1270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1270 1271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1271 1272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1272 1273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1273 1274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1274 1275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1275 1276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1276 1277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1277 1278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1278 1279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1279 1280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1280 1281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1281 1282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1282 1283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1283 1284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1284 1285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1285 1286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1286 1287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1287 1288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1288 1289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1289 1290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1290 1291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1291 1292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1292 1293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1293 1294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1294 1295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1295 1296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1296 1297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1297 1298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1298 1299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1299 1300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1300 1301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1301 1302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1302 1303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1303 1304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1304 1305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1305 1306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1306 1307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1307 1308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1308 1309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1309 1310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1310 1311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1311 1312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1312 1313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1313 1314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1314 1315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1315 1316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1316 1317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1317 1318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1318 1319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1319 1320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1320 1321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1321 1322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1322 1323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1323 1324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1324 1325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1325 1326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1326 1327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1327 1328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1328 1329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1329 1330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1330 1331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1331 1332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1332 1333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1333 1334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1334 1335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1335 1336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1336 1337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1337 1338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1338 1339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1339 1340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1340 1341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1341 1342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1342 1343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1343 1344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1344 1345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1345 1346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1346 1347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1347 1348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1348 1349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1349 1350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1350 1351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1351 1352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1352 1353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1353 1354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1354 1355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1355 1356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1356 1357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1357 1358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1358 1359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1359 1360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1360 1361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1361 1362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1362 1363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1363 1364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1364 1365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1365 1366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1366 1367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1367 1368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1368 1369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1369 1370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1370 1371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1371 1372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1372 1373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1373 1374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1374 1375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1375 1376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1376 1377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1377 1378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1378 1379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1379 1380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1380 1381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1381 1382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1382 1383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1383 1384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1384 1385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1385 1386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1386 1387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1387 1388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1388 1389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1389 1390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1390 1391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1391 1392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1392 1393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1393 1394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1394 1395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1395 1396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1396 1397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1397 1398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1398 1399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1399 1400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1400 1401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1401 1402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1402 1403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1403 1404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1404 1405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1405 1406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1406 1407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1407 1408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1408 1409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1409 1410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1410 1411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1411 1412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1412 1413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1413 1414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1414 1415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1415 1416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1416 1417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1417 1418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1418 1419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1419 1420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1420 1421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1421 1422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1422 1423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1423 1424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1424 1425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1425 1426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1426 1427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1427 1428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1428 1429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1429 1430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1430 1431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1431 1432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1432 1433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1433 1434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1434 1435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1435 1436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1436 1437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1437 1438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1438 1439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1439 1440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1440 1441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1441 1442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1442 1443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1443 1444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1444 1445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1445 1446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1446 1447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1447 1448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1448 1449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1449 1450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1450 1451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1451 1452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1452 1453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1453 1454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1454 1455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1455 1456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1456 1457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1457 1458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1458 1459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1459 1460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1460 1461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1461 1462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1462 1463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1463 1464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1464 1465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1465 1466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1466 1467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1467 1468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1468 1469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1469 1470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1470 1471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1471 1472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1472 1473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1473 1474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1474 1475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1475 1476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1476 1477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1477 1478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1478 1479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1479 1480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1480 1481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1481 1482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1482 1483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1483 1484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1484 1485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1485 1486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1486 1487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1487 1488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1488 1489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1489 1490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1490 1491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1491 1492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1492 1493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1493 1494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1494 1495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1495 1496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1496 1497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1497 1498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1498 1499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1499 1500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1500 1501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1501 1502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1502 1503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1503 1504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1504 1505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1505 1506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1506 1507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1507 1508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1508 1509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1509 1510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1510 1511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1511 1512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1512 1513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1513 1514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1514 1515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1515 1516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1516 1517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1517 1518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1518 1519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1519 1520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1520 1521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1521 1522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1522 1523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1523 1524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1524 1525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1525 1526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1526 1527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1527 1528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1528 1529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1529 1530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1530 1531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1531 1532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1532 1533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1533 1534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1534 1535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1535 1536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1536 1537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1537 1538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1538 1539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1539 1540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1540 1541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1541 1542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1542 1543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1543 1544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1544 1545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1545 1546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1546 1547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1547 1548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1548 1549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1549 1550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1550 1551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1551 1552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1552 1553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1553 1554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1554 1555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1555 1556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1556 1557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1557 1558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1558 1559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1559 1560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1560 1561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1561 1562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1562 1563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1563 1564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1564 1565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1565 1566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1566 1567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1567 1568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1568 1569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1569 1570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1570 1571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1571 1572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1572 1573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1573 1574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1574 1575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1575 1576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1576 1577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1577 1578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1578 1579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1579 1580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1580 1581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1581 1582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1582 1583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1583 1584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1584 1585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1585 1586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1586 1587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1587 1588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1588 1589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1589 1590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1590 1591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1591 1592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1592 1593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1593 1594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1594 1595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1595 1596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1596 1597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1597 1598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1598 1599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1599 1600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1600 1601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1601 1602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1602 1603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1603 1604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1604 1605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1605 1606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1606 1607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1607 1608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1608 1609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# edn1609 name= 1610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1609 1611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1610 1612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1611 1613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1612 1614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1613 1615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1614 1616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1615 1617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1616 1618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1617 1619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1618 1620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1619 1621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1620 1622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1621 1623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1622 1624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1623 1625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1624 1626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1625 1627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1626 1628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1627 1629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1628 1630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1629 1631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1630 1632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1631 1633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1632 1634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1633 1635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1634 1636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1635 1637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1636 1638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1637 1639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1638 1640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1639 1641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1640 1642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1641 1643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1642 1644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1643 1645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1644 1646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1645

1647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1646 1648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1647 1649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1648 1650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1649 1651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1650 1652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1651 1653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1652 1654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1653 1655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1654 1656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1655 1657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1656 1658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1657 1659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1658 1660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1659 1661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1660 1662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1661 1663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1662 1664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1663 1665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1664 1666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1665 1667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1666 1668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1667 1669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1668 1670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1669 1671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1670 1672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1671 1673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1672 1674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1673 1675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1674 1676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1675 1677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1676 1678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1677 1679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1678 1680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1679 1681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1680 1682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1681 1683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1682 1684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1683 1685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1684 1686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1685 1687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1686 1688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1687 1689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1688 1690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1689 1691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1690 1692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1691 1693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1692 1694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1693 1695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1694 1696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1695 1697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1696 1698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1697 1699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1698 1700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1699 1701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1700 1702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1701 1703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1702 1704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1703 1705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1704 1706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1705 1707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1706 1708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1707 1709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1708 1710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1709 1711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1710 1712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1711 1713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1712 1714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1713 1715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1714 1716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1715 1717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1716 1718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1717 1719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1718 1720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1719 1721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1720 1722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1721 1723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1722 1724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1723 1725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1724 1726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1725 1727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1726 1728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1727 1729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1728 1730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1729 1731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1730 1732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1731 1733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1732 1734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1733 1735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1734 1736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1735 1737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1736 1738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1737 1739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1738 1740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1739 1741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1740 1742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1741 1743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1742 1744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1743 1745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1744 1746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1745 1747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1746 1748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1747 1749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1748 1750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1749 1751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1750 1752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1751 1753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1752 1754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1753 1755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1754 1756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1755 1757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1756 1758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1757 1759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1758 1760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1759 1761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1760 1762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1761 1763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1762 1764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1763 1765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1764 1766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1765 1767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1766 1768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1767 1769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1768 1770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1769 1771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1770 1772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1771 1773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1772 1774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1773 1775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1774 1776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1775 1777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1776 1778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1777 1779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1778 1780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1779 1781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1780 1782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1781 1783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1782 1784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1783 1785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1784 1786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1785 1787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1786 1788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1787 1789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1788 1790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1789 1791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1790 1792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1791 1793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1792 1794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1793 1795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1794 1796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1795 1797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1796 1798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1797 1799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1798 1800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1799 1801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1800 1802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1801 1803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1802 1804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1803 1805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1804 1806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1805 1807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1806 1808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1807

1809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1808 1810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1809 1811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1810 1812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1811 1813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1812 1814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1813 1815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1814 1816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1815 1817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1816 1818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1817 1819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1818 1820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1819 1821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1820 1822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1821 1823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1822 1824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1823 1825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1824 1826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1825 1827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1826 1828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1827 1829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1828 1830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1829 1831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1830 1832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1831 1833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1832 1834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1833 1835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1834 1836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1835 1837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1836 1838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1837 1839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1838 1840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1839 1841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1840 1842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1841 1843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1842 1844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1843 1845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1844 1846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1845 1847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1846 1848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1847 1849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1848 1850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1849 1851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1850 1852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1851 1853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1852 1854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1853 1855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1854 1856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1855 1857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1856 1858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1857 1859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1858 1860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1859 1861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1860 1862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1861

1863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1862 1864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1863 1865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1864 1866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1865 1867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1866 1868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1867 1869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1868 1870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1869 1871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1870 1872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1871 1873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1872 1874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1873 1875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1874 1876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1875 1877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1876 1878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1877 1879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1878 1880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1879 1881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1880 1882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1881 1883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1882 1884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1883 1885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1884 1886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1885 1887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1886 1888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1887 1889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1888 1890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1889 1891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1890 1892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1891 1893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1892 1894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1893 1895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1894 1896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1895 1897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1896 1898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1897 1899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1898 1900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1899 1901, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1900 1902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1901 1903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1902 1904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1903 1905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1904 1906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1905 1907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1906 1908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1907 1909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1908 1910, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1909 1911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1910 1912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1911 1913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1912 1914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1913 1915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1914 1916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1915 1917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1916 1918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1917 1919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1918 1920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1919 1921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1920 1922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1921 1923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1922 1924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1923 1925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1924 1926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1925 1927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1926 1928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1927 1929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1928 1930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1929 1931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1930 1932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1931 1933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1932 1934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1933 1935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1934 1936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1935 1937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1936 1938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1937 1939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1938 1940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1939 1941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1940 1942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1941 1943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1942 1944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1943 1945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1944 1946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1945 1947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1946 1948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1947 1949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1948 1950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1949 1951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1950 1952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1951 1953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1952 1954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1953 1955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1954 1956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1955 1957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1956 1958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1957 1959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1958 1960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1959 1961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1960 1962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1961 1963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1962 1964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1963 1965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1964 1966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1965 1967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1966 1968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1967 1969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1968 1970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1969

1971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1970 1972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1971 1973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1972 1974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1973 1975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1974 1976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1975 1977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1976 1978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1977 1979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1978 1980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1979 1981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1980 1982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1981 1983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1982 1984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1983 1985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1984 1986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1985 1987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1986 1988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1987 1989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1988 1990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1989 1991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1990 1992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1991 1993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1992 1994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1993 1995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1994 1996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1995 1997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1996 1998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1997 1999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1998 2000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf1999 2001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2000 2002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2001 2003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2002 2004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2003 2005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2004 2006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2005 2007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2006 2008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2007 2009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2008 2010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2009 2011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2010 2012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2011 2013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2012 2014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2013 2015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2014 2016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2015 2017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2016 2018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2017 2019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2018 2020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2019 2021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2020 2022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2021 2023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2022 2024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2023 2025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2024 2026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2025 2027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2026 2028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2027 2029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2028 2030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2029 2031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2030 2032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2031 2033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2032 2034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2033 2035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2034 2036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2035 2037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2036 2038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2037 2039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2038 2040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2039 2041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2040 2042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2041 2043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2042 2044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2043 2045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2044 2046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2045 2047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2046 2048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2047 2049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2048 2050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2049 2051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2050 2052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2051 2053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2052 2054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2053 2055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2054 2056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2055 2057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2056 2058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2057 2059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2058 2060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2059 2061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2060 2062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2061 2063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2062 2064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2063 2065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2064 2066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2065 2067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2066 2068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2067 2069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2068 2070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2069 2071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2070 2072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2071 2073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2072 2074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2073 2075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2074 2076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2075 2077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2076 2078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2077

2079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2078 2080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2079 2081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2080 2082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2081 2083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2082 2084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2083 2085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2084 2086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2085 2087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2086 2088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2087 2089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2088 2090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2089 2091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2090 2092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2091 2093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2092 2094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2093 2095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2094 2096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2095 2097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2096 2098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2097 2099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2098 2100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2099 2101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2100 2102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2101 2103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2102 2104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2103 2105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2104 2106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2105 2107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2106 2108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2107 2109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2108 2110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2109 2111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2110 2112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2111 2113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2112 2114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2113 2115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2114 2116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2115 2117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2116 2118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2117 2119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2118 2120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2119 2121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2120 2122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2121 2123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2122 2124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2123 2125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2124 2126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2125 2127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2126 2128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2127 2129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2128 2130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2129 2131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2130 2132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2131

2133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2132 2134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2133 2135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2134 2136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2135 2137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2136 2138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2137 2139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2138 2140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2139 2141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2140 2142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2141 2143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2142 2144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2143 2145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2144 2146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2145 2147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2146 2148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2147 2149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2148 2150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2149 2151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2150 2152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2151 2153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2152 2154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2153 2155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2154 2156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2155 2157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2156 2158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2157 2159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2158 2160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2159 2161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2160 2162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2161 2163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2162 2164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2163 2165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2164 2166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2165 2167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2166 2168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2167 2169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2168 2170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2169 2171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2170 2172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2171 2173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2172 2174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2173 2175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2174 2176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2175 2177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2176 2178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2177 2179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2178 2180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2179 2181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2180 2182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2181 2183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2182 2184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2183 2185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2184 2186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2185

2187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2186 2188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2187 2189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2188 2190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2189 2191, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2190 2192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2191 2193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2192 2194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2193 2195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2194 2196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2195 2197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2196 2198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2197 2199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2198 2200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2199 2201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2200 2202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2201 2203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2202 2204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2203 2205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2204 2206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2205 2207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2206 2208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2207 2209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2208 2210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2209 2211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2210 2212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2211 2213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2212 2214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2213 2215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2214 2216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2215 2217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2216 2218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2217 2219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2218 2220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2219 2221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2220 2222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2221 2223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2222 2224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2223 2225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2224 2226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2225 2227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2226 2228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2227 2229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2228 2230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2229 2231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2230 2232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2231 2233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2232 2234, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2233 2235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2234 2236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2235 2237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2236 2238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2237 2239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2238 2240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2239 2241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2240 2242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2241 2243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2242 2244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2243 2245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2244 2246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2245 2247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2246 2248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2247 2249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2248 2250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2249 2251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2250 2252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2251 2253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2252 2254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2253 2255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2254 2256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2255 2257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2256 2258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2257 2259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2258 2260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2259 2261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2260 2262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2261 2263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2262 2264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2263 2265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2264 2266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2265 2267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2266 2268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2267 2269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2268 2270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2269 2271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2270 2272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2271 2273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2272 2274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2273 2275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2274 2276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2275 2277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2276 2278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2277 2279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2278 2280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2279 2281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2280 2282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2281 2283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2282 2284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2283 2285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2284 2286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2285 2287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2286 2288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2287 2289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2288 2290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2289 2291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2290 2292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2291 2293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2292 2294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2293 2295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2294 2296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2295 2297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2296 2298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2297 2299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2298 2300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2299 2301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2300 2302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2301 2303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2302 2304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2303 2305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2304 2306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2305 2307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2306 2308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2307 2309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2308 2310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2309 2311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2310 2312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2311 2313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2312 2314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2313 2315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2314 2316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2315 2317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2316 2318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2317 2319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2318 2320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2319 2321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2320 2322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2321 2323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2322 2324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2323 2325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2324 2326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2325 2327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2326 2328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2327 2329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2328 2330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2329 2331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2330 2332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2331 2333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2332 2334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2333 2335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2334 2336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2335 2337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2336 2338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2337 2339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2338 2340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2339 2341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2340 2342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2341 2343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2342 2344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2343 2345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2344 2346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2345 2347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2346 2348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2347

2349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2348 2350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2349 2351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2350 2352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2351 2353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2352 2354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2353 2355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2354 2356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2355 2357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2356 2358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2357 2359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2358 2360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2359 2361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2360 2362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2361 2363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2362 2364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2363 2365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2364 2366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2365 2367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2366 2368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2367 2369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2368 2370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2369 2371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2370 2372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2371 2373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2372 2374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2373 2375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2374 2376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2375 2377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2376 2378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2377 2379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2378 2380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2379 2381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2380 2382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2381 2383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2382 2384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2383 2385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2384 2386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2385 2387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2386 2388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2387 2389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2388 2390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2389 2391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2390 2392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2391 2393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2392 2394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2393 2395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2394 2396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2395 2397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2396 2398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2397 2399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2398 2400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2399 2401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2400 2402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2401

2403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2402 2404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2403 2405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2404 2406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2405 2407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2406 2408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2407 2409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2408 2410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2409 2411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2410 2412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2411 2413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2412 2414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2413 2415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2414 2416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2415 2417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2416 2418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2417 2419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2418 2420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2419 2421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2420 2422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2421 2423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2422 2424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2423 2425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2424 2426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2425 2427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2426 2428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2427 2429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2428 2430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2429 2431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2430 2432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2431 2433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2432 2434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2433 2435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2434 2436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2435 2437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2436 2438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2437 2439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2438 2440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2439 2441, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2440 2442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2441 2443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2442 2444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2443 2445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2444 2446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2445 2447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2446 2448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2447 2449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2448 2450, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2449 2451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2450 2452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2451 2453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2452 2454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2453 2455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2454 2456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2455 2457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2456 2458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2457 2459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2458 2460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2459 2461, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2460 2462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2461 2463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2462 2464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2463 2465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2464 2466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2465 2467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2466 2468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2467 2469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2468 2470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2469 2471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2470 2472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2471 2473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2472 2474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2473 2475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2474 2476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2475 2477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2476 2478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2477 2479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2478 2480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2479 2481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2480 2482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2481 2483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2482 2484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2483 2485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2484 2486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2485 2487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2486 2488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2487 2489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2488 2490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2489 2491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2490 2492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2491 2493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2492 2494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2493 2495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2494 2496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2495 2497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2496 2498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2497 2499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2498 2500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2499 2501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2500 2502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2501 2503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2502 2504, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2503 2505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2504 2506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2505 2507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2506 2508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2507 2509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2508 2510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2509 2511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2510 2512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2511 2513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2512 2514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2513 2515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2514 2516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2515 2517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2516 2518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2517 2519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2518 2520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2519 2521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2520 2522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2521 2523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2522 2524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2523 2525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2524 2526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2525 2527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2526 2528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2527 2529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2528 2530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2529 2531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2530 2532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2531 2533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2532 2534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2533 2535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2534 2536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2535 2537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2536 2538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2537 2539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2538 2540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2539 2541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2540 2542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2541 2543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2542 2544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2543 2545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2544 2546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2545 2547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2546 2548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2547 2549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2548 2550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2549 2551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2550 2552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2551 2553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2552 2554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2553 2555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2554 2556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2555 2557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2556 2558, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2557 2559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2558 2560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2559 2561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2560 2562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2561 2563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2562 2564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2563 2565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2564 2566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2565 2567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2566 2568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2567 2569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2568 2570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2569 2571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2570 2572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2571 2573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2572 2574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2573 2575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2574 2576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2575 2577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2576 2578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2577 2579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2578 2580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2579 2581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2580 2582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2581 2583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2582 2584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2583 2585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2584 2586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2585 2587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2586 2588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2587 2589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2588 2590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2589 2591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2590 2592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2591 2593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2592 2594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2593 2595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2594 2596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2595 2597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2596 2598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2597 2599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2598 2600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2599 2601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2600 2602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2601 2603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2602 2604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2603 2605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2604 2606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2605 2607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2606 2608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2607 2609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2608 2610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2609 2611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2610 2612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2611 2613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2612 2614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2613 2615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2614 2616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2615 2617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2616 2618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2617

2619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2618 2620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2619 2621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2620 2622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2621 2623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2622 2624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2623 2625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2624 2626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2625 2627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2626 2628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2627 2629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2628 2630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2629 2631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2630 2632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2631 2633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2632 2634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2633 2635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2634 2636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2635 2637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2636 2638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2637 2639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2638 2640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2639 2641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2640 2642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2641 2643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2642 2644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2643 2645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2644 2646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2645 2647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2646 2648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2647 2649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2648 2650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2649 2651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2650 2652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2651 2653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2652 2654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2653 2655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2654 2656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2655 2657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2656 2658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2657 2659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2658 2660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2659 2661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2660 2662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2661 2663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2662 2664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2663 2665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2664 2666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2665 2667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2666 2668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2667 2669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2668 2670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2669 2671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2670 2672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2671

2673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2672 2674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2673 2675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2674 2676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2675 2677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2676 2678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2677 2679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2678 2680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2679 2681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2680 2682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2681 2683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2682 2684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2683 2685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2684 2686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2685 2687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2686 2688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2687 2689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2688 2690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2689 2691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2690 2692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2691 2693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2692 2694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2693 2695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2694 2696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2695 2697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2696 2698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2697 2699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2698 2700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2699 2701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2700 2702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2701 2703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2702 2704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2703 2705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2704 2706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2705 2707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2706 2708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2707 2709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2708 2710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2709 2711, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2710 2712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2711 2713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2712 2714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2713 2715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2714 2716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2715 2717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2716 2718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2717 2719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2718 2720, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2719 2721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2720 2722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2721 2723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2722 2724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2723 2725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2724 2726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2725

2727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2726 2728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2727 2729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2728 2730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2729 2731, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2730 2732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2731 2733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2732 2734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2733 2735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2734 2736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2735 2737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2736 2738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2737 2739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2738 2740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2739 2741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2740 2742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2741 2743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2742 2744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2743 2745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2744 2746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2745 2747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2746 2748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2747 2749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2748 2750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2749 2751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2750 2752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2751 2753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2752 2754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2753 2755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2754 2756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2755 2757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2756 2758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2757 2759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2758 2760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2759 2761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2760 2762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2761 2763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2762 2764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2763 2765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2764 2766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2765 2767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2766 2768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2767 2769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2768 2770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2769 2771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2770 2772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2771 2773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2772 2774, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2773 2775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2774 2776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2775 2777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2776 2778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2777 2779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2778 2780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2779

2781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2780 2782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2781 2783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2782 2784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2783 2785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2784 2786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2785 2787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2786 2788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2787 2789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2788 2790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2789 2791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2790 2792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2791 2793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2792 2794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2793 2795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2794 2796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2795 2797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2796 2798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2797 2799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2798 2800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2799 2801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2800 2802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2801 2803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2802 2804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2803 2805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2804 2806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2805 2807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2806 2808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2807 2809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2808 2810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2809 2811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2810 2812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2811 2813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2812 2814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2813 2815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2814 2816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2815 2817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2816 2818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2817 2819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2818 2820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2819 2821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2820 2822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2821 2823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2822 2824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2823 2825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2824 2826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2825 2827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2826 2828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2827 2829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2828 2830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2829 2831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2830 2832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2831 2833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2832 2834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2833 2835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2834 2836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2835 2837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2836 2838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2837 2839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2838 2840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2839 2841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2840 2842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2841 2843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2842 2844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2843 2845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2844 2846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2845 2847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2846 2848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2847 2849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2848 2850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2849 2851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2850 2852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2851 2853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2852 2854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2853 2855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2854 2856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2855 2857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2856 2858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2857 2859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2858 2860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2859 2861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2860 2862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2861 2863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2862 2864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2863 2865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2864 2866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2865 2867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2866 2868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2867 2869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2868 2870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2869 2871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2870 2872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2871 2873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2872 2874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2873 2875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2874 2876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2875 2877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2876 2878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2877 2879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2878 2880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2879 2881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2880 2882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2881 2883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2882 2884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2883 2885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2884 2886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2885 2887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2886 2888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2887

2889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2888 2890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2889 2891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2890 2892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2891 2893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2892 2894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2893 2895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2894 2896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2895 2897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2896 2898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2897 2899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2898 2900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2899 2901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2900 2902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2901 2903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2902 2904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2903 2905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2904 2906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2905 2907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2906 2908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2907 2909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2908 2910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2909 2911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2910 2912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2911 2913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2912 2914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2913 2915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2914 2916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2915 2917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2916 2918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2917 2919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2918 2920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2919 2921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2920 2922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2921 2923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2922 2924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2923 2925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2924 2926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2925 2927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2926 2928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2927 2929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2928 2930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2929 2931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2930 2932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2931 2933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2932 2934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2933 2935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2934 2936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2935 2937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2936 2938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2937 2939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2938 2940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2939 2941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2940 2942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2941

2943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2942 2944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2943 2945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2944 2946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2945 2947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2946 2948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2947 2949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2948 2950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2949 2951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2950 2952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2951 2953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2952 2954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2953 2955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2954 2956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2955 2957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2956 2958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2957 2959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2958 2960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2959 2961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2960 2962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2961 2963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2962 2964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2963 2965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2964 2966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2965 2967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2966 2968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2967 2969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2968 2970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2969 2971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2970 2972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2971 2973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2972 2974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2973 2975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2974 2976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2975 2977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2976 2978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2977 2979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2978 2980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2979 2981, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2980 2982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2981 2983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2982 2984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2983 2985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2984 2986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2985 2987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2986 2988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2987 2989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2988 2990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2989 2991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2990 2992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2991 2993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2992 2994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2993 2995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2994 2996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2995 2997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2996 2998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2997 2999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2998 3000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf2999 3001, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3000 3002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3001 3003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3002 3004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3003 3005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3004 3006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3005 3007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3006 3008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3007 3009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3008 3010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3009 3011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3010 3012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3011 3013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3012 3014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3013 3015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3014 3016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3015 3017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3016 3018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3017 3019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3018 3020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3019 3021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3020 3022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3021 3023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3022 3024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3023 3025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3024 3026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3025 3027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3026 3028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3027 3029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3028 3030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3029 3031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3030 3032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3031 3033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3032 3034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3033 3035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3034 3036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3035 3037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3036 3038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3037 3039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3038 3040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3039 3041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3040 3042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3041 3043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3042 3044, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3043 3045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3044 3046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3045 3047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3046 3048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3047 3049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3048 3050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3049

3051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3050 3052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3051 3053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3052 3054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3053 3055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3054 3056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3055 3057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3056 3058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3057 3059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3058 3060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3059 3061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3060 3062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3061 3063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3062 3064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3063 3065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3064 3066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3065 3067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3066 3068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3067 3069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3068 3070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3069 3071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3070 3072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3071 3073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3072 3074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3073 3075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3074 3076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3075 3077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3076 3078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3077 3079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3078 3080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3079 3081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3080 3082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3081 3083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3082 3084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3083 3085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3084 3086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3085 3087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3086 3088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3087 3089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3088 3090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3089 3091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3090 3092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3091 3093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3092 3094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3093 3095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3094 3096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3095 3097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3096 3098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3097 3099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3098 3100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3099 3101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3100 3102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3101 3103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3102 3104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3103 3105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3104 3106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3105 3107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3106 3108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3107 3109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3108 3110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3109 3111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3110 3112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3111 3113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3112 3114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3113 3115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3114 3116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3115 3117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3116 3118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3117 3119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3118 3120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3119 3121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3120 3122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3121 3123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3122 3124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3123 3125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3124 3126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3125 3127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3126 3128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3127 3129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3128 3130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3129 3131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3130 3132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3131 3133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3132 3134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3133 3135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3134 3136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3135 3137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3136 3138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3137 3139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3138 3140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3139 3141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3140 3142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3141 3143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3142 3144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3143 3145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3144 3146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3145 3147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3146 3148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3147 3149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3148 3150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3149 3151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3150 3152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3151 3153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3152 3154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3153 3155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3154 3156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3155 3157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3156 3158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3157

3159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3158 3160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3159 3161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3160 3162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3161 3163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3162 3164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3163 3165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3164 3166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3165 3167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3166 3168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3167 3169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3168 3170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3169 3171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3170 3172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3171 3173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3172 3174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3173 3175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3174 3176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3175 3177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3176 3178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3177 3179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3178 3180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3179 3181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3180 3182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3181 3183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3182 3184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3183 3185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3184 3186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3185 3187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3186 3188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3187 3189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3188 3190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3189 3191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3190 3192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3191 3193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3192 3194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3193 3195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3194 3196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3195 3197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3196 3198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3197 3199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3198 3200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3199 3201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3200 3202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3201 3203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3202 3204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3203 3205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3204 3206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3205 3207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3206 3208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3207 3209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3208 3210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3209 3211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3210 3212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3211

3213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3212 3214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3213 3215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3214 3216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3215 3217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3216 3218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3217 3219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3218 3220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3219 3221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3220 3222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3221 3223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3222 3224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3223 3225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3224 3226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3225 3227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3226 3228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3227 3229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3228 3230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3229 3231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3230 3232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3231 3233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3232 3234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3233 3235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3234 3236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3235 3237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3236 3238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3237 3239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3238 3240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3239 3241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3240 3242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3241 3243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3242 3244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3243 3245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3244 3246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3245 3247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3246 3248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3247 3249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3248 3250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3249 3251, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3250 3252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3251 3253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3252 3254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3253 3255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3254 3256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3255 3257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3256 3258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3257 3259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3258 3260, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3259 3261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3260 3262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3261 3263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3262 3264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3263 3265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3264 3266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3265

3267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3266 3268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3267 3269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3268 3270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3269 3271, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3270 3272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3271 3273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3272 3274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3273 3275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3274 3276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3275 3277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3276 3278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3277 3279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3278 3280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3279 3281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3280 3282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3281 3283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3282 3284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3283 3285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3284 3286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3285 3287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3286 3288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3287 3289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3288 3290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3289 3291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3290 3292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3291 3293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3292 3294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3293 3295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3294 3296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3295 3297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3296 3298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3297 3299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3298 3300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3299 3301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3300 3302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3301 3303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3302 3304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3303 3305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3304 3306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3305 3307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3306 3308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3307 3309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3308 3310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3309 3311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3310 3312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3311 3313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3312 3314, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3313 3315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3314 3316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3315 3317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3316 3318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3317 3319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3318 3320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3319

3321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3320 3322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3321 3323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3322 3324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3323 3325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3324 3326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3325 3327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3326 3328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3327 3329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3328 3330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3329 3331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3330 3332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3331 3333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3332 3334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3333 3335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3334 3336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3335 3337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3336 3338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3337 3339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3338 3340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3339 3341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3340 3342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3341 3343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3342 3344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3343 3345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3344 3346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3345 3347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3346 3348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3347 3349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3348 3350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3349 3351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3350 3352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3351 3353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3352 3354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3353 3355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3354 3356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3355 3357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3356 3358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3357 3359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3358 3360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3359 3361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3360 3362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3361 3363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3362 3364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3363 3365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3364 3366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3365 3367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3366 3368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3367 3369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3368 3370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3369 3371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3370 3372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3371 3373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3372 3374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3373 3375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3374 3376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3375 3377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3376 3378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3377 3379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3378 3380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3379 3381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3380 3382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3381 3383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3382 3384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3383 3385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3384 3386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3385 3387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3386 3388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3387 3389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3388 3390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3389 3391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3390 3392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3391 3393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3392 3394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3393 3395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3394 3396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3395 3397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3396 3398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3397 3399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3398 3400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3399 3401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3400 3402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3401 3403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3402 3404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3403 3405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3404 3406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3405 3407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3406 3408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3407 3409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3408 3410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3409 3411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3410 3412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3411 3413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3412 3414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3413 3415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# edn3415 name= 3416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3414 3417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3415 3418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3416 3419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3417 3420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3418 3421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3419 3422, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3420 3423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3421 3424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3422 3425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3423 3426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3424 3427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3425 3428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3426

3429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3427 3430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3428 3431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3429 3432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3430 3433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3431 3434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3432 3435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3433 3436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3434 3437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3435 3438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3436 3439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3437 3440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3438 3441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3439 3442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3440 3443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3441 3444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3442 3445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3443 3446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3444 3447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3445 3448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3446 3449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3447 3450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3448 3451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3449 3452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3450 3453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3451 3454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3452 3455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3453 3456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3454 3457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3455 3458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3456 3459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3457 3460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3458 3461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3459 3462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3460 3463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3461 3464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3462 3465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3463 3466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3464 3467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3465 3468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3466 3469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3467 3470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3468 3471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3469 3472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3470 3473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3471 3474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3472 3475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3473 3476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3474 3477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3475 3478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3476 3479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3477 3480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3478 3481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3479 3482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3480

3483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3481 3484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3482 3485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3483 3486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3484 3487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3485 3488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3486 3489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3487 3490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3488 3491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3489 3492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3490 3493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3491 3494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3492 3495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3493 3496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3494 3497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3495 3498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3496 3499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3497 3500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3498 3501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3499 3502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3500 3503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3501 3504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3502 3505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3503 3506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3504 3507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3505 3508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3506 3509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3507 3510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3508 3511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3509 3512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3510 3513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3511 3514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3512 3515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3513 3516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3514 3517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3515 3518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3516 3519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3517 3520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3518 3521, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3519 3522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3520 3523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3521 3524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3522 3525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3523 3526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3524 3527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3525 3528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3526 3529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3527 3530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3528 3531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3529 3532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3530 3533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3531 3534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3532 3535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3533 3536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3534

3537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3535 3538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3536 3539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3537 3540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3538 3541, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3539 3542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3540 3543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3541 3544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3542 3545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3543 3546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3544 3547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3545 3548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3546 3549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3547 3550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3548 3551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3549 3552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3550 3553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3551 3554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3552 3555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3553 3556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3554 3557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3555 3558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3556 3559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3557 3560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3558 3561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3559 3562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3560 3563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3561 3564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3562 3565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3563 3566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3564 3567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3565 3568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3566 3569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3567 3570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3568 3571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3569 3572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3570 3573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3571 3574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3572 3575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3573 3576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3574 3577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3575 3578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3576 3579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3577 3580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3578 3581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3579 3582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3580 3583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3581 3584, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3582 3585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3583 3586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3584 3587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3585 3588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3586 3589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3587 3590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3588

3591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3589 3592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3590 3593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3591 3594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3592 3595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3593 3596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3594 3597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3595 3598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3596 3599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3597 3600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3598 3601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3599 3602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3600 3603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3601 3604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3602 3605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3603 3606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3604 3607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3605 3608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3606 3609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3607 3610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3608 3611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3609 3612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3610 3613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3611 3614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3612 3615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3613 3616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3614 3617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3615 3618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3616 3619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3617 3620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3618 3621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3619 3622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3620 3623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3621 3624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3622 3625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3623 3626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3624 3627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3625 3628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3626 3629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3627 3630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3628 3631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3629 3632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3630 3633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3631 3634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3632 3635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3633 3636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3634 3637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3635 3638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3636 3639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3637 3640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3638 3641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3639 3642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3640 3643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3641 3644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3642

3645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3643 3646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3644 3647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3645 3648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3646 3649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3647 3650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3648 3651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3649 3652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3650 3653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3651 3654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3652 3655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3653 3656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3654 3657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3655 3658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3656 3659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3657 3660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3658 3661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3659 3662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3660 3663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3661 3664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3662 3665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3663 3666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3664 3667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3665 3668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3666 3669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3667 3670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3668 3671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3669 3672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3670 3673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3671 3674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3672 3675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3673 3676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3674 3677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3675 3678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3676 3679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3677 3680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3678 3681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3679 3682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3680 3683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3681 3684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3682 3685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3683 3686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3684 3687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3685 3688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3686 3689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3687 3690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3688 3691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3689 3692, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3690 3693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3691 3694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3692 3695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3693 3696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3694 3697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3695 3698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3696 3699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3697 3700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3698 3701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3699 3702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3700 3703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3701 3704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3702 3705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3703 3706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3704 3707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3705 3708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3706 3709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3707 3710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3708 3711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3709 3712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3710 3713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3711 3714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3712 3715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3713 3716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3714 3717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3715 3718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3716 3719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnf3717 3720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1 3721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2 3722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3 3723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb4 3724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb5 3725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb6 3726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb7 3727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb8 3728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb9 3729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb10 3730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb11 3731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb12 3732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb13 3733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb14 3734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb15 3735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb16 3736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb17 3737, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb18 3738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb19 3739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb20 3740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb21 3741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb22 3742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb23 3743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb24 3744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb25 3745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb26 3746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb27 3747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb28 3748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb29 3749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb30 3750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb31 3751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb32 3752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb33

3753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb34 3754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb35 3755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb36 3756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb37 3757, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb38 3758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb39 3759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb40 3760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb41 3761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb42 3762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb43 3763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb44 3764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb45 3765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb46 3766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb47 3767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb48 3768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb49 3769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb50 3770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb51 3771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb52 3772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb53 3773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb54 3774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb55 3775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb56 3776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb57 3777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb58 3778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb59 3779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb60 3780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb61 3781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb62 3782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb63 3783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb64 3784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb65 3785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb66 3786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb67 3787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb68 3788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb69 3789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb70 3790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb71 3791, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb72 3792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb73 3793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb74 3794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb75 3795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb76 3796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb77 3797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb78 3798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb79 3799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb80 3800, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb81 3801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb82 3802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb83 3803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb84 3804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb85 3805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb86 3806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb87

3807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb88 3808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb89 3809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb90 3810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb91 3811, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb92 3812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb93 3813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb94 3814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb95 3815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb96 3816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb97 3817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb98 3818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb99 3819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb100 3820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb101 3821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb102 3822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb103 3823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb104 3824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb105 3825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb106 3826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb107 3827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb108 3828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb109 3829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb110 3830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb111 3831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb112 3832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb113 3833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb114 3834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb115 3835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb116 3836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb117 3837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb118 3838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb119 3839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb120 3840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb121 3841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb122 3842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb123 3843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb124 3844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb125 3845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb126 3846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb127 3847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb128 3848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb129 3849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb130 3850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb131 3851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb132 3852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb133 3853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb134 3854, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb135 3855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb136 3856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb137 3857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb138 3858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb139 3859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb140 3860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb141

3861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb142 3862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb143 3863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb144 3864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb145 3865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb146 3866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb147 3867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb148 3868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb149 3869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb150 3870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb151 3871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb152 3872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb153 3873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb154 3874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb155 3875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb156 3876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb157 3877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb158 3878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb159 3879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb160 3880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb161 3881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb162 3882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb163 3883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb164 3884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb165 3885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb166 3886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb167 3887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb168 3888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb169 3889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb170 3890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb171 3891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb172 3892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb173 3893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb174 3894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb175 3895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb176 3896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb177 3897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb178 3898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb179 3899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb180 3900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb181 3901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb182 3902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb183 3903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb184 3904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb185 3905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb186 3906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb187 3907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb188 3908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb189 3909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb190 3910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb191 3911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb192 3912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb193 3913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb194 3914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb195

3915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb196 3916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb197 3917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb198 3918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb199 3919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb200 3920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb201 3921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb202 3922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb203 3923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb204 3924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb205 3925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb206 3926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb207 3927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb208 3928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb209 3929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb210 3930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb211 3931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb212 3932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb213 3933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb214 3934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb215 3935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb216 3936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb217 3937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb218 3938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb219 3939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb220 3940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb221 3941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb222 3942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb223 3943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb224 3944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb225 3945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb226 3946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb227 3947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb228 3948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb229 3949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb230 3950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb231 3951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb232 3952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb233 3953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb234 3954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb235 3955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb236 3956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb237 3957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb238 3958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb239 3959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb240 3960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb241 3961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb242 3962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb243 3963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb244 3964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb245 3965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb246 3966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb247 3967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb248 3968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb249

3969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb250 3970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb251 3971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb252 3972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb253 3973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb254 3974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb255 3975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb256 3976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb257 3977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb258 3978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb259 3979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb260 3980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb261 3981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb262 3982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb263 3983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb264 3984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb265 3985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb266 3986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb267 3987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb268 3988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb269 3989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb270 3990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb271 3991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb272 3992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb273 3993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb274 3994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb275 3995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb276 3996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb277 3997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb278 3998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb279 3999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb280 4000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb281 4001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb282 4002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb283 4003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb284 4004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb285 4005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb286 4006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb287 4007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb288 4008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb289 4009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb290 4010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb291 4011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb292 4012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb293 4013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb294 4014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb295 4015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb296 4016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb297 4017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb298 4018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb299 4019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb300 4020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb301 4021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb302 4022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb303

4023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb304 4024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb305 4025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb306 4026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb307 4027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb308 4028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb309 4029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb310 4030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb311 4031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb312 4032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb313 4033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb314 4034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb315 4035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb316 4036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb317 4037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb318 4038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb319 4039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb320 4040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb321 4041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb322 4042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb323 4043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb324 4044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb325 4045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb326 4046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb327 4047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb328 4048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb329 4049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb330 4050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb331 4051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb332 4052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb333 4053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb334 4054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb335 4055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb336 4056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb337 4057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb338 4058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb339 4059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb340 4060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb341 4061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb342 4062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb343 4063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb344 4064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb345 4065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb346 4066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb347 4067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb348 4068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb349 4069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb350 4070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb351 4071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb352 4072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb353 4073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb354 4074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb355 4075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb356 4076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb357

4077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb358 4078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb359 4079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb360 4080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb361 4081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb362 4082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb363 4083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb364 4084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb365 4085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb366 4086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb367 4087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb368 4088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb369 4089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb370 4090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb371 4091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb372 4092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb373 4093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb374 4094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb375 4095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb376 4096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb377 4097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb378 4098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb379 4099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb380 4100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb381 4101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb382 4102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb383 4103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb384 4104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb385 4105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb386 4106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb387 4107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb388 4108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb389 4109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb390 4110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb391 4111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb392 4112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb393 4113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb394 4114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb395 4115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb396 4116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb397 4117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb398 4118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb399 4119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb400 4120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb401 4121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb402 4122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb403 4123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb404 4124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb405 4125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb406 4126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb407 4127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb408 4128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb409 4129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb410 4130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb411

4131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb412 4132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb413 4133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb414 4134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb415 4135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb416 4136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb417 4137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb418 4138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb419 4139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb420 4140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb421 4141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb422 4142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb423 4143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb424 4144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb425 4145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb426 4146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb427 4147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb428 4148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb429 4149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb430 4150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb431 4151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb432 4152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb433 4153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb434 4154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb435 4155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb436 4156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb437 4157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb438 4158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb439 4159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb440 4160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb441 4161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb442 4162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb443 4163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb444 4164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb445 4165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb446 4166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb447 4167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb448 4168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb449 4169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb450 4170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb451 4171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb452 4172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb453 4173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb454 4174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb455 4175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb456 4176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb457 4177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb458 4178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb459 4179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb460 4180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb461 4181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb462 4182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb463 4183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb464 4184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb465

4185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb466 4186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb467 4187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb468 4188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb469 4189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb470 4190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb471 4191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb472 4192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb473 4193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb474 4194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb475 4195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb476 4196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb477 4197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb478 4198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb479 4199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb480 4200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb481 4201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb482 4202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb483 4203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb484 4204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb485 4205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb486 4206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb487 4207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb488 4208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb489 4209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb490 4210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb491 4211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb492 4212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb493 4213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb494 4214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb495 4215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb496 4216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb497 4217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb498 4218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb499 4219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb500 4220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb501 4221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb502 4222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb503 4223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb504 4224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb505 4225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb506 4226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb507 4227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb508 4228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb509 4229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb510 4230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb511 4231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb512 4232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb513 4233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb514 4234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb515 4235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb516 4236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb517 4237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb518 4238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb519

4239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb520 4240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb521 4241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb522 4242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb523 4243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb524 4244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb525 4245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb526 4246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb527 4247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb528 4248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb529 4249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb530 4250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb531 4251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb532 4252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb533 4253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb534 4254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb535 4255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb536 4256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb537 4257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb538 4258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb539 4259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb540 4260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb541 4261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb542 4262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb543 4263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb544 4264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb545 4265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb546 4266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb547 4267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb548 4268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb549 4269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb550 4270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb551 4271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb552 4272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb553 4273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb554 4274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb555 4275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb556 4276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb557 4277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb558 4278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb559 4279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb560 4280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb561 4281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb562 4282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb563 4283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb564 4284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb565 4285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb566 4286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb567 4287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb568 4288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb569 4289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb570 4290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb571 4291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb572 4292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb573

4293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb574 4294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb575 4295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb576 4296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb577 4297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb578 4298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb579 4299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb580 4300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb581 4301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb582 4302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb583 4303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb584 4304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb585 4305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb586 4306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb587 4307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb588 4308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb589 4309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb590 4310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb591 4311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb592 4312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb593 4313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb594 4314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb595 4315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb596 4316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb597 4317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb598 4318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb599 4319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb600 4320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb601 4321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb602 4322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb603 4323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb604 4324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb605 4325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb606 4326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb607 4327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb608 4328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb609 4329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb610 4330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb611 4331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb612 4332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb613 4333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb614 4334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb615 4335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb616 4336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb617 4337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb618 4338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb619 4339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb620 4340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb621 4341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb622 4342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb623 4343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb624 4344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb625 4345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb626 4346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb627

4347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb628 4348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb629 4349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb630 4350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb631 4351, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb632 4352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb633 4353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb634 4354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb635 4355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb636 4356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb637 4357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb638 4358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb639 4359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb640 4360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb641 4361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb642 4362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb643 4363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb644 4364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb645 4365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb646 4366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb647 4367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb648 4368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb649 4369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb650 4370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb651 4371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb652 4372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb653 4373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb654 4374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb655 4375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb656 4376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb657 4377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb658 4378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb659 4379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb660 4380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb661 4381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb662 4382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb663 4383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb664 4384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb665 4385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb666 4386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb667 4387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb668 4388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb669 4389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb670 4390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb671 4391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb672 4392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb673 4393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb674 4394, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb675 4395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb676 4396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb677 4397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb678 4398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb679 4399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb680 4400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb681

4401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb682 4402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb683 4403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb684 4404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb685 4405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb686 4406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb687 4407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb688 4408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb689 4409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb690 4410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb691 4411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb692 4412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb693 4413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb694 4414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb695 4415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb696 4416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb697 4417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb698 4418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb699 4419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb700 4420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb701 4421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb702 4422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb703 4423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb704 4424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb705 4425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb706 4426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb707 4427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb708 4428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb709 4429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb710 4430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb711 4431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb712 4432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb713 4433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb714 4434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb715 4435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb716 4436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb717 4437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb718 4438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb719 4439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb720 4440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb721 4441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb722 4442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb723 4443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb724 4444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb725 4445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb726 4446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb727 4447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb728 4448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb729 4449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb730 4450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb731 4451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb732 4452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb733 4453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb734 4454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb735

4455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb736 4456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb737 4457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb738 4458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb739 4459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb740 4460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb741 4461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb742 4462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb743 4463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb744 4464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb745 4465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb746 4466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb747 4467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb748 4468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb749 4469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb750 4470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb751 4471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb752 4472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb753 4473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb754 4474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb755 4475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb756 4476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb757 4477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb758 4478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb759 4479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb760 4480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb761 4481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb762 4482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb763 4483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb764 4484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb765 4485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb766 4486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb767 4487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb768 4488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb769 4489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb770 4490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb771 4491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb772 4492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb773 4493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb774 4494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb775 4495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb776 4496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb777 4497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb778 4498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb779 4499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb780 4500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb781 4501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb782 4502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb783 4503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb784 4504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb785 4505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb786 4506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb787 4507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb788 4508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb789

4509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb790 4510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb791 4511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb792 4512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb793 4513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb794 4514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb795 4515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb796 4516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb797 4517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb798 4518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb799 4519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb800 4520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb801 4521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb802 4522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb803 4523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb804 4524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb805 4525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb806 4526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb807 4527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb808 4528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb809 4529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb810 4530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb811 4531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb812 4532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb813 4533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb814 4534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb815 4535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb816 4536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb817 4537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb818 4538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb819 4539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb820 4540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb821 4541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb822 4542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb823 4543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb824 4544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb825 4545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb826 4546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb827 4547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb828 4548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb829 4549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb830 4550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb831 4551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb832 4552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb833 4553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb834 4554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb835 4555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb836 4556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb837 4557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb838 4558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb839 4559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb840 4560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb841 4561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb842 4562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb843

4563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb844 4564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb845 4565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb846 4566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb847 4567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb848 4568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb849 4569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb850 4570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb851 4571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb852 4572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb853 4573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb854 4574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb855 4575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb856 4576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb857 4577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb858 4578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb859 4579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb860 4580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb861 4581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb862 4582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb863 4583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb864 4584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb865 4585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb866 4586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb867 4587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb868 4588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb869 4589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb870 4590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb871 4591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb872 4592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb873 4593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb874 4594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb875 4595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb876 4596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb877 4597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb878 4598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb879 4599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb880 4600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb881 4601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb882 4602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb883 4603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb884 4604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb885 4605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb886 4606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb887 4607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb888 4608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb889 4609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb890 4610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb891 4611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb892 4612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb893 4613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb894 4614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb895 4615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb896 4616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb897

4617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb898 4618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb899 4619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb900 4620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb901 4621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb902 4622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb903 4623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb904 4624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb905 4625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb906 4626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb907 4627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb908 4628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb909 4629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb910 4630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb911 4631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb912 4632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb913 4633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb914 4634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb915 4635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb916 4636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb917 4637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb918 4638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb919 4639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb920 4640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb921 4641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb922 4642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb923 4643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb924 4644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb925 4645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb926 4646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb927 4647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb928 4648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb929 4649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb930 4650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb931 4651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb932 4652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb933 4653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb934 4654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb935 4655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb936 4656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb937 4657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb938 4658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb939 4659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb940 4660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb941 4661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb942 4662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb943 4663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb944 4664, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb945 4665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb946 4666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb947 4667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb948 4668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb949 4669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb950 4670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb951

4671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb952 4672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb953 4673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb954 4674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb955 4675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb956 4676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb957 4677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb958 4678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb959 4679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb960 4680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb961 4681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb962 4682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb963 4683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb964 4684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb965 4685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb966 4686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb967 4687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb968 4688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb969 4689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb970 4690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb971 4691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb972 4692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb973 4693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb974 4694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb975 4695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb976 4696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb977 4697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb978 4698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb979 4699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb980 4700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb981 4701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb982 4702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb983 4703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb984 4704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb985 4705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb986 4706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb987 4707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb988 4708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb989 4709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb990 4710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb991 4711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb992 4712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb993 4713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb994 4714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb995 4715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb996 4716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb997 4717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb998 4718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb999 4719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1000 4720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1001 4721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1002 4722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1003 4723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1004 4724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1005

4725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1006 4726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1007 4727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1008 4728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1009 4729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1010 4730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1011 4731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1012 4732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1013 4733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1014 4734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1015 4735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1016 4736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1017 4737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1018 4738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1019 4739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1020 4740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1021 4741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1022 4742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1023 4743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1024 4744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1025 4745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1026 4746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1027 4747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1028 4748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1029 4749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1030 4750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1031 4751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1032 4752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1033 4753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1034 4754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1035 4755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1036 4756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1037 4757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1038 4758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1039 4759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1040 4760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1041 4761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1042 4762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1043 4763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1044 4764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1045 4765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1046 4766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1047 4767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1048 4768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1049 4769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1050 4770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1051 4771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1052 4772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1053 4773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1054 4774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1055 4775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1056 4776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1057 4777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1058 4778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1059

4779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1060 4780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1061 4781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1062 4782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1063 4783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1064 4784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1065 4785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1066 4786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1067 4787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1068 4788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1069 4789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1070 4790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1071 4791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1072 4792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1073 4793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1074 4794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1075 4795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1076 4796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1077 4797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1078 4798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1079 4799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1080 4800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1081 4801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1082 4802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1083 4803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1084 4804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1085 4805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1086 4806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1087 4807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1088 4808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1089 4809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1090 4810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1091 4811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1092 4812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1093 4813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1094 4814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1095 4815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1096 4816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1097 4817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1098 4818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1099 4819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1100 4820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1101 4821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1102 4822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1103 4823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1104 4824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1105 4825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1106 4826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1107 4827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1108 4828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1109 4829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1110 4830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1111 4831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1112 4832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1113

4833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1114 4834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1115 4835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1116 4836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1117 4837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1118 4838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1119 4839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1120 4840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1121 4841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1122 4842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1123 4843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1124 4844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1125 4845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1126 4846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1127 4847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1128 4848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1129 4849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1130 4850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1131 4851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1132 4852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1133 4853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1134 4854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1135 4855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1136 4856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1137 4857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1138 4858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1139 4859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1140 4860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1141 4861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1142 4862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1143 4863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1144 4864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1145 4865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1146 4866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1147 4867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1148 4868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1149 4869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1150 4870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1151 4871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1152 4872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1153 4873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1154 4874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1155 4875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1156 4876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1157 4877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1158 4878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1159 4879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1160 4880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1161 4881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1162 4882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1163 4883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1164 4884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1165 4885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1166 4886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1167

4887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1168 4888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1169 4889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1170 4890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1171 4891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1172 4892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1173 4893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1174 4894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1175 4895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1176 4896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1177 4897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1178 4898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1179 4899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1180 4900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1181 4901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1182 4902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1183 4903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1184 4904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1185 4905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1186 4906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1187 4907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1188 4908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1189 4909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1190 4910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1191 4911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1192 4912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1193 4913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1194 4914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1195 4915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1196 4916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1197 4917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1198 4918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1199 4919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1200 4920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1201 4921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1202 4922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1203 4923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1204 4924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1205 4925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1206 4926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1207 4927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1208 4928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1209 4929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1210 4930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1211 4931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1212 4932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1213 4933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1214 4934, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1215 4935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1216 4936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1217 4937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1218 4938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1219 4939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1220 4940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1221

4941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1222 4942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1223 4943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1224 4944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1225 4945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1226 4946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1227 4947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1228 4948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1229 4949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1230 4950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1231 4951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1232 4952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1233 4953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1234 4954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1235 4955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1236 4956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1237 4957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1238 4958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1239 4959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1240 4960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1241 4961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1242 4962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1243 4963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1244 4964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1245 4965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1246 4966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1247 4967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1248 4968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1249 4969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1250 4970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1251 4971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1252 4972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1253 4973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1254 4974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1255 4975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1256 4976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1257 4977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1258 4978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1259 4979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1260 4980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1261 4981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1262 4982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1263 4983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1264 4984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1265 4985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1266 4986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1267 4987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1268 4988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1269 4989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1270 4990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1271 4991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1272 4992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1273 4993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1274 4994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1275

4995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1276 4996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1277 4997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1278 4998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1279 4999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1280 5000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1281 5001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1282 5002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1283 5003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1284 5004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1285 5005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1286 5006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1287 5007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1288 5008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1289 5009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1290 5010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1291 5011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1292 5012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1293 5013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1294 5014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1295 5015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1296 5016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1297 5017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1298 5018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1299 5019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1300 5020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1301 5021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1302 5022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1303 5023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1304 5024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1305 5025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1306 5026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1307 5027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1308 5028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1309 5029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1310 5030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1311 5031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1312 5032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1313 5033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1314 5034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1315 5035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1316 5036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1317 5037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1318 5038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1319 5039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1320 5040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1321 5041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1322 5042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1323 5043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1324 5044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1325 5045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1326 5046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1327 5047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1328 5048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1329

5049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1330 5050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1331 5051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1332 5052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1333 5053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1334 5054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1335 5055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1336 5056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1337 5057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1338 5058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1339 5059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1340 5060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1341 5061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1342 5062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1343 5063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1344 5064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1345 5065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1346 5066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1347 5067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1348 5068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1349 5069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1350 5070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1351 5071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1352 5072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1353 5073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1354 5074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1355 5075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1356 5076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1357 5077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1358 5078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1359 5079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1360 5080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1361 5081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1362 5082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1363 5083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1364 5084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1365 5085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1366 5086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1367 5087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1368 5088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1369 5089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1370 5090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1371 5091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1372 5092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1373 5093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1374 5094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1375 5095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1376 5096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1377 5097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1378 5098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1379 5099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1380 5100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1381 5101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1382 5102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1383 5103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1384 5104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1385 5105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1386 5106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1387 5107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1388 5108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1389 5109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1390 5110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1391 5111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1392 5112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1393 5113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1394 5114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1395 5115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1396 5116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1397 5117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1398 5118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1399 5119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1400 5120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1401 5121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1402 5122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1403 5123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1404 5124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1405 5125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1406 5126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1407 5127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1408 5128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1409 5129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1410 5130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1411 5131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1412 5132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1413 5133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1414 5134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1415 5135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1416 5136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1417 5137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1418 5138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1419 5139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1420 5140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1421 5141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1422 5142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1423 5143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1424 5144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1425 5145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1426 5146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1427 5147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1428 5148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1429 5149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1430 5150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1431 5151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1432 5152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1433 5153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1434 5154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1435 5155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1436 5156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1437

5157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1438 5158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1439 5159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1440 5160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1441 5161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1442 5162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1443 5163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1444 5164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1445 5165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1446 5166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1447 5167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1448 5168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1449 5169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1450 5170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1451 5171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1452 5172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1453 5173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1454 5174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1455 5175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1456 5176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1457 5177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1458 5178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1459 5179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1460 5180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1461 5181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1462 5182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1463 5183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1464 5184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1465 5185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1466 5186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1467 5187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1468 5188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1469 5189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1470 5190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1471 5191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1472 5192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1473 5193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1474 5194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1475 5195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1476 5196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1477 5197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1478 5198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1479 5199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1480 5200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1481 5201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1482 5202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1483 5203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1484 5204, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1485 5205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1486 5206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1487 5207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1488 5208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1489 5209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1490 5210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1491

5211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1492 5212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1493 5213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1494 5214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1495 5215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1496 5216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1497 5217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1498 5218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1499 5219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1500 5220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1501 5221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1502 5222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1503 5223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1504 5224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1505 5225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1506 5226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1507 5227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1508 5228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1509 5229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1510 5230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1511 5231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1512 5232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1513 5233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1514 5234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1515 5235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1516 5236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1517 5237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1518 5238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1519 5239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1520 5240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1521 5241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1522 5242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1523 5243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1524 5244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1525 5245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1526 5246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1527 5247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1528 5248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1529 5249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1530 5250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1531 5251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1532 5252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1533 5253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1534 5254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1535 5255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1536 5256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1537 5257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1538 5258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1539 5259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1540 5260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1541 5261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1542 5262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1543 5263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1544 5264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1545 5265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1546 5266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1547 5267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1548 5268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1549 5269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1550 5270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1551 5271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1552 5272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1553 5273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1554 5274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1555 5275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1556 5276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1557 5277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1558 5278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1559 5279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1560 5280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1561 5281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1562 5282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1563 5283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1564 5284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1565 5285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1566 5286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1567 5287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1568 5288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1569 5289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1570 5290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1571 5291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1572 5292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1573 5293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1574 5294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1575 5295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1576 5296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1577 5297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1578 5298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1579 5299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1580 5300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1581 5301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1582 5302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1583 5303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1584 5304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1585 5305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1586 5306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1587 5307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1588 5308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1589 5309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1590 5310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1591 5311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1592 5312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1593 5313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1594 5314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1595 5315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1596 5316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1597 5317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1598 5318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1599

5319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1600 5320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1601 5321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1602 5322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1603 5323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1604 5324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1605 5325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1606 5326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1607 5327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1608 5328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1609 5329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1610 5330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1611 5331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1612 5332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1613 5333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1614 5334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1615 5335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1616 5336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1617 5337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1618 5338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1619 5339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1620 5340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1621 5341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1622 5342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1623 5343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1624 5344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1625 5345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1626 5346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1627 5347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1628 5348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1629 5349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1630 5350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1631 5351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1632 5352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1633 5353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1634 5354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1635 5355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1636 5356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1637 5357, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1638 5358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1639 5359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1640 5360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1641 5361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1642 5362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1643 5363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1644 5364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1645 5365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1646 5366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1647 5367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1648 5368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1649 5369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1650 5370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1651 5371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1652 5372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1653 5373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1654 5374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1655 5375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1656 5376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1657 5377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1658 5378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1659 5379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1660 5380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1661 5381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1662 5382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1663 5383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1664 5384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1665 5385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1666 5386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1667 5387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1668 5388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1669 5389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1670 5390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1671 5391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1672 5392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1673 5393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1674 5394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1675 5395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1676 5396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1677 5397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1678 5398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1679 5399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1680 5400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1681 5401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1682 5402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1683 5403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1684 5404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1685 5405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1686 5406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1687 5407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1688 5408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1689 5409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1690 5410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1691 5411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1692 5412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1693 5413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1694 5414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1695 5415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1696 5416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1697 5417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1698 5418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1699 5419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1700 5420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1701 5421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1702 5422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1703 5423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1704 5424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1705 5425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1706 5426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1707

5427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1708 5428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1709 5429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1710 5430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1711 5431, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1712 5432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1713 5433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1714 5434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1715 5435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1716 5436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1717 5437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1718 5438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1719 5439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1720 5440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1721 5441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1722 5442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1723 5443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1724 5444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1725 5445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1726 5446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1727 5447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1728 5448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1729 5449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1730 5450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1731 5451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1732 5452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1733 5453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1734 5454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1735 5455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1736 5456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1737 5457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1738 5458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1739 5459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1740 5460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1741 5461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1742 5462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1743 5463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1744 5464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1745 5465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1746 5466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1747 5467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1748 5468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1749 5469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1750 5470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1751 5471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1752 5472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1753 5473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1754 5474, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1755 5475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1756 5476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1757 5477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1758 5478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1759 5479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1760 5480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1761

5481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1762 5482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1763 5483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1764 5484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1765 5485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1766 5486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1767 5487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1768 5488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1769 5489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1770 5490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1771 5491. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1772 5492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1773 5493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1774 5494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1775 5495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1776 5496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1777 5497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1778 5498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1779 5499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1780 5500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1781 5501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1782 5502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1783 5503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1784 5504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1785 5505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1786 5506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1787 5507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1788 5508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1789 5509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1790 5510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1791 5511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1792 5512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1793 5513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1794 5514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1795 5515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1796 5516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1797 5517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1798 5518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1799 5519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1800 5520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1801 5521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1802 5522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1803 5523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1804 5524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1805 5525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1806 5526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1807 5527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1808 5528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1809 5529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1810 5530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1811 5531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1812 5532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1813 5533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1814 5534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1815 5535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1816 5536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1817 5537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1818 5538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1819 5539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1820 5540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1821 5541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1822 5542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1823 5543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1824 5544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1825 5545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1826 5546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1827 5547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1828 5548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1829 5549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1830 5550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1831 5551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1832 5552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1833 5553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1834 5554. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1835 5555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1836 5556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1837 5557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1838 5558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1839 5559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1840 5560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1841 5561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1842 5562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1843 5563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1844 5564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1845 5565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1846 5566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1847 5567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1848 5568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1849 5569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1850 5570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1851 5571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1852 5572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1853 5573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1854 5574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1855 5575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1856 5576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1857 5577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1858 5578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1859 5579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1860 5580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1861 5581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1862 5582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1863 5583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1864 5584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1865 5585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1866 5586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1867 5587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1868 5588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1869 5589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1870 5590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1871 5591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1872 5592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1873 5593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1874 5594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1875 5595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1876 5596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1877 5597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1878 5598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1879 5599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1880 5600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1881 5601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1882 5602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1883 5603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1884 5604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1885 5605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1886 5606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1887 5607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1888 5608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1889 5609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1890 5610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1891 5611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1892 5612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1893 5613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1894 5614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1895 5615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1896 5616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1897 5617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1898 5618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1899 5619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1900 5620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1901 5621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1902 5622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1903 5623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1904 5624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1905 5625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1906 5626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1907 5627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1908 5628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1909 5629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1910 5630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1911 5631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1912 5632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1913 5633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1914 5634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1915 5635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1916 5636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1917 5637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1918 5638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1919 5639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1920 5640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1921 5641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1922 5642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1923

5643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1924 5644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1925 5645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1926 5646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1927 5647, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1928 5648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1929 5649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1930 5650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1931 5651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1932 5652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1933 5653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1934 5654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1935 5655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1936 5656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1937 5657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1938 5658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1939 5659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1940 5660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1941 5661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1942 5662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1943 5663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1944 5664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1945 5665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1946 5666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1947 5667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1948 5668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1949 5669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1950 5670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1951 5671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1952 5672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1953 5673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1954 5674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1955 5675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1956 5676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1957 5677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1958 5678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1959 5679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1960 5680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1961 5681, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1962 5682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1963 5683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1964 5684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1965 5685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1966 5686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1967 5687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1968 5688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1969 5689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1970 5690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1971 5691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1972 5692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1973 5693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1974 5694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1975 5695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1976 5696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1977

5697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1978 5698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1979 5699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1980 5700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1981 5701, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1982 5702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1983 5703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1984 5704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1985 5705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1986 5706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1987 5707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1988 5708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1989 5709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1990 5710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1991 5711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1992 5712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1993 5713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1994 5714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1995 5715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1996 5716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1997 5717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1998 5718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb1999 5719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2000 5720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2001 5721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2002 5722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2003 5723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2004 5724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2005 5725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2006 5726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2007 5727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2008 5728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2009 5729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2010 5730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2011 5731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2012 5732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2013 5733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2014 5734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2015 5735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2016 5736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2017 5737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2018 5738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2019 5739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2020 5740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2021 5741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2022 5742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2023 5743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2024 5744, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2025 5745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2026 5746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2027 5747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2028 5748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2029 5749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2030 5750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2031

5751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2032 5752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2033 5753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2034 5754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2035 5755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2036 5756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2037 5757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2038 5758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2039 5759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2040 5760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2041 5761. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2042 5762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2043 5763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2044 5764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2045 5765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2046 5766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2047 5767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2048 5768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2049 5769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2050 5770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2051 5771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2052 5772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2053 5773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2054 5774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2055 5775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2056 5776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2057 5777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2058 5778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2059 5779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2060 5780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2061 5781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2062 5782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2063 5783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2064 5784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2065 5785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2066 5786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2067 5787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2068 5788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2069 5789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2070 5790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2071 5791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2072 5792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2073 5793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2074 5794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2075 5795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2076 5796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2077 5797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2078 5798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2079 5799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2080 5800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2081 5801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2082 5802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2083 5803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2084 5804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2085 5805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2086 5806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2087 5807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2088 5808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2089 5809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2090 5810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2091 5811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2092 5812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2093 5813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2094 5814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2095 5815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2096 5816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2097 5817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2098 5818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2099 5819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2100 5820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2101 5821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2102 5822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2103 5823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2104 5824. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2105 5825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2106 5826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2107 5827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2108 5828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2109 5829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2110 5830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2111 5831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2112 5832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2113 5833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2114 5834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2115 5835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2116 5836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2117 5837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2118 5838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2119 5839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2120 5840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2121 5841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2122 5842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2123 5843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2124 5844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2125 5845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2126 5846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2127 5847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2128 5848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2129 5849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2130 5850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2131 5851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2132 5852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2133 5853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2134 5854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2135 5855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2136 5856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2137 5857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2138 5858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2139

5859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2140 5860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2141 5861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2142 5862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2143 5863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2144 5864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2145 5865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2146 5866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2147 5867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2148 5868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2149 5869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2150 5870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2151 5871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2152 5872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2153 5873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2154 5874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2155 5875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2156 5876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2157 5877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2158 5878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2159 5879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2160 5880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2161 5881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2162 5882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2163 5883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2164 5884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2165 5885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2166 5886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2167 5887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2168 5888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2169 5889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2170 5890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2171 5891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2172 5892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2173 5893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2174 5894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2175 5895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2176 5896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2177 5897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2178 5898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2179 5899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2180 5900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2181 5901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2182 5902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2183 5903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2184 5904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2185 5905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2186 5906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2187 5907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2188 5908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2189 5909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2190 5910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2191 5911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2192 5912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2193 5913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2194 5914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2195 5915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2196 5916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2197 5917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2198 5918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2199 5919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2200 5920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2201 5921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2202 5922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2203 5923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2204 5924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2205 5925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2206 5926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2207 5927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2208 5928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2209 5929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2210 5930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2211 5931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2212 5932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2213 5933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2214 5934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2215 5935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2216 5936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2217 5937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2218 5938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2219 5939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2220 5940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2221 5941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2222 5942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2223 5943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2224 5944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2225 5945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2226 5946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2227 5947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2228 5948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2229 5949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2230 5950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2231 5951, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2232 5952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2233 5953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2234 5954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2235 5955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2236 5956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2237 5957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2238 5958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2239 5959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2240 5960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2241 5961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2242 5962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2243 5963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2244 5964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2245 5965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2246 5966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2247

5967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2248 5968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2249 5969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2250 5970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2251 5971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2252 5972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2253 5973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2254 5974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2255 5975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2256 5976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2257 5977. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2258 5978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2259 5979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2260 5980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2261 5981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2262 5982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2263 5983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2264 5984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2265 5985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2266 5986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2267 5987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2268 5988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2269 5989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2270 5990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2271 5991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2272 5992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2273 5993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2274 5994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2275 5995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2276 5996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2277 5997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2278 5998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2279 5999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2280 6000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2281 6001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2282 6002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2283 6003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2284 6004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2285 6005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2286 6006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2287 6007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2288 6008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2289 6009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2290 6010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2291 6011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2292 6012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2293 6013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2294 6014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2295 6015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2296 6016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2297 6017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2298 6018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2299 6019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2300 6020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2301

6021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2302 6022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2303 6023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2304 6024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2305 6025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2306 6026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2307 6027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2308 6028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2309 6029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2310 6030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2311 6031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2312 6032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2313 6033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2314 6034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2315 6035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2316 6036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2317 6037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2318 6038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2319 6039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2320 6040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2321 6041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2322 6042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2323 6043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2324 6044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2325 6045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2326 6046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2327 6047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2328 6048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2329 6049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2330 6050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2331 6051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2332 6052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2333 6053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2334 6054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2335 6055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2336 6056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2337 6057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2338 6058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2339 6059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2340 6060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2341 6061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2342 6062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2343 6063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2344 6064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2345 6065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2346 6066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2347 6067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2348 6068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2349 6069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2350 6070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2351 6071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2352 6072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2353 6073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2354 6074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2355 6075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2356 6076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2357 6077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2358 6078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2359 6079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2360 6080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2361 6081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2362 6082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2363 6083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2364 6084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2365 6085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2366 6086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2367 6087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2368 6088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2369 6089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2370 6090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2371 6091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2372 6092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2373 6093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2374 6094. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2375 6095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2376 6096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2377 6097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2378 6098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2379 6099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2380 6100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2381 6101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2382 6102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2383 6103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2384 6104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2385 6105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2386 6106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2387 6107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2388 6108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2389 6109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2390 6110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2391 6111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2392 6112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2393 6113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2394 6114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2395 6115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2396 6116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2397 6117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2398 6118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2399 6119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2400 6120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2401 6121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2402 6122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2403 6123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2404 6124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2405 6125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2406 6126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2407 6127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2408 6128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2409 6129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2410 6130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2411 6131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2412 6132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2413 6133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2414 6134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2415 6135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2416 6136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2417 6137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2418 6138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2419 6139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2420 6140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2421 6141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2422 6142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2423 6143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2424 6144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2425 6145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2426 6146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2427 6147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2428 6148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2429 6149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2430 6150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2431 6151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2432 6152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2433 6153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2434 6154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2435 6155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2436 6156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2437 6157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2438 6158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2439 6159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2440 6160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2441 6161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2442 6162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2443 6163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2444 6164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2445 6165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2446 6166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2447 6167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2448 6168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2449 6169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2450 6170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2451 6171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2452 6172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2453 6173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2454 6174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2455 6175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2456 6176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2457 6177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2458 6178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2459 6179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2460 6180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2461 6181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2462 6182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2463 6183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2464 6184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2465 6185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2466 6186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2467 6187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2468 6188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2469 6189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2470 6190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2471 6191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2472 6192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2473 6193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2474 6194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2475 6195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2476 6196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2477 6197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2478 6198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2479 6199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2480 6200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2481 6201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2482 6202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2483 6203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2484 6204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2485 6205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2486 6206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2487 6207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2488 6208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2489 6209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2490 6210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2491 6211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2492 6212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2493 6213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2494 6214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2495 6215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2496 6216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2497 6217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2498 6218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2499 6219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2500 6220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2501 6221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2502 6222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2503 6223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2504 6224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2505 6225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2506 6226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2507 6227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2508 6228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2509 6229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2510 6230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2511 6231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2512 6232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2513 6233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2514 6234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2515 6235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2516 6236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2517

6237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2518 6238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2519 6239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2520 6240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2521 6241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2522 6242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2523 6243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2524 6244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2525 6245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2526 6246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2527 6247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2528 6248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2529 6249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2530 6250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2531 6251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2532 6252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2533 6253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2534 6254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2535 6255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2536 6256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2537 6257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2538 6258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2539 6259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2540 6260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2541 6261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2542 6262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2543 6263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2544 6264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2545 6265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2546 6266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2547 6267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2548 6268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2549 6269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2550 6270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2551 6271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2552 6272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2553 6273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2554 6274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2555 6275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2556 6276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2557 6277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2558 6278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2559 6279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2560 6280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2561 6281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2562 6282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2563 6283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2564 6284, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2565 6285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2566 6286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2567 6287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2568 6288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2569 6289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2570 6290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2571

6291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2572 6292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2573 6293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2574 6294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2575 6295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2576 6296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2577 6297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2578 6298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2579 6299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2580 6300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2581 6301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2582 6302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2583 6303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2584 6304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2585 6305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2586 6306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2587 6307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2588 6308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2589 6309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2590 6310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2591 6311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2592 6312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2593 6313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2594 6314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2595 6315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2596 6316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2597 6317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2598 6318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2599 6319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2600 6320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2601 6321. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2602 6322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2603 6323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2604 6324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2605 6325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2606 6326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2607 6327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2608 6328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2609 6329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2610 6330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2611 6331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2612 6332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2613 6333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2614 6334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2615 6335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2616 6336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2617 6337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2618 6338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2619 6339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2620 6340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2621 6341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2622 6342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2623 6343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2624 6344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2625 6345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2626 6346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2627 6347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2628 6348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2629 6349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2630 6350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2631 6351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2632 6352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2633 6353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2634 6354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2635 6355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2636 6356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2637 6357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2638 6358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2639 6359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2640 6360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2641 6361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2642 6362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2643 6363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2644 6364. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2645 6365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2646 6366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2647 6367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2648 6368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2649 6369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2650 6370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2651 6371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2652 6372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2653 6373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2654 6374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2655 6375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2656 6376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2657 6377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2658 6378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2659 6379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2660 6380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2661 6381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2662 6382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2663 6383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2664 6384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2665 6385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2666 6386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2667 6387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2668 6388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2669 6389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2670 6390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2671 6391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2672 6392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2673 6393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2674 6394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2675 6395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2676 6396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2677 6397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2678 6398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2679

6399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2680 6400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2681 6401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2682 6402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2683 6403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2684 6404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2685 6405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2686 6406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2687 6407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2688 6408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2689 6409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2690 6410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2691 6411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2692 6412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2693 6413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2694 6414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2695 6415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2696 6416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2697 6417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2698 6418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2699 6419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2700 6420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2701 6421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2702 6422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2703 6423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2704 6424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2705 6425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2706 6426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2707 6427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2708 6428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2709 6429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2710 6430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2711 6431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2712 6432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2713 6433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2714 6434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2715 6435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2716 6436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2717 6437. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2718 6438. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2719 6439. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2720 6440. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2721 6441. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2722 6442. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2723 6443. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2724 6444. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2725 6445. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2726 6446. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2727 6447. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2728 6448. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2729 6449. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2730 6450. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2731 6451. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2732 6452. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2733

6453. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2734 6454. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2735 6455. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2736 6456. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2737 6457. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2738 6458. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2739 6459. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2740 6460. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2741 6461. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2742 6462. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2743 6463. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2744 6464. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2745 6465. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2746 6466. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2747 6467. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2748 6468. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2749 6469. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2750 6470. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2751 6471. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2752 6472. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2753 6473. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2754 6474. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2755 6475. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2756 6476. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2757 6477. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2758 6478. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2759 6479. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2760 6480. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2761 6481. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2762 6482. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2763 6483. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2764 6484. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2765 6485. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2766 6486. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2767 6487. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2768 6488. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2769 6489. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2770 6490. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2771 6491, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2772 6492. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2773 6493. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2774 6494. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2775 6495. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2776 6496. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2777 6497. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2778 6498. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2779 6499. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2780 6500. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2781 6501. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2782 6502. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2783 6503. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2784 6504. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2785 6505. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2786 6506. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2787

6507. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2788 6508. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2789 6509. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2790 6510. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2791 6511. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2792 6512. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2793 6513. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2794 6514. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2795 6515. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2796 6516. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2797 6517. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2798 6518. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2799 6519. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2800 6520. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2801 6521. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2802 6522. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2803 6523. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2804 6524. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2805 6525. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2806 6526. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2807 6527. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2808 6528. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2809 6529. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2810 6530. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2811 6531. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2812 6532. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2813 6533. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2814 6534. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2815 6535. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2816 6536. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2817 6537. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2818 6538. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2819 6539. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2820 6540. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2821 6541. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2822 6542. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2823 6543. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2824 6544. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2825 6545. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2826 6546. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2827 6547. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2828 6548. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2829 6549. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2830 6550. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2831 6551. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2832 6552. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2833 6553. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2834 6554, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2835 6555. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2836 6556. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2837 6557. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2838 6558. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2839 6559. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2840 6560. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2841

6561. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2842 6562. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2843 6563. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2844 6564. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2845 6565. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2846 6566. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2847 6567. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2848 6568. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2849 6569. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2850 6570. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2851 6571. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2852 6572. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2853 6573. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2854 6574. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2855 6575. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2856 6576. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2857 6577. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2858 6578. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2859 6579. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2860 6580. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2861 6581. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2862 6582. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2863 6583. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2864 6584. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2865 6585. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2866 6586. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2867 6587. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2868 6588. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2869 6589. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2870 6590. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2871 6591. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2872 6592. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2873 6593. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2874 6594. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2875 6595. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2876 6596. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2877 6597. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2878 6598. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2879 6599. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2880 6600. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2881 6601. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2882 6602. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2883 6603. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2884 6604. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2885 6605. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2886 6606. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2887 6607. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2888 6608. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2889 6609. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2890 6610. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2891 6611. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2892 6612. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2893 6613. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2894 6614. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2895 6615. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2896 6616. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2897 6617. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2898 6618. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2899 6619. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2900 6620. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2901 6621. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2902 6622. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2903 6623. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2904 6624. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2905 6625. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2906 6626. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2907 6627. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2908 6628. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2909 6629. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2910 6630. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2911 6631. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2912 6632. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2913 6633. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2914 6634. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2915 6635. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2916 6636. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2917 6637. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2918 6638. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2919 6639. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2920 6640. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2921 6641. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2922 6642. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2923 6643. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2924 6644. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2925 6645. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2926 6646. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2927 6647. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2928 6648. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2929 6649. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2930 6650. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2931 6651. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2932 6652. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2933 6653. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2934 6654. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2935 6655. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2936 6656. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2937 6657. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2938 6658. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2939 6659. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2940 6660. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2941 6661. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2942 6662. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2943 6663. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2944 6664. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2945 6665. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2946 6666. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2947 6667. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2948 6668. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2949 6669. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2950 6670. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2951 6671. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2952 6672. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2953 6673. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2954 6674. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2955 6675. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2956 6676. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2957 6677. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2958 6678. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2959 6679. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2960 6680. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2961 6681. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2962 6682. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2963 6683. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2964 6684. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2965 6685. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2966 6686. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2967 6687. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2968 6688. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2969 6689. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2970 6690. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2971 6691. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2972 6692. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2973 6693. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2974 6694. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2975 6695. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2976 6696. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2977 6697. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2978 6698. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2979 6699. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2980 6700. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2981 6701. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2982 6702. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2983 6703. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2984 6704. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2985 6705. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2986 6706. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2987 6707. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2988 6708. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2989 6709. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2990 6710. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2991 6711. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2992 6712. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2993 6713. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2994 6714. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2995 6715. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2996 6716. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2997 6717. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2998 6718. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb2999 6719. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3000 6720. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3001 6721. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3002 6722. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3003 6723. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3004 6724. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3005 6725. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3006 6726. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3007 6727. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3008 6728. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3009 6729. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3010 6730. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3011 6731. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3012 6732. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3013 6733. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3014 6734. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3015 6735. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3016 6736. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3017 6737. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3018 6738. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3019 6739. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3020 6740. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3021 6741. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3022 6742. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3023 6743. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3024 6744. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3025 6745. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3026 6746. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3027 6747. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3028 6748. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3029 6749. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3030 6750. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3031 6751. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3032 6752. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3033 6753. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3034 6754. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3035 6755. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3036 6756. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3037 6757. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3038 6758. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3039 6759. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3040 6760. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3041 6761, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3042 6762. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3043 6763. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3044 6764. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3045 6765. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3046 6766. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3047 6767. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3048 6768. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3049 6769. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3050 6770. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3051 6771. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3052 6772. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3053 6773. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3054 6774. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3055 6775. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3056 6776. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3057

6777. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3058 6778. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3059 6779. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3060 6780. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3061 6781. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3062 6782. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3063 6783. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3064 6784. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3065 6785. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3066 6786. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3067 6787. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3068 6788. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3069 6789. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3070 6790. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3071 6791. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3072 6792. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3073 6793. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3074 6794. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3075 6795. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3076 6796. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3077 6797. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3078 6798. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3079 6799. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3080 6800. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3081 6801. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3082 6802. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3083 6803. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3084 6804. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3085 6805. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3086 6806. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3087 6807. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3088 6808. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3089 6809. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3090 6810. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3091 6811. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3092 6812. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3093 6813. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3094 6814. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3095 6815. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3096 6816. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3097 6817. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3098 6818. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3099 6819. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3100 6820. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3101 6821. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3102 6822. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3103 6823. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3104 6824, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3105 6825. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3106 6826. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3107 6827. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3108 6828. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3109 6829. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3110 6830. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3111

6831. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3112 6832. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3113 6833. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3114 6834. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3115 6835. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3116 6836. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3117 6837. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3118 6838. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3119 6839. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3120 6840. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3121 6841. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3122 6842. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3123 6843. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3124 6844. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3125 6845. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3126 6846. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3127 6847. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3128 6848. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3129 6849. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3130 6850. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3131 6851. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3132 6852. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3133 6853. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3134 6854. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3135 6855. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3136 6856. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3137 6857. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3138 6858. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3139 6859. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3140 6860. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3141 6861. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3142 6862. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3143 6863. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3144 6864. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3145 6865. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3146 6866. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3147 6867. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3148 6868. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3149 6869. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3150 6870. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3151 6871. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3152 6872. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3153 6873. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3154 6874. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3155 6875. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3156 6876. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3157 6877. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3158 6878. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3159 6879. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3160 6880. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3161 6881. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3162 6882. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3163 6883. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3164 6884. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3165

6885. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3166 6886. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3167 6887. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3168 6888. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3169 6889. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3170 6890. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3171 6891. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3172 6892. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3173 6893. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3174 6894. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3175 6895. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3176 6896. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3177 6897. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3178 6898. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3179 6899. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3180 6900. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3181 6901. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3182 6902. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3183 6903. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3184 6904. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3185 6905. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3186 6906. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3187 6907. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3188 6908. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3189 6909. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3190 6910. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3191 6911. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3192 6912. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3193 6913. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3194 6914. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3195 6915. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3196 6916. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3197 6917. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3198 6918. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3199 6919. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3200 6920. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3201 6921. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3202 6922. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3203 6923. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3204 6924. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3205 6925. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3206 6926. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3207 6927. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3208 6928. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3209 6929. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3210 6930. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3211 6931. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3212 6932. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3213 6933. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3214 6934. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3215 6935. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3216 6936. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3217 6937. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3218 6938. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3219

6939. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3220 6940. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3221 6941. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3222 6942. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3223 6943. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3224 6944. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3225 6945. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3226 6946. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3227 6947. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3228 6948. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3229 6949. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3230 6950. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3231 6951. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3232 6952. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3233 6953. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3234 6954. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3235 6955. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3236 6956. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3237 6957. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3238 6958. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3239 6959. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3240 6960. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3241 6961. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3242 6962. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3243 6963. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3244 6964. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3245 6965. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3246 6966. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3247 6967. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3248 6968. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3249 6969. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3250 6970. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3251 6971. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3252 6972. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3253 6973. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3254 6974. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3255 6975. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3256 6976. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3257 6977, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3258 6978. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3259 6979. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3260 6980. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3261 6981. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3262 6982. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3263 6983. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3264 6984. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3265 6985. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3266 6986. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3267 6987. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3268 6988. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3269 6989. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3270 6990. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3271 6991. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3272 6992. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3273 6993. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3274 6994. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3275 6995. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3276 6996. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3277 6997. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3278 6998. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3279 6999. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3280 7000. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3281 7001. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3282 7002. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3283 7003. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3284 7004. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3285 7005. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3286 7006. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3287 7007. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3288 7008. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3289 7009. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3290 7010. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3291 7011. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3292 7012. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3293 7013. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3294 7014. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3295 7015. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3296 7016. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3297 7017. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3298 7018. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3299 7019. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3300 7020. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3301 7021. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3302 7022. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3303 7023. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3304 7024. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3305 7025. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3306 7026. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3307 7027. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3308 7028. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3309 7029. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3310 7030. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3311 7031. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3312 7032. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3313 7033. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3314 7034. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3315 7035. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3316 7036. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3317 7037. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3318 7038. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3319 7039. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3320 7040. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3321 7041. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3322 7042. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3323 7043. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3324 7044. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3325 7045. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3326 7046. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3327 7047. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3328 7048. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3329 7049. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3330 7050. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3331 7051. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3332 7052. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3333 7053. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3334 7054. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3335 7055. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3336 7056. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3337 7057. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3338 7058. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3339 7059. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3340 7060. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3341 7061. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3342 7062. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3343 7063. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3344 7064. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3345 7065. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3346 7066. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3347 7067. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3348 7068. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3349 7069. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3350 7070. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3351 7071. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3352 7072. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3353 7073. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3354 7074. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3355 7075. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3356 7076. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3357 7077. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3358 7078. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3359 7079. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3360 7080. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3361 7081. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3362 7082. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3363 7083. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3364 7084. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3365 7085. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3366 7086. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3367 7087. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3368 7088. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3369 7089. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3370 7090. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3371 7091. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3372 7092. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3373 7093. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3374 7094, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3375 7095. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3376 7096. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3377 7097. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3378 7098. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3379 7099. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3380 7100. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3381

7101. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3382 7102. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3383 7103. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3384 7104. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3385 7105. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3386 7106. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3387 7107. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3388 7108. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3389 7109. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3390 7110. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3391 7111. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3392 7112. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3393 7113. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3394 7114. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3395 7115. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3396 7116. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3397 7117. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3398 7118. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3399 7119. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3400 7120. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3401 7121. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3402 7122. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3403 7123. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3404 7124. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3405 7125. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3406 7126. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3407 7127. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3408 7128. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3409 7129. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3410 7130. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3411 7131. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3412 7132. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3413 7133. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3414 7134. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3415 7135. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3416 7136. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3417 7137. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3418 7138. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3419 7139. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3420 7140. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3421 7141. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3422 7142. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3423 7143. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3424 7144. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3425 7145. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3426 7146. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3427 7147. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3428 7148. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3429 7149. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3430 7150. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3431 7151. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3432 7152. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3433 7153. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3434 7154. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3435 7155. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3436 7156. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3437 7157. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3438 7158. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3439 7159. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3440 7160. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3441 7161. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3442 7162. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3443 7163. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3444 7164. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3445 7165. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3446 7166. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3447 7167. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3448 7168. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3449 7169. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3450 7170. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3451 7171. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3452 7172. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3453 7173. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3454 7174. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3455 7175. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3456 7176. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3457 7177. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3458 7178. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3459 7179. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3460 7180. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3461 7181. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3462 7182. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3463 7183. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3464 7184. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3465 7185. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3466 7186. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3467 7187. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3468 7188. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3469 7189. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3470 7190. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3471 7191. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3472 7192. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3473 7193. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3474 7194. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3475 7195. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3476 7196. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3477 7197. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3478 7198. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3479 7199. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3480 7200. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3481 7201. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3482 7202. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3483 7203. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3484 7204. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3485 7205. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3486 7206. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3487 7207. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3488 7208. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3489

7209. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3490 7210. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3491 7211. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3492 7212. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3493 7213. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3494 7214. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3495 7215. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3496 7216. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3497 7217. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3498 7218. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3499 7219. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3500 7220. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3501 7221. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3502 7222. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3503 7223. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3504 7224. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3505 7225. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3506 7226. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3507 7227. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3508 7228. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3509 7229. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3510 7230. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3511 7231. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3512 7232. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3513 7233. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3514 7234. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3515 7235. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3516 7236. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3517 7237. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3518 7238. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3519 7239. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3520 7240. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3521 7241. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3522 7242. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3523 7243. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3524 7244. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3525 7245. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3526 7246. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3527 7247. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3528 7248. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3529 7249. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3530 7250. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3531 7251. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3532 7252. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3533 7253. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3534 7254. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3535 7255. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3536 7256. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3537 7257. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3538 7258. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3539 7259. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3540 7260. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3541 7261. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3542 7262. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3543

7263. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3544 7264. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3545 7265. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3546 7266. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3547 7267. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3548 7268. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3549 7269. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3550 7270. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3551 7271. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3552 7272. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3553 7273. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3554 7274. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3555 7275. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3556 7276. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3557 7277. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3558 7278. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3559 7279. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3560 7280. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3561 7281. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3562 7282. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3563 7283. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3564 7284. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3565 7285. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3566 7286. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3567 7287. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3568 7288. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3569 7289. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3570 7290. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3571 7291. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3572 7292. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3573 7293. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3574 7294. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3575 7295. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3576 7296. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3577 7297. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3578 7298. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3579 7299. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3580 7300. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3581 7301. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3582 7302. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3583 7303. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3584 7304. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3585 7305. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3586 7306. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3587 7307. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3588 7308. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3589 7309. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3590 7310. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3591 7311. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3592 7312. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3593 7313. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3594 7314. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3595 7315. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3596 7316. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3597

7317. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3598 7318. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3599 7319. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3600 7320. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3601 7321, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3602 7322. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3603 7323. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3604 7324. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3605 7325. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3606 7326. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3607 7327. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3608 7328. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3609 7329. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3610 7330. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3611 7331. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3612 7332. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3613 7333. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3614 7334. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3615 7335. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3616 7336. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3617 7337. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3618 7338. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3619 7339. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3620 7340. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3621 7341. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3622 7342. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3623 7343. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3624 7344. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3625 7345. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3626 7346. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3627 7347. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3628 7348. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3629 7349. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3630 7350. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3631 7351. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3632 7352. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3633 7353. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3634 7354. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3635 7355. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3636 7356. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3637 7357. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3638 7358. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3639 7359. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3640 7360. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3641 7361. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3642 7362. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3643 7363. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3644 7364, file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3645 7365. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3646 7366. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3647 7367. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3648 7368. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3649 7369. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3650 7370. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3651

7371. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3652 7372. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3653 7373. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3654 7374. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3655 7375. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3656 7376. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3657 7377. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3658 7378. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3659 7379. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3660 7380. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3661 7381. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3662 7382. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3663 7383. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3664 7384. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3665 7385. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3666 7386. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3667 7387. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3668 7388. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3669 7389. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3670 7390. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3671 7391. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3672 7392. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3673 7393. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3674 7394. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3675 7395. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3676 7396. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3677 7397. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3678 7398. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3679 7399. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3680 7400. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3681 7401. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3682 7402. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3683 7403. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3684 7404. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3685 7405. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3686 7406. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3687 7407. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3688 7408. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3689 7409. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3690 7410. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3691 7411. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3692 7412. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3693 7413. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3694 7414. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3695 7415. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3696 7416. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3697 7417. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3698 7418. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3699 7419. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3700 7420. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3701 7421. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3702 7422. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3703 7423. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3704 7424. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm# fnb3705 7425. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3706 7426. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3707 7427. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3708 7428. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3709 7429. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3710 7430. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3711 7431. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3712 7432. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3713 7433. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3713 7434. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3714 7435. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3715 7436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3716 7436. file://localhost/usr/local2/htdocs/s/schaff/npnf105/oeb/i.htm#\_fnb3716

## Livros Grátis

(<u>http://www.livrosgratis.com.br</u>)

Milhares de Livros para Download:

Baixar livros de Administração Baixar livros de Agronomia Baixar livros de Arquitetura Baixar livros de Artes Baixar livros de Astronomia Baixar livros de Biologia Geral Baixar livros de Ciência da Computação Baixar livros de Ciência da Informação Baixar livros de Ciência Política Baixar livros de Ciências da Saúde Baixar livros de Comunicação Baixar livros do Conselho Nacional de Educação - CNE Baixar livros de Defesa civil Baixar livros de Direito Baixar livros de Direitos humanos Baixar livros de Economia Baixar livros de Economia Doméstica Baixar livros de Educação Baixar livros de Educação - Trânsito Baixar livros de Educação Física Baixar livros de Engenharia Aeroespacial Baixar livros de Farmácia Baixar livros de Filosofia Baixar livros de Física Baixar livros de Geociências Baixar livros de Geografia Baixar livros de História Baixar livros de Línguas

Baixar livros de Literatura Baixar livros de Literatura de Cordel Baixar livros de Literatura Infantil Baixar livros de Matemática Baixar livros de Medicina Baixar livros de Medicina Veterinária Baixar livros de Meio Ambiente Baixar livros de Meteorologia Baixar Monografias e TCC Baixar livros Multidisciplinar Baixar livros de Música Baixar livros de Psicologia Baixar livros de Química Baixar livros de Saúde Coletiva Baixar livros de Servico Social Baixar livros de Sociologia Baixar livros de Teologia Baixar livros de Trabalho Baixar livros de Turismo